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#### Abstract

We present a linear, second order fully discrete numerical scheme on a staggered grid for a thermodynamically consistent hydrodynamic phase field model of binary compressible fluid flow mixtures derived from the generalized Onsager Principle. The hydrodynamic model not only possesses the variational structure, but also warrants the mass, linear momentum conservation as well as energy dissipation. We first reformulate the model in an equivalent form using the energy quadratization method and then discretize the reformulated model to obtain a semidiscrete partial differential equation system using the Crank-Nicolson method in time. The numerical scheme so derived preserves the mass conservation and energy dissipation law at the semi-discrete level. Then, we discretize the semi-discrete PDE system on a staggered grid in space to arrive at a fully discrete scheme using the 2nd order finite difference method, which respects a discrete energy dissipation law. We prove the unique solvability of the linear system resulting from the fully discrete scheme. Mesh refinements and two numerical examples on phase separation due to the spinodal decomposition in two polymeric fluids and interface evolution in the gas-liquid mixture are presented to show the convergence property and the usefulness of the new scheme in applications.


## 1 Introduction

Material systems comprising of multi-components, some of which are compressible while others are incompressible, are ubiquitous in nature and industrial applications. For example, in growing tissues, cell proliferation makes the material volume changes so that it can not be described as incompressible 20. Another example of the mixture of compressible fluids is the binary fluid flows of non-hydrocarbon (e.g. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) and hydrocarbons encountered in the enhanced oil recovery(EOR) process. Since gas (e.g. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) injection offers considerable potential benefits to oil recovery and is attracting the most new market interest since 1972, properties (viscosity, density et al.) of multicomponent compressible mixtures of nonhydrocarbon and hydrocarbons have been studied by a number of investigators 11,26,35.

[^0]Phase field methods have been used successfully to formulate models for fluid mixtures in many applications ranging from life sciences $[38,39,46,61$ (cell biology $22,33,38,55,62,63$, biofilms [50 52, cell adhesion and motility [6, 28, 32 34, 38], cell membrane [2, 3, 16 44, 47], tumor growth 46]), to materials science $[5,7,13]$, fluid dynamics $[29,30,43]$, image processing [4, 25, 59] et al. The most widely studied phase field model for binary fluid mixtures is the one for fluid mixtures of two incompressible fluids of identical densities $[1,21,27]$. While modeling binary fluid mixtures using phase field models, one commonly uses a labeling or a phase variable (a volume fraction or a mass fraction) $\phi$ to distinguish between distinct material phases. For instance $\phi=1$ indicates one fluid phase while $\phi=0$ denotes another fluid phase in the immiscible, binary fluid mixture. For immiscible mixtures, the interfacial region is described by $0<\phi<1$. A transport equation for the volume fraction $\phi$ along with conservation equations of mass and momentum constitute the governing system of equations for the binary incompressible fluid mixture.

In the compressible fluid flow, we use the mass density $\rho_{i}$ or molar density $n_{i}$ in place of volume fraction $\phi_{i}(i=1,2)$, to represent the distribution of each compressible component in the fluid mixture. The material compressibility comes from two sources. One is the material compressibility itself and the other is the mass-generating source. In general, the transport equation for the mass density of each component is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \rho_{i}}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot\left(\rho_{i} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)=j_{i}, \quad i=1, \cdots, N \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial n_{i}}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot\left(n_{i} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)=j_{i}, \quad i=1, \cdots, N \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{v}_{i}$ is the velocity of the ith component, $j_{i}$ is the mass source or molar source of the ith component. The transport equations for the mass or molar densities along with the conservation laws of mass and momentum constitute the governing equations of the hydrodynamic phase field models of the compressible fluid mixtures.

Distinguishing properties of the compressible hydrodynamic phase field models include that the density of each compressible material component is a variable, the mass average velocity of the fluid flow is most likely not solenoidal, and the pressure is determined by the equation of state or the free energy of the mixture system (at least in the isothermal case). In [30], Truskinovsky and Lowengrub derived the Navier-Stokes-Cahn-Hilliard (NSCH) system for a binary mixture of two incompressible fluid flows with unmatched densities in the fluid components, in which the mass concentration of one fluid component in the binary fluid flow is used as the phase variable. They termed the hydrodynamic phase field model quasi-incompressible. In 23,24 , Sun et al. propose a general diffuse interface model with a given equation of state (e.g. Peng-Robinson equation of state) to describe the multi-component fluid flow based on the principles of the NVT-based framework. In [58], we systematically derived a thermodynamically consistent hydrodynamic phase field model for multi-component compressible fluid mixtures through a variational approach coupled with the generalized Onsager Principle [49] and discussed various means to arrive at the quasi-incompressible limit and the fully incompressible limit. In this paper, we develop an unconditionally energy stable numerical algorithm to solve the thermodynamically consistent, hydrodynamic phase field model.

The hydrodynamic phase field model is nonlinear, exemplified in its free energy, mobility coefficients and in the advection in the transport equations. Higher order approximation, unconditional
energy stability as well as computational efficiency are desired properties to attain in developing its numerical approximation. To preserve the energy dissipation property, several time-marching approaches have been developed in the past: convex splitting method [8, 10, 14, 15, stabilization method [41, 60], and energy quadratization (EQ, including SAV) approach (19, 19, 54,57). The convex splitting method has been used to obtain a series of first order energy stable schemes for various PDE models exhibiting energy dissipation properties. However, the convex-splitting scheme is usually nonlinear and therefore can be expensive to solve from time to time. On the other hand, even though it is possible to construct a second order convex splitting scheme in some cases, it was usually done on a case by case basis and a general formulation is not yet available. The stabilization method is another method for obtaining energy stable numerical approximations, which is equivalent to a convex splitting method in some cases. By adding a linear, stablizing operator in the order of the truncation error, one can obtain an energy stable algorithm. In general, a second order stabilizing scheme can be derived, it preserves the discrete energy decay but not the dissipation rate. The energy quadratization(EQ), also known as the invariant energy quadratization(IEQ), method was proposed recently 48 and well developed in various gradient flows and hydrodynamic phase field models [19,54,57. By introducing intermediate variables, one can rewrite the nonlinear free energy functional into a quadratic from, from which a linear second order or even higher order numerical scheme can be constructed $[17,53,56]$.

Recently, Sun et al. [23, 24] used the convex splitting approach and the scalar auxiliary variable method [42], which is developed based on the EQ strategy, to solve binary compressible hydrodynamic phase field models, respectively. They obtained some first order semi-discrete schemes. In this paper, we develop a linear, second order, fully discrete numerical scheme for the hydrodynamic phase field model for binary fluid mixtures based on the energy quadratization strategy. We will show that this scheme is unconditionally energy stable and the linear system resulting from the second order numerical scheme is uniquely solvable. At each time step, the linear algebraic system is solved within 3 iterations, with a linear pre-conditioner. Two examples on phase separation dynamics in viscous polymeric blends and interface evolution in gas-liquid mixtures are presented to show the usefulness of the new scheme in some practical applications.

The paper is organized as follows. In $\S 2$, we briefly recall the derivation of the compressible hydrodynamic phase field model. Its non-dimensionalization is given in $\S 3$. In $\S 4$, we reformulate the model using the energy quadratization method. The fully discrete numerical scheme, where we use second order finite difference in space and "linearized" Crank-Nicolson method in time, is given in $\S 5$ where the unique solvability of the scheme and the property of energy dissipation are proved as well. In $\S 6$, we show several numerical experiments that validate the accuracy, stability and efficiency of the numerical scheme. We give concluding remarks in $\S 7$.

## 2 Thermodynamically Consistent Hydrodynamic Phase Field Models for Binary Compressible Viscous Fluid Flows

A general thermodynamically consistent hydrodynamic phase field model for fluid mixture of $n$ viscous fluid components has been derived in [58. Here, we brief recall the basic ingredients in the binary fluid model and discuss its energy dissipation property. We consider a fluid mixture flow of two compressible viscous fluids with densities $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$, respectively. The mass conservation
equation for each fluid component is respectively given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \rho_{i}}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot\left(\rho_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}\right)=0, \quad i=1,2 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{v}_{i}$ is the velocity of the ith fluid component, $i=1,2$. We define the total mass of the fluid mixture as $\rho=\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}$ and the mass average velocity as $\mathbf{v}=\frac{1}{\rho}\left(\rho_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}+\rho_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}\right)$. Then, the mass conservation equation for the total mass density $\rho$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot(\rho \mathbf{v})=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the mass average velocity, we rewrite the mass transport equation as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \rho_{i}}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot\left(\rho_{i} \mathbf{v}\right)=j_{i}=\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_{i}, \quad i=1,2 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{J}_{i}=\rho_{i}\left(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{v}_{i}\right)$ is the excessive mass flux of fluid $i=1,2$, and $j_{1}+j_{2}=0$ according to the total mass conservation law. The linear momentum conservation law of the fluid mixture is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial(\rho \mathbf{v})}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot(\rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v})=\nabla \cdot \sigma+\mathbf{b} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the momentum conservation for each fluid component, where $\mathbf{b}$ is the body force, $\sigma$ is the total stress tensor, $\sigma=\sigma^{s}+\sigma^{e}, \sigma^{s}$ is the symmetric viscous stress tensor, and $\sigma^{e}$ is the Ericksen stress tensor. Both $\mathbf{J}_{i}, \mathrm{i}=1,2$ and $\sigma^{s}$ would be determined by constitutive relations later.

For the compressible fluid mixture, we assume the free energy of the system is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\int_{V} f\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \nabla \rho_{1}, \nabla \rho_{2}\right) d \mathbf{x}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is the free energy density function and $V$ is the domain in which the fluid mixture occupies. The total energy of the fluid system is given by the sum of the kinetic energy and the free energy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {total }}=\int_{V}\left[\frac{1}{2} \rho\|\mathbf{v}\|^{2}+f\right] d \mathbf{x} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering the conservation laws of mass and linear momentum, we calculate the energy dissipation rate as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d E_{\text {total }}}{d t}=\int_{V}\left[-\sigma^{s}: \mathbf{D}+\left(\mathbf{b}+\nabla \cdot \sigma^{e}+\rho_{1} \nabla \mu_{1}+\rho_{2} \nabla \mu_{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{v}+\mu_{1} j_{1}+\mu_{2} j_{2}\right] d \mathbf{x}  \tag{2.7}\\
& +\int_{\partial V}\left[\left(\sigma^{s} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho \mathbf{v}\|\mathbf{v}\|^{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}+\left(-\mu_{1} \rho_{1} \mathbf{v}-\mu_{2} \rho_{2} \mathbf{v}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial\left(\nabla \rho_{1}\right)} \frac{\partial \rho_{1}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial\left(\nabla \rho_{2}\right)} \frac{\partial \rho_{2}}{\partial t}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}\right] d S .
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{D}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla \mathbf{v}+\nabla \mathbf{v}^{T}\right)$ is the rate of strain tensor, $\mathbf{n}$ is the unit external normal of the domain boundary $\partial V, \mu_{1}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho_{1}}-\nabla \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nabla \rho_{1}}, \mu_{2}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho_{2}}-\nabla \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nabla \rho_{2}}$ are the chemical potentials with respect to $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$, respectively. We identify the Erickson stress by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \sigma^{e}=-\rho_{1} \nabla \mu_{1}-\rho_{2} \nabla \mu_{2} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy dissipation rate reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d E_{\text {total }}}{d t}=\int_{V}\left[\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{v}-\sigma^{s}: \mathbf{D}+\mu_{1} j_{1}+\mu_{2} j_{2}\right] d \mathbf{x}+\int_{\partial V}\left[\left(\sigma^{s} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho \mathbf{v}\|\mathbf{v}\|^{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}\right.  \tag{2.9}\\
& \left.+\left(-\mu_{1} \rho_{1} \mathbf{v}-\mu_{2} \rho_{2} \mathbf{v}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial\left(\nabla \rho_{1}\right)} \frac{\partial \rho_{1}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial\left(\nabla \rho_{2}\right)} \frac{\partial \rho_{2}}{\partial t}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}\right] d S .
\end{align*}
$$

In the bulk integral, we propose the following constitutive relations following the generalized Onsager principle

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma^{s}=2 \eta \mathbf{D}+\bar{\eta} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{D}) \mathbf{I},  \tag{2.10}\\
& j_{i}=-\sum_{k=1}^{2} \nabla \cdot M_{i k} \cdot \nabla \mu_{k},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\eta, \bar{\eta}$ are the shear and volumetric viscosity respectively, and $\mathcal{M}=\left(M_{i k}\right)_{2 \times 2} \geq 0$ is the symmetric mobility matrix. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{2} j_{i}=0$ according to the mass conservation law, this imposes a constraint $\mathcal{M} \cdot \mathbf{1}=\mathbf{0}$, where $\mathbf{1}^{T}=(1,1)$. Examining the surface integral, we notice that if we assume the following conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbf{v}\right|_{\partial V}=0,\left.\quad \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \mu_{i}\right|_{\partial V}=0,\left.\quad \mathbf{n} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial\left(\nabla \rho_{i}\right)}\right|_{\partial V}=0, \quad i=1,2 . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the boundary, the surface integral vanishes in the energy dissipation function. So, at the absence of the body force $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{0}$, the total energy dissipation rate reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d E_{\text {total }}}{d t}=-\int_{V}\left[2 \eta \mathbf{D}: \mathbf{D}+\bar{\eta} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{D})^{2}+\left(\nabla \mu_{1}, \nabla \mu_{2}\right) \cdot \mathcal{M} \cdot\left(\nabla \mu_{1}, \nabla \mu_{2}\right)^{T}\right] d \mathbf{x} \leq 0, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $\eta, \bar{\eta} \geq 0, \mathcal{M} \geq 0$.
Remark 2.1. If we choose the boundary conditions as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}=0, \quad \sigma_{s} \cdot \mathbf{n}=-\beta(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{n n}) \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad \mathbf{n} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial\left(\nabla \rho_{1}\right)}=-\gamma_{1} \frac{\partial \rho_{1}}{\partial t}, \quad \mathbf{n} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial\left(\nabla \rho_{2}\right)}=-\gamma_{2} \frac{\partial \rho_{2}}{\partial t}, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \geq 0$, the energy dissipation rate is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d E_{\text {total }}}{d t}=-\int_{V}\left[2 \eta \mathbf{D}: \mathbf{D}+\bar{\eta} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{D})^{2}+\left(\nabla \mu_{1}, \nabla \mu_{2}\right) \cdot \mathcal{M} \cdot\left(\nabla \mu_{1}, \nabla \mu_{2}\right)^{T}\right] d \mathbf{x}  \tag{2.14}\\
& -\int_{\partial V}\left[\beta(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{n n})\|\mathbf{v}\|^{2}+\gamma_{1}\left(\frac{\partial \rho_{1}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+\gamma_{2}\left(\frac{\partial \rho_{2}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d s .
\end{align*}
$$

These boundary conditions allow fluid flows slip at the boundary and mass fluxes to move through the boundary, which leads to additional energy dissipation due to energy dissipation at the surface. We will not pursue these boundary conditions in this study, which worthy of a complete study of its own.

We summarize the governing equations of the compressible binary fluid system in the hydrodynamic phase field model as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \rho_{1}}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot\left(\rho_{1} \mathbf{v}\right)=\nabla \cdot M_{11} \cdot \nabla \mu_{1}+\nabla \cdot M_{12} \cdot \nabla \mu_{2}  \tag{2.15}\\
\frac{\partial \rho_{2}}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot\left(\rho_{2} \mathbf{v}\right)=\nabla \cdot M_{21} \cdot \nabla \mu_{1}+\nabla \cdot M_{22} \cdot \nabla \mu_{2}, \\
\frac{\partial(\rho \mathbf{v})}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot(\rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v})=2 \nabla \cdot(\eta \mathbf{D})+\nabla(\bar{\eta} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})-\rho_{1} \nabla \mu_{1}-\rho_{2} \nabla \mu_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\sum_{i, k=1}^{2} \nabla \cdot M_{i k} \cdot \nabla \mu_{k}=0$. One particular mobility matrix satisfying the constraint is consisted of the entries $M_{1}=M_{11}=-M_{12}=-M_{21}=M_{22}$. The governing equations reduce to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \rho_{1}}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot\left(\rho_{1} \mathbf{v}\right)=\nabla \cdot M_{1} \cdot \nabla\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
\frac{\partial \rho_{2}}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot\left(\rho_{2} \mathbf{v}\right)=-\nabla \cdot M_{1} \cdot \nabla\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right) \\
\frac{\partial(\rho \mathbf{v})}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot(\rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v})=2 \nabla \cdot(\eta \mathbf{D})+\nabla(\bar{\eta} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})-\rho_{1} \nabla \mu_{1}-\rho_{2} \nabla \mu_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

For the viscosity coefficients, we denote $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$ as the shear viscosities of the fluid component 1 and 2 respectively, and $\bar{\eta}_{1}, \bar{\eta}_{2}$ as the volumetric viscosities of the two components. $\eta, \bar{\eta}$ are chosen as the mass average viscosities of the two components:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\frac{1}{\rho}\left[\rho_{1} \eta_{1}+\rho_{2} \eta_{2}\right], \quad \bar{\eta}=\frac{1}{\rho}\left[\rho_{1} \bar{\eta}_{1}+\rho_{2} \bar{\eta}_{2}\right] \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this study, we focus on the free energy density function $f$ in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \nabla \rho_{1}, \nabla \rho_{2}, T\right)=h\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, T\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left[\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}}\left(\nabla \rho_{1}\right)^{2}+2 \kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}\left(\nabla \rho_{1}, \nabla \rho_{2}\right)+\kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}}\left(\nabla \rho_{2}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, T\right)$ is the homogeneous or the bulk free energy density function, T is the absolute temperature, assumed a constant in this study, and $\kappa_{\rho_{i} \rho_{j}}, i, j=1,2$ are model parameters measuring the strength of the conformational entropy (which are assumed constant in this study).

Sometimes, we have to use molar densities $n_{i}$ as the fundamental variables in the model $i=1,2$, system 2.16 can be rewritten as follows

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m_{1}\left(\frac{\partial n_{1}}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot\left(n_{1} \mathbf{v}\right)\right)=\nabla \cdot M_{1} \cdot \nabla\left(\frac{1}{m_{1}} \mu_{n 1}-\frac{1}{m_{2}} \mu_{n 2}\right)  \tag{2.19}\\
m_{2}\left(\frac{\partial n_{2}}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot\left(n_{2} \mathbf{v}\right)\right)=-\nabla \cdot M_{1} \cdot \nabla\left(\frac{1}{m_{1}} \mu_{n 1}-\frac{1}{m_{2}} \mu_{n 2}\right) \\
\frac{\partial(\rho \mathbf{v})}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot(\rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v})=2 \nabla \cdot(\eta \mathbf{D})+\nabla(\bar{\eta} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})-n_{1} \nabla \mu_{n 1}-n_{2} \nabla \mu_{n 2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $n_{i}=\frac{\rho_{i}}{m_{i}}, m_{i}$ is the molar mass of the ith component and $\mu_{n i}=\frac{\delta f}{\delta n_{i}}=\frac{\delta f}{\delta \rho_{i}} m_{i}, \mathrm{i}=1,2$. Correspondingly, The shear and volumetric viscosities are given respectively by $\eta=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{n_{i} m_{i}}{n_{1} m_{1}+n_{2} m_{2}} \eta_{i}$ and $\bar{\eta}=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{n_{i} m_{i}}{n_{1} m_{1}+n_{2} m_{2}} \overline{\eta_{i}}$.

With molar densities $n_{i}, i=1,2$ as the primitive variables, we rewrite free energy density $f$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(n_{1} m_{1}, n_{2} m_{2}, m_{1} \nabla n_{1}, m_{2} \nabla n_{2}, T\right)=h\left(m_{1} n_{1}, m_{2} n_{2}, T\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[\kappa_{n_{1} n_{1}}\left(\nabla n_{1}\right)^{2}+2 \kappa_{n_{1} n_{2}}\left(\nabla n_{1}, \nabla n_{2}\right)+\kappa_{n_{2} n_{2}}\left(\nabla n_{2}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\kappa_{n_{i} n_{i}}=m_{i}^{2} \kappa_{\rho_{i} \rho_{i}}, \mathrm{i}=1,2$ and $\kappa_{n_{1} n_{2}}=m_{1} m_{2} \kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}$.
The free energy density function is specific to the fluid system studied.

- For polymeric binary fluid mixtures while approximated as a viscous fluid, the Flory-Huggins type free energy density function can be used to describe fluid mixing 12,30

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, T\right)=\frac{k_{B} T}{m} \rho\left(\frac{1}{N_{1}} \frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho} \ln \frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho}+\frac{1}{N_{2}} \frac{\rho_{2}}{\rho} \ln \frac{\rho_{2}}{\rho}+\chi \frac{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}{\rho^{2}}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $k_{B}$ is the Boltzmann constant, T is the absolute temperature and m the average mass of a molecule.

- For compressible gas-liquid mixtures, the semi-empirical Peng-Robinson free energy density is often used [23],

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{N}, n, T\right)=f^{\text {ideal }}+f^{\text {repulsion }}+f^{\text {attraction }}, \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{\text {ideal }}=R T \sum_{i=1} n_{i}\left(\ln \left(n_{i}\right)-1\right), \\
& f^{\text {repulsion }}=-n R T \ln (1-b n),  \tag{2.23}\\
& f^{\text {attraction }}=\frac{a(T) n}{2 \sqrt{2} b} \ln \left(\frac{1+(1-\sqrt{2}) b n}{1+(1+\sqrt{2}) b n}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $n=\sum_{i=1}^{N} n_{i}$ is the total molar density. The corresponding chemical potential of the ith component is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{n i}=\frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{i}}-\nabla \cdot \frac{\partial h}{\partial \nabla n_{i}}=R T\left(\ln \left(n_{i}\right)+\frac{b_{i} n}{1-b n}-\ln (1-b n)\right)+\frac{a b_{i} n}{b((\sqrt{2}-1) b n-1)(1+(1+\sqrt{2}) b n)}  \tag{2.24}\\
& +\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{2 \sum_{j=1}^{M} n_{j}\left(a_{i} a_{j}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1-k_{i j}\right)}{b n}-\frac{a b_{i}}{b^{2}}\right) \ln \left(\frac{1+(1-\sqrt{2}) b n}{1+(1+\sqrt{2}) b n}\right)-\kappa_{n_{i} n_{i}} \Delta n_{i}-\kappa_{n_{i} n_{j}} \Delta n_{j}, \quad j \neq i,
\end{align*}
$$

where $b\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ is the volume parameter and $a\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, T\right)$ is the interaction parameter. This free energy was proposed to improve that of the Van der Waals' to mitigate the deviation away from the ideal gas model.

## 3 Non-dimensionalization

For system (2.16), using characteristic time $t_{0}$, characteristic length $l_{0}$, and characteristic density $\rho_{0}$, we nondimensionalize the physical variables and parameters as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{t}=\frac{t}{t_{0}}, \quad \tilde{x}=\frac{x}{l_{0}}, \quad \tilde{\rho}_{i}=\frac{\rho_{i}}{\rho_{0}}, \quad i=1,2, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{v}}=\frac{\mathbf{v} t_{0}}{l_{0}}, \quad \tilde{M}_{1}=\frac{M_{1}}{t_{0} \rho_{0}}, \quad \frac{1}{R e s}=\tilde{\eta}=\frac{t_{0}}{\rho_{0} l_{0}^{2}} \eta,  \tag{3.1}\\
& \frac{1}{R e_{v}}=\tilde{\bar{\eta}}=\frac{t_{0}}{\rho_{0} l_{0}^{2}} \bar{\eta}, \quad \tilde{\mu}_{i}=\frac{t_{0}^{2}}{l_{0}^{2}} \mu_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \quad \tilde{\kappa}_{\rho_{i} \rho_{j}}=\kappa_{\rho_{i} \rho_{j}} \frac{\rho_{0} t_{0}^{2}}{l_{0}^{4}}, \quad i, j=1,2,
\end{align*}
$$

where $R e_{s}, R e_{v}$ are the Reynolds numbers. We rewrite the dimensionless governing equations, after dropping the $\tilde{s}$ for simplicity, as follows

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \rho_{1}}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot\left(\rho_{1} \mathbf{v}\right)=\nabla \cdot M_{1} \cdot \nabla\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
\frac{\partial \rho_{2}}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot\left(\rho_{2} \mathbf{v}\right)=-\nabla \cdot M_{1} \cdot \nabla\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right) \\
\frac{\partial(\rho \mathbf{v})}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot(\rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v})=2 \nabla \cdot\left(\frac{1}{R e_{s}} \mathbf{D}\right)+\nabla\left(\frac{1}{R e_{v}} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}\right)-\rho_{1} \nabla \mu_{1}-\rho_{2} \nabla \mu_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}=\frac{\partial h}{\partial \rho_{1}}-\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}} \Delta \rho_{1}-\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} \Delta \rho_{2}, \quad \mu_{2}=\frac{\partial h}{\partial \rho_{2}}-\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} \Delta \rho_{1}-\kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}} \Delta \rho_{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for system 2.19 with molar density as fundamental variables, using characteristic molar density $n_{0}\left(\mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-d}\right)$, characteristic mass density $\rho_{0}=n_{0} m_{2}\left(\mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-d}, d=3\right)$ and characteristic temperature $T_{0}$ (Kelvin), we nondimensionalize the physical variables and parameters as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{t}=\frac{t}{t_{0}}, \quad \tilde{x}=\frac{x}{l_{0}}, \quad \tilde{\rho}=\frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}}, \quad \tilde{n}=\frac{n}{n_{0}}, \quad \tilde{T}=\frac{T}{T_{0}}, \quad \frac{1}{R e_{s}}=\tilde{\eta}=\frac{t_{0}}{\rho_{0} l_{0}^{2}} \eta, \quad \tilde{m_{1}}=\frac{m_{1} n_{0}}{\rho_{0}}, \quad \tilde{m_{2}}=\frac{m_{2} n_{0}}{\rho_{0}},  \tag{3.4}\\
& \frac{1}{R e_{v}}=\tilde{\eta}=\frac{t_{0}}{\rho_{0} l_{0}^{2}} \bar{\eta}, \quad \tilde{\mu}_{n i}=\frac{n_{0} t_{0}^{2}}{\rho_{0} l_{0}^{2}} \mu_{n i}, \quad i=1,2, \quad \tilde{M_{1}}=\frac{M_{1}}{t_{0} \rho_{0}}, \quad \kappa_{n_{i} n_{j}}=\kappa_{n_{i} n_{j}} \frac{n_{0}^{2} t_{0}^{0}}{\rho_{0} l_{0}^{4}}, \quad i, j=1,2 .
\end{align*}
$$

Dropping $\check{\mathrm{s}}$ for simplicity, we rewrite the dimensionless governing equations as follows

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m_{1}\left(\frac{\partial n_{1}}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot\left(n_{1} \mathbf{v}\right)\right)=\nabla \cdot M_{1} \cdot \nabla\left(\frac{1}{m_{1}} \mu_{n 1}-\mu_{n 2}\right),  \tag{3.5}\\
\left(\frac{\partial n_{2}}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot\left(n_{2} \mathbf{v}\right)\right)=-\nabla \cdot M_{1} \cdot \nabla\left(\frac{1}{m_{1}} \mu_{n 1}-\mu_{n 2}\right), \\
\frac{\partial(\rho \mathbf{v})}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot(\rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v})=2 \nabla \cdot(\eta \mathbf{D})+\nabla(\bar{\eta} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})-n_{1} \nabla \mu_{n 1}-n_{2} \nabla \mu_{n 2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where we set $\tilde{m}_{2}=\frac{m_{2} n_{0}}{\rho_{0}}=1$, i.e. $m_{1}$ is the ratio of the specific masses, a dimensionless model parameter. The dimensionless chemical potentials are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n 1}=\frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{1}}-\kappa_{n_{1} n_{1}} \Delta n_{1}-\kappa_{n_{1} n_{2}} \Delta n_{2}, \quad \mu_{n 2}=\frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{2}}-\kappa_{n_{1} n_{2}} \Delta n_{1}-\kappa_{n_{2} n_{2}} \Delta n_{2} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we focus on developing an energy stable numerical scheme for system (3.2) on staggered grids. An energy stable numerical scheme for system (3.5) can be obtained analogously. First, we reformulate the equation system using the energy quadratization strategy.

## 4 Reformulation of the Model using Energy Quadratization

In order to use the Energy Quadratization (EQ) method to design numerical schemes, we need to reformulate the model equations. We first transform the energy of the system into a quadratic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {total }}=\int_{V}\left[\frac{1}{2} \rho \mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{v}+f\right] d \mathbf{x}=\int_{V}\left[\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbf{u}+q_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{p}-A\right] d \mathbf{x} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{u}=\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{v}, q_{1}=\sqrt{h\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, T\right)+A}$ and A is a constant such that $h\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, T\right)+A>0$. We note that we can always find a constant A if the bulk free energy density function is bounded below. In addition, $\mathbf{p}=\left(\nabla \rho_{1}, \nabla \rho_{2}\right)^{T}$ and $\mathbf{K}$ is the coefficient matrix of the conformational entropy

$$
\mathbf{K}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}} & \kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}  \tag{4.2}\\
\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} & \kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}}
\end{array}\right)>0 .
$$

Using identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial(\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u})}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\rho}} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \mathbf{u}+\sqrt{\rho} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}=-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\rho}} \nabla \cdot(\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{u}+\sqrt{\rho} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we rewrite the governing equations into

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \rho_{1}}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \mathbf{u}\right)=\nabla \cdot M_{1} \cdot \nabla\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right),  \tag{4.4}\\
\frac{\partial \rho_{2}}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot\left(\frac{\rho_{2}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \mathbf{u}\right)=-\nabla \cdot M_{1} \cdot \nabla\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right), \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \nabla \cdot(\mathbf{u u})+\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}\right. \\
\left.\frac{\mathbf{u}}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \nabla \cdot \sigma, \\
\frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial \rho_{1}} \frac{\partial \rho_{1}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial \rho_{2}} \frac{\partial \rho_{2}}{\partial t},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma=\sigma^{s}+\sigma^{e}, \quad \sigma^{s}=2 \frac{1}{R e_{s}} \mathbf{D}+\frac{1}{R e_{v}}\left(\nabla \cdot \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right) \mathbf{I}, \\
& \sigma^{e}=\left(f-\rho_{1} \mu_{1}-\rho_{2} \mu_{2}\right) \mathbf{I}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial \nabla \rho_{1}} \nabla \rho_{1}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial \nabla \rho_{2}} \nabla \rho_{2}, \\
& \nabla \cdot \sigma=\nabla \cdot\left(\sigma^{s}+\sigma^{e}\right)=2 \nabla \cdot\left(\frac{1}{R e_{s}} \mathbf{D}\right)+\nabla\left(\frac{1}{R e_{v}} \nabla \cdot \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right)-\rho_{1} \nabla \mu_{1}-\rho_{2} \nabla \mu_{2},  \tag{4.5}\\
& \mu_{1}=\frac{\delta f}{\delta \rho_{1}}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho_{1}}-\nabla \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nabla \rho_{1}}=2 q_{1} \frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial \rho_{1}}-\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}} \Delta \rho_{1}-\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} \Delta \rho_{2}, \\
& \mu_{2}=\frac{\delta f}{\delta \rho_{2}}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho_{2}}-\nabla \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nabla \rho_{2}}=2 q_{1} \frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial \rho_{2}}-\kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}} \Delta \rho_{2}-\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} \Delta \rho_{1}, \\
& \mathbf{D}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\sqrt{\rho}}+\left(\nabla \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right)^{T}\right), \quad \frac{1}{R e_{s}}=\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho} \frac{1}{R e_{s 1}}+\frac{\rho_{2}}{\rho} \frac{1}{R e_{s 2}}, \quad \frac{1}{R e_{v}}=\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho} \frac{1}{R e_{v 1}}+\frac{\rho_{2}}{\rho} \frac{1}{R e_{v 2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 4.1. We define the inner product of two functions $f$ and $g$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f, g)=\int_{V} f g d \mathbf{x} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.1. System (4.4) is dissipative, and the corresponding energy dissipation rate is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial E}{\partial t}=-2\left(\frac{1}{R e_{s}}, \mathbf{D}: \mathbf{D}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{R e_{v}} \nabla \cdot \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\sqrt{\rho}}, \nabla \cdot \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right)-\left(\nabla \mu_{1}, \nabla \mu_{2}\right) \cdot \mathcal{M} \cdot\left(\nabla \mu_{1}, \nabla \mu_{2}\right)^{T} \leq 0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R e_{s}, R e_{v} \geq 0, \mathcal{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}M_{1} & -M_{1} \\ -M_{1} & M_{1}\end{array}\right) \geq 0$.
Proof: By the definition of E, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial E}{\partial t}=\int_{V}\left[\mathbf{u}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}+2 q_{1} \frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial t}+\left(\nabla \rho_{1}, \nabla \rho_{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{K} \cdot\left(\nabla \frac{\partial \rho_{1}}{\partial t}, \nabla \frac{\partial \rho_{2}}{\partial t}\right)^{T}\right] d \mathbf{x} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the inner product of (4.4-3) with $\mathbf{u}$ and using integration by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{u}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}\right)=-2\left(\frac{1}{R e_{s}}, \mathbf{D}: \mathbf{D}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{R e_{v}} \nabla \cdot \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\sqrt{\rho}}, \nabla \cdot \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right)-\left(\mathbf{u}, \rho_{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \nabla \mu_{1}+\rho_{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \nabla \mu_{2}\right) . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the inner product of (4.4-4) with $2 q_{1}$, using the identities of $\mu_{i}, \mathrm{i}=1,2$, and performing integration by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(2 q_{1}, \frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial t}\right)=-\left(\nabla \mu_{1}, \nabla \mu_{2}\right) \cdot \mathcal{M} \cdot\left(\nabla \mu_{1}, \nabla \mu_{2}\right)^{T}+\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mu_{1}\right)+\left(\frac{\rho_{2}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mu_{2}\right)  \tag{4.10}\\
& -\left(\nabla \rho_{1}, \nabla \rho_{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{K} \cdot\left(\nabla \frac{\partial \rho_{1}}{\partial t}, \nabla \frac{\partial \rho_{2}}{\partial t}\right)^{T} .
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 5.1: Staggered grid in 2D space.

Combining (4.9) and (4.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial E}{\partial t}=-2\left(\frac{1}{R e_{s}}, \mathbf{D}: \mathbf{D}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{R e_{v}} \nabla \cdot \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\sqrt{\rho}}, \nabla \cdot \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right)-\left(\nabla \mu_{1}, \nabla \mu_{2}\right) \cdot \mathcal{M} \cdot\left(\nabla \mu_{1}, \nabla \mu_{2}\right)^{T} \leq 0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $\mathcal{M} \geq 0$.
We next design a second order energy stable numerical scheme based on the reformulated governing system of equations.

## 5 Linear, Second Order Energy Stable Numerical Scheme

### 5.1 Notations and Useful Lemmas

We first introduce some notations, finite difference operators and useful lemmas. Here, we follow the notations in $9,40,45$. Let $\Omega=\left[0, L_{x}\right] \times\left[0, L_{y}\right]$ be the computational domain with $L_{x}=h_{x} \times N_{x}$, $L_{y}=h_{y} \times N_{y}$, where $N_{x}, N_{y}$ are positive integers, and $h_{x}, h_{y}$ are spatial step sizes in the x and y direction, respectively. We define three sets for the grid points as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{x}:=\left\{x_{i+1 / 2}=i \cdot h \quad \mid \quad i=0,1, \cdots, N_{x}\right\}, \\
& C_{x}:=\left\{\left.x_{i}=\left(i-\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot h \quad \right\rvert\, \quad i=1, \cdots, N_{x}\right\},  \tag{5.1}\\
& C_{\bar{x}}:=\left\{\left.x_{i}=\left(i-\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot h \quad \right\rvert\, \quad i=0,1, \cdots, N_{x}, N_{x}+1\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{x}$ is a uniform partition of $\left[0, L_{x}\right]$ of size $N_{x}$ in the x-direction and its elements are called edge-centered points. The elements of $C_{x}$ and $C_{\bar{x}}$ are called cell-centered points. The two points belonging to $C_{\bar{x}} \backslash C_{x}$ are called ghost points. Analogously, we define $E_{y}$ as the uniform partition of $\left[0, L_{y}\right]$ of size $N_{y}$, called edge-centered points in the y-direction, and $C_{y}, C_{\bar{y}}$ the cell-centered
points of the interval $\left[0, L_{y}\right]$. In Figure 5.1, we show the staggered grid in 2D space. In this paper, we discretize the variables with the Neumann boundary conditions at the cell-center and the ones with the Dirichlet boundary conditions at the edge-center. We define the corresponding discrete function space on this staggered grid as follows

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathcal{C}_{x \times y}:=\left\{\phi: C_{x} \times C_{y} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\right\}, & \mathcal{C}_{\bar{x} \times y}:=\left\{\phi: C_{\bar{x}} \times C_{y} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\right\}, & \mathcal{C}_{x \times \bar{y}}:=\left\{\phi: C_{x} \times C_{\bar{y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\right\}, \\
\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x} \times \bar{y}}:=\left\{\phi: C_{\bar{x}} \times C_{\bar{y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\right\}, & \mathcal{E}_{x \times y}^{e w}:=\left\{\phi: E_{x} \times C_{y} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\right\}, & \mathcal{E}_{x \times \bar{y}}^{e w}:=\left\{\phi: E_{x} \times C_{\bar{y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\right\},  \tag{5.2}\\
\mathcal{E}_{x \times y}^{n s}:=\left\{\phi: C_{x} \times E_{y} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\right\}, & \mathcal{E}_{\bar{x} \times y}^{n s}:=\left\{\phi: C_{\bar{x}} \times E_{y} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\right\}, & \mathcal{V}_{x \times y}:=\left\{\phi: E_{x} \times E_{y} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

$\mathcal{C}_{x \times y}, \mathcal{C}_{\bar{x} \times y}, \mathcal{C}_{x \times \bar{y}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x} \times \bar{y}}$ are the sets for discrete cell-centered functions, and $\mathcal{E}_{x \times y}^{e w}, \mathcal{E}_{x \times y}^{n s}$ east-west and north-south edge-centered functions, respectively.

### 5.1.1 Average and Difference Operators

Assume $u, r \in \mathcal{E}_{x \times y}^{e w} \cup \mathcal{E}_{x \times \bar{y}}^{e w}, v, w \in \mathcal{E}_{x \times y}^{n s} \cup \mathcal{E}_{\bar{x} \times y}^{n s}, \phi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}_{x \times y} \cup \mathcal{C}_{\bar{x} \times y} \cup \mathcal{C}_{x \times \bar{y}} \cup \mathcal{C}_{\bar{x} \times \bar{y}}$ and $f \in \mathcal{V}_{x \times y}$, we define the east-west-edge-to-center average and difference operator as $a_{x}, d_{x}: \mathcal{E}_{x \times \bar{y}}^{e w} \cup \mathcal{V}_{x \times y} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{C}_{x \times \bar{y}} \cup \mathcal{E}_{x \times y}^{n s}$ component-wise as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{x} u_{i, j}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}+u_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}\right), \quad d_{x} u_{i, j}:=\frac{1}{h_{x}}\left(u_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}-u_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}\right),  \tag{5.3}\\
& a_{x} f_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}+f_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right), \quad d_{x} f_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}:=\frac{1}{h_{x}}\left(f_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}-f_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The north-south-edge-to-center average and difference operators are defined as $a_{y}, d_{y}: \mathcal{E}_{\bar{x} \times y}^{n s} \cup$ $\mathcal{V}_{x \times y} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\bar{x} \times y} \cup \mathcal{E}_{x \times y}^{e w}$ component-wise as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{y} v_{i, j}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}+v_{i, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right), \quad d_{y} v_{i, j}:=\frac{1}{h_{y}}\left(v_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}-v_{i, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right),  \tag{5.4}\\
& a_{y} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}+f_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right), \quad d_{y} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}:=\frac{1}{h_{y}}\left(f_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}-f_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We denote the center-to-east-west-edge average and difference operators as $A_{x}, D_{x}: \mathcal{C}_{\bar{x} \times \bar{y}} \cup \mathcal{E}_{\bar{x} \times y}^{n s} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{E}_{x \times \bar{y}}^{e w} \cup \mathcal{V}_{x \times y}$ in component-wise forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{x} \phi_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{i+1, j}+\phi_{i, j}\right), \quad D_{x} \phi_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}:=\frac{1}{h_{x}}\left(\phi_{i+1, j}-\phi_{i, j}\right),  \tag{5.5}\\
& A_{x} v_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{i+1, j+\frac{1}{2}}+v_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right), \quad D_{x} v_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}:=\frac{1}{h_{x}}\left(v_{i+1, j+\frac{1}{2}}-v_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously, the center-to-north-south-edge average and difference operator are defined as $A_{y}, D_{y}$ : $\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x} \times \bar{y}} \cup \mathcal{E}_{x \times \bar{y}}^{e w} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\bar{x} \times y}^{n s} \cup \mathcal{V}_{x \times y}$ in component-wise forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{y} \phi_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{i, j+1}+\phi_{i, j}\right), \quad D_{y} \phi_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}:=\frac{1}{h_{y}}\left(\phi_{i, j+1}-\phi_{i, j}\right),  \tag{5.6}\\
& A_{y} u_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+1}+u_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}\right), \quad D_{y} u_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}:=\frac{1}{h_{y}}\left(u_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+1}-u_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The standard 2D discrete Laplacian operator is defined as $\Delta_{h}: \mathcal{E}_{x \times \bar{y}}^{e w} \cup \mathcal{E}_{\bar{x} \times y}^{n s} \cup \mathcal{C}_{\bar{x} \times \bar{y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{x \times y}^{e w} \cup \mathcal{E}_{x \times y}^{n s} \cup$ $\mathcal{C}_{x \times y}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{h} u:=D_{x}\left(d_{x} u\right)+d_{y}\left(D_{y} u\right), \quad \Delta_{h} v:=d_{x}\left(D_{x} v\right)+D_{y}\left(d_{y} v\right), \quad \Delta_{h} \phi:=d_{x}\left(D_{x} \phi\right)+d_{y}\left(D_{y} \phi\right) . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.1.2 Boundary Conditions

The homogenous Neumann boundary conditions are discretized as follows

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{0, j}=\phi_{1, j}, & \phi_{N_{x}, j}=\phi_{N_{x}+1, j}, \quad j=0,1,2, \cdots, N_{y}+1,  \tag{5.8}\\
\phi_{i, 0}=\phi_{i, 1}, & \phi_{i, N_{y}}=\phi_{i, N_{y}+1}, \quad i=0,1,2, \cdots, N_{x}+1 .
\end{array}
$$

We denote it as $\left.\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_{h} \phi\right|_{\partial V}=0$.
The homogeneously Dirichlet boundary conditions are discretized as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\frac{1}{2}, j}=u_{N_{x}+\frac{1}{2}, j}=0, \quad j=1,2, \cdots, N_{y}, \\
& A_{y} u_{i+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}=A_{y} u_{i+\frac{1}{2}, N_{y}+\frac{1}{2}}=0, \quad i=0,1,2, \cdots, N_{x},  \tag{5.9}\\
& v_{i, \frac{1}{2}}=v_{i, N_{y}+\frac{1}{2}}=0, \quad j=1,2, \cdots, N_{x}, \\
& A_{x} v_{\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}=A_{x} v_{N_{x}+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}=0, \quad j=0,1,2, \cdots, N_{y},
\end{align*}
$$

We denote it as $\left.u_{h}\right|_{\partial V}=0$ and $\left.v_{h}\right|_{\partial V}=0$.
If $f \in \mathcal{V}_{x \times y}$ satisfies homogenous Dirichelet boundary condition, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}=f_{N_{x}+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}=f_{i+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}=f_{i+\frac{1}{2}, N_{y}+\frac{1}{2}}=0 \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=0,1,2, \cdots, N_{x}, j=0,1,2, \cdots, N_{y}$. We denote is as $\left.f_{h}\right|_{\partial V}=0$.

### 5.1.3 Inner products and norms

We defined the following inner products for discrete functions

$$
\begin{align*}
&(\phi, \psi)_{2}:=h_{x} h_{y} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{x}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{y}} \phi_{i, j} \psi_{i, j}, \quad[u, r]_{e w}:=\left(a_{x}(u r), 1\right)_{2}, \quad[v, w]_{n s}:=\left(a_{y}(u w), 1\right)_{2},  \tag{5.11}\\
&(f, g)_{v c}:=\left(a_{x}\left(a_{y}(f g)\right), 1\right)_{2}, \quad(\nabla \phi, \nabla \psi)_{h}:=\left[D_{x}(\phi), D_{x}(\psi)\right]_{e w}+\left[D_{y}(\phi), D_{y}(\psi)\right]_{n s},
\end{align*}
$$

The corresponding norms are defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\phi\|_{2}:=(\phi, \phi)_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad\|u\|_{e w}:=[u, u]_{e w}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad\|v\|_{n s}:=[v, v]_{n s}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad\|f\|_{v c}:=(f, f)_{v c}^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\phi=\mathcal{C}_{\bar{x} \times \bar{y}}$, we define $\|\nabla \phi\|_{2}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla \phi\|_{2}:=\sqrt{\left\|D_{x} \phi\right\|_{e w}^{2}+\left\|D_{y} \phi\right\|_{n s}^{2}}, \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the edge-centered velocity vector $\mathbf{v}=(u, v), u \in \mathcal{E}_{x \times \bar{y}}^{e w}, v \in \mathcal{E}_{\bar{x} \times y}^{n s}$, we define $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{2},\|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{2}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\mathbf{v}\|_{2}:=\sqrt{\|u\|_{e w}^{2}+\|v\|_{n s}^{2}}, \quad\|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{2}:=\sqrt{\left\|d_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|D_{y} u\right\|_{v c}^{2}+\left\|D_{x} v\right\|_{v c}^{2}+\left\|d_{y} v\right\|_{2}^{2}}, \\
& \|\mathbf{D}\|_{2}:=\sqrt{\left\|d_{x} u\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|D_{y} u\right\|_{v c}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|D_{x} v\right\|_{v c}^{2}+\left(D_{y} u, D_{x} v\right)_{v c}+\left\|d_{y} v\right\|_{2}^{2}},  \tag{5.14}\\
& (\phi, \mathbf{D}: \mathbf{D})_{2}:= \\
& \sqrt{\left(\phi,\left(d_{x} u\right)^{2}\right)_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{x}\left(A_{y} \phi\right),\left(D_{y} u\right)^{2}\right)_{v c}+\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{x}\left(A_{y} \phi\right),\left(D_{x} v\right)^{2}\right)_{v c}+\left(A_{x}\left(A_{y} \phi\right) D_{y} u, D_{x} v\right)_{v c}+\left(\phi,\left(d_{y} v\right)^{2}\right)_{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\mathbf{D}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla \mathbf{v}+\nabla \mathbf{v}^{T}\right)$. From these definitions, we obtain the following lemmas 18]:

Lemma 5.1. (Summation by parts): If $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_{\bar{x} \times \bar{y}}, u \in \mathcal{E}_{x \times y}^{e w}, v \in \mathcal{E}_{x \times y}^{n s}$, and $\left.u_{h}\right|_{\partial V}=0$ and $\left.v_{h}\right|_{\partial V}=0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[A_{x} \phi, u\right]_{e w}=\left(\phi, a_{x} u\right)_{2}, \quad\left[A_{y} \phi, v\right]_{n s}=\left(\phi, a_{y} v\right)_{2}}  \tag{5.15}\\
& {\left[D_{x} \phi, u\right]_{e w}+\left(\phi, d_{x} u\right)_{2}=0, \quad\left[D_{y} \phi, v\right]_{n s}+\left(\phi, d_{y} v\right)_{2}=0}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 5.2. If $f \in \mathcal{V}_{x \times y}$, and $\left.f_{h}\right|_{\partial V}=0, u \in \mathcal{E}_{x \times \bar{y}}^{e w}, v \in \mathcal{E}_{\bar{x} \times y}^{n s}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a_{y} f, u\right]_{e w}=\left(f, A_{y} u\right)_{v c}, \quad\left[a_{x} f, v\right]_{n s}=\left(f, A_{x} v\right)_{v c} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.3. If $f \in \mathcal{V}_{x \times y}, u \in \mathcal{E}_{x \times \bar{y}}^{e w}, v \in \mathcal{E}_{\bar{x} \times y}^{n s}$, and $\left.u_{h}\right|_{\partial V}=0$ and $\left.v_{h}\right|_{\partial V}=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[d_{y} f, u\right]_{e w}+\left(f, D_{y} u\right)_{v c}=0, \quad\left[d_{x} f, v\right]_{n s}+\left(f, D_{x} v\right)_{v c}=0 \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

With these notations and lemmas, we are ready to introduce the fully-discrete numerical scheme in the following section.

### 5.2 Semi-discrete scheme in time

First, we discretize the governing equations using Crank-Nicolson method in time. We denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{t}(\cdot)^{n+1 / 2}=\frac{1}{\Delta t}\left((\cdot)^{n+1}-(\cdot)^{n}\right), \quad \overline{(\cdot)}^{n+1 / 2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(3(\cdot)^{n}-(\cdot)^{n-1}\right) . \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second order algorithm is given below.
Algorithm 1.
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}=2 q_{1}^{n+1 / 2}{\frac{{\frac{\partial q_{1}}{1}}_{\partial \rho_{1}}^{n}}{}{ }^{n+1 / 2}-\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}} \Delta \rho_{1}^{n+1 / 2}-\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} \Delta \rho_{2}^{n+1 / 2}, ~}_{\text {, }} \\
& \mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}=2 q_{1}^{n+1 / 2}{\frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial \rho_{2}}}^{n+1 / 2}-\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} \Delta \rho_{1}^{n+1 / 2}-\kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}} \Delta \rho_{2}^{n+1 / 2}, \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{R e_{s}}={\frac{{\overline{\rho_{1}}}_{\rho}}{}}^{n+1 / 2} \frac{1}{R e_{s 1}}+{\frac{\overline{\bar{\rho}}_{\rho}}{\rho}}^{n+1 / 2} \frac{1}{R e_{s 2}}, \quad \frac{1}{R e_{v}}={\overline{\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho}}}^{n+1 / 2} \frac{1}{R e_{v 1}}+{\frac{\bar{\rho}_{2}}{\rho}}^{n+1 / 2} \frac{1}{R e_{v 2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the scheme, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. Scheme (5.19) is unconditional energy stable, and satisfies the following discrete energy identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{E^{n+1}-E^{n}}{\Delta t}=-2\left(\frac{1}{R e_{s}}, \mathbf{D}^{n+1 / 2}: \mathbf{D}^{n+1 / 2}\right)-\left(\frac { 1 } { R e _ { v } } \nabla \cdot \left({\left.\left.\overline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}^{n+1 / 2}} \mathbf{u}^{n+1 / 2}\right), \nabla \cdot\left({\overline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}^{n+1 / 2}}}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right)}_{\mathbf{u}^{n+1}}^{-\left(\nabla \mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}, \nabla \mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right) \cdot \mathcal{M} \cdot\left(\nabla \mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}, \nabla \mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{T}<0}\right.\right. \tag{5.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{n}=\int_{V}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\|\mathbf{u}^{n}\right\|^{2}+\left(q_{1}^{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{p}^{n}\right)^{T} \cdot \mathbf{K}^{n} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{n}-A\right] d \mathbf{x} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathbf{p}^{n}=\left(\nabla \rho_{1}^{n}, \nabla \rho_{2}^{n}\right)$.
Remark 5.1. We note that a useful identity in the proof of the theorem.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{u}^{n+1 / 2}, \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}^{n+1 / 2} \nabla \cdot\left(\overline{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1 / 2} \mathbf{u}^{n+1 / 2}\right)+\overline{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1 / 2} \cdot \nabla{\left.\left.\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}^{n+1 / 2} \mathbf{u}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right)\right)=0 . . . .}\right.\right. \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: By the definition of $E^{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{E^{n+1}-E^{n}}{\Delta t}=\int_{V} \mathbf{u}^{n+1 / 2} \delta_{t} \mathbf{u}^{n+1 / 2}+2 q_{1}^{n+1 / 2} \delta_{t} q_{1}^{n+1 / 2}+\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}} \nabla \rho^{n+1 / 2} \delta_{t} \nabla \rho_{1}^{n+1 / 2} \\
& +\kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}} \nabla \rho_{2}^{n+1 / 2} \delta_{t} \nabla \rho_{2}^{n+1 / 2}+\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}\left[\nabla \rho_{1}^{n+1 / 2} \delta_{t} \nabla \rho_{2}^{n+1 / 2}+\nabla \rho_{2}^{n+1 / 2} \delta_{t} \nabla \rho_{1}^{n+1 / 2}\right] d \mathbf{x} \tag{5.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the inner product of (5.19)-3 with $\mathbf{u}^{n+1 / 2}$, using identity 5.23 , and performing integration by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathbf{u}^{n+1 / 2}, \delta_{t} \mathbf{u}^{n+1 / 2}\right)=-2\left(\frac{1}{R e_{s}} \mathbf{D}^{n+1 / 2}: \mathbf{D}^{n+1 / 2}\right)-\left(\frac { 1 } { R e _ { v } } \nabla \cdot \left({\left.\left.\overline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}^{n+1 / 2}} \mathbf{u}^{n+1 / 2}\right), \nabla \cdot\left(\overline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}}^{n+1 / 2} \mathbf{u}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right)}_{\left.-\left(\mathbf{u}^{n+1 / 2}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}^{n+1 / 2}{\overline{\rho_{1}}}^{n+1 / 2} \nabla \mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}+\overline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}}^{n+1 / 2}{\overline{\rho_{2}}}^{n+1 / 2} \nabla \mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right) .} .\right.\right. \tag{5.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the inner product of $5.19-4$ ) with $2 q_{1}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$, using $5.19-1,2$ ), and performing integration by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left(q_{1}^{n+1 / 2}, \delta_{t} q_{1}^{n+1 / 2}\right)=\left(\overline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}}^{n+1 / 2} \bar{\rho}_{1}^{n+1 / 2}, \nabla \mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}\right)+\left({\overline{\rho_{2}}}^{n+1 / 2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}^{n+1 / 2}, \nabla \mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right) \\
& -\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}} \nabla \rho_{1}^{n+1 / 2} \delta_{t} \nabla \rho_{1}^{n+1 / 2}-\kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}} \nabla \rho_{2}^{n+1 / 2} \delta_{t} \nabla \rho_{2}^{n+1 / 2}-\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}\left[\nabla \rho_{1}^{n+1 / 2} \delta_{t} \nabla \rho_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right.  \tag{5.26}\\
& \left.+\nabla \rho_{2}^{n+1 / 2} \delta_{t} \nabla \rho_{1}^{n+1 / 2}\right]-\left(\nabla \mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}, \nabla \mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right) \cdot \mathcal{M} \cdot\left(\nabla \mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}, \nabla \mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{T}
\end{align*}
$$

Utilizing (5.24), 5.25 and (5.26), we arrive at the conclusion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{E^{n+1}-E^{n}}{\Delta t}=-2\left(\frac{1}{R e_{s}} \mathbf{D}^{n+1 / 2}: \mathbf{D}^{n+1 / 2}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{R e_{v}} \nabla \cdot{\left.\left.\overline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}^{n+1 / 2}} \mathbf{u}^{n+1 / 2}\right), \nabla \cdot\left(\overline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}}^{n+1 / 2} \mathbf{u}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right)}_{-\left(\nabla \mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}, \nabla \mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right) \cdot \mathcal{M} \cdot\left(\nabla \mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}, \nabla \mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right)^{T} \leq 0}\right. \tag{5.27}
\end{align*}
$$

provided $\mathcal{M} \geq 0$.

### 5.3 Fully Discrete Numerical Scheme

We discretize the semidiscrete equations in (5.19) using the second order finite difference discretization on staggered grids in space to obtain a fully discrete scheme as follows

Algorithm 2.
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -A_{x}\left({\overline{\rho_{1}}}^{n+1 / 2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}^{n+1 / 2}\right) D_{x}\left(\mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}\right)-A_{x}\left({\overline{\rho_{2}}}^{n+1 / 2}{\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}^{n+1 / 2}}{}}^{n+1} D_{x}\left(\mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right. \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

For any time step $t_{n}, \rho_{i}^{n}, \mu_{i}^{n}, i=1,2$ and $q_{1}^{n}$ satisfy discrete homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions (5.8), $u^{n}, v^{n}$ satisfy the discrete homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions (5.9). The discrete Reynolds numbers are defined as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left\{\frac{1}{R e_{s}^{n+1 / 2}}={\overline{\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho}\right)}}^{n+1 / 2} \frac{1}{R e_{s 1}}+{\overline{\left(\frac{\rho_{2}}{\rho}\right)}}^{n+1 / 2} \frac{1}{R e_{s 2}}\right\}\right|_{i, j}, i=1, \cdots, N_{x}, j=1, \cdots, N_{y}, \\
& \left.\left\{\frac{1}{R e_{v}^{n+1 / 2}}={\overline{\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho}\right)}}^{n+1 / 2} \frac{1}{R e_{v 1}}+{\overline{\left(\frac{\rho_{2}}{\rho}\right)}}^{n+1 / 2} \frac{1}{R e_{v 2}}\right\}\right|_{i, j}, i=1, \cdots, N_{x}, j=1, \cdots, N_{y} . \tag{5.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 5.2. Scheme (5.28) is unconditionally energy stable, and the discrete total energy satisfies the following identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{E_{h}^{n+1}-E_{h}^{n}}{\Delta t}=-2\left(\frac{1}{R e_{s}}, \mathbf{D}_{h}^{n+1 / 2}: \mathbf{D}_{h}^{n+1 / 2}\right)_{2}-\left(\frac{1}{R e_{v}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{D}_{h}^{n+1 / 2}\right), \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{D}_{h}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right)_{2}  \tag{5.32}\\
& -M_{1}\left(\nabla\left(\mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}-\mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right), \nabla\left(\mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}-\mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right)_{2} \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{h}^{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left[u^{n}, u^{n}\right]_{e w}+\frac{1}{2}\left[v^{n}, v^{n}\right]_{n s}+\left(q_{1}^{n}, q_{1}^{n}\right)_{2}-(A, 1)_{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}}\left(\nabla \rho_{1}^{n}, \nabla \rho_{1}^{n}\right)_{h}+\frac{1}{2} \kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}}\left(\nabla \rho_{2}^{n}, \nabla \rho_{2}^{n}\right)_{h}+\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}\left(\nabla \rho_{1}^{n}, \nabla \rho_{2}^{n}\right)_{h} \tag{5.33}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{D}_{h}^{n+1 / 2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left.d_{x}\left(A_{x}{\frac{\overline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}}^{n+1 / 2}}{}}^{\frac{1}{2} S} u^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right) & d_{y}\left(A_{y}\left({\left.\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}^{n+1 / 2}}\right)}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right) \tag{5.34}
\end{array}\right)
$$


Remark 5.2. We note that using lemmas (5.1)-5.3), we could obtain identities as follows

Proof: It follows from the definition of $E_{h}^{n}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{E_{h}^{n+1}-E_{h}^{n}}{\Delta t}=\left[\frac{u^{n+1}+u^{n}}{2}, \frac{u^{n+1}-u^{n}}{\Delta t}\right]_{e w}+\left[\frac{v^{n+1}+v^{n}}{2}, \frac{v^{n+1}-v^{n}}{\Delta t}\right]_{n s}+2\left(\frac{q_{1}^{n+1}+q_{1}^{n}}{2}, \frac{q_{1}^{n+1}-q_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}\right)_{2} \\
& +\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}}\left(\frac{\nabla \rho_{1}^{n+1}+\nabla \rho_{1}^{n}}{2}, \frac{\nabla \rho_{1}^{n+1}-\nabla \rho_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}\right)_{h}+\kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}}\left(\frac{\nabla \rho_{2}^{n+1}+\nabla \rho_{2}^{n}}{2}, \frac{\nabla \rho_{2}^{n+1}-\nabla \rho_{2}^{n}}{\Delta t}\right)_{h}  \tag{5.36}\\
& +\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}\left[\left(\frac{\nabla \rho_{1}^{n+1}+\nabla \rho_{1}^{n}}{2}, \frac{\nabla \rho_{2}^{n+1}-\nabla \rho_{2}^{n}}{\Delta t}\right)_{h}+\left(\frac{\nabla \rho_{2}^{n+1}+\nabla \rho_{2}^{n}}{2}, \frac{\nabla \rho_{1}^{n+1}-\nabla \rho_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}\right)_{h}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the inner product of $(5.28-3,4)$ with $u^{n+1 / 2}, v^{n+1 / 2}$ respectively and using identify (5.35), we obtain

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\frac{u^{n+1}+u^{n}}{2}, \frac{u^{n+1}-u^{n}}{\Delta t}\right]_{e w}+\left[\frac{v^{n+1}+v^{n}}{2}, \frac{v^{n+1}-v^{n}}{\Delta t}\right]_{n s}} \\
=-2\left(\frac{1}{R e_{s}}, \mathbf{D}_{h}^{n+1 / 2}: \mathbf{D}_{h}^{n+1 / 2}\right)_{2}-\left(\frac{1}{R e_{v}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{D}_{h}^{n+1 / 2}\right), \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{D}_{h}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right)_{2} \\
-\left[u^{n+1 / 2}, A_{x}\left({\overline{\rho_{1}}}^{n+1 / 2} \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}}{}=1 / 2\right.\right. \tag{5.37}
\end{array} D_{x}\left(\mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}\right)+A_{x}\left({\overline{\rho_{2}}}^{n+1 / 2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}^{n+1 / 2}\right) D_{x}\left(\mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right]_{e w} .
$$

Where we used lemmas 5.1 and 5.3. Taking the inner product of 5.28 ) with $2 q_{1}^{n+1 / 2}$, and performing integration by parts, we obtain

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
2\left(\frac{q_{1}^{n+1}+q_{1}^{n}}{2}, \frac{q_{1}^{n+1}-q_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t}\right)_{2}=-M_{1}\left(\nabla\left(\mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}-\mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right), \nabla\left(\mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}-\mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right)_{h} \\
+\left[A_{x}\left(\bar{\rho}_{1}^{n+1 / 2} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}} n+1 / 2\right) u^{n+1 / 2}, D_{x}\left(\mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right]_{e w}+\left[A_{y}\left(\bar{\rho}_{1}^{n+1 / 2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}^{n+1 / 2}\right) v^{n+1 / 2}, D_{y}\left(\mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right]_{n s} \\
+\left[A_{x}\left(\rho_{2}^{n+1 / 2} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}} n+1 / 2\right) u^{n+1 / 2}, D_{x}\left(\mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right]_{e w}+\left[A _ { y } \left(\bar{\rho}_{2}^{n+1 / 2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sqrt{\rho}}} n+1 / 2\right.\right. \tag{5.38}
\end{array}\right) v^{n+1 / 2}, D_{y}\left(\mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right]_{n s} .
$$

where we used lemma (5.1). Combining (5.36), (5.37) and (5.38), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{E_{h}^{n+1}-E_{h}^{n}}{\Delta t}=-2\left(\frac{1}{R e_{s}}, \mathbf{D}_{h}^{n+1 / 2}: \mathbf{D}_{h}^{n+1 / 2}\right)_{2}-\left(\frac{1}{R e_{v}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{D}_{h}^{n+1 / 2}\right), \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{D}_{h}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right)_{2} \\
& -M_{1}\left(\nabla\left(\mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}-\mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right), \nabla\left(\mu_{1}^{n+1 / 2}-\mu_{2}^{n+1 / 2}\right)\right)_{2} \leq 0, \tag{5.39}
\end{align*}
$$

provided $M_{1} \geq 0$. Having established unconditional energy stability, we now turn to the solvability issue of the linear system of equations.

### 5.4 Unique Solvability of the Fully Discrete, Linear Numerical Scheme

The linear system resulting from scheme (5.28) can be written into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} \cdot X=\mathcal{G}, \tag{5.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is the coefficient matrix of the system given in Appendix, $X:=\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, u, v, q_{1}, \rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$ is the solution of the linear system and the right hand term $\mathcal{G}=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}, g_{4}, g_{5}, g_{6}, g_{7}\right)^{T}$ denotes all the terms at the nth time step.
Theorem 5.3. Linear system (5.28) admits a unique solution.
Proof: To prove the well-posedness of the system (5.28), we only need to prove the corresponding homogeneous system admits only the zero solution. We assume that there is a solution $X=$ ( $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, u, v, q_{1}, \rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ ) such that $\mathcal{A} \cdot X=0$. Using (8.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=(\mathcal{A} \cdot X, X)_{2}=M_{1}\left(\nabla\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right), \nabla\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)\right)_{2}+\frac{2}{\Delta t}[u, u]_{e w}+\frac{2}{\Delta t}[v, v]_{n s}+\frac{4}{\Delta t}\left(q_{1}, q_{1}\right)_{2} \\
& +2\left(\frac{1}{R e_{s}}, \mathbf{D}_{h}: \mathbf{D}_{h}\right)_{2}+\left(\frac{1}{R e_{v}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{D}_{h}\right), \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{D}_{h}\right)\right)_{2}+\frac{2}{\Delta t}\left[\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}}\left(\nabla \rho_{1}, \nabla \rho_{1}\right)_{h}+\kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}}\left(\nabla \rho_{2}, \nabla \rho_{2}\right)_{h}\right]  \tag{5.41}\\
& +\frac{4}{\Delta t} \kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}\left(\nabla \rho_{1}, \nabla \rho_{2}\right)_{h} \geq C\left(\left(\nabla \rho_{1}, \nabla \rho_{2}\right)_{h}+\left(\nabla \rho_{2}, \nabla \rho_{2}\right)_{h}+[u, u]_{e w}+[v, v]_{n s}+\left\|q_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where we used $\mathbf{K}>0, \mathrm{C}$ is a positive constant and $\mathbf{D}_{h}$ is defined in 8.3). Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{x} \rho_{1}=D_{y} \rho_{1}=0, \quad D_{x} \rho_{1}=D_{y} \rho_{2}=0, \quad u=v=0, \quad q_{1}=0, \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on linear system (8.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=0, \quad \rho_{1}=\rho_{2}=0 \tag{5.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $X=\mathbf{0}$. Thus, linear system (5.28) admits an unique solution.

Remark 5.3. A second order in time, energy stable BDF scheme can be developed as well, which will not be presented here.

## 6 Numerical results and discussions

### 6.1 Accuracy Test

We conduct a mesh refinement test to verify the convergence rate of the numerical scheme by considering (3.2) with a double-well bulk free energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, T\right)=\rho_{1}^{2}\left(\rho_{1}-1\right)^{2}+\rho_{2}^{2}\left(\rho_{2}-1\right)^{2} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a rectangular domain $\Omega=[0,1] \times[0,1]$. We use the following initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}(x, y, t=0)=0.5+0.01 \cos (2 \pi x), \quad \rho_{2}(x, y, t=0)=0.5-0.01 \cos (2 \pi x), \quad \mathbf{v}=(0,0) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote the number of spatial grids as $N_{x}=N_{y}=N$, the time step as $\Delta t$. To test the convergence rate in time, we first fix $N=256$ and vary the time step from $4 \times 10^{-3}$ to $0.125 \times 10^{-3}$ to calculate the $l_{2}$ norm of the difference between the numerical solutions obtained using consecutive step sizes at $T=0.1$, i.e. $\left\|(\cdot)_{\Delta t}(T)-(\cdot)_{2 \Delta t}(T)\right\|_{2}$. Then, we fix time step $\Delta t=10^{-4}$, vary the spatial grid number from 8 to 256 and calculate the $l_{2}$ norm of the difference between the numerical solutions obtained using consecutive grid sizes at $T=0.1$, i.e. $\left\|(\cdot)_{h}(T)-(\cdot)_{2 h}(T)\right\|_{2}$. In both space and time, we calculate the convergence rate using $p=\log _{2}\left(\frac{\left\|(\cdot)_{2 h}(T)-(\cdot)_{4 h}(T)\right\|_{2}}{\left.\|(\cdot)_{h}(T)-(\cdot)\right)_{2 h}(T) \|_{2}}\right)$, where h is the mesh size in time or space. The refinement results are tabulated in Table 6.1 and Table 6.2, respectively. We observe that the proposed scheme is indeed second-order accurate in both time and space for all variables.

### 6.2 Phase Separation in binary compressible viscous fluids

To demonstrate stability and efficiency of the new scheme, we simulate phase separation dynamics using system (3.2) with the Flory-Huggins mixing energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, T\right)=\frac{k_{B} T}{m} \rho\left(\frac{1}{N_{1}} \frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho} \ln \frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho}+\frac{1}{N_{2}} \frac{\rho_{2}}{\rho} \ln \frac{\rho_{2}}{\rho}+\chi \frac{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}{\rho^{2}}\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

| $\Delta \mathrm{t}$ | $\left\\|\left(\rho_{1}\right)_{\Delta t}-\left(\rho_{1}\right)_{2 \Delta t}\right\\|_{2}$ | order | $\left\\|\left(\rho_{2}\right)_{\Delta t}-\left(\rho_{2}\right)_{2 \Delta t}\right\\|_{2}$ | order | $\left\\|(\mathbf{u})_{\Delta t}-(\mathbf{u})_{2 \Delta t}\right\\|_{2}$ | order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \times 10^{-3}$ | $0.5237 \times 10^{-8}$ |  | $0.5240 \times 10^{-8}$ |  | $0.1498 \times 10^{-7}$ |  |
| $1 \times 10^{-3}$ | $0.1348 \times 10^{-8}$ | 1.96 | $0.1349 \times 10^{-8}$ | 1.96 | $0.3806 \times 10^{-8}$ | 1.98 |
| $0.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $0.3425 \times 10^{-9}$ | 1.98 | $0.3428 \times 10^{-9}$ | 1.98 | $0.9594 \times 10^{-9}$ | 1.99 |
| $0.25 \times 10^{-3}$ | $0.8644 \times 10^{-10}$ | 1.99 | $0.8651 \times 10^{-10}$ | 1.99 | $0.2435 \times 10^{-9}$ | 1.98 |
| $0.125 \times 10^{-3}$ | $0.2129 \times 10^{-10}$ | 2.02 | $0.2130 \times 10^{-10}$ | 2.02 | $0.5779 \times 10^{-10}$ | 2.08 |

Table 6.1: Temporal refinement result for all variables. The model parameter values are chosen as $R e_{s}=100, R e_{v}=300, M_{1}=10^{-7}, \kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}}=\kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}}=10^{-4}, \kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}=\kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{1}}=0$.

| N | $\left\\|\left(\rho_{1}\right)_{h}-\left(\rho_{1}\right)_{2 h}\right\\|_{2}$ | order | $\left\\|\left(\rho_{2}\right)_{h}-\left(\rho_{2}\right)_{2 h}\right\\|_{2}$ | order | $\left\\|(\mathbf{u})_{h}-(\mathbf{u})_{2 h}\right\\|_{2}$ | order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | $0.2281 \times 10^{-5}$ |  | $0.2282 \times 10^{-5}$ |  | $0.2676 \times 10^{-7}$ |  |
| 32 | $0.3417 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.74 | $0.3421 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.74 | $0.3487 \times 10^{-8}$ | 1.85 |
| 64 | $0.4452 \times 10^{-7}$ | 1.94 | $0.4457 \times 10^{-7}$ | 1.93 | $0.4607 \times 10^{-9}$ | 1.88 |
| 128 | $0.5623 \times 10^{-8}$ | 1.98 | $0.5631 \times 10^{-8}$ | 1.99 | $0.5898 \times 10^{-10}$ | 1.95 |
| 256 | $0.7050 \times 10^{-9}$ | 2.00 | $0.7059 \times 10^{-9}$ | 2.00 | $0.7444 \times 10^{-11}$ | 1.98 |

Table 6.2: Spatial refinement result for all variables. The model parameter values are chosen as $R e_{s}=1, R e_{v}=3, M_{1}=10^{-3}, \kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}}=\kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}}=10^{-4}, \kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}=\kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{1}}=0$.


Figure 6.1: (a) Flory-Huggins mixing energy density function with respect to the mass density fraction $\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho}$ at the chosen parameter values. The two minima are labeled by dots in the curve. (b) The unstable mode with parameter values: $N_{1}=N_{2}=1, \chi=2.5, M_{1}=10^{-3}, R e_{s}=100, R e_{v}=$ $300, \kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}}=\kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}}=0.0004, \kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}=0$.
where we choose the characteristic scales so that $\frac{k_{B} T}{m}=1$ in the simulation, $N_{1}, N_{2}$ are the polymerization indices and $\chi$ is the mixing coefficient, which are given in the simulation by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}=N_{2}=1, \quad \chi=2.5 \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The plot of this energy density with the chosen parameter values as a function of $\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho}$ is shown in 6.1.(a). The other dimensionless model parameters are chosen as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=10^{-3}, \quad R e_{s}=100, \quad R e_{v}=300, \quad \kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}}=\kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}}=4 \times 10^{-4}, \quad \kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}=0 \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to identify the spinodal decomposition that drives the phase separation in the binary polymer blend, we conduct a simple linear stability analysis on the hydrodynamic phase field model. We note that this compressible model admits a family of constant solutions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{0}, \quad \rho_{1}=\rho_{1}^{0}, \quad \rho_{2}=\rho_{2}^{0} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{1}^{0}, \rho_{2}^{0}$ are constants. We perturb the constant solutions with a normal mode as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}=\epsilon e^{\alpha t+i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{v}^{c}, \quad \rho_{1}=\rho_{1}^{0}+\epsilon e^{\alpha t+i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \rho_{1}^{c}, \quad \rho_{2}=\rho_{2}^{0}+\epsilon e^{\alpha t+i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \rho_{2}^{c}, \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a small parameter, representing the magnitude of the perturbation, and $\mathbf{v}^{c}, \rho_{1}^{c}, \rho_{2}^{c}$ are constants, $\alpha$ is the growth rate, and $\mathbf{k}$ is the wave number of the perturbation. Without loss of generality, we limit our study to 1 dimensional perturbation in $\mathbf{k}$ in the $(x, y)$ plane. Substituting these perturbations into the equations in (3.2) and truncating the equations at order $O(\epsilon)$, we
obtain the linearized equations. The dispersion equation of the linearized equation system of the compressible model 58 is given by an algebraic equation of $\alpha$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\eta^{0} k^{2}+\alpha \rho^{0}\right)\left\{\alpha^{3} \rho_{0}+\alpha^{2} k^{2}\left[\eta+\rho^{0} M_{1}\left(h_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}}+\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}} k^{2}\right)+\rho^{0} M_{1}\left(h_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}}+\kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}} k^{2}\right)\right]\right. \\
& -\alpha^{2} k^{2}\left[2 \rho^{0} M_{1}\left(h_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}+\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} k^{2}\right)\right]+\alpha\left[\mathbf{p}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{p}+\mathbf{p}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{p} k^{2}\right] k^{2}  \tag{6.8}\\
& +\alpha \eta M_{1}\left[\left(h_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}}+\kappa_{\rho_{\rho_{1}} \rho_{1}} k^{2}\right)+\left(h_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}}+\kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}} k^{2}\right)-2\left(h_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}+\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} k^{2}\right)\right] k^{4} \\
& \left.+k^{4} M_{1}\left(\rho_{1}^{0}+\rho_{2}^{0}\right)^{2}\left[\left(h_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}}+\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}} k^{2}\right)\left(h_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}}+\kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}} k^{2}\right)-\left(h_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}+\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} k^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}=0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\eta=2 \eta^{0}+\bar{\eta}^{0}, \mathbf{p}=\left(\rho_{1}^{0}, \rho_{2}^{0}\right)^{T}$. In the following, we set $\rho_{1}^{0}=\rho_{2}^{0}=0.5 . \mathbf{K}$ is the coefficient matrix of the conformational entropy and $\mathbf{C}$ is the Hessian of bulk energy $h\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, T\right)$ with respect to $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$,

$$
\mathbf{K}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}} & \kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}}  \tag{6.9}\\
\kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} & \kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}}
\end{array}\right), \mathbf{C}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
h_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}} & h_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} \\
h_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} & h_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Obviously, $\alpha=-\frac{\eta^{0}}{\rho^{0}} k^{2}<0$ is a solution of the dispersion equation (6.8), which contributes a stable mode. To resolve the other modes, we use numerical calculations. Based on the model parameters listed above, we obtain only one unstable mode, shown in Figure 6.1-(b). This unstable mode is dominated by the mixing energy of the model, independent of hydrodynamics of the model. Next, we will numerically simulate phase separation phenomena due to the unstable perturbation on the constant steady state without and with hydrodynamics to show how hydrodynamics can affect the path of phase separation and its outcome.

### 6.2.1 Phase separation without hydrodynamics

Based on unstable mode shown in Figure (6.1-b), we add a 1D perturbation with wave number $k=10 \pi$ to the steady state and observe its ensuing nonlinear dynamics. Since the eigenvector corresponding to the unstable mode shown in Figure (6.1-b) is $\left(\rho_{1}^{c}, \rho_{2}^{c}\right)=(1,-1)$, we impose the initial conditions specifically as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}(x, y, t=0)=0.5+0.005 \times \cos (10 \pi y), \quad \rho_{2}(x, y, t=0)=0.5-0.005 \times \cos (10 \pi y) . \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}=1$ in the thermodynamic model without hydrodynamics, we show the phase behavior of $\rho_{1}$ only. The time evolution of $\rho_{1}$ at a few selected times are depicted in Figure 6.2. Firstly, we observe that the growth rate of the numerical solutions $\rho_{1}$ near the equilibrium state is $\alpha=$ 0.2077 , which matches with the linear stability analysis result shown in Figure (6.1-b). In the long-time behavior, we observe that $\rho_{1}$ develops small-scale structures and then coarsens to largescale structures eventually. In Figure 6.2, we show numerical solutions at several time slots and the corresponding total energy up to $t=15000$. The system goes through three coarsening events which are captured by the phase morphology at different times shown as well as the total energy evolution in Figure 6.2. The outcome at the end of the computation is a four-band structure.


Figure 6.2: (a-d) Snapshots of $\rho_{1}$ at different times as solutions of system (3.2) with the FloryHuggins mixing energy (6.3) without hydrodynamics. (e) The total free energy of system (3.2). Two major coarsening events bring the phase of the binary system into the final state shown in (d). $\rho_{2}$ is given by $1-\rho_{1}$. The total mass of both phases are conserved as shown in ( $\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{g}$ ).

### 6.2.2 Phase separation with hydrodynamics

When hydrodynamics is coupled with the thermodynamical phase evolution, its role must show up somewhere. Here, we investigate how hydrodynamic impact on phase separation dynamics. Since the eigenvector corresponding to the unstable mode shown in Figure 6.1-b) is $\left(\rho_{1}^{c}, \rho_{2}^{c}\right)=(1$, $-1,0)$, we adopt the same initial conditions for $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ as before and a zero velocity condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}(x, y, 0)=0.5+0.005 \times \cos (10 \pi y), \quad \rho_{2}(x, y, 0)=0.5-0.005 \times \cos (10 \pi y), \quad \mathbf{v}(x, y, 0)=(0,0)(6 \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

When hydrodynamics is considered, the local total mass density $\rho$ is no longer spatially homogeneous anymore. However, phase separation goes on as shown in Figure 6.3 and Figure 6.4. In Figure 6.3, we observe that the total energy of the system is dissipative and the total mass of component 1 and 2 are conserved in the domain globally. The velocity field in the domain is plotted at the selected times. Some vorticities form and disperse eventually as the phase morphology approaches a steady state. The induced nontrivial velocity field promotes the transport of materials and mixing across the domain leading to a two-band structure phase morphology eventually, which is a global energy stable state. In contrast, the final phase morphology developed in the phase separation without hydrodynamics may have only reached a local energy stable state, which can be explained by the comparison of the total energy evolutions shown in Figure $\sqrt{6.2}$-e) and Figure (6.3-i), respectively. This tells us that hydrodynamics indeed changes local densities, the path of phase evolution and even the final energy steady states of fluid mixtures. This is alarming, indicating that hydrodynamic effects are instrumental in determining the correct spatial phase diagram for the binary fluid mixture. The total energy in the solution with hydrodynamics is smaller than that without it. So, hydrodynamics in a binary compressible fluid flow promotes fluid mixing and thereby speeds up phase separation.

### 6.3 Dynamics of gas-liquid Mixtures

The compressible fluid model has many applications in the petroleum industry, where mixtures of non-hydrocarbons and hydrocarbons are abundant, such as in petroleum reservoirs or natural gas pipelines. Understanding their thermodynamic and hydrodynamic properties can help one to improve petroleum quality and yield significantly.

In the past, several equations of state had been developed to describe the relation among state variables (e.g. the volume, pressure and temperature) under a given set of physical conditions for compressible fluids. The Peng-Robinson equation of state (PR-EOS) 36 is one of the popular ones, which has been successfully applied to thermodynamic and volumetric calculations in both industries and academics. Specifically, PR-EOS provides a reasonable accuracy near the critical point, which makes it a good choice for gas-condensate systems in the petroleum industry. For this reason, we adopt it in a hydrocarbon mixture of methane and n-decane to show the performance of our model and numerical scheme in simulating hydrodynamics of the hydrocarbon mixtures. Many properties of the mixture can be studied by our mathematical model, such as mass adsorption of one component in the mixture on the interface between two phases near the equilibrium state, surface tension and even verification of mixing rules in the mixture. In this example, we will focus on hydrodynamics of a hydrocarbon mixture with an unstable gas-liquid interface and study the


Figure 6.3: (a-h) Snapshots of $\rho_{1}$ at different times as a solution of system (3.2) with the FloryHuggins mixing energy (6.3) and hydrodynamic interaction. (i) Total energy of the system (3.2) with the Flory-Huggins bulk free energy (6.3); (j, k) Difference of the total mass of component 1 and 2 compared with the initial mass, indicating mass conservation of both phases in the simulation.


Figure 6.4: (a-h) Snapshots of $\rho_{2}$ at different times as a solution of system (3.2) with the FloryHuggins mixing energy given in (6.3) and hydrodynamic interaction. (i-p) Snapshots for velocity field $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ at different times. Weak flows are present due to hydrodynamic effect during the phase evolution. The nontrivial velocity leads to different phase morphology in the end compared to the case without hydrodynamic interaction at the end of our simulation.

Table 6.3: Dimensional critical parameters

| Symbol | $T_{c}(K)$ | $P_{c}(M P a)$ | w | $\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n-decane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}\right)$ | 617.7 | 2.103 | 0.4884 | 0.14228 |
| methane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)$ | 190.564 | 4.5992 | 0.01142 | 0.0160428 |

mass adsorption phenomena at the interface from the point of view of the free energy near an equilibrium state.

The free energy density function derived from PR-EOS reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=f_{b}+h(\mathbf{n}, T), \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{b}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} c_{i, j} \nabla n_{i} \cdot \nabla n_{j}$ is the conformational energy. The bulk free energy density function $h(\mathbf{n}, T)$ is given in (2.22).
Remark 6.1. Since $f^{\text {ideal }}$ changes rapidly near the origin which may introduce singularity in numerical simulations, we regularize this term near the origin as follows

$$
f^{\text {ideal }}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
R T n_{i}(\ln (\epsilon)-1)+R T\left(\frac{1}{2 \epsilon} n_{i}^{2}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right), \quad \text { if } \quad n_{i}<\epsilon,  \tag{6.13}\\
R T n_{i}\left(\ln \left(n_{i}\right)-1\right), \text { otherwise },
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\epsilon>0$. Corresponding to the modification, the chemical potential is changed to

$$
\mu^{\text {ideal }}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
R T(\ln (\epsilon)-1)+R T\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} n_{i}\right), \quad \text { if } \quad n_{i}<\epsilon,  \tag{6.14}\\
R T \ln \left(n_{i}\right), \text { otherwise } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We consider a mixture of methane and n -decane in a square domain with the length of 80 nm on each side. We denote the molar density of n -decane as $n_{1}$ and that of methane as $n_{2}$, respectively. In Table 6.3, we list the dimensional parameters related to these two components. Other parameter values 11 are chosen as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{1}=\eta_{2}=1 \times 10^{-4} P a \cdot s, \quad \bar{\eta}_{1}=\bar{\eta}_{2}=0.33 \times 10^{-4} P a \cdot s, \quad M_{1}=1 \times 10^{-12} m^{2} \cdot s^{-1},  \tag{6.15}\\
& \kappa_{n_{1} n_{1}}=1.1246 \times 10^{-18}, \quad \kappa_{n_{2} n_{2}}=2.8649 \times 10^{-20}, \quad \kappa_{n_{1} n_{2}}=8.9748 \times 10^{-20} .
\end{align*}
$$

The gas constant is $R=8.3144598 \mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$, the temperature $T=330 \mathrm{~K}$.
The initial conditions are given by

$$
n_{i}= \begin{cases}n_{i}^{\text {liquid }}, & \left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \leq\left(r_{1}+r_{2} \times \cos \left(n \times \arctan \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)\right)\right)^{2} \quad \text { in } \quad\left[-4 \times 10^{-8} m, 4 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}\right]^{2},  \tag{6.16}\\ n_{i}^{\text {gas }}, & \text { otherwise in }\left[-4 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}, 4 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}\right]^{2},\end{cases}
$$

Table 6.4: Dimensionless critical parameters

| Symbol | $T_{c}$ | $P_{c}$ | w | m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n-decane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22}\right)$ | 2.2626 | 1.3495 | 0.4884 | 8.8688 |
| methane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)$ | 0.6980 | 2.9513 | 0.01142 | 1 |

where $r_{1}=1, r_{2}=0.2, n=8$ and

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
n_{1}^{\text {liquid }} & =3814.6 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}, & n_{1}^{\text {gas }}=26.5 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3},  \tag{6.17}\\
n_{2}^{\text {liquid }}=3513.2 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}, & n_{2}^{\text {gas }}=7133.9 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3} .
\end{array}
$$

If we take characteristic molar density $n_{0}=10^{3} \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$, characteristic density $\rho_{0}=n_{0} m_{2}=$ $16.0428 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$, characteristic length $h=2 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}$, characteristic time $t_{0}=6.4171 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~s}$, and characteristic temperature $T_{0}=273 \mathrm{~K}$, we obtain dimensionless parameter values as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& R e_{1 s}=R e_{2 s}=1, \quad R e_{1 v}=R e_{2 v}=3, \quad M_{1}=9.7136 \times 10^{-4},  \tag{6.18}\\
& \kappa_{n_{1} n_{1}}=0.0018, \quad \kappa_{n_{2} n_{2}}=4.5961 \times 10^{-5}, \quad \kappa_{n_{1} n_{2}}=1.4398 \times 10^{-4} .
\end{align*}
$$

Other dimensionless critical parameters of the methane and n-decane are given in table 6.4 . Through the non-dimensionalization, the gas constant $R$ results in a constant $R_{0}=1.4566$, the dimensionless temperature $T=1.2088$. The corresponding dimensionless initial conditions become

$$
n_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
n_{i}^{\text {liquid }}, \quad\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \leq\left(r_{1}+r_{2} \times \cos \left(n \times \arctan \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)\right)\right)^{2} \quad \text { in } \quad[-2,2] \times[-2,2],  \tag{6.19}\\
n_{i}^{\text {gas }},
\end{array} \quad \text { otherwise in }[-2,2] \times[-2,2], ~ \$\right.
$$

where $r_{1}=1, r_{2}=0.2, n=8$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1}^{\text {liquid }}=3.8146, \quad n_{1}^{\text {gas }}=0.0265, \quad n_{2}^{\text {liquid }}=3.5132, \quad n_{2}^{\text {gas }}=7.1339 . \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Shown in Figure 6.5, we perturb the initial condition with certain roughness on the interface, which is unstable due to the surface tension. As time elapses, the roughness vanishes, leading to a surface with the minimal surface tension on it, shown in Figure (6.7-b). The corresponding time evolution of velocities are depicted in Figure 6.6, which show that hydrodynamics indeed speed up the evolution of the system to the steady states.

### 6.3.1 Density profiles and mass absorption at the interface in equilibrium

Near equilibrium $(t=6000)$, we show the density profiles of the two fluid components at $y=0$ in Figure (6.8-a) and observe mass absorption of methane at the interface. At the equilibrium of co-existing phases, two (or more) bulk phases have equal chemical potentials, i.e. the corresponding


Figure 6.5: Initial conditions of two components in gas-liquid mixture
bulk free energies lie on the same tangent line (or surface). For the Peng-Robinson free energy, it is not straightforward to find the equilibrium states by observing the graph of the free energy function directly. Following the work reported in [31,37, we subtract the tangent line (or surface) from the Helmoholtz free energy density function to make the equilibrium states as the minimum points, which are then easily observed,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{m}(\mathbf{n}, T)=h(\mathbf{n}, T)-\sum_{i=1}^{2} \mu_{i}^{0} n_{i}, \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{i}^{0}, i=1,2$ represent the chemical potential of the ith component at the bulk equilibrium state. We show the modified free energy contour in Figure $\sqrt{6.8} \mathrm{~b})$. The circled curve represents the energy path of density profiles at the equilibrium state. To avoid high free energy, n-decane and methane change from one equilibrium state (Gas) to another equilibrium state (Liquid) through the saddle point of the free energy surface. Thus, the methane has a higher density on the interface than in the bulk states, leading to the mass absorption phenomena at the interface.

The total energy and total mass difference with the initial condition for each component are shown in Figure 6.7, which verifies energy stability and mass conservation of our numerical scheme.

This numerical experiment not only demonstrates that our mathematical model can be applied to study thermodynamic and hydrodynamic properties of the fluid mixture in an application relevant to the petroleum industry, but also showcases that our numerical scheme can handle the Navier-Stokes-Cahn-Hilliard equation system with a highly nonlinear free energy (6.12).

## 7 Conclusion

In this paper, we present a second order, fully-discrete, linear and unconditionally energy stable numerical scheme for the hydrodynamic phase field model of compressible fluid flow. Firstly, we reformulate the model by introducing a couple of intermediate variables, based on the Energy Quadratization approach. Using the reformulated model equations, we develop a second order, energy stable, semi-discrete numerical scheme in time. Then, we obtain a fully discrete numerical


Figure 6.6: (a-d) Snapshots of $n_{1}$ at $\mathrm{t}=1,3,5,6000$. (e-h) Snapshots of $n_{2}$. (i-l) The corresponding velocity fields.


Figure 6.7: (a) Total energy of the system (3.5) with the Peng-Robinson bulk free energy (6.12); (b) Surface tension of the mixture; (c, d) Total mass of the component 1 and 2 on the rectangular domain $\Omega=[-2,2] \times[-2,2]$, solved in the system (3.5) with the Peng-Robinson bulk free energy (6.12). (e) Density profiles of n-decane and methane $(y=0)$ at the equilibrium state; (f) Free energy contour. Green points represent the densities of $n$-decane and methane at bulk area and red circles represent their densities on the interface at equilibrium state.


Figure 6.8: (a) Density profiles of n-decane and methane $(\mathrm{y}=0)$ at the equilibrium state; (b) Free energy contour. Green points represent the densities of n-decane and methane at bulk area and red circles represent their densities on the interface at equilibrium state.
scheme applying the finite difference method on the staggered grid, which preserves a fully discrete energy dissipation law. In addition, the well-posedness of the linear system resulting from the linear numerical scheme is proved rigorously. Several numerical experiments are presented to verify the accuracy, stability and efficiency of our numerical scheme. The comparison between the simulations with and without hydrodynamics is used to demonstrate the mixing role played by hydrodynamics in phase separation phenomena in binary compressible fluid flows. The scheme can be readily extended to models N -component compressible fluid flows with $N>2$.

## 8 Appendix

### 8.1 Linear system resulting from the numerical scheme

We summarize the linear system resulting from the numerical scheme as follows.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\{4 \frac{q_{1}}{\Delta t}=4{\frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial \rho_{1}}}^{n+1 / 2}{\left.\frac{\rho_{1}}{\Delta t}+4{\frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial \rho_{2}}}^{n+1 / 2} \frac{\rho_{2}}{\Delta t}+g_{5}\right\}\left.\right|_{i, j}, i=1, \cdots, N_{x}, j=1, \cdots, N_{y},}_{\left.\left\{-\frac{2}{\Delta t} \mu_{1}=-4 q_{1} \frac{1}{\Delta t}{\frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial \rho_{1}}}^{n+1 / 2}+\frac{2}{\Delta t} \kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{1}} \Delta_{h} \rho_{1}+\frac{2}{\Delta t} \kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} \Delta_{h} \rho_{2}+g_{6},\right\}\right|_{i, j},}^{i=1, \cdots, N_{x}, j=1, \cdots, N_{y},}\right.  \tag{8.2}\\
\left.\left\{-\frac{2}{\Delta t} \mu_{2}=-4 q_{1} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \frac{{\frac{q q_{1}}{\partial 1}}_{\partial \rho_{2}}^{n+1 / 2}}{}+\frac{2}{\Delta t} \kappa_{\rho_{2} \rho_{2}} \Delta_{h} \rho_{2}+\frac{2}{\Delta t} \kappa_{\rho_{1} \rho_{2}} \Delta_{h} \rho_{1}+g_{7},\right\}\right|_{i, j}, \\
i=1, \cdots, N_{x}, j=1, \cdots, N_{y},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\rho_{i}, \mu_{i}, i=1,2$ and $q_{1}$ satisfy discrete homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions (5.8), u,v the discrete homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions (5.9). We define $\mathbf{D}_{h}$ as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left.d_{x}\left(A_{x}\left(\overline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}}^{n+1 / 2}\right) u\right)\right) & \left.\frac{1}{2}\left(D_{x}\left(A_{y}\left(\overline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}}^{n+1 / 2}\right) v\right)+D_{y}\left(A_{x}\left(\overline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}}^{n+1 / 2}\right) u\right)\right)\right)  \tag{8.3}\\
\frac{1}{2}\left(D _ { x } \left(A_{y}{\left.\left.\left.\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}^{n+1 / 2}\right) v\right)+D_{y}\left(A_{x}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}^{n+1 / 2}\right) u\right)\right)\right)}^{\left.d_{y}\left(A_{y}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}^{n+1 / 2}\right) v\right)\right)}\right.\right.
\end{array}\right)
$$
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