

Note di Matematica  
*Note Mat.* **35** (2015) no. 2, 1–16.

ISSN 1123-2536, e-ISSN 1590-0932  
 doi:10.1285/i15900932v35n2p1

# Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions for the Navier Problems with Degenerate Nonlinear Elliptic Equations

**Albo Carlos Cavalheiro**

*Department of Mathematics, State University of Londrina*  
 accava@gmail.com

Received: 31.10.2014; accepted: 23.3.2015.

**Abstract.** In this work we are interested in the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the Navier problem associated to the degenerate nonlinear elliptic equations

$$\Delta(v(x)|\Delta u|^{p-2}\Delta u) - \sum_{j=1}^n D_j[\omega(x)\mathcal{A}_j(x, u, \nabla u)] + b(x, u, \nabla u)\omega(x) = f_0(x) - \sum_{j=1}^n D_j f_j(x), \text{ in } \Omega$$

in the setting of the Weighted Sobolev Spaces

**Keywords:** Degenerate nonlinear elliptic equations, Weighted Sobolev Spaces.

**MSC 2010 classification:** primary 35J70, secondary 35J60

## Introduction

In this work we prove the existence and uniqueness of (weak) solutions in the weighted Sobolev space  $X = W^{2,p}(\Omega, v) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  (see Definition 3 and Definition 4) for the Navier problem

$$(P) \begin{cases} Lu(x) = f_0(x) - \sum_{j=1}^n D_j f_j(x), & \text{in } \Omega \\ u(x) = \Delta u(x) = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where  $L$  is the partial differential operator

$$Lu(x) = \Delta(v(x)|\Delta u|^{p-2}\Delta u) - \sum_{j=1}^n D_j[\omega(x)\mathcal{A}_j(x, u(x), \nabla u(x))] + b(x, u, \nabla u)\omega(x)$$

where  $D_j = \partial/\partial x_j$ ,  $\Omega$  is a bounded open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\omega$  and  $v$  are two weight functions,  $\Delta$  denotes the Laplacian operator,  $2 \leq p < \infty$  and the functions

$\mathcal{A}_j : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $b : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the following assumptions:

**(H1)** The function  $x \mapsto \mathcal{A}_j(x, \eta, \xi)$  is measurable on  $\Omega$  for all  $(\eta, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . The function  $(\eta, \xi) \mapsto \mathcal{A}_j(x, \eta, \xi)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$  for almost all  $x \in \Omega$ .

**(H2)** there exists a constant  $\theta_1 > 0$  such that

$$[\mathcal{A}(x, \eta, \xi) - \mathcal{A}(x, \eta', \xi')].(\xi - \xi') \geq \theta_1 |\xi - \xi'|^p,$$

whenever  $\xi, \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\xi \neq \xi'$ , where  $\mathcal{A}(x, \eta, \xi) = (\mathcal{A}_1(x, \eta, \xi), \dots, \mathcal{A}_n(x, \eta, \xi))$  (where a dot denote here the Euclidian scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).

**(H3)**  $\mathcal{A}(x, \eta, \xi). \xi \geq \lambda_1 |\xi|^p + \Lambda_1 |\eta|^p$ , where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_1$  are nonnegative constants.

**(H4)**  $|\mathcal{A}(x, \eta, \xi)| \leq K_1(x) + h_1(x) |\eta|^{p/p'} + h_2(x) |\xi|^{p/p'}$ , where  $K_1, h_1$  and  $h_2$  are nonnegative functions, with  $h_1$  and  $h_2 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , and  $K_1 \in L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)$  (with  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ).

**(H5)** The function  $x \mapsto b(x, \eta, \xi)$  is measurable on  $\Omega$  for all  $(\eta, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . The function  $(\eta, \xi) \mapsto b(x, \eta, \xi)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$  for almost all  $x \in \Omega$ .

**(H6)** there exists a constant  $\theta_2 > 0$  such that

$$[b(x, \eta, \xi) - b(x, \eta', \xi')](\eta - \eta') \geq \theta_2 |\eta - \eta'|^p$$

whenever  $\eta, \eta' \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\eta \neq \eta'$ .

**(H7)**  $b(x, \eta, \xi) \eta \geq \lambda_2 |\xi|^p + \Lambda_2 |\eta|^p$ , where  $\lambda_2$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are nonnegative constants.

**(H8)**  $|b(x, \eta, \xi)| \leq K_2(x) + h_3(x) |\eta|^{p/p'} + h_4(x) |\xi|^{p/p'}$ , where  $K_2, h_3$  and  $h_4$  are nonnegative functions, with  $K_2 \in L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)$ ,  $h_3$  and  $h_4 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

**(H9)**  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 > 0$  and  $\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 > 0$ .

By a *weight*, we shall mean a locally integrable function  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\omega(x) > 0$  for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Every weight  $\omega$  gives rise to a measure on the measurable subsets on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  through integration. This measure will be denoted by  $\mu$ . Thus,  $\mu(E) = \int_E \omega(x) dx$  for measurable sets  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

In general, the Sobolev spaces  $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$  without weights occur as spaces of solutions for elliptic and parabolic partial differential equations. For degenerate partial differential equations, i.e., equations with various types of singularities in the coefficients, it is natural to look for solutions in weighted Sobolev spaces (see [1], [2], [4], [8] and [13]).

A class of weights, which is particularly well understood, is the class of  $A_p$ -weights (or Muckenhoupt class) that was introduced by B. Muckenhoupt (see [10]). These classes have found many useful applications in harmonic analysis (see [12]). Another reason for studying  $A_p$ -weights is the fact that powers of the distance to submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  often belong to  $A_p$  (see [9]). There are, in fact, many interesting examples of weights (see [8] for p-admissible weights).

In the non-degenerate case (i.e. with  $\omega(x) \equiv 1$ ), for all  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  the Poisson equation associated with the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(x), & \text{in } \Omega \\ u(x) = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

is uniquely solvable in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  (see [7]), and the nonlinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = f(x), & \text{in } \Omega \\ u(x) = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

is uniquely solvable in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  (see [3]), where  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$  is the p-Laplacian operator. In the degenerate case, the weighted p-Biharmonic operator has been studied by many authors (see [11] and the references therein), and the degenerated p-Laplacian has been studied in [4]. The problem with degenerated p-Laplacian and p-Biharmonic operators

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(\omega(x)|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u) - \operatorname{div}[\omega(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u] = f(x) - \operatorname{div}(G(x)), & \text{in } \Omega \\ u(x) = \Delta u(x) = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

has been studied by the author in [2].

The following theorem will be proved in section 3.

**Theorem 1.** *Assume (H1)-(H9). If  $\omega, v \in A_p$  (with  $2 \leq p < \infty$ ) and  $f_j/\omega \in L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ) then the problem (P) has a unique solution  $u \in X = W^{2,p}(\Omega, v) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega)$ . Moreover, we have*

$$\|u\|_X \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^{p'/p}} \left( \|f_0/\omega\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j/\omega\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \right)^{p'/p},$$

where  $\gamma = \min\{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2, 1\}$ .

## 1 DEFINITIONS AND BASIC RESULTS

Let  $\omega$  be a locally integrable nonnegative function in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and assume that  $0 < \omega(x) < \infty$  almost everywhere. We say that  $\omega$  belongs to the Muckenhoupt class  $A_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , or that  $\omega$  is an  $A_p$ -weight, if there is a constant  $C = C_{p,\omega}$  such that

$$\left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \omega(x) dx \right) \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \omega^{1/(1-p)}(x) dx \right)^{p-1} \leq C$$

for all balls  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $1 < q \leq p$ , then  $A_q \subset A_p$  (see [6],[8] or [12] for more information about  $A_p$ -weights). The weight  $\omega$  satisfies the doubling condition if there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that  $\mu(B(x; 2r)) \leq C \mu(B(x; r))$  for every ball  $B = B(x; r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\mu(B) = \int_B \omega(x) dx$ . If  $\omega \in A_p$ , then  $\mu$  is doubling (see Corollary 15.7 in [8]).

As an example of  $A_p$ -weight, the function  $\omega(x) = |x|^\alpha$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , is in  $A_p$  if and only if  $-n < \alpha < n(p-1)$  (see Corollary 4.4, Chapter IX in [12]).

If  $\omega \in A_p$ , then  $\left(\frac{|E|}{|B|}\right)^p \leq C \frac{\mu(E)}{\mu(B)}$  whenever  $B$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $E$  is a measurable subset of  $B$  (see 15.5 *strong doubling property* in [8]). Therefore, if  $\mu(E) = 0$  then  $|E| = 0$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $\omega$  be a weight, and let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open. For  $0 < p < \infty$  we define  $L^p(\Omega, \omega)$  as the set of measurable functions  $f$  on  $\Omega$  such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

If  $\omega \in A_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , then  $\omega^{-1/(p-1)}$  is locally integrable and we have  $L^p(\Omega, \omega) \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  for every open set  $\Omega$  (see Remark 1.2.4 in [13]). It thus makes sense to talk about weak derivatives of functions in  $L^p(\Omega, \omega)$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open,  $k$  be a nonnegative integer and  $\omega \in A_p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ). We define the weighted Sobolev space  $W^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  as the set of functions  $u \in L^p(\Omega, \omega)$  with weak derivatives  $D^\alpha u \in L^p(\Omega, \omega)$  for  $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq k$ . The norm of  $u$  in  $W^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  is defined by

$$\|u\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p \omega(x) dx + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq k} \int_{\Omega} |D^\alpha u(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \right)^{1/p}. \quad (1.1)$$

We also define  $W_0^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  as the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)}$ .

If  $\omega \in A_p$ , then  $W^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  is the closure of  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm (1.1) (see Theorem 2.1.4 in [13]). The spaces  $W^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  and  $W_0^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  are Banach spaces.

It is evident that the weight function  $\omega$  which satisfies  $0 < c_1 \leq \omega(x) \leq c_2$  for  $x \in \Omega$  ( $c_1$  and  $c_2$  positive constants), gives nothing new (the space  $W_0^{k,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  is then identical with the classical Sobolev space  $W_0^{k,p}(\Omega)$ ). Consequently, we study all such weight functions  $\omega$  that either vanish in  $\Omega \cup \partial\Omega$  or increase to infinity (or both).

In this article we use the following results.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\omega \in A_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $u_m \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p(\Omega, \omega)$  then there exist a subsequence  $\{u_{m_k}\}$  and a function  $\Phi \in L^p(\Omega, \omega)$  such that

- (i)  $u_{m_k}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ ,  $m_k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\mu$ -a.e. on  $\Omega$ ;
  - (ii)  $|u_{m_k}(x)| \leq \Phi(x)$ ,  $\mu$ -a.e. on  $\Omega$ ;
- (where  $\mu(E) = \int_E \omega(x) dx$ ).

*Proof.* The proof of this theorem follows the lines of Theorem 2.8.1 in [5].

□

**Lemma 1.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . (a) There exists a constant  $\alpha_p$  such that

$$\left| |x|^{p-2}x - |y|^{p-2}y \right| \leq \alpha_p |x - y|(|x| + |y|)^{p-2},$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ;

(b) There exist two positive constants  $\beta_p, \gamma_p$  such that for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\beta_p (|x| + |y|)^{p-2} |x - y|^2 \leq (|x|^{p-2}x - |y|^{p-2}y) \cdot (x - y) \leq \gamma_p (|x| + |y|)^{p-2} |x - y|^2.$$

*Proof.* See [3], Proposition 17.2 and Proposition 17.3.

□

**Definition 3.** We denote by  $X = W^{2,p}(\Omega, v) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  with the norm

$$\|u\|_X = \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \omega dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \omega dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p v dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

**Definition 4.** We say that an element  $u \in X = W^{2,p}(\Omega, v) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega)$  is a (weak) solution of problem (P) if, for all  $\varphi \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi v dx + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \omega \mathcal{A}_j(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) D_j \varphi(x) dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} b(x, u, \nabla u) \varphi \omega dx = \int_{\Omega} f_0(x) \varphi(x) dx + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} f_j(x) D_j \varphi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

## 2 PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The basic idea is to reduce the problem (P) to an operator equation  $Au = T$  and apply the theorem below.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $A : X \rightarrow X^*$  be a monotone, coercive and hemicontinuous operator on the real, separable, reflexive Banach space  $X$ . Then the following assertions hold:

- (a) For each  $T \in X^*$  the equation  $Au = T$  has a solution  $u \in X$ ;  
 (b) If the operator  $A$  is strictly monotone, then equation  $Au = T$  is uniquely solvable in  $X$ .

*Proof.* See Theorem 26.A in [15].  $\square$

We define  $B, B_1, B_2, B_3 : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $T : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} B(u, \varphi) &= B_1(u, \varphi) + B_2(u, \varphi) + B_3(u, \varphi) \\ B_1(u, \varphi) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \omega \mathcal{A}_j(x, u, \nabla u) D_j \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} \omega \mathcal{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \varphi dx \\ B_2(u, \varphi) &= \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi v dx \\ B_3(u, \varphi) &= \int_{\Omega} b(x, u, \nabla u) \varphi \omega dx \\ T(\varphi) &= \int_{\Omega} f_0(x) \varphi(x) dx + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} f_j(x) D_j \varphi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $u \in X$  is a (weak) solution to problem (P) if, for all  $\varphi \in X$ , we have

$$B(u, \varphi) = B_1(u, \varphi) + B_2(u, \varphi) + B_3(u, \varphi) = T(\varphi).$$

**Step 1.** For  $j = 1, \dots, n$  we define the operator  $F_j : X \rightarrow L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)$  by

$$(F_j u)(x) = \mathcal{A}_j(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)).$$

We have that the operator  $F_j$  is bounded and continuous. In fact:

(i) Using (H4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_j u\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p'} &= \int_{\Omega} |F_j u(x)|^{p'} \omega dx = \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{A}_j(x, u, \nabla u)|^{p'} \omega dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left( K_1 + h_1 |u|^{p/p'} + h_2 |\nabla u|^{p/p'} \right)^{p'} \omega dx \\ &\leq C_p \int_{\Omega} \left[ (K_1^{p'} + h_1^{p'} |u|^p + h_2^{p'} |\nabla u|^p) \omega \right] dx \\ &= C_p \left[ \int_{\Omega} K_1^{p'} \omega dx + \int_{\Omega} h_1^{p'} |u|^p \omega dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Omega} h_2^{p'} |\nabla u|^p \omega dx \right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where the constant  $C_p$  depends only on  $p$ . We have,

$$\int_{\Omega} h_1^{p'} |u|^p \omega \, dx \leq \|h_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{p'} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \omega \, dx \leq \|h_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{p'} \|u\|_X^p,$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} h_2^{p'} |\nabla u|^p \omega \, dx \leq \|h_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{p'} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \omega \, dx \leq \|h_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{p'} \|u\|_X^p.$$

Therefore, in (2.1) we obtain

$$\|F_j u\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \leq C_p \left( \|K_1\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + (\|h_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|h_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \|u\|_X^{p/p'} \right).$$

(ii) Let  $u_m \rightarrow u$  in  $X$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . We need to show that  $F_j u_m \rightarrow F_j u$  in  $L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)$ . If  $u_m \rightarrow u$  in  $X$ , then  $u_m \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p(\Omega, \omega)$  and  $|\nabla u_m| \rightarrow |\nabla u|$  in  $L^p(\Omega, \omega)$ . Using Theorem 2, there exist a subsequence  $\{u_{m_k}\}$  and two functions  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  in  $L^p(\Omega, \omega)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{m_k}(x) &\rightarrow u(x), \quad \mu - \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\ |u_{m_k}(x)| &\leq \Phi_1(x), \quad \mu - \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u_{m_k}(x)| &\rightarrow |\nabla u(x)|, \quad \mu - \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u_{m_k}(x)| &\leq \Phi_2(x), \quad \mu - \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (H4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_j u_{m_k} - F_j u\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p'} &= \int_{\Omega} |F_j u_{m_k}(x) - F_j u(x)|^{p'} \omega \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{A}_j(x, u_{m_k}, \nabla u_{m_k}) - \mathcal{A}_j(x, u, \nabla u)|^{p'} \omega \, dx \\ &\leq C_p \int_{\Omega} \left( |\mathcal{A}_j(x, u_{m_k}, \nabla u_{m_k})|^{p'} + |\mathcal{A}_j(x, u, \nabla u)|^{p'} \right) \omega \, dx \\ &\leq C_p \left[ \int_{\Omega} \left( K_1 + h_1 |u_{m_k}|^{p/p'} + h_2 |\nabla u_{m_k}|^{p/p'} \right)^{p'} \omega \, dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Omega} \left( K_1 + h_1 |u|^{p/p'} + h_2 |\nabla u|^{p/p'} \right)^{p'} \omega \, dx \right] \\ &\leq 2C_p \int_{\Omega} \left( K_1 + h_1 \Phi_1^{p/p'} + h_2 \Phi_2^{p/p'} \right)^{p'} \omega \, dx \\ &\leq 2C_p \left[ \int_{\Omega} K_1^{p'} \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} h_1^{p'} \Phi_1^p \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} h_2^{p'} \Phi_2^p \omega \, dx \right] \\ &\leq 2C_p \left[ \|K_1\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p'} + \|h_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{p'} \int_{\Omega} \Phi_1^p \omega \, dx \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \|h_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{p'} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \Phi_2^p \omega \, dx \right] \\
& \leq 2C_p \left[ \|K_1\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p'} + \|h_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{p'} \|\Phi_1\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)}^p \right. \\
& \left. + \|h_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{p'} \|\Phi_2\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)}^p \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By condition (H1), we have

$$F_j u_m(x) = \mathcal{A}_j(x, u_m(x), \nabla u_m(x)) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_j(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) = F_j u(x),$$

as  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ . Therefore, by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we obtain

$$\|F_j u_{m_k} - F_j u\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \rightarrow 0,$$

that is,

$$F_j u_{m_k} \rightarrow F_j u \text{ in } L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega).$$

By the Convergence Principle in Banach spaces (see Proposition 10.13 in [14]), we have

$$F_j u_m \rightarrow F_j u \text{ in } L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega). \quad (2.2)$$

**Step 2.** We define the operator

$$\begin{aligned}
G : X & \rightarrow L^{p'}(\Omega, v) \\
(Gu)(x) & = |\Delta u(x)|^{p-2} \Delta u(x)
\end{aligned}$$

We also have that the operator  $G$  is continuous and bounded. In fact:

(i) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Gu\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, v)}^{p'} & = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p-2} |\Delta u|^{p'} v \, dx \\
& = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{(p-2)p'} |\Delta u|^{p'} v \, dx \\
& = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p v \, dx \leq \|u\|_X^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\|Gu\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, v)} \leq \|u\|_X^{p/p'}$ .

(ii) If  $u_m \rightarrow u$  in  $X$  then  $\Delta u_m \rightarrow \Delta u$  in  $L^p(\Omega, v)$ . By Theorem 2, there exist a subsequence  $\{u_{m_k}\}$  and a function  $\Phi_3 \in L^p(\Omega, v)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta u_{m_k}(x) & \rightarrow \Delta u(x), \quad \mu_1 - a.e. \text{ in } \Omega \\
|\Delta u_{m_k}(x)| & \leq \Phi_3(x), \quad \mu_1 - a.e. \text{ in } \Omega,
\end{aligned}$$



where  $\mu_1(E) = \int_E v(x) dx$ . Hence, using Lemma 1 (a), we obtain, if  $p \neq 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Gu_{m_k} - Gu\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, v)}^{p'} &= \int_{\Omega} |Gu_{m_k} - Gu|^{p'} v dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left| |\Delta u_{m_k}|^{p-2} \Delta u_{m_k} - |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \right|^{p'} v dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \left[ \alpha_p |\Delta u_{m_k} - \Delta u| (|\Delta u_{m_k}| + |\Delta u|)^{(p-2)} \right]^{p'} v dx \\
&\leq \alpha_p^{p'} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_{m_k} - \Delta u|^{p'} (2\Phi_3)^{(p-2)p'} v dx \\
&\leq \alpha_p^{p'} 2^{(p-2)p'} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_{m_k} - \Delta u|^p v dx \right)^{p'/p} \times \\
&\quad \times \left( \int_{\Omega} \Phi_3^{(p-2)p p'/(p-p')} v dx \right)^{(p-p')/p} \\
&\leq \alpha_p^{p'} 2^{(p-2)p'} \|u_{m_k} - u\|_X^{p'} \|\Phi\|_{L^p(\Omega, v)}^{p-p'},
\end{aligned}$$

since  $(p-2)p p'/(p-p') = p$  if  $p \neq 2$ . If  $p = 2$ , we have

$$\|Gu_{m_k} - Gu\|_{L^2(\Omega, v)}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_{m_k} - \Delta u|^2 v dx \leq \|u_{m_k} - u\|_X^2.$$

Therefore (for  $2 \leq p < \infty$ ), by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we obtain

$$\|Gu_{m_k} - Gu\|_X \rightarrow 0,$$

that is,  $Gu_{m_k} \rightarrow Gu$  in  $L^{p'}(\Omega, v)$ . By the Convergence Principle in Banach spaces (see Proposition 10.13 in [14]), we have

$$Gu_m \rightarrow Gu \text{ in } L^{p'}(\Omega, v). \quad (2.3)$$

**Step 3.** We define the operator  $H : X \rightarrow L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)$  by

$$(Hu)(x) = b(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)).$$

We also have that the operator  $H$  is continuous and bounded. In fact,

(i) Using (H8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Hu\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega,\omega)}^{p'} &= \int_{\Omega} |Hu|^{p'} \omega \, dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} |b(x, u, \nabla u)|^{p'} \omega \, dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \left( K_2 + h_3 |u|^{p/p'} + h_4 |\nabla u|^{p/p'} \right)^{p'} \omega \, dx \\
&\leq C_p \int_{\Omega} \left[ (K_2^{p'} + h_3^{p'} |u|^p + h_4^{p'} |\nabla u|^p) \omega \right] dx \\
&= C_p \left[ \int_{\Omega} K_2^{p'} \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} h_3^{p'} |u|^p \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} h_4^{p'} |\nabla u|^p \omega \, dx \right] \\
&\leq C_p \left( \|K_2\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega,\omega)}^{p'} + (\|h_3\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{p'} + \|h_4\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{p'}) \|u\|_X \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|Hu\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega,\omega)} \leq C_p \left[ \|K_2\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega,\omega)} + (\|h_3\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|h_4\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \|u\|_X^{p/p'} \right].$$

(ii) By the same argument used in Step 1(ii), we obtain analogously, if  $u_m \rightarrow u$  in  $X$  then

$$Hu_m \rightarrow Hu, \quad \text{in } L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega). \quad (2.4)$$

**Step 4.** We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
|T(\varphi)| &\leq \int_{\Omega} |f_0| |\varphi| \, dx + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} |f_j| |D_j \varphi| \, dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f_0|}{\omega} |\varphi| \omega \, dx + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f_j|}{\omega} |D_j \varphi| \omega \, dx \\
&\leq \|f_0/\omega\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega,\omega)} \|\varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega,\omega)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j/\omega\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega,\omega)} \|D_j \varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega,\omega)} \\
&\leq \left( \|f_0/\omega\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega,\omega)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j/\omega\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega,\omega)} \right) \|\varphi\|_X.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using (H4), (H8) and the Hölder inequality, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
|B(u, \varphi)| &\leq |B_1(u, \varphi)| + |B_2(u, \varphi)| + |B_3(u, \varphi)| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{A}_j(x, u, \nabla u)| |D_j \varphi| \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p-2} |\Delta u| |\Delta \varphi| v \, dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} |b(x, u, \nabla u)| |\varphi| \omega \, dx. \tag{2.5}
\end{aligned}$$

In (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{A}(x, u, \nabla u)| |\nabla \varphi| \omega \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \left( K_1 + h_1 |u|^{p/p'} + h_2 |\nabla u|^{p/p'} \right) |\nabla \varphi| \omega \, dx \\
&\leq \|K_1\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} + \|h_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)}^{p/p'} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} \\
&\quad + \|h_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)}^{p/p'} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} \\
&\leq \left( \|K_1\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + (\|h_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|h_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \|u\|_X^{p/p'} \right) \|\varphi\|_X,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p-2} |\Delta u| |\Delta \varphi| v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p-1} |\Delta \varphi| v \, dx \\
&\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p v \, dx \right)^{1/p'} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi|^p v \, dx \right)^{1/p} \leq \|u\|_X^{p/p'} \|\varphi\|_X,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} |b(x, u, \nabla u)| |\varphi| \omega \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \left( K_2 + h_3 |u|^{p/p'} + h_4 |\nabla u|^{p/p'} \right) |\varphi| \omega \, dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} K_2 |\varphi| \omega \, dx + \|h_3\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p/p'} |\varphi| \omega \, dx \\
&\quad + \|h_4\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p/p'} |\varphi| \omega \, dx \\
&\leq \left( \|K_2\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + \|h_3\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u\|_X^{p/p'} + \|h_4\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u\|_X^{p/p'} \right) \|\varphi\|_X
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in (2.5) we obtain, for all  $u, \varphi \in X$

$$\begin{aligned}
|B(u, \varphi)| &\leq \left[ \|K_1\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + \|K_2\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \right. \\
&\quad + (\|h_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|h_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \omega)} + \|h_3\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \\
&\quad \left. + \|h_4\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \omega)} + 1) \|u\|_X^{p/p'} \right] \|\varphi\|_X.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $B(u, \cdot)$  is linear, for each  $u \in X$ , there exists a linear and continuous operator  $A : X \rightarrow X^*$  such that  $\langle Au, \varphi \rangle = B(u, \varphi)$ , for all  $u, \varphi \in X$  (where  $\langle f, x \rangle$  denotes the value of the linear functional  $f$  at the point  $x$ ) and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au\|_* &\leq \|K_1\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + \|K_2\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \\ &\quad + (\|h_1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|h_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \omega)} + \|h_3\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|h_4\|_{L^\infty(\Omega, \omega)} + 1)\|u\|_X^{p/p'}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, problem (P) is equivalent to the operator equation

$$Au = T, \quad u \in X.$$

**Step 5.** Using condition (H2), (H6) and Lemma 1 (b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle Au_1 - Au_2, u_1 - u_2 \rangle = B(u_1, u_1 - u_2) - B(u_2, u_1 - u_2) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \omega \mathcal{A}(x, u_1, \nabla u_1) \cdot \nabla(u_1 - u_2) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_1|^{p-2} \Delta u_1 \Delta(u_1 - u_2) v \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} b(x, u_1, \nabla u_1)(u_1 - u_2) \omega \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \omega \mathcal{A}(x, u_2, \nabla u_2) \cdot \nabla(u_1 - u_2) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_2|^{p-2} \Delta u_2 \Delta(u_1 - u_2) v \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} b(x, u_2, \nabla u_2)(u_1 - u_2) \omega \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \omega \left( \mathcal{A}(x, u_1, \nabla u_1) - \mathcal{A}(x, u_2, \nabla u_2) \right) \cdot \nabla(u_1 - u_2) \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u_1|^{p-2} \Delta u_1 - |\Delta u_2|^{p-2} \Delta u_2) \Delta(u_1 - u_2) v \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} (b(x, u_1, \nabla u_1) - b(x, u_2, \nabla u_2))(u_1 - u_2) \omega \, dx \\ &\geq \theta_1 \int_{\Omega} \omega |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^p \, dx + \beta_p \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u_1| + |\Delta u_2|)^{p-2} |\Delta u_1 - \Delta u_2|^2 v \, dx \\ &\quad + \theta_2 \int_{\Omega} |u_1 - u_2|^p \omega \, dx \\ &\geq \theta_1 \int_{\Omega} \omega |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^p \, dx + \beta_p \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u_1 - \Delta u_2|)^{p-2} |\Delta u_1 - \Delta u_2|^2 v \, dx \\ &\quad + \theta_2 \int_{\Omega} |u_1 - u_2|^p \omega \, dx \\ &= \theta_1 \int_{\Omega} \omega |\nabla(u_1 - u_2)|^p \, dx + \beta_p \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_1 - \Delta u_2|^p v \, dx + \theta_2 \int_{\Omega} |u_1 - u_2|^p \omega \, dx \\ &\geq \theta \|u_1 - u_2\|_X^p \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta = \min \{\theta_1, \theta_2, \beta_p\}$ .

Therefore, the operator  $A$  is strongly monotone, and this implies that the operator  $A$  is strictly monotone. Moreover, using (H3) and (H9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle Au, u \rangle &= B(u, u) = B_1(u, u) + B_2(u, u) + B_3(u, u) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \omega \mathcal{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta u v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} b(x, u, \nabla u) u \omega \, dx \\
&\geq \int_{\Omega} (\lambda_1 |\nabla u|^p + \Lambda_1 |u|^p) \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\lambda_2 |\nabla u|^p + \Lambda_2 |u|^p) \omega \, dx \\
&= (\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2) \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \omega \, dx + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p v \, dx \\
&\geq \gamma \|u\|_X^p
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma = \min \{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2, 1\}$ . Hence, since  $p \geq 2$ , we have

$$\frac{\langle Au, u \rangle}{\|u\|_X} \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ as } \|u\|_X \rightarrow +\infty,$$

that is,  $A$  is coercive.

**Step 6.** We need to show that the operator  $A$  is continuous.

Let  $u_m \rightarrow u$  in  $X$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . We have,

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_1(u_m, \varphi) - B_1(u, \varphi)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{A}_j(x, u_m, \nabla u_m) - \mathcal{A}_j(x, u, \nabla u)| |D_j \varphi| \omega \, dx \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} |F_j u_m - F_j u| |D_j \varphi| \omega \, dx \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|F_j u_m - F_j u\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \|D_j \varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|F_j u_m - F_j u\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \|\varphi\|_X,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&|B_2(u_m, \varphi) - B_2(u, \varphi)| \\
&= \left| \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_m|^{p-2} \Delta u_m \Delta \varphi v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi v \, dx \right| \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \left| |\Delta u_m|^{p-2} \Delta u_m - |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \right| |\Delta \varphi| v \, dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} |Gu_m - Gu| |\Delta \varphi| v \, dx \\
&\leq \|Gu_m - Gu\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, v)} \|\varphi\|_X,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_3(u_m, \varphi) - B_3(u, \varphi)| &\leq \int_{\Omega} |b(x, u_m, \nabla u_m) - b(x, u, \nabla u)| |\varphi| \omega \, dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} |Hu_m - Hu| |\varphi| \omega \, dx \\
&\leq \|Hu_m - Hu\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \|\varphi\|_X,
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varphi \in X$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|B(u_m, \varphi) - B(u, \varphi)| \\
&\leq |B_1(u_m, \varphi) - B_1(u, \varphi)| + |B_2(u_m, \varphi) - B_2(u, \varphi)| + |B_3(u_m, \varphi) - B_3(u, \varphi)| \\
&\leq \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n \|F_j u_m - F_j u\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + \|G u_m - G u\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, v)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|H u_m - H u\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \right] \|\varphi\|_X.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A u_m - A u\|_* &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|F_j u_m - F_j u\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + \|G u_m - G u\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, v)} \\
&\quad + \|H u_m - H u\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) we have  $\|A u_m - A u\|_* \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ , that is,  $A$  is continuous (and this implies that  $A$  is hemicontinuous).

Therefore, by Theorem 3, the operator equation  $Au = T$  has a unique solution  $u \in X$  and it is the unique solution for problem (P).

**Step 7.** In particular, by setting  $\varphi = u$  in Definition 4, we have

$$B(u, u) = B_1(u, u) + B_2(u, u) + B_3(u, u) = T(u). \quad (2.6)$$

Hence, using (H3), (H7), (H9) and  $\gamma = \min \{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2, 1\}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_1(u, u) + B_2(u, u) + B_3(u, u) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \omega \mathcal{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta u \, v \, dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} b(x, u, \nabla u) u \omega \, dx \\
&\geq \int_{\Omega} (\lambda_1 |\nabla u|^p + \Lambda_1 |u|^p) \omega \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^p v \, dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} (\Lambda_2 |u|^p + \lambda_1 |\nabla u|^p) \omega \, dx \\
&\geq \gamma \|u\|_X^p
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
T(u) &= \int_{\Omega} f_0 u \, dx + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} f_j D_j u \, dx \\
&\leq \|f_0/\omega\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j/\omega\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)} \|D_j u\|_{L^p(\Omega, \omega)} \\
&\leq \left( \|f_0/\omega\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j/\omega\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)} \right) \|u\|_X.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in (2.6), we have

$$\gamma \|u\|_X^p \leq \left( \|f_0/\omega\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j/\omega\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \right) \|u\|_X,$$

and we obtain

$$\|u\|_X \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^{p'/p}} \left( \|f_0/\omega\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j/\omega\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega, \omega)} \right)^{p'/p}.$$

**Example 1.** Let  $\Omega = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$ . Consider the weight functions  $\omega(x, y) = (x^2 + y^2)^{-1/2}$  and  $v(x, y) = (x^2 + y^2)^{-1/3}$  ( $\omega, v \in A_2, p = 2$ ), and the functions  $\mathcal{A} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $b : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}((x, y), \eta, \xi) &= h_2(x, y) \xi, \\
b((x, y), \eta, \xi) &= \eta (\cos^2(xy) + 1),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $h(x, y) = 2e^{(x^2+y^2)}$ . Let us consider the partial differential operator

$$\begin{aligned}
Lu(x, y) &= \Delta((x^2 + y^2)^{-1/3} |\Delta u| \Delta u) - \operatorname{div}((x^2 + y^2)^{-1/2} \mathcal{A}((x, y), u, \nabla u)) \\
&\quad + (x^2 + y^2)^{-1/2} b(x, u, \nabla u).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1, the problem

$$(P) \begin{cases} Lu(x) = \frac{\cos(xy)}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\sin(xy)}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\sin(xy)}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \right), & \text{in } \Omega \\ u(x) = \Delta u(x) = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution  $u \in X = W^{2,2}(\Omega, v) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, \omega)$ .

**Acknowledgements.** We thank the referee for his/her helpful suggestions which improved the original results.

## References

- [1] A.C.CAVALHEIRO: *Existence and uniqueness of solutions for some degenerate nonlinear Dirichlet problems*, J. Appl. Anal., 19 (2013), 41-54.
- [2] A.C.CAVALHEIRO: *Existence results for Dirichlet problems with degenerated  $p$ -Laplacian and  $p$ -Biharmonic operators*, Appl. Math. E-Notes, 13 (2013), 234 - 242.
- [3] M. CHIPOT: *Elliptic Equations: An Introductory Course*, Birkhäuser, Berlin (2009).
- [4] P. DRÁBEK, A. KUFNER AND F. NICOLSI: *Quasilinear Elliptic Equations with Degenerations and Singularities*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin (1997)
- [5] S. FUČIK, O. JOHN AND A. KUFNER: *Function Spaces*, Noordhoff International Publ., Leyden, (1977).
- [6] J. GARCIA-CUERVA AND J.L. RUBIO DE FRANCIA: *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 116, (1985).
- [7] D.GILBARG AND N.S. TRUDINGER: *Elliptic Partial Equations of Second Order*, 2nd Ed., Springer, New York (1983).
- [8] J. HEINONEN, T. KILPELÄINEN AND O. MARTIO: *Nonlinear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic Equations*, Oxford Math. Monographs, Clarendon Press, (1993).
- [9] A. KUFNER: *Weighted Sobolev Spaces*, John Wiley & Sons, (1985).
- [10] B. MUCKENHOUPT: *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 165 (1972), 207-226.
- [11] M. TALBI AND N. TSOULI: *On the spectrum of the weighted  $p$ -Biharmonic operator with weight*, Mediterr. J. Math., 4 (2007), 73-86.
- [12] A. TORCHINSKY: *Real-Variable Methods in Harmonic Analysis*, Academic Press, San Diego, (1986).
- [13] B.O. TURESSON: *Nonlinear Potential Theory and Weighted Sobolev Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1736, Springer-Verlag, (2000).
- [14] E. ZEIDLER: *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications*, vol.I, Springer-Verlag, (1990).
- [15] E. ZEIDLER: *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications*, vol.II/B, Springer-Verlag, (1990).