

Butler University Digital Commons @ Butler University

Scholarship and Professional Work - LAS

College of Liberal Arts & Sciences

7-2014

Edge-connectivities for spanning trails with prescribed edges

Wei-Guo Chen

Zhi-Hong Chen Butler University, chen@butler.edu

Weiqi Luo

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.butler.edu/facsch_papers

Part of the Computer Sciences Commons, and the Mathematics Commons

Recommended Citation

Chen, Wei-Guo; Chen, Zhi-Hong; and Luo, Weiqi, "Edge-connectivities for spanning trails with prescribed edges" *Ars Combinatoria /* (2014): 175-186. Available at https://digitalcommons.butler.edu/facsch_papers/1047

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Liberal Arts & Sciences at Digital Commons @ Butler University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Scholarship and Professional Work - LAS by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Butler University. For more information, please contact digitalscholarship@butler.edu.

Edge-connectivities for spanning trails with prescribed edges

Wei-Guo, Chen Guangdong Information Center, Guangzhou, P. R. China Zhi-Hong Chen * Butler University, Indianapolis, IN 46208 Weiqi Luo JiNan University, Guangzhou, P.R. China

June 5, 2009

Abstract

For a graph G, a vertex-edge alternating sequence $v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, \cdots$, $e_{k-1}, v_{k-1}, e_k, v_k$ such that all the e_i 's are distinct and $e_i = v_{i-1}v_i$ for all *i* is called a trail. For $u, v \in V(G)$, a (u, v)-trail of G is a trail in G whose origin is *u* and whose terminus is *v*. A (u, v) trail is called a close trail if u = v. A trail H is called a spanning trail of a graph G if V(H) = V(G). Let $X \subseteq E(G)$ and $Y \subseteq E(G)$ with $X \cap Y = \emptyset$. In this paper, we study the minimum edge-connectivity of a graph G such that for any $u, v \in V(G)$ (including u = v), G has a spanning (u, v)-trail H such that $X \subseteq E(H)$ and $Y \cap E(H) = \emptyset$.

1. Introduction

We follow the notation of Bondy and Murty [1], except that graphs have no loops. For a graph G, a trail is a vertex-edge alternating sequence $v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, \dots, e_{k-1}, v_{k-1}, e_k, v_k$ such that all the e_i 's are distinct and $e_i = v_{i-1}v_i$ for all $i \ (1 \le i \le k)$. Let $e', e'' \in E(G)$. A trail in G is called an (e', e'')-trail if its first edge is e' and its last edge is e''. For $u, v \in V(G)$, a (u, v)-trail of G is a trail in G whose origin is u and whose terminus is v. A trail H is called a spanning trail if V(H) = V(G). If u = v, then a

*chen@butler.edu

ARS COMBINATORIA 115(2014), pp. 175-186

(u, v)-trail in G is a closed trail, which is also called a *Eulerian subgraph* of G. A graph is called *supereulerian* if it has a spanning Eulerian subgraph.

Many researches have been done for the existence of spanning Eulerian trails in a graph under various conditions (see [5] and [6]). In this paper, we study the following problem.

For a graph G and an integer $r \ge 0$, let X and Y be two edge disjoint subsets of E(G) with $|X| + |Y| \le r$. Find the minimum edge-connectivity for G such that for any $u, v \in V(G)$ (or $e', e'' \in E(G)$), G has a spanning (u, v)-trail (or (e', e'')-trail) H such that $X \subseteq E(H)$ and $Y \cap E(H) = \emptyset$.

There are many 3-edge-connected graphs such as the Petersen graph, and any 3-connected cubic graph that does not have a proper 3-edgecoloring is not superculerian. Then the minimum edge-connectivity for a graph to assure the existence of a spanning Eulerian subgraph is at least four. Some special cases of the problem above were studied by several researchers ([2], [7], [8], [10], [12]).

Theorem 1.1 (Catlin [2]). If G is 4-edge-connected, then for any $u, v \in V(G)$ there is a spanning Eulerian (u, v) trail in G.

Zhan [12] proved the following.

Theorem 1.2 (Zhan [12]). If G is a 4-edge-connected graph, then for any edges $e_1, e_2 \in E(G)$ there is a spanning (e_1, e_2) -trail in G.

For the case when $Y = \emptyset$, Lai [10] proved the following result.

Theorem 1.3 (Lai [10]). Let $r \ge 0$ be an integer. For a graph G, let $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \le r$. Then G has a spanning Eulerian subgraph H such that $X \subseteq E(H)$ if and only if G is f(r)-edge-connected, where f(r) is defined by

(4,	$0 \le r \le 2,$
$f(r) = \langle$	r+1,	$r \geq 3$ and r is odd,
	r,	$r \geq 4$ and r is even.

In [7], the authors extended the results in [10] and solved the problem for the case when $Y = \emptyset$. Following closely the method of [7], we extend

that result for $Y \neq \emptyset$. In the next section, we will present Catlin's reduction method and some preliminary results. Our main results are in Sections 3 and 4.

2. Catlin's reduction method and Preliminary results

In [2], Catlin defined collapsible graphs. For a graph G, let O(G) be the set of odd degree vertices of G. A graph G is collapsible if for every even subset $R \subseteq V(G)$, G has a spanning connected subgraph H_R such that $O(H_R) = R$. We regard an empty set as an even subset and K_1 as a collapsible graph. Therefore, if G is a collapsible graph, then G has a spanning eulerian subgraph H_R as $R = \emptyset$, and G has a spanning (u, v)-trail H_{R_1} for any u and v in V(G) as $R_1 = \{u, v\}$. In [2], Catlin proved the following.

Collapsible Partition Theorem (Catlin [2]). Every graph G has a unique collection of vertex disjoint maximal collapsible subgraphs H_1, H_2, \dots, H_c such that $V(G) = V(H_1) \cup V(H_2) \cup \dots \cup V(H_c)$.

Let H be a connected subgraph of G. The contraction G/H is obtained from G by contracting each edge of H and deleting the resulting loops. Let H_1, H_2, \dots, H_c be the set of vertex disjoint maximal collapsible subgraphs of G. The reduction of G is obtained from G by contracting each H_i into a vertex v_i for all i $(1 \le i \le c)$, and is denoted by G'. Each H_i is called a preimage of v_i in G, and v_i is called the contraction image of H_i in G'. A vertex v in G' is called a *trivial contraction* if its preimage in G is K_1 . A graph G is reduced if G is the reduction of some graph. Let F(G) be the minimum number of edges that must be added to G so that the resulting graph has two edge-disjoint spanning trees.

Theorem 2.1 (Catlin [2]). Let G be a graph, and let G' be the reduction of G. Each of the following holds.

(a) G is superculerian if and only if G' is superculerian.
(b) G is collapsible if and only if G' ≅ K₁

It is well known that a 2k-edge-connected graph has k edge-disjoint spanning trees (Kundu [9], and Polesskii [11]). Catlin [2] proved that if G has two edge-disjoint spanning trees, then G is collapsible. Thus, if G is 4-edge-connected, then G is collapsible.

In [3], Catlin proved the following.

Theorem 2.2 (Catlin [3]). Let G be a graph and let $r \ge 1$ be an integer. Then G is r-edge-connected if and only if for any $Y \subseteq E(G)$ with $|Y| \le \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor$, G - Y has $\lfloor r/2 \rfloor$ edge-disjoint spanning trees.

The following theorems will be needed in our proofs. **Theorem 2.3** (Catlin et al. [4]). Let G be a connected graph. If $F(G) \leq 2$, then either G is collapsible, or the reduction of G is in $\{K_2, K_{2,t}\}$ $(t \geq 1)$.

Let e be an edge in G. Edge e is subdivided when it is replaced by a path of length 2 whose internal vertex, denoted by v(e), has degree 2 in the resulting graph. The process of taking an edge e and replacing it by that path of length 2 is called subdividing e. Let G be a graph and let $X \subseteq E(G)$. Let G_X be the graph obtained from G by subdividing each edge in X. Then $V(G_X) = V(G) \cup \{v(e) | e \in X\}$. For a graph G, let $X \subseteq E(G)$ and $Y \subseteq E(G)$ with $X \cap Y = \emptyset$. Define $(G - Y)_X$ as a graph obtained from G by removing all the edges in Y and subdividing each edge in X.

We need the following lemma, which was proved in [7].

Lemma 2.4 (Chen et al. [7]). Let G be a connected graph. Then each of the following holds:

- (a) Let $k \ge 2$ be an integer. If G has k edge-disjoint spanning trees, then for any $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \le 2k-2$, $F(G_X) \le 2$.
- (b) Let $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ with $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$. Then $F(G_X) \le F((G X_1)_{X_2})$.

Combining Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.4 we have the following.

Lemma 2.5. Let G be a connected graph and let $r \ge 1$ be an integer. Let X and Y be two disjoint subsets of E(G). If G is r-edge-connected, $|Y| \le \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor$ and $|X| \le 2\lfloor r/2 \rfloor - 2$, then $F((G-Y)_X) \le 2$. **Proof.** By Theorem 2.2, G - Y has |r/2| edge-disjoint spanning trees.

Then by Lemma 2.4, $F((G-Y)_X) \leq 2$. The lemma is proved. \Box .

3. A Main Result on $(G - Y)_X$

Let r > 2 be an integer. For a graph G, let X and Y be two disjoint subsets of E(G) such that

$$|Y| \le \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor$$
 and $|X \cup Y| \le r + \lfloor r/2 \rfloor - 2.$ (1)

If $|X \cup Y| \leq 2\lfloor r/2 \rfloor - 2$, define $X_0 = X$ and $Y_0 = Y$. If $|X \cup Y| > 2\lfloor r/2 \rfloor - 2$, then since $|Y| \leq \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor$, we can choose Y_0 in such a way that Y_0 contains all the edges in Y and some edges in X (if $|Y| < \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor$), such that $|Y_0| = \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor$. Then define $X_0 = (X \cup Y) - Y_0$. And so $X_0 \subseteq X$ and $|X_0| = |X \cup Y| - |Y_0| \leq r + \lfloor r/2 \rfloor - 2 - \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor = 2\lfloor r/2 \rfloor - 2$. Thus, for any disjoint subsets X and Y satisfying (1) above, we have X_0 and Y_0 of E(G) such that

 $X_0 \subseteq X, Y \subseteq Y_0, \ X_0 \cap Y_0 = \emptyset, \ |Y_0| \le \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor \text{ and } |X_0| \le 2\lfloor r/2 \rfloor - 2.$ (2)

Lemma 3.0. Let G be a graph and let X, Y, X_0 and Y_0 be subsets of E(G) defined in (1) and (2). Then

$$F((G-Y)_X) \le F((G-Y_0)_{X_0}).$$
(3)

Proof. Let $X_1 = X - X_0$. Then $Y_0 = Y \cup X_1$, $X_0 = X - X_1$ and so $X_0 \cap X_1 = \emptyset$. Let $G_1 = G - Y$. Since $X \cap Y = \emptyset$, X_1 and X_0 are subsets of $E(G-Y) = E(G_1)$. By Lemma 2.4, $F((G-Y)_X) \leq F(((G-Y) - X_1)_{X_0})$. Since $Y_0 = Y \cup X_1$, $G - Y_0 = (G - Y) - X_1$. Hence, $F((G - Y)_X) \leq F(((G - Y)_X)_X)$. The lemma is proved. \Box

Theorem 3.1. Let $r \ge 4$ be an integer. Let G be an r-edge-connected graph and let $X \subseteq E(G)$ and $Y \subseteq E(G)$ with $X \cap Y = \emptyset$, $|Y| \le \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor$ and $|X| + |Y| \le r + \lfloor r/2 \rfloor - 2$. Then one of the following holds.

- (a) $(G Y)_X$ is collapsible, or
- (b) $\kappa'(G) \leq |X| + |Y|$ and $(G Y)_X$ can be contracted to $K_{2,t}$, i.e. the reduction of $(G Y)_X$ is $K_{2,t}$, and
 - (b1) $\kappa'(G-Y) \le t \le |X|$ if $\kappa'(G-Y) \ge 3$ or $r \ge 6$; (b2) $\kappa'(G-Y) \le t < |X| + |Y|$ if $\kappa'(G-Y) = 2$ (then r = 4 or 5).

Proof. Let X_0 and Y_0 be the two edge subsets of E(G) defined above. By Lemma 3.0, $F((G - Y)_X) \leq F((G - Y_0)_{X_0})$. Since $|Y_0| \leq \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor$, by Theorem 2.2, $(G - Y_0)$ has $\lfloor r/2 \rfloor$ -edge-disjointed spanning trees. By the definition of X_0 and Y_0 , $|X_0| \leq 2\lfloor r/2 \rfloor - 2$. Then by Lemma 3.0 and Lemma 2.5, $F((G - Y)_X) \leq F((G - Y_0)_{X_0}) \leq 2$. By Theorem 2.3, either $(G - Y)_X$ is collapsible or $(G - Y)'_X \in \{K_2, K_{2,t}\}$. Assume that $(G - Y)_X$ is not collapsible. Then $(G - Y)'_X \in \{K_2, K_{2,t}\}$. We will show that the statement (b) holds.

Since G is r-edge-connected, $r \ge 4$ and $|Y| \le \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor$,

$$\kappa'(G-Y) \ge \kappa'(G) - |Y| \ge r - \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor \ge \lfloor r/2 \rfloor \ge 2.$$
(4)

Thus, $(G - Y)'_X$ is 2-edge-connected. Therefore, $(G - Y)'_X = K_{2,t}$ $(t \ge 2)$.

Let $E((G - Y)'_X) = E(K_{2,t}) = \{uw_1, uw_2, \cdots, uw_t, vw_1, vw_2, \cdots, vw_t\}$ where $w_i \ (1 \le i \le t)$ is a degree two vertex in $(G - Y)'_X$. Let $E' = \{vw_1, vw_2, \cdots, vw_t\}$. Then E' is an edge-cut of $(G - Y)'_X$.

If $\kappa'(G-Y) \geq 3$, then each w_i is a vertex obtained by subdividing an edge in X. Therefore, $|E'| \leq |X|$. Let E_X be the edge subset of X in which the edges are subdivided to obtain the edges in E'. Since E' is an edge-cut of $(G-Y)'_X$, E_X is an edge-cut of (G-Y), and so X is an edge-cut of G-Y. Hence, $|X| \geq |E_X| = |E'| = t \geq \kappa'(G-Y)$. Therefore $X \cup Y$ is an edge-cut of G and so $\kappa'(G) \leq |X \cup Y|$. The statement holds if $\kappa'(G-Y) \geq 3$. If $r \geq 6$, since G is r-edge-connected and $|Y| \leq \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor$, $\kappa'(G-Y) \geq 3$. Thus the statement (b1) holds if $r \geq 6$.

Next we consider the case if $\kappa'(G - Y) = 2$.

Claim 1. If w_i is not a vertex obtained by subdividing an edge in X, then there are at least r-2 edges in Y adjacent to some vertices in the preimage of w_i .

Proof of Claim 1: It follows from that G is r-edge-connected and $r \ge 4$.

Claim 2. At most one edge in E' is not from subdividing the edges in X. Proof of Claim 2: Since $\kappa'(G-Y) = 2$, the equalities in (4) hold. So r = 4 or 5 and |Y| = |(r+1)/2| = 2 or 3. Thus we have

$$2 \le |Y| \le 3. \tag{5}$$

Since G is either 4 or 5 edge-connected, by Claim 1 after removing 2 or 3 edges in Y from G, at most one vertex in $\{w_i\}$ $(1 \le i \le t)$ is not from subdividing edges in X. Claim 2 is proved.

Thus, by Claim 2,
$$|E'| - 1 \leq |X|$$
, and so by (5),

$$2 = \kappa'(G - Y) \le t = |E'| \le |X| + 1 < |X| + |Y|.$$
(6)

To complete the proof of statement (b2), we still need to show $|X| + |Y| \ge \kappa'(G) = r$.

By way of contradiction, suppose that |X| + |Y| < r. By (6), $2 \le t = |E'| < |X| + |Y| < r$. Thus, t = |E'| = 2 if r = 4 and $2 \le t = |E'| \le 3$ if r = 5. Therefore, $(G - Y)'_X = K_{2,t} \in \{K_{2,2}, K_{2,3}\}$, and $E' = \{vw_i\}$ $(1 \le i \le t)$ is corresponding to an edge-cut with size t in G - Y that separates the pre images of u and v in G - Y.

If r = 4, then G is 4-edge-connected, $(G-Y)'_X = K_{2,2}$. Since |X| + |Y| < r = 4, |X| < 4 - |Y| < 2. By Claim 2, $|X| \ge 1$, and so |X| = 1 and |Y| = 2. Therefore, at least one vertex in $\{w_1, w_2\}$, say w_1 , is not a vertex obtained by subdividing an edge in X. Therefore, by Claim 1, the two edges in Y must be adjacent to some vertices in the preimage of w_1 . Therefore, at least one of the preimage of u or v in G is connected by at most three edges to the rest of the graph G. Thus, $\kappa'(G) \le 3$, contrary to that G is 4-edge-connected.

If r = 5, then G is 5-edge-connected. Since |X| + |Y| < r = 5 and by Claim 2 and (5), $|X| \ge 1$, $2 \le |Y| \le 3$. Note that $(G - Y)'_X = K_{2,t} \in \{K_{2,2}, K_{2,3}\}$. By Claim 2, at least one vertex in $\{w_1, ..., w_t\}$ (t = 2 or 3), say w_1 , is not a vertex obtained by subdividing an edge in X. By Claim 1 and r - 2 = 3 and $|Y| \le 3$, Y should have 3 edges and the 3 edges in Y are adjacent to some vertices in the preimage of w_1 . Therefore, no matter $(G - Y)'_X = K_{2,2}$ or $K_{2,3}$, at least one of the preimage of u or v in G is connected by at most four edges to the rest of the graph G. Thus, G is at most 4-edge-connected, contrary to that G is 5-edge-connected. Thus $|X| + |Y| \ge r = \kappa'(G)$. Theorem 3.1 is proved. \Box

From the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

Corollary 3.2. Let $r \ge 4$ be an integer. Let G be an r-edge-connected graph and let $X \subseteq E(G)$ and $Y \subseteq E(G)$ with $X \cap Y = \emptyset$, $|Y| \le \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor$ and $|X| + |Y| \le r + \lfloor r/2 \rfloor - 2$. If $\kappa'(G - Y) \ge 3$, then one of the following holds:

(i) $(G-Y)_X$ is collapsible, or

(ii) $(G-Y)_X$ can be contracted to $K_{2,t}$ in such a way that each degree two vertex in $K_{2,t}$ is a trivial contraction obtained in (G-Y) by subdividing the edges in X, and $(r - |Y|) \le t \le |X|$.

Proof. Corollary 3.2 follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1 and the fact that $\kappa'(G-Y) \ge \kappa'(G) - |Y| \ge r - |Y|$. \Box





Let G be the 4-edge-connected graph shown in Figure 1 where $s \ge 5$. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$ and $Y_1 = \{z_1, z_2\}$. Then the reduction of $(G - Y_1)_X$ is $K_{2,t} = K_{2,4}$. This shows that $t \le |X| + |Y| = r = 4$ is the best possible in Theorem 3.1. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$ and $Y_2 = \{y_1, y_2\}$. Then $\kappa'(G - Y_2) = 2$. The reduction of $(G - Y_2)_X$ is $K_{2,3}$ in which one degree two vertex is not a trivial contraction. Thus, $\kappa'(G - Y_2) \ge 3$ is necessary in Corollary 3.2. This graph G has no spanning Eulerian subgraph H with $X \subseteq E(H)$ and $Y_2 \cap E(H) = \emptyset$.

4. Spanning Eulerian Trails

Let G be a graph and let $X \subseteq E(G)$ and $Y \subseteq E(G)$ with $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ and $|X| + |Y| \leq r$. In this section, we present the result on the minimum edgeconnectivity of G such that G has a spanning Eulerian subgraph or spanning (u,v) -trail (or (e_1, e_2) -trail) H for any $u, v \in V(G)$ (or any $e_1, e_2 \in E(G)$) such that $X \subseteq E(H)$ and $Y \cap E(H) = \emptyset$.

The following property of an Eulerian graph will be needed: **Eulerian property**. A connected graph G is Eulerian if and only if the cardinality of every minimum edge-cut of G is even.

Theorem 4.1. Let $r \geq 3$. For a graph G, let $X \subseteq E(G)$ and $Y \subseteq E(G)$ which satisfy the following

$$X \cap Y = \emptyset, \ |Y| \le \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor, \ |X \cup Y| = |X| + |Y| \le r.$$

$$\tag{7}$$

Then each of the following holds:

- (a) For any X and Y satisfying (7) G has a spanning Eulerian subgraph H such that $X \subseteq E(H)$ and $Y \cap E(H) = \emptyset$ if and only if G is (r+1)-edge-connected.
- (b) For any X and Y satisfying (7) and for any u and v in V(G) G has a spanning (u, v)-trail T such that $X \subseteq E(T)$ and $Y \cap E(T) = \emptyset$ if and only if G is (r + 1)-edge-connected.

Proof. We prove the necessary condition first. Suppose that $\kappa'(G) = r$. Let E_0 be an edge-cut of G with $|E_0| = r$. Let H_1 and H_2 be two components of $G - E_0$. If r is even, choose an edge e in E_0 and let $Y = \{e\}$, and let $X = E_0 - Y$. If r is odd, then let $Y = \emptyset$ and $X = E_0$. Then |X| + |Y| = r and |X| is odd. If G has a spanning Eulerian subgraph H such that $X \subseteq E(H)$ and $Y \cap E(H) = \emptyset$, then H has an odd minimum edge cut X which separates induced subgraphs $H[V(H_1)]$ and $H[V(H_2)]$ in H, contrary to the Eulerian property. This shows that G is at least (r+1)-edge-connected.

Next, we will prove the sufficient condition.

Since G is (r + 1)-edge-connected and $r \ge 3$, $\lfloor (r + 1)/2 \rfloor \ge 2$. Then X and Y satisfying (7) will have $|Y| \le \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor \le \lfloor (r+2)/2 \rfloor$ and $|X|+|Y| \le r \le (r+1) + \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor - 2$, which satisfies Theorem 3.1. Therefore, since $\kappa'(G) \ge r+1$ and $|X|+|Y| \le r$, by Theorem 3.1, $(G-Y)_X$ is collapsible. Since $V(G) = V(G-Y) \subseteq V((G-Y)_X)$ and by the collapsibility of $(G-Y)_X, (G-Y)_X$ has a spanning Eulerian subgraph H_s and a spanning (u, v)-trail T_s for any $u, v \in V(G)$. Then each degree two vertex in $(G-Y)_X$ must be in H_s and in T_s . Obviously, $Y \cap E(H_s) = Y \cap E(T_s) = \emptyset$. Let H (or T) be the graph obtained from H_s (or T_s) by replacing each path of length two in $(G-Y)_X$ by its corresponding edge in X. Therefore, G has a spanning Eulerian subgraph H and a (u, v) trail T such that $X \subseteq E(H)$ and $X \subseteq E(T)$, and $Y \cap E(H) = Y \cap E(T) = \emptyset$. The theorem is proved. \Box

If we only consider the existence of spanning Eulerian subgraph, then when $r \ge 4$ and r - |Y| is even, the edge-connectivity of graph G can be reduced to r instead of r + 1 in Theorem 4.1(a).

Theorem 4.2. Let $r \ge 4$. For a graph G, let $X \subseteq E(G)$ and $Y \subseteq E(G)$ such that X and Y satisfy (7), r - |Y| is even and $\kappa'(G - Y) \ge 3$. Then G has a spanning Eulerian subgraph H such that $X \subseteq E(H)$ and $Y \cap E(H) \doteq \emptyset$

for any such X and Y if and only if G is r-edge-connected.

Proof. We prove the necessary condition first. Suppose that G is (r-1)edge connected. Let E_0 be an edge-cut of G with $|E_0| = r - 1$. Let H_1 and H_2 be the two components of $G - E_0$. If $r \ge 4$ is even, choose $Y = \emptyset$. Then $\kappa'(G - Y) = \kappa'(G) \ge r - 1 \ge 3$. If $r \ge 4$ is odd, then $r \ge 5$. Choose an
edge e in E_0 and let $Y = \{e\}$. Then $\kappa'(G - Y) \ge \kappa'(G) - 1 = r - 2 \ge 3$.
Let $X = E_0 - Y$. Then $|X| + |Y| = |E_0| = r - 1$ and r - |Y| is even.
Thus, X and Y are two subsets of E(G) that satisfy all the requirements
in Theorem 4.2. However, if G has a spanning Eulerian subgraph H such
that $X \subset E(H)$ and $Y \cap E(H) = \emptyset$, then H has an odd minimum edge cut
X, contrary to the Eulerian property. Thus, G is at least r-edge-connected.

Next, we will show the sufficient condition. Without loss of generality, we only need to prove the statement for the case |X|+|Y| = r. By Corollary 3.2, either $(G-Y)_X$ is collapsible or the reduction of $(G-Y)_X$ is $(G-Y)'_X = K_{2,t}$ where $r - |Y| \le t \le |X|$. Since |X| + |Y| = r and r - |Y| is even, t = |X| = r + |Y| is even. Therefore, $K_{2,t}$ is an Eulerian graph. By Theorem 2.1, G - Y has spanning Eulerian subgraph. Thus, G - Y has a spanning Eulerian subgraph containing all the vertices of degree two in $(G - Y)_X$, and so G - Y has a spanning Eulerian subgraph containing all the edges in X. The theorem is proved. \Box

The graph of Figure 1 shows that when G is 4-edge-connected, the condition $\kappa'(G-Y) \geq 3$ in Theorem 4.2 is necessary. This theorem also implies that if G is 4-edge-connected, then for any $X \subseteq E(G)$ and $Y \subseteq E(G)$ with $X \cap Y = \emptyset$, $|Y| \leq 2$, $\kappa'(G-Y) \geq 3$ and $|X \cup Y| \leq 4$, G has a spanning Eulerian subgraph H such that $X \subseteq E(H)$ and $Y \cap E(H) = \emptyset$. Let G be the graph defined in Figure 2 below with $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, y_2\}$, where each H_i (i = 1, 2, 3 or 4) is a complete graph K_s $(s \geq 5)$. Obviously, G is 4-edge-connected and G - Y is 3-edge-connected. However, the reduction of $(G - Y)_X$ is not a $K_{2,t}$ graph, and has no spanning Eulerian subgraph containing all the edges in X. Thus, $|X \cup Y| \leq 4$ is the best possible in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 for the case r = 4. We can also show that $|Y| \leq \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor$ is necessary for the case r = 4 or 5 in Theorem 3.1 from this graph by adding an edge between H_1 and H_2 (and an edge between H_3 and H_4 for case r = 5).





Next we consider the edge-connectivity for spanning (e_1, e_2) -trails with prescribed edges.

Lemma 4.3. Let G be a graph and let $e_1, e_2 \in E(G)$ and let $X \subseteq E(G)$. Let $X_1 = X \cup \{e_1, e_2\}$. Let $v(e_1)$ and $v(e_2)$ be the two vertices subdividing e_1 and e_2 , respectively. Then if G_{X_1} is collapsible or has a spanning $(v(e_1), v(e_2))$ -trail, then G has a spanning (e_1, e_2) -trail containing X. **Proof.** It follows from the definitions of collapsibility and G_{X_1} . \Box

The following lemma was proved in [7].

Lemma 4.4 (Chen et al.[7]). Let G be a 3-edge-connected graph. Let $X \subseteq E(G)$ and let $e', e'' \in E(G)$. Let $X_1 = X \cup \{e', e''\}$. Suppose that $G'_{X_1} = K_{2,t}$ where $t \ge 3$. If t > |X|, then G has a spanning (e', e'')-trail H such that $X \subseteq E(H)$.

Using Theorem 3.1, we prove the following result on (e_1, e_2) -trails analogous to Theorem 4.1 which extends Theorem 1.3 [12].

Theorem 4.5. Let $r \geq 3$. For a graph G, let X and Y be the subsets of E(G) such that

 $X \cap Y = \emptyset, |Y| \le \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor, \kappa'(G-Y) \ge 3 \text{ and } |X| + |Y| \le r-1.$ (8)

If G is an (r + 1)-edge-connected graph then G has a spanning (e_1, e_2) -trail H in G for any $e_1, e_2 \in E(G) - (X \cup Y)$ such that $X \subseteq E(H)$ and $Y \cap E(H) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $X_1 = X \cup \{e_1, e_2\}$. Let $(G - Y)_{X_1}$ be the graph obtained from G - Y by subdividing each edge in X_1 . Since $r \ge 3$, $\lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor \ge 2$. Then $|X_1 \cup Y| \le |X \cup Y| + 2 \le r+1 \le (r+1) + \lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor - 2$. By Theorem 3.1, either $(G - Y)_{X_1}$ is collapsible or $(G - Y)_{X_1}$ is contractible to $K_{2,t}$ with $t \ge r$. If $(G - Y)_{X_1}$ is collapsible, then by Lemma 4.3, G - Y has a spanning (e_1, e_2) -trail containing X. If $(G - Y)_{X_1}$ is contractible to $K_{2,t}$ with $t \ge 4$, since $t \ge r > |X|$, by Lemma 4.4, G - Y has a spanning (e_1, e_2) -trail H containing the edges in X. \Box

References

- J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, "Graph Theory with Applications". American Elsevier, New York (1976).
- [2] P. A. Catlin, A reduction method to find spanning eulerian subgraphs. J. Graph Theory 12 (1988) 29-45.
- [3] P. A. Catlin, Edge-connectivity and edge-disjoint spanning trees, Ars Combinatoria, accepted.
- [4] P. A. Catlin, Z. Han, H.-J. Lai, Graphs without spanning closed trails, Discrete Math. 160 (1996) 81–91.
- [5] P. A. Catlin, Superculerian Graphs: A Survey. J. Graph Theory 16 (1992) 177-196
- [6] Z.-H. Chen and H.-J. Lai, Reduction Techniques for Supereulerian Graphs and Related Topics-A Survey, Combinatorics and Graph Theory 95 Vol. 1 (Eds by Ku, Tung-Hsin), World Scientific, Singapore, pp. 53-69
- [7] Z.-H. Chen, W.-Q. Luo, and W.-G. Chen, Spanning Trails containing given edges, Discrete Math. 306 (2006) 87–98
- [8] F. Jaeger, A note on sub-Eulerian graphs, J. Graph Theory 3 (1979) 91-93.
- [9] S. Kundu, Bounds on the number of edge-disjoint spanning trees. J. Combinatorial Theory 7 (1974) 199-203.
- [10] H.-J. Lai, Eulerian subgraphs containing given edges, Discrete Mathematics 230 (2001) 63-69
- [11] V. P. Polesskii, A lower bound for the reliability of information networks. Prob. Inf. Transmission 7 (1961) 165-171.
- [12] S.-M. Zhan, Hamiltonian connectedness of line graphs. Ars Combinatoria 22 (1986) 89-95