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#### Abstract

We present the results of an optical search for supernova remnants (SNRs) in the spiral galaxies NGC 5204, NGC 5585, NGC 6946, M81, and M101. Using the criterion that emission nebulae with [S II]/ $\mathrm{H} \alpha \geq 0.45$ are identified as SNRs, we found three SNRs in NGC 5204, five in NGC 5585, 27 in NGC 6946, 41 in M81, and 93 in M101. Including the 35 SNRs recently detected in NGC 2403 by Matonick et al., we have doubled the current number of galaxies that have been well searched for SNRs and increased the number of known extragalactic SNRs by about $50 \%$. Since no SNRs were detected inside H II regions and because our optical search appears biased against detecting large, faint SNRs, we estimate that there could be 4 times more SNRs in each of our target galaxies than we detected. Statistical analysis of the spatial distribution of detected SNRs indicates that those in NGC 2403, M81, and M101 are associated with star-forming regions (e.g., H II regions, spiral arms, and molecular clouds), suggesting that a significant fraction of the detected SNRs are the result of SN II or SN Ib/c explosions. Thirty-one SNRs found in these six galaxies have estimated diameters greater than 100 pc , which is larger than is possible for a single SNR in an interstellar medium of density $\sim 0.1-1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. Such objects are probably combinations of multiple SNRs and massive stellar winds.

We present an overview of extragalactic SNR searches, combining our SNR samples with published results of optical SNR searches in the Large and Small Magellanic Clouds and in M31, M33, NGC 300, and NGC 7793 to create an ensemble of 12 SNR samples. From these data, we find that an important selection effect is the apparent trend for higher $L(\mathrm{H} \alpha)_{\text {mode }}$ with increasing galaxy distance because intrinsically fainter SNRs are more difficult to detect in more distant galaxies. In addition, several physical trends were found in the SNR ensemble, including a constant value of $D_{\text {mode }} \approx 40 \mathrm{pc}$ for the SNR samples in these galaxies, suggesting that a significant fraction of the detected SNRs are in a similar evolutionary stage.


Subject headings: galaxies: ISM — supernova remnants - surveys

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The principal advantages of studying supernova remnants (SNRs) in distant galaxies are that (1) an entire galaxy can be examined with relatively few observations, (2) all the SNRs in a galaxy are at essentially the same distance, eliminating the uncertainty in relative distances that exists in the case of Galactic SNRs, and (3) relative positions of SNRs can be determined accurately. A review of extragalactic SNR searches in optical, radio, and X-ray wavelengths is given in Magnier et al. (1995). In Table 1 we list the galaxies in which SNRs (exclusive of remnants from historical SNs) have been detected in optical wavelengths. We also list the galaxy distance, the number of SNRs detected in optical surveys to date, and the principal references in which the SNR surveys were presented. As can be seen from the table, few large, nearby galaxies outside the Local Group $(R>1$ Mpc ) have been thoroughly searched for SNRs.

Mathewson \& Clarke (1973a) were the first to use the optical $\left[\mathrm{S} \mathrm{II}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ criterion to identify remnants. Collisionally excited $\mathrm{S}^{+}$ions in the long cooling region behind a SNR shock create strong [S II] $\lambda \lambda 6716,6731$ emission (Raymond 1979; Dopita et al. 1984; Fesen, Blair, \& Kirshner 1985; Osterbrock 1989), typically with [S II]/H $\alpha \gtrsim$ $0.4-0.5$. In an $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ region, because of the strong photoionization flux of its central star(s), most sulfur is in the form of $\mathrm{S}^{++}$, and therefore $[\mathrm{S} \mathrm{II}] / \mathrm{H} \alpha \approx 0.1-0.3$. The $[\mathrm{S} \mathrm{II}] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ criterion is now a well-established and reliable method for optically detecting SNRs.

As a step toward completing the ground-based search for SNRs in northern hemisphere galaxies and to create a larger ensemble of extragalactic SNR samples, we undertook an optical search for SNRs in five nearby spiral galaxies. We selected low-inclination nearby spiral galaxies with large populations of bright emission regions as targets. In § 2, we describe our observations and methods of data reduction, with the results and analysis of our search discussed in $\S<3$ and 4. Comparisons of SNR samples from different galaxies are given in § 5 .

## 2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTIONS

The list of program galaxies is given in Table 2. We restricted our SNR search to galaxies closer than about 7 Mpc. In Table 2 we list the right ascension (R.A.) and declination (decl.) of the galaxy centers as measured from our images in J2000.0 coordinates, the revised Hubble types, the inclinations ( $i$ ) of the galaxies (Tully 1988), the position angle (P.A.) of each galaxy, measured east of north (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991; Garcia-Gomez \& Athanassoula 1991), the color excess $\left(E_{B-V}\right)$ based on obscuration within our Galaxy (Tully 1988; Burstein \& Heiles 1978), the heliocentric velocity $\left(V_{h}\right)$ (Tully 1988), and the best estimate of each galaxy's distance ( $R$ ) (Tully 1988; Freedman \& Madore 1988; Freedman et al. 1994).

### 2.1. Imaging

Interference-filter images of the five target galaxies were taken in 1993 June and 1994 March using the $f / 7.61 .3 \mathrm{~m}$

TABLE 1
Prior Optical Extragalactic SNR Search Results

| Galaxy | $\begin{gathered} R^{\mathrm{a}} \\ (\mathrm{Mpc}) \end{gathered}$ | SNRs <br> Detected ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LMC | 0.055 | 28 | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 |
| SMC | 0.063 | 11 | 2, 4, 5, 6, 8 |
| NGC 6822. | 0.56 | 1 | 10 |
| IC $1613 \ldots$ | 0.64 | 1 | 10 |
| M31 | 0.69 | 221 | 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 |
| M33 | 0.84 | 98 | 10, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22 |
| NGC 300 | 2.1 | 28 | 10, 23 |
| NGC 253 | 2.5 | 2 | 10 |
| IC 342 | 2.9 | 4 | 10 |
| NGC 2403. | 3.2 | 35 | 10, 24 |
| NGC 7793. | 3.4 | 28 | 23 |
| NGC 4449. | 5.0 | 1 | 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 |
| M101.. | 5.4 | 1 | 30, 31, 32 |
| NGC 6946. | 5.5 | 1 | 33, 34, 35, 36 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ From the given references or from Tully 1988.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Historical SNRs not included.
References.-(1) Westerlund \& Mathewson 1966; $(2,3,4)$ Mathewson \& Clarke 1972, 1973a, 1973b, respectively; $(5,6,7)$ Mathewson et al. 1983, 1984, 1985, respectively; (8) Chu \& Kennicutt 1988a; (9) Smith et al. 1994; (10) D'Odorico, Dopita, \& Benvenuti 1980; (11) Rubin, Kumar, \& Ford 1972; (12) Kumar 1976; (13) Blair, Kirshner, \& Chevalier 1981; (14) Braun \& Walterbos 1993; (15) Magnier et al. 1995; (16) Sabbadin \& Bianchini 1979; (17) Blair \& Kirshner 1985; (18) Long et al. 1990; (19) Smith 1991; (20) Smith et al. 1993; (21) Gordon et al. 1993; (22) Gordon 1994; (23) Blair \& Long 1997; (24) Matonick et al. 1997; (25) Balick \& Heckman 1978; (26) Kirshner \& Blair 1980; (27) Blair, Kirshner, \& Winkler 1983; (28) Blair et al. 1984; (29) Long 1985; (30) Skillman 1985; (31) Chu \& Kennicutt 1986; (32) Yang, Skillman, \& Sramek 1994; (33) Blair \& Fesen 1994; $(34,35)$ Schlegel 1994a, 1994b, respectively; (36) Van Dyk et al. 1994.

McGraw-Hill Telescope at the Michigan-Dartmouth-MIT (MDM) Observatory. ${ }^{1}$ These images were obtained using a Tektronix $1024 \times 1024$ pixel CCD with a plate scale of $0^{\prime \prime} .508$ pixel $^{-1}$, giving a $8^{\prime} .7 \times 8^{\prime} .7$ field of view. Characteristics of the interference filters used are listed in Table 3. Filter tracings for the [S II] and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ filters were made by E . Carder in a $f / 7.5$ beam to match as closely as possible the characteristics of the 1.3 m telescope. The filters were very effective at passing the [S II] and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ lines and suppressing the [ $\mathrm{N}_{\text {II }}$ ] $\lambda 6583$ line, with only the weaker [ $\mathrm{N}_{\text {II }}$ ] $\lambda 6548$ line contaminating the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ signal. The $\lambda 6446$ continuum filter was used to remove starlight from $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ images, and the $\lambda 6964$ filter was used to do the same for [S II]. These continuum filters do not pass any strong SNR or H II region emission lines. We took sets of three images through each

[^0]TABLE 3
Interference Filter Characteristics

| Name | $\lambda_{c}^{a}$ <br> (Å) | FWHM <br> (Å) | $\begin{gathered} T_{\text {peak }} \\ \text { (percent) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [S II] | 6728 | 54 | 83 |
| H $\alpha$ | 6560 | 23 | 80 |
| Continuum | 6446 | 123 | 81 |
| Continuum | 6964 | 350 | 92 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Central wavelengths for [ S I] and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ measured off filter tracings for $f / 7.5$ beam.
filter, with exposure times ranging from 300 to 1200 s . Typical seeing was $\approx 1$ ". 5 . Flux standard stars from the catalogs of Oke (1974), Stone (1977), and Massey et al. (1988) were observed each night, and bias frames and dome flats were taken on each run. Twilight flats also were taken in the 1994 March and 1993 June runs. Basic reduction of our images was done using IRAF. ${ }^{2}$ In order to produce clearer results in subsequent image arithmetic, we resampled the image data and, while conserving the total flux, extracted four images with a scale of 0.254 pixel ${ }^{-1}$ from each fullframe image. Continuum-subtracted [S II] and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ images were then constructed.

### 2.2. Spectroscopy

In order to find a set of objects for follow-up spectroscopy, we carried out a quick-look analysis of the data by blinking between the continuum-subtracted [S II] and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ images. In order to limit the size of the region that the eye had to search, only a region about 1.5 on a side was displayed and examined at a time. Specifically, we looked for nebulae that were almost as bright, or brighter, in [S II] as in $\mathrm{H} \alpha$.

Spectra of 39 such nebulae in our five target galaxies were obtained with the 2.4 m Hiltner telescope at MDM Observatory, using the MK III spectrograph with a 600 lines $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ grism blazed at $5800 \AA$, giving a spectral coverage of $4800-7200 \AA$. A $1^{\prime \prime} .68$ wide slit was used, yielding a resolution of $\approx 7 \AA$ with a dispersion of $2.3 \AA$ pixel $^{-1}$. On one run we also used the Modular Spectrograph, with a 600 lines $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ grating, spectral coverage of $3700-8300 \AA$, and resolution of about $10 \AA$. On the Modular Spectrograph, a 2 ". 5 wide slit was used, with a scale along the slit of 1 ". 396

[^1]TABLE 2
Program Galaxies

| Name | R.A. (J2000) | Decl. (J2000) | Type | $\begin{gathered} i \\ (\mathrm{deg}) \end{gathered}$ | P.A. <br> (deg) | $\begin{aligned} & E_{B-V} \\ & \text { (mag) } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)}{V_{h}}$ | $\begin{gathered} R \\ (\mathrm{Mpc}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NGC 3031 (M81) ....... | 095533 | 690355 | Sab | 60 | 157 | 0.04 | -43 | 3.6 |
| NGC 5204.............. | 132936 | 582515 | Sm | 53 | 5 | 0.00 | 200 | 4.8 |
| NGC 5457 (M101)..... | 140312 | 542056 | Scd | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0.00 | 231 | 5.4 |
| NGC 5585. | 141948 | 564347 | Sd | 51 | 30 | 0.00 | 303 | 7.0 |
| NGC 6946............... | 203452 | 600914 | Scd | 42 | 64 | 0.40 | 46 | 5.5 |

Note.-Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds.
pixel $^{-1}$ and a dispersion of $4.69 \AA$ pixel $^{-1}$. A Tektronix $1024 \times 1024$ pixel CCD was used in all observations. The slit was positioned on the target nebula by setting it on a bright star in the field and rotating the instrument platform so that the slit overlaid both the star and the target. Exposures usually consisted of three frames of 600 s each.

A total of 14 objects were spectroscopically observed in M101, 12 in NGC 6946, six in M81, four in NGC 5585, and three in NGC 5204. In addition to our SNR candidate targets, spectra were also extracted of some $\mathrm{H}_{\text {il }}$ regions lying along the slit. Three or four spectrophotometric flux standard stars from the catalogs of Oke (1974), Stone (1977), and Massey et al. (1988) were observed each night. Calibration frames consisted of biases, internal lamp flats, and comparison lamp exposures. Spectral data were reduced, extracted, and corrected for interstellar extinction using IRAF. The $[\mathrm{S} I \mathrm{I}] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ ratio was measured for each spectroscopically observed object, with spectral line ratios accurate to about $10 \%$.

### 2.3. SNR Identification Technique

To carry out a systematic search for SNR candidates in our program galaxies, we constructed "difference" images similar to those used by Braun \& Walterbos (1993) in their search for SNRs in M31. For complex fields, they used continuum-subtracted $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ and [S II] images and made an image by taking $I(\mathrm{H} \alpha)-2 I([\mathrm{~S}$ II $])$, which left any region of high $\left[S_{I I}\right] / H \alpha$ with negative pixels, which could then be more easily discerned on an image display. Modifying this method, we took the continuum-subtracted $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ and [S II] images and made a difference image by taking $I([\mathrm{~S}$ II $])-n I(\mathrm{H} \alpha)$, where $n \approx 0.4$ and setting any negative
pixels to zero. Any bright feature in a difference image that was verified as a real emission-line object was marked as a SNR candidate. An example of these steps is shown in Figure 1 for a small section of the north field of M81.

We measured the total counts for each SNR candidate in [S II] and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$, converted counts to flux using standard stars, corrected for interstellar extinction within the Galaxy, and calculated $\left(\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)_{\text {image }}$. These image line ratios were then corrected for contamination by the [N II] 26548 line in the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ filter and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ absorption in the standard stars by fitting a curve to an $\left(\left[\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)_{\text {image }}$ versus $\left(\left[\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right)_{\text {spectrum }}$ plot and using this curve to obtain corrected values of $[\mathrm{S}$ II] $] \mathrm{H} \alpha$ for objects that were not spectroscopically observed. Two examples of these plots and the correction procedure are shown in Figure 2. The corrected line ratios are accurate to about $15 \%$. Overlap between galaxy fields was taken into account. Using the $[\mathrm{SII}] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ ratios, either corrected or obtained directly from spectra, an improved value of $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensity was calculated by taking

$$
I(\mathrm{H} \alpha)=\frac{I\left(\left[\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{I}}\right]\right)}{\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha},
$$

thereby correcting for [ $\mathrm{N}_{\text {II }}$ ] 26548 contamination in the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ filter, $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ absorption lines in the standard stars, and any nonphotometric conditions in the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ imaging observations. Objects with $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha \geq 0.45$ were considered SNRs.

Positions of all objects identified as SNRs were obtained in J2000 coordinates by use of Hubble Space Telescope (HST) guide stars in each field and refer to the center of the aperture used to measure the flux of each object. Diameters were measured on the continuum-subtracted [S II] images,


Fig. 1.-(a) [S II] and $(b) \mathrm{H} \alpha$ images of a small $(1.3 \times 1.6)$ subfield of M 81 . Objects later identified as SNRs are marked, from top to bottom, as M81 Nos. $19,13,17$, and 15 . (c) $\lambda 6964$ continuum image of the same subfield. (d) [S II] and (e) $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ continuum-subtracted images of the subfield. ( $f$ ) $I\left(\left[\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right]\right)-0.4 I(\mathrm{H} \alpha)$ image of the subfield. Note how clearly the objects identified as SNRs stand out in the final frame $(f)$.


Fig. 1c


Fig. $1 e$
using a method which depended on object morphology. For a shell structure, an ellipse was fitted to the brightest portion of the shell. Arcs were fitted in the same way as shells, assuming that the arc represented the visible part of a circular object. Filled SNRs were fitted with an isophote at


Fig. 1d


Fig. $1 f$
the point where the counts were about 5 times the local average background in the image. Among these three types of resolved objects, shells were probably the most reliably measured. For a filled object, fitting an isophote was less certain because it was easier to overestimate the object's


Fig. 2.-Plots of $\left[S_{\text {II }}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ ratios from image data vs. $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ ratios from spectra for two of the galaxies. Note how the points deviate more from a $1: 1$ relationship (solid line) with higher [S II]/H $\alpha$. Examples of how the ( $[\mathrm{S} \text { II] } / \mathrm{H} \alpha)_{\text {image }}$ values were corrected for [ $\mathrm{N}_{\text {II }}$ ] $\lambda 6548$ contamination are also shown. (a) NGC 6946. The dashed line is a first-order cubic spline fit to the data. (b) M101 south field. Includes objects calibrated in overlapping fields. The dashed line is a third-order polynomial fit. We were most concerned with the fits for ratio values $\gtrsim 0.2$. In some cases, the fit was simply a straight line, and the correction was identical to increasing the image ratios by a constant factor. The $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ values of objects for which we had spectra were obtained from the spectra, and this correction was not applied.
size. For arclike objects, we ignored the possibility that the arc might be part of an elliptically shaped object, in which case we might have overestimated the object's size. Partially resolved SNRs were measured by fitting a Gaussian to the point-spread function of the SNR. The measured SNR diameter was then deconvolved using the average FWHM of stars in the remnant's field. We were unable to estimate diameters for some of our SNRs, particularly those in very confused regions and those that were either unresolved or too faint to allow a Gaussian to be fit accurately.

## 3. SNR DETECTION RESULTS

SNRs detected in each galaxy are listed with objects ordered in right ascension in Tables 4-12. Each table contains the SNR's designated number (e.g., M81 SNR No. 15), the right ascension and declination in J2000 coordinates, the field in which the SNR was observed, the estimated diameter in parsecs (with major and minor axes given for highly elliptical remnants), the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensity, the [S II]/H $\alpha$ ratio, information on whether the SNR was observed spectroscopically, and a brief description of the SNR's morphology. Positions are accurate to $1^{\prime \prime}$, diameters to about $\pm 10$ $\mathrm{pc}, \mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensities to $\pm 15 \%$, and $[\mathrm{S}$ II] $/ \mathrm{H} \alpha$ ratios to $\pm 15 \%$ (see also Matonick 1997). Objects for which no value of the
diameter is given were in confused regions or were too small and faint to be estimated. Note that ground-based measurements of extragalactic SNR diameters have been found to differ from HST measurements by $\sim 20 \%$ to nearly a factor of 3 (Blair \& Davidsen 1993). Therefore, SNR diameter estimates from ground-based observations should be interpreted with caution.

Finding charts showing the locations of all remnants identified are presented below. (For objects that are difficult to discern on these finding charts, see Matonick 1997). For each galaxy, we show images (mostly in $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ ) of the whole galaxy field, as well as a few smaller areas to better display the SNRs. Numbered tick marks show the location of each remnant, using the numbers in the SNR tables. Light and dark numbers and tick marks were used to facilitate readability. North is up and east to the left in all finding charts.

We also investigated whether or not the remnants of any historical SNs were detected by our methods. Although SN 1993J in M81 is visible in our images (Finn et al. 1995), it would not have been detected by our search methods alone. To check for other historical SNs, we used the positional information in Barbon, Cappellaro, \& Turatto (1989) and found that, although some SNs occurred within our observed galaxy fields, no emission was visible in our

TABLE 4
NGC 5204 Supernova Remnants

| SNR No. | R.A. (J2000) | Decl. (J2000) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diameter }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \text { (pc) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} I(\mathrm{H} \alpha) \\ \left(\text { ergs } \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | [ $\left.\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | Spectra? | Morphology |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1......... | 132930.2 | 582521.1 | 20 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.53 | No | Stellar |
| $2 \ldots . .$. | 132934.4 | 582424.8 | 60 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.69 | Yes | Arc |
| $3 \ldots . .$. | 132936.9 | 582426.2 | 50 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.52 | Yes | Stellar |

Note.-Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds.
${ }^{\text {a }} R=4.8 \mathrm{Mpc}$.

TABLE 5
Relative Line Intensities for NGC 5204 SNRs with Spectra

| Line | SNR No. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 |
| H $\beta$ 24861......... | 100 | 100 |
| [ $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III] }}$ 24959..... | 25 | 36 |
| [ O III] $25007 \ldots .$. | 99 | 92 |
| [ $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{l}$ 25200 $\ldots . . .$. | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Нe ı $\lambda 5876 \ldots \ldots$. |  |  |
| [ O I] $26300 \ldots . .$. | 48 | 37 |
| [ $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ ] $\lambda 6364 \ldots \ldots$. | 20 |  |
| [ $\mathrm{N}_{\text {II] }} 16548 \mathrm{l}$.... | 16 | 12 |
| $\mathrm{H} \alpha \lambda 6563 \ldots \ldots .$. | 300 | 300 |
| [ $\mathrm{NII}_{\text {I] }} 16583 \ldots \ldots$ | 30 | 34 |
| [ $\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }}$ ] $26716 \ldots \ldots$. | 119 | 97 |
| [ $\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }} \mathbf{1} 6731 \ldots \ldots$. | 90 | 58 |
| SNR Parameters: $\sec z$ $\qquad$ | 1.12 | 1.12 |
| $E_{B-V} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 0.54 | 0.13 |
| $I(\mathrm{H} \alpha)^{\mathrm{a}} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ |

${ }^{a}$ From photometry, given in units of ergs $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

TABLE 6
NGC 5585 Supernova Remnants

| SNR No. | R.A. (J2000) | Decl. (J2000) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diameter }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & (\mathrm{pc}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} I(\mathrm{H} \alpha) \\ (\mathrm{ergs} \mathrm{~cm} \\ \left.-2 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | [ $\left.\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | Spectra? | Morphology |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1......... | 141939.2 | 564138.7 | $200 \times 90$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.66 | Yes | Shell |
| $2 \ldots . .$. | 141949.2 | 564458.4 | 30 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.68 | No | Stellar |
| $3 \ldots . .$. | 141951.6 | 564421.0 | 20 | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.64 | Yes | Filled |
| $4 \ldots . .$. | 141951.8 | 564408.6 | $120 \times 30$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.47 | Yes | Filled |
| $5 \ldots \ldots$. | 141955.4 | 564508.0 | $\ldots{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.49 | Yes |  |

Note.-Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds.
${ }^{\text {a }} R=7.0 \mathrm{Mpc}$. Elliptical remnants are described as, e.g., " $200 \times 90$ ", where the numbers denote the length of the major and the minor axis, respectively.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Object too close to nearby $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ region to allow estimation of diameter.

TABLE 7
Relative Line Intensities for NGC 5585 SNRs with Spectra

| Line | SNR No. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| H $\beta$ 入4861........ | 100 | $\ldots$ | 100 | 100 |
| [ ${ }^{\text {III] }}$ d4959..... | 31 | $\ldots$ | 63 | 20 |
| [ O III] $\lambda 5007 \ldots .$. | 74 | ... | 245 | 44 |
| [ $\mathrm{NI}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{l} \lambda 5200 \ldots . .$. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| He i $\lambda 5876 \ldots . .$. | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| [ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ] $\lambda 6300 \ldots . .$. | 72 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 32 |
| [ O I] $\lambda 6364 \ldots \ldots$. | 14 | $\ldots$ | ... | 14 |
| [ $\mathrm{N}_{\text {II }}$ ] $\lambda 6548 \ldots .$. | 11 | $\cdots$ | 23 | 11 |
| $\mathrm{H} \alpha \lambda 6563 \ldots \ldots .$. | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| [ $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{III}^{\text {I }}$ 26583 $\ldots .$. | 31 | 50 | 47 | 31 |
| [S II] $\lambda 6716 \ldots .$. | 116 | 115 | 87 | 86 |
| [S II] $\lambda 6731 \ldots \ldots$. | 83 | 70 | 57 | 63 |
| SNR Parameters: |  |  |  |  |
| $\sec z \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 1.10 | 1.12 | 1.10 | 1.17 |
| $E_{B-V} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 0.00 | $0.00^{\text {a }}$ | 0.51 | 0.14 |
| $I(\mathrm{H} \alpha)^{\mathrm{b}} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-14$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Burstein \& Heiles 1978.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ From photometry, given in units of ergs $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

TABLE 8
NGC 6946 Supernova Remnants

| SNR No. | R.A. (J2000) | Decl. (J2000) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diameter }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \text { (pc) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} I(\mathrm{H} \alpha) \\ (\text { ergs cm } \\ \left.-2 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | [ $\left.\mathrm{S}_{\text {III }}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | Spectra? | Morphology |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1......... | 203423.3 | 600818.6 | 30 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.52 | No | Stellar |
| $2 \ldots . .$. | 203426.0 | 601110.6 | 30 | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.46 | No | Stellar |
| 3 | 203433.6 | 600952.4 | 40 | $7.7 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 0.91 | No | Stellar |
| $4 \ldots . .$. | 203433.8 | 600925.2 | ... | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.85 | Yes |  |
| $5 \ldots . . .$. | 203437.6 | 600852.7 | 180 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.76 | No | Arc |
| $6 \ldots . .$. | 203437.8 | 601154.5 | 20 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 1.14 | No | Stellar |
| $7 \ldots . .$. | 203437.9 | 600722.5 | 40 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.97 | No | Stellar |
| $8 \ldots . .$. | 203443.9 | 600824.6 | 50 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.49 | No | Stellar |
| $9 \ldots . . .$. | 203451.4 | 600739.2 | 20 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.67 | No | Stellar |
| 10........ | 203451.5 | 600909.1 | 20 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.77 | No | Stellar |
| 11....... | 203452.5 | 600728.3 | ... | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.46 | No | ... |
| 12....... | 203454.2 | 601103.5 | $\ldots$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.64 | No |  |
| 13........ | 203455.9 | 600749.2 | 9 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.58 | No | Stellar |
| 14........ | 203457.8 | 600810.0 | 20 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.68 | No | Stellar |
| 15........ | 203500.3 | 601146.2 | 30 | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.58 | Yes | Stellar |
| 16....... | 203500.6 | 601130.8 | 20 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.85 | Yes ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Stellar |
| 17........ | 203501.1 | 601200.3 | 20 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.77 | No | Stellar |
| 18........ | 203502.3 | 600631.2 | 40 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.52 | Yes | Stellar |
| 19....... | 203502.9 | 600526.7 | 160 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.71 | No | Diffuse |
| 20........ | 203505.5 | 601001.0 | ... | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.68 | No | ... |
| $21 \ldots \ldots$. | 203508.8 | 600603.0 | 180 | $8.1 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.94 | No | Diffuse |
| 22........ | 203509.6 | 601230.2 | ... | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.77 | No | ... |
| 23........ | 203511.6 | 600741.2 | 30 | $7.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.45 | No | Stellar |
| 24........ | 203516.9 | 601105.8 | 50 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.79 | No | Stellar |
| 25........ | 203521.1 | 600844.4 | 60 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.58 | Yes | Stellar |
| 26....... | 203525.5 | 600751.2 | 30 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.59 | No | Stellar |
| $27 . . . . .$. | 203526.0 | 600843.0 | 40 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.51 | Yes | Stellar |

Note.-Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds.
${ }^{\mathrm{a}} R=5.5 \mathrm{Mpc}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Blair \& Fesen 1994.
images at their reported positions. These objects were SN 1954J in NGC 2403 and SNs 1917A, 1939C, 1948B, 1968D, and 1969P in NGC 6946. SN 1970G in M101 has been recently recovered by Fesen (1993), but it was not detectable as a remnant by our technique.

### 3.1. NGC 5204

Three SNRs with [S II]/H $\alpha$ ratios ranging from 0.52 to 0.69 and diameters of $20-60 \mathrm{pc}$, located predominantly in the southwest region of the galaxy, were found in this small,

TABLE 9
Relative Line Intensities for NGC 6946 SNRs with Spectra

| Line | SNR No. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 15 | $16^{\text {a }}$ | 18 | 25 | 27 |
| H $\beta \lambda 4861 \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | $\ldots$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | $\ldots$ | 31 | 210 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... |
| [ O III$] \lambda 5007 \ldots .$. | $\ldots$ | 110 | 670 | $\ldots$ | 40 | 21 |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{NI}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] \lambda 5200 \ldots . .$. | $\ldots$ | ... | 18 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Не г $\lambda 5876 \ldots \ldots$. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 15 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| [ $\mathrm{O}_{\text {I] }} \lambda 16300 \ldots \ldots .$. | 78 | .. | 85 | $\ldots$ | 22 | 32 |
| [ $\mathrm{O}_{\text {I] }}$ ] $\lambda 6364 \ldots \ldots$. | ... | $\ldots$ | 27 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... |
| [ $\mathrm{N}_{\text {II] }} \lambda 6548 \ldots .$. | 66 | 34 | 90 | 36 | 41 | 26 |
| $\mathrm{H} \alpha \lambda 6563$. | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
|  | 217 | 126 | 255 | 133 | 106 | 100 |
| [S II] $\lambda 6716 \ldots .$. | 143 | 106 | 140 | 83 | 99 | 89 |
| [S II] $\lambda 6731 \ldots \ldots$. | 110 | 70 | 115 | 71 | 72 | 66 |
| SNR Parameters: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sec z \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1.22 | 1.78 | 1.14 | 1.71 | 1.53 | 1.82 |
| $E_{B-V} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $0.40{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.45 | 0.56 | 0.40 | 0.75 | 0.55 |
| $I(\mathrm{H} \alpha)^{\mathrm{c}} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $6.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ |

[^2]TABLE 10
M81 Supernova Remnants

| SNR No. | R.A. (J2000) | Decl. (J2000) | Field | $\underset{(\mathrm{pc})^{\mathrm{a}}}{\text { Diamer }}$ | $\begin{gathered} I(\mathrm{H} \alpha) \\ \left(\mathrm{ergs} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | [ $\mathrm{S}_{\text {II] }} / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | Spectra? | Morphology |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 ......... | 95444.8 | 690424.3 | West | 120 | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.20 | No | Arc |
| $2 \ldots \ldots .$. | 95446.3 | 690328.6 | West | 60 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.72 | Yes | Filled |
| $3 . . . . . .$. | 95447.5 | 690356.5 | West | 50 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.71 | No | Stellar |
| 4 ......... | 95451.3 | 690258.5 | West | 40 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.58 | No | Stellar |
| $5 \ldots . . .$. | 95454.6 | 690920.2 | North | 20 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.53 | No | Stellar |
| $6 \ldots . . . .$. | 95455.1 | 690114.6 | West | 40 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.86 | No | Stellar |
| $7 . . . . . .$. | 95500.2 | 690805.8 | North | 20 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.01 | No | Stellar |
| $8 . . . . . .$. | 95504.5 | 690555.2 | North |  | $8.8 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 1.28 | No |  |
| $9 . . . . . .$. | 95504.8 | 690551.2 | North | 10 | $8.7 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 1.27 | No | Stellar |
| 10....... | 95507.3 | 690314.0 | West | 30 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.10 | No | Stellar |
| 11........ | 95509.6 | 690414.6 | West | 40 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.58 | No | Stellar |
| $12 \ldots \ldots$. | 95510.5 | 690847.0 | North | 40 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.04 | No | Stellar |
| 13........ | 95514.5 | 690741.4 | North |  | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.07 | No |  |
| 14........ | 95516.3 | 690302.4 | West | $110 \times 80$ | $7.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.58 | No | Filled |
| 15....... | 95518.2 | 690717.7 | North | 20 | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.63 | No | Stellar |
| 16....... | 95519.3 | 690932.7 | North | 30 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.63 | No | Stellar |
| 17........ | 95520.0 | 690733.2 | North | 30 | $8.6 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.22 | Yes | Stellar |
| $18 \ldots \ldots$. | 95521.7 | 690147.2 | West | 130 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.70 | Yes | Filled |
| 19........ | 95521.7 | 690832.0 | North | 20 | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.53 | No | Stellar |
| $20 \ldots \ldots .$. | 95522.7 | 691255.7 | North | 40 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.94 | No | Stellar |
| 21........ | 95532.2 | 685647.7 | South | 20 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.17 | No | Stellar |
| $22 \ldots \ldots$. | 95532.7 | 690032.9 | West | 90 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.85 | No | Filled |
| $23 . . . . .$. | 95533.8 | 690040.2 | West | ... | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.26 | No | ... |
| 24........ | 95541.5 | 690702.0 | North |  | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.76 | No |  |
| $25 . . . . .$. | 95542.2 | 690700.9 | North | 10 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.93 | Yes | Stellar |
| $26 . . . . .$. | 95552.4 | 690522.2 | Southeast | ... | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.88 | No | ... |
| 27........ | 95552.5 | 685916.6 | Southeast |  | $5.8 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.62 | No |  |
| $28 . . . . .$. | 95604.2 | 685916.2 | South | 10 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.49 | No | Stellar |
| $29 . . . . . .$. | 95604.7 | 685900.1 | Southeast |  | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.66 | No |  |
| $30 \ldots \ldots$. | 95611.7 | 685735.2 | South | 90 | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.67 | No | Filled |
| $31 \ldots \ldots$. | 95615.6 | 690459.4 | East |  | $8.4 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 1.48 | No |  |
| $32 \ldots \ldots$. | 95616.0 | 690051.7 | South | 20 | $7.5 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 1.64 | No | Stellar |
| $33 \ldots . .$. | 95616.4 | 690239.5 | East | 20 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.33 | No | Stellar |
| 34........ | 95618.3 | 690028.3 | South |  | $6.6 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 1.16 | No |  |
| $35 \ldots . .$. | 95621.9 | 690501.2 | East | 30 | $4.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.02 | No | Stellar |
| $36 \ldots . .$. | 95623.2 | 690414.6 | Southeast | ... | $5.0 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.70 | No | ... |
| $37 . . . . . .$. | 95624.7 | 690427.5 | East | $\ldots$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.95 | No | $\ldots$ |
| $38 . . . . .$. | 95625.9 | 690417.0 | East |  | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.02 | No |  |
| $39 . . . . . .$. | 95629.7 | 685553.9 | South | 20 | $6.0 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 1.56 | No | Stellar |
| $40 \ldots \ldots$. | 95629.9 | 685616.6 | South | 100 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.45 | No | Shell |
| $41 \ldots \ldots$. | 95631.3 | 690123.2 | Southeast | 60 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.88 | No | Filled |

Note.-Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds.
${ }^{\text {a }} R=3.6 \mathrm{Mpc}$. Elliptical remnants are described as, e.g., " $200 \times 90$ ", where the numbers denote the length of the major and the minor axis, respectively.

TABLE 11
Relative Line Intensities for M81 SNRs with Spectra

| Line | SNR No. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 17 | 18 | 25 |
| H $\beta$ 24861........ | $\ldots$ | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| [ $\mathrm{OIII}^{\text {III }}$ 24959..... |  | 52 |  | 78 |
| [ $\mathrm{OIII}^{\text {I }}$ 25007..... | ... | 172 | 31 | 263 |
| [ $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ] $25200 \ldots . .$. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| He I $\lambda 5876$........ | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |
| [ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ] $\lambda 6300 \ldots \ldots$. | ... | 101 | 18 | 59 |
| [ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ] $26364 \ldots \ldots$. | ... | 32 | 5 | 28 |
| [ $\mathrm{NII}^{\text {I }} 16548$..... |  | 94 | 69 | 105 |
| H $\alpha$ d6563........ | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| [ $\mathrm{NiI}_{\text {I }} 16583 \ldots .$. | 162 | 298 | 179 | 268 |
| [ $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{II}_{\text {I] }} \mathbf{\lambda 6 7 1 6 \ldots . . .}$ | 136 | 207 | 135 | 155 |
| [ S II] $^{\text {] }}$ 26731 $\ldots \ldots .$. | 81 | 157 | 74 | 127 |
| SNR Parameters: |  |  |  |  |
| $\sec z \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1.47 | 1.34 | 1.47 | 1.44 |
| $E_{B-V} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $0.04{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.22 |
| $I(\mathrm{H} \alpha)^{\mathrm{b}} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $8.6 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ |

[^3]TABLE 12
M101 Supernova Remnants

| SNR No. | R.A. (J2000) | Decl. (J2000) | Field | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diameter }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & (\mathrm{pc}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} I(\mathrm{H} \alpha) \\ \left(\mathrm{ergs} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | [ $\left.\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | Spectra? | Morphology |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 ........ | 140220.0 | 542136.2 | West | $130 \times 90$ | $8.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.51 | No | Filled |
| $2 \ldots \ldots .$. | 140224.6 | 541758.5 | West |  | $8.8 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 0.63 | No |  |
| 3 ........ | 140225.0 | 541746.0 | West | 40 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.72 | No | Stellar |
| 4 ......... | 140225.7 | 541835.7 | West | $\ldots$ | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.58 | No |  |
| $5 \ldots . . .$. | 140227.1 | 541654.4 | West |  | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.60 | No |  |
| $6 \ldots . . .$. | 140232.2 | 541557.2 | West | 30 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.59 | No | Stellar |
| 7 ......... | 140232.8 | 542554.0 | North | 80 | $8.2 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 1.59 | No | Filled |
| 8 ......... | 140236.3 | 542357.5 | North | $150 \times 90$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.83 | No | Filled |
| $9 . . . . . . .$. | 140238.4 | 542224.7 | West | 40 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.67 | No | Stellar |
| 10........ | 140241.2 | 541608.8 | West | $350 \times 250$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.78 | No | Filled |
| 11........ | 140241.7 | 542236.0 | North | 30 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.81 | No | Stellar |
| 12........ | 140243.8 | 542005.5 | West | 120 | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.54 | No | Filled |
| 13........ | 140244.1 | 542034.4 | West | 30 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.69 | No | Stellar |
| 14........ | 140245.5 | 541326.7 | South | 70 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.59 | No | Stellar |
| 15........ | 140245.6 | 542522.8 | North |  | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.51 | No | Diffuse |
| 16........ | 140246.0 | 542441.0 | North | 50 | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 0.46 | No | Stellar |
| 17........ | 140249.0 | 542055.7 | West | 40 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.90 | Yes | Stellar |
| $18 . . . . . .$. | 140249.5 | 541435.7 | West | 60 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.62 | No | Stellar |
| 19........ | 140249.7 | 542245.5 | North | 140 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.93 | Yes | Filled |
| 20....... | 140249.9 | 541855.7 | West | 20 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.59 | No | Stellar |
| $21 . . . . . .$. | 140251.2 | 541746.0 | West | 30 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.88 | Yes | Stellar |
| $22 . . . . . .$. | 140251.4 | 541749.5 | West | 50 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.68 | No | Stellar |
| $23 . . . . .$. | 140251.5 | 542908.1 | North | 40 | $4.0 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.55 | No | Stellar |
| 24........ | 140251.8 | 541932.4 | West | 30 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 1.06 | Yes | Stellar |
| $25 . . . . .$. | 140253.5 | 541424.1 | West | 30 | $7.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.70 | No | Stellar |
| $26 . . . . . .$. | 140254.4 | 542324.7 | North | <2 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.56 | No | Stellar |
| $27 . . . . . .$. | 140255.2 | 542417.2 | North | 40 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 1.14 | No | Stellar |
| $28 . . . . . .$. | 140256.0 | 541457.2 | West | 10 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.74 | No | Stellar |
| $29 . . . . . .$. | 140258.2 | 541833.7 | West | 20 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 0.67 | No | Stellar |
| $30 \ldots . . .$. | 140259.1 | 541949.7 | West | 30 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.77 | No | Stellar |
| $31 . . . . . .$. | 140259.1 | 541702.5 | South | $\ldots$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.59 | No |  |
| $32 . . . . . .$. | 140259.5 | 542245.2 | North | 20 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.74 | No | Stellar |
| $33 . . . . . .$. | 140300.5 | 542002.4 | West | 10 | $8.0 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.70 | Yes | Stellar |
| 34........ | 140302.0 | 542324.7 | North | 30 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.61 | No | Stellar |
| $35 . . . . . .$. | 140303.5 | 541429.3 | South | 50 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.57 | No | Stellar |
| $36 . . . . .$. | 140303.9 | 541403.5 | West | $150 \times 110$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.70 | No | Filled |
| $37 . . . . . .$. | 140304.1 | 542736.0 | North |  | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.72 | No |  |
| $38 . . . . . .$. | 140306.5 | 542817.1 | North | $130 \times 50$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.71 | Yes | Filled |
| $39 . . . . . .$. | 140309.0 | 541625.2 | West | 30 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.55 | No | Stellar |
| 40........ | 140309.3 | 541831.8 | East | 40 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.68 | No | Stellar |
| $41 \ldots \ldots$. | 140310.5 | 542327.1 | East | 20 | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.45 | No | Stellar |
| $42 \ldots \ldots$. | 140311.0 | 542203.2 | East | 30 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.64 | No | Stellar |
| 43........ | 140312.1 | 541852.0 | East | 20 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.78 | No | Stellar |
| $44 \ldots \ldots$. | 140312.2 | 542327.2 | East |  | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.53 | Yes |  |
| 45....... | 140312.7 | 541734.9 | East | $110 \times 80$ | $8.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.47 | No | Filled |
| $46 \ldots . .$. | 140312.7 | 541900.8 | East | 50 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.48 | No | Stellar |
| $47 \ldots \ldots$. | 140313.0 | 542438.7 | North | ... | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.54 | No | ... |
| $48 . . . . . .$. | 140313.2 | 541707.5 | East |  | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.49 | No |  |
| $49 \ldots \ldots$. | 140313.2 | 542156.7 | East | 20 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.52 | No | Stellar |
| $50 \ldots \ldots$. | 140314.5 | 542151.7 | East | 9 | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.81 | Yes | Stellar |
| $51 \ldots . .$. | 140317.3 | 541710.8 | East | 120 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.50 | No | Filled |
| $52 \ldots \ldots$. | 140318.0 | 541754.0 | East | 9 | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 0.64 | No | Stellar |
| 53........ | 140320.7 | 541652.5 | East | 170 | $9.6 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.73 | No | Shell |
| 54....... | 140320.7 | 541942.2 | East | 20 | $5.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.52 | No | Stellar |
| $55 \ldots \ldots$. | 140323.1 | 542247.7 | East | 30 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.70 | No | Stellar |
| $56 \ldots \ldots$. | 140324.0 | 542144.2 | East | 30 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.51 | No | Stellar |
| $57 \ldots . . .$. | 140324.2 | 541944.0 | East | 30 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.47 | No | Stellar |
| $58 . . . . . .$. | 140324.5 | 542501.9 | North | $150 \times 90$ | $5.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.60 | No | Filled |
| $59 . . . . . .$. | 140324.8 | 541721.6 | East | 40 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.69 | No | Stellar |
| $60 \ldots . . .$. | 140325.4 | 541822.7 | East | 20 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.65 | No | Stellar |
| $61 \ldots \ldots$. | 140325.7 | 542124.7 | East | 30 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.48 | No | Stellar |
| $62 \ldots \ldots$. | 140326.3 | 542432.9 | East | 50 | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.50 | No | Stellar |
| 63........ | 140326.7 | 542341.5 | East |  | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.46 | No |  |
| 64........ | 140326.8 | 542044.5 | East | <2 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.69 | No | Stellar |
| 65........ | 140327.2 | 541831.2 | East | 50 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.57 | No | Stellar |
| $66 \ldots . . .$. | 140327.6 | 541708.6 | East | 30 | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.57 | No | Stellar |
| 67........ | 140327.7 | 542430.8 | North | $190 \times 140$ | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.46 | No | Arc |
| $68 \ldots . .$. | 140328.2 | 542304.8 | East | 20 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.46 | No | Stellar |
| $69 \ldots . . .$. | 140328.4 | 542411.0 | East | $100 \times 70$ | $7.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.50 | No | Filled |
| 70........ | 140328.6 | 541701.3 | East | 110 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.54 | No | Filled |
| $71 \ldots \ldots$. | 140328.7 | 542317.7 | East | 30 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.76 | Yes | Stellar |
| $72 \ldots \ldots$. | 140330.3 | 542339.5 | East | 30 | $9.1 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.50 | No | Stellar |

TABLE 12-Continued

| SNR No. | R.A. (J2000) | Decl. (J2000) | Field | Diameter ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> (pc) | $\begin{gathered} I(\mathrm{H} \alpha) \\ (\operatorname{ergs~cm} \\ \\ \left.-2 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | [ $\left.\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | Spectra? | Morphology |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73. | 140330.7 | 542442.0 | East | $\ldots$ | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.53 | No | $\cdots$ |
| 74...... | 140330.8 | 542106.6 | East | 30 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.48 | No | Stellar |
| 75. | 140332.3 | 541740.9 | East | 50 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.48 | No | Stellar |
| 76. | 140332.8 | 541741.0 | East | $\ldots$ | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.62 | Yes |  |
| 77. | 140333.8 | 542016.2 | East | 40 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.58 | No | Stellar |
| $78 \ldots .$. | 140334.0 | 541741.5 | East | 230 | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.49 | No | Arc |
| 79. | 140334.4 | 542217.3 | East | 40 | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.45 | No | Stellar |
| 80. | 140334.5 | 542157.0 | East | 120 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.45 | No | Filled |
| 81........ | 140335.6 | 541812.8 | East | 30 | $9.4 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 0.60 | No | Stellar |
| 82 | 140335.7 | 542717.0 | Northeast | $\ldots$ | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.52 | No |  |
| 83. | 140335.9 | 541924.1 | East | 200 | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.76 | Yes | Shell |
| 84........ | 140336.0 | 543142.0 | Northeast | 130 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.51 | No | Filled |
| 85....... | 140340.2 | 541821.2 | East | 50 | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.60 | No | Shell |
| 86. | 140341.0 | 543212.0 | Northeast | $\ldots$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.73 | No |  |
| 87. | 140342.2 | 542954.0 | Northeast | $230 \times 160$ | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.48 | No | Shell |
| $88 \ldots \ldots$. | 140351.7 | 542103.0 | East | ... | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.69 | No | ... |
| 89. | 140352.4 | 542130.3 | East | 30 | $1.0 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.62 | No | Stellar |
| 90. | 140353.0 | 542117.2 | East | 40 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.64 | No | Stellar |
| 91........ | 140353.7 | 542124.5 | East | 30 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.71 | No | Stellar |
| 92. | 140359.7 | 542435.0 | Northeast | 120 | $7.6 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.52 | No | Filled |
| 93........ | 140402.9 | 542448.0 | Northeast | 90 | $5.2 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.59 | No | Filled |

Note.-Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds.
${ }^{\text {a }} R=5.4 \mathrm{Mpc}$. Elliptical remnants are described as, e.g., " $200 \times 90$ ", where the numbers denote the length of the major and minor axis, respectively.
irregular spiral (see Table 4). An $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ image of the central region of NGC 5204 with the SNRs marked is shown in Figure 3. Spectra of SNRs Nos. 2 and 3 are presented in Figure 4, with relative line intensities, $E_{B-V}$ values, air masses, and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensities given in Table 5. Lines for which no values were given in spectral tables were below the $3 \sigma$ threshold for detection. All these NGC 5204 SNR spectra show relatively weak [N II] $\lambda \lambda 6548,6583$ lines. A typical H II region spectrum from NGC 5204 is shown for comparison in Figure 5.

### 3.2. NGC 5585

Five SNRs were found in this Sd galaxy. The SNRs have $[\mathrm{S} \mathrm{II]} / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ ratios ranging from 0.47 to 0.68 , diameters from 20 to 200 pc , are of a variety of morphologies, and are located mostly in the northeast region of the galaxy. A listing of the SNRs detected in NGC 5585 is given in Table 6. A finding chart for the NGC 5585 SNRs is shown in Figure 6, with spectra of four of the SNRs shown in Figure 7. Note in these spectra, as in those of the SNRs in NGC


Fig. 3.- $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ image of the central region of NGC 5204, with SNRs marked


Fig. 4.-NGC 5204 SNR spectra

5204, that the lines of [ N II ], especially [ N II] $\lambda 6548$, are quite weak relative to the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ emission, meaning that [ N II] contamination in our $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ filter images was relatively insignificant. SNR line intensities relative to $\mathrm{H} \alpha$, as well as the air mass at observation, the measured $E_{B-V}$, and the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensity are listed in Table 7.
A particularly interesting SNR detected in NGC 5585 is SNR No. 1. This enormous ( $200 \times 90 \mathrm{pc}$ ) object sits immediately south of a small point-source H II region. Elliptical in shape, SNR No. 1 shows a distinctive shell structure that, while only slightly evident in $\mathrm{H} \alpha$, can be seen quite clearly in a [S II] image. No strong continuum source(s) could be seen in projection near the center of this object. Fabbiano, Kim, \& Trinchieri (1992) published an Einstein Imaging Proportional Counter (IPC) contour map of the X-ray emission detected in NGC 5585 that consists of only two contour levels with no point sources detected. However, the peak of the contours is not centered on the optically bright nucleus of NGC 5585 but is offset slightly to the southwest, toward SNR No. 1, which is contained within the peak of the contours. This fact suggests that the IPC contours may have been influenced by X-ray emission from SNR No. 1. ROSAT High Resolution Imager (HRI) data on this galaxy


Fig. 5.-Spectrum of a typical NGC 5204 H II region, namely, the bright H iI region south of NGC 5204 SNR No. 1.
will be better able to determine if NGC 5585 SNR No. 1 is indeed a strong emitter of X-rays.

### 3.3. NGC 6946

We identified 27 SNRs in this Scd galaxy (Table 8). The SNRs have $[\mathrm{S} \mathrm{II]} / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ ratios of $0.46-1.14$. Most of the SNRs detected in NGC 6946 appear nearly stellar. Finding charts for the NGC 6946 SNRs are shown in Figure 8, with spectra of five of the SNRs shown in Figure 9. (The feature near $6300 \AA$ in SNR No. 18 is poorly subtracted night-sky emission.) Relative line intensities measured in these spectra are given in Table 9. A typical H ii region spectrum from NGC 6946 is shown in Figure 10.

NGC 6946 SNR No. 16 was observed by Blair \& Fesen (1994), who presented both spectra and interference filter image data of this unusually bright SNR. The SNR appeared marginally resolved in their images, as it does in ours. A comparison between our estimated diameter and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensity for this object and Blair \& Fesen's estimates is shown in Table 14. Our corrected $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensity is identical to the value quoted by Blair \& Fesen. Our [S II] image intensity value, derived completely independently of any spectral data from Blair \& Fesen, is also nearly identical to their image and spectral [S II] intensity values. Our diameter estimate of 20 pc for SNR No. 16 is close to the 27 pc (using $R=5.5 \mathrm{Mpc}$ ) estimate of Blair \& Fesen, but we suspect that their value is more accurate, given the higher resolution of their images.

Blair \& Fesen (1994) also identified a faint nebula $\sim 25^{\prime \prime}$ northwest of their SNR as a possible remnant (see their Fig. 1). We have identified this object as SNR No. 15. One can also see, in Figure 1 of Blair \& Fesen, the object we have identified as NGC 6946 SNR No. 17; it is the faint bit of emission just to the southwest of the " $[\mathrm{S}$ II]" label in their Figure $1 b$.

Blair \& Fesen (1994) noticed a discrepancy between the H $\alpha$ flux they measured for SNR No. 16 and that quoted by Bonnarel, Boulesteix, \& Marcelin (1986) for the same object, in that Blair \& Fesen's H $\alpha$ flux was about a factor of 6 smaller. We found the same discrepancy for SNR No. 16. Choosing about six other objects measured by Bonnarel et al. (1986) that we also measured, we found our $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensities were smaller than theirs by factors ranging from $\sim 2$ to 10 .


Fig. 6.- $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ image of the central region of NGC 5585 , with SNRs marked

### 3.4. M81

In this Sab galaxy, we identified a total of 41 SNRs with [ S II ] $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ ratios ranging from 0.45 to 1.64 and estimated diameters of $10-130$ parsecs (Table 10). The five fields which constitute our M81 image data are marked on a Digitized Sky Survey ${ }^{3}$ image of M81 in Figure 11. H $\alpha$ image finding charts of the SNRs detected in M81 are shown in Figures 12-16. In the west field of M81, we have marked SN 1993J (Finn et al. 1995), which was still quite bright at the time this image was taken (1994 March 7). Spectra of four M81 SNRs are presented in Figure 17. Line intensities relative to $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ for these spectra, as well as the air masses at observation, the $E_{B-V}$ values, and the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensities, are given in Table 11. A typical H II region spectrum from M81 is shown in Figure 18.

Petit, Sivan, \& Karachentsev (1988) published a photographic survey of M81 H II regions. Five of their H II regions also were measured by us, four of which we found to

[^4]be SNRs (see Table 14 below). The agreement between $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensities measured by us and Petit et al. is reasonable, considering that their data were photographic.

In their Einstein HRI image of M81, Fabbiano (1988) detected an X-ray source, X-6, in the galaxy's southwest arm at R.A. $\quad(\mathrm{J} 2000)=9^{\mathrm{h}} 55^{\mathrm{m}} 32.74$, decl. $\quad(\mathrm{J} 2000)=$ $+69^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} 1$. This HRI position is uncertain by $\sim 4^{\prime \prime}$. They measured an X-ray luminosity for X-6 of $L_{\mathrm{X}}=1.5 \times 10^{39}$ ergs s${ }^{-1}$ (in the $0.2-4.0 \mathrm{keV}$ channel; M81 distance $=3.6$ $\mathrm{Mpc})$. Fabbiano believed that such a high X-ray luminosity (along with a marginal detection of possible variability) indicated that X-6 was probably an X-ray binary. We detected M81 SNR No. 22 less than $1^{\prime \prime}$ from the position of $\mathrm{X}-6$, which also is nearly coincident with a discrete radio source visible in a VLA 20 cm map of M81 by Bash \& Kaufman (1986). Therefore, despite the high X-ray luminosity observed, the optical counterpart to X-6 may be our SNR No. 22.

### 3.5. M101

Our search of M101 yielded 93 SNRs (see Table 12) with [ $\left.\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ ratios of $0.45-1.59$ and estimated diameters of less than 2 to greater than 300 pc . The five fields observed in M101 are shown on a Digitized Sky Survey image in Figure 19. SNRs detected in M101 are shown on the finding charts in Figures 20-24, with spectra of 11 SNRs shown in Figure


Fig. 7.-NGC 5585 SNR spectra

TABLE 13
Relative Line Intensities for M101 SNRs with Spectra

| Line | SNR No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 17 | 19 | 21 | 24 | 33 | 38 | 44 | 50 | 71 | 76 | 83 |
| $\mathrm{H} \beta \lambda 4861$. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | $\ldots$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 28 | 38 | 185 | 103 | 69 | $\ldots$ | ... | 26 | 14 | 32 | 35 |
| [ OIII ] $^{\text {d5007 } \ldots . . .}$ | 127 | 173 | 532 | 276 | 194 | $\ldots$ | 35 | 188 | 26 | 75 | 177 |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] \lambda 5200 \ldots . .$. | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Не г $\lambda 5876 \ldots \ldots$. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | .. | .. | $\ldots$ |  |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right] \lambda 6300 \ldots \ldots$. | 39 | 52 | 76 | 100 | $\ldots$ | 54 | 21 | 50 | 32 | 52 | 30 |
| [ $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ] $\lambda 6364 \ldots \ldots$. | ... | 33 | 21 | 49 | $\ldots$ | ... | 7 | $\ldots$ | 9 | 20 | 11 |
|  | 61 | 60 | 57 | 99 | 72 | $\ldots$ | 41 | 117 | 46 | 26 | 74 |
| $\mathrm{H} \alpha \lambda 6563 \ldots \ldots . .$. | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{N}_{\text {II }}\right] \lambda 6583 \ldots .$. | 163 | 192 | 186 | 208 | 215 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 358 | 127 | 78 | 180 |
| [S II] $\lambda 6716 \ldots \ldots$ | 162 | 175 | 163 | 167 | 124 | 119 | 93 | 102 | 131 | 113 | 153 |
| [S II] $\lambda 6731 \ldots \ldots$. | 110 | 116 | 107 | 151 | 89 | 88 | 65 | 139 | 96 | 72 | 74 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sec z \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1.23 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.33 | 1.54 | 1.25 | 1.18 | 1.12 | 1.18 | 1.28 | 1.09 |
| $E_{B-V} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 0.45 | 0.55 | 0.59 | 0.60 | 0.56 | $0.00^{\text {a }}$ | 0.51 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.04 | 0.42 |
| $I(\mathrm{H} \alpha)^{\mathrm{b}} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $8.0 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $6.3 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}-14$ |

[^5]

Fig. $8 a$
Fig. 8.-(a) Full-frame H $\alpha$ image of NGC 6946 with SNRs marked. ( $b-e$ ) Detailed finding charts of the SNRs found in the northeast, southeast, southwest, and northwest regions of NGC 6946. ( $f$ ) Central region of NGC 6946, showing the SNR detected near the galaxy's center.
25. Line intensities of these spectra, relative to $\mathrm{H} \alpha$, are listed in Table 13. A spectrum of a typical $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ region from M101 is shown in Figure 26. Note that SNR No. 38, unlike most of the other SNRs in M101 for which we obtained spectra, showed no measurable [ N II] $\lambda \lambda 6548,6583$ lines.

With an estimated diameter of 200 pc , SNR No. 83 is one of the largest SNRs we found in this galaxy. As seen in the finding charts (Figs. 21d and 21e), this remnant has a shelllike morphology, with the shell made up of knots of bright emission. SNR No. 83 is clearly a remnant, with a spectrum indicating $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha=0.76$. An even larger remnant, SNR No. 10, has a filled morphology and axes of $350 \times 250 \mathrm{pc}$. Although its total $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensity is rather high $\left(1.3 \times 10^{-14}\right.$ ergs $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), its surface brightness is low because of its immense size, and it thus appears on the finding chart in Figure $22 d$ as a faint object. Two faint stars (one of which is visible in Figs. $22 a$ and $22 d$ ) are seen in projection on SNR No. 10 in our continuum image data; their physical association with this remnant is unclear. A single bright star also is seen in projection on SNR No. 87 in our continuum
image data (at the same location as the westernmost bright feature of the remnant visible in Fig. 23), and its physical association with the remnant also is unclear.

Williams \& Chu (1995) examined ROSAT PSPC and HRI data of M101. They found a source, their S7, located at R.A. $(\mathrm{J} 2000)=14^{\mathrm{h}} 03^{\mathrm{m}} 21^{\mathrm{s}} 0$, decl. $(\mathrm{J} 2000)=+54^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ ( $\pm 4^{\prime \prime}$ ) and superposed on a central region of diffuse X-ray emission. Unfortunately, the data did not contain enough information to allow Williams \& Chu to speculate as to what type of object $\mathbf{S 7}$ might be. We detected the relatively bright, unresolved M101 SNR No. 54 only $\sim 3^{\prime \prime}$ from the reported position of S7, making it a possible optical counterpart of this X-ray source.

## 4. ANALYSIS OF DETECTED SNRS

### 4.1. Selection Effects, Biases, and Completeness

Because our SNR samples are neither complete nor uniform, one cannot perform a thorough quantitative analysis of biases and selection effects. However, to under-


Fig. $8 b$
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stand the limits of our SNR samples in any further analysis, we qualitatively discuss below source confusion, completeness limits, and SNR identification criterion biases.

A bias regarding the diameters of detected SNRs versus their galactocentric distance could exist because of the increased ease of finding more large SNRs in the outer or interarm regions of a galaxy, where the surface density of $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions is lower. While there appears to be a bias that
favors the detection of larger SNRs in the interarm regions of M81 and M101, no such bias was found in NGC 6946. Similar to SNR confusion with bright H iI regions is the possibility that faint SNRs might be easier to detect at large galactocentric distances where the background continuum light of a galaxy is weak. However, except for NGC 6946 and M81, which have bright central regions virtually devoid of detected SNRs, no significant trends in SNR H $\alpha$ intensity


Fig. $8 f$
with galactocentric distance were seen in any of the sample galaxies. We note that no such effect was detected in either M33 (Smith 1991) or NGC 2403 (Matonick et al. 1997).

Evolved SNRs increase in size and decrease in brightness as they age, and at any given time there will be more old SNRs than young. Thus, if a sample of SNRs was complete, one would expect to see the number of SNRs increase with increasing size and decreasing brightness. Completeness limits in SNR samples can therefore be estimated by noting

TABLE 14
Comparisons with Previous Observations

| Object Name | $\begin{gathered} D^{\mathrm{a}} \\ (\mathrm{pc}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} I(\mathrm{H} \alpha)^{\mathrm{b}} \\ (\mathrm{ergscm} \\ \left.\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | [ $\left.\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NGC 6946 |  |  |  |  |
| SNR No. 16...... | 20 | $7.1 \times 10^{-14}$ | $0.85{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1 |
| .................... | 27 | $7.1 \times 10^{-14}$ | 0.85 | 2 |
| M81 |  |  |  |  |
| SNR No. 15...... | 20 | $3.4 \times 10^{-15}$ | 0.63 | 1 |
| No. $180 . . . . . . . . . .$. | ... | $7.9 \times 10^{-15}$ | ... | 3 |
| SNR No. 17..... | 30 | $8.6 \times 10^{-15}$ | 1.22 | 1 |
| No. 189........... | ... | $6.5 \times 10^{-15}$ | ... | 3 |
| SNR No. 18...... | 130 | $3.6 \times 10^{-14}$ | 0.70 | 1 |
| No. $199 . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | ... | $5.4 \times 10^{-14}$ | $\ldots$ | 3 |
| SNR No. 21...... | 20 | $1.2 \times 10^{-15}$ | 1.17 | 1 |
| No. 229 | ... | $2.4 \times 10^{-15}$ | ... | 3 |

[^6]at what intensity and diameter the number of detected remnants begins to decrease (Green 1991; Smith 1991; Gordon 1994). Histograms of the $\log$ of $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensity for the SNRs detected in NGC 6946, M81, and M101 are shown in Figure 27. The estimated value of $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensity below which each SNR sample is significantly incomplete is marked on the peak of each histogram. The incompleteness estimate for NGC 6946 is $I(\mathrm{H} \alpha) \leqslant 2.4 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{ergs} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, for M81 it is $I(\mathrm{H} \alpha) \lesssim 2.0 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{ergs} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and for M101 it is $I(\mathrm{H} \alpha) \lesssim 3.2 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{ergs} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Note that the detection limit for all the samples is $I(\mathrm{H} \alpha) \sim 5 \times 10^{-16} \mathrm{ergs} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Histograms of SNR diameter are shown in Figure 28 for NGC 6946, M81, and M101. The peak of each histogram is labeled, and each SNR sample appears to be incomplete for $D \gtrsim 30 \mathrm{pc}$.

Figure 29 shows $\log I(\mathrm{H} \alpha)$ versus diameter (converted from parsecs to arcseconds) for detected SNRs in all the sample galaxies combined (including the NGC 2403 SNRs from Matonick et al. 1997). This figure shows that the total sample is biased against detecting large, faint SNRs. The area below the dashed line marks the approximate region of incompleteness. A similar result was obtained in the M31 SNR sample of Braun \& Walterbos (1993).

There are certain emission nebulae that have [S II]/ $\mathrm{H} \alpha \geq 0.45$ but that are not SNRs, namely stellar windshocked nebulae and diffuse ionized gas. As discussed by Hunter (1994) and Walterbos \& Braun (1994), most stellar wind-shocked nebulae and diffuse ionized gas objects have [S II]/H $\alpha<0.6$ and size greater than 100 pc . To check our SNR samples for possible contamination by such objects, in Figure 30 we plot $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ versus diameter for the detected SNRs in all the galaxy samples combined. The plot is marked with two dashed lines to separate out the 15 objects with $[\mathrm{S} \mathrm{II}] / \mathrm{H} \alpha<0.6$ and diameter greater than 100 pc . These ambiguous objects constitute $\sim 14 \%$ of the NGC 2403 SNR sample (Matonick et al. 1997) and $\sim 11 \%$ of the
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Fig. 9.-NGC 6946 SNR spectra


Fig. 10.-A typical NGC 6946 H II region spectrum, from the middle of three small H II regions about $15^{\prime \prime}$ southwest of NGC 6946 SNR No. 5.


Fig. 11.-Digitized Sky Survey E image of M81 showing the fields observed


Fig. $12 a$
Fig. 12.-(a) Full-frame H $\alpha$ image of the north field of M81 with SNRs marked. ( $b, c$ ) Detailed finding charts of the SNRs detected in two sections of this field.

M101 sample, but only $\sim 7 \%$ of our total SNR sample. This estimate of contamination from non-SNR objects agrees with that of Braun \& Walterbos (1993), who, in their M31 SNR survey, believed that a realistic estimate of contamination from stellar wind-shocked nebulae was about $10 \%$. However, we also agree with Blair \& Long (1997), who found some diffuse ionized gas in NGC 7793 with [S II]/ $\mathrm{H} \alpha>0.6$, as to the importance of using the discreteness of objects, along with their [S II]/H $\alpha$ ratios, when identifying SNRs in surveys like ours. This additional criterion is necessary to avoid confusing SNRs with diffuse ionized gas. Note that no correlation was found between the morphologies and $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ values of our 15 objects with $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha<0.6$ and diameter greater than 100 pc or, for that matter, between the morphologies and the $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ ratios of the other remnants in our samples.

Blair \& Long (1997) noted the lack of a pronounced gap in $[\mathrm{S}$ II] $] \mathrm{H} \alpha$ values between the SNRs and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions they observed in NGC 300 and NGC 7793. This result is similar to that found by Walterbos \& Braun (1992) in M31, where they examined all the emission nebulae in their fields and
found that the [S II]/H $\alpha$ distribution is not bimodal. In our SNR samples, we likewise find a sudden absence of emission nebulae below $[\mathrm{S} \mathrm{II}] / \mathrm{H} \alpha \approx 0.4-0.5$. In fact, the objects we measured and rejected as possible SNRs had [S II]/H $\alpha$ ratios ranging from 0.13 to 0.44 , although it must be remembered that our samples are biased because we selected objects from our difference images, which highlighted [S II]-bright nebulae.

Braun \& Walterbos (1993) estimate that about half of all SNs are massive (Type II or $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{c}$, as opposed to Type Ia), and of these, about half go off in associations and leave no detectable remnant. If we assume that remnants of Type Ia SNs are not as easily detectable as those of Type II or Ib/c, because of a possible tendency of Type Ia SNs to occur in the less gas-rich regions of a galaxy, only $\sim 25 \%$ of all SN events may leave readily detectable optical remnants. Therefore, we obtain a crude estimate that there could be 4 times more SNRs in each of the program galaxies than were detected in this survey, i.e., $\sim 10$ SNRs in NGC 5204, $\sim 20$ in NGC 5585, $\sim 100$ in NGC 6946, $\sim 160$ in M81, and $\sim 370$ in M101. If the optically observable lifetime of a SNR
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is $\sim 20,000$ years (Braun, Goss, \& Lyne 1989), we obtain a rough estimate of the SN rate in each of the galaxies: 1 SN per 2000 yr for NGC 5204, per 1000 yr for NGC 5585, per 200 yr for NGC 6946, per 130 yr for M81, and per 50 yr for M101. Note that the SN rate estimates in M81 and M101 obtained here are similar to the estimates from historical SNs within the observed galaxy fields ( 1 SN per 100 yrs for M81, 1 SN per 50 yrs for M101: Barbon et al. 1989, including SN 1993J in M81).

### 4.2. Spatial Distributions of SNRs

Some idea of the stellar populations that give rise to SNRs can be obtained by examining the distributions of SNRs relative to known star-forming regions such as H II regions, molecular clouds, and spiral arms. Massive Population I stars (which explode as Type II or Type Ib/c SNs) would tend to be found near such regions, while more evolved, lower mass Population II stars (which explode as Type Ia SNs) are expected to be distributed in a more random manner across the plane of a galaxy's disk. Previous work on the distributions of SNs and SNRs in galaxies includes Maza \& van den Bergh (1976), Huang (1987), Chu \& Kennicutt (1988b), Cohen et al. (1988), Forest, Spenny, \& Johnson (1988), van den Bergh (1988), Bartunov, Makarova, \& Tsvetkov (1992), Van Dyk (1992), Bartunov, Tsvetkov, \& Filimonova (1994), and van den Bergh (1997).

Below we examine the distributions of our detected SNRs (including those in NGC 2403) relative to spiral arms, H II regions, and molecular clouds, as well as the radial distributions of SNR surface density. Although historical SNs occurred within the observed galaxy fields, we chose not to include them in our analysis of the spatial distributions of

SNRs in order that our conclusions would be based solely on the SNRs found by our methods.

### 4.2.1. SNR Distributions Relative to Spiral Arms

Spiral arm tracers are discussed in Kaufman et al. (1989) and include both young and old stars. We used our continuum images that best showed the spiral arm structure and traced the spiral arms along the peak surface brightness in each discernible arm. Three of our sample galaxies (NGC 6946, M81, and M101) had spiral arms prominent enough to allow us to measure their positions in this way. The spiral arm patterns observed for these galaxies are shown in Figure 31, plotted as they appear on the sky, along with the detected SNRs. (A few overlapping arms and small gaps appear in the plotted arms of M81 and M101; these negligible discrepancies are the result of imperfectly measuring the overlapping spiral arms on different galaxy field images.) To get some idea of the number of SNRs detected "within" spiral arms, we estimated the most common value of spiral arm width in each of the galaxies ( $\sim 25^{\prime \prime}$ ).

Using the values of inclination and position angle given in Table 2, we deprojected the coordinates of the SNRs and spiral arms in NGC 6946, M81 and M101 to face-on (Fig. 32). We then measured the distance between each SNR and its nearest spiral arm point. Following the convention used by Maza \& van den Bergh (1976) and Bartunov et al. (1994), the distance from a SNR to its nearest spiral arm point is negative if the SNR is "behind" the spiral arm (i.e., between the spiral arm and the galaxy's center). The distributions of our detected SNRs in NGC 6946, M81, and M101, relative to the spiral arms, are shown in Figure 33.

In order to check for an association between our detected
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SNRs and the spiral arms, in each galaxy we compared the distribution of detected SNRs with a random distribution of 10,000 simulated SNRs placed within galactocentric radial limits exhibiting emission nebulae (either H II regions or SNRs). Specifically, a random distribution was obtained by converting positions of SNRs and H II regions into ( $r, \phi$ ) coordinates within the plane of each galaxy. At a random value of $\phi$, a simulated SNR was placed at a random value of $r$ limited by the minimum and maximum $r$ values of the observed nebulae within a $20^{\circ}$ section of the plane of the galaxy containing the fixed $\phi$. This method prevented us from placing simulated SNRs outside our observed galaxy fields or in regions where no emission nebulae were detected. The distance from each simulated SNR to its nearest spiral arm was measured, just as was done for the detected SNRs. The resulting distributions of simulated SNRs relative to the spiral arms in NGC 6946, M81, and M101 are plotted as solid curves in Figure 33 and are shown normalized to the number of detected SNRs in each galaxy.

For each of the galaxies, we have two distributions of SNR distance to the nearest spiral arm: one for the detected SNRs, and another for the randomly distributed, simulated SNRs. Because the data comprising the two distributions in each galaxy are continuous and a function of a single variable (the distance to the nearest spiral arm), one method for
statistically comparing the two distributions is the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test (Press et al. 1989), which gives the probability that two data sets were drawn from the same parent distribution. A small probability ( $\lesssim 0.05$ ) tells us that the two distributions are significantly different. If the two distributions in a given galaxy are different, it would mean that our detected SNRs in that galaxy are not distributed randomly, but are probably associated with the spiral arms.

Running K-S tests on the three pairs of distributions shown in Figure 33, we obtain the probabilities listed in Table 15. The tests indicate that the SNRs detected in M81 and M101 probably are associated with the spiral arms in those galaxies, whereas the K-S probability obtained for NGC 6946 is ambiguous. The probable association between our detected SNRs and the spiral arms in M81 and M101 agrees with the results obtained by Maza \& van den Bergh (1976) and Bartunov et al. (1994) for historical SNs in spiral galaxies and suggests that the progenitors of the M81 and M101 SNRs were mostly massive stars that exploded as Type II or Ib/c SNs.

### 4.2.2. SNR Distributions Relative to $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ Regions

In prior studies of SNs positions relative to H II regions (e.g., Van Dyk 1992), the distance from a SN to its nearest H II region was found by using the radius of each H II


Fig. 13a
Fig. 13.-(a) Full-frame H $\alpha$ image of the east field of M81, with SNRs marked. (b) Detailed finding chart of the SNRs detected in a section of this field.
region, which required defining an $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ region edge. Because the edge of an $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ region is difficult to determine consistently from images and because we wanted to take into account the spatial extent of the many large, resolved $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions in each galaxy, we used IRAF to mark the bright points of each $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ region. Large, resolved $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions are marked by several points; an unresolved $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ region is marked by a single point. Plots of the marked $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ region points as they appear on the sky, along with the detected SNRs, are shown for each of our sample galaxies in Figure 34. Distributions of detected SNRs relative to $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions are shown in Figure 35 for NGC 6946, NGC 2403, M81, and M101. (Because so few SNRs were detected in NGC 5204 and NGC 5585, these galaxies are not included in this analysis.)

Distributions of the deprojected distances from 10,000 simulated SNRs to their nearest $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions are shown in Figure 35, and again are normalized to the number of detected SNRs in each galaxy. Running K-S tests on each of these four pairs of distributions, we obtained the K-S probabilities shown in Table 15. These results show that the detected SNRs in M81 and M101 are clearly associated
with the H II regions. The K-S probability for NGC 2403 is near the canonical cutoff value for indicating that two distributions are significantly different, but it is still low enough to suggest that the detected SNRs in this galaxy probably are associated with its $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions. Finally, the relatively high K-S probability for NGC 6946 suggests that the SNRs detected in this galaxy probably are not closely associated with its $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions.

Our deduced associations between SNRs and H II regions in NGC 2403, M81, and M101 agree with the results of Bartunov et al. (1994), Van Dyk (1992), and Huang (1987) for Type II and $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{c}$ historical SNs and with the results of Chu \& Kennicutt (1988b), Forest et al. (1988), and van den Bergh (1988) for SNRs in the LMC, the majority of which they believed to be the result of Population I progenitors. Therefore, a significant fraction of the detected SNRs in these galaxies are probably the end products of corecollapse SNs (Types II and $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{c}$ ). Although Bartunov et al. (1994) found that Type Ia SNs are not concentrated toward H II regions, the observed lack of an apparent association between detected SNRs and H II regions in NGC 6946 is, in itself, not enough for us to make any conclusions yet about
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possible SNR progenitors in this galaxy. One possible reason for NGC 6946 behaving differently from the other galaxies, in comparing associations of SNRs with either H II regions or spiral arms, is that NGC 6946 has a foreground extinction a factor of 10 larger than the next most obscured galaxy. Such relatively high obscuration could have a dramatic effect on the ease of detecting remnants in this galaxy and therefore leave us with a distribution of SNRs different from those in the other galaxies.

### 4.2.3. SNR Distributions Relative to Molecular Clouds

Positions of molecular clouds in external galaxies can be obtained through radio observations of CO emission. The only complete map of CO emission currently available in the literature on our program galaxies is the one of M81 by Brouillet et al. (1991). Their observations covered nearly the
whole face of M81, with a half-power beam width (HPBW) of $23^{\prime \prime}$ or $60^{\prime \prime}$. Using the coordinates given in Tables 1 and 3 of Brouillet et al. for the positions of where CO emission was detected (precessed to J2000 epoch coordinates), we plot, in Figure 36a, the CO emission regions as they appear on the sky, along with our detected SNRs. The diameters of the circles representing the CO emission are equal to the HPBWs at which the regions were observed.

In order to put the distance from a SNR to its nearest CO emission region on a uniform scale, we use a relative distance to the nearest CO emission region. A relative distance of less than 0 means that the SNR is located within the HPBW used to observe the region, and a relative distance of -1 means the SNR is centered on the region. The distribution of detected SNRs in M81 relative to the CO emission regions is shown in Figure $36 b$.

TABLE 15
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Results

| Galaxy | $P^{\text {a }}$ | Interpretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spiral Arms |  |  |
| NGC 6946...... | 0.15 | Ambiguous; SNRs not strongly associated with spiral arms. |
| M81 ............. | 0.001 | SNRs probably associated with spiral arms. |
| M101........... | 0.001 | SNRs probably associated with spiral arms. |
| H II Regions |  |  |
| NGC 6946...... | 0.40 | SNRs probably not associated with H II regions. |
| NGC 2403...... | 0.05 | SNRs probably associated with H in regions. |
| M81 ............. | $3 \times 10^{-7}$ | SNRs associated with H in regions. |
| M101............ | $3 \times 10^{-13}$ | SNRs associated with H II regions. |
| Molecular clouds |  |  |
| M81 ............. | 0.05 | SNRs probably associated with molecular clouds. |

[^7]

Fig. 14.-Full-frame $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ image of the southeast field of M81, with SNRs marked

The normalized distribution of relative distances for 10,000 randomly placed, simulated SNRs also is plotted in Figure $36 b$. Running a K-S test on the observed and simulated distributions, we find (see Table 15) that the detected SNRs in M81 are probably associated with molecular clouds. This probable association agrees with the results of Cohen et al. (1988) for SNRs in the LMC. Such an association may indicate that the M81 SNRs are the result of SNs with Population I progenitors exploding in or near the kinds of star-forming regions commonly associated with molecular clouds, which would probably indicate that the SNs were of Type II or Ib/c. Proper consideration of these results requires mentioning the fact that dusty giant molecular clouds may also be able to hide some SNRs.

### 4.2.4. SNR Radial Distributions

Gordon (1994) compared the radial distribution of SNRs detected in M33 with the radial distribution of intermediate-brightness $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions and found that the surface density as a function of galactocentric distance shows a similar trend for both types of object. This similarity was thought to indicate an association between the detected SNRs and the H ir regions, suggesting massive, Type II progenitors for the SNRs in M33. Radial distributions of normalized SNR surface density are shown in Figure 37 for five of our six sample galaxies. (The sample of

SNRs in NGC 5204 was too small to include in this analysis.) Radial distributions of objects in the disk of a spiral galaxy can be characterized by an exponential scale length $r_{0}$, where $\sigma \sim e^{-r / r_{0}}$ (see, e.g., Kaufman et al. 1987; Hodge \& Kennicutt 1983; Bartunov et al. 1992; van den Bergh 1997). As in some of the studies of radial distributions of H iI regions in spiral galaxies (see, e.g., Hodge \& Kennicutt 1983; Kaufman et al. 1987), we attempted to fit our radial distributions from only the main peak outward. Using a nonlinear least-squares fit to $\sigma \sim e^{-r / r o}$, we obtained the exponential scale lengths and fits shown in Figure 37. A decreasing exponential fits some of the distributions better than others. Results for three of the galaxies are as follows:

NGC 6946.-This galaxy shows a noticeable gap in its SNR radial distribution at $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{kpc}\left(\sim 56^{\prime \prime}\right)$. This gap closely matches the one observed in the radial distribution of H II regions in NGC 6946 by Bonnarel et al. (1986). Likewise, the general shape of their radial distribution of $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions resembles the one for our SNRs. This resemblance may indicate a closer relationship between SNRs and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions in that galaxy than was inferred from our K-S tests above. Examining Bonnarel et al.'s data, we were able to fit a decreasing exponential with $r_{0}=4.1 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{kpc}$, which is close to our result of $r_{0}=4.4 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{kpc}$ for the radial distribution of SNRs.
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FIG. 15.-(a) Full-frame H $\alpha$ image of the south field of M81 with SNRs marked. $(b, c)$ Detailed finding charts of the SNRs detected in two sections of this field.

NGC 2403.-The steeply decreasing shape of the radial distribution of detected SNRs generally agrees with the distribution of H II regions given by Hodge \& Kennicutt (1983), in agreement with our earlier conclusion that the SNRs and H if regions in this galaxy probably are associated.

M81.-The radial distribution of the SNR surface density clearly shows the central $\sim 3 \mathrm{kpc}$ region within which no SNRs (and virtually no emission nebulae) were detected. At least in overall shape, the radial distribution of SNRs in M81 bears a striking resemblance to the distribution of giant radio $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions in M81 found by Kaufman et al. (1987). We obtained $r_{0}=2.2 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{kpc}$ for the detected SNRs, while, for the giant radio ${ }^{H}$ II regions, Kaufman et al. found $r_{0}=1.6 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{kpc}$, which differs only marginally from our SNR value. The close resemblance between our radial distribution of SNR surface density and that for giant radio $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions provides further evidence to support our earlier conclusion that the detected SNRs in M81 are associated with that galaxy's $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions.

In conclusion, the above analysis of spatial distributions of detected SNRs relative to spiral arms, H II regions, molecular clouds, and their radial distributions of surface density, shows that a significant fraction of the progenitors of the SNRs optically detected in NGC 2403, M81, and M101 are likely to have been Type II or Ib/c SNs.

### 4.3. SNR Spectral Analysis

We have used individual SNR spectra to calculate line ratios for ([O III] $\lambda \lambda 4959,5007$ )/H $\beta$, ([O I] $\lambda \lambda 6300,6364$ )/ $\mathrm{H} \alpha$, ([N II] $\lambda 26548,6583) / \mathrm{H} \alpha$, ([S I] $\lambda \lambda 6716,6731) / \mathrm{H} \alpha$, ([S II] $\lambda 6731) / \mathrm{H} \alpha$, and [S II] $\lambda 6716 / \lambda 6731$. These line ratios are shown in Table 16, along with estimated remnant diameter and derived electron density. Some $\lambda 6716 / \lambda 6731$ ratios in Table 16 had nonphysical measured values of greater than 1.46 and were set to the low-density limit; these ratios are footnoted. The electron density, $N_{e}$, in each SNR was calculated using measured $\lambda 6716 / \lambda 6731$ ratios and the Space Telescope Science Data Analysis System task "nebular.temden," which is based on the five-level atom approximation of De Robertis, Dufour, \& Hunt (1987). For consistency with previous work, we took the low-density limit to correspond to $N_{e}<10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}(\lambda 6716 / \lambda 6731>1.46)$.

Elemental abundance determinations using SNR spectra can be estimated with the shock-abundance models of Dopita et al. (1984). Following Smith et al. (1993), we used the ([S II] $\lambda 6731) / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ and $\left[\mathrm{N} \mathrm{III}_{\text {II }} / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right.$ ratios from our spectra in M101 and interpolated across the grid of models in Figure 8 of Dopita et al. (1984) to obtain oxygen and nitrogen abundances by number. Note that Dopita et al.'s models assume a shock velocity greater than $100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, while two of our 10 M101 SNR spectra with measurable
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$\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ show $\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \beta<1$, indicating shock velocity less than $100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Therefore, we removed these two spectra from the analysis. Abundance gradients were obtained by least-squares fits to the data. The oxygen abun-
dances have a mean value of $\log (\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H})+12=8.35 \pm 0.24$, a gradient of $\log (\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H})$ of $-0.06 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{kpc}^{-1}$, and a large scatter. Nitrogen has a mean value of $\log (\mathrm{N} /$ $\mathrm{H})+12=7.76 \pm 0.22, \quad$ a gradient of $\log (\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{H})$ of
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Fig. 16.-(a) Full-frame H $\alpha$ image of the west field of M81 with SNRs marked. $(b, c)$ Detailed finding charts of the SNRs detected in two sections of this field.
$-0.09 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{kpc}^{-1}$, and less scatter than oxygen. It is worth noting that in other SNR abundance studies, the oxygen abundances also showed a larger scatter than nitrogen (Smith et al. 1993 and Blair \& Kirshner 1985 for M33, Blair \& Kirshner 1985 for M31, Russell \& Dopita 1990 for the LMC, and Fesen et al. 1985 for our Galaxy).

Using M101 H iI region data from McCall, Rybski, \& Shields (1985) and Smith (1975) and calibrating the data using the method of Zaritsky, Kennicutt, \& Huchra (1994), we calculated oxygen abundances and obtained a mean value of $\log (\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H})+12=8.64 \pm 0.31$ and a gradient of $\log$ $(\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H})$ of $-0.06 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{kpc}^{-1}$, similar to what we found from the SNRs. It is difficult to compare abundance gradients from these SNRs and H iI regions in M101 because the range of galactocentric distance covered by our SNRs is less than half that covered by the $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions. However, the mean oxygen abundance from H II regions in M101 is greater than that from our SNRs by $\approx 0.3$ dex. A similar result has been seen for M33 (Smith et al. 1993), for M31 (Blair, Kirshner, \& Chevalier 1982; Blair \& Kirshner 1985), for the LMC (Russell \& Dopita 1990), and for our Galaxy (Shaver et al. 1983; Fesen et al. 1985). As discussed in Smith et al. (1993), it is possible that contamination of SNR line
ratios by background $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions may (in addition to contributing to a scatter in the abundances) drive the line ratios down, resulting in smaller $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}$ values.

### 4.4. Large SNRs

What is the largest size the remnant of a single SN can have while remaining optically bright enough to detect? This maximum size depends on the energy input of the SN, the mass of the SN ejecta, the ISM density, and the amount of energy radiated by the SNR as it expands (see Lozinskaya 1992 for a review). Starting with a canonical explosion energy of $E_{0}=10^{51}$ ergs, ejecta mass of $1 M_{\odot}$, $v_{0}=10^{4} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and ISM density of $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ at the lowest shock velocity at which an SNR can still be optically observed ( $v_{s} \sim 50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), models of SNR evolution show that $D_{\max } \sim 100 \mathrm{pc}$. While $D_{\text {max }}$ can be larger for smaller $v_{s}$ and/or $n_{0}$, we will use $D_{\max } \sim 100 \mathrm{pc}$ as the upper limit in size for a SNR produced by a single SN and therefore consider any object with $D>100 \mathrm{pc}$ as the likely result of more than one SN.

There are 31 objects in our survey with diameters ranging from 100 to 300 pc . These diameter estimates depend on the assumed distance to each galaxy, which may be uncertain
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by as much as a factor of 2 . Assuming that our diameter estimates are correct, however, what is the nature of these large objects? In Figure 30, we plot $[\mathrm{S}$ II]/H $\alpha$ versus diameter for all the SNRs for which we could measure a diameter. The bottom right of that plot contains those 15 objects with $D>100 \mathrm{pc}$ (and [S II] $/ \mathrm{H} \alpha<0.6$ ) that may be stellar wind-shocked nebulae, diffuse ionized gas, or a combination of these with SNRs. Objects on the top right of Figure 30 (with $D>100 \mathrm{pc}$ and $[\mathrm{S} \mathrm{II]} / \mathrm{H} \alpha \geq 0.6$ ) lie outside the normal range of parameters for stellar wind-shocked nebulae and diffuse ionized gas and therefore may be multiple SNRs (MSNRs).

MSNR shells with $D \sim 100-300 \mathrm{pc}$ have been shown to form easily as a result of SN explosions in a modest-sized OB association (McCray \& Kafatos 1987; Tenorio-Tagle \& Bodenheimer 1988), although with lower shock velocities $\left(v_{s} \sim 10-30 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ than expected for an optically detectable SNR. Radio observations at 21 cm have detected MSNR shells in the Local Group with $D \gtrsim 200 \mathrm{pc}$ (McCray \& Kafatos 1987), and Chu et al. $(1993,1995)$ discussed recent X-ray observations of possible MSNRs in the LMC. Optical shells are typically somewhat smaller and often contain clusters of OB stars. With the possible exception of
the two objects in M101 mentioned in § 3 (in which we could detect only one or two stars), we were unable to detect stellar clusters within any of our large SNRs.

There are four objects in the data set with $D>100 \mathrm{pc}$ for which we can estimate the shock velocity. Figure 5 of Dopita et al. (1984) shows $\log \left[\left(\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}\right] \lambda 5007\right) / \mathrm{H} \beta\right]$ versus $v_{s}$ for their shock models, assuming cosmic abundances. Using measured line ratios of the four objects with $D>100 \mathrm{pc}$ for which we have spectra, we obtained the shock velocity estimates shown in Table 17. The estimated velocities are all $\sim 85 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, well above the velocities expected for MSNRs. The kinematic ages of the four objects in Table 17 also are mostly smaller than those found for MSNRs. Although the ( $[\mathrm{O}$ III] $\lambda 5007$ ) $/ \mathrm{H} \beta$ ratio is affected by differences in abundance, Figure 5 of Dopita et al. shows that the [O III] $\lambda 5007$ line is extremely sensitive to the shock conditions and basically shuts off for velocities $\lesssim 80 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (see also Smith et al. 1993). The fact that three of the four SNRs with $D>100$ pc for which we also obtained spectra show fairly bright [ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}$ ] is strong evidence that they are high-velocity shockheated nebulae (i.e., SNRs).

One of the objects in Table 17, NGC 5585 SNR No. 1, is an especially interesting object and a good example of an
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unusually large SNR. As shown in Figure 38, the remnant is elliptical, with its major axis running almost north-south and a small, bright $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ region located on its northern edge. Bright in both [O I] and [O iiI], NGC 5585 SNR No. 1 is clearly a SNR. Because a remnant will expand to larger diameters in a less dense region, it is possible that NGC 5585 SNR No. 1's large size is a result of its being located in a region of especially low-density ISM. We measured NGC 5585 SNR No. 1's galactocentric distance to be $\sim 2.45$, which is just past the beginning of a significant decrease in H i surface density in NGC 5585, as shown in Cote, Carignan, \& Sancisi (1991). The remnant also appears slightly brighter on its eastern and northeastern limbs (Fig. 38), possibly indicating higher density at those points.

NGC 5585 SNR No. 1 is quite similar in morphology to the NGC 7793 remnants N7793-S26 and N7793-S26ext found by Blair \& Long (1997), which they thought might represent a single object. Blair \& Long believed that N7793S26, S26ext may be the result of multiple SNs creating a large, shock-heated bubble, which eventually may become a superbubble. They found no interior stars in this object, however. For comparison, the estimated diameter of NGC 5585 SNR No. 1 is about half that of N7793-S26, S26ext ( 140 pc vs. 260 pc ), while the [S II] $/ \mathrm{H} \alpha$ ratio is somewhat higher for the former ( 0.66 vs. $0.50-0.64$ ). Both objects are located near the outer regions of their parent galaxies. Note that, in their survey, Blair \& Long found several SNRs with $D>100 \mathrm{pc}$ (three in NGC 300, six in NGC 7793).

## 5. COMPARISONS BETWEEN SNR SAMPLES IN DIFFERENT GALAXIES

Combining the SNR samples from this work with other SNR samples from galaxies searched using ground-based optical observations, we have an ensemble of 12 optical SNR samples in nearby galaxies, all spiral, except for one irregular galaxy. Here we compare the major properties of these independent SNR samples and their parent galaxies and investigate selection effects and physical trends that might allow us to make predictions about optical SNR samples obtained in other galaxies.

### 5.1. The Ensemble

Several basic properties of the 12 galaxies are listed in Table 18. For consistency, the distances to the LMC and SMC and to M31, M33, NGC 300, and NGC 7793 are those used in the SNR searches that we reference. Sources of all the galactic data are also listed.

The properties of the SNR samples are listed in Tables 19 and 20. For the LMC, we did not include Balmerdominated remnants nor any objects with [S II] $/ \mathrm{H} \alpha<0.4$ because we would not have identified them as SNRs. In the SMC, one of the objects (number 0104-723 in Mathewson et al. 1984) may be Balmer-dominated. In the columns for diameter, $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensity, and $\left[\mathrm{SIII}^{\mathrm{II}} / \mathrm{H} \alpha\right.$, we list "mode" and maximum values for each sample. The mode is simply the most common value observed for a given parameter (i.e., the

TABLE 16
Emission-Line Ratios for SNRs with Spectra

| SNR No. | $\begin{gathered} D^{\mathrm{a}} \\ (\mathrm{pc}) \end{gathered}$ | [ Om m]/H $\beta$ | [ $\mathrm{O}_{\text {I }}$ ]/H $\alpha$ | [ $\mathrm{NII}_{\text {I }} / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | [ $\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }} / \mathrm{H}$ / | 入6731/H $\alpha$ | 入6716/ג6731 | $\begin{gathered} N_{e}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NGC 5204 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \ldots \ldots$. | 60 | 1.38 | 0.23 | 0.15 | 0.69 | 0.30 | 1.32 | 120 |
| $3 \ldots \ldots .$. | 50 | 1.28 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.52 | 0.19 | $1.46{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $<10$ |
| NGC 5585 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 \ldots \ldots$. | 140 | 1.02 | 0.29 | 0.14 | 0.66 | 0.28 | 1.40 | 40 |
| $3 \ldots \ldots$. | 20 |  |  | 0.17 | 0.64 | 0.23 | $1.46{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $<10$ |
| $4 \ldots \ldots$. | 60 | 3.28 |  | 0.23 | 0.47 | 0.19 | $1.46{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $<10$ |
| $5 \ldots \ldots$. | ... | 0.66 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.49 | 0.21 | 1.37 | 75 |
| NGC 6946 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $4 \ldots \ldots .$. |  |  | 0.26 | 0.94 | 0.85 | 0.37 | 1.30 | 140 |
| 15....... | 30 | 1.50 |  | 0.53 | 0.58 | 0.23 | $1.46{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $<10$ |
| $16^{\text {d }} \ldots \ldots .$. | 20 | 8.80 | 0.37 | 1.15 | 0.85 | 0.38 | 1.22 | 225 |
| 18....... | 40 |  |  | 0.57 | 0.52 | 0.24 | 1.17 | 290 |
| $25 \ldots \ldots$. | 60 | 0.46 | 0.07 | 0.49 | 0.58 | 0.24 | 1.36 | 75 |
| $27 . . . \ldots$. | 40 | 0.23 | 0.11 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 0.22 | 1.35 | 90 |
| M81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \ldots \ldots .$. | 60 |  |  | 0.54 | 0.72 | 0.27 | $1.46{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $<10$ |
| 17......... | 30 | 2.27 | 0.44 | 1.31 | 1.22 | 0.52 | 1.32 | 120 |
| $18 \ldots . .$. | 130 | 0.31 | 0.08 | 0.83 | 0.70 | 0.25 | $1.46{ }^{\text {c }}$ | <10 |
| $25 \ldots \ldots$. | 10 | 3.62 | 0.29 | 1.24 | 0.93 | 0.42 | 1.22 | 215 |
| M101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $17 . \ldots \ldots$. | 40 | 1.61 | 0.13 | 0.75 | 0.90 | 0.37 | $1.46{ }^{\text {c }}$ | <10 |
| $19 \ldots \ldots$. | 140 | 2.15 | 0.28 | 0.84 | 0.93 | 0.39 | $1.46{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $<10$ |
| $21 . . . \ldots$. | 30 | 7.38 | 0.32 | 0.81 | 0.88 | 0.36 | $1.46{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $<10$ |
| $24 \ldots \ldots$. | 30 | 4.39 | 0.50 | 1.02 | 1.06 | 0.50 | 1.10 | 385 |
| $33 \ldots \ldots$. | 10 | 2.68 |  | 0.96 | 0.70 | 0.30 | 1.40 | 50 |
| $38 \ldots \ldots$. | 80 |  | 0.18 |  | 0.71 | 0.29 | 1.35 | 90 |
| $44 \ldots \ldots$. |  | 0.37 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.53 | 0.22 | 1.43 | 25 |
| $50 \ldots \ldots$. | 9 | 2.04 | 0.17 | 1.59 | 0.81 | 0.46 | 0.74 | 1720 |
| $71 \ldots \ldots$. | 30 | 0.40 | 0.14 | 0.58 | 0.76 | 0.32 | 1.36 | 75 |
| $76 \ldots \ldots$. |  | 1.07 | 0.24 | 0.35 | 0.62 | 0.24 | $1.46{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $<10$ |
| 83....... | 200 | 2.41 | 0.14 | 0.85 | 0.76 | 0.25 | $1.46{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $<10$ |

[^8]x -axis location of the peak of its histogram); the maximum is the largest value observed. Because of the small number of remnants detected in NGC 5204 and NGC 5585, we are only able to give average (as opposed to mode) values for diameter, Ha intensity, and $[\mathrm{S} \mathrm{II}] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$. In calculating the
$D_{\text {mode }}$ value in M31, we did not include the "unresolved" objects from the survey of Magnier et al. (1995) because these objects were only $\sim 1$ pixel in size and were not deconvolved to give accurate diameters. For the maximum value of SNR diameter, the geometric mean is given for elliptical

TABLE 17
SNRs with $D>100$ PC and Measured [O III] $\lambda 5007$

| Object | $D$ <br> $(\mathrm{pc})$ | [O III] $\lambda 5007) / \mathrm{H} \beta$ | $v_{s}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $D / 2 v_{s}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{yr})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NGC 5585 SNR No. 1...... | $140^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.72 | 82 | $8 \times 10^{5}$ |
| M81 SNR No. 18......... | 130 | 0.31 | 82 | $8 \times 10^{5}$ |
| M101 SNR No. 19 $\ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 140 | 1.76 | 85 | $8 \times 10^{5}$ |
| M101 SNR No. $83 \ldots \ldots .$. | 200 | 2.01 | 86 | $1 \times 10^{6}$ |

[^9]TABLE 18
Galaxy Properties

| Name | Type | $\begin{gathered} i \\ (\mathrm{deg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E_{B-V} \\ & \text { (mag) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} R \\ (\mathrm{Mpc}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} D_{\text {galaxy }} \\ (\mathrm{kpc}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} L \\ \left(10^{10} L_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} M\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right) \\ \left(10^{9} M_{\odot}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LMC | Sm | 35 | 0.07 | 0.055 | 10.6 | 0.36 | 0.8 |
| SMC | Im | 61 | 0.04 | 0.063 | 4.7 | 0.07 | 0.9 |
| M31 | Sb | 78 | 0.04 | 0.69 | 31.2 | 2.8 | 4.0 |
| M33 | Scd | 56 | 0.04 | 0.84 | 12.8 | 0.47 | 1.8 |
| NGC 300 | Sd | 46 | 0.01 | 2.1 | 11.7 | 0.26 | 2.4 |
| NGC 2403. | Scd | 62 | 0.03 | 3.2 | 19.9 | 0.66 | 3.6 |
| NGC 7793. | Sdm | 50 | 0.01 | 3.4 | 8.5 | 0.28 | 0.7 |
| M81. | Sab | 60 | 0.04 | 3.6 | 21.2 | 2.3 | 3.0 |
| NGC 5204 | Sm | 53 | 0.00 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 0.08 | 0.6 |
| M101.... | Scd | 0 | 0.00 | 5.4 | 37.4 | 2.4 | 11.3 |
| NGC 6946. | Scd | 42 | 0.40 | 5.5 | 23.8 | 3.2 | 6.3 |
| NGC 5585. | Sd | 51 | 0.00 | 7.0 | 10.4 | 0.24 | 1.4 |

Note-Type and inclination data from Tully 1988. $E_{B-V}$ values from Tully 1988 and Burstein \& Heiles 1978. $R$ values for the LMC and SMC are from Mathewson et al. 1983, for M31, from Walterbos \& Braun 1992, for M33, from Gordon 1994, for NGC 300 and NGC 7793, from Blair \& Long 1997, and for the rest, from Table 2. For consistency, distances are those used in the SNR studies. $D_{\text {galaxy }}=$ galaxy diameter from isophotal diameter, corrected for projection and obscuration, from Tully 1988 and using distances given here. $L=$ intrinsic blue luminosity of galaxy, using $B$ from Tully 1988 and distances given here. $M(\mathrm{H} \mathrm{I})=$ mass of neutral hydrogen in galaxy, using H i flux from Tully 1988 and distances given here.

TABLE 19
SNR Sample Properties

| Galaxy ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number of } \\ & \text { SNRs }^{\text {b }} \end{aligned}$ | $D^{\mathrm{c}}$ (pc) |  | $I(\mathrm{H} \alpha)\left(\mathrm{ergs} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ |  | [ $\left.\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mode | Max | Mode | Max | Mode | Max |
| LMC | 28 | 30 | 90 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.6 | 1.4 |
| SMC | 11 | 58 | 62 | $\cdots$ |  | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| M31 | 221 | 32 | 195 | $4.4 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 0.52 | 1.20 |
| M33 | 98 | 35 | 126 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $3.4 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 0.65 | 1.55 |
| NGC 300 | 28 | 43 | 200 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 0.75 | 1.16 |
| NGC 2403. | 35 | 70 | 170 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 0.58 | 1.11 |
| NGC 7793. | 28 | 32 | 260 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 0.50 | 1.79 |
| M81 | 41 | 30 | 130 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.67 | 1.64 |
| NGC 5204. | 3 | 40 | 60 | $5.5 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.58 | 0.69 |
| M101. | 93 | 30 | 300 | $3.2 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $4.7 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.55 | 1.59 |
| NGC 6946. | 27 | 30 | 180 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $7.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.55 | 1.14 |
| NGC 5585... | 5 | 60 | 130 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.59 | 0.68 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ SNR references: LMC, Mathewson et al. 1983, 1984, 1985, Chu \& Kennicutt 1988b, van den Bergh 1988, Smith et al. 1994; SMC, Mathewson \& Clarke 1972, 1973b, Mathewson et al. 1983, 1984; M31, D'Odorico et al. 1980, Blair et al. 1981, Walterbos \& Braun 1992, Braun \& Walterbos 1993, Magnier et al. 1995; M33, Gordon 1994; NGC 300 and NGC 7793, Blair \& Long 1997; the rest, this work.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ From optical surveys.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Mode $=$ peak of histogram.

TABLE 20
Other SNR Sample Properties

| Galaxy | $\sigma \sim e^{-r / r_{0}}$ |  | Associated? ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Fraction Surveyed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{\text {peak }} \\ \left(\mathrm{kpc}^{-2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} r_{0} \\ (\mathrm{kpc}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | H II Regions | Arms |  |
| LMC | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | Yes | $\ldots$ | 1.00 |
| SMC | ... | .. | ... | $\ldots$ | 1.00 |
| M31. | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | Yes | 0.75 |
| M33 | 2.71 | 2.1 | Yes | $\ldots$ | 1.00 |
| NGC 300. | 0.85 | 1.3 | .. | $\cdots$ | 1.00 |
| NGC $2403 .$. | 2.23 | 0.7 | Yes | $\ldots$ | 0.95 |
| NGC 7793. | 0.70 | 1.1 | ... | $\ldots$ | 1.00 |
| M81 .. | 0.39 | 2.2 | Yes | Yes | 1.00 |
| NGC 5204 | $\ldots$ | .. | . | $\ldots$ | 1.00 |
| M101.... | 0.50 | 3.6 | Yes | Yes | 0.98 |
| NGC 6946. | 0.32 | 4.4 | No | ? | 0.95 |
| NGC 5585.. | 0.21 | 1.3 | ... | ... | 1.00 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ With $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions and/or spiral arms.
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Fig. 17.-M81 SNR spectra


Fig. 18.-A typical M81 H iI region spectrum, from the small nebula located $\sim 20^{\prime \prime}$ directly west of M81 SNR No. 19 and just northwest of a larger H iI region.


Fig. 19.-Digitized Sky Survey E image of M101 showing the fields observed
objects (for the LMC and SMC, the mean diameter is given). $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensity values for M31 are from the 52 SNRs in the samples of Braun \& Walterbos (1993) and Walterbos \& Braun (1992). In M33, NGC 300, and NGC 7793, we converted the published $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ surface brightness values to $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensity using the given diameters. The M33 $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensity values were not corrected for extinction, which was believed to be slight. $[\mathrm{S} \mathrm{II}] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ ratios were available for only four SNRs in the SMC. The [S $I] / H \alpha$ values in M31 are from the 52 SNRs in the sample of Braun \& Walterbos (1993) and Walterbos \& Braun (1992). NGC 300 and NGC 7793 [S II]/H $\alpha$ values are from the spectral data in Blair \& Long (1997) for 21 SNRs in NGC 300 and 27 SNRs in NGC 7793. The quantity $\sigma$ is the surface density of SNRs observed in a given galaxy, normally of form $\sigma \sim e^{-r / r_{0}}$, with $r_{0}$ the scale length. The LMC SNRs are listed as being associated with H iI regions because Chu \& Kennicutt (1988b) found that at least two-thirds of the SNRs detected in the LMC are associated with Population I objects. Magnier et al. (1995) found that the distribution of SNRs they detected in M31 tended to trace the spiral arms, so we list them as associated with the spiral arms. In M33, Gordon (1994) surmised that the observed SNRs are associated with $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions because of the similarity between the two objects' radial distributions.

### 5.2. Ensemble Selection Effects

Just as selection effects and biases are present in the individual SNR samples, we expected to see evidence of selection effects and biases when comparing SNR samples from different galaxies. One such selection effect is shown in Figure 39, where the $\log$ of the mode of the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ luminosity versus galaxy distance is plotted. SNR $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ luminosity is calculated from the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensity given in Table 19, using the galaxy distances in Table 18. Figure 39 shows larger $L(\mathrm{H} \alpha)_{\text {mode }}$ for more distant galaxies, which is clearly a selection bias. If we could detect every SNR in a galaxy, and compare the SNR samples among the galaxies, we would expect about the same value of $L(\mathrm{H} \alpha)_{\text {mode }}$ in each galaxy, assuming that SNRs in spiral galaxies form under roughly similar conditions. Of course, we cannot detect every SNR in a galaxy. As we move to more distant galaxies, fainter SNRs become more difficult to detect and $L(\mathrm{H} \alpha)_{\text {mode }}$ shifts to higher values, just as seen in Figure 39.

In Figure 40, we plot the peak SNR surface density in each galaxy, $\sigma_{\text {peak }}$, as a function of the galaxy distance. Note that surface density data was only available for eight of the 12 sample galaxies. The general trend in the plot is toward larger $\sigma_{\text {peak }}$ for nearer galaxies because it is easier to detect SNRs in closer galaxies and especially to resolve confused


Fig. $20 a$
Fig. 20.-(a) Full-frame H $\alpha$ image of the north field of M101, with SNRs marked. (b-d) Detailed finding charts of the SNRs detected in three sections of this field.
regions near a galaxy's center in order to detect a greater surface density of SNRs. The $\sigma_{\text {peak }}$ values of M33 and NGC 2403 are much higher than those of the other galaxies, possibly because of the higher resolution image data used.

No apparent correlation was evident between the maximum SNR diameter measured in each sample, $D_{\max }$, and galaxy distance. However, a correlation was evident between $D_{\max }$ and galaxy diameter, $D_{\text {galaxy }}$. To eliminate any effect of errors in the galaxy distance estimates, $D_{\text {max }}$ and $D_{\text {galaxy }}$ were converted to arcseconds, giving the plot shown in Figure 41. The dashed line is a least-squares fit to the data. As shown in the figure, the fit seems to indicate that for a SNR sample obtained by ground-based optical observations of any spiral galaxy, the size of the largest detectable SNR is a function of the size of its parent galaxy. Although this correlation is just a distance-size effect, it may allow one to predict the largest SNR optically detectable in a given galaxy. Using galaxies at well-known distances as calibrators, this trend suggests that a rough estimate of a galaxy's distance can be obtained by finding $D_{\text {max }}$ in arc-
seconds for a sample of SNRs obtained through groundbased optical observations of the galaxy. The dispersion in $D_{\text {max }}(\sim 0 \prime 2$ in our samples) must be included in calculating the uncertainty in the distance estimate.

### 5.3. Physical Trends in the Ensemble

The 12 galaxy SNR ensemble also yielded trends that may be related to the physical state of the SNRs and their parent galaxies. For example, to investigate the number of SNRs found versus a given galaxy type, the number of SNRs detected in each galaxy was divided by that galaxy's H i mass; this quantity, times the galaxy distance, is plotted versus the galaxy type in Figure 42. The quantity (galaxy distance)(number of SNRs)/(galaxy $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ mass) is used in an attempt to eliminate the effects of galaxy distance and/or mass on the number of SNRs detected. Except for NGC 7793, the plot indicates that fewer SNRs per unit galaxy H I mass (correcting for the distances) are found in later type galaxies.

The most commonly observed diameter in each SNR
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sample, $D_{\text {mode }}$, was found, in several galaxies (see Table 19), to lie at $\sim 30-40 \mathrm{pc}$. This would seem to suggest that the most common value of SNR diameter observed in an optical SNR survey is the same in any galaxy. Recall that an SNR's apparent diameter, in terms of $D_{\text {mode }}$, is given by log
$D_{\text {mode }}(\operatorname{arcseconds})=-\log R(\mathrm{Mpc})+\log \left[0.206265 D_{\text {mode }}\right.$ (pc)]. If $D_{\text {mode }}$ (pc) is constant for an ensemble of SNR samples, then a plot of $\log D_{\text {mode }}$ (arcseconds) versus $\log R$ $(\mathrm{Mpc})$ should be a straight line with slope -1 , whose $y$ intercept will give us an estimate of $D_{\text {mode }}(\mathrm{pc})$. Such a plot is
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shown in Figure 43. The dashed line is a least-squares fit to the data and the error shown is only the error in the fit. The fit indeed has a slope of -1 and gives an estimate of $D_{\text {mode }} \approx 40 \mathrm{pc}$ for a SNR sample.

Hence this analysis allows one to predict that any ground-based optical SNR survey should find $D_{\text {mode }} \approx 40$ pc for a SNR sample in a spiral galaxy. The prediction assumes that the SNR sample is large enough that a reasonably accurate peak to the histogram of SNR diameters can be determined. It also is worth noting that this analysis gives a very rough prediction of a galaxy's distance. Once we have a sample of SNRs in a given galaxy, simply finding $D_{\text {mode }}$ in arcseconds allows one to estimate the galaxy's distance, although this value of the distance is obviously not as accurate as one obtained by more conventional methods (e.g., Cepheids, Type Ia SNs, and so on).

Why should $D_{\text {mode }}$ be constant in all the SNR samples? A constant $D_{\text {mode }}$ could result from most of the detected remnants being in a similar evolutionary stage, which would tend to make their most common sizes similar. It is interesting to compare our constant $D_{\text {mode }}$ value with the size of the Cygnus Loop, which is the Galactic remnant probably the most similar to the SNRs we have detected. From distance estimates based on proper motions of bright fila-
ments, the currently accepted size of the Cygnus Loop is $D \approx 40 \mathrm{pc}$ (Lozinskaya 1992), identical to our constant $D_{\text {mode }}$.

In Figure 44, $D_{\text {mode }}$, in parsecs, is plotted for each of the SNR samples as a function of galaxy type. Error bars for the $D_{\text {mode }}$ values were obtained by examining the SNR diameter histograms from which they were obtained (except for NGC 5204 and NGC 5585, for which the errors are the uncertainties in the mean diameters). The constant value $D_{\text {mode }} \approx$ 40 pc found above is marked. This plot shows that $D_{\text {mode }}$ appears independent of galaxy type and, within the errors shown, has a value of about $30-40 \mathrm{pc}$.
$D_{\text {max }}$ and the mass of neutral hydrogen in a galaxy, $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$, also seem to be correlated. In Figure 45 we show a $\log -\log$ plot of these quantities, with $D_{\text {max }}$ in parsecs and $M(\mathrm{H} \mathrm{I})$ in units of $10^{9} M_{\odot}$. With the exception of NGC 7793 , the data show a correlation of $D_{\max }$ with $M(\mathrm{H} \mathrm{I})$. If the H I mass is correlated with the relative ISM density in a galaxy, the observed trend may occur because galaxies with a denser ISM provide an environment in which larger SNRs can be detected. The reason for the lack of correlation for NGC 7793 is unclear, although recall that this galaxy was also discrepant in Figure 42. Note also that the observed trend could be influenced by size of sample effects, in that a


Fig. 21a
Fig. 21.-(a) Full-frame $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ image of the east field of M101, with SNRs marked. (b) $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ image finding chart of the SNRs detected in a section of this field. (c) Same as (b), but in [S II]. (d) H $\alpha$ image finding chart of the SNRs detected in another section of this field. (e) Same as (d), but in [S II]. ( $f$ ) H $\alpha$ image finding chart of the SNRs detected in another section of this field.
more gas-rich galaxy will produce more SNRs and thus a larger SNR sample with, possibly, a larger $D_{\text {max }}$. Although $D_{\text {max }}$ was not found to be strongly correlated with the number of SNRs detected in each sample, we did find a slight trend indicating that more SNRs were detected in the more massive galaxies, thus supporting the possibility of a size of sample effect in Figure 45.

We found no correlation between the SNR surfacedensity scale length, $r_{0}$, and galaxy diameter, meaning that the largest galaxies did not necessarily also have the largest SNR surface-density scale lengths. However, plotting $r_{0}$ as a function of galaxy luminosity (Fig. 46) does show a weak correlation indicating a larger SNR surface-density scale length for intrinsically brighter galaxies, which could result because the SNRs correlate with a larger population of bright, blue stars in the more luminous galaxies. If this explanation is correct, the correlation in Figure 46 provides evidence that most of the SNRs detected had bright, blue stars as progenitors that exploded as Type II or Ib/c SNs.

Finally, we investigated the possible relationship between galaxy type and association of SNRs with $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions and spiral arms. Of the four Scd galaxies in the ensemble (M33, NGC 2403, M101, and NGC 6946), three have SNRs that appear to be associated with their galaxy's $H_{\text {il }}$ regions. NGC 6946 is the exception to this apparent trend among the Scd galaxies. Also, the SNRs in the $\mathrm{Sab}-\mathrm{Sb}$ galaxies (M31 and M81) appear to be associated with their galaxies' spiral arms. This very limited analysis therefore suggests that, in most Scd galaxies, SNRs tend to be associated with H iI regions and that, in $\mathrm{Sab}-\mathrm{Sb}$ galaxies, SNRs tend to be associated with the spiral arms. It will be interesting to see if future SNR surveys in similar type galaxies find such correlations between SNRs and these other galactic objects.

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

We have conducted an optical search for supernova remnants in five nearby spiral galaxies. Our technique consisted
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of imaging these galaxies using narrow $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ and [S II] $\lambda \lambda 6716,6731$ filters, subtracting starlight with continuumfilter images, and identifying [S II]-bright emission nebulae as SNR candidates. Spectra were obtained for a few of these candidates in each galaxy and were used to correct the [S II]/H $\alpha$ ratios obtained from image photometry. Emission nebulae with [S II] $/ \mathrm{H} \alpha \geq 0.45$ were identified as probable SNRs. The results of this survey are:

1. We have identified three SNRs in NGC 5204, five in NGC 5585, 27 in NGC 6946 (including an SNR previously identified by Blair \& Fesen 1994), 41 in M81, and 93 in M101. Including the LMC and SMC, M31, M33, NGC 300, NGC 7793, and NGC 2403, there are now 12 galaxies that have been well searched in the optical for SNRs, and this survey (including the NGC 2403 SNR sample of Matonick et al. 1997) has increased the number of currently detected extragalactic SNRs by about $50 \%$.
2. An analysis of selection effects, biases, and completeness of our SNR samples shows that (1) the [ $\left.\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] / \mathrm{H} \alpha$ detection method can be seriously affected by confusion with H II regions and that (2) the samples appear biased against
detecting large, faint SNRs. We estimate that there are roughly 4 times more SNRs in each of our target galaxies than we detected. Assuming a SNR lifetime of $20,000 \mathrm{yr}$, this result gives crude SN rate estimates of 1 SN per 130 yr for M81 and 1 SN per 50 yr for M101, both similar to their historical SN rates.
3. Using the distributions of detected SNRs relative to other objects (spiral arms, $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions, molecular clouds), we find that a significant fraction of the SNRs detected in NGC 2403, M81, and M101 probably had high-mass progenitors (SNs II or $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{c}$ ).
4. Abundances were obtained from eight SNR spectra in M101, and moderate abundance gradients in both oxygen and nitrogen were found. The SNR oxygen abundance gradient was found to be roughly similar to that obtained from $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions. As in SNR abundance studies in other galaxies, our SNR oxygen abundance showed a larger scatter than the nitrogen abundance and was lower than the oxygen abundance obtained from $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions.
5. We found 31 objects with diameters larger than 100 pc , larger than is physically possible for a single SNR in an ISM of typical density. Further detailed study is warranted
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for these unusual objects, particularly high-resolution observations to determine the objects' shock velocities and gas densities.
6. Combining the SNR samples from this work with the optical SNR samples in the LMC and SMC and in M31, M33, NGC 300, NGC 7793, and NGC 2403 revealed the following: (1) An apparent trend of higher $L(\mathrm{H} \alpha)_{\text {mode }}$ with increasing galaxy distance, probably as a result of intrinsically fainter SNRs becoming more difficult to detect in more distant galaxies, thereby causing $L(\mathrm{H} \alpha)_{\text {mode }}$ to shift to a higher value. (2) A value of $D_{\text {mode }} \approx 40 \mathrm{pc}$ for each SNR sample in the ensemble, which may indicate that most of the detected SNRs are in a similar evolutionary stage.

A worthwhile follow-up study would be to broaden the search for SNRs to include a wider range of galaxy types. Although one may not find many SNRs in elliptical galaxies because of the absence of significant interstellar gas, it might be interesting to examine Sa and progressively earlier type galaxies to see at what galaxy type one is no longer able to detect SNRs. It might also be worth conducting a distribution analysis, similar to that done in our program galaxies, on the SNR samples in M31, M33, NGC 300, and NGC

7793 using precise positions of spiral arms, $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions, and molecular clouds. Such an analysis would allow one to determine whether the SNRs are closely associated with these objects and therefore give a better indication of the likely SNR progenitors in these galaxies. Finally, the nature of the very large SNRs we have found (such as NGC 5585 SNR No. 1) needs to be investigated further. Highresolution imaging to search for early-type stars with strong stellar winds interior to these objects, as well as additional observations at other wavelengths, should lead to a better understanding of the properties of these enormous remnants.

It is a pleasure to thank the staff of MDM Observatory for their assistance with the instrument setup in the observation portion of this project. We also wish to thank J. Raymond for kindly loaning us the interference filters used in the imaging portion of this project, W. Blair for many helpful comments and suggestions, and S. van den Bergh for reviewing an earlier draft of this paper and providing much useful advice. This research was funded in part by NSF grant 95-29232 and a Burke Research Grant from Dartmouth College.
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Fig. 22a
Fig. 22.-(a) Full-frame $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ image of the west field of M101 with SNRs marked. (b-d) Detailed finding charts of the SNRs detected in three sections of this field.
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Fig. 23.-Full-frame $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ image of the northeast field of M101, with SNRs marked


Fig. 24.-Full-frame $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ image of the south field of M101, with SNRs marked


Fig. 25.-M101 SNR spectra



Fig. 26.-A typical M101 H II region spectrum, from the third bright H II region about 40" northeast of M101 SNR No. 39


FIG. 27.-Histograms of SNR $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ intensity for three of the sample galaxies

NGC 6946 SNRs
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M81 SNRs
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M101 SNRs
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FIG. 28.-Histograms of SNR diameter


Fig. 29.-Plot of $\log I(\mathrm{H} \alpha)$ vs. diameter (in arcseconds) for detected SNRs from all six galaxies combined. Approximate region of incompleteness is marked. Sample appears biased against detecting large, faint SNRs.


Fig. 30.-Ratio of [S II]/H $\alpha$ vs. diameter for detected SNRs from all six galaxies combined. Objects with values also typical of stellar windshocked nebulae and diffuse ionized gas are shown marked by their SNR number on the bottom right.
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Fig. 31c
Fig. 31.-Observed spiral arm patterns and detected SNRs as they appear on the sky in three of our sample galaxies


FIG. 32.-Observed spiral arm patterns and detected SNRs in (a) NGC 6946 and (b) M81 (from Fig. 31) deprojected to face-on



Fig. 33c
Fig. 33.-Distributions of detected SNRs relative to the spiral arms shown in Fig. 32. The spiral arm width is an average value for each galaxy. A SNR "behind" a spiral arm is located between the arm and the galaxy's center. The solid lines overplotting the histograms of the observed distributions are random distributions of 10,000 simulated SNRs, normalized to the total number of SNRs detected in each galaxy.


Fig. 34.-Plots of the six sample galaxies with detected SNRs and H II regions as they appear on the sky. An H in region is represented by one or more small circles.


Fig. 35.-Distributions of detected SNRs relative to the $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ regions shown in Fig. 34. The solid lines overplotting the histograms of the observed distributions are random distributions of 10,000 simulated SNRs, normalized to the total number of SNRs detected in each galaxy.


Fig. 36.-(a) Regions of CO detection in M81 from Brouillet et al. 1991, along with our detected SNRs as they appear on the sky. The diameter of each circle denoting a CO emission region is equal to the HPBW of the observation. (b) Distribution of detected SNRs relative to the molecular clouds (CO emission regions). Relative distance to nearest CO emission $=$ (deprojected distance to nearest edge of CO emission region)/( $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{HPBW}$ ). The solid line overplotting the histogram of the observed distribution is a random distribution of 10,000 simulated SNRs, normalized to the total number of SNRs detected in M81.


Fig. 37.-Radial distributions of normalized surface density of detected SNRs in five of our sample galaxies. The peak value of surface density in kiloparsecs ${ }^{-2}$ is indicated on each plot. The dashed curve overplotting each distribution is a nonlinear least-squares fit to $\sigma \sim e^{-r / r_{0}}$, where $r_{0}$ is the exponential scale length in kiloparsecs, whose solution also is marked on each plot. The fit is only from the main peak outward.


Fig. 38.-Detail of the H $\alpha$ image of NGC 5585 from Fig. 6, showing NGC 5585 SNR No. 1. North is up, east to the left. The bright, compact object on the very northern edge of SNR No. 1 is an $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ region. Because a SNR will expand more rapidly into a less dense region, the unusual size of this remnant may be a result of its expanding into a region of lower ISM density: SNR No. 1 is located at a galactocentric distance just past the beginning of a significant decrease in H i surface density in NGC 5585 (Fig. 6 of Cote et al. 1991). Note that the remnant appears slightly brighter on its eastern and northeastern limbs, possibly indicating that it encounters regions of higher density at those points.


Fig. 39.-Plot of $\log L(\mathrm{H} \alpha)_{\text {mode }}$ for each SNR sample vs. galaxy distance. Units of $L(\mathrm{H} \alpha)_{\text {mode }}$ are ergs $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The apparent trend of higher $L(\mathrm{H} \alpha)_{\text {mode }}$ with greater distance is a selection effect: fainter SNRs are more difficult to detect in more distant galaxies, and therefore $L(\mathrm{H} \alpha)_{\text {mode }}$ shifts to higher values for the more distant SNR samples.


Fig. 40--Peak SNR surface density in each galaxy ( $\sigma_{\text {peak }}$ ) vs. galaxy distance. The general trend of larger $\sigma_{\text {peak }}$ for nearer galaxies is a selection effect: in a nearer galaxy, we are likely to detect and resolve more SNRs in confused regions near the galaxy's center, giving a higher $\sigma_{\text {peak }}$.


Fig. 41.-Plot of $\log D_{\max }$ (arcseconds) vs. $\log D_{\text {galaxy }}$ (arcseconds) for the SNR samples. The dashed line is a least-squares fit to the data; the errors shown are only those in the fit. The correlation seems to indicate that, in ground-based optical observations, the maximum observable SNR diameter is determined by the diameter of the galaxy.


Fig. 42.-Number of SNRs detected in each galaxy per galaxy Himass (multiplied by galaxy distance), as a function of galaxy type. Except for NGC 7793, the plot seems to indicate that fewer SNRs per unit galaxy H I mass (times galaxy distance) are detected in later type galaxies.


Fig. 43.-Plot of $\log D_{\text {mode }}$ (arcseconds) vs. $\log R(\mathrm{Mpc})$ for the SNR samples. The data point for M101 nearly overlaps that for NGC 6946. The dashed line is a least-squares fit to the data and has a slope of -1 and a $y$-intercept that gives $D_{\text {mode }} \approx 40 \mathrm{pc}$ for a SNR sample in a spiral galaxy.


Fig. 44.-Plot of $D_{\text {mode }}(\mathrm{pc})$ vs. galaxy type for the SNR samples. The dashed line is at the value of $D_{\text {mode }} \approx 40 \mathrm{pc}$ found in Fig. 43 . Error bars were obtained by examination of the SNR diameter histograms for each galaxy sample.


Fig. 45.-Plot of $\log D_{\max }(\mathrm{pc})$ vs. $\log M\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)\left(10^{9} M_{\odot}\right)$ for the SNR samples. With, again, the exception of NGC 7793, the data seem to show a correlation of $D_{\max }$ with $M\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$. Such a trend may occur because galaxies with a denser ISM (as traced by the H i mass) provide an environment in which larger detectable SNRs can evolve.


Fig. 46.-SNR surface density scale length $r_{0}(\mathrm{kpc})$ of the SNR samples as a function of galaxy luminosity $\left(10^{10} L_{\odot}\right)$. There seems to be a weak trend indicating larger $r_{0}$ at higher galaxy luminosity.
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[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Blair \& Fesen 1994.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Burstein \& Heiles 1978.
    ${ }^{c}$ From photometry, given in units of ergs $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Burstein \& Heiles 1978.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ From photometry, given in units of ergs $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.
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[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Burstein \& Heiles 1978.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ From photometry, given in units of ergs $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

[^6]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Calculated using galaxy distance estimates from Table 2.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Corrected for interstellar extinction using the $E_{B-V}$ values from Table 2.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Blair \& Fesen 1994.
    References.-(1) This work; (2) Blair \& Fesen 1994; (3) Petit et al. 1988.

[^7]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Probability that the distribution of detected SNRs in each galaxy, relative to the given objects, is from the same parent distribution as a random distribution of 10,000 simulated SNRs.

[^8]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Geometric mean diameter for noncircular objects. Objects for which no values are given were in confused regions or were too small and faint to estimate the diameter.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Used the Space Telescope Science Data Analysis System task "nebular.temden," based on the five-level atom approximation of De Robertis et al. 1987. Assumed $T=10,000 \mathrm{~K}$.
    ${ }^{c}$ Ratio was calculated to be greater than 1.46 , which is unphysical. The value was therefore set to the low-density limit.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Blair \& Fesen 1994.

[^9]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Using Figure 5 of Dopita et al. 1984.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Kinematic age.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Geometric mean diameter.

