Transitional Waters Bulletin TWB, Transit. Waters Bull. 2 (2008), n.4, 1-16 ISSN 1825-229X, DOI 10.1285/i1825229Xv2n4p1 http://siba-ese.unisalento.it



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Temporal and inter habitat variations of substratum, vegetation and substratum macroinvertebrates attributes across coastal wetland systems, North East Aegean, Greece

Dikou Angela^{*1}, Evaggelatou Krystalia.¹, Dinapoya Vaia¹, Lambrianidis Epaminondas¹, Agiannidis Costas¹, Koutsofta Panayiota¹, Perakis Nikos¹, Siguero Arsinoe², Stylianou Skevi¹, Bazos Yioannis¹, Iatrou Giorgos¹, Troumbis Andeas¹.

¹ Department of Environment, University of the Aegean.
²Department of Science, Universidad Autonoma de Barcelona.
*Corresponding author: Phone +30 24210 93259, Fax: +30 24210 93157, E-mail angeladikou@hotmail.com

Abstract

- Using five coastal wetland systems across Kalloni bay, Lesvos Island, NE Aegean, we investigated:

 (I) the interaction between spatial units (land, wetland, sea) and seasons (spring, autumn) of substratum physicochemical attributes, vegetation composition and aerial cover, and substratum macroinvertebrates distribution and abundance and (II) the relative importance of substratum attributes in explaining temporal and inter habitat variations in vegetation and substratum macroinvertebrates distributions to elucidate the functional role of these coastal wetlands in the landscape.
- 2 Electrical conductivity, percent organic matter and bulk density of substratum showed the most pronounced differences among spatial units, between seasons, and among spatial units x seasons interaction, respectively. Comparison of C:N and N:P ratios among spatial units and between seasons indicated that, in general, nitrogen and phosphorus appear to be limiting factors at the sea and the land unit, respectively. It is likely that these coastal wetlands function as transformers of chemical elements while P limits primary production.
- 3 Significant substratum physicochemical attributes explained 23.4 and 14.7% of plants distribution in spring (percent organic matter, total phosphorus concentration, electrical conductivity) and autumn (electrical conductivity, pH), respectively, while significant substratum variables explained 46.1 and 73.3% of macroinvertebrates distribution in spring (percent organic matter) and autumn (total phosphorus concentration, electrical conductivity, percent organic matter), respectively.

Keywords: coastal wetlands, Mediterranean, substratum correlates, plants and soil macroinvertebrates, spatial and temporal patterns

Introduction

Coastal wetlands, namely lagoons, tidal, freshwater, and estuarine marshes, are considered as transitional ecosystems that regulate temporally variable fluxes of materials and energy between the land and the sea (through the movement of water and organisms), provide important services to humans (coastal defense, wildlife conservation, maintenance of water quality, spawning and nursery grounds for fish and crustaceans, recreation), and are particularly vulnerable to global change (due to sea level rise and alterations in precipitation patterns) (Vernberg, 1993; Boorman, 1999; Mitch and Gosselink, 2000; Levin *et al.*, 2001; Wall *et al.*, 2001; Adam, 2002; Valiela *et al.*, 2004; Cattrijsse and Hampel, 2006; Lotze *et al.*, 2006). Coastal wetlands of the NE USA (Teal, 1962; Day *et al.*, 1973; Woodwell *et al.*, 1977; Dame *et al.*, 1986; Correll *et al.*, 1992), NW France and the Netherlands (Lefeuvre *et al.*, 2000) seem to function as exporters of organic matter to adjacent marine waters while those of the Wadden Sea (Bakker *et al.*, 1993) as nitrogen transformers. There are only few studies, however, that describe spatial structure (sea/coastal wetland/upland: Dikou *et al.*, 2008; coastal wetland/upland: Álvarez Rogel *et al.*, 2001) or temporal dynamics (coastal wetland/sea: Lefeuvre *et al.*, 2000; Pérez-Ruzafa *et al.*, 2008) of abiotic and/or biotic components across the land, wetland, and sea units of coastal wetland systems in the Mediterranean. Such an approach is indispensable for the elucidation of their functional role in the landscape.

Using five coastal wetland systems across Kalloni bay, Lesvos Island, NE Aegean, we compared seasonal patterns of substratum physicochemical attributes, vegetation cover, and substratum macroinvertebrates abundance. Coastal wetlands of Kalloni bay, Lesvos Island, NE Aegean, constitute an ecological network, which has been incorporated into the European Network of protected areas Natura 2000 (GR4110004; Mandylas and Kardakari, 1998), the list of CORINE biotopes, the list of important areas of avian fauna of Greece (SPPE), and the 20 national Ecological Hot Regions (Hotspots) for avian fauna (Troumbis and Dimitrakopoulos, 1998). They are characterized by intermitted hydroperiod during the dry summer and they receive water mainly through rainfall and to a lesser degree through the high underwater table and the sea. Specifically we attempted to answer the following questions: 1) Do substratum physicochemical properties, vegetation cover, and substratum macroinvertebrate abundances differ between seasons (spring, autumn) and among units (sea, wetland, land)? 2) Which substratum properties best relate with/explain spatial and temporal distributions of plants and substratum macroinvertebrates across the coastal systems studied?

Materials and Methods

Sampling

The five wetlands studied (Apothika estuarine lagoon, Parakoila swamp, Kalloni salt meadow, Vouvaris estuarine lagoon, and Polihnitos salt pan) develop on an alluvial flat plain of mineral soils along Kalloni bay, Lesvos Island, NE Aegean, Greece and are described in Dikou *et al.* (2008).

At each wetland system there was one station at sea, one station within the wetland, and one station on land. Distance of sampling stations (15 stations, 3 units x 5 coastal wetland systems) from the coast is given in Table 1. At each station, five surface (0-2 cm) substratum samples were scooped, dried in room temperature, crashed and sieved through 2 mm mesh size siever. These samples were used to determine attributes of the structure

Table 1. Distance of sampling stations from the intertidal zone across the five coastal wetland systems of Kalloni bay studied. Percentages of sand, silt and clay in brackets.

COASTAL	STATIONS WITHIN SPATIAL UNITS												
SYSTEMS	Sea (m)	Wetland (m)	Land (m)										
Apothika	0 [70.0, 3.2, 26.8]	200 [54.3, 10.0, 35.7]	500 [35.6, 9.6, 54.8]										
Parakoila	0 [54.2, 12.7, 33.1]	200 [16.9, 18.3, 64.8]	450 [89.0, 0.6, 10.4]										
Kalloni	0 [89.0, 1.2, 9.8]	200 [64.0, 6.0, 30.0]	350 [49.0, 12.2, 38.8]										
Polyhnitos	0 [81.2, 3.0, 15.8]	200 [26.3, 11.4, 62.3]	350 [44.2, 14.1, 41.7]										
Vouvaris	0 [85.0, 4.9, 10.1]	150 [33.7, 14.1, 52.2]	200 [48.3, 9.6, 42.1]										

(Bulk Density, BD; texture), chemistry (Electrical Conductivity, EC; pH) and production (Total Phosphorus concentration, TP; Total Nitrogen concentration, TN; percent Organic Matter, OM; C:N ratio; N:P ratio) of the substratum. Bulk density of substratum samples was measured after drying at 1000 C for 24 hours of steady soil/ sediment sample volume (40.10 cm³; brass ring liner of core sampler), weighting, and dividing their weight by the steady volume. Texture as percent composition of sand, silt and clay was determined using Bouyoukos method (Allen, 1989). Electrical conductivity and pH were measured in saturated solutions of 1:5 soil to water ratio, after centrifugation and filtration through 47 mm filters, with the use of a portable electrometric unit Consort 932. Total phosphorus concentration was measured using Olsen's method (Olsen et al., 1954). Total nitrogen concentration was measured using wet Kendjal' s method (Bremner and Mulvaney, 1982). Percent organic content was measured with the method of wet oxidation.

At each station, another five surface (0-2 cm) substratum samples (250 cm³ volume) were scooped and placed in self-sealing plastic bags. They were then left to dry at room temperature, crashed, and examined using magnifying lenses for the presence of macroinvertebrates (whole individuals or parts of them). Animals were stored in vials containing ethanol, sorted, identified and counted. Substratum macroinvertebrates were classified at class level.

We obtained specimens and recorded areal cover of all plants encountered under three adjoining 1 m² quadrats at each station. After sampling, plant specimens were preserved by drying and identified under stereoscope with the use of identification keys and guides. Sampling took place at the same stations during March and September 2005.

Statistical analysis

Significant differences in (transformed) substratum variables among spatial units (sea, wetland and land), between seasons (spring, autumn) and their interaction (unit x season) were tested using a 2-way repeated measures ANOVA. Post-hoc, pair-wise comparisons were tested using Tukey's HSD test. Effect size of main effects and their interaction was based on partial eta squared.

The aforementioned univariate tests were performed using SPSS 12.0. Significant differences in mean percent cover of plant species and in mean abundance of substratum macroinvertebrate taxa among units were tested with one-way ANOSIM and 2-way crossed ANOSIM, respectively using PRIMER 5.0.

Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) of CANOCO 4.5 was used to analyze the spatial distribution of plant (based on areal cover) and substratum macroinvertebrate (based on abundances) taxa in relation to substratum variables both in spring and autumn. Analyses were undertaken on the 15 stations. CCA classified the substratum variables, beginning with the one that extracted the greatest amount of the variance in the species data matrix. Significant factors entering final analysis were selected based on conditional effects (Lepš and Šmilauer, 2003).

The robustness of the final analysis was determined using a Monte Carlo permutation test. To reveal statistically significant pairwise relationships between plant, substratum macroinvertebrate taxa and substratum variables (i.e. which taxon depends on which substratum variable) we employed t-value biplots (Lepš and Šmilauer, 2003). These diagrams contain arrows for the taxa, arrows for the substratum variables, and projections of the tips of the arrows of the substratum variables, each forming a cycle overlying taxa arrows. Taxa arrows that end within a cycle have a statistically significant and positive regression coefficient for the respective substratum variable with a corresponding t-value larger than 2.0. Analogous conclusions can be drawn for the cycle going on the opposite direction but, in this case, the relationship is negative.

To depict the degree of separation based on taxa composition of plants (based on areal cover) and substratum macroinvertebrates (based on abundances), diagrams with sample scores were plotted for each season. The separation among spatial units was shown with different symbol types for each unit and corresponding envelopes enclosing all samples belonging to a particular spatial unit.

Results

Spatial and temporal patterns of abiotic and biotic components

Patterns of variation across sea, wetland and land units differed between seasons for all substratum variables examined (Figure 1, Table 2).



Figure 1 - Spatial variation (mean±stdev) in substratum properties across five coastal wetland systems of Kalloni bay, in spring and autumn of 2005, N=25.

Table 2. Results of post-hoc pair-wise comparisons for all combinations of the levels of main factors (units: sea, wetland, land; seasons: spring, autumn) using Tukey's HSD test. Bulk Density: •; log(Electrical Conductivity): □; arcsin(pH): •; (Total Phosphorus)^0.223: 0; double square root(Total Nitrogen): •; square root(Percent Organic Matter): •.



In spring, percent organic matter, total nitrogen concentration, and electrical conductivity showed significantly lower values at sea compared to wetland and land units; total phosphorus had significantly lower concentrations at sea and wetland compared to land units; bulk density had significantly higher values at sea compared to wetland and land units; pH remained fairly stable across the different units. In autumn, total phosphorus concentration, total nitrogen concentration, and percent organic matter increased progressively and significantly from the sea, through the wetland and towards the land unit; pH decreased progressively and significantly from sea through wetland and towards land units; electrical conductivity showed peaks within wetland units; bulk density was significantly lower at the land unit compared to the wetland unit. Electrical conductivity, percent organic matter, and bulk density showed the most pronounced differences among units, between seasons, and for the unit x season interaction, respectively (Table 3). coastal wetland systems were considered (Figure 2). Both in spring and autumn, the lowest N:P ratios appeared at the sea (11:1

Table 3. Effect size (partial eta squared) for main effects (units, seasons) and their interaction (unit x season). EC: electrical conductivity, TP: total phosphorus concentration, TN: total nitrogen concentration, OM: percent organic matter.

	BD	log(EC)	arcsin(pH)	TP^0.223	dsqrt(TN)	sqrt(OM)
units	0.086	0.802	0.278	0.209	0.688	0.355
seasons	0.221	0.004	0.031	0.263	0.048	0.721
unit x season	0.318	0.221	0.192	0.233	0.149	0.185

In spring, the highest and the lowest C:N ratios appeared at the sea (556:1) and at the wetland (83:1) unit, respectively. Also, in autumn the highest C:N ratios appeared at the sea (6134:1) unit while the lowest C:N ratios appeared at the land (164:1) unit. C:N ratios were higher in autumn compared to spring for all spatial units when all five

and 0.4:1, respectively) unit. The highest N:P ratios appeared at the wetland (14:1) unit in spring and at the land (32:1) unit in autumn (Figure 2).

Fifty and fifty three plant species were encountered under three adjacent, $1 m^2$ replicate quadrats at the sea, wetland and land units of the five coastal wetland systems



Figure 2 - Spatial and seasonal variation in C:N and N:P ratios of substratum, N=25.

studied in spring and autumn, respectively (Appendix A). Although we were not able to identify all specimens to species level, we are fairly sure that there was no species in common found between spring and autumn samplings (except possibly for species no. 6 and 55 of Appendix A).

Also, each spatial unit had distinct floral compositions; the angiosperm *Cymodocea* nodosa and marine algae appeared within the sea units; halophytes, such as the genus *Arthrocnemum*, appeared within wetland stations; species of the families Graminae

way ANOSIM Global R=0.346, p=0.05). Distribution and abundance of substratum macroinvertebrate taxa indicated that gasteropoda and bivalvia dominated at sea units while insecta dominated at land units both in spring and autumn (Figure 3). There were significantly higher mean total abundances of substratum macroinvertebrates at the sea unit compared to wetland and land units (when both seasons were grouped) (two-way crossed ANOSIM Global R=0.402, p=0.01). However, there was no statistically significant difference in mean total



Figure 3. Mean density of substratum macroinvertebrate taxa among sea, wetland and land units of five coastal wetlands (N=5) in: a) spring and b) autumn of 2005. Gast: gasteropoda, Biv: bivalvia, Polypl: polyplacophora, Polych: polychaeta, Oligoch: oligochaeta, Crust: crustacean, Ins: insecta (mature), Pupa: insecta (immature), Arach: arachnidae, Echin: echinodermata, Aster: asteroidea, Anthoz: anthozoan.

and Compositae prevailed on land. There was significantly higher mean percent areal cover of plant species on land compared to wetland and sea units in spring (one-way ANOSIM Global R=0.378, p=0.04) and autumn (one-

abundances of substratum macroinvertebrates between seasons (when spatial units were grouped) (two-way crossed ANOSIM Global R=-0.078, p=0.90).

Spatial units presented substantial overlap in

spring but differentiated well in autumn when both plant and substratum macroinvertebrate compositions were considered (Figure 4). distribution of substratum macroinvertebrate taxa correlated significantly with percent organic matter in spring (46.1% of variance



Figure 4 - Samples scatterplots based on areal cover of plant species in spring (a) and autumn (b) and on mean total abundance of substratum macroinvertebrate taxa in (c) spring and (d) autumn. Separation among spatial units (sea, wetland, land) is shown with envelopes enclosing all samples of a particular spatial unit.

Abiotic correlates of biotic patterns

The results of CCA performed on plant and substratum macroinvertebrate community compositions in spring and autumn are presented in Table 4. The distribution of plants was significantly correlated with percent organic matter, total phosphorus concentration and electrical conductivity in spring (23.4% of variance explained) and with electrical conductivity and pH in autumn (14.7% of variance explained). The explained) and with total phosphorus concentration, electrical conductivity and percent organic matter in autumn (73.3% of variance explained). The aforementioned substratum variables were correlated with ordination axes at different degrees (Table 4).

Examination of t-value biplots showed that a number of plant and substratum macroinvertebrate taxa exhibited positive or negative relationships with the significant substratum variables in spring and autumn (Table 5). In spring, *Veronica* sp., *Matricaria chamomilla*, *Urtica membranacea* and an unidentified species (no. 20 of Appendix A) showed significant positive relationships with both total phosphorus concentration and electrical conductivity; another unidentified species (no. 31 of Appendix A) showed significant negative relationships with percent organic matter, total phosphorus concentration and electrical conductivity; *Cardus/Echinops* sp. showed significant positive relationship with percent organic matter and significant negative relationship

Table 4. Summary of CCA performed on the areal cover of plant species and on the abundance of substratum macroinvertebrate taxa in spring and autumn. Conditional effects were obtained from the summary of forward selection in order to select significant substratum variables.

PLANTS Spring	Conditi	onal effect	S	Correlations of environmental factor with ordination axes					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Lambda A	р	F	Axis 1	Axis 2	Axis 3			
(1) OM	0.91	0.002	2.17	0.91	-0.29	-0.17			
(2) TP	0.84	0.004	2.10	-0.49	-0.66	-0.44			
(3) EC	0.78	0.060	2.02	0.01	-0.43	0.75			
Summary statistics for ordination axes				0.004	0.001	0.707			
Eigenvalues				0.924	0.901	0.706			
Species-environment correlations				0.98	0.95	0.84			
Sum of unconstrained eigenvalues					10.832				
Sum of canonical eigenvalues					2.530				
Cumulative percentage variance of species	s data				23.40				
Probability associated with Monte Carlo to	ests				0.002				
PLANTS Autumn									
(1) EC	0.84	0.002	2 2.93	0.66	6 0.7	0			
(2) pH	0.65	0.004	4 2.34	0.95	-0.2	25			
Summary statistics for ordination axes									
Eigenvalues				0.98	3 0.8	1			
Species-environment correlations				0.99	0.9	3			
Sum of unconstrained eigenvalues					12.1	78			
Sum of canonical eigenvalues					1.79	91			
Cumulative percentage variance of spec	cies data				14.7	70			
Probability associated with Monte Carle	o tests				0.00)2			
MACROINVERTEBRATES Spri	ng								
(1) OM	0.33	C	0.008	4.02	0.68				
Summary statistics for ordination	axes								
Eigenvalues					0.327				
Species-environment correlations					0.680				
Sum of unconstrained eigenvalues	ł				1.303				
Sum of canonical eigenvalues					0.327				
Cumulative percentage variance o	f species data	l			46.10				
Probability associated with Monte	Carlo tests								

MACROINVERTEBRATES Autumn												
(1) TP	0.49	0.004	9.50	0.71	-0.32	0.11						
(2) EC	0.16	0.018	3.80	-0.49	-0.28	0.29						
(3) OM	0.11	0.036	3.29	0.40	0.49	0.24						
Summary statistics for ordination axes												
Eigenvalues				0.641	0.071	0.013						
Species-environment correlations				0.828	0.751	0.415						
Sum of unconstrained eigenvalues					1.156							
Sum of canonical eigenvalues					0.725							
Cumulative percentage variance of species-		73.3										
Probability associated with Monte Carlo tes		0.002										

with total phosphorus concentration. In autumn, Cymodocea nodosa and an unidentified species (no. 52 of Appendix A) showed significant positive relationships with pН and significant negative relationships with electrical conductivity; Arthrocnemum macrostachyum showed significant negative relationships with both electrical conductivity and pH. In spring, arachnidae and insecta showed significant positive relationships with percent organic matter while gasteropoda and echinoderata showed significant negative relationships with the same substratum variable. In autumn, insecta showed significant positive and negative relationships with total phosphorus concentration and electrical conductivity, respectively; polychaeta, anthozoa and crustaceans showed significant negative relationships with total phosphorus concentration and significant positive relationships with percent organic matter.

Table 5. Results of evaluation for significance of relationships of (a) areal cover of plant species and (b) abundance of substratum macroinvertebrate taxa with significant substratum variables based on t-value biplots. Plant species numbers correspond to species ids in Table 4. OM: percent organic matter; TP: total phosphorus concentration; EC: electrical conductivity.

		Spring		Autumn	
relationship	OM	TP	EC	EC	pН
positive	11,5	6, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23		7	51, 52, 86, 87
negative	20, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32	2 5, 31, 37 30, 31		51, 52, 55, 58	55, 60, 61, 62, 63, 67
	Spring		A	utumn	
relationship	OM	OM	EC		TP
positive	insecta, aracnhidae	polychaeta, crustaceans	anthozoa	insecta	
negative	gasteropoda, echinoderma	ata	insecta	gasteropoda, polycha	eta, anthozoa crustaceans

Discussion

Among the substratum attributes studied, electrical conductivity and percent organic matter were primarily responsible for the distinction among the sea, wetland and land units of the five coastal wetland systems studied and for the distinction between seasons, respectively. Electrical conductivity was significantly higher in wetland unit compared to land and sea units and significantly higher at the sea compared to the land unit both in spring and autumn. However, it was only at the wetland unit that electrical conductivity was significantly higher in autumn compared to spring. Seasonal variations in soil salinity have been attributed to alternating periods of rainfall, during which salts are leached towards the deepest soil horizons and periods of drought when they are brought to the surface horizons (Álvarez Rogel, 2000). In addition, evaporation periods are longer at higher elevations and thus salts in surface soils may become more concentrated (Silvestri et al., 2005).

Percent organic matter was significantly higher in autumn (end of growing season) than spring (end of winter) at all three spatial units examined, i.e. sea, wetland and land. It was also significantly higher on land compared to wetland and significantly higher in wetland compared to sea. It has been speculated that due to their irregular inundation only during the highest tides, European marshes' export of organic material is largely absent (Boorman, 1999; Cattrijsse and Hampel, 2006). Among the five coastal wetlands studied, Polihnitos and Apothika receive seawater directly and periodically; Vouvaris receives limited volume of seawater or less frequently; and Kalloni and Parakoila, are influenced by brackish groundwater and salt infusion. Thus, the coastal wetlands studied must probably be functioning as

transformers of organic matter (Mitch and Gosselink, 2000).

Comparison of C:N and N:P ratios among spatial units and between seasons showed the relative importance of nitrogen and phosphorus, respectively, as limiting factors of primary production (Boorman, 1999). It appears, that, in general, N and P appear to be the limiting factors at the sea and the land unit, respectively, both in spring and autumn. Nitrogen and phosphorus follow different pathways in ecosystems (Gächter et al., 2004) and factors that dictate whether nitrogen or phosphorus limits production are linked not to whether a system is aquatic or terrestrial but rather to characteristics, such as the nature of external nutrient fluxes, underlying geological substrate, and biotic characteristics (Grimm et al., 2003).

Abundances of substratum macroinvertebrates recorded in this study were quite low compared to values of a few thousand individuals per m² obtained within comparable coastal wetlands elsewhere, including the Mediterranean region (Els Alfacs shallowwater bay, Ebre Delta, NE Spain, Palacín et al., 1991; southern New England salt marsh, Sardá et al., 1995; intertidal, soft-sediment estuary, Schelde estuary, Netherlands, Ysebaert and Herman, 2002). Also, total macroinvertebrate abundances did not differ between spring and autumn contrary to the generalized seasonal pattern established in temperate zones with a peak from late spring to early summer (in Sarda et al., 1995). Physiological stress related to increased salinity and desiccation may be responsible for both the relatively low abundances and lack of a seasonal pattern of variation in surface substratum macroinvertebrates found in this study. There was distinct, however, seasonality in vegetation composition at all spatial units examined. Yet, contrary to substratum macroinvertebrate abundances,

which peaked within the sea unit, percent areal cover of vegetation peaked within the land unit.

The substratum attributes examined in this study explained a large percentage of variation in substratum macroinvertebrate distributions but a relatively small percentage of variation in vegetation distributions across the five coastal wetland systems in both seasons. Also, six plant species and insecta showed significant negative relationships with electrical conductivity while five plant species showed significant positive relationships with electrical conductivity. Furthermore, two plant species along with arachnidae, insecta, polychaeta, crustacean and anthozoa showed significant positive relationships with percent organic matter while six plant species along with gasteropoda and echinodermata showed significant negative relationships with percent organic matter.

The study revealed distinctive differences in structure and function of coastal wetlands in NE Mediterranean compared to relevant studies in other European coastal wetlands. It is likely that these coastal wetlands function as transformers of chemical elements while P limits primary production. Percent organic matter and electrical conductivity may be important substratum properties when monitoring spatial and temporal dynamics across these coastal wetland systems; both variables showed significant differences among spatial units and between seasons and were also significantly correlated with a number of plant and substratum macroinvertebrate taxa across the land/ coastal wetland/sea gradients. High salinity and desiccation may be responsible for comparatively low abundances of substratum macroinvertebrate taxa.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Directors and staff of the Chemistry, Biology and Remote Sensing Laboratories of the Dept. of Environment, University of the Aegean for providing advice on materials and methods and space for the realization of this study. We would also like to thank Christoforos Mandylas for generously providing his work and study experience on Kalloni bay. The study was funded by EPEAEK II-PYTHAGORAS: Metro 2_6. Supporting Science Groups at Universities, Greek Ministry of Education and Religious Affairs and the European Union.

References

- Adam P, 2002. Saltmarshes in a time of change. *Environmental Conservation* **29**(1): 39-61. DOI: 10.1017/S0376892902000048.
- Allen SA, 1989. Chemical analysis of ecological materials. Blackwell, London.
- Álvarez Rogel JA, Silla RO, Ariza FA, 2001. Edaphic characterization and soil ionic composition influencing plant zonation in a semiarid Mediterranean salt marsh. *Geoderma* 99: 81-98. DOI: 10.1016/S0016-7061(00)00067-7.
- Bakker JP, De Leeuw J, Dijkema KS, Leendertse PC, Prins HHT, Rozema J, 1993. Saltmarshes along the coast of the Netherlands. *Hydrobiologia* **265**: 73-95. DOI: 10.1007/BF00007263.
- Boorman LA, 1999. Salt marshes-present functioning and future change. *Mangroves and Salt Marshes* **3**: 227-241. DOI: 10.1023/A:1009998812838.
- Bremner JM, Mulvaney CS, 1982. Nitrogen total.
 In Page AL (ed.), *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part*2. American Society of Agronomy and Soil Science Society of America, Madison, 595-624.

- Cattrijsse A, Hampel H, 2006. European intertidal marshes: a review of their habitat functioning and value for aquatic organisms. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **324**: 293-307. DOI: 10.3354/meps324293.
- Correll DL, Jordan TE, Weller DE, 1992. Nutrient flux in a landscape-Effects of coastal land use and terrestrial community mosaic on nutrient transport to coastal waters. *Estuaries and Coasts* **15**(4): 431-442. DOI: 10.2307/1352388.
- Dame R, Chrzanowski T, Bildstein K, Kjerfve B, McKellar H, Nelson D, Spurrier J, Stancyk S, Stevenson H, Vernberg J, Zingmark R, 1986. The outwelling hypothesis and North Inlet, South Carolina. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 33: 217-229. DOI: 0171-8630/86/0033/0217.
- Day JW, Smith WG, Wagnet PR, 1973. Community structure and carbon budget of a salt marsh and shallow bay estuarine system in Louisiana. Center for Wetland Resources, Publ. No. ISU-SG-72-04. Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA.
- Dikou A, Dinapoya V, Evaggelatou K, Lambrianidis E, Troumbis A, 2008. Abiotic and biotic patterns across Mediterranean coastal wetland systems, North East Aegean, Greece. *Transitional Waters Bulletin* 2(1): 13-30. DOI: 10.1285/i1825229Xv2n1p13.
- Gächter R, Steingruber SM, Reinhardt M, Wehrli B, 2004. Nutrient transfer from soil to surface waters: *Differences between nitrate and phosphate. Aquatic Sciences* **66**: 117-122. DOI: 10.1007/s00027-003-0661-x.
- Grimm NB, Gergel SE, McDowell WH, Boyer EW, Dent CL, Groffman P, Hart SC, Harvey J, Johnston C, Mayorga E, McClain ME, Pinay G, 2003. Merging aquatic and terrestrial perspectives of nutrient biogeochemistry. *Oecologia* 137: 485-501. DOI: 10.1007/s00442-003-1382-5.
- Lefeuvre J-C, Bouchard V, Feunteun E, Grare S, Laffaille P, Rdureau A, 2000. European salt marshes diversity and functioning: The case study of the Mont Saint-Michel bay, France. *Wetlands Ecology and Management* 8: 147-161. DOI: 10.1023/A:1008440401950.

- Lepš J, Šmilauer P, 2003. *Multivariate Analysis* of *Ecological Data using CANOCO*. Cambridge University Press, UK, 269 pp.
- Levin L, Boesch D, Covich A, Dahm C, Erséus C, Ewel C, Kneib R, Moldenke A, Palmer M, Snelgrove P, Strayer D, Weslawski J, 2001. The function of marine critical transition zones and the importance of sediment biodiversity. *Ecosystems* 4: 430-451. DOI: 10.1007/s10021-001-0021-4.
- Lotze HK, Lenihan HS, Bourque BJ, Bradbury RH, Cooke RG, Kay MC, Kidwell SM, Kirby MX, Peterson CH, Jackson JBC, 2006. Depletion, degradation, and recovery potential of estuaries and coastal seas. *Science* **312** (5781): 1806-1809. DOI: 10.1126/science.1128035.
- Mandylas C, Kardakari N, 1998. Special Environmental Study: Conservation and promotion of wetlands of Kalloni bay, Lesvos Island- Part A. Ministry of Environment and Public Works, Athens, Greece.
- Mitsch JW, Gosselink G, 2000. *Wetlands*. Third edn., John Wiley & Sons, New York, USA.
- Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA, 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. U.S. Dep. Agr. Circ. 939.
- Palacín C, Martin D, Gili JM, 1991. Features of spatial distribution of benthic infauna in a Mediterranean shallow-water bay. *Marine Biology* **110**: 315-321. DOI: 10.1007/ BF01313718.
- Pérez-Ruzafa A, Hegazi MI, Pérez-Ruzafa IM, Marcos C, 2008. Differences in spatial and seasonal patterns of macrophyte assemblages between a coastal lagoon and the open sea. *Marine Environmental Research* **65**: 291-314.. DOI: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2007.11.008.
- Sardá R, Foreman K, Valiela I, 1995. Macroinfauna of a Southern New England salt marsh: seasonal dynamics and production. *Marine Biology* 121: 431-445. DOI: 10.1007/BF00349452.
- Silvestri S, Defina A, Marani M, 2005. Tidal regime, salinity and salt marsh plant zonation.

^{© 2008} University of Salento - SIBA http://siba-ese.unisalento.it

Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science **62**: 119-130. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecss.2004.08.010.

- Teal JM, 1962. Energy flow in the salt marsh ecosystem in Georgia. *Ecology* **43**: 614-624. DOI: na
- Troumbis AY, Dimitrakopoulos PG, 1998. Geographic coincidence of diversity threat spots for three taxa and conservation planning in Greece. *Biological Conservation* **84** (1): 1-6. DOI: 10.1016/S0006-3207(97)00093-1.
- Valiela I, Rutecki D, Fox S, 2004. Salt marshes: biological controls of food webs in a diminishing environment. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 300: 131-159. DOI: 10.1016/j.jembe.2003.12.023.
- Vernberg FJ, 1993. Salt-marsh processes: a review. *Environmental Toxicology* and Chemistry **12**: 2167-2195. DOI:

10.1897/1552-8618(1993)12[2167:SPAR]2.0. CO;2.

- Wall DH, Palmer MA, Snelgrove PVR, 2001. Biodiversity in Critical Transition Zones between Terrestrial, Frehswater, and Marine Soils and Sediments: Processes, Linkages, and Management Implications. *Ecosystems* 4: 418-420. DOI: 10.1007/s10021-001-0103-3.
- Woodwell GM, Whitney DE, Hall CAS, Houghton RA, 1977. The Flax Pond Ecosystem Study: exchanges of carbon in water between a salt marsh and Long Island Sound. *Limnology and Oceanography* **22**(5): 833-838. DOI: na
- Ysebaert T, Herman PMJ, 2002. Spatial and temporal variation in benthic macrofauna and relationships with environmental variables in an estuarine, intertidal soft-sediment environment. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **244**: 105-124. DOI: 10.3354/meps244105.

Appendix A. Floristic composition and percent areal cover (mean±st.dev) in a) spring and b) autumn of 200 Apothika, 2: Parakoila, 3: Kalloni, 4: Polihnitos, 5: Vouvaris. N=3.

u) sp.																
#	Taxon	S 1	S2	S3	S4	S5	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5
1	ni				0.87 ± 0.60											
2	nı				0.63 ± 0.10											
3	ni Turch					0.23 ± 0.40										
4	Trifolium sp.								0.23 ± 0.40					0.00.0.50		
5	Cardus/Echinops sp.								84.38±9.38					0.33 ± 0.58		
6	Salicornia/Halocnemum/Arthrocnemum sp.									91.67±7.22						
7	Sarcopoterium spinosum											2.26±3.91				
8	nı											0.04±0.07				
9	nı											16.67±28.87				
10	n1											0.04±0.07				
11	nı											8.33±9.55				
12	Orchis sp.											0.92±1.06				2.60±3.17
13	Ranunculus sp.											0.69 ± 0.69				0.49 ± 0.85
14	ni											3.17 ± 5.38				
15	ni											0.17 ± 0.30				
16	Lapsana communis												0.04 ± 0.08			
17	ni												0.13 ± 0.28			
18	Silene sp.												0.46 ± 0.40			
19	Veronica sp.												1.21 ± 0.60			
20	ni												79.17±14.43			
21	Matricaria chamomilla												0.32 ± 0.35			
22	<i>Erodium</i> sp.												0.17 ± 0.30			
23	Urtica membranaceae												0.17 ± 0.15			
24	ni												0.23 ± 0.40	0.52 + 0.01		0.69 ± 1.20
25	ni													0.52 ± 0.90		
26	ni													4.83±1.23		
27	ni													3.79 ± 0.58		
28	ni													0.09±0.15		
29	Limonium sp.													2.98 ± 1.98		
30	Geranium molle													0.33±0.58		
31	ni													17.71±11.83		
32	Anthemis sp.													13.88 ± 2.38		
33	Capsella bursa-pastoris													0.21±0.27		
34	ni													0.23 ± 0.40		
35	Brassica sp.														0.23 ± 0.40	
36	Medicago marina														0.17 ± 0.30	
37	<i>Funhorbia</i> sp														0.09 ± 0.15	
38	ni														31 25±34 80	
39	ni														01.20-01.00	0.26 ± 0.01
40	Rellium sp															7 29+4 77
41	ni															0.17+0.30
42	ni															0.17 ± 0.50 0.09+0.15
43	ni															25,00+0,01
$\Delta \Delta$	ni															0.64+0.10
45 45	Anagallis sp															0.07 ± 0.10 0.17+0.15
т <i>э</i> 46	Inaganis sp. Lagopeia cuminoides															0.17 ± 0.13 0.21 ± 0.27
+0 17	Anomono navonina															0.21 ± 0.27 0 22 \pm 0.25
4/ /Q	Anemone pavonina Muscaris comosum															0.32±0.33 0 17±0 20
40 70																0.17 ± 0.30 0.17 ± 0.20
47 50																$0.1/\pm0.30$
30	111															U.U7=U.IJ

05.8	S: sea	stations,	W:	wetland	stations,	L:	land	stations,	1:
------	--------	-----------	----	---------	-----------	----	------	-----------	----

b) autumn

#	Taxon	S 1	S2	S3	S4	S5	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5
51	Cymodocea nodosa		20.83±20.09	12.50±0.01	50.00±8.27	0.06±0.01										
52	ni		$1.04{\pm}1.80$		4.69±1.56											
53	ni		7.81±5.63													
54	ni			2.31±3.42												
55	Arthrocnemum macrostachvum									54.29±20.67				1.04 ± 1.80		
56	Frankernaria hirsuta					1 04±1 80				0				1.01 1.00		
57	Graminae					0.02+0.04										
58	Runnia sp					0.02-0.01		4 40+3 06								
50 50	ni							1.40 ± 3.00								
59 60	III Saimaidas halaaamus							0.02±0.04	7 20+12 62							
61	Crominaa								7.29 ± 12.03							
()	Graminae								2.00 ± 4.31							
02									$1.1/\pm 2/.23$							
03	Aeturopus littoralis								2.08 ± 3.01							
64	nı								0.02 ± 0.04							
65	nı								0.52±0.90							
66	Asphodelus ramosus								16.75±28.85							
67	Compositae								0.52 ± 0.90							
68	ni									10.42 ± 13.01						
69	Sarcopoterium spinosum											7.29±4.77				
70	Inula graveolens											1.37 ± 2.38				
71	Mentha pulegium											0.02 ± 0.04				
72	Compositae											12.52±3.12				
73	ni											1.37 ± 2.38				
74	<i>Gastridium</i> sp.											0.02 ± 0.04				0.02 ± 0.04
75	Ammophora arenaria											0.02 ± 0.04				
76	Taraxacum sp.											0.69±1.19				
77	Picnomon acarna											0.69 ± 1.19				
78	Graminae												5 37±2 97			
79	Plantago lagonus												0.01-2.91	0.04+0.04		
80	Antinoria insularis													0.69+1.19		
81	ni													0.09 ± 1.19		
81 87	III Compositae													0.02 ± 0.04 0.52±0.87		
02 02	compositae													0.52 ± 0.87		
0J 01														0.30 ± 0.87		
04 05														0.09 ± 1.19		
83														0.52 ± 0.90		
80	Anthemis rigida													3.65±3.25		
8/	Graminae													11.42 ± 10.04		
88	nı													0.54±0.89		
89	nı													0.71±1.17		
90	Graminae													0.02 ± 0.04		
91	Avena sp.													0.54 ± 0.89		
92	Crepis sp.													0.02 ± 0.04		
93	Graminae													0.02 ± 0.04		
94	Graminae														0.06 ± 0.01	
95	Tuberaria gutatta															9.40±16.22
96	Graminae															0.02 ± 0.04
97	Scilla autumnalis															0.73±1.15
98	Plantago belardii															6.79±10.38
99	Graminae															52.60±19.78
100	ni															0.08 ± 0.04
101	Hedypnois cretica															0.71 ± 1.17
102	Plantago sn															2 12+3 57
103	Crepis zacintha															0.04 ± 0.04