

IMPROVED INFORMATION FLOW TOPOLOGY FOR VEHICLE CONVOY
CONTROL

MU'AZU JIBRIN MUSA

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Electrical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2018

DEDICATION

My father, Mallam Musa Jibrin and my mother, Mallama Maimuna Musa who brought me into this world and prepared me for life; My Brothers and sisters, for their support and encouragement; My wife, Barr. Halima Umar and my children, for their patience and understanding; May Allah (SWT) make them among the residents of Jannat Firdaus amin.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In All thanks is due to the Almighty Allah, most gracious and the most merciful for granting me the health, opportunity to successfully complete my Ph.D. research work.

My profound gratitude goes to my main supervisor Dr. Shahdan Sudin, for his support, guidance and encouragement throughout the course of this research work. The training I received from your vast experience will remain of utmost importance to me. I also wish to express my sincere gratitude to my co-supervisors Professor Dr. Zaharuddin Mohamed for his motivation and guidance.

Words cannot express my appreciation for the love, support and prayers of my parents: Mallam Musa Jibrin and Mallama Maimuna Musa. I have no doubt on their proud of my accomplishments. To my dearest wife Halima Umar and lovely children M. J. Aisha, M. J. Musa, M. J. Muhammad and the newly born daughter M. J. Maimuna (Ummul-Khair) thank you all for your understanding at the most difficult and challenging times. To all my brothers Mohammad Fantami, M. J. Abdulmalik, Prof. M. J. Ismail and Dr. M. J. Alkasim, my sisters M. J. Zuwaira, M. J. Aisha and M. J. Zainab, siblings, relatives and friends especially, Yaya Idrisa Baba M, Dr. A. A. Suraj, S. H. Usman, S. L. Abubakar, A. A. Habib, Y. Mangas, Ibrahim Dan Almu, Abubakar Danyaro, M. W. Jibril, M. W. Aliyu, Engr. A. Abbas, Engr. M. Yau, Engr. Hassan, Engr. Zubairu, Dr. Aliyu Babale, Nura Aliyu, Sani Aliyu, Engr. H. Ado, Dr. M. A. Auwalu, Dr. M. Mamunu, Dr. A. Isyaku, Dr. Amir A. Bature, Engr. S. Sani, Engr. A. S. Yaro, Engr. M. M. Gajibo, Engr. S. M. Sani, Engr. S. Haliru, Engr. U. Zangina, N. Aliyu, S. Aliyu, M. Abdullahi, Engr. K. Bishir, Fadilah, Amelia, Iqbal and Saiful, Engr. Liyana, Engr. Hazriq, Engr. E. A. T. Ahmed, Engr. N. I. Ibrahim, Barr. Y. A. Muaz, N. A. Yaro, Yaya Muhammadu, Engr. S. O. Yahaya, Engr. I. Sadiq, Yusuf Yasalam, Inda Usman, Engr. Abdullahi M. Moriki, Alh. Fatayi, Shehu, Murtala, Tukur, Chukwudi and Engr. K. Mathew, thank you for your prayers, well wishes and encouragements.

My special thank goes to my colleagues, lecturers and mentors for their support and professional advice, namely Prof. B. G. Bajoga, Prof. B. B. M. Dewu, Prof. M. B. Mu'azu, Prof. M. I. Sule, Associate Prof. A. D. Usman, Prof. B. Jimoh, Prof. M. Dauda, Prof. S. Z. Abubakar, Dr. S. M. Sani, Dr. K. A. Abubilal, Dr. Y. A. Sha'aban, Dr. Y. Jibril, Dr. S. Garba, Dr. T. H. Sikiru, Dr. A. M. S Tekanyi, Dr. E. A. Adedokun, Dr. I. J. Umo. Dr. B. Abdulrazaq, Engr. Surak Akiro Perera, Engr. Z. M. Abdullahi, Engr. A. S. Musa, Engr. Zara, Engr. Kadala, Eng. Usman, Dr. Musa, Dr. Visa and Dr. Thuku. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all in this limited space, thanks to all.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge the financial support from Ahmadu Bello University, for the Needs assessment intervention 2015 in capacity building, Amina Ado's foundation grant 2015, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) and Malaysia Government through the UTM Research University Grants (Vote number 12J52). I also appreciate the partial support from UTM IDF scholarship. Thank you for believing in me and giving me this opportunity.

ABSTRACT

A vehicle convoy is a string of inter-connected vehicles moving together for mutual support, minimizing traffic congestion, facilitating people safety, ensuring string stability and maximizing ride comfort. There exists a trade-off among the convoy's performance indices, which is inherent in any existing vehicle convoy. The use of unrealistic information flow topology (IFT) in vehicle convoy control, generally affects the overall performance of the convoy, due to the undesired changes in dynamic parameters (relative position, speed, acceleration and jerk) experienced by the following vehicle. This thesis proposes an improved information flow topology for vehicle convoy control. The improved topology is of the two-vehicle look-ahead and rear-vehicle control that aimed to cut-off the trade-off with a more robust control structure, which can handle constraints, wider range of control regions and provide acceptable performance simultaneously. The proposed improved topology has been designed in three sections. The first section explores the single vehicle's dynamic equations describing the derived internal and external disturbances modeled together as a unit. In the second section, the vehicle model is then integrated into the control strategy of the improved topology in order to improve the performance of the convoy to two look-ahead and rear. The changes in parameters of the improved convoy topology are compared through simulation with the most widely used conventional convoy topologies of one-vehicle look-ahead and that of the most human-driver like (the two-vehicle look-ahead) convoy topology. The results showed that the proposed convoy control topology has an improved performance with an increase in the inter-vehicular spacing by 19.45% and 18.20% reduction in acceleration by 20.28% and 15.17% reduction in jerk by 25.09% and 6.25% as against the one-look-ahead and two-look-ahead respectively. Finally, a model predictive control (MPC) system was designed and combined with the improved convoy topology to strictly control the following vehicle. The MPC serves the purpose of handling constraints, providing smoother and satisfactory responses and providing ride comfort with no trade-off in terms of performance or stability. The performance of the proposed MPC based improved convoy topology was then investigated via simulation and the results were compared with the previously improved convoy topology without MPC. The improved convoy topology with MPC provides safer inter-vehicular spacing by 13.86% refined the steady speed to maneuvering speed, provided reduction in acceleration by 32.11% and a huge achievement was recorded in reduction in jerk by 55.12% as against that without MPC. This shows that the MPC based improved convoy control topology gave enough spacing for any uncertain application of brake by the two look-ahead or further acceleration from the rear-vehicle. Similarly, manoeuvring speed was seen to ensure safety ahead and rear, ride comfort was achieved due to the low acceleration and jerk of the following vehicle. The controlling vehicle responded to changes, hence good handling was achieved.

ABSTRAK

Konvoi kenderaan adalah rantaian kenderaan yang bergerak bersama melalui sokongan mutual antara kenderaan bagi mengurangkan kesesakan lalu lintas, menjaga keselamatan orang awam, memastikan kestabilan rantaian dan memaksimumkan keselesaan perjalanan. Dalam rantaian konvoi terdapat ‘trade-off’ pada indeks prestasinya, yang diwarisi dari konvoi kenderaan semasa. Penggunaan topologi aliran maklumat (IFT) yang tidak realistik dalam kawalan konvoi kenderaan, secara amnya mempengaruhi keseluruhan prestasi konvoi dan menyebabkan pergerakan tersebut mengalami perubahan yang tidak diinginkan dalam parameter dinamik (kedudukan relatif, halaju, cecapan dan sentakan). Tesis ini mencadangkan penambahbaikan aliran informasi terhadap topologi aliran untuk kawalan konvoi kenderaan. Topologi yang ditambahbaik adalah topologi melihat dua-kenderaan di hadapan dan satu kenderaan di belakang, yang bertujuan untuk menafikan ‘trade-off’ dengan struktur kawalan yang lebih teguh dan dengan serentak mengendali kekangan, memperuntukkan kawasan kawalan yang lebih besar serta memberikan prestasi yang boleh diterima. Topologi yang diperbaiki ini telah direka dalam tiga bahagian. Bahagian pertama meneroka persamaan dinamik bagi sebuah kenderaan yang menunjukkan gangguan dalaman dan luaran yang diterbitkan sebagai satu unit. Pada bahagian kedua, model kenderaan ini kemudiannya diintegrasikan ke dalam cadangan strategi kawalan topologi yang diperbaiki untuk meningkatkan prestasi konvoi itu supaya dapat melihat dua buah kenderaan di hadapan dan sebuah kenderaan di belakang. Perubahan dalam parameter topologi konvoi yang diperbaiki ini telah dibandingkan melalui simulasi dengan konvoi topologi konvensional melihat sebuah kenderaan di hadapan dan konvoi topologi yang paling mirip dengan pemanduan manusia (dua buah kenderaan di hadapan). Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa topologi kawalan konvoi yang dicadangkan mempunyai prestasi yang lebih baik dengan jarak antara kenderaan dengan nilai peratusan sebanyak 19.45% dan 18.20%, pengurangan dalam cecapan sebanyak 20.28% dan 15.17%, pengurangan terhadap sentakan sebanyak 25.09% dan 6.25% untuk topologi melihat masing-masing sebuah kenderaan di hadapan dan dua buah kenderaan di hadapan. Akhirnya, satu model sistem kawalan ramalan (MPC) telah direka dan digabungkan dengan topologi konvoi yang dicadangkan untuk mengawal kenderaan tersebut secara rapi. MPC berfungsi untuk mengendalikankan kekangan, memberikan respon yang lebih lancar dan memuaskan, serta memberikan keselesaan perjalanan tanpa melakukan pertukaran dari segi prestasi dan kestabilan. Prestasi topologi konvoi yang berasaskan MPC yang dicadangkan ini kemudiannya disiasat melalui simulasi dan keputusan tersebut dibandingkan dengan topologi konvoi yang diperbaiki tanpa MPC. Topologi konvoi yang diperbaiki dengan MPC telah memperbaiki jarak antara kenderaan dengan nilai peratusan sebanyak 13.86%, pengurangan kadar cecapan yang stabil kepada cecapan bergerak, menghasilkan pengurangan dalam cecapan sebanyak 32.11% dan pencapaian terbesar telah dicatat dalam pengurangan sentakan sebanyak 55.12% setelah dibandingkan dengan tanpa MPC. Ini menunjukkan bahawa konvoi topologi yang dicadangkan yang berasaskan MPC ini telah memberi ruang yang cukup untuk mana-mana aplikasi brek yang tidak pasti daripada melihat dua kenderaan di hadapan atau mempercepatkan daripada kenderaan-ke belakang. Begitu juga, halaju bergerak yang telah dilihat untuk memastikan keselamatan arah hadapan dan belakang, pemanduan yang selesa telah dicapai berikutan oleh kadar cecapan yang rendah dan sentakan oleh kenderaan berikutan. Pengawalan kenderaan telah bertindak balas kepada perubahan dan ini membolehkan pengendalian yang baik dicapai.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of the Research	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Research Objectives	4
	1.4 Scope of the Research	4
	1.5 Thesis Contribution	5
	1.6 Hypothesis of the Research	5
	1.7 Thesis Outline	6
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Introduction	7
	2.2 Origins of Vehicle Convoy	7
	2.3 Characteristics of Vehicle Performance Index	8
	2.4 Vehicle Convoy Communication Topology	9
	2.5 Spacing Policies	12
	2.6 String Stability	13
	2.7 Mathematical Modelling of the Vehicle Convoy	19

2.7.1	Heterogeneous and Homogeneous Vehicle Convoy	19
2.7.2	Linear and Nonlinear Control	20
2.8	Model Predictive Controller	21
2.9	Research Gap	22
2.10	Summary	22
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	25
3.1	Introduction	25
3.2	Organization of the Research Methodology	25
3.3	Research Tools	29
3.4	Individual Vehicle Dynamics	29
3.4.1	Internal Dynamics	29
3.4.2	External Dynamics	33
3.4.2.1	Aerodynamic Drag	34
3.4.2.2	Viscous Friction Drag	38
3.4.2.3	Rolling Resistance Force	40
3.4.3	Simplified Vehicle Dynamics	41
3.5	Vehicle Convoy Dynamics	43
3.5.1	Spacing Consideration	44
3.5.2	Speed consideration	44
3.5.3	Acceleration and Jerk Consideration	44
3.6	Summary	45
CHAPTER 4	MODELING OF TWO-VEHICLE LOOK-AHEAD AND REAR-VEHICLE CONTROLLER DESIGN	47
4.1	Introduction	47
4.2	Basic Control Law for Two-vehicle Look-ahead and Rear-vehicle	47
4.2.1	Tuning of Gains and Simulation	55
4.3	MPC Controller for Two-vehicle Look-ahead and Rear-vehicle	68
4.3.1	MPC Convoy Vehicle Formulation	69
4.3.1.1	Discrete-Time Model	70

4.3.1.2	Model Predictions	71
4.3.1.3	Form Augmented Model	73
4.3.1.4	Cost Function	76
4.3.2	Constraints	78
4.3.2.1	First Constraint	79
4.3.2.2	Second Constraint	81
4.3.2.3	Third Constraint	82
4.3.2.4	Hard Constraint	83
4.3.3	Tuning of MPC Parameters and Simulation	84
4.4	Summary	85
CHAPTER 5	SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	87
5.1	Introduction	87
5.2	Overall Model of the Improved Two-vehicle Look-ahead & Rear-Vehicle Convoy Control System	87
5.3	Overall Model of One-vehicle Look-ahead Convoy Control Systems	92
5.4	Overall Model of Two-vehicle Look-ahead Convoy Control Systems	94
5.4.1	Performance Comparison between One-vehicle Look-ahead, Two-vehicle Look-ahead against the proposed Two-vehicle Look-ahead with Rear-vehicle Control Topology	98
5.5	Overall MPC Model for the Improved Two-vehicle Look-ahead & Rear-vehicle Convoy Control System	108
5.5.1	Performance Comparison of the Improved Topology with MPC against the Improved Topology without MPC	116
5.6	Summary	124
CHAPTER 6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	127
6.1	Conclusion	127
6.2	Recommendations for Future Work	128
REFERENCES		130
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		147

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1:	Consulted research works	23
Table 3.1:	Car classification and estimated area	36
Table 3.2:	Classification of C_{df} under three Re conditions	40
Table 5.1:	Performance comparison of the improved and one-vehicle look-ahead convoy topology	107
Table 5.2:	Performance comparison of the improved and two-vehicle look-ahead convoy Topology	108
Table 5.3:	Performance comparison of the improved topology with and without MPC	121
Table 5.4:	Performance comparison of all the improved topology at a glance	122

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Uni-directional vehicle convoy system	10
Figure 2.2	Bi-directional vehicle convoy system	10
Figure 2.3	Two-look-ahead vehicle convoy system	11
Figure 3.1	Research methodology flow chart of the improved topology	27
Figure 3.2	Research methodology flow chart of the combined MPC & improved topology	28
Figure 3.3	Primary elements in a powertrain [18]	32
Figure 3.4	Definition of the frontal area A of a vehicle	35
Figure 3.5	Typical drag coefficient of various shapes [152]	37
Figure 3.6	History of drag coefficient C_d of the Europe passenger vehicles [148]	38
Figure 3.7	Simplified vehicle model without external dynamics	41
Figure 3.8	Overall simplified vehicle dynamics	42
Figure 4.1	Analogous of a general one-vehicle look-ahead convoy system	48
Figure 4.2	Two-vehicle look-ahead topology	48
Figure 4.3	Representation of the proposed control strategy	49
Figure 4.4	Simulation model for one vehicle	56
Figure 4.5	Speed response of the vehicle when $\frac{k_p}{k_v} > 1$	57
Figure 4.6	Acceleration response of the vehicle when $\frac{k_p}{k_v} > 1$	58
Figure 4.7	Jerk response of the vehicle when $\frac{k_p}{k_v} > 1$	58
Figure 4.8	Speed response of the vehicle when $\frac{k_p}{k_v} < 1$	59
Figure 4.9	Acceleration response of the vehicle when $\frac{k_p}{k_v} < 1$	59

Figure 4.10	Jerk response of the vehicle when $\frac{k_p}{k_v} < 1$	60
Figure 4.11	Speed response of the vehicle when $\frac{K_p}{K_v} = 1$	61
Figure 4.12	Acceleration response of the vehicle when $\frac{K_p}{K_v} = 1$	61
Figure 4.13	Jerk response of the vehicle when $\frac{K_p}{K_v} = 1$	62
Figure 4.14	Speed response of the vehicle when $\frac{K_p}{K_v} = 1$	62
Figure 4.15	Acceleration response of the vehicle when $\frac{K_p}{K_v} = 1$	63
Figure 4.16	Jerk response of the vehicle when $\frac{K_p}{K_v} = 1$	63
Figure 4.17	Speed response of the vehicle when $\frac{K_p}{K_v} = 1$	64
Figure 4.18	Acceleration response of the vehicle when $\frac{K_p}{K_v} = 1$	64
Figure 4.19	Jerk response of the vehicle when $\frac{K_p}{K_v} = 1$	64
Figure 4.20	Speed response of the vehicle when $\frac{K_p}{K_v} = 1$	65
Figure 4.21	Acceleration response of the vehicle when $\frac{K_p}{K_v} = 1$	65
Figure 4.22	Jerk response of the vehicle when $\frac{K_p}{K_v} = 1$	66
Figure 4.23	Poles and zeros location with respect to X_{i-1}	67
Figure 4.24	Poles and zeros location with respect to X_{i-2}	68
Figure 4.25	Poles and zeros location with respect to X_{i+1}	68
Figure 4.26	MPC-based vehicle convoy control system	79
Figure 4.27	Simulation model for one MPC-based vehicle	85
Figure 5.1	Overall configuration of the two-ahead and rear-vehicle convoy control	88
Figure 5.2	Relative position of normal convoy operation of the improved topology	90

Figure 5.3	Speed responses of normal convoy operation of the improved topology	90
Figure 5.4	Acceleration responses of normal convoy operation of the improved topology	90
Figure 5.5	Jerk responses of normal convoy operation of the improved topology	91
Figure 5.6	Relative position of normal convoy operation for one-look-ahead	93
Figure 5.7	Speed responses of normal convoy operation for one-look-ahead	93
Figure 5.8	Acceleration responses of normal convoy operation for one-look-ahead	93
Figure 5.9	Jerk responses of normal convoy operation for one-look-ahead	94
Figure 5.10	Relative position of normal convoy operation for two-look-ahead	95
Figure 5.11	Speed responses of normal convoy operation for two-look-ahead	95
Figure 5.12	Acceleration responses of normal convoy operation for two-look-ahead	96
Figure 5.13	Jerk responses of normal convoy operation for two-look-ahead	96
Figure 5.14	Relative position responses from the one-vehicle look-ahead-vehicle control topology for t equal to 0–75s	99
Figure 5.15	Relative position responses from the two-vehicle look-ahead control topology for t equal to 0 - 75 s	100
Figure 5.16	Relative position responses from the two-vehicle look-ahead and one-rear-vehicle control topology for t equal to 0–75s	100
Figure 5.17	Speed responses from the one-vehicle look-ahead control topology for t equal to 0–75s	101
Figure 5.18	Speed responses from the two-vehicle look-ahead control topology for t equal to 0 - 75 s	101
Figure 5.19	Speed responses from the two-vehicle look-ahead and one-rear-vehicle control topology for t equal to 0–75s	102
Figure 5.20	Acceleration responses from the one-vehicle look-ahead control topology for t equal to 0–75s	103

Figure 5.21	Acceleration responses from the two-vehicle look-ahead control topology for t equal to 0 - 75 s	104
Figure 5.22	Acceleration responses from the two-vehicle look-ahead and one-rear-vehicle control topology for t equal to 0-75s	104
Figure 5.23	Jerk responses from the one-vehicle look-ahead control topology for t equal to 0-75s	105
Figure 5.24	Jerk responses from the two-vehicle look-ahead control topology for t equal to 0 - 75 s	106
Figure 5.25	Jerk responses from the two-vehicle look-ahead and one-rear-vehicle control topology for t equal to 0-75s	106
Figure 5.26	Speed for one MPC-based vehicle	109
Figure 5.27	Acceleration of one MPC-based vehicle	109
Figure 5.28	Jerk of one MPC-based vehicle	110
Figure 5.29	Overall configuration of the MPC based two-ahead and rear-vehicle convoy control	111
Figure 5.30	Relative position of normal convoy operation with MPC controller	113
Figure 5.31	Speed responses of normal convoy operation with MPC controller	113
Figure 5.32	Acceleration responses of normal convoy operation with MPC controller	114
Figure 5.33	Jerk responses of normal convoy operation with MPC controller	114
Figure 5.34	Relative position responses for the improved MPC based control topology for t' equal to 75-160s	117
Figure 5.35	Relative position responses for the improved two-vehicle look-ahead and one-rear-vehicle control topology without MPC for t' equal to 75-160s	117
Figure 5.36	Speed responses for the improved MPC based control topology for t' equal to 75-160s	118
Figure 5.37	Speed responses for the improved two-vehicle look-ahead and one-rear-vehicle control topology without MPC for t' equal to 75-160 s	119
Figure 5.38	Acceleration responses for the improved MPC based control topology for t' equal to 75-160s	119

Figure 5.39	Acceleration responses for the improved two-vehicle look-ahead and one-rear-vehicle control topology without MPC for t' equal to 75-160s	120
Figure 5.40	Jerk responses for the improved MPC based control topology for t' equal to 75-160 s	120
Figure 5.41	Jerk responses from the improved twm-vehicle look-ahead and one-rear-vehicle control topology for t' equal to 75-160 s	121
Figure 5.42	Performance indices on spacing for all the topologies	123
Figure 5.43	Performance indices on acceleration for all the topologies	123
Figure 5.44	Performance indices on jerk for all the topologies	124

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BD	-	Bi-Directional following
CACC	-	Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control
CS	-	Constant Spacing
CTH	-	Constant Time Headway
DC	-	Distributed Controller
DSRC	-	Dedicated Short Range Communications
ESO	-	Extended State Observer
GCDC	-	Grand Cooperative Driving Challenge
GF	-	Geometry Formation
H_{∞}	-	H-infinity
IFT	-	Information Flow Topology
ITS	-	Intelligent Transport Systems
LR	-	Limited Range following
LTI	-	Linear Time Invariant
MPC	-	Model Predictive Control
ND	-	Node Dynamics
PATH	-	Partners for Advanced Transit and Highways
PD	-	Proportional Derivative
PF	-	Predecessor Following
PFL	-	Predecessor Following Leader
PID	-	Proportional Integral Derivative
SARTRE	-	Safe Road Trains for the Environment
SMC	-	Sliding Mode Control
TCS	-	Traction Control System
TL	-	Two-Look-ahead following
TPL	-	Two Predecessor Leader following
TPLF	-	Two Predecessor following Leader
UD	-	Uni-Directional following
V2V	-	Vehicle-to-Vehicle
VANET	-	Vehicular Adhoc Networks

LIST OF SYMBOLS

P	-	Vehicle engine power
τ_e	-	Engine torque
v	-	Speed
\vec{F}	-	Force acting on the object at time t in a specific direction
m	-	Mass of the object (vehicle)
\vec{v}	-	Object speed
\vec{F}_{xi}	-	i – th force acting on the object in the same direction of x .
\vec{a}_x	-	Acceleration in the forward direction x
T_{xi}	-	i – th torque about the x axis
I_x	-	Moment of inertia about the x axis
T_e	-	Engine torque at a given speed
T_c	-	Torque at the clutch
T_{rot}	-	Engine rotational torque
T_o	-	Output torque
N_t	-	Gear ratio
T_{rot_trans}	-	Rotational torque of the transmission
T_a	-	Torque on the axles
F_x	-	Tractive force
r	-	Vehicle's wheel radius
T_w	-	Torque on the wheels and axle shafts
N_f	-	Final drive ratio
T_{rot_drive}	-	Torque on the driveshaft
F_d	-	Aerodynamic drag force

F_{fd}	-	Viscous friction drag force
F_{rr}	-	Rolling resistance force
C_d	-	Non-dimensional drag coefficient
A	-	Frontal area of the vehicle
ρ_a	-	Density of the ambient air
b_t	-	Vehicle's width
h_t	-	Vehicle's height
C_{df}	-	Non-dimensional friction drag coefficient
l	-	Characteristic length
Re	-	Reynolds number
\mathcal{U}	-	Kinematic viscosity
μ	-	Dynamic viscosity of the fluid
ρ_f	-	Density of the fluid
C_{rr}	-	Rolling resistance coefficient
g	-	Acceleration of free fall due to gravity
θ	-	Slope angle with the ground
τ	-	Time delay constant
\dot{a}	-	Vehicle jerk
a	-	Vehicle acceleration
u	-	Command signal of acceleration
a_{act}	-	Actual acceleration
a_{pro}	-	Vehicle propulsive force
a_{dra}	-	External drag forces
\dot{x}_i	-	Velocities of the i -th vehicle
K_{p1}	-	Spring constant to predecessor vehicle
x_{i-1}	-	Instantaneous positions of the $(i-1)$ -th vehicle
x_i	-	Instantaneous positions of the i -th vehicle
K_{v1}	-	Damper constant to predecessor vehicle

\dot{x}_{i-1}	-	Velocities of the $(i-1)$ -th vehicle
K_{p2}	-	Spring constant to leading vehicle
x_{i-2}	-	Instantaneous positions of the $(i-2)$ -th vehicle
K_{v2}	-	Damper constant to leading vehicle
\dot{x}_{i-2}	-	Velocities of the $(i-2)$ -th vehicle
K_{p3}	-	Spring constant to rear vehicle
x_{i+1}	-	Instantaneous positions of the $(i+1)$ -th vehicle
K_{v3}	-	Damper constant to rear vehicle
\dot{x}_{i-1}	-	Velocities of the $(i-1)$ -th vehicle
$f(\cdot, \cdot)$	-	Nonlinear vector function that describes the dynamics
u_i	-	Control signal
\ddot{x}_i	-	Acceleration of the i -th vehicle
h	-	Head way
v_i	-	Velocity of the i -th vehicle
$G_m(s)$	-	Transfer function of the system
F_i	-	Applied force to vehicle
F_m	-	Final moving force of vehicle
ε	-	Inter-vehicular spacing
L	-	Vehicle including desire spacing
A	-	System state matrix
B	-	System input matrix
C	-	System output matrix
$x'_i(k+1)$	-	Discrete-time model
N_p	-	Prediction horizon
I	-	Unit matrix
J_s	-	Cost function
\bar{Q}	-	Block diagonal output weighting matrix

\bar{R}	-	Block diagonal input weighting matrix
N_c	-	Control horizon
$S_{1(i)}$	-	Initial position of the control vehicle
$\Delta S_{1(i)}$	-	Change in position of the control vehicle
$S_{1(i-1)}$	-	Initial position of the predecessor vehicle
$\Delta S_{1(i-1)}$	-	Change in position of the predecessor vehicle
$S_{1(i-2)}$	-	Initial position of the leading vehicle
$\Delta S_{1(i-2)}$	-	Change in position of the leading vehicle
$S_{1(i+1)}$	-	Initial position of the rear vehicle
$\Delta S_{1(i+1)}$	-	Change in position of the rear vehicle
$hx_{1(i)}$	-	Speed-dependent spacing with respect to the headway

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Research

Demand for highway travel keeps on growing as population rises, more importantly in urban areas. Construction of new highway capacity to accommodate this growth in traffic density has not kept pace. The capacity for goods transportation alone is projected to almost double by 2020 as compared to 2012. The traffic problem is mainly expected to be a problem of the metropolis, but this problem is also common in small urban areas and rural areas [1].

The deployment of autonomous vehicles on the highway has the potential of playing important role in intelligent traffic system by minimizing the problems of traffic congestion, facilitating people safety; cutting down energy wastage, maximizing ride comfort and reducing fuel consumption [2]. Several vehicle convoy models and controllers were proposed in the literature. In essence, vehicle control strategies need vehicles in the same convoy to move at a stable agreement in speed while maintaining the desired inter-vehicular spacing with respect to the neighboring vehicles within the convoy. Furthermore, it is to ensure stable string which is the ability of the controlled vehicle to move along the convoy without amplifying the oscillation of the leading vehicle upstream and to also provide minimum jerk in the control vehicle.

To achieve string stability, desired inter-vehicular spacing and ride comfort, the vehicle convoy has to comply with either of the control policies variable spacing or constant spacing. It is of importance to know that ride comfort is the third order differential of the displacement of the vehicle, which is called as jerk of the vehicle. In the variable spacing policy, the inter-vehicle spacing is large (a function of velocity),

which is applicable for low traffic density conditions. This technique facilitates string stability through the use of onboard information. This implies that vehicles do not rely largely on the information from other vehicles. While constant spacing policy depends mostly on inter-vehicle communication and this policy facilitates string stability with little spacing and it is generally applicable in high traffic density conditions [2]. To achieve desired spacing, the time headway would play a significant role [3] in inter-vehicular spacing and to avoid collision with the vehicles of the convoy. The constant time headway (CTH) describes the desired inter-vehicular spacing as proportional to the control vehicle's speed, the constant of proportionality from the CTH policy is referred to as the time headway (h) [4, 5]. To achieve passenger's comfort, the control vehicle's jerk has to be minimized to not more than one-third of the vehicle's acceleration (not more than 5 ms^{-3}) [6, 7]. The smaller the vehicle's jerk the more comfortable the passenger's in the vehicle [8].

String stability is mostly achieved in situations where errors (spacing and information flow) are not amplified within the convoy as vehicles move. For perfect cancellation of such errors, the errors must have the same sign as to avoid collision within the convoy [9, 10, 11]. The concept of vehicle convoy refers to a string of vehicles that aim to keep a specified, but not necessarily constant inter-vehicle distance with respect to either of the two policies discussed above.

This work introduces an improved information flow topology (IFT) for vehicle convoy control, where the controlling vehicle is expected to be controlled at consensual speed and to maintain desired space with the independent vehicles and to greatly reduce jerk. The proposed convoy control topology ensures information flow from the leader, predecessor and the rear vehicle to the controlled vehicle, where the control vehicle utilizes the information received to adjust in speed and position in the convoy. A dynamic model for the proposed IFT convoy control is implemented to facilitate realistic, slinky-effect free, high passenger's comfort and safe spacing. The proposed IFT convoy control of the two-vehicle look-ahead and rear-vehicle is then compared with the conventional two-vehicle look-ahead convoy to ascertain its dynamic parameters (relative position, speed, acceleration and jerk) performance. The

high-performance convoy among the two is then compared with the proposed improved IFT controlled by model predictive control (MPC) to ascertain the robustness of the improved topology and performance over the same IFT with no MPC controller.

1.2 Problem Statement

To control a vehicle in a mix of independent vehicles in a convoy is quite challenging, due to the inherent string unstable behavior associated with such convoy and a huge amount of jerk. Moreover, it is tasking for the controlling vehicle to simultaneously track the path taken by the preceding vehicle with safe speeding and without compromising the inter-vehicular spacing among the vehicles. Therefore, most of the vehicle convoy systems implemented in literature suffer from the problem of string instability and ride comfort due to the common adoption of the conventional IFT. It has been established that the existing IFT used does not fully define a safe convoy scenario and hence the string stability cannot be guaranteed. This is due to the error propagation within the dynamic parameters of the convoy (slinky-effect), the presence of disturbances (friction and wind) and the fact that vehicle's comfort reduces with increase in jerk above the said 5 ms^{-3} . All these coupled together makes vehicle convoy systems a challenging control problem.

There is a need for an enhancement and more realistic IFT for convoy operation. This is achieved through design and implementation of an improved topology of an improved two-vehicle look-ahead and rear-vehicle convoy equipped with a robust controller.

1.3 Research Objectives

The present research work proposed to find possible solutions to the stated problems through the following objectives:

- (a) To develop an improved IFT of two-vehicle look-ahead and rear-vehicle convoy, which will give wider operating range than the conventional IFT for an effective communication and more realistic vehicle convoy.
- (b) To design and implement a PD-like control strategy of the improved topology for the vehicle convoy in (a) and to investigate its performance (string stability and ride comfort) against the conventional one and two-vehicle look-ahead convoy control system via simulations.
- (c) To design and implement a model predictive control (MPC) for the improved IFT of (a) for an effective and robust control of the two-vehicle look-ahead and rear-vehicle convoy to investigate its performance (string stability and ride comfort) against that of (b) via simulation.

1.4 Scope of the Research

The scope of this research work is outlined as follows:

- (a) The research covers the motion of two-vehicle look-ahead and rear-vehicle.
- (b) 1-DOF vehicle motion is considered. That is the longitudinal vehicle convoy, without a lane change.
- (c) Simulation is conducted using MATLAB Simulink environment.
- (d) Homogeneous vehicle convoy is considered. That is the vehicle convoy, in which all the vehicles have the same dynamics.
- (e) Effects of friction and aerodynamic drag are used as external disturbances to the convoy.
- (f) Comparison is on simulation results of the developed and the conventional convoy topologies in respect to string stability and rides comfort.

1.5 Thesis Contribution

The expected contributions of the research work are as listed:

(a) The improved information flow topology

An implementation and investigation of the improved information flow topology of the two-vehicle look-ahead and rear-vehicle convoy control will provide new results and knowledge in the area of vehicle convoy system.

(b) Overall convoy of the improved topology

Design and implementation of the overall improved PD-like convoy control is expected to provide a higher performance (string stability and ride comfort) convoy operation.

(c) Overall MPC based convoy control of the improved topology

A robust MPC based control is designed for the improved topology. This will efficiently predict and enable positioning, track reference input speeds, reject external disturbances, ensure string stability with a possible minimum jerk, handle constraints and maximize the operational range of the convoy system.

1.6 Hypothesis of the Research

In this research work, some hypothesis was made to guide towards the findings as follows:

REFERENCES

1. Rich, T. 2012 Urban congestion trends operations: The key to reliable travel. *U.S. Department of transportation, federal highway administration*, US, April 2013: pp. 1-5.
2. Shrivastava, A., and LiTraffic, P. Y. flow stability induced by constant time headway policy for adaptive cruise control (ACC) vehicles. *Proceedings of the 2000 American Control Conference. ACC, IEEE*, Chicago, IL, USA, June 28-30, 2000. 3: pp. 1503-1508.
3. Swaroop, D., and Rajagopal, K. R. A review of constant time headway policy for automatic vehicle following. In: *IEEE Proceedings of Intelligent Transportation Systems*, Oakland, CA, USA, Aug. 25-29, 2001: pp. 65-69.
4. Naus, G. J. L., Vugts, R. P. A., Ploeg, J., van de Molengraft, M. J. G., and Steinbuch, M. String-stable cacc design and experimental validation: A frequency-domain approach. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, Sept. 13, 2010. 59 (9): pp. 4268–4279.
5. Darbha, S., Konduri S., and Pagilla, P. R. Effects of V2V communication on time headway for autonomous vehicles. *American Control Conference (ACC)*, Seattle, WA, USA, May 24-26, 2017: pp. 2002-2007.
6. Godbole, D. N., and Lygeros, J. Longitudinal control of the lead car of a platoon. In: *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*. Baltimore, MD, USA, 29 June-1st July, 1994. 1: pp. 398-402.
7. Turri, V., Kim, Y., Guanetti, J., Johansson, K. H., and Borrelli, F. A model predictive controller for non-cooperative eco-platooning. *American Control Conference (ACC)*, Seattle, WA, USA, May 24-26, 2017: pp. 2309-2314.
8. Musa, M. J., Sudin, S., Mohamed, Z., and Nawawi, S. W. Novel Information Flow Topology for Vehicle Convoy Control. In: Mohamed Ali M., Wahid H., Mohd Subha N., Sahlan S., Md. Yunus M., and Wahap A. (eds) Modeling, Design and Simulation of Systems. *AsiaSim2017. Communications in Computer and Information Science*, Springer Nature, Gateway East, Singapore, Aug. 26, 2017. 751: pp. 323-335.

9. Swaroop, D V. A. H. G. String stability of interconnected systems: An application to platooning in automated high-way systems. *UC Berkeley: California Partners for Advanced Transit and Highways (PATH)*, Jan. 1st, 1997: pp. 1-120.
10. Arogeti, S., and Ailon, A. Formation control and string stability of a group of kinematic vehicles with front-steering wheels. *25th Mediterranean Conference on Control and Automation (MED)*, Valletta, Malta, 3rd – 6th July 2017: pp. 1011-1016.
11. Rajamani, R. Vehicle dynamics and control: Longitudinal control for vehicle platoons. In: *Vehicle Dynamics and Control. Mechanical Engineering Series*, Springer, Boston, MA, Oct. 20, 2012: pp. 171-200.
12. Levine, W., and Athans, M. On the optimal error regulation of a string of moving vehicles. *Automatic Control, IEEE Transactions on*, July, 1966. 11(3): pp. 355–361.
13. Melzer, S., and Kuo, B. Optimal regulation of systems described by a countably infinite number of objects. *Automatica, Elsevier*, May, 1971. 7(3): pp. 359-366.
14. Bender, J., and Fenton, R. A study of automatic car following. In: *19th IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference*, Nov. 1969. 18(3): pp. 134-140.
15. Peppard, L., and Gourishankar, V. An optimal automatic car-following system. *Vehicular Technology, IEEE Transactions on*, May. 1972. 21(2): pp. 67-73.
16. Peppard, L. String stability of relative-motion PID vehicle control systems. *Automatic Control, IEEE Transactions on*, Oct. 1974. 19(5): pp. 579-581.
17. Chu, K. C. Decentralized control of high-speed vehicular strings. *Transportation Science*, Nov. 1st, 1974. 8(4): pp. 361-384.
18. Gillespie, T. D. Fundamental of vehicle dynamics. *Society of Automotive Engineers. Inc. 400 Commonwealth Drive Warrendale, PA 15096-0001*, 1992.
19. Li, J., Han, W., Junhan, T., and Xiaopeng, Z. Coordinated powertrain control of upshift on combined clutch transmission for electric vehicles. *IEEE Conference and Expo Transportation Electrification Asia-Pacific (ITEC Asia-Pacific)*, Beijing, China, Aug. 31st – Sept. 3rd, 2014: pp. 1-4.
20. Els, P. S., Theron, N. J., Uys, P. E., and Thoresson, M. J. The ride comfort vs. handling compromise for off-road vehicles. *Journal of Terra mechanics, Elsevier publishers*, June 27, 2007. 44(4): pp. 303-317.

21. Zuska, A., and Więckowski, D. The impact of unbalanced wheels and vehicle speed on driving comfort. *XI International Science-Technical Conference Automotive Safety*, Casta, Slovakia, April 18-20, 2018: pp. 1-6.
22. Wong, J. Y. Theory of ground vehicles. 4th edition, *John Wiley and Sons, Inc.*, New York, USA, March 20, 2001. pp. 203-294, 335-387.
23. Krunoslav, O., and Osman M. Main ambient factors influencing passenger vehicle comfort. Proceedings of 2nd International Ergonomics Conference, Ergonomics, Stubicke Toplice, Zagreb, Croatia, Oct. 21st-22nd, 2004: pp. 77-84
24. International Standards Organisation. Mechanical Vibration and Shock-Evaluation of Human Exposure to Whole-Body Vibration, Part 1: General Requirements, ISO 2631-1, *The International Organisation for Standardisation*, 2nd ed, June 1997.
25. Mikulski, D. G., Trust-based controller for convoy string stability. *IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence in Vehicles and Transportation Systems (CIVTS)*, Orlando, FL, USA, Dec. 9-12, 2014: pp. 69-75.
26. Yuen, T. J., Rahizar, R., Azman, Z. A.M., Anuar, A., and Afandi, D. Design optimization of full vehicle suspension based on ride and handling performance. In: *SAE-China, FISITA (eds) Proceedings of the FISITA 2012 World Automotive Congress. Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, Nov. 15, 2013. 195: pp. 75-86.
27. Zulkarnain, N., Imaduddin, F., Zamzuri, H., and Mazlan, S. A. Application of an active anti-roll bar system for enhancing vehicle ride and handling. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE Colloquium on Humanities, Science and Engineering Research*, Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia, Dec. 3-4, 2012. pp. 260-265.
28. Zulkarnain, N., Zamzuri, H., Sam, Y. M., Mazlan, S. A., and Zainal, S. M. H. F. Improving vehicle ride and handling using LQG CNF fusion control strategy for an active anti-roll bar system. *Abstract and Applied Analysis. Hindawi Publishing Corporation*. Jan. 1st, 2014. 2014: pp. 1-15.
29. Hedrick, J. K., McMahan, D., Narendran, V., and Swaroop, D. Longitudinal Vehicle Controller Design for IVHS Systems. *American Control Conference, IEEE*, Boston, MA, USA, June 26-28, 1991: pp. 3107-3112.
30. Tao, Y., Chen, J., Liu, M., Liu, X., and Fu, Y. Research of unidirectional automated Guided Vehicles System based on simulation. *IEEE 17Th*

- International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management*, Xiamen, China, Oct. 29-31, 2010: pp. 1564-1567.
31. Hao, H., and Barooah, P. On achieving size-independent stability margin of vehicular lattice formations with distributed control. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, March 15, 2012. 57(10): pp. 2688-2694.
 32. Zheng, Y., Li, S. E., Wang, J., Wang, L. Y., and Li, K. Influence of information flow topology on closed-loop stability of vehicle platoon with rigid formation. In: *Proc. 17th Int. IEEE Conf ITSC*, Qingdao, China, Oct. 8-11, 2014: pp. 2094-2100
 33. Sun, X., Jia, X., Li L., and Zhang, D. Autonomous vehicle following control based on an extended state observer. *Control Conference (CCC), 34th Chinese, IEEE*, Hangzhou, China, July 28-30, 2015: pp. 8079-8084.
 34. Musa, M. J., Sudin, S., Mohamed, Z., Sha'aban, Y. A., Usman, A. D., and Hassan, A. U. An Improved Topology Model for Two-Vehicle Look-ahead and Rear-Vehicle Convoy Control. In: *IEEE 3rd International Conference on Electro-Technology for National Development (NIGERCON)*, Owerri, Imo, Nigeria, Nov. 7-10th, 2017, pp. 548-553.
 35. Sapiee M. R., and Sudin, S. Road vehicle following system with adaptive controller gain using model reference adaptive control method. *International Journal of Simulation Systems, Science & Technology*, United Kingdom Simulation Society, UK, Sept. 1, 2010, 11(5): pp. 24-32.
 36. Sapiee, M. R., and Noordin, A. Adaptive gain controller using model reference adaptive control method stability approach for road vehicle following system. *Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology (JMET) UTEM*, July 2010, 2(1): pp. 55-70.
 37. Seiler, P., Pant, A., and Hedrick, K. Disturbance propagation in vehicle strings. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, Oct. 8, 2004. 49(10): pp. 1835-1841.
 38. Lestas, I., and Vinnicombe, G. Scalability in heterogeneous vehicle platoons. In: *American Control Conference, IEEE*, New York, NY, USA, July 9-13, 2007: pp. 4678-4683.
 39. Barooah, P., Mehta, P. G., and Hespanha, J. P. Mistuning-based control design to improve closed-loop stability margin of vehicular platoons. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, Aug. 18, 2009. 54(9): pp. 2100-2113.

40. Peters, A. A., Richard, H. M., and Oliver, M. Leader tracking in homogeneous vehicle platoons with broadcast delays. *Automatica, Elsevier*, Jan. 2014. 5(1): pp. 64-74.
41. Wang, X., Fu, M., Ma, H., and Yang, Y. Lateral control of autonomous vehicle based on fuzzy logic. *Control Engineering Practice, Elsevier*, Jan. 2015. 34: pp. 1-17.
42. Fernandes, P., and Nunes, U. Multiplatooning leaders positioning and cooperative behavior algorithms of communicant automated vehicles for high traffic capacity. *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, Sept. 22, 2015. 16(3): pp. 1172-1187.
43. Zheng, Y., Eben Li, S., Wang, J., Cao, D., and Li, K. Stability and scalability of homogeneous vehicular platoon: Study on the influence of information flow topologies. *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, March 6, 2016. 17(1): pp. 14-26.
44. Musa, M. J., Sudin, S., and Mohamed, Z. Aerodynamic disturbance on vehicle's dynamic parameters. *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, School of Engineering, Taylor's University, Malaysia, Jan. 01st, 2018. 13(1): pp. 69-82.
45. Cook, P. A., and Sudin, S. Convoy dynamics with bidirectional flow of control information. In *Proc. 10th IFAC Symp. Control Transportation Systems*, Tokyo, Japan, Aug. 4-9, 2003: pp. 433-438
46. Sudin, S., and Cook, P. A. Dynamics of convoy control systems with two-vehicle look-ahead Strategy. International Conference on Robotics, Vision, Information and Signal Processing (ROVISIP), Penang, Malaysia, Jan. 22-24, 2003: pp. 327-332.
47. Sudin, S., and Cook, P. A. Two-vehicle look-ahead convoy control systems. In: *IEEE 56th Vehicular Technology Conference*. Milan, Italy, May 17-19, 2004. 5: pp. 2935-2939.
48. Swaroop, D., and Rajagopal, K. R. Intelligent cruise control systems and traffic flow stability. *Transportation research. Part C, Emerging technologies*, Dec. 1999. 7(6): pp. 329-352.
49. Ariffin, M. H. M., Rahman, M. A. A., and Zamzuri, H. Effect of leader information broadcasted throughout vehicle platoon in a constant spacing policy. *IEEE International Symposium on Robotics and Intelligent Sensors (IRIS)*, Langkawi, Malaysia, Oct. 18-20th, 2015: pp. 132-137.

50. Chiang, Y., and Juang, J. C. Longitudinal vehicle control with the spacing policy in consideration of brake input limits. In: *IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, ISIC.*, Montreal, Que., Canada, Oct. 7-10, 2007. pp. 1705-1710.
51. Zhang, J., and Ioannou, P. Control of heavy-duty trucks: Environmental and fuel economy considerations. *Technical report, California Partners for Advanced Transit and Highways (PATH)*, Jan. 1, 2004: pp. 4-8.
52. Huppe, X., de Lafontaine, J., Beauregard, M., and Michaud, F. Guidance and control of a platoon of vehicles adapted to changing environment conditions. *Systems, Man and Cybernetics, IEEE International Conference on*, Washington, DC, USA, Oct. 5-8, 2003. 4: pp. 3091-3096.
53. Rajamani, R., and Zhu, C. Semi-autonomous adaptive cruise control systems. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, Nov. 16, 2002. 51(5). pp. 1186-1192.
54. Liang, H., and Peng, C. Y. String stability analysis of adaptive cruise-controlled vehicles. *JSME international journal. Series C, Mechanical systems, machine elements and manufacturing*, 2000. 43(3): pp. 611-761.
55. Marsden, G., McDonald, M., and Brackstone, M. Towards an understanding of adaptive cruise control. *Elsevier Science Ltd. UK: Transportation Research past C*, Feb. 2001. 9(1): pp. 33-51.
56. Yang, T. C. Networked control system: A brief survey. In: *Control theory and applications, IEE Proceedings, IEEE*, May 30, 2006. 153(4): pp. 403-412.
57. Fujioka, H. Stability analysis for a class of networked/embedded control systems: A discrete-time approach. In: *Proc. of the American Control Conf*, Seattle, WA, USA, June 11-13, 2008: pp. 4997-5002.
58. Klinge, S., and Middleton, H. Time headway requirements for string stability of homogeneous linear uni-directionally connected. *Joint 48th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control and 28th Chinese Control Conf* Shanghai, China, Dec. 15-18, 2009: pp. 1992-1997.
59. Zhou, J., and Peng, H. Range policy of adaptive cruise control vehicles for improved flow stability and string stability. *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, June 6, 2005. 6(2): pp. 229-237.

60. Van Winsum, W. The human element in car following models. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behavior*, Dec. 1999. 2(4): pp. 207-211.
61. Willke, T. L., Tientrakool, P., and Maxemchuk, N. A survey of inter-vehicle communication protocols and their applications. *Communications Surveys and Tutorials, IEEE*, June 2, 2009. 11(2): pp. 3-20.
62. Jing, J., Kurt, A., Ozatay, E., Michelini, J., Filev D., and Ozguner, U. Vehicle speed prediction in a convoy using V2V communication. *IEEE 18th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, Las Palmas, Spain, Sept. 15-18, 2015: pp. 2861-2868
63. Yanakiev, D., Eyre, J., and Kanellakopoulos, I. Analysis, design, and evaluation of AVCS for heavy-duty vehicles with actuator delays. *Technical report, California Partners for Advanced Transit and Highways (PATH)*, April 1998: pp. 21-25.
64. Peppard, L. String stability of relative-motion PID vehicle control systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, Oct. 1974. 19(5): pp. 579–581.
65. Chang, S. Temporal stability of n-dimensional linear processors and its applications. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, Aug. 1980. 27(8): pp. 716-719.
66. Chien, C. C., and Ioannou, P. Automatic vehicle-following. In: *Proceedings of the American Control Conference, IEEE*, Chicago, IL, USA, USA, June 24-26, 1992: pp. 1748-1752.
67. Liang, K., Alam, A., and Gattami, A. The impact of heterogeneity and order in heavy duty vehicle platooning networks (poster). *IEEE Vehicular Networking Conference (VNC)*, Amsterdam, Netherlands, Nov. 14-16, 2011: pp. 291-297.
68. Bose, A., and Ioannou, P.A. Analysis of traffic flow with mixed manual and semi-automated vehicles. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, Dec. 2003. 4(4): pp. 173-188.
69. Sipahi, R., and Niculescu, S. I. Chain stability in traffic flow with driver reaction delays. In: *American Control Conference, IEEE*, Seattle, WA, USA, June 11-13, 2008: pp. 4922-4927.
70. Swaroop, D., and Hedrick, J. K. Direct adaptive longitudinal control of vehicle platoons. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, Lake Buena Vista, FL, USA, Dec. 14-16, 1994. 1: pp. 684-689.

71. Swaroop, D., Hedrick, J. K., and Choi, S. B. Direct adaptive longitudinal control of vehicle platoons. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, Jan. 2001. 50(1): pp. 150-161.
72. Yanakiev, D., and Kanellakopoulos, I. Nonlinear spacing policies for automated heavy-duty vehicles. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, Nov. 1998. 47(4): pp. 1365-1377.
73. Besselink, B., and Johansson, K. H. String Stability and a Delay-Based Spacing Policy for Vehicle Platoons Subject to Disturbances. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, Sept. 2017. 62(9): pp. 4376-4391.
74. Sudin, S., and Cook, P. A. Two-vehicle look-ahead convoy control systems. In: *59th IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference*, Milan, Italy, May 17-19, 2004. 5: pp. 2935-2939.
75. Travis, W., and Bevely, D. M. Trajectory duplication using relative position information for automated ground vehicle convoys. *IEEE/ION Position, Location and Navigation Symposium*, Monterey, CA, USA, May 5-8, 2008: pp. 1022-1032.
76. Li, S. E., Zheng, Y., Li, K., and Wang, J. An overview of vehicular platoon control under the four-component framework. *IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, Seoul, South Korea, 28 June-July 1st, 2015: pp. 286-291.
77. Zhang, L., and Orosz, G. Motif-based design for connected vehicle systems in presence of heterogeneous connectivity structures and time delays. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, June 20, 2016. 17(6): pp. 1638-1651.
78. Shladover, S. E., Desoer, C. A., Hedrick, J. K., Tomizuka, M., Walrand, J., Zhang, W. B., McMahon, D. H., Peng, H., Sheikholeslam, S., and McKeown, N. Automated vehicle control developments in the PATH program. *IEEE Trans. Vehicular Tech.*, Feb. 1991. 40(1): pp.114-130. (19)
79. Sheikholeslam, S., and Desoer, C. Longitudinal control of a platoon of vehicles with no communication of lead vehicle information: A system-level study. *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, Nov. 1993. 42(4): pp. 546-554.
80. Liu, X., Goldsmith, A., Mahal, S., and Hedrick, J. Effects of communication delay on string stability of vehicle platoons. In: *Proc. of IEEE Intell., Transport Syst.*, Oakland, CA, USA, Aug. 25-29, 2001: pp. 625-630.

81. Seiler, P., and Sengupta, R. Analysis of communication losses in vehicle control problems. *Proc. Amer. Control Conf, IEEE*, Arlington, VA, USA, June 25-27, 2001. 2: pp. 1491-1496.
82. Swaroop, D., Hedrick, J., Chien, C., and Ioannou, P. A comparison of spacing and headway control laws for automatically controlled vehicles. *Veh. Syst. Dyn.*, Nov. 1994. 23(1): pp. 597-625.
83. Khan, M. A., Youn, E., Youn, I., and Wu, L. Steady state drifting controller for vehicles travelling in reverse direction. *15th International Bhurban Conference on Applied Sciences and Technology (IBCAST)*, Islamabad, Pakistan, Jan. 9-13, 2018: pp. 263-268.
84. Naus, G. J. L., Vugts, R. P. A., Ploeg, J., van-de-Molengraft, M. J. G., and Steinbuch, M. String-stable CACC design and experimental validation: A frequency-domain approach. *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, Sept. 13, 2010. 59(9): pp. 4268-4279.
85. Xiao, L., and Cao, F. Practical string stability of platoon of adaptive cruise control vehicles. *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, May 31, 2011. 12(4): pp. 1184-1194.
86. Shaw, J., and Hedrick, J. K. String stability analysis for heterogeneous vehicle strings. In: *Proc. of Amer. Control Conf*, New York, NY, USA, July 9-13, 2007: pp. 3118-3125.
87. Hao, H., and Barooah, P. Control of large 1D network of double integrator agents: Role of heterogeneity and asymmetry on stability margin. In: *Decision and Control, 49th IEEE Conference on, Atlanta, GA, USA*, Dec. 15-17, 2010: pp. 7395-7400.
88. Guo, G., and Yue, W. Hierarchical platoon control with heterogeneous information feedback. *IET Control Theory Appl.*, Oct. 13, 2011. 5(15): pp. 1766-1781.
89. Dunbar, W., and Caveney, D. Distributed receding horizon control of vehicle platoons: Stability and string stability. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, June 16, 2012. 57(3): pp. 620-633.
90. Ploeg, J., Shukla, D. P., van de Wouw, N., and Nijmeijer, H. Controller synthesis for string stability of vehicle platoons. *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, April 2014. 15(2): pp. 854-865.

91. Robinson, T., and Chan, E. Operating platoons on public motorways: An introduction to the SARTRE platooning programme. In: *17th World Congress on Intell. Transp. Syst. (ITS)*, Oct. 25-29, 2010: pp. 1-11.
92. Tsugawa, S., Kato, S., and Aoki, K. An automated truck platoon for energy saving. In: *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA, Sept. 25-30, 2011: pp. 4109-4114.
93. Kianfar, R., Augusto, B., Ebadighajari, A., Hakeem, U., Nilsson, J., Raza, A., Tabar, R. S., Irukulapati, N. V., Englund, C., Falcone, P., Papanastasiou, S., Svensson, L., and Wymeersch, H. Design and experimental validation of a cooperative driving system in the grand cooperative driving challenge. *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, Feb. 28, 2012. 13(3): pp. 994-1007.
94. Ploeg, J., Serrarens, A. F. A., and Heijenk, G. J. Connect and Drive: design and evaluation of cooperative adaptive cruise control for congestion reduction. *Journal of Modern Transportation*, Sept. 2011. 19(3): pp. 207-213.
95. Oncu, S., Ploeg, J., van-de-Wouw, N., and Nijmeijer, H. Cooperative adaptive cruise control: network-aware analysis of string stability. *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, Aug. 4th, 2014. 15(4): pp. 1527-1537.
96. Bernardo, M., Alessandro, S., and Stefania, S. Distributed consensus strategy for platooning of vehicles in the presence of time-varying heterogeneous communication delay. *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, Feb. 2015. 16(1): pp. 102-112.
97. Wang, L., Syed, A., Yin, G., Pandya, A., and Zhang, H. Control of vehicle platoons for highway safety and efficient utility: consensus with communications and vehicle dynamics. *Journal of Systems Science and Complexity*, Aug. 2014. 27(4): pp. 605-631.
98. Llatser, I., Festag, A., and Fettweis, G. Vehicular communication performance in convoys of automated vehicles. In: *IEEE ICC Ad-hoc and Sensor Networking Symposium*, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, May 22-27, 2016: pp. 1-6.
99. Ali, A., Garcia, G., and Martinet, P. Minimizing the inter-vehicle distances of the time headway policy for urban platoon control with decoupled longitudinal and lateral control. In: *Proceedings of the 16th International IEEE Annual Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, Hague, Netherlands, Oct. 6-9, 2013: pp. 1805-1810.

100. Henke, C., and Trächtler, A., Autonomously driven railway cabin convoys–communication, control design and experimentation. In: *International Conference on Connected Vehicles and Expo (ICCVE), IEEE, Las Vegas, NV, USA*, Dec. 2-6, 2013: pp. 277-282.
101. Knorn, A., and Middleton, R. H. String stability analysis of a vehicle platoon with communication range 2 using the two-dimensional induced operator norm. *European Control Conference (ECC), IEEE, Zurich, Switzerland*, July 17-19, 2013: pp. 3354-3359.
102. Ali, A., Garcia, G., and Martinet, P. Safe platooning in the event of communication loss using the flatbed tow truck model. *13th International Conference on Control, Automation, Robotics & Vision, (ICARCV), IEEE, Singapore*, Dec. 10-12, 2014: pp. 1644-1649.
103. Hassan, A. U., and Sudin, S. Road vehicle following control strategy using model reference adaptive control method stability approach. *Jurnal Teknologi (Sciences and Engineering)*, Jan. 2015. 72(1): pp. 111-117.
104. Ali, A., Garcia, G., and Martinet, P. The flatbed platoon towing model for safe and dense platooning on highways. *IEEE Intelligent transportation systems magazine*, Jan. 16, 2015. 7(1): pp. 58-68.
105. Fries, C., and Wuensche, H. J. Autonomous convoy driving by night: the vehicle tracking system. *IEEE International Conference on Technologies for Practical Robot Applications (TePRA)*, Woburn, MA, USA, May 11-12, 2015: pp. 1-6.
106. Jia, D., Lu, K., Wang, J., Zhang X., and Shen, X. a survey on platoon-based vehicular cyber-physical systems. In: *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 2016. 18(1): pp. 263-284.
107. Li, S. E., Zheng, Y., Li K., and Wang, J. An overview of vehicular platoon control under the four-component framework. *IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, 28 June-1st July 2015. pp. 286-291.
108. Xu, L., Miao L., and Zhao, J. Impact of DSRC information content and transmission rate for highway vehicle platoons. *Wireless Telecommunications Symposium (WTS), IEEE, New York, NY, USA*, April 15-17, 2015: pp. 1-6.
109. Bergenhem, C. Approaches for facilities layer protocols for platooning. *IEEE 18th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, Las Palmas, Spain, Sept. 15-18, 2015: pp. 1989-1994.

110. Asplund, M. Model-based membership verification in vehicular platoons. *IEEE International Conference on Dependable Systems and Networks*, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, June 22-25, 2015: pp. 125-132.
111. Marjovi, A., Vasic, M., Lemaitre J., and Martinoli, A. Distributed graph-based convoy control for networked intelligent vehicles. *IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, Las Palmas, Spain, Sept. 15-18, 2015: pp. 138-143.
112. Fernandes P., and Nunes, U. Multiplatooning leaders positioning and cooperative behavior algorithms of communicant automated vehicles for high traffic capacity. In: *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, Sept. 22, 2015. 16(3): pp. 1172-1187.
113. Wang, P., Sun, Z., Tan, J., Huang, Z., Zhu Q., and Zhao, W. Development and evaluation of cooperative adaptive cruise controllers. In: *IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation (ICMA)*, Beijing, China, Aug. 2-5, 2015: pp. 1607-1612.
114. Zhang L., and Orosz, G. Nonlinear dynamics of connected vehicle systems with communication delays. In: *American Control Conference (ACC), IEEE*, Chicago, IL, USA, July 1-3, 2015: pp. 2759-2764.
115. Guo, X., Wang, J., Liao, R., and Teo, R. S. H. Distributed adaptive sliding mode control strategy for vehicle-following systems with nonlinear acceleration uncertainties. In: *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, April 20, 2017. 66(2): pp. 981-991.
116. Teo, R., Stipanovic, D. M., and Tomlin, C. J. Decentralized spacing control of a string of multiple vehicles over lossy datalinks. In: *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, Oct. 30, 2010. 18(2): pp. 469-473.
117. Xiao, L., and Gao, F. Practical string stability of platoon of adaptive cruise control vehicles. *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, May 31, 2011. 12(4): pp. 1184-1194.
118. Guo, G., and Yue, W. Hierarchical platoon control with heterogeneous information feedback. *IET Control Theory Appl., IEEE*, Oct. 10, 2011. 5(15): pp. 1766-1781.
119. Guo, G., and Yue, W. Autonomous platoon control allowing range limited sensors. *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, June 8, 2012. 61(7): pp. 2901-2912.

120. Ghasemi, A., Kazemi, R., and Azadi, S. Stable decentralized control of a platoon of vehicles with heterogeneous information feedback. *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, March 20, 2013. 62(9): pp. 4299-4308.
121. Kwon, J. W., and Chwa, D. Adaptive bidirectional platoon control using a coupled sliding mode control method. *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, April 2, 2014. 15(5): pp. 2040-2048.
122. Lee, G., and Kim, S. A longitudinal control system for a platoon of vehicles using a fuzzy-sliding mode algorithm. *Mechatronics, Elsevier*. Feb. 2002. 12(1): pp. 97-118.
123. Hao, H., Barooah, P., and Mehta, P. Stability margin scaling laws of distributed formation control as a function of network structure. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, April 2011. 56(4): pp. 923-929.
124. Milanés, V., Shladover, S. E., Spring, J., Nowakowski, C., Kawazoe, H., and Nakamura, M. Cooperative adaptive cruise control in real traffic situations. *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, Aug. 30, 2014. 15(1): pp. 296-305.
125. Lin, F., Fardad, M., and Jovanovic, M. Algorithms for leader selection in stochastically forced consensus networks. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, July 2014. 59(7): pp. 1789-1802.
126. Zheng, Y., Li, S. E., Li, K., Wang, L., and Wang, L. Stability margin improvement of vehicular platoon considering undirected topology and asymmetric control. *IEEE Trans. Contr. Syst. Technol.*, Oct. 16, 2015. 24(4): pp. 1253-1265.
127. Ploeg, J., van Wouw, N., Nijmeijer, H. Lp string stability of cascaded systems: application to vehicle platooning. *IEEE Trans. Contr. Syst. Technol.*, March 2, 2014. 22(2): pp. 786-793.
128. Sheikholeslam, S., and Desoer, C. A. Control of interconnected nonlinear dynamical systems: the platoon problem. *Automatic Control, IEEE Transactions on*, June 1992. 37(6): pp. 806-810.
129. Swaroop, D., and Hedrick, J. String stability of interconnected systems. *Automatic Control. IEEE Transactions on*, March 1996. 41(3): pp. 349-357.
130. Bender, J. G., and Fenton, R. E. A study of automatic car following. In: *Vehicular Technology Conference, 19th IEEE*, Nov. 1968. 18(3): pp. 134-140.
131. Middleton, R. H. Trade-offs in linear control system design. *Automatica, Journal of IFAC*, March 1991. 27(2): pp. 281-292.

132. Mayne, D.Q. Model predictive control: Recent developments and future promise. *Automatica, Elsevier*, Dec. 2014. 50(12): pp. 2967-2986.
133. Guerreiro, B., Silvestre, C., and Cunha, R. Terrain avoidance nonlinear model predictive control for autonomous rotorcraft. *Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems*, Sept. 2012. 68(1): pp. 69-85.
134. Farina, M., Betti, G., and Scattolini, R. Distributed predictive control of continuous-time systems. *Systems and Control Letters*, Dec. 2014. 74: pp. 32-40.
135. Vazquez, S., Leon, J., Franquelo, L., Rodriguez, J., Young, H., Marquez, A., and Zanchetta, P. Model predictive control: A review of its applications in power electronics. *Industrial Electronics Magazine, IEEE*, March 19, 2014. 8(1): pp. 16-31.
136. Percival, M., Wang, Y., Grosman, B., Dassau, E., Zisser, H., Jovanovič, L., and Doyle III, F. J. Development of a multi-parametric model predictive control algorithm for insulin delivery in type 1 diabetes mellitus using clinical parameters. *Journal of Process Control*, March 2011. 21(3): pp. 391-404.
137. Oldewurtel, F., Jones, C., Parisio, A., and Morari, M. Stochastic model predictive control for building climate control. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, May 2014. 22(3): pp. 1198-1205.
138. Richalet J., and O'Donovan, D. Predictive functional control: principles and industrial applications. *Springer*, London, 2009.
139. Qin S., and Badgwell, T. A. A survey of industrial model predictive control technology. *Control Engineering Practice*, July 2003. 11(7): pp. 733-764.
140. Rawlings, J. B., and Muske, K. R. The stability of constrained receding horizon control. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, Oct. 1993. 38(10): pp. 1512-1516.
141. Turri, V., Kim, Y., Guanetti, J., Johansson, K. H., and Borrelli, F. A model predictive controller for non-cooperative eco-platooning. *American Control Conference*, Seattle, WA, USA, May 24-26, 2017: pp. 2309–2314.
142. Ling, G., Lindsten, K., Ljungqvist, O., Löfberg, J., Norén, C., and Larsson, C. A., Fuel-efficient Model Predictive Control for Heavy Duty Vehicle Platooning using Neural Networks. *Annual American Control Conference (ACC)*, Milwaukee, WI, USA, June 27-29, 2018: pp. 3994-4001.

143. Batra, M., Maitland, A., McPhee, J., and Azad, N. L., Non-Linear Model Predictive Anti-Jerk Cruise Control for Electric Vehicles with Slip-Based Constraints. *Annual American Control Conference (ACC)*, Milwaukee, WI, USA, June 27-29, 2018: pp. 3915-3920.
144. Mayne, D. Q., Rawlings, J. B., Rao, C. V., and Scokaert, P. O. M. Constrained model predictive control: stability and optimality. *Automatica, Elsevier*, June 2000. 36(6): pp. 789-814.
145. Rawlings, J. B. Tutorial overview of model predictive control. *IEEE Control systems*, June 2000. 20(3): pp. 38-52.
146. Ogrodnik, P. J. Fundamental engineering mechanics. *Addison Wesley Longman Ltd.*, 1997.
147. Khan, M. S., Su, H., and Tang, G. Optimal Tracking Control of Flight Trajectory for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles. *IEEE 27th International Symposium on Industrial Electronics (ISIE)*, Cairns, QLD, Australia, June 13-15, 2018: pp. 264-269.
148. Ahmed, S. R., and Hucho, W. H. Aerodynamics of road vehicles: From fluid mechanics to vehicle engineering. *Warrendale, Pa: Society of automotive engineers*. 4th edition, 1998.
149. Huo, Q., and Mei, Y. Study on Aerodynamic Drag Characteristics of High-Speed Train. *International Conference on Robots & Intelligent System (ICRIS)*, Changsha, China, May 26-27, 2018: pp. 506-509.
150. Kieselbach, R. J. F. Streamline cars in Germany. Aerodynamics in the construction of passenger vehicles. *Stuttgart: Kohlhammer Ed. Auto and Verk*. 1900-1945. 1982a.
151. Uhler, D., Sedlacek, P., and Hosek, J. Practical overview of commercial connected cars systems in Europe. *9th International Congress on Ultra-Modern Telecommunications and Control Systems and Workshops (ICUMT)*, Munich, Germany, Nov. 6-8, 2017: pp. 436-444.
152. Hucho, W. H., and Sovran, G. Aerodynamics of road vehicles. *Annual review of fluid mechanics*. Jan. 1993. 25: pp. 485-537.
153. Gérard-Philippe Z., and Henri P. G. Simple algorithms for solving steady-state frictional rolling contact problems in two and three dimensions. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, March 15, 2013. 50(6): pp. 843-852

154. Sovran, G., Bohn, M. S. Formulae for the tractive-energy requirements of vehicles driving the EPA schedules. *SAE International, Technical Paper Series. International Congress and Exposition cobo Hall, Detroit, Michidan, U.S.A. Feb. 23-27, 1981: pp. 1-15.*
155. Trigui, O., Mejri, E., Dube, Y., Kelouwani, S., and Agbossou, K. Energy Efficient Routing Estimation in Electric Vehicle with Online Rolling Resistance Estimation. *IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference (VPPC), Belfort, France, Dec. 11-14, 2017: pp. 1-6.*
156. Carbaugh, J., Godbole, D. N., and Sengupta, R. Safety and capacity analysis of automated and manual highway systems. *Elsevier Science Ltd. UK: Transportation Research Part C, Feb. 1998. 6(1-2): pp. 69-99.*
157. Xie, Q., Filho, C. H. L., Clandfield, F. W., and Kar, N. C. Advanced vehicle dynamic model for EV emulation considering environment conditions. *IEEE 30th Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering (CCECE), Windsor, ON, Canada, April 30th to May 3rd, 2017. pp. 1-4.*
158. Cook, P.A., and Sudin, S. Dynamics of convoy control systems. In: *Proceedings of the 10th IEEE Mediterranean Conference on Control and Automation, WP7-2. Lisbon, Portugal. 2002: pp. 1-8.*
159. Rajamani, R., and Shladover, S. E. An experimental comparative study of autonomous and co-operative vehicle-follower control systems. *Elsevier Science Ltd. UK: Transportation Research Part C, Feb. 2001. 9(1): pp. 15-31.*
160. Li, P., Alvarez, L., and Horowitz, R. AHS safe control laws for platoon leaders. *IEEE Transactions on Control System Technology, Nov. 1997. 5(6): pp. 614-628.*
161. Maciejowski, J. M. Predictive control: with constraints. *Pearson Education, Upper Saddle River, 2002.*
162. Markel, T. Plug-in HEV vehicle design options and expectations. *ZEV Technology Symposium, California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA, USA, Sept. 27, 2006: pp. 111-122.*
163. Wang, L. A. Tutorial on model predictive control using a linear velocity-form model. *Develop. Chem. Eng. Mineral Process, 2004. 12(5-6): pp. 573-614.*
164. Wang, L. Model predictive control system design and implementation using MATLAB. *Springer, Advances in Industrial Control, Book Series, 2009.*

165. Patwardhan, S.C., A gentle introduction to Model Predictive Control (MPC) formulations based on discrete linear state space models. *Dept. of Chemical Engineering, I. I. T. Bombay, Powai, Mumbai*. pp. 1-42.
166. Rossiter, J. A. Model-based predictive control: a practical approach. *Control series, Boca Raton: CRC Press, London New York Washington, D.C.* June 27, 2003: pp. 31-84.
167. Avely, R. P., Wang, Y., and Rutherford G. S. Length-based vehicle classification using images from uncalibrated video cameras. *IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Conference*, Washington, D.C., WA, USA, Oct. 36, 2004: pp. 737-742.
168. Grajek, T., Ratajczak, R. Wegner, K., and Domański, M. Limitations of vehicle length estimation using stereoscopic video analysis. In: *20th IEEE International Conference on Systems, Signals and Image Processing*, Bucharest, Romania, Oct. 10, 2013: pp. 27-30.
169. Zhu, H., Wang, J., and Yang, Z. Numerical analysis on effect of vehicle length on automotive aerodynamic drag. In: *IET International Conference on Information Science and Control Engineering (ICISCE2012)*, Shenzhen, China, Dec. 7-9, 2012: pp. 1-4.
170. González, D., Milanés, V., Pérez, J., and Nashashibi, F. Speed profile generation based on quintic bézier curves for enhanced passenger comfort. *Intelligent Transportation Systems, 2016 IEEE 19th International Conference on*. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, Dec. 1-4, 2016: pp. 814-819.