
Annals of Studies in Science and Humanities
Volume 1 Number 1 (2015) : 17–24

<http://journal.carsu.edu.ph/>

Online ISSN 02408-3631



On The Hub Number of Some Graphs

Edilberto C. Cuaresma Jr.^{1,*} and *Rolando N. Paluga*²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, Caraga State University, Butuan City, Philippines

Received: September 23, 2014 Accepted: September 23, 2015

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we give the results for the hub numbers of the join and corona of two connected graphs, cartesian product of two complete graphs, cartesian product of a non-complete connected graph and a complete graph and the cartesian product of two paths P_m and P_n for $n \geq 4$ and $m = 2, 3$. Moreover, we give an upperbound for the hub number of the cartesian product of two paths P_m and P_n for $4 \leq m \leq n$.

Key words: hub set, hub number of a graph

1 Introduction

Let P be a path with end vertices x and y . A vertex u is an internal vertex if $u \in V(P) \setminus \{x, y\}$. Suppose $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $x, y \in V(G)$, $x \neq y$. A path P is an S -path of G if every internal vertex of P is in S . Let G be a graph; a hub set S of G , which was introduced by Walsh, is a set of vertices with the property that for any pair of vertices outside S , there is an S -path between them with all internal vertices in S . The hub number, denoted by $h(G)$, which was introduced by Walsh, is defined to be the minimum cardinality of a hub set of G .

Walsh (2006) studied hub number for several classes of graphs and showed that the hub number is at least the girth minus 3 (the *girth* is the length of the shortest cycle). Grauman et al. (2008) showed the relationship of hub number, connected hub number $h_c(G)$ and connected domination number of a graph $\gamma_c(G)$ and proved that $h(G) \leq h_c(G) \leq \gamma_c(G) \leq$

* Corresponding Author

Email: ly_chiong@yahoo.com

$h(G) + 1$.

The graphs being considered in this study are simple and connected. We review some several standard definitions used in this study. The *join* or *sum* of two graphs G and H , denoted by $G + H$, is the graph with $V(G + H) = V(G) \cup V(H)$ and $E(G + H) = E(G) \cup E(H) \cup \{uv : u \in V(G) \text{ and } v \in V(H)\}$. The *corona* of two graphs G and H , denoted by $G \circ H$, is the graph with $V(G \circ H) = V(G) \cup \bigcup_{x \in V(G)} V(H_x)$, where H_x is a copy of H all of whose vertices are adjacent to x for $x \in V(G)$, and $E(G \circ H) = E(G) \cup \bigcup_{x \in V(G)} E(H_x) \cup \{[x, y] : x \in V(G), y \in V(H_x)\}$. The *cartesian product* of two graphs G and H , denoted by $G \times H$, is a graph such that $V(G \times H) = V(G) \times V(H)$, and two vertices (u_1, v_1) and (u_2, v_2) of $G \times H$ are adjacent if and only if either $u_1 = u_2$ and $[v_1, v_2] \in E(H)$ or $v_1 = v_2$ and $[u_1, u_2] \in E(G)$.

2 Hub Numbers of the Join and Corona of Two Connected Graphs

Theorem 2.1. For any connected graphs G and H ,

$$h(G + H) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{ if } G \text{ and } H \text{ are complete ,} \\ 1 & , \text{ if } G \text{ complete and } H \text{ non - complete ,} \\ \min\{h(G), h(H), 2\} & , \text{ if } G \text{ and } H \text{ are both non - complete .} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose G and H are both complete. Then $G + H$ is also complete. By Theorem [1], $h(G + H) = 0$. Suppose G is complete and H is non-complete. Let $a \in V(G)$ and $S = \{a\}$. Let $x, y \in V(G + H) \setminus S$. Consider the following cases:

Case 1. Suppose $x, y \in V(G) \setminus \{a\}$. Since G is complete, there is a path $\{x, a, y\}$ in G . Hence, there is an S -path between x and y in $G + H$.

Case 2. Suppose $x \in V(G) \setminus \{a\}$ and $y \in V(H)$. Since G is complete, x and a are adjacent. By definition of $G + H$, a is adjacent to y . Hence, there is a path $\{x, a, y\}$ in $G + H$. Thus, there is an S -path between x and y in $G + H$.

Case 3. Suppose $x, y \in V(H)$. By definition of $G + H$, a is adjacent to both x and y . Hence, there is a path $\{x, a, y\}$ in $G + H$. Thus, there is an S -path between x and y in $G + H$.

Thus, S is a hub set of $G + H$. Accordingly, $h(G + H) \leq 1$. Since H is non-complete, consequently $G + H$ is non-complete. By Theorem [1], $h(G + H) \neq 0$. Therefore, $h(G + H) = 1$.

Suppose G and H are both non-complete. Consider the following cases:

Case 1. Suppose $h(G) = 1$.

Let $a \in V(G)$. Let $S = \{a\}$ be a minimum hub set of G . Let $x, y \in V(G + H) \setminus \{a\}$. Consider the following subcases:

Subcase 1. Suppose $x, y \in V(G) \setminus \{a\}$. Since S is a hub set of G , there is an S -path between x and y in $G + H$.

Subcase 2. Suppose $x \in V(G) \setminus \{a\}$ and $y \in V(H)$. Since S is a hub set of G , x is incident

to a . By definition of $G + H$, a is incident to y . This means that $\{x, a, y\}$ is an S -path in $G + H$. Hence, S is a hub set of $G + H$. Thus, $h(G + H) \leq 1$.

Subcase 3. Suppose $x, y \in V(H)$. By definition of $G + H$, a is incident to both x and y . This means that $\{x, a, y\}$ is an S -path in $G + H$. Hence, S is a hub set of $G + H$. Thus, $h(G + H) \leq 1$.

Combining the three subcases, $h(G + H) \leq 1$. Since G and H are both non-complete, $G + H$ is non-complete. So, $h(G + H) \neq 0$. Therefore, $h(G + H) = 1$.

Case 2. Suppose $h(H) = 1$.

The proof is similar to Case 1.

Case 3. $h(G), h(H) \geq 2$.

Let $a \in V(G)$, $b \in V(H)$ and $S = \{a, b\}$. Consider the following subcases:

Subcase 1. Let $x, y \in V(G) \setminus \{a\}$. By definition of $G + H$, both x and y are incident to b . That is, there is an S -path $\{x, b, y\}$ in $G + H$. Hence, $S = \{a, b\}$ is a hub set of $G + H$. So, $h(G + H) \leq 2$.

Subcase 2. Let $x, y \in V(H) \setminus \{b\}$. The proof is similar to Subcase 1.

Subcase 3. Let $x \in V(G) \setminus \{a\}$ and $y \in V(H) \setminus \{b\}$. By definition of $G + H$, x is incident to b , b is incident to a , and a is incident to y . That is, $\{x, b, a, y\}$ is an S -path in $G + H$. Hence, $S = \{a, b\}$ is a hub set of $G + H$. So, $h(G + H) \leq 2$.

Suppose $h(G + H) = 1$. Assume without loss of generality, $S = \{a\}$ be a minimum hub set of $G + H$ where $a \in V(G)$. Let $x, y \in V(G) \setminus \{a\}$. Thus, $\{x, a, y\}$ is an S -path in G . This implies that S is a hub set of G . That is, $h(G) \leq 1$. This is a contradiction to the assumption that $h(G) \geq 2$. Therefore, $h(G + H) = 2$. \square

Theorem 2.2. For any connected graphs G and H ,

$$h(G \circ H) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{ if } |V(G)| = 1 \text{ and } H \text{ is complete ,} \\ 1 & , \text{ if } |V(G)| = 1 \text{ and } H \text{ is non - complete ,} \\ |V(G)| & , \text{ if } |V(G)| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose $|V(G)| = 1$ and H is complete. Obviously, $G \circ H$ is complete. By Theorem [1],

$h(G \circ H) = 0$. Suppose $|V(G)| = 1$ and H is non-complete. Note that if $|V(G)| = 1$ and H is non-complete, then $G \circ H$ is just $G + H$. Thus, by Theorem 2.1, $h(G \circ H) = 1$, if H is non-complete.

Suppose $|V(G)| \geq 2$. Let $S = V(G)$ and $x, y \in V(G \circ H) \setminus S$.

Case 1. Suppose $x, y \in V(H_a)$ for some $a \in V(G)$. Clearly, $\{x, a, y\}$ is a path in $G \circ H$ and $a \in S$. Thus, there is an S -path between x and y in $G \circ H$.

Case 2. Suppose $x \in V(H_a)$ and $y \in V(H_b)$ for some $a, b \in V(G)$. Since G is connected, there is a path $\{a, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t, b\}$ in G for some $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_t \in S$. Thus, $\{x, a, \dots, b, y\}$ is a path in $G \circ H$. Hence, there is an S -path between x and y in $G \circ H$. Therefore, S is a hub

set of $G \circ H$. Accordingly, $h(G \circ H) \leq |V(G)|$.

Let S' be another hub set of $G \circ H$. Suppose there exists $u \in V(G)$ such that $u \notin S'$. Since $|V(G)| \geq 2$, there exists $v \in V(G)$ such that $u \neq v$. Let $x \in V(H_u)$ and $y \in V(H_v)$. There exists a path $\{x, a_1, \dots, a_n, y\}$ where $a_i \in S'$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By definition of $G \circ H$, there exists k such that $a_k = u$. This implies that $u \in S'$ which is a contradiction. Hence, $V(G) \subseteq S'$ for all hub set S' of $G \circ H$. It follows that $|V(G)| \leq |S'|$. Thus, $|V(G)| \leq h(G \circ H)$.

Therefore, $h(G \circ H) = |V(G)|$. \square

3 Hub Numbers of the Cartesian Product of Some Graphs

Theorem 3.1. Let $3 \leq m \leq n$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $h(K_m \times K_n) = m$.

Proof. Let $3 \leq m \leq n$, $w \in V(K_n)$ and $S = V(K_m) \times \{w\}$. Let $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in V(K_m \times K_n) \setminus S$. Then $\{(u_1, v_1), (u_1, t_k), (u_2, t_k), (u_2, v_2)\}$ is an S -path in $K_m \times K_n$ for some $t_k \in V(K_n)$. Thus, S is a hub set of $K_m \times K_n$. It follows that $h(K_m \times K_n) \leq |S| = m$.

Suppose $h(K_m \times K_n) < m$. Let S' be a minimum hub set of $K_m \times K_n$. Then $|S'| < m$. For each $a \in V(K_m)$, let $S_a = \{(a, x) : x \in V(K_n)\}$. Since $|S'| < m \leq n$, there exists $a_1 \in V(K_m)$ such that $S' \cap S_{a_1} = \emptyset$. Suppose that $|S' \cap S_a| = 1$ for every $a \in V(K_m) \setminus \{a_1\}$. Let $(a, b) \in S' \cap S_a$. Let $b_1, b_2 \in V(K_n)$ such that $b \neq b_1$ and $b \neq b_2$. Now, (a, b_1) and (a_1, b_2) are joined by either paths $\{(a, b_1), (a, b), (a_1, b), (a_1, b_2)\}$ and $\{(a, b_1), (a, b_2), (a_1, b_2)\}$. But neither of these paths is an S' -path since $(a_1, b), (a, b_2) \notin S'$. This contradicts the assumption that S' is a hub set which means that $|S'| \geq m$. Suppose $|S' \cap S_a| > 1$ for some $a \in V(K_m)$. Then there exists $a_1, a_2 \in V(K_m)$, $a_1 \neq a_2$ such that $S' \cap S_{a_1} = \emptyset$ and $S' \cap S_{a_2} = \emptyset$. Since $|S'| < m$, there exists $b_t \in V(K_n)$ such that $(a, b_t) \notin S' \cap S_a$. Now, (a_1, b) and (a_2, b_t) are joined by paths $\{(a_1, b), (a, b), (a, b_t), (a_2, b_t)\}$, $\{(a_1, b), (a_2, b), (a_2, b_t)\}$ and $\{(a_1, b), (a_1, b_t), (a_2, b_t)\}$. But none of these paths is an S' -path since $(a, b_t), (a_2, b), (a_1, b_t) \notin S'$. Thus, $|S'| \geq m$.

Therefore, $h(K_m \times K_n) = m$. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let G be a non-complete connected graph of order at least 3. Then $h(G \times K_n) = \min\{|V(G)|, n \cdot h(G)\}$ for $n \geq 3$.

Proof. Suppose G is a non-complete connected graph of order at least 3 and $n \geq 3$. Consider the following cases:

Case 1. $|V(G)| \leq n \cdot h(G)$.

Let $w \in V(K_n)$ and $S = V(G) \times \{w\}$. Let $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in V(G \times K_n) \setminus S$. Suppose $u_1 \neq u_2$. Since G is connected, there exists a path $\{u_1, a_1, \dots, a_r, u_2\}$ in G . Then

$$\{(u_1, v_1), (u_1, w), (a_1, w), \dots, (a_r, w), (u_2, w), (u_2, v_2)\}$$

is an S -path in $G \times K_n$. It follows that S is a hub set in $G \times K_n$. Consequently, $h(G \times K_n) \leq |V(G)|$.

Suppose $h(G \times K_n) < |V(G)|$. Let S' be a minimum hub set of $G \times K_n$ and for each $a \in V(G)$, let $S_a = \{(x, a) : x \in V(G)\}$. Then there exist $a_1 \in V(G)$ such that $S' \cap S_{a_1} = \emptyset$. Suppose $|S' \cap S_a| = 1$ for all $a \in V(G)$ and $a \neq a_1$. Let $(a, b) \in S' \cap S_a$. Let $b_1, b_2 \in V(K_n)$ such that $b \neq b_1$ and $b \neq b_2$. Then (a, b_1) and (a_1, b_2) are joined by the paths $\{(a, b_1), (a_1, b_1), (a_1, b_2)\}$ and $\{(a, b_1), (a, b_2), (a_1, b_2)\}$. But none of these paths is an S' -path since $(a_1, b_1), (a, b_2) \notin S'$. This contradicts the assumption that S' is a hub set. Suppose $|S' \cap S_a| > 1$ for some $a \in V(K_m)$. Then there exists $a_1, a_2 \in V(K_m)$, $a_1 \neq a_2$ such that $S' \cap S_{a_1} = \emptyset$ and $S' \cap S_{a_2} = \emptyset$. Since $|S'| < m$, there exists $b_t \in V(K_n)$ such that $(a, b_t) \notin S' \cap S_a$. Now, (a_1, b) and (a_2, b_t) are joined by paths $\{(a_1, b), (a, b), (a, b_t), (a_2, b_t)\}$, $\{(a_1, b), (a_2, b), (a_2, b_t)\}$ and $\{(a_1, b), (a_1, b_t), (a_2, b_t)\}$. But none of these paths is an S' -path since $(a, b_t), (a_2, b), (a_1, b_t) \notin S'$. Thus, $|S'| \geq |V(G)|$.

Therefore, $h(G \times K_n) = |V(G)|$.

Case 2. $n \cdot h(G) \leq |V(G)|$.

Let A be a minimum hub set in G and $S = \{(a, b) : a \in A, b \in V(K_n)\}$. This implies that

$|S| = n(h(G))$. Let $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in V(G \times K_n) \setminus S$. If $u_1 = u_2$, then we can use the degenerate case. Suppose $u_1 \neq u_2$. Then $\{u_1, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r, u_r\}$ is an A -path in G and

$$\{(u_1, v_1), (t_1, v_1), \dots, (t_r, v_1), (t_r, v_2), (u_2, v_2)\}$$

is an S -path in $G \times K_n$. It follows that S is a hub set of $G \times K_n$. Consequently, $h(G \times K_n) \leq n \cdot h(G)$.

Suppose $h(G \times K_n) < n \cdot h(G)$. Let S' be a minimum hub set of $G \times K_n$ and for each $v \in V(K_n)$, let $T_v = \{(x, v) : x \in V(G)\}$. Let $v \in V(K_n)$, $A \subseteq V(G)$ such that $A \times \{v\} = S' \cap T_v$, and

$a_1, a_2 \in V(G) \setminus A$. Then $(a_1, v), (a_2, v) \in V(G \times K_n) \setminus S'$. Since S' is a hub set in $G \times K_n$, there exists a path $\{(a_1, v), (u_1, v_1), \dots, (u_t, v_t), (a_2, v)\}$ in $G \times K_n$ such that $(u_i, v_i) \in S'$, with $1 \leq i \leq t$. Then there exists a path $\{a_1, u_1, \dots, u_s, a_2\}$ in G . This implies that A is a hub set in G . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |S'| &= \left| \bigcup_{v \in V(K_n)} (S' \cap T_v) \right| \\ &= \sum_{v \in V(K_n)} |S' \cap T_v| = \sum_{v \in V(K_n)} |A| \\ &\geq \sum_{v \in V(K_n)} h(G) \\ &= n \cdot h(G). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $h(G \times K_n) = n \cdot h(G)$.

Therefore, $h(G \times K_n) = \min\{|V(G)|, n \cdot h(G)\}$. □

Theorem 3.3. Let $n \geq 4$. If $m = 2$ or $m = 3$, then $h(P_m \times P_n) = n$.

Proof. Let $S = \{(i, 2) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. This implies that $|S| = n$. Let $(a, b), (c, d) \in V(P_m \times P_n) \setminus S$. Suppose $m = 2$ and $n \geq 4$. That is, $a = c$ and $b \neq d$. Let $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t\}$ be a b - d path, where $b_1 = b$ and $b_t = d$. Then $\{(a, b), (2, b_1), (2, b_2), \dots, (2, b_t), (c, d)\}$ is an S -path. Suppose $m = 3$ and $n \geq 4$. If $a \neq c$ and $b \neq d$, then $\{(a, b), (2, b_1), (2, b_2), \dots, (2, b_t), (c, d)\}$ is an S -path. Thus, S is a hub set of $P_m \times P_n$. It follows that $h(P_m \times P_n) \leq n$.

Suppose there exists a hub set S' such that $|S'| < n$. Let $A_i = \{(a, i) : 1 \leq a \leq m\}$. Consider the following claims:

1. If $S' \cap A_1 = \emptyset$, then $|S' \cap A_2| = m$.
2. If $S' \cap A_n = \emptyset$, then $|S' \cap A_{n-1}| = m$.
3. $|S' \cap A_i| \geq 1$, $1 < i < n$.

Suppose $S' \cap A_1 = \emptyset$. That is, $(a, 1) \notin S'$ for $1 \leq a \leq m$. Then $(a, 2) \in S'$ for $1 \leq a \leq m$ since S' is a hub set. This implies that $|S' \cap A_2| = m$. The next claim is proved similarly with the previous claim. Now, if $|S' \cap A_2| = m$, then $(a, j) \in S'$ for some $a \in V(P_m)$ and $3 \leq j \leq n$ since S' is a hub set. Thus, if $S' \cap A_1 = \emptyset$, we have $|S' \cap A_i| \geq 1$ for $1 < i < n$.

Now, if there exists t , with $1 < t < n$, such that $|S' \cap A_t| = 0$. Since S' is a hub set, we have the following cases:

Case 1. If $|S' \cap A_1| = \dots = |S' \cap A_{t-1}| = m$. Then $|S' \cap A_{t+1}| \geq 1, \dots, |S' \cap A_{n-1}| \geq 1, |S' \cap A_n| \geq 1$. Thus, $|S'| = |S' \cap A_1| + \dots + |S' \cap A_n| \geq m(t-1) + (n-t) = mt - m + n - t$. If $m = 2$, then $|S'| \geq 2t - 2 + n - t = t + n - 2 \geq n$ which is a contradiction. If $m = 3$, then $|S'| \geq 3t - 3 + n - t = 2t + n - 3 \geq n$ which is a contradiction.

Suppose $|S' \cap A_{t+1}| \geq 1, \dots, |S' \cap A_{n-1}| = m, |S' \cap A_n| = 0$. Thus, $|S'| = |S' \cap A_1| + \dots + |S' \cap A_n| \geq m(t-1) + (n-t-2) + m = mt + n - t - 2$. If $m = 2$ and $1 < t < n$, $2t + n - t - 2 = t + n - 2 \geq n$ since $2 \leq t < n$. If $m = 3$ and $1 < t < n$, $3t + n - t - 2 = 2t + n - 2 \geq n$ since $2 \leq t < n$.

Case 2. If $|S' \cap A_{t+1}| = \dots = |S' \cap A_n| = m$. The proof is similar to the previous case.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |S'| &= \sum_{i=1}^n |S' \cap A_i| \\ &= |S' \cap A_1| + |S' \cap A_2| + |S' \cap A_3| + \dots + |S' \cap A_{n-2}| + |S' \cap A_{n-1}| \\ &\quad + |S' \cap A_n| \\ &= 2 + (n-2) \geq n \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $n \geq 4$, $m = 2$ or $m = 3$, then $h(P_m \times P_n) = n$. □

Theorem 3.4. Let $4 \leq m \leq n$, where m and n are positive integers. Then

$$h(P_m \times P_n) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{mn+m}{3} & , \text{ if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{3} , \\ \frac{mn+n}{3} & , \text{ if } m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3} , n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} , \\ \frac{mn+m+n-3}{3} & , \text{ if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{3} , n \equiv 1 \pmod{3} , \\ \frac{mn+m+n-2}{3} & , \text{ otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $4 \leq m \leq n$. Suppose $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Let

$$S = \{(i, 2) : 1 \leq i \leq m\} \cup \{(3i-1, j) : 1 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{3}, 3 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

This implies that $|S| = m + \frac{m}{3}(n-2) = \frac{mn+m}{3}$. Let $(a, b), (c, d) \in V(P_m \times P_n) \setminus S$. If $d((a, b), (c, d)) = 1$, the degenerate case, then the trivial S -path holds for this. Assume $d((a, b), (c, d)) \geq 2$. Consider the following subcases:

Case 1. Suppose $a = c$. Let $a = 3k - r$, where $r = 0, 2$. If $b = 1$ and $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t\}$ is a b - d path in P_n , where $b_1 = b$ and $b_t = d$, then

$$\{(a, b), (a, b_2), (3k-1, b_2), \dots, (3k-1, b_t), (c, d)\}$$

is an S -path. If $2 < b < d \leq n$, then

$$\{(a, b), (3k-1, b), (3k-1, b_2), \dots, (3k-1, b_t), (c, d)\}$$

is an S -path.

Case 2. Suppose $b = d$. If $b = 1$ and $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t\}$ is an a - c path in P_m , where $a_1 = a$ and $a_t = c$, then

$$\{(a, b), (a, 2), (a_2, 2), \dots, (a_t, 2), (c, d)\}$$

is an S -path. Let $a = 3k_1 - r_1$ where $r_1 = 0, 2$ and $b = 3k_2 - r_2$ where $r_2 = 0, 2$. If $b > 2$, then

$$\{(a, b), (3k_1-1, b), \dots, (3k_1-1, 2), \dots, (3k_2-1, 2), \dots, (3k_2-1, d), (c, d)\}$$

is an S -path.

Case 3. Suppose $a \neq c$ and $b \neq d$. Let $c = 3k - r$ where $r = 0, 2$. If $b = 1$, then

$$\{(a, b), (a, 2), \dots, (3k-1, 2), \dots, (3k-1, d), (c, d)\}$$

is an S -path. Suppose $2 < b < d \leq n$. Let $a = 3k_1 - r_1$ and $c = 3k_2 - r_2$ for some $r_1, r_2 = 0, 2$. Then

$$\{(a, b), (3k_1-1, b), \dots, (3k_1-1, 2), \dots, (3k_2-1, 2), \dots, (3k_2-1, d), (c, d)\}$$

is an S -path.

Thus, S is a hub set of $P_m \times P_n$. It follows that $h(P_m \times P_n) \leq \frac{mn+m}{3}$.

The cases $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ are proved similarly.

The proofs of the remaining cases are constructive and are proved similarly. □

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- Grauman, T., Hartke, S. G., Jobson, A., Kinnersley, B., West, D. B., Wiglesworth, L., Worah, P. and Wu, H. (2008). *The hub number of a graph. Information processing letters, 108*(4), 226-228.
- Walsh, M. (2006). The hub number of a graph. *Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci, 1*(1), 117-124.

Copyright ©2015 E. C. Cuaresma Jr and R. N. Paluga. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.