

Monika Thol, Muhammad Ali Javed, Elmar Baumhögger, Roland Span,
Jadran Vrabec

Thermodynamic Properties of Dodecamethylpentasiloxane, Tetradecamethylhexasiloxane, and Decamethylcyclopentasiloxane

Journal article | Accepted manuscript (Postprint)

This version is available at <https://doi.org/10.14279/depositonnce-9122>



Thol, M., Javed, M. A., Baumhögger, E., Span, R., & Vrabec, J. (2019). Thermodynamic Properties of Dodecamethylpentasiloxane, Tetradecamethylhexasiloxane, and Decamethylcyclopentasiloxane. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 58(22), 9617–9635. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.9b00608>

Terms of Use

Copyright applies. A non-exclusive, non-transferable and limited right to use is granted. This document is intended solely for personal, non-commercial use.

WISSEN IM ZENTRUM
UNIVERSITÄTSBIBLIOTHEK

Technische
Universität
Berlin

Thermodynamic properties of Dodecamethylpentasiloxane, Tetradecamethylhexasiloxane, and Decamethylcyclopentasiloxane

Monika Thol^{1*}, Muhammad Ali Javed², Elmar Baumhögger³, Roland Span¹, Jadran Vrabec²

¹Lehrstuhl für Thermodynamik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Universitätsstraße 150,
44801 Bochum, Germany

²Thermodynamik und Thermische Verfahrenstechnik, Technische Universität Berlin,
Ernst-Reuter-Platz 1, 10587 Berlin, Germany

³Lehrstuhl für Thermodynamik und Energietechnik, Universität Paderborn, Warburger
Straße 100, 33098 Paderborn, Germany

ABSTRACT

Siloxanes are widely used in the chemical industry and in process and power engineering. For example, since 20 years, they are used as working fluids of organic Rankine cycle power plants. For the process design and optimization, thermodynamic properties, such as enthalpy, entropy, speed of sound, density, and vapor-liquid equilibrium, are required. While the properties of short-chained siloxanes, such as hexamethyldisiloxane (MM) or octamethylcyclotetra-siloxane (D₄), have already been investigated comprehensively, information on thermophysical properties of higher order siloxanes is limited. Therefore, measurements on density and speed of sound in the liquid state of dodecamethylpentasiloxane (MD₃M), tetradecamethylhexasiloxane (MD₄M), and decamethylcyclopentasiloxane (D₅) are presented here. Based on these measurements and other experimental data from the literature, new fundamental equations of state were developed for these three fluids. The equations are based on the Helmholtz energy and, thus, allow for the calculation of any thermodynamic state property by means of derivatives with respect to the natural variables, namely temperature and density. The obtained models also feature a correct extrapolation behavior in regions where no data are available in order to ensure the applicability of the equations to mixture models in the future.

Based on the present equations of state and recently published equations for other siloxanes, the possible belonging of the siloxanes to the group of Bethe-Zel'dovich-Thompson fluids is also investigated.

*E-mail: M.Thol@thermo.ruhr-uni-bochum.de

1 INTRODUCTION

The investigation documented in this paper is part of a comprehensive study on the thermodynamic properties of linear and cyclic siloxanes. Siloxanes are used extensively in chemistry and process engineering, e.g., for hygiene articles, cleaning agents, cosmetics, polishing agents, solvents, and as a petrol additive.¹⁻⁴

Apart from commercial use, there is much scientific interest in accurate properties of siloxanes with regard to the study of non-classical phenomena of gas dynamics. These phenomena are unusual flow features that are predicted to occur in compressible flows of rather complex organic molecules close to saturation and to the vapor-liquid critical point. Such fluids are called Bethe-Zel'dovich-Thompson (BZT) fluids.⁵⁻⁷ In the last decades, the interest in this group of substances grew because they are expected to be suitable working fluids of high-temperature organic Rankine cycles (ORC). Since they possibly belong to the class of BZT fluids, they might allow a particularly efficient operation of such processes.⁸ While short-chained molecules of the siloxane family are used in mainstream ORC power plants, the three siloxanes investigated in this work might be interesting for future applications, such as micro-power plants.^{9,10} Furthermore, siloxane mixtures are considered to be attractive for the mainstream high-temperature power plants.⁹ The main reason for selecting siloxanes as working fluid in ORC processes are high thermal stability, suitable thermodynamic and transport properties, low flammability, low toxicity, availability, and comparatively low costs.^{10,11} Furthermore, siloxanes are components of liquid mixtures that are used as heat transfer fluids in combination with industrial boilers or in solar thermal power plants.^{12,13}

For the efficient design and optimization of processes, thermodynamic properties are required. Such properties are provided by fundamental equations of state, as were developed for these fluids by Colonna *et al.*^{14,15} in 2006 and 2008. In these publications, equations of state in terms of the Helmholtz energy were presented for several linear and cyclic siloxanes. A decade ago, however, experimental information on the thermodynamic properties of these fluids was rather limited so that Colonna *et al.*^{14,15} employed a generalized functional form for non-polar fluids as proposed by Span and Wagner.¹⁶ For the characterization of individual siloxanes, only the coefficients were adjusted to the available experimental data as opposed to optimizing also the functional form. This is a well-established procedure if only limited experimental information about the corresponding fluid is available, but such models provide thermodynamic properties with limited accuracy. In 2011, Abbas *et al.*¹⁷ carried out a comprehensive study on the liquid density of several siloxanes. Based on these results and supplemented by additional measurements on the speed of sound in the liquid state, the generalized equations for hexamethyldisiloxane (MM), octamethyltrisiloxane (MDM), decamethyltetrasiloxane (MD₂M), and octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane (D₄) were superseded by more accurate fluid-specific equations

of state.^{18–20} These models also allow for a much more reliable assessment to determine if these fluids belong to the BZT fluid class. BZT fluids are characterized by negative values of the so-called fundamental derivative of gas dynamics along the saturated vapor curve in the near-critical region, which is a secondary thermodynamic property depending on the variation of the speed of sound with density at constant entropy. For the four siloxanes for which revised equations of state are already available, it was shown that the correlations of Colonna *et al.*^{14,15} deviate from the new experimental speed of sound data by more than 15%. The revised equations of state reproduce these data within approximately 0.3% to 0.5% and, therefore, also provide more reliable information on the fundamental derivative of gas dynamics. Based on these equations, it was shown that MM, MDM, MD₂M, and D₄ do not belong to the BZT fluid class because they exhibit positive values along the entire saturated vapor line up to the critical point.

Experimental data on the liquid density, speed of sound, and vapor pressure are important for the development of this type of equations of state. Furthermore, information on the isobaric heat capacity of ideal-gas states is required to set up the ideal part of the equation of state, which is needed for the calculation of caloric properties. For the siloxanes that are in the focus of this work, only vapor-pressure data and rather inaccurate information on the ideal-gas heat capacities are available in the literature. Therefore, speed of sound measurements presented in this work were measured with the same dual-path pulse-echo system as previously described.^{18–22} Since Abbas *et al.*¹⁷ did not measure the liquid density of these fluids, additional experimental work on this property was required. For this purpose, an Anton Paar vibrating tube densimeter (type DMA HPM) was applied in this work to measure the density of the liquid phase.

Based on these measurements and additional experimental information from the literature, new fluid-specific fundamental equations of state in terms of the Helmholtz energy were developed for dodecamethylpentasiloxane (MD₃M, chemical formula: C₁₂H₃₆O₄Si₅), tetradecamethylhexasiloxane (MD₄M, chemical formula: C₁₄H₄₂O₅Si₆), and decamethylcyclopentasiloxane (D₅, chemical formula: C₁₀H₃₀O₅Si₅). For the sake of completeness, the development of a new equation of state for dodecamethylcyclohexasiloxane (D₆) was also planned. However, it was not possible to obtain a sample with adequate purity at an affordable cost so that measurements of sufficient quality could not be carried out. Hence, for this fluid no improvement regarding its thermodynamic property modeling could be realized in comparison to the model documented by Colonna *et al.*¹⁵

2 DENSITY MEASUREMENTS IN THE LIQUID STATE

An U-shaped vibrating tube densimeter (Anton Paar, DMA HPM) was used to measure the density of the fluids, see Figure 1. This device consists of two main components, a measurement cell with an oscillator and an interface module, which generates and measures the oscillation as well as the cell temperature. The working principle of the densimeter is based on the relation between the density of the fluid and the frequency of its oscillations once it is put into motion by an actuator. Therefore, the density

$$\rho = \rho(T, p, s), \quad (1)$$

is a function of temperature T , pressure p , and oscillation period s . To calculate the density from the measured oscillation period, a calibration method as suggested by Anton Paar²³ was followed. For this purpose, water and ethanol were selected as reference fluids because their density is similar to that of the siloxanes and high quality equations of state are available for these standard fluids.^{24,25}

The oscillation period was fitted over the entire temperature and pressure range with a fourth-order Legendre polynomial with 20 coefficients by considering the individual parameters (T , p , and s) and their possible combinations. After excluding terms with weak contributions or high uncertainties, a third-order equation with 12 coefficients was found

$$\begin{aligned} \rho = & a + b_1\tilde{T} + c_1\tilde{p} + d_1\tilde{s} + b_2 \frac{(3\tilde{T}^2 - 1)}{2} + d_2 \frac{(3\tilde{s}^2 - 1)}{2} + b_1d_1\tilde{T}\tilde{s} + b_1d_2\tilde{T} \frac{(3\tilde{s}^2 - 1)}{2} \\ & + c_1d_2\tilde{p} \frac{(3\tilde{s}^2 - 1)}{2} + d_1b_2\tilde{s} \frac{(3\tilde{T}^2 - 1)}{2} + d_1c_2\tilde{s} \frac{(3\tilde{p}^2 - 1)}{2} + b_1c_1d_1\tilde{T}\tilde{p}\tilde{s}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Coefficients a , b_i , c_i , and d_i (parameters are listed in Table 1 in the Supplementary Material) were adjusted to calibration data of the reference fluids, and \tilde{T} , \tilde{p} , and \tilde{s} are the scaled temperature, pressure, and oscillation period in the sense

$$\tilde{y} = \frac{y_{\text{mean}}}{\text{width}_y}. \quad (3)$$

The siloxanes were purchased with the purities listed in Table 1. In the present work, the sample fluids were degassed by keeping the siloxanes at ambient temperature under vacuum for 24 h. The vapor pressure of the siloxanes is very low so that possible water traces have mostly been mitigated by evacuation.

Table 1. Specification of the siloxanes.

chemical name	short name	CAS no.	chemical formula	purity / %	source
dodecamethylpentasiloxane	MD ₃ M	141-63-9	C ₁₂ H ₃₆ O ₄ Si ₅	99.7	Sigma-Aldrich
tetradecamethylhexasiloxane	MD ₄ M	107-52-8	C ₁₄ H ₄₂ O ₅ Si ₆	>95	CHEMOS
decamethylcyclopentasiloxane	D ₅	541-02-6	C ₁₀ H ₃₀ O ₅ Si ₅	≥96.5	Sigma-Aldrich

To carry out measurements, the evacuated cell was filled with sample fluid. The densimeter was surrounded by a liquid jacket in combination with an external thermostat to ensure a stable measuring temperature within 0.01 K, cf. Figure 1. Water was used for thermostating in the temperature range between 274 K and 364 K. This range is limited to 10 K below the normal boiling point of water in order to avoid damage of the circulating pump or electrical circuits due to excessive evaporation. The cell temperature T and oscillation period s were directly gathered from the DMA HPM built-in interface module with uncertainties of 0.2 K and 0.06 μs , respectively. A hand pump (HIP 50-6-15) was used to specify a pressure of up to 100 MPa. To measure this property, a pressure transducer (Keller PAA-33X) with an uncertainty of 0.04 MPa was used.




Figure 1. Experimental setup for measuring the specific density of the siloxanes.

The overall expanded uncertainty of the density U_ρ was calculated at a confidence level of 95% (coverage factor $k = 2$) from the individual standard uncertainties due to temperature u_T , pressure u_p , oscillation period u_s , and calibration of the measurement cell u_{cal} as

$$U_\rho = 2 \sqrt{\left(\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T} \right)_{p,s} u_T \right)^2 + \left(\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p} \right)_{T,s} u_p \right)^2 + \left(\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial s} \right)_{p,T} u_s \right)^2 + u_{\text{cal}}^2} \quad (4)$$

The partial derivatives of density with respect to temperature and pressure were calculated from the present equations of state, whereas the derivative with respect to the oscillation period was determined from experimental data. The density of the siloxanes was measured along seven isotherms with an overall expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$) below 0.11% for the entire temperature and pressure range. Experimental density data with uncertainties are provided in numerical

form in Tables 2 to 4 in the Supplementary Material. Since the molecular species of the impurities of the samples could not be identified, it was not possible to include their contribution into the uncertainty analysis. However, due to the production process, the main impurities are adjacent species of the actual siloxane.²⁶ Since the thermodynamic properties of these siloxanes are similar (cf. Tables 2 to 4 and 6 to 8 in the Supplementary Material), the actual uncertainty of the present measurements is expected to be only slightly higher than the numbers given in the tables.

3 SPEED OF SOUND MEASUREMENTS

Speed of sound measurements for the siloxanes were performed with a double path-length pulse-echo technique, combined with burst design and signal processing.^{21,22} A X-cut piezoelectric quartz crystal with a resonance frequency of 8 MHz is positioned asymmetrically between two metallic reflectors located at distances of $l_1 \approx 20$ mm and $l_2 \approx 30$ mm. The quartz crystal is excited electrically with a sinusoidal burst signal of eight periods. Consequently, two sound waves are emitted and propagated in opposite directions through the sample fluid. After reflection, they interact with the quartz crystal, which also operates as a receiver of the acoustic signals. These echoes are analyzed with a high-resolution oscilloscope and the propagation time of the two echoes is identified. The speed of sound is calculated as the ratio between the path-length difference and the time of flight, neglecting dispersion and diffraction effects, as

$$w = \frac{2(l_2 - l_1)}{\Delta t}. \quad (5)$$

To determine the path-length difference $\Delta l = 2(l_2 - l_1)$, the acoustic cell was calibrated by means of measurements of the speed of sound in water, for which highly accurate measurements are available.^{27–29} For the calculation of the speed of sound according to Eq. (5), path-length corrections to consider thermal expansion and pressure compression of the acoustic cell were applied.⁵

The acoustic cell was mounted in a pressure vessel, which was surrounded by three copper jackets equipped with electrical heaters for thermostating. The entire setup was placed into a vacuum chamber to suppress convective heat losses. A detailed layout of the experimental rig was already provided by Thol *et al.*³⁰ However, in the present work, more accurate temperature and pressure transducers were employed in the experimental campaign.

Prior to the measurements, the pressure vessel was evacuated for almost two hours. The sample was kept under vacuum at ambient temperature for almost 24 hours, while the vacuum was renewed several times. Subsequently, the degassed sample fluid was filled into the cell. The speed of sound was measured along seven isotherms between 218 K and 500 K. For each isotherm, a hand pump (HIP 50-5.75-30) was used to compress the sample fluid stepwise up to a pressure of 125 MPa and after an equilibration time of one hour a constant pressure level was attained.

The fluid temperature was measured with a PT-25 thermometer (type 162CE Rosemount) located in the wall of the pressure vessel with an estimated uncertainty of 0.04 K. For pressure measurement, three pressure transducers were used (measurement range and uncertainty): Keller PAA-33X (< 10 MPa, $u_p = 0.004$ MPa); Keller PAA-33X (< 100 MPa, $u_p = 0.04$ MPa) and Honeywell TJE (< 200 MPa, $u_p = 0.12$ MPa). The acoustic signals were analyzed with a USB oscilloscope (handyscope HS5) featuring an uncertainty of $u_{\Delta t} = 0.004$ μ s for time measurement. The path-length difference of the acoustic cell was calibrated with an uncertainty of

$u_{\Delta l} = 14 \mu\text{m}$. The overall expanded uncertainty of the speed of sound U_w with a confidence level of 95% (coverage factor, $k = 2$) was calculated from the contributions of individual standard uncertainties due to temperature u_T , pressure u_p , time of flight $u_{\Delta t}$, and path-length difference $u_{\Delta l}$ as

$$U_w = 2 \sqrt{\left(\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial T} \right)_{p, \Delta t, \Delta l} u_T \right)^2 + \left(\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial p} \right)_{T, \Delta t, \Delta l} u_p \right)^2 + \left(\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \Delta t} \right)_{T, p, \Delta l} u_{\Delta t} \right)^2 + \left(\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \Delta l} \right)_{T, p, \Delta t} u_{\Delta l} \right)^2}. \quad (6)$$

The partial derivatives of speed of sound with respect to temperature and pressure were calculated from the present equations of state, whereas the derivatives with respect to time and length were calculated from Eq. (5). Speed of sound data for the siloxanes were measured with an overall expanded uncertainty below 0.08% and are provided in numerical form in Tables 6 to 8 in the Supplementary Material.

Since the molecular species of the impurities of the samples could not be identified, it was not possible to include their contribution into the uncertainty analysis. Thus, the actual uncertainty is expected to be higher than the numbers given in the tables, see section 2.

4 EQUATIONS OF STATE

Modern equations of state are expressed in terms of the Helmholtz energy with temperature T and density ρ being the independent variables. It is common practice to apply a dimensionless form of the Helmholtz energy α reduced by the temperature and the universal gas constant $R = 8.3144598 \text{ J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}$ as recommended by Mohr *et al.*³¹

$$\alpha(\tau, \delta) = \frac{a(T, \rho)}{RT}. \quad (7)$$

Subsequently, it is separated into an ideal part α^o and a residual contribution α^r

$$\alpha(\tau, \delta) = \alpha^o(\tau, \delta) + \alpha^r(\tau, \delta) \quad (8)$$

with $\tau = T_c/T$ and $\delta = \rho/\rho_c$.

The ideal part expresses the contribution of the hypothetical ideal gas, whereas the residual part accounts for the deviation of the real fluid from this idealized concept. The approach of a static rotator and a harmonic oscillator as explained by Span³² considers energetic contributions of translation, rotation, and internal molecular vibrations for the ideal part of Eq. (8). For simplicity, translation and rotation are assumed to be always excited, except at very low temperatures ($T \rightarrow 0 \text{ K}$). The temperature dependent vibration modes are represented by the Planck-Einstein terms for the isobaric heat capacity of the ideal gas

$$\frac{c_p^o}{R} = n_0 + \sum_{i=1}^3 m_i \left(\frac{\theta_i}{T} \right)^2 \frac{\exp(\theta_i/T)}{(\exp(\theta_i/T) - 1)^2}. \quad (9)$$

For complex molecules like siloxanes, translation and rotation contribute to the isochoric heat capacity with three degrees of freedom each. With $c_p^o = c_v^o + R$, the temperature independent parameter is $n_0 = 4$. A two-fold integration of Eq. (9) with respect to the temperature yields the ideal-gas Helmholtz energy

$$\alpha^o(\tau, \delta) = c^{\text{II}} + c^{\text{I}}\tau + 3 \ln(\tau) + \ln(\delta) + \sum_{i=1}^3 m_i \ln(1 - \exp(-\theta_i/T_c \tau)). \quad (10)$$

The corresponding parameters are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Parameters of the ideal part of the present equations of state for MD₃M, MD₄M, and D₅ (cf. Eq. (10)).

i	1	2	3	c^{I}	c^{II}
MD ₃ M					
m_i	81.2386	61.191	51.1798	-29.8091965426	68.1167204166
θ_i / K	610	2500	7500		
MD ₄ M					
m_i	97.16	69.73	38.43	-39.5537611892	88.1018724545
θ_i / K	610	2480	6400		

i	1	2	3	c^I	c^{II}
	D ₅				
m_i	51.0	57.9	35.0	-31.1102222402	94.3892428631
θ_i / K	221	1733	4544		

The integration constants c^I and c^{II} are specified such that enthalpy and entropy are zero at the normal boiling point.

The parameters of the ideal-gas contribution (m_i and θ_i) are usually adjusted to either measured or theoretically determined values for the isobaric ideal-gas heat capacity. For the siloxanes investigated in this work, the only ideal-gas isobaric heat capacity data available in the literature are those of Nannan and Colonna,^{33,34} which were determined by means of ab initio calculations in case of the linear siloxanes³³ and low-pressure speed of sound measurements in case of D₅.³⁴ For the ab initio calculations, they state an uncertainty of less than 6% without any further information. Since no other data are available for comparison, a reliable statement on their accuracy is difficult. However, these data were published together with results for other linear and cyclic siloxanes, such as MM and D₄. During the development of the fundamental equations of state for MM and D₄, Thol *et al.*^{18,19} showed that uncertainties of approximately 3% are more likely when comparing to other literature data, which is well within the stated uncertainty of Nannan and Colonna.³³ Because the method employed for MM and D₄ was the same as for the higher-order siloxanes investigated in this work, a similar uncertainty of the data is expected here. Similar to our previous work,^{18–20} not only ideal-gas heat capacity data were used to adjust the parameters of the model but also data for the speed of sound and isobaric heat capacity beyond the ideal-gas limit. Furthermore, the temperature independent contribution $c_p^o = 4R$ in the low-temperature limit and the asymptotic course of the c_p^o equation at very high temperatures were constrained. The results are shown in Figure 2.

The isobaric heat-capacity data of the ideal gas of the two linear siloxanes are represented within 0.3%, except for one outlier of MD₃M at $T = 700$ K. The data of D₅ are reproduced within 0.9% for temperatures $T \geq 400$ K. Data at lower temperatures deviate by up to 3.9%, but fitting these values at low temperatures more accurately would negatively affect values pertaining the remaining temperature range and the speed of sound. Considering an estimated uncertainty of 3% to 6%, the data might generally be overfitted and the expected uncertainty of isobaric heat-capacity data of the ideal gas calculated with the present equations of state is in the same range as the uncertainty of the underlying data.




Figure 2. Percentage deviations of the isobaric heat-capacity data of the ideal gas of Nannan and Colonna³³ from the present equations of state for MD₃M, MD₄M, and D₅. Deviations with respect to the equations of state of Colonna *et al.*^{14,15} are shown for comparison.

The residual part consists of three types of alpha terms. The polynomial α_{Pol}^r and exponential α_{Exp}^r terms are sufficient to accurately represent thermodynamic properties in the entire fluid region except for the region in the vicinity of the critical point. For the description of this region, Gaussian bell-shaped^{35,36} α_{GBS}^r and non-analytic terms^{24,37} were introduced. Non-analytic terms are needed in order to correctly model the divergence of the isochoric heat capacity and vanishing speed of sound at the critical point. However, the use of these terms requires a comprehensive and highly accurate dataset in the critical region, which is not available for the siloxanes investigated in this work. Furthermore, these terms may cause numerical problems when being applied to mixture models. Therefore, the functional form adopted here for D₅, MD₃M, and MD₄M is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha^r(\tau, \delta) &= \alpha_{\text{Pol}}^r(\tau, \delta) + \alpha_{\text{Exp}}^r(\tau, \delta) + \alpha_{\text{GBS}}^r(\tau, \delta) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^5 n_i \delta^{d_i} \tau^{t_i} + \sum_{i=6}^{10} n_i \delta^{d_i} \tau^{t_i} \exp(-\delta^{p_i}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=11}^{15} n_i \delta^{d_i} \tau^{t_i} \exp\left[-\eta_i (\delta - \varepsilon_i)^2 - \beta_i (\tau - \gamma_i)^2\right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

For all siloxanes, five terms of each type were used and the corresponding parameters are listed in Tables 3 to 5. Test values for computer implementation are provided in Table 6. The parameters were determined with the help of a non-linear fitting algorithm provided by the National

Institute of Standards and Technology.^{38,39} In addition to experimental measurements, this algorithm allows for the application of various constraints ensuring the correct and consistent thermodynamic behavior of fluids also in regions where experimental data are not available. Further information is provided in Refs.^{38,40–43}

Table 3. Parameters of the residual part of the present equation of state for dodecamethylpentasiloxane (MD₃M), cf. Eq. (11).

i	n_i	t_i	d_i	p_i	η_i	β_i	γ_i	ε_i
1	0.040674325	1.000	4					
2	4.4936509	0.370	1					
3	-6.0327468	0.718	1					
4	-1.0842396	0.790	2					
5	0.65985153	0.590	3					
6	-2.3011802	2.380	1	2				
7	-1.5022099	3.140	3	2				
8	0.5051725	0.620	2	1				
9	-2.2363839	2.080	2	2				
10	-0.071582853	1.042	7	1				
11	4.7053488	0.900	1		1.043	0.860	1.357	0.725
12	-0.774783117	0.860	1		20.00	1099	1.097	0.940
13	-0.68302991	2.060	3		1.080	0.950	1.030	0.546
14	0.41657104	0.550	2		0.470	0.100	1.020	0.680
15	-1.1441135	0.690	2		1.085	1.850	0.800	0.495

Table 4. Parameters of the residual part of the present equation of state for tetradecamethylhexasiloxane (MD₄M), cf. Eq. (11).

i	n_i	t_i	d_i	p_i	η_i	β_i	γ_i	ε_i
1	0.053362183	1.000	4					
2	2.8527871	0.300	1					
3	-3.8108356	0.680	1					
4	-0.95254215	0.913	2					
5	0.44739021	0.434	3					
6	-2.5194015	2.330	1	2				
7	-1.2945338	2.700	3	2				
8	0.43538523	0.610	2	1				
9	-0.92015738	2.120	2	2				
10	-0.054299195	1.121	7	1				
11	4.6112643	1.130	1		0.810	0.526	1.340	0.977
12	-0.58630821	0.700	1		17.30	700.0	1.108	0.920
13	-0.7391977	2.550	3		0.892	0.720	1.190	0.650
14	-0.14001997	2.590	2		0.820	0.056	1.680	1.060
15	-1.8085327	1.070	2		0.847	1.300	0.860	0.659

Table 5. Parameters of the residual part of the present equation of state for decamethylcyclopentasiloxane (D₅), cf. Eq. (11).

i	n_i	t_i	d_i	p_i	η_i	β_i	γ_i	ε_i
1	0.0177345	1.000	4					
2	4.3133088	0.430	1					
3	-6.1586863	0.754	1					
4	-1.4503945	0.840	2					
5	0.9519342	0.720	3					
6	-2.3848036	2.350	1	2				
7	-1.4114529	2.580	3	2				
8	0.7255071	0.660	2	1				
9	-2.9966803	1.710	2	2				
10	-0.0902228	1.0163	7	1				
11	6.3033323	1.114	1		1.046	0.37	1.626	0.787
12	-1.0592923	1.850	3		0.993	0.11	1.050	0.567
13	0.79365281	0.900	2		0.545	0.10	1.110	0.685
14	-1.8982515	1.050	2		1.128	0.37	1.220	0.577
15	-0.01351964	1.090	1		13.90	519	1.083	0.936

Table 6. Test values for computer implementation. The number of digits does not refer to the accuracy of the data, but allows to numerically verify the correct implementation of the models.

T	ρ	p	h	s	w	a
/ K	/ (mol·dm ⁻³)	/ MPa	/ (J·mol ⁻¹)	/ (J·mol ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹)	/ (m·s ⁻¹)	/ (J·mol ⁻¹)
MD₃M						
300	2.4	56.5643398	-133761.828	-403.543152	1241.26649	-36267.3571
390	0.0005	0.0016139843	-31595.5295	-48.7220551	92.0127172	-15821.8965
450	0.003	0.0110320958	7104.19531	27.6618505	97.5667091	-9021.00267
450	2.0	18.3032601	-38524.8786	-101.224710	728.435652	-2125.38904
600	2.0	70.6395352	100062.177	113.577309	902.133167	-3403.97539
MD₄M						
280	2.1	70.8719158	-199382.667	-595.997052	1346.73495	-66252.0236
420	0.0005	0.0017375886	-46438.0435	-75.4013910	87.2885442	-18244.6365
500	0.01	0.0391881825	17451.7844	38.3367562	90.1871428	-5635.41188
500	1.8	67.169626	-10913.2743	-99.7222037	982.279594	1631.36868
650	1.5	31.6991170	127131.508	178.458722	637.354433	-9999.40628
D₅						
290	2.7	36.3487297	-122272.731	-359.629958	1151.09861	-31442.5359
390	0.001	0.0032226439	-14185.4999	-14.0834572	93.6614237	-11915.5955
450	0.01	0.0358844583	20404.0115	48.6842603	97.0959266	-5092.35152
450	2.5	77.0798056	-4880.23864	-81.6230026	1044.97883	1018.19028
650	1.8	14.8882334	129408.704	215.447596	415.207142	-18903.4744

Table 7. Fluid-specific thermodynamic properties of MD₃M, MD₄M, and D₅. If no reference is given, the property was calculated in this work. Ad hoc critical-point measurements of siloxanes are not reported in the literature and are extremely difficult to obtain. The extrapolated critical temperatures reported by Flaningam⁴⁴ ($T_{c,MD3M} = 627.61$ K, $T_{c,MD4M} = 653.16$ K, and $T_{c,D5} = 619.11$ K) were used as initial values for the fit and slightly adjusted so that the equations of state optimally match with the underlying experimental data.

Property	Unit	MD ₃ M	Ref.	MD ₄ M	Ref.	D ₅	Ref.
Critical temperature T_c	K	628		653.2	⁴⁴	618.3	
Critical density ρ_c	mol·dm ⁻³	0.7		0.57		0.81	
Critical pressure p_c	kPa	953.95		828.56		1077.7	
Normal-boiling point temperature T_B	K	503.02		532.85		484.10	
Triple-point temperature T_{tr}	K	192	⁴⁵	214.15	⁴⁵	224.65	⁴⁶
Liquid triple-point density at saturation $\rho_{tr,liq}$	mol·dm ⁻³	2.533		2.111		2.790	
Molar mass M	g·mol ⁻¹	384.839	⁴⁷	458.9933	⁴⁷	370.7697	⁴⁷

Several thermodynamic properties of special interest are listed in Table 7.

The computational time required to obtain vapor-liquid equilibrium properties can be greatly reduced if initial values for the calculations are computed with so-called ancillary equations. Such equations for the fluids under scrutiny are

$$\ln\left(\frac{p_v}{p_c}\right) = \left(\frac{T_c}{T}\right) \sum_{i=1}^5 n_i \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right)^{t_i}, \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{\rho'}{\rho_c} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^5 n_i \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right)^{t_i}, \quad (13)$$

and

$$\ln\left(\frac{\rho''}{\rho_c}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^6 n_i \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right)^{t_i}. \quad (14)$$

Since these equations are used to reduce the calculation time of saturation properties from the fundamental equations of state, the parameters are not adjusted to experimental data but to the corresponding equations of state. In this way, starting values for the iterative determination of the vapor pressure and saturation densities can be provided very close to the correct solution. The parameters are listed in Table 8 and deviations from the values obtained with the Helmholtz-based thermodynamic models are illustrated in Figure 3.

Table 8. Parameters of the ancillary equations for vapor pressure, saturated liquid density, and saturated vapor density for MD₃M, MD₄M, and D₅.

i	Vapor pressure, Eq. (12)		Saturated liquid density, Eq. (13)		Saturated vapor density, Eq. (14)	
	n_i	t_i	n_i	t_i	n_i	t_i
MD₃M						
1	-9.6774	1	3.326	0.42	-4.0084	0.441
2	3.973	1.5	3.889	1.46	-7.913	1.244
3	-3.701	1.83	-2.363	0.9	-79.392	5.88
4	-9.1232	3.54	-2.709	2.15	-28.572	3.03

i	Vapor pressure, Eq. (12)		Saturated liquid density, Eq. (13)		Saturated vapor density, Eq. (14)	
	n_i	t_i	n_i	t_i	n_i	t_i
5	-5.467	11.9	1.325	3.15	-211.86	12.7
6					-1800	32
MD₄M						
1	-9.6745	1.0	-0.034315	0.14	-3.316	0.432
2	1.3742	1.5	4.0324	0.45	-8.324	1.085
3	0.71467	2.2	-2.7980	0.84	-184.27	3.78
4	-12.967	3.2	2.2450	1.28	350.11	4.42
5	-7.201	11.4	0.41085	7.92	-287.08	5.1
6					-267.25	13.0
D₅						
1	-9.256	1	1.0938	0.25	-0.916	0.23
2	3.987	1.5	5.254	0.79	-5.911	0.68
3	-11.02	2.24	-12.31	1.33	-18.617	2.24
4	-19.286	3.48	19.364	1.9	-74.29	5.1
5	16.524	2.86	-15.81	2.52	-154.4	10.7
6	-8.14	11.6	5.983	3.22	-284.1	18.9




Figure 3. Percentage deviations of the ancillary equations for vapor pressure and saturation densities from the present equations of state for MD₃M, MD₄M, and D₅. The solid curve represents the vapor pressure, whereas the dashed and dashed-dotted curves illustrate the saturated liquid and vapor densities, respectively.

5 COMPARISON WITH LITERATURE DATA

In order to evaluate the capability of the obtained equations of state, values computed with the models were compared with experimental data reported in the literature in terms of relative deviations according to

$$\Delta X = 100 \frac{x_{\text{DATA}} - x_{\text{EOS}}}{x_{\text{DATA}}}, \quad (15)$$

where x is an arbitrary thermodynamic property. In addition to a graphical analysis, a statistical evaluation was carried out by means of the average absolute relative deviation (AAD)

$$\text{AAD} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N |\Delta X_i|, \quad (16)$$

where N is the number of data points of the individual datasets. It is common practice to report the AAD depending on the phase or the temperature/density ranges. However, since the database of measured properties is rather limited, only an overall AAD for each dataset is given in Table 9.

Table 9. Average absolute relative deviations (AAD / %) of experimental data calculated with the present equations of state, where N is the number of data points.

Author	Year	Fluid	N	$T_{\min} - T_{\max} / \text{K}$	$p_{\min} - p_{\max} / \text{MPa}$	AAD / %
Isobaric heat capacity of the ideal gas						
Nannan & Colonna ³³	2009	MD ₃ M	10	298 - 1200		0.30
		MD ₄ M	10	298 - 1200		0.12
		D ₅	10	298 - 1200		0.95
Vapor pressure						
Abbas <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷	2011	D ₅	19	329 - 484		2.6
Fischer & Weiss ⁴⁸	1986	D ₅	1	489.96		1.3
Flaningam ⁴⁴	1986	MD ₃ M	15	395 - 516		0.051
		MD ₄ M	11	449 - 546		0.22
		D ₅	8	383 - 497		0.18
Hurd ⁴⁹	1946	D ₅	1	483.01		2.5
Lei <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁰	2010	MD ₄ M	1	298.15		8.8
		D ₅	1	298.15		5.4
Mills & MacKenzie ⁵¹	1954	D ₅	1	375.12		3.0
Palczewska-Tulinska & Oracz ⁵²	2005	D ₅	23	348 - 480		8.9
Stull ⁵³	1947	MD ₃ M	10	329 - 494		21.0
		MD ₄ M	10	346 - 519		35.0
Tanaka ⁵⁴	1960	D ₅	1	353.12		16.0
Thompson ⁵⁵	1953	MD ₃ M	1	501.66		3.8
Waterman <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁶	1958	MD ₃ M	1	501.46		1.4
		MD ₄ M	1	414.53		4.4
		D ₅	1	484.36		0.21

Author	Year	Fluid	N	$T_{\min} - T_{\max} / \text{K}$	$p_{\min} - p_{\max} / \text{MPa}$	AAD / %
Wilcock ⁵⁷	1946	MD ₃ M	2	390 - 503		1.5
		D ₅	2	374 - 484		2.0
Density						
This work	2019	MD ₃ M	50	273 - 358	< 91	0.053
		MD ₄ M	50	273 - 358	< 91	0.025
		D ₅	50	273 - 358	< 91	0.038
Fischer & Weiss ⁴⁸	1986	D ₅	1	298.19	0.1	0.093
Fox <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁸	1947	MD ₃ M	1	298.14	0.101325	0.63
		MD ₄ M	1	293.14	0.101325	0.062
Golik & Cholpan ⁵⁹	1961	MD ₃ M	1	303.13	0.101325	0.29
Hunter <i>et al.</i> ⁶⁰	1946	MD ₃ M	1	298.14	0.101325	0.12
		MD ₄ M	1	298.14	0.101325	0.065
Hurd ⁴⁹	1946	MD ₃ M	5	272 - 354	0.101325	0.059
		MD ₄ M	5	273 - 354	0.101325	0.071
		D ₅	5	273 - 354	0.101325	0.072
McLure & Barbarin-Castillo ⁶¹	1994	D ₅	15	302 - 452	0.101325	0.085
McLure <i>et al.</i> ⁶²	1977	MD ₃ M	16	297 - 409	0.101325	0.019
		MD ₄ M	19	293 - 412	0.101325	0.11
Mills & MacKenzie ⁵¹	1954	D ₅	2	293 - 302	0.101325	0.063
Palczewska-Tulinska & Oracz ⁵²	2005	D ₅	23	295 - 454	0.101325	0.21
Patnode & Wilcock ⁶³	1946	D ₅	1	293.14	0.101325	0.018
Povey <i>et al.</i> ⁶⁴	2003	MD ₃ M	1	239.15	0.101325	0.022
		MD ₄ M	1	239.15	0.101325	0.51
Schedemann ⁶⁵	2013	D ₅	460	287 - 437	< 130	0.036
Tanaka ⁵⁴	1960	D ₅	1	293.14	0.101325	0.049
Waterman <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁶	1958	MD ₃ M	1	293.14	0.101325	0.002
		MD ₄ M	1	293.14	0.101325	0.15
		D ₅	1	293.14	0.101325	0.055
Weessler ⁶⁶	1949	MD ₃ M	1	303.13	0.101325	0.002
		MD ₄ M	1	303.13	0.101325	0.61
Speed of sound						
This work	2019	MD ₃ M	74	221 - 500	< 125	0.10
		MD ₄ M	57	299 - 500	< 126	0.039
		D ₅	64	249 - 500	< 125	0.12
Golik & Cholpan ⁵⁹	1961	MD ₃ M	1	303.13	0.101325	0.020
Nannan <i>et al.</i> ³⁴	2007	D ₅	40	485 - 511	< 1	0.19
Povey <i>et al.</i> ⁶⁴	2003	MD ₃ M	1	293.15	0.101325	0.23
		MD ₄ M	1	293.15	0.101325	0.26
Waterman <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁶	1958	MD ₃ M	1	293.14	0.101325	0.15
		MD ₄ M	1	293.14	0.101325	0.096
		D ₅	1	293.14	0.101325	0.044
Weessler ⁶⁶	1949	MD ₃ M	2	303 - 324	0.101325	0.18
		MD ₄ M	2	303 - 324	0.101325	0.35

Author	Year	Fluid	N	$T_{\min} - T_{\max} / \text{K}$	$p_{\min} - p_{\max} / \text{MPa}$	AAD / %
Isobaric heat capacity						
Abbas <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷	2011	D ₅	35	273 – 444	0.101325	0.39
McLure ⁶⁷	1977	MD ₃ M	2	303 - 323	0.101325	4.9
		MD ₄ M	2	303 - 324	0.101325	3.1
Palczewska-Tulinska & Oracz ⁵²	2005	D ₅	35	288 - 474	0.101325	0.89

5.1 Vapor Pressure

The available vapor-pressure measurements for the three investigated siloxanes are listed in Table 9, and percentage deviations of the data from values calculated with the present equations of state are shown in Figure 4. For the linear siloxanes, only Flaningam⁴⁴ reported measurements at several temperatures, while other authors provide one single vapor pressure measurement. The data of Stull⁵³ are part of a comprehensive collection of literature data for several hundred fluids and were derived from correlations. Comparisons with experimental data and equations of state for other siloxanes^{18–20} showed huge deviations of the corresponding vapor-pressure data reported by Stull.⁵³ Therefore, these data were not considered during the development of the present equations, but listed for completeness only. Average absolute relative deviations of more than 20% confirm this decision. Therefore, only the data of Flaningam⁴⁴ were used for fitting the vapor-pressure curve. The uncertainties of the temperature and pressure measurements reported by Flaningam⁴⁴ yield a combined expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$) of up to 0.29% for the highest pressure. However, the sample purity of 99% was not considered for the calculation of the uncertainties because no information about the impurities was given. To verify his results, Flaningam⁴⁴ carried out test measurements with water and two other fluids, but no numerical values are provided in the publication. He states an average vapor pressure error of 0.07%. According to his calculation (“average pressure error = $\sum((p_{\text{expl}} - p_{\text{calcd}})/p_{\text{calcd}} \times 100)/N$ ”), Flaningam⁴⁴ did not consider different algebraic signs (positive and negative deviations cancel out each other), which falsifies this value. Therefore, no statement on the uncertainty can be made here. Comparisons of his measurements of hexamethyldisiloxane (MM), which were released in the same publication, with accurate vapor pressure data of Scott *et al.*⁶⁸ show deviations of approximately 0.5%. Therefore, the uncertainty of the vapor pressure obtained with the equations of state of MD₃M, MD₄M, and D₅ are expected to be in the same range. Figure 4 shows that all data are reproduced within 0.34%, which is well within that estimated uncertainty range. For D₅, this estimate is confirmed by the vapor-pressure data point of Waterman *et al.*,⁵⁶ which deviates by -0.2% from the value calculated with the thermodynamic model and from the measurement reported by Flaningam.⁴⁴ In contrast, deviations of 1.4% (MD₃M) and 4.4% (MD₄M) are observed for the linear siloxanes. In case of D₅,

there is an additional comprehensive dataset provided by Abbas *et al.*¹⁷ They carried out a comprehensive study on the thermophysical properties of siloxanes including vapor-pressure measurements on MM, MDM, MD₂M, D₄, and D₅. However, as already discussed in previous publications,^{18–20} it is assumed that their measurements by means of a comparative ebulliometer were carried out inadequately. Systematic deviations of approximately –2% from values calculated with the present equation of state and measurements reported by Flaningam⁴⁴ can be observed. Moreover, extensive scatter of more than 5% is present, which is in line with the observations made in previous works.^{18–20} The same holds for the measurements of Palczewska-Tulińska and Oracz.⁵² As already found for D₄,¹⁹ their data deviate by up to 12% from the present equation of state and the data of Flaningam.⁴⁴




Figure 4. Percentage deviations of experimental vapor-pressure data from values calculated with the present equations of state for MD₃M, MD₄M, and D₅.

Based on this analysis, the expected uncertainty related to vapor pressure calculated with the present equations of state is 0.5% in the temperature region in which experimental data are available, as shown in Figure 4.

5.2 Density

In section 2, new density measurements for the three investigated siloxanes are presented for temperatures between 273 K and 358 K with a maximum pressure of 91 MPa, which were the basic data for the development of the present equations of state. Experimental data from the literature are listed in Table 9. The high-pressure measurements for MD₃M and MD₄M reported here are the only liquid density data at elevated pressures. Figures 5 and 6 show that the data are represented with the present equations of state within 0.1%, except for one state point of MD₃M. Most of the data deviate by less than 0.05% ($AAD_{MD_3M} = 0.053\%$, $AAD_{MD_4M} = 0.025\%$) from the equations. Since these data were not available to Colonna *et al.*,^{14,15} deviations from their thermodynamic models amount up to 1.2% (MD₃M) and 1.8% (MD₄M), particularly at elevated pressures.




Figure 5. Percentage deviations of experimental density data from values calculated with the present equation of state for MD₃M.

For D₅, Schedemann⁶⁵ carried out a comprehensive investigation on density, comprising 460 state points in a temperature range from 287 K to 437 K and pressures of up to 130 MPa. Measurements were performed with an Anton Paar vibrating tube densimeter, but no information was provided about experimental uncertainties. However, since it is the same apparatus as the one later applied by Abbas *et al.*¹⁷ to investigate other siloxanes, a similar uncertainty of 0.1% to 0.15% in the data can be assumed. For further information, see Thol *et al.*²⁰ As illustrated in Figures 7 and 8, the present equation of state represents the data well within this uncertainty (AAD = 0.036%). Only 13 out of 460 state points deviate by more than 0.1%, where the maximum deviation is 0.16%. The measurements carried out in the present work (experimental uncertainty of 0.11%) cover temperatures between the isotherms of Schedemann.⁶⁵ Only the highest isotherm was measured at the same temperature (357 K) in order to directly compare both datasets. The datasets exhibit a systematic offset of approximately 0.05% from each other, which is well within the experimental uncertainties of both measurements. The good agreement is shown in Figure 7. All of our measurements are represented within 0.1% (AAD = 0.038%).





Figure 6. Percentage deviations of experimental density data from values calculated with the present equation of state for MD₄M.



- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| ⊖ Fischer & Weiss (1986) | ⊗ Palczewska-Tulinska & Oracz (2005) | ○ This work |
| ▷ Hurd (1946) | ⊤ Patnode & Wilcock (1946) | ^ Waterman <i>et al.</i> (1958) |
| ☆ McLure & Barbarin-Castillo (1994) | × Schedemann (2013) | ----- Colonna <i>et al.</i> (2006) |
| # Mills & MacKenzie (1954) | ✕ Tanaka (1960) | |

Figure 7. Percentage deviations of experimental density data from values calculated with the present equation of state for D_5 for temperatures $T < 370$ K.





Figure 8. Percentage deviations of experimental density data from values calculated with the present equation of state for D₅ for temperatures $T > 370$ K.

In Figure 9, percentage deviations of density data measured at atmospheric pressure from the present equations of state are depicted. Especially for the linear siloxanes MD₃M and MD₄M, only a few datasets with more than two state points are available. Data at atmospheric pressure were not included in the fitting process. Instead, low pressure data measured in this work and provided by Schedemann⁶⁵ were applied and the atmospheric data were taken for comparison only. For MD₃M, all data except for the single state point of Fox *et al.*⁵⁸ agree within 0.1% and are reproduced by the present equation of state in the same range. The data of McLure *et al.*⁶² deviate by less than 0.04% (AAD = 0.019%), whereas the data of Hurd⁴⁹ exhibit slightly higher deviations of up to 0.1% (AAD = 0.059%). In the case of MD₄M, the data of Hurd⁴⁹ (AAD = 0.071%) are represented with a similar quality as for MD₃M, whereas the data of McLure *et al.*⁶² exhibit a systematic negative offset of up to -0.17% (AAD = 0.11%). Since the data of Hurd⁴⁹ match with the low-pressure data measured in this work, this behavior is assumed to be correct.



- ⊞ Fischer & Weiss (1986)
- Ⓜ Fox *et al.* (1947)
- ^ Golik & Cholpan (1960)
- × Hunter *et al.* (1946)
- ▷ Hurd (1946)
- ☆ McLure & Barbarin-Castillo (1994)
- ◇ McLure *et al.* (1977)
- # Mills & MacKenzie (1954)
- ⊠ Palczewska-Tulinska & Oracz (2005)
- ⊤ Patnode & Wilcock (1946)
- ♣ Povey *et al.* (2003)
- × Schedemann (2013)
- ♣ Tanaka (1960)
- This work
- ⋈ Waterman *et al.* (1958)
- Weessler (1949)
- Colonna *et al.* (2006)
- Colonna *et al.* (2008)

Figure 9. Percentage deviations of experimental density data at atmospheric pressure from values calculated with the present equations of state for MD₃M, MD₄M, and D₅. The pressure of the data from this work and by Schedemann⁶⁵ were measured at pressures slightly above 1 atm, but are nonetheless included in this comparison.

For D₅, there are five different datasets available comprising more than two state points. The data measured in this work and provided by Schedemann⁶⁵ again agree within their experimental uncertainty and are represented within 0.08%, except for one state point of Schedemann⁶⁵ at the highest temperature $T = 436.44$ K. Measurements of McLure and Barbarin-Castillo⁶¹ agree with these two datasets and are, thus, reproduced within 0.15%

(AAD = 0.085%). The data of Hurd⁴⁹ deviate by less than 0.09%, except for one outlier at the lowest temperature $T = 273.15$ K. Only the data of Palczewska-Tulińska and Oracz⁵² (AAD = 0.21%) exhibit a different trend when compared to other measurements and the present equation of state. This is in agreement with the analysis of their data for D₄, where the same course in terms of density deviations could be observed.¹⁹ Therefore, these data are assumed to be less accurate than the other measurements.

Based on this analysis, the expected uncertainty of calculated density data for the three investigated siloxanes are expected to be 0.15% in the liquid region up to 360 K. For D₅, uncertainties increase up to 0.2% between 360 K and 440 K.

5.3 Speed of Sound

Figures 10 to 12 illustrate percentage deviations of experimental speed of sound data from values calculated with the present equation of state. The equations of state of Colonna *et al.*^{14,15} are not shown because they deviate by up to 15% from the present data. For the two linear siloxanes, data are available only for the liquid state. The measurements carried out in this work are the only datasets measured at elevated pressure. Therefore, they were the basic data for the development of the present equations of state. Most of the data are reproduced within the experimental uncertainty specified in section 3. However, this uncertainty does not include the sample purity, which may have a significant impact as was already observed for other siloxanes.^{18–20} A few single measurements are available at atmospheric pressure, but no information about the quality of the data was given. However, all of the data agree within the expected uncertainty of the calculated data of 0.2%.




Figure 10. Percentage deviations of experimental speed of sound data from values calculated with the present equation of state for MD₃M. The experimental uncertainty of the data measured in this work is shown with error bars. Uncertainties related to the sample purity were not considered because no information is available on the impurities, cf. section 3. The equation of Colonna *et al.*¹⁵ is not shown because the corresponding deviations exceed the scale of the plots.




Figure 11. Percentage deviations of experimental speed of sound data from values calculated with the present equation of state for MD₄M. The experimental uncertainty of the data measured in this work is shown with error bars. Uncertainties related to the sample purity were not considered because no information is available on the impurities, cf. section 3. The equation of Colonna *et al.*¹⁴ is not shown because the corresponding deviations exceed the scale of the plots.

For D₅, the representation of the liquid-phase data is similar to that for the linear siloxanes, except for the lowest isotherm. Again, most of the data are reproduced within the experimental uncertainty, which was determined without considering the sample purity. Measurements at 250 K systematically differ from the present equation by 0.6%. It was not possible to fit these data better without deteriorating other properties, especially the density. Therefore, it is assumed that the problem is related to the data rather than the equation. However, since those data are the only ones available in the literature, they were nonetheless included here. The gaseous phase was investigated by Nannan *et al.*³⁴ in a restricted temperature range of 480 K to 510 K in the ideal-gas state. The data are reproduced within 0.35%, except for three state points at $T = 510$ K, which are outliers.

Based on the new measurements presented in this work, the uncertainty of speed of sound data of the liquid is expected to be 0.2% for all three siloxanes. No statement can be made for the gaseous phase of the linear siloxanes. Since the database for D₅ is rather restricted in the gaseous state, the deviations from the data of Nannan *et al.*³⁴ can only be treated as an indication for the accuracy of the present equation of state, but no reliable assessment is possible.




Figure 12. Percentage deviations of experimental speed of sound data from values calculated with the present equation of state for D_5 . The experimental uncertainty of the data measured in this work is shown with error bars. Uncertainties related to the sample purity were not considered because no information is available on the impurities, cf. section 3. The equation of Colonna *et al.*¹⁴ is not shown in the liquid phase because the corresponding deviations exceed the scale of the plots.

5.4 Isobaric Heat Capacity

In comparison to the density and speed of sound, the database for the isobaric heat capacity is scarce, cf. Table 9. The two state points of McLure⁶⁷ for both linear siloxanes were not measured, but numerically extrapolated. There is no information on the accuracy available and significant deviations of those data were already observed for other siloxanes. For D₅, there are two contradictory datasets, those of Abbas *et al.*¹⁷ and Palczewska-Tulińska and Oracz.⁵² As explained in the publication of the equation of state for hexamethyldisiloxane,¹⁸ the main focus was given to the accurate representation of speed of sound data, and the less accurate isobaric heat-capacity data were fitted such that it does not interfere with other properties. The data of Abbas *et al.*¹⁷ are represented within the experimental uncertainty of 1%, whereas the data of Palczewska-Tulińska and Oracz⁵² deviate by up to 2%. This is in line with the results observed for D₄ and other siloxanes, where the data of Palczewska-Tulińska and Oracz⁵² significantly deviate from the equation and other literature data. Based on the data of Abbas *et al.*,¹⁷ expected uncertainties of liquid isobaric heat-capacity values at atmospheric pressure calculated with the present equation of state are 1%.




Figure 13. Percentage deviations of experimental heat-capacity data from values calculated with the present equation of state for D₅. The equation of Colonna *et al.*¹⁴ is not shown because the corresponding deviations exceed the scale of the plot.

6 EXTRAPOLATION BEHAVIOR

For the development of an equation of state, both correct physical and extrapolation behavior are equally important as the accurate representation of experimental data. Especially for fluids with a restricted experimental database, such as the siloxanes investigated in this work, the correct modeling of the physical behavior is essential for the application of the equations in regions and for properties where no experimental information is available. Furthermore, their implementation in mixture models requires a smooth extrapolation behavior to avoid numerical problems in regions outside the range of validity of the equation. The correct description of the thermal behavior (T - p - ρ relation) has been well known for decades, where special focus was given to the thermal virial coefficients, ideal curves, and extreme conditions of temperature, pressure, and density. Over the last 15 years, several thermodynamic quantities including caloric properties, e.g., isochoric heat capacity, speed of sound, phase identification parameter,⁶⁹ or Grüneisen coefficient,⁷⁰ were identified, which are useful for the assessment of the correct physical behavior. A comprehensive overview is presented, e.g., by Lemmon *et al.*,³⁸ Thol *et al.*,⁴¹ or Herrig *et al.*⁷¹ In Figures 14 to 16, only exemplary plots are shown although a full analysis of these properties was carried out for each siloxane investigated in this work. The course of each property follows the correct trend as described by the aforementioned authors. No unreasonable slopes, curvatures, or overhangs can be observed.




Figure 14. Residual isochoric heat capacity (top) and residual Grüneisen parameter (bottom) as a function of temperature along selected isobars for MD₃M.




Figure 15. Phase identification parameter (top) and speed of sound (bottom) as a function of temperature along selected isobars for MD₄M.




Figure 16. Phase identification parameter as a function of density along selected isotherms (top) and ideal curves⁷² (bottom) for D_5 . JI: Joule inversion curve, JT: Joule-Thomson inversion curve, ID: ideal curve, BL: Boyle curve, p_v : vapor-pressure curve.

7 ESTIMATION OF THE FUNDAMENTAL DERIVATIVE OF GAS DYNAMICS

The so-called Bethe-Zel'dovich-Thompson (BZT) fluids are named after the scientists who first postulated them.⁵⁻⁷ For such fluids, theoretically, gas dynamics phenomena are inverted if compared to ideal gases if they occur in a limited thermodynamic region of dense vapor states, close to saturation, and the vapor-liquid critical point. For these fluids and in that thermodynamic region, a secondary thermodynamic property called the fundamental derivative of gas dynamics⁷

$$\Gamma_{\text{GD}} = 1 + \frac{\rho}{w} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \rho} \right)_s = \frac{v^3}{2w^2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial v^2} \right)_s \quad (17)$$

is negative.

For the estimation of the fundamental derivative of gas dynamics, a reliable and accurate equation of state is necessary. One of the reasons why Colonna *et al.*^{14,15} developed fundamental equations in terms of the Helmholtz energy was the study of non-classical gas dynamics. However, these equations were parameterized to an experimental database with a very limited number of state points. As Eq. (17) shows, thermal and caloric properties are required for the calculation of the fundamental derivative of gas dynamics. Thus, for a reliable assessment of this property, a correct description of, e.g., the density and speed of sound is essential. In the present work, new equations of state were developed for three siloxanes, which are based on comprehensive measurements of density and speed of sound. Based on these equations, the fundamental derivative of gas dynamics was evaluated along the saturated vapor line as suggested by Colonna *et al.*,⁸ cf. Figure 17. For MD₃M, the prediction of Γ_{GD} with both equations (Colonna *et al.*¹⁵ and this work) agrees very well. In the case of the two other siloxanes, the absolute minimum is more distinct with the present equations than with the correlations of Colonna *et al.*^{14,15} The fundamental derivative of gas dynamics is negative for all three siloxanes for saturated states close to the critical point. Therefore, these fluids could be used in experiments aimed at proving the existence of non-classical gas dynamics. Furthermore, Figure 18 shows that, for siloxanes belonging to the homologous series, the relation between the minimum value of Γ_{GD} along the saturated vapor line and the molar mass is approximately linear. As known from previous investigations,⁸ the more complex the molecule is (in case of homologous molecules), the lower is the value of Γ_{GD} . Although no experimental data are available in the investigated temperature range, it is assumed that this behavior is indeed present because it is predicted from five entirely independent equations of state.

It can be expected that also in case of cyclic siloxanes a similar linear relation between the fundamental derivative of gas dynamics and the molecular weight exists. However, improved thermodynamic models are available only for D₄¹⁹ and D₅. Γ_{GD} is positive for all vapor states of D₄, while for D₅ a small region in which Γ_{GD} is negative is predicted by the model presented in this work.




Figure 17. Fundamental derivative of gas dynamics as a function of temperature along the saturated vapor line of MD₃M, MD₄M, and D₅.




Figure 18. Fundamental derivative of gas dynamics as a function of temperature along the saturated vapor line (top) and as a function of molar mass (bottom) for linear siloxanes.

8 CONCLUSION

New measurements of the liquid density and speed of sound are presented over a wide temperature and pressure range for dodecamethylpentasiloxane (MD₃M), tetradecamethylhexasiloxane (MD₄M), and decamethylcyclopentasiloxane (D₅). Based on these data and on other experimental information from the literature, new substance-specific fundamental equations of state in terms of the Helmholtz energy were developed. They can be used to calculate all thermodynamic properties from one correlation for each fluid. The thermodynamic models are valid from the triple point temperature of each fluid up to approximately the critical temperature of each fluid ($T_{\max} = 630$ K for MD₃M and D₅ as well as $T_{\max} = 655$ K for MD₄M). The expected uncertainties of liquid density calculated with the present equations of state are 0.15%, whereas those of the speed of sound in the liquid phase is expected to be 0.2%. The uncertainty of vapor pressure estimations between 380 K and 550 K is 0.5% for all fluids. The accuracy of other properties cannot be assessed due to database limitations. In addition to the best representation of all available experimental data, special attention was paid to the reliable physical and extrapolation behavior of the model. That behavior was continuously monitored during the development of the equations of state and ensured by considering several thermodynamic properties, such as ideal curves, extreme values of thermal properties, heat capacities, speed of sound, etc.

The accuracy of the estimation of caloric properties and of homogeneous liquid density was significantly improved if compared to the equations of state of Colonna *et al.*^{14,15} through the use of new measurements of these properties. The present models allow, therefore, a more accurate estimation of the values of the fundamental derivative of gas dynamics, which is of paramount importance for the study of non-classical gas dynamic effects. According to these models, MD₃M, MD₄M, and D₅ feature a thermodynamic region in the dense vapor phase where the fundamental derivative of gas dynamics is predicted to be negative.

In the Supplementary Material, fluid files for the use in the software packages TREND⁷³ and REFPROP⁷⁴ are provided.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank M. König and Dr. E. W. Lemmon for their support during the development of the equations of state.

Supporting Information Available

Text files containing the parameters of the equations are available. For the use in TREND⁷³ or REFPROP,⁷⁴ they have to be renamed into MD3M.FLD, MD4M.FLD, and D5.FLD.

9 REFERENCES


- (1) Owen, M. J. Elastomers: Siloxane. In *Encyclopedia of materials: Science and technology*; Buschow, K. H. J., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2001; pp 2480–2482.
- (2) CES - Silicones Europe. Applications of Siloxanes. <https://www.silicones.eu/> (accessed March 20, 2019).
- (3) Wacker Chemie AG. Elastomere, Kunststoffe & Composites. Silicone: Verbindungen und Eigenschaften. https://www.wacker.com/cms/media/publications/downloads/6415_DE.pdf (accessed March 20, 2019).
- (4) KEMI Swedish Chemical Agency. Characteristics of Siloxanes. <http://webapps.kemi.se/kemistat/start.aspx?sprak=e>. (accessed March 20, 2019).
- (5) Zel'dovich, Y. B. *Theory of Shock Waves and Introduction to Gas Dynamics*; Izdatel'stvo Akademii Nauk SSSR: Moscow, 1946.
- (6) Bethe, H. A. *On the Theory of Shock Waves for an Arbitrary Equation of State* Report No. 545, 1942.
- (7) Thompson, P. A. A Fundamental Derivative in Gasdynamics. *Phys. Fluids* **1971**, *14*, 1843–1849.
- (8) Colonna, P.; Guardone, A.; Nannan, N. R. Siloxanes: A New Class of Candidate Bethe-Zel'dovich-Thompson Fluids. *Phys. Fluids* **2007**, *19*, 086102.
- (9) Colonna, P.; Casati, E.; Trapp, C.; Mathijssen, T.; Larjola, J.; Turunen-Saaresti, T.; Uusitalo, A. Organic Rankine Cycle Power Systems: From the Concept to Current Technology, Applications, and an Outlook to the Future. *J. Eng. Gas Turbines Power* **2015**, *137*, 100801.
- (10) Bao, J.; Zhao, L. A Review of Working Fluid and Expander Selections for Organic Rankine Cycle. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* **2013**, *24*, 325–342.
- (11) Preißinger, M.; Brüggemann, D. Thermal Stability of Hexamethyldisiloxane (MM) for High-Temperature Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC). *Energies* **2016**, *9*, 183.
- (12) Wang, M.; Wang, J.; Zhao, Y.; Zhao, P.; Dai, Y. Thermodynamic analysis and optimization of a solar-driven regenerative organic Rankine cycle (ORC) based on flat-plate solar collectors. *Appl. Therm. Eng.* **2013**, *50*, 816–825.
- (13) Minea, V. Power generation with ORC machines using low-grade waste heat or renewable energy. *Appl. Therm. Eng.* **2014**, *69*, 143–154.
- (14) Colonna, P.; Nannan, N. R.; Guardone, A.; Lemmon, E. W. Multiparameter Equations of State for Selected Siloxanes. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **2006**, *244*, 193–211.
- (15) Colonna, P.; Nannan, N. R.; Guardone, A. Multiparameter Equations of State for Siloxanes: $[(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{-Si-O}_{1/2}]_2\text{-[O-Si-(CH}_3)_2]_{i=1,\dots,3}$, and $[\text{O-Si-(CH}_3)_2]_6$. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **2008**, *263*, 115–130.
- (16) Span, R.; Wagner, W. Equations of State for Technical Applications. I. Simultaneously Optimized Functional Forms for Nonpolar and Polar Fluids. *Int. J. Thermophys.* **2003**, *24*, 1–39.

- (17) Abbas, R.; Schedemann, A.; Ihmels, C. E.; Enders, S.; Gmehling, J. Measurement of Thermophysical Pure Component Properties for a Few Siloxanes Used as Working Fluids for Organic Rankine Cycles. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2011**, *50*, 9748–9757.
- (18) Thol, M.; Dubberke, F. H.; Rutkai, G.; Windmann, T.; Köster, A.; Span, R.; Vrabec, J. Fundamental Equation of State Correlation for Hexamethyldisiloxane Based on Experimental and Molecular Simulation Data. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **2016**, *418*, 133–151.
- (19) Thol, M.; Rutkai, G.; Köster, A.; Dubberke, F. H.; Windmann, T.; Span, R.; Vrabec, J. Thermodynamic Properties for Octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2016**, *61*, 2580–2595.
- (20) Thol, M.; Dubberke, F. H.; Baumhögger, E.; Vrabec, J.; Span, R. Speed of Sound Measurements and Fundamental Equations of State for Octamethyltrisiloxane and Decamethyltetrasiloxane. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2017**, *62*, 2633–2648.
- (21) Dubberke, F. H.; Baumhögger, E.; Vrabec, J. Burst Design and Signal Processing for the Speed of Sound Measurement of Fluids with the Pulse-echo Technique. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2015**, *86*, 054903.
- (22) Dubberke, F. H.; Rasche, D. B.; Baumhögger, E.; Vrabec, J. Apparatus for the Measurement of the Speed of Sound of Ammonia up to High Temperatures and Pressures. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2014**, *85*, 084901.
- (23) Anton Paar GmbH. *Anton Paar Instruction Manual. DMA HP Density Measuring Cell for High Pressure and High Temperatures*; Graz, Austria, 2012.
- (24) Wagner, W.; Pruss, A. The IAPWS Formulation 1995 for the Thermodynamic Properties of Ordinary Water Substance for General and Scientific Use. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **2002**, *31*, 387–535.
- (25) Schroeder, J. A.; Penoncello, S. G.; Schroeder, J. S. A Fundamental Equation of State for Ethanol. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **2014**, *43*, 043102.
- (26) Rochow, E. G. *Silicium und Silicone: Über steinzeitliche Werkzeuge, antike Töpfereien, moderne Keramik, Computer, Werkstoffe für die Raumfahrt, und wie es dazu kam*; Springer Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Heidelberg, 1991.
- (27) Del Grosso, V. A.; Mader, C. W. Speed of Sound in Pure Water. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **1972**, *52*, 1442–1446.
- (28) Kroebel, W.; Mahrt, K. H. Recent Results of Absolute Sound Velocity Measurements in Pure Water and Sea Water at Atmospheric Pressure. *Acustica* **1976**, *35*, 154–164.
- (29) Fujii, K.-i.; Masui, R. Accurate Measurements of the Sound Velocity in Pure Water by Combining a Coherent Phase-Detection Technique and a Variable Path-Length Interferometer. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **1993**, *93*, 276–282.
- (30) Thol, M.; Dubberke, F. H.; Baumhögger, E.; Span, R.; Vrabec, J. Speed of Sound Measurements and a Fundamental Equation of State for Hydrogen Chloride. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2018**, *63*, 2533–2547.

- (31) Mohr, P. J.; Newell, D. B.; Taylor, B. N. CODATA Recommended Values of the Fundamental Physical Constants: 2014. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **2016**, *45*, 043102.
- (32) Span, R. *Multiparameter Equations of State: An Accurate Source of Thermodynamic Property Data*; Springer: Berlin, 2000.
- (33) Nannan, N. R.; Colonna, P. Improvement on Multiparameter Equations of State for Dimethylsiloxanes by Adopting More Accurate Ideal-Gas Isobaric Heat Capacities: Supplementary to P. Colonna, N.R. Nannan, A. Guardone, E.W. Lemmon, *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **2006**, *244*, 193 (2006). *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **2009**, *280*, 151–152.
- (34) Nannan, N. R.; Colonna, P.; Tracy, C. M.; Rowley, R. L.; Hurly, J. J. Ideal-Gas Heat Capacities of Dimethylsiloxanes from Speed of sound Measurements and ab initio Calculations. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **2007**, *257*, 102–113.
- (35) Haar, L.; Gallagher, J. S.; Kell, G. S. The Anatomy of the Thermodynamic Surface of Water: The Formulation and Comparison with Data. *Proc. 8th Symp. Thermophys. Prop.* **1982**, *8*, 298–302.
- (36) Setzmann, U.; Wagner, W. A New Equation of State and Tables of Thermodynamic Properties for Methane Covering the Range from the Melting Line to 625 K at Pressures up to 100 MPa. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **1991**, *20*, 1061–1155.
- (37) Span, R.; Wagner, W. A New Equation of State for Carbon Dioxide Covering the Fluid Region from the Triple-Point Temperature to 1100 K at Pressures up to 800 MPa. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **1996**, *25*, 1509–1596.
- (38) Lemmon, E. W.; Jacobsen, R. T. A New Functional Form and New Fitting Techniques for Equations of State with Application to Pentafluoroethane (HFC-125). *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **2005**, *34*, 69–108.
- (39) Lemmon, E. W. *Numerical Fitting Algorithm for the Development of Equations of State*. personal communication, 2018.
- (40) Lemmon, E. W.; Overhoff, U.; McLinden, M. O.; Wagner, W. Equation of State for Propylene. *to be published in J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **2019**.
- (41) Thol, M.; Rutkai, G.; Köster, A.; Span, R.; Vrabec, J.; Lustig, R. Equation of State for the Lennard-Jones Fluid. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **2016**, *45*, 023101.
- (42) Gao, K.; Wu, J.; Zhang, P.; Lemmon, E. W. A Helmholtz Energy Equation of State for Sulfur Dioxide. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2016**, *61*, 2859–2872.
- (43) Thol, M.; Lemmon, E. W. Equation of State for the Thermodynamic Properties of trans-1,3,3,3-Tetrafluoropropene [R1234ze(E)]. *Int. J. Thermophys.* **2016**, *37*, 28.
- (44) Flaningam, O. L. Vapor Pressures of Poly(dimethylsiloxane) Oligomers. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1986**, *31*, 266–272.
- (45) Rowley, R. L.; Wilding, W. V.; Oscarson, J.; Yang, Y.; Zuendel, N.; Daubert, T.; Danner, R. *DIPPR Data Compilation of Pure Chemical Properties*; Taylor & Francis Publishing Company: New York, 2004.

- (46) Alvik, T.; Dale, J.; Fernholt, L.; Hedberg, K.; Schaumburg, K.; Ehrenberg, L. On the Lack of Conformational Preferences for Cyclic Dimethylsiloxane Oligomers. *Acta Chem. Scand.* **1971**, *25*, 2131–2141.
- (47) Wieser, M. E.; Berglund, M. Atomic Weights of the Elements 2007 (IUPAC Technical Report). *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2009**, *81*, 2131–2156.
- (48) Fischer, J.; Weiss, A. Transport properties of liquids. V. Self diffusion, viscosity, and mass density of ellipsoidal shaped molecules in the pure liquid phase. *Berichte der Bunsengesellschaft für physikalische Chemie* **1986**, 896–905.
- (49) Hurd, C. B. Studies on Siloxanes: I. The Specific Volume and Viscosity in Relation to Temperature and Constitution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1946**, *68*, 364–370.
- (50) Lei, Y. D.; Wania, F.; Mathers, D. Temperature-Dependent Vapor Pressure of Selected Cyclic and Linear Polydimethylsiloxane Oligomers. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2010**, *55*, 5868–5873.
- (51) Mills, A. P.; MacKenzie, C. A. The Application of Bond Parachors to Organosilicon Chemistry. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1954**, *76*, 2672–2673.
- (52) Palczewska-Tulińska, M.; Oracz, P. Selected Physicochemical Properties of Hexamethylcyclotrisiloxane, Octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane, and Decamethylcyclopentasiloxane. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2005**, *50*, 1711–1719.
- (53) Stull, D. R. Vapor Pressure of Pure Substances: Organic Compounds. *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **1947**, *39*, 517–540.
- (54) Tanaka, T. Heats of Formation of Lower Members of Dimethyl- and Methylisopropoxycyclopolysiloxanes. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1960**, *33*, 282–286.
- (55) Thompson, R. 392. Heats of Combustion and Formation of Some Linear Polydimethylsiloxanes; the Si–C and Si–O Bond-Energy Terms. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1953**, 1908–1914.
- (56) Waterman, H. I.; van Herwijnen, W. E. R.; Den Hartog, H. W. Statistical-Graphical Survey of Series of Linear and Cyclic Dimethylsiloxanes. *J. Appl. Chem.* **1958**, *8*, 625–631.
- (57) Wilcock, D. F. Vapor Pressure-Viscosity Relations in Methylpolysiloxanes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1946**, *68*, 691–696.
- (58) Fox, H.; Taylor, P.; Zisman, W. Polyorganosiloxanes...: Surface Active Properties. *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **1947**, *39*, 1401–1409.
- (59) Golik, A.; Cholpan, P. F. Investigation of Speed of Sound for Some Polysiloxanes. *Akusticheskij Zhurnal* **1961**, *7*, 33–39.
- (60) Hunter, M. J.; Hyde, J. F.; Warrick, E. L.; Fletcher, H. J. Organo-Silicon Polymers. The Cyclic Dimethyl Siloxanes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1946**, *68*, 667–672.
- (61) McLure, I. A.; Barbarin-Castillo, J. M. Orthobaric Liquid Densities for Octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane, Decamethylcyclopentasiloxane, Dimethicone 20, and a Cyclic Poly(dimethylsiloxane). *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1994**, *39*, 12–13.

- (62) McLure, I. A.; Pretty, A. J.; Sadler, P. A. Specific Volumes, Thermal Pressure Coefficients, and Derived Quantities of Five Dimethylsiloxane Oligomers from 25 to 140 °C. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1977**, *22*, 372–376.
- (63) Patnode, W.; Wilcock, D. F. Methylpolysiloxanes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1946**, *68*, 358–363.
- (64) Povey, M. J. W.; Hindle, S. A.; Kennedy, J. D.; Stec, Z.; Taylor, R. G. The Molecular Basis for Sound Velocity in n-Alkanes, 1-Alcohols and Dimethylsiloxanes. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2003**, *5*, 73–78.
- (65) Schedemann, A. Weiterentwicklung von thermodynamischen Vorhersagemethoden als Grundlage für die Lösungsmittelauswahl bei der Flüssig-Flüssig-Extraktion und der Absorption. Ph.D. thesis, Carl von Ossietzky Universität, Oldenburg, Germany, 2013.
- (66) Weissler, A. Ultrasonic Investigation of Molecular Properties of Liquids. III. Linear Polymethylsiloxanes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1949**, *71*, 93–95.
- (67) McLure, I. A. Isobaric Heat Capacities of Five Dimethylsiloxane Oligomers at 303.15 and 323.85 K from Sound Velocity and pVT Data. *Thermochim Acta* **1977**, *21*, 153–156.
- (68) Scott, D. W.; Messerly, J. F.; Todd, S. S.; Guthrie, G. B.; Hossenlopp, I. A.; Moore, R. T.; Osborn, A.; Berg, W. T.; McCullough, J. P. Hexamethyldisiloxane: Chemical Thermodynamic Properties and Internal Rotation about the Siloxane Linkage. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1961**, *65*, 1320–1326.
- (69) Venkatarathnam, G.; Oellrich, L. R. Identification of the Phase of a Fluid Using Partial Derivatives of Pressure, Volume, and Temperature without Reference to Saturation Properties: Applications in Phase Equilibria Calculations. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **2011**, *301*, 225–233.
- (70) Arp, V. D.; Persichetti, J. M.; Chen, G.-b. The Grüneisen Parameter in Fluids. *J. Fluids Eng.* **1984**, *106*, 193–201.
- (71) Herrig, S.; Thol, M.; Harvey, A. H.; Lemmon, E. W. A Reference Equation of State for Heavy Water. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **2018**, *47*, 043102.
- (72) Span, R.; Wagner, W. On the Extrapolation Behavior of Empirical Equations of State. *Int. J. Thermophys.* **1997**, *18*, 1415–1443.
- (73) Span, R.; Beckmüller, R.; Eckermann, T.; Herrig, S.; Hielscher, S.; Jäger, A.; Neumann, T.; Pohl, S.; Semrau, B.; Thol, M. *TREND. Thermodynamic Reference and Engineering Data 4.0*; Lehrstuhl für Thermodynamik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum: Bochum, Germany, 2019.
- (74) Lemmon, E. W.; Bell, I. H.; Huber, M. L.; McLinden, M. O. *NIST Standard Reference Database 23: Reference Fluid Thermodynamic and Transport Properties-REFPROP, Version 10.0*; National Institute of Standards and Technology: Gaithersburg, USA, 2018.



Supporting Information to

**Thermodynamic properties of Dodecamethylpentasiloxane, Tetradecame-
thylhexasiloxane, and Decamethylcyclopentasiloxane**

Monika Thol^{1*}, Muhammad Ali Javed², Elmar Baumhögger³, Roland Span¹, Jadran Vrabec²

¹Lehrstuhl für Thermodynamik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Universitätsstraße 150,
44801 Bochum, Germany

²Thermodynamik und Thermische Verfahrenstechnik, Technische Universität Berlin,
Ernst-Reuter-Platz 1, 10587 Berlin, Germany

³Lehrstuhl für Thermodynamik und Energietechnik, Universität Paderborn, Warburger
Straße 100, 33098 Paderborn, Germany

*E-mail: M.Thol@thermo.ruhr-uni-bochum.de

1 DENSITY MEASUREMENTS

Table 1. Parameters of the calibration equation for the density.

Parameter	value	Parameter	value	Parameter	value
a	1199.063	b_1d_1	-14.842	T_{mean}	39.205 °C
b_1	-369.665	b_1d_2	-3.121	width_T	80
c_1	-3.169	c_1d_2	1.977	p_{mean}	458.5 bar
d_1	782.946	d_1b_2	2.129	width_p	470
b_2	-4.659	d_1c_2	0.308	s_{mean}	2674.868 μs
d_2	2.905	$b_1c_1d_1$	-2.795	width_s	60

Table 2. Experimental specific density data for MD₃M.^a

T/K	p/MPa	$\rho/(\text{kg m}^{-3})$	$U_\rho/(\text{kg m}^{-3})$	T/K	p/MPa	$\rho/(\text{kg m}^{-3})$	$U_\rho/(\text{kg m}^{-3})$
273.5	0.25	894	1	313.0	50.15	910	1
273.5	10.05	905	1	313.0	60.14	918	1
273.5	20.02	915	1	313.0	70.24	925	1
273.6	30.02	923	1	313.0	80.49	933	1
273.5	39.95	931	1	313.0	89.86	939	1
273.5	50.11	939	1	332.7	0.40	836	1
273.5	60.04	946	1	332.7	10.11	851	1
273.5	69.99	952	1	332.7	20.03	864	1
273.5	80.19	959	1	332.7	30.03	876	1
273.5	90.05	964	1	332.8	40.15	886	1
293.2	0.36	875	1	332.7	50.08	896	1
293.2	10.14	887	1	332.7	60.09	904	1
293.2	20.08	898	1	332.7	70.08	912	1
293.2	30.12	908	1	332.7	80.19	920	1
293.2	40.12	916	1	332.7	90.04	926	1
293.2	50.06	924	1	357.4	0.39	812	1
293.2	59.96	932	1	357.4	9.99	829	1
293.2	70.01	939	1	357.4	19.98	844	1
293.2	80.34	945	1	357.4	29.96	857	1
293.2	90.51	952	1	357.4	40.11	868	1
313.0	0.41	856	1	357.4	49.97	878	1
313.0	10.08	869	1	357.4	60.07	887	1
313.0	20.09	881	1	357.4	70.06	896	1
313.0	30.11	892	1	357.4	80.08	904	1
313.0	40.12	901	1	357.4	90.03	911	1

^aAt a confidence level of 68 % ($k = 1$), the temperature was measured with a standard uncertainty of $u_T = 0.1$ K and the pressure was measured with an uncertainty of $u_p = 0.02$ MPa. The uncertainty of the oscillation period was $u_s = 0.03$ μs , and the uncertainty due to calibration was $u_{\text{cal}} = 0.5$ kg m^{-3} .

Table 3. Experimental specific density data for MD₄M.^a

<i>T</i> /K	<i>p</i> /MPa	ρ /(kg m ⁻³)	U_ρ /(kg m ⁻³)	<i>T</i> /K	<i>p</i> /MPa	ρ /(kg m ⁻³)	U_ρ /(kg m ⁻³)
273.5	0.27	911	1	313.0	50.07	926	1
273.6	10.07	921	1	313.0	60.05	934	1
273.6	20.01	931	1	313.0	70.16	941	1
273.6	30.00	939	1	313.0	80.08	948	1
273.6	40.03	947	1	313.0	90.53	955	1
273.6	50.06	955	1	332.7	0.22	853	1
273.6	60.06	961	1	332.7	10.05	868	1
273.6	70.00	968	1	332.7	20.03	881	1
273.6	80.12	974	1	332.7	30.03	892	1
273.6	89.95	979	1	332.7	40.07	902	1
293.2	0.20	891	1	332.7	50.14	912	1
293.2	10.06	903	1	332.7	60.08	920	1
293.2	20.03	914	1	332.7	70.14	928	1
293.2	30.03	923	1	332.7	79.69	935	1
293.2	39.94	932	1	332.7	89.97	942	1
293.2	50.25	940	1	357.4	0.25	829	1
293.2	60.22	947	1	357.4	9.96	846	1
293.2	70.30	954	1	357.4	20.05	861	1
293.2	80.06	961	1	357.4	30.04	873	1
293.2	90.31	967	1	357.4	39.99	884	1
313.0	0.32	872	1	357.4	50.19	894	1
313.0	10.03	886	1	357.4	60.09	903	1
313.0	20.01	897	1	357.4	70.38	912	1
313.0	30.04	908	1	357.4	79.96	919	1
313.0	40.04	917	1	357.4	89.93	927	1

^aAt a confidence level of 68 % ($k = 1$), the temperature was measured with a standard uncertainty of $u_T = 0.1$ K and the pressure was measured with an uncertainty of $u_p = 0.02$ MPa. The uncertainty of the oscillation period was $u_s = 0.03$ μ s, and the uncertainty due to calibration was $u_{cal} = 0.5$ kg·m⁻³.

Table 4. Experimental specific density data for D₅.^a

<i>T</i> /K	<i>p</i> /MPa	ρ /(kg m ⁻³)	U_ρ /(kg m ⁻³)	<i>T</i> /K	<i>p</i> /MPa	ρ /(kg m ⁻³)	U_ρ /(kg m ⁻³)
273.5	0.39	980	1	313.0	50.01	994	1
273.5	9.98	991	1	313.0	59.96	1003	1
273.5	20.02	1001	1	313.0	70.13	1011	1
273.5	29.96	1010	1	313.0	80.65	1018	1
273.5	40.02	1019	1	313.0	90.70	1025	1
273.5	49.94	1026	1	332.7	0.50	917	1
273.5	59.99	1034	1	332.7	9.99	933	1
273.5	70.07	1040	1	332.7	20.12	947	1
273.5	80.24	1047	1	332.7	29.95	958	1
273.5	90.58	1053	1	332.7	40.06	969	1
293.2	0.42	959	1	332.7	49.87	979	1
293.2	10.08	972	1	332.7	60.02	988	1
293.2	20.32	983	1	332.7	70.13	996	1
293.2	30.31	993	1	332.7	80.57	1005	1
293.2	40.22	1002	1	332.7	90.56	1012	1
293.2	50.20	1010	1	357.4	0.53	891	1
293.2	60.52	1018	1	357.4	10.00	909	1
293.2	70.41	1026	1	357.4	20.06	925	1
293.2	81.34	1033	1	357.4	30.07	938	1
293.2	90.26	1039	1	357.4	39.99	950	1
313.0	0.47	938	1	357.4	50.25	961	1
313.0	10.00	952	1	357.4	59.99	970	1
313.0	20.24	965	1	357.4	70.06	979	1
313.0	30.08	976	1	357.4	80.06	987	1
313.0	40.03	985	1	357.4	90.25	995	1

^aAt a confidence level of 68 % ($k = 1$), the temperature was measured with a standard uncertainty of $u_T = 0.1$ K and the pressure was measured with an uncertainty of $u_p = 0.02$ MPa. The uncertainty of the oscillation period was $u_s = 0.03$ μ s, and the uncertainty due to calibration was $u_{cal} = 0.5$ kg·m⁻³.

The combined expanded uncertainty given in Tables 2 to 4 are calculated according to Eq. (4) in the document with the specifications listed in Table 5.

Table 5. Uncertainty budget for the density measurements for the siloxanes at a confidence level of 95% ($k = 2$)

source	standard uncertainty	sensitivity coefficient ^a	absolute expanded uncertainty ^a / (kg·m ⁻³)	relative expanded uncertainty ^a / %
temperature	0.1 K	$\partial\rho/\partial T = 0.73$ kg·m ⁻³ ·K ⁻¹	0.15	0.016
pressure	0.02 MPa	$\partial\rho/\partial p = 0.09$ kg·m ⁻³ ·MPa ⁻¹	0.02	0.002
oscillation period	0.015 μ s	$\partial\rho/\partial t = 1.29 \cdot 10^7$ kg·m ⁻³ ·s ⁻¹	0.39	0.043
calibration	0.5 kg·m ⁻³	-	1.00	0.111

^aThe values are given for a typical state point at 333 K and 40 MPa.

The absolute expanded uncertainty $u(\rho) = 1.08$ kg·m⁻³ (this value is rounded off to 1 kg·m⁻³ in our density data tables) yields a relative expanded uncertainty of $u(\rho) = 0.12$ %. The biggest contribution in the uncertainty is related to the calibration, which also includes the reproducibility and ageing of the measurement cell.

2 SPEED OF SOUND MEASUREMENTS

Table 6. Experimental speed of sound data for MD₃M.^a

<i>T</i> /K	<i>p</i> /MPa	<i>w</i> /(m s ⁻¹)	<i>U_w</i> /(m s ⁻¹)	<i>T</i> /K	<i>p</i> /MPa	<i>w</i> /(m s ⁻¹)	<i>U_w</i> /(m s ⁻¹)
222.24	0.123	1220.0	0.9	350.06	63.948	1170.6	0.9
222.07	0.941	1224.8	0.9	350.04	80.495	1238.1	0.9
222.02	2.259	1231.7	0.9	350.03	99.003	1306.2	1.0
222.00	5.352	1247.2	0.9	350.03	125.082	1392.4	1.1
222.46	9.199	1264.1	1.0	399.86	0.112	646.8	0.5
222.28	20.382	1315.5	1.0	399.99	0.940	656.6	0.5
222.30	39.987	1395.5	1.1	399.98	2.049	669.8	0.5
222.32	60.190	1468.9	1.1	399.97	4.890	701.1	0.5
249.64	0.119	1113.1	0.8	399.96	9.616	747.9	0.5
249.60	0.944	1118.1	0.8	399.95	20.180	835.4	0.6
249.60	2.000	1124.2	0.8	399.97	41.253	971.3	0.7
249.69	4.671	1139.0	0.8	399.98	60.180	1069.0	0.8
249.90	9.386	1164.0	0.9	399.98	80.019	1156.4	0.9
249.89	20.126	1218.5	0.9	399.98	99.031	1230.3	0.9
249.91	40.992	1310.9	1.0	400.00	125.213	1320.6	1.1
249.94	59.553	1383.1	1.1	450.21	0.154	516.8	0.4
249.97	71.752	1426.6	1.1	450.20	0.951	530.0	0.4
250.32	76.952	1443.4	1.1	450.19	1.980	546.0	0.4
300.00	0.123	938.8	0.7	450.18	4.867	586.6	0.4
300.00	1.002	945.2	0.7	450.15	9.828	646.0	0.5
300.02	1.976	952.3	0.7	450.15	20.030	743.3	0.6
300.03	5.069	974.0	0.7	450.19	40.063	886.6	0.7
300.03	9.585	1004.1	0.7	450.13	62.357	1009.1	0.7
300.04	20.026	1066.9	0.8	450.14	80.600	1092.7	0.8
299.99	39.397	1166.9	0.9	450.16	98.936	1166.9	0.9
299.99	59.353	1254.4	0.9	450.18	124.845	1259.5	1.0
300.00	79.633	1332.5	1.0	499.83	0.118	390.1	0.3
300.01	99.034	1399.7	1.1	499.84	0.976	410.1	0.3
300.04	124.427	1479.2	1.2	499.83	1.987	431.5	0.3
350.04	0.087	785.3	0.6	499.82	4.790	482.3	0.3
350.04	1.064	794.4	0.6	499.81	9.170	547.9	0.4
350.04	2.102	804.0	0.6	499.74	20.208	668.7	0.5
350.04	4.957	829.1	0.6	499.76	40.580	825.5	0.6
350.03	9.601	866.8	0.6	499.80	60.154	939.4	0.7
350.03	19.944	940.3	0.7	499.78	80.284	1036.6	0.8
350.04	40.114	1057.9	0.8	499.80	98.833	1114.3	0.8
350.03	60.305	1154.7	0.9	499.82	123.017	1203.6	1.0

^aAt a confidence level of 68 % ($k = 1$), the temperature was measured with a standard uncertainty of $u_T = 0.02$ K. Pressure was measured with the following uncertainties u_p : 0.002 MPa for $p < 10$ MPa, 0.02 MPa for $p < 100$ MPa and 0.06 MPa for $p \geq 100$ MPa. The uncertainty of the time measurement was $u_{\Delta t} = 0.002$ μ s and the path length was calibrated with an uncertainty of $u_{\Delta l} = 7$ μ m.

Table 7. Experimental speed of sound data for MD₄M.^a

T/K	p/MPa	$w/(\text{m s}^{-1})$	$U_w/(\text{m s}^{-1})$	T/K	p/MPa	$w/(\text{m s}^{-1})$	$U_w/(\text{m s}^{-1})$
300.04	0.151	947.2	0.7	399.88	23.003	865.9	0.6
299.99	1.227	955.1	0.7	399.89	40.438	974.2	0.7
299.99	2.088	961.2	0.7	399.90	60.465	1076.0	0.8
299.99	5.199	982.5	0.7	399.90	80.455	1162.5	0.9
299.97	9.093	1008.0	0.7	399.91	98.823	1232.9	0.9
300.06	20.092	1072.8	0.8	399.91	124.911	1321.8	1.1
300.07	39.615	1171.5	0.9	450.17	0.144	539.0	0.4
299.98	59.248	1256.5	0.9	450.16	0.930	551.0	0.4
300.07	77.600	1326.4	1.0	450.16	1.921	565.6	0.4
300.03	98.814	1399.5	1.1	450.16	4.866	604.7	0.4
300.06	122.732	1473.9	1.2	450.16	9.418	657.1	0.5
350.04	0.154	798.2	0.6	450.16	20.470	759.2	0.6
350.04	0.897	804.9	0.6	450.18	38.909	888.5	0.7
350.04	1.712	812.2	0.6	450.18	60.139	1004.8	0.7
350.05	4.907	839.5	0.6	450.17	80.370	1097.1	0.8
350.05	9.500	875.8	0.6	450.18	99.043	1171.6	0.9
350.05	20.069	949.1	0.7	450.20	125.078	1263.4	1.0
350.04	40.457	1065.3	0.8	499.72	0.118	420.8	0.3
350.01	46.672	1096.2	0.8	499.70	0.954	437.9	0.3
350.02	60.822	1160.9	0.9	499.66	1.744	453.4	0.3
350.04	79.990	1238.9	0.9	499.63	4.824	506.8	0.4
350.04	98.619	1306.9	1.0	499.57	9.313	569.3	0.4
350.04	122.855	1386.4	1.1	499.71	19.050	671.8	0.5
399.96	0.116	663.4	0.5	499.71	39.904	831.1	0.6
399.95	0.688	670.1	0.5	499.71	60.461	948.9	0.7
399.87	1.804	683.1	0.5	499.71	81.589	1048.6	0.8
399.85	4.940	716.4	0.5	499.68	98.136	1116.9	0.8
399.80	9.112	756.4	0.5	499.70	125.138	1215.1	1.0
399.94	19.584	841.3	0.6				

^aAt a confidence level of 68 % ($k = 1$), the temperature was measured with a standard uncertainty of $u_T = 0.02$ K. Pressure was measured with the following uncertainties u_p : 0.002 MPa for $p < 10$ MPa, 0.02 MPa for $p < 100$ MPa and 0.06 MPa for $p \geq 100$ MPa. The uncertainty of the time measurement was $u_{\Delta t} = 0.002$ μs and the path length was calibrated with an uncertainty of $u_{\Delta l} = 7$ μm .

Table 8. Experimental speed of sound data for D₅.^a

T/K	p/MPa	$w/(\text{m s}^{-1})$	$U_w/(\text{m s}^{-1})$	T/K	p/MPa	$w/(\text{m s}^{-1})$	$U_w/(\text{m s}^{-1})$
249.29	0.239	1100.9	0.8	399.94	0.974	639.9	0.5
249.57	1.037	1104.3	0.8	399.94	2.025	652.0	0.5
249.36	1.993	1110.5	0.8	399.94	4.829	681.8	0.5
249.30	5.101	1127.5	0.8	399.94	9.783	728.9	0.5
249.29	9.631	1151.3	0.9	399.93	19.973	810.5	0.6
249.30	19.880	1201.0	0.9	399.92	40.038	937.4	0.7
249.27	40.209	1288.8	1.0	399.93	60.030	1038.3	0.8
249.27	59.540	1362.0	1.0	399.93	80.225	1125.0	0.8
249.27	79.415	1430.3	1.1	399.94	99.565	1198.4	0.9
299.91	0.114	919.4	0.7	399.94	124.537	1282.7	1.0
299.90	1.039	926.0	0.7	450.02	0.142	499.5	0.4
299.90	1.981	932.5	0.7	450.02	0.966	512.7	0.4
299.90	4.992	952.8	0.7	450.02	1.953	527.5	0.4
299.90	9.866	984.1	0.7	450.02	4.911	568.0	0.4
299.88	19.873	1042.9	0.8	450.01	9.677	623.4	0.4
299.87	39.676	1140.8	0.9	450.02	20.378	722.5	0.6
299.88	61.012	1231.0	0.9	450.00	40.230	860.2	0.6
299.89	80.266	1302.9	1.0	450.01	60.538	969.6	0.7
299.89	98.929	1367.1	1.0	450.01	80.008	1057.6	0.8
299.90	124.791	1446.8	1.2	450.01	98.651	1131.5	0.8
350.10	0.117	768.5	1.1	450.01	124.659	1222.5	1.0
350.10	0.997	776.9	1.0	499.98	0.176	372.6	0.3
350.10	2.087	786.1	0.9	499.98	0.972	391.1	0.3
350.10	4.872	809.5	0.8	499.98	2.065	414.2	0.3
350.10	9.632	846.7	0.8	499.97	4.920	464.8	0.3
350.10	20.073	918.5	0.7	499.97	9.842	534.3	0.4
350.10	39.960	1030.1	0.6	499.96	20.016	641.9	0.5
350.10	60.176	1124.6	0.6	499.91	40.608	797.2	0.6
350.11	79.704	1203.6	0.6	499.95	60.054	907.9	0.7
350.13	98.211	1270.1	0.6	499.95	79.934	1001.7	0.7
350.12	124.614	1355.6	0.6	499.94	98.621	1078.4	0.8
399.94	0.121	629.9	0.5	499.96	124.388	1171.2	1.0

^aAt a confidence level of 68 % ($k = 1$), the temperature was measured with a standard uncertainty of $u_T = 0.02$ K. Pressure was measured with the following uncertainties u_p : 0.002 MPa for $p < 10$ MPa, 0.02 MPa for $p < 100$ MPa and 0.06 MPa for $p \geq 100$ MPa. The uncertainty of the time measurement was $u_{\Delta t} = 0.002$ μs and the path length was calibrated with an uncertainty of $u_{\Delta l} = 7$ μm .

The combined expanded uncertainty given in Tables 6 to 8 are calculated according to Eq. (6) in the document with the specifications listed in Table 9.

Table 9. Uncertainty budget for the speed of sound measurements for the siloxanes at a confidence level of 95% ($k = 2$).

source	type	measuring range	standard uncertainty	sensitivity coefficient ^a	relative expanded uncertainty ^a %
tempera- ture	PT-25	84 - 693 K	0.02 K	$\partial w/\partial T = 2.2 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}$	0.008
pressure	Keller PAA-33X	<10 MPa	0.002 MPa	$\partial w/\partial p = 0.58 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1} \text{ MPa}^{-1}$	0.011
	Honeywell TJE	<200 MPa	0.06 MPa		
time	handyscope HS5	-	0.002 μs	$\partial w/\partial t = 5.6\cdot 10^7 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$	0.021
path length	-	-	7 μm	$\partial w/\partial \Delta l = 5.3\cdot 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$	0.070

^aThe values are given for a typical state point at 350 K and 40 MPa.