

# **Optimal Surgical Plating of Mandibular Angle Fractures: A Validated Finite Element Model**

Dartmouth **Biomedical Engineering Center** for Orthopaedics

## Introduction

Internal fixation via a surgical mini-plate is the prevailing treatment employed by craniomaxillofacial (CMF) surgeons dealing with a mandibular angle fracture, which is a common type of jaw fracture with relatively high complication rates<sup>1</sup>. The plate serves to counter the zones of tension present in the jaw in vivo, and there are two commonly accepted locations for plating: the 'Champy' plate along the superior oblique ridge, and a lateral border plate, as shown in Fig.  $1^2$ .

Medical device manufacturers produce a variety of miniplates, but they offer only limited advice to surgeons concerning the optimal plate choice, so the decision is largely based on a physician's opinions and preferences<sup>3</sup>. At the same time, it has been shown that plating methods are linked to complication rates and patient outcomes<sup>4</sup>, so there exists a need for a standardized method by which physicians may select the optimal plating technique given a specific patient's anatomy.

Modeling the jaw is challenging due to complex in vivo biomechanics, so finite element analysis (FEA) has become a well and compression in the angle established tool for producing accurate results<sup>1</sup>. While several studies have used physical experimentation to validate finite element models of the mandible, there exists no physically validated model of a jaw fractured and plated along the mandibular angle.

## Methods

### Physical Benchtop Model

- Determined resultant muscle force using the Greaves' model and vector summation
- Cut standardized angle fractures into Sawbones cortical foam mandible models (SKU# 1338; See Fig. 2) and marked them for video tracking with scale bars and contrasting dots spanning the fracture
- Plated four jaw models, plating two for each line of osteosynthesis
- Constructed a rig for orienting the jaw, comprising three supports and a piston to simulate in vivo loading (See Fig. 3), and fastened rig to Instron 8501 servohydraulic machine
- Using the Instron WaveMatrix Dynamic Testing software, ran a test method ramping to loads of 200 and 400 N at a rate of 20 N/s
- Filmed tests from the side and the top under LED illumination using two Canon Vixia HD cameras mounted on the Instron
- Measured model deformation via video analysis using the open-source Tracker software

### Finite Element Model

- Scanned Sawbones model into a mesh file using 3D Systems' Sense Handheld Scanner
- Refined mesh and inserted teeth and fracture using Geomagic Studio 2014
- Converted mesh to solid body step file using InStep (v2.3.11)
- Imported step file into SolidWorks 2017 and virtually plated fracture along each line of osteosynthesis
- Transferred assembly to ABAQUS CAE 2017 FEA software to run static, elastic body analyses of plated jaws using:
- Ten node tetrahedral elements (C3D10), averaging 91,340 p
- Fully constrained boundary conditions at the teeth and condy
- Homogeneous isotropic solid material sections, with
- properties shown in Table 1 Tied constraint interactions between plate, screws, and bone
- Loads along the same vector as physical testing

**Brett Seeley-Hacker** 

Thayer School of Engineering, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH

brett.l.seeley-hacker.18@dartmouth.edu



er model				
/les	Table 1: FEA Material Properties			
	Material Property	Bone	Titanium	
	Elastic Modulus (GPa)	14.0	140.0	
	Poisson's Ratio	0.3	0.34	

Research Go The long-term goal of method for a mandibul relevant finite element r				
Results				
$(\textbf{L}) = \frac{2}{10^{-3}} + \frac{10^{-3}}{10^{-3}} + \frac{10^{-3}}{10^{-3$				
$(u) = 1 + 10^{-3}$ $(u) = 1^{-3}$				
A C				
B B				
The FEA resulted, q distal segment. The Char also better prevented dis the fracture line.				
Discussion a Direct bone healing of clinically large displacement identifying and accentuation used in industry to provide The present FEA more with simplifying material a model. Nonetheless, the p predicts motion vectors the The immediate future validated model. Subsequent development of a decision				
<ul> <li>References</li> <li>1. Kavanagh, E. P. <i>et al. Ir. J. I</i> 331 (2008).</li> <li>2. Champy, M. <i>et al. J. Maxillo</i> (1978).</li> </ul>				

Gear, A. J. L. et al. J. Oral

655-663 (2005).

of this research is to produce a decision-making tool to guide physicians in the selection of the optimal plating ar angle fracture. To that end, the current project seeks to develop the first physically validated, clinically nodel of angle fractures, so that plate performance may be accurately and efficiently investigated in silico.





ualitatively, in similar motion to physical testing, with the proximal segment moving down and outward relative to the npy plate showed higher overall magnitude of displacement than the lateral plate at the fracture site. The Champy plate splacement on the contralateral side of the mandible compared to the lateral plate, and prevented Mode I opening of

## nd Future Directions

occurs when a fracture is reduced and stabilized to within 1 mm<sup>7</sup>. While both the physical and FEA models show nts, this study neglects the stabilizing effect of the musculature in vivo, so these results should be interpreted as ng the differences between the two techniques. Such approaches to computational and *in vitro* simulation are widely e a "worst case scenario" wherein a patient might have severely damaged or missing soft tissue. del is limited by computational constraints that do not permit contact between segments under load. These, combined and boundary condition assumptions, result in overall displacement magnitudes that are smaller than the physical present results show that this finite element model holds promise because it replicates observed physical motion, and nat would suggest a prevention of direct healing.

direction of this research will be the refinement of the FEA to produce an accurate, and therefore truly physically ently, the model will be used to identify key anatomical variables that correlate with plate performance, leading to the -making tool for physicians, which may be further substantiated by a dataset of patient CT scans and clinical trials.

4. Al-Moraissi, E. A. & Ellis, E. J. Oral Maxillofac.	
<i>Surg.</i> <b>72,</b> 2197–2211 (2014).	T wis
Med. Sci. <b>177,</b> 325–5. Cienfuegos, R. <i>et al. AO Foundation Surgery</i> <i>Reference.</i> (2008).	sharir
fac. Surg. <b>6,</b> 14–21 6. Pacific Research Lab. Sawbones Cranio and Maxillofacial Surgical Catalog. (2016).	Dr. Er exper
Maxillofac. Surg. <b>63,</b> 7. Marsell, R. & Einhorn, T. A. Injury <b>42,</b> 551–555 (2011).	Valen gener
	tha K





## Physical Testing

The physical testing, summarized in Fig. 4, showed that lateral border plates result in larger displacement in every plane, and between fracture segments than do 'Champy' superior oblique ridge plates under the same load.

Plots showing various Figure 4: displacements of physical models under 400 N of load (A-D) and representative frames from video tracking footage showing both tracking markings and notable displacement under 400 N of load for a lateral plate (E) and a Champy plate (F)

Magnitude		
-	+1.5e-01	
	+1.4e-01	
	+1.3e-01	
<u>.</u>	+1.1e-01	
	+1.0e-01	
	+8.8e-02	
-	+7.6e-02	
	+6.3e-02	
-	+5.0e-02	
	+3.8e-02	
-	+2.5e-02	
	+1.3e-02	
	+0.0e+00	

## Finite Element Analysis

Figure 5: Pictures of mesh used in FEA with Champy plate (A) and lateral border plate (B), and contour plots showing relative displacement under 400 N of load (C-J) with associated scale in mm

## **knowledgements**

to thank Professor Douglas Van Citters for his many resources and for his guidance, Holmgren for sharing his time and medical ise as a clinical partner, my family and tise as a clinical partner, my family and tina for their constant support, and the ous donors who funded this research through the Kaminsky Undergraduate Research Award.

