## **Dartmouth College [Dartmouth Digital Commons](https://digitalcommons.dartmouth.edu?utm_source=digitalcommons.dartmouth.edu%2Ffacoa%2F1501&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)**

[Open Dartmouth: Faculty Open Access Articles](https://digitalcommons.dartmouth.edu/facoa?utm_source=digitalcommons.dartmouth.edu%2Ffacoa%2F1501&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

10-19-2010

# Dynamic Evolution of Precise Regulatory Encodings Creates the Clustered Site Signature of Enhancers

Justin Crocker *Dartmouth College*

Nathan Potter *Dartmouth College*

Albert Erives *Dartmouth College*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.dartmouth.edu/facoa](https://digitalcommons.dartmouth.edu/facoa?utm_source=digitalcommons.dartmouth.edu%2Ffacoa%2F1501&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) Part of the [Biology Commons,](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/41?utm_source=digitalcommons.dartmouth.edu%2Ffacoa%2F1501&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) and the [Genetics Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/29?utm_source=digitalcommons.dartmouth.edu%2Ffacoa%2F1501&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

### Recommended Citation

Crocker, Justin; Potter, Nathan; and Erives, Albert, "Dynamic Evolution of Precise Regulatory Encodings Creates the Clustered Site Signature of Enhancers" (2010). *Open Dartmouth: Faculty Open Access Articles*. 1501. [https://digitalcommons.dartmouth.edu/facoa/1501](https://digitalcommons.dartmouth.edu/facoa/1501?utm_source=digitalcommons.dartmouth.edu%2Ffacoa%2F1501&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Dartmouth Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Dartmouth: Faculty Open Access Articles by an authorized administrator of Dartmouth Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [dartmouthdigitalcommons@groups.dartmouth.edu.](mailto:dartmouthdigitalcommons@groups.dartmouth.edu)





Received 4 May 2010 | Accepted 23 Sep 2010 | Published 19 Oct 2010 **DOI: 10.1038/ncomms1102**

# Dynamic evolution of precise regulatory encodings creates the clustered site signature of enhancers

Justin Crocker1,† , Nathan Potter1 & Albert Erives1

Concentration gradients of morphogenic proteins pattern the embryonic axes of *Drosophila* by activating different genes at different concentrations. The neurogenic ectoderm enhancers (NEEs) activate different genes at different threshold levels of the Dorsal (Dl) morphogen, which patterns the dorsal/ventral axis. NEEs share a unique arrangement of highly constrained DNA-binding sites for Dl, Twist (Twi), Snail (Sna) and Suppressor of Hairless (Su(H)), and encode the threshold variable in the precise length of DNA that separates one well-defined Dl element from a Twi element. However, NEEs also possess dense clusters of variant Dl sites. Here, we show that these increasingly variant sites are eclipsed relic elements, which were superseded by more recently evolved threshold encodings. Given the divergence in egg size during *Drosophila* lineage evolution, the observed characteristic clusters of divergent sites indicate a history of frequent selection for changes in threshold responses to the Dl morphogen gradient and confirm the NEE structure/function model.

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover, New Hampshire 03755, USA. †Present address: Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.E. (email: Albert.J.Erives@Dartmouth.edu).

w genetic information is encoded in DNA is a central question in biology. Much of this information is encoded during the natural selection of mutational changes within question in biology. Much of this information is encoded regulatory DNA sequences, which specify the conditions under which a gene product is made by a cell<sup>1-10</sup>. However, identification of functional regulatory changes is difficult because, unlike the precise protein-encoding scheme, few regulatory-encoding schemes have been identified. Identifying such regulatory-encoding schemes by studying the sequences of *cis*-regulatory modules (CRMs) would advance many areas of biological investigation.

CRMs, such as the developmental enhancers that read classical morphogen concentration gradients<sup>11</sup>, are ideal subjects in decoding regulatory DNA sequences and their functional features. Different enhancers targeted by the same transcription factor (TF) each respond to their own unique threshold concentration of TF. These DNAs can be compared to identify potential variables that encode this concentration threshold setting. Two such systems of morphogen-responsive enhancers are those that read the Bicoid and Dorsal (Dl) morphogen concentration gradients, which pattern the anterior/posterior (A/P) and dorsal/ventral axes of the *Drosophila* embryo, respectively<sup>12-23</sup>. Similar to many enhancers, these DNAs contain homotypic clusters of variant sites related to the binding preferences of their respective TFs. Such site clustering has prompted several complex models that integrate site number, quality and density parameters to model known enhancers and identify new enhancers<sup>24-28</sup>. However, little progress has been made in integrating these variables into a model that predicts their precise threshold-specific responses.

The neurogenic ectoderm enhancers (NEEs) represent an unprecedented example corpus of CRMs that have been evolving independently at multiple loci throughout the *Drosophila* genus in order to encode appropriate threshold responses at the lower ranges of the Dl morphogen gradient<sup>6,29</sup>. Furthermore, this genus has experienced tremendous lineage-specific, ecological specialization for different egg-laying habitats. Among other changes, this diversification involved changes in egg size and timing of embryogenesis. Such changes are expected to have necessitated compensatory changes in the shapes of morphogen gradients<sup>[23](#page-8-1)</sup> and the sequences of their threshold-encoding target enhancers<sup>6</sup>.

NEEs in any genome are identifiable through a unique arrangement of *cis*-regulatory elements that bind Dl, Twist (Twi), Snail (Sna) and Suppressor of Hairless (Su(H)[\)29](#page-8-3) . The NEE at the *vnd* locus, or NEE<sub>vnd</sub>, is conserved in *Drosophila* and mosquitos<sup>[29](#page-8-3)</sup>. Thus, it was present in the latest common ancestor of dipterans ~240 to 270 million years ago<sup>30-32</sup>. NEE<sub>vnd</sub> is part of a canonical set of four NEEs that occur across the *Drosophila* genus and includes NEEs at the *rho*, *brk* and *vn* loci. A more recently evolved member of this enhancer class, NEE*sog*, occurs upstream of the *sog* locus of the melanogaster subgroup, which began diverging  $\sim$  20 million years ago<sup>[6](#page-8-2)</sup>. Thus, altogether, NEE-type regulatory sequences have been evolving at various unrelated loci during the last ~250 million years.

In the NEEs from *D. melanogaster*, *D. pseudoobscura* and *D. virilis*, we found that (i) the threshold concentration is encoded in the precise length of a spacer element, which separates welldefined Twi- and Dl-binding sites: 5′-CACATGT-3′ (polarized), 3–18bp spacer, 5′-SGGAAABYCCM-3′ (IUPAC consensus motif occurs in either orientation), and (ii) these *cis*-regulatory adjustments have been performed at all NEEs across a given genome, consistent with their co-evolution to a common change in *trans<sup>[6](#page-8-2)</sup>*. However, although we identified the unique functional spacer element and its role in encoding precise threshold responses to Dl, we had yet to address the spacer's full functional range and the function of the many other variant, loosely organized Dl-binding sites, which constitute the homotypic site clusters observed at these enhancers. As such, it was not clear whether these additional variant sites were necessary and/or sufficient for modulating the threshold-specific

response to the Dl gradient, participating in activation or repression, or controlling any other regulatory function.

Here, we study NEEs from the *D. ananassae* and *D. willistoni* genomes, which may contain evolutionary signatures that are absent in the relatively compact genomes of the melanogaster subgroup. These results reveal information about the process and frequency by which compensatory threshold changes occur, and support a novel molecular evolutionary model of enhancer function and homotypic site cluster formation. There are three interdependent components of the model. First, threshold evolution is facilitated by a molecularencoding scheme that requires only a single pair of adjacent Dl and Twi elements, whose palindromic nature allows the threshold setting to be easily changed by acquisition of a new partner site. This process produces a byproduct in the form of relic elements, which constitute the observed homotypic site clusters. Second, all new spacer variants are produced by expansion and contraction mutations of a specific satellite repeat sequence that functions as the Twi-binding element. Third, the magnitude of relic element accumulation in the oldest enhancers is such that subsequent selection for replacement sites for any TF is highly biased by the background relic sequence composition of the enhancer. Thus, functional elements acquire a non-functional patina, as the enhancer ages over millions of years of adaptive threshold maintenance. Altogether, the resulting model simplifies explanation of an increasing amount of anomalous data about enhancers, including rapid non-functional divergence in the sequence components of homotypic site clusters<sup>[33](#page-8-4)</sup>, enrichment for site clustering in embryonic enhancers relative to other tissues that also employ morphogen gradients<sup>[34](#page-8-5)</sup> and the threshold-independent variance of binding site quality in many well-studied embryonic enhancers<sup>35</sup>.

#### **Results**

**A characteristic site cluster signature marks older NEEs**. We find that a novel signature of clustered sites is associated with NEEs that are conserved across five divergent *Drosophila* species, including three species with large, uncompacted genomes [\(Fig. 1a\)](#page-3-0). This clustered site signature bears a distinct relationship to the previously reported specialized sites of NEEs<sup>6,29</sup>. This signature marks the oldest NEEs with a continuum of sequences that begins with one well-defined Dl-binding element that is closest to the Twi-binding element and continues with an increasing number of more divergent sequence fragments related to this specific Dl-binding element ([Fig. 1b\)](#page-3-0). The compositional range of these increasingly fainter sites extends beyond sequences considered to be functional low-affinity Dl-binding sites. We refer to these fainter, 'ghost' sequences as relic elements.

We find a definitive property distinguishing numerous relic elements from the functional elements, which we have called specialized elements because of how they are detected<sup>6,29</sup>. Although the functional elements fit NEE-specific TF-binding motifs that are highly conserved across the entire genus, the clustered relic elements can only be described by increasingly degenerate versions of the motifs for the functional elements. In mathematical terms, there is no sequence motif that can identify a unique site from among the relic elements at each NEE. This distinction provides a method for distinguishing functional parent elements from their clustered relic counterparts.

Three site motifs are relevant to our experiments and concluding model of relic element production, namely, *SUH*/*D*α, *D*β and *E(CA)T* ([Fig. 1b\)](#page-3-0). These motifs are specialized versions of general binding motifs for Su(H), Dl and Twi and Sna, respectively. The motif *D*α partially overlaps with the overly determined Su(H)-binding site *SUH*, whereas the Dl-binding motif *D*β is located within  $\sim$ 20 bp of the  $E(CA)T$  element, closer than any other Dl-binding site variant. The *E(CA)T* element is a specialized CA-core E-box with an additional T, that is, 5′-CACATGT-3′, and its slight palindromic



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Figure 1 | Organization of specialized sites within Dl relic site clusters.** (**a**) Phylogeny of *Drosophila* with table of canonical NEEs. A certain signature of clustered relic sites characterizes the canonical NEEs, which are found in four unrelated gene loci across *Drosophila* (black boxes). Newer lineage-specific NEEs are found in other loci (green boxes). Open circles represent an absence of an NEE-type sequence at the locus. (**b**) Features of a typical relic site cluster in a canonical NEE. Canonical NEEs possess the three specialized sites: a Su(H)-binding site (red motif) that overlaps *D*α motif (purple motif), the linked *E(CA)T* and *D*β motifs (orange and blue motifs, respectively) and the Dl variant relic sites, which can be visualized with a spectrum of increasingly degenerate versions of the *D*β motif (light blue motifs). Each motif-matching sequence is visualized in a separate numbered track (1–7) at the top and described in more detail below. This particular enhancer corresponds to the *vnd* NEE of *D. melanogaster*. The motif sequences in all the figures and text are written according to IUPAC DNA convention: S =[CG], W=[AT], R=[AG], Y=[CT], K=[GT], M =[AC], B=[CGT], D=[AGT], H=[ACT], V=[ACT], N =[ACGT], where nucleotides in brackets are equivalent. *A. gambiae*, *Anopheles gambiae*; My, million years.

asymmetry points downstream to *D*β, which is also palindromic but not polarized. We will refer to the three arranged elements of the polarized *E(CA)T* site, the threshold-setting spacer and an unpolarized *D*β site, as an *E-to-D* encoding of a specific threshold response.

*D. willistoni* **NEEs are enriched in relic sites**. We analysed the *D. willistoni* genome, which is the largest assembled *Drosophila* genome (224Mb)<sup>36</sup>, and an early branch of the Sophophora subgenus, which also includes the compacted genomes of the melanogaster subgroup. We identify only four canonical NEEs when we search the entire *D. willistoni* genome assembly sequence for all 800bp sequences containing any arrangement of the three motifs *SUH*/*D*α, *D*β and *E(CA)T*. Despite significant sequence divergence, these NEE sequences conform to the aforementioned syntactical rules. These NEE-bearing loci are expressed in the neurogenic ectoderm of *D. willistoni* embryos, as shown by whole-mount *in situ* hybridization, with anti-sense probes against the *D. willistoni* transcripts ([Fig. 2a–d\)](#page-3-1).

Using PCR, we cloned DNA fragments encompassing the four distinct NEE sequences of *D. willistoni* and individually tested them for enhancer activity on a *lacZ* reporter gene stably integrated into multiple independent lines of *D. melanogaster*. Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization of transgenic stage 4 to stage 5 embryos with



<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Figure 2 | Functional NEEs from** *D. willistoni***.** (**a**–**d**) Endogenous *in situ* hybridization experiments for NEE-bearing loci in *D. willistoni* stage 5(2) embryos for *vn* (**a**), *rho* (**b**), *vnd* (**c**) and *brk* (**d**). (**e**–**h**) NEE-driven *lacZ in situ* hybridization experiments for *D. willistoni* NEEs. Shown are lateral stripe expression patterns that are typical of multiple transgenic *D. melanogaster* lines made with the *D. willistoni* NEEs from *vn* (**e**), *rho* (**f**), *vnd* (**g**) and *brk* (**h**). Embryos in all figures are depicted with anterior pole to the left and dorsal side on top. (**i**) Graph showing the number of cells (nuclei) spanned by the lateral stripe of expression of orthologous NEE-bearing genes from *D. melanogaster* (dark grey) and *D. willistoni* (orange). (**j**) Graph showing the number of cells (nuclei) spanned by the *lacZ* expression pattern driven by various NEEs. *D. willistoni* NEEs (orange) drive identical (*brk* and *vn*) or slightly reduced (*rho* and *vnd*) expression patterns relative to the *D. melanogaster* orthologs (dark grey). Error bars represent ±1 s.d. and are obtained by counting number of nuclei spanned at 50% egg length for several stage 5 (2) embryos from at least three independent transgenic lines.

an anti-sense *lacZ* probe shows that the *D. willistoni* enhancers drive robust lateral ectodermal expression in *D. melanogaster* embryos ([Fig. 2e–h](#page-3-1)), although with slightly narrower expression patterns than their *D. melanogaster* orthologs ([Fig. 2i–j\)](#page-3-1).

Using a spectrum of increasingly degenerate Dl-binding motifs, we find Dl relic site clusters in the NEEs of *D. willistoni* (Supplementary Figs S1–S2). We find a *D*α motif that identifies within each NEE a single Dl variant site that overlaps the Su(H)-binding site (Supplementary Fig. S1). We find a *D*β motif that identifies within each NEE the closest variant Dl site adjacent to *E(CA)T* (Supplementary Fig. S2). These *D*α and *D*β motifs describe separate unique sites within each enhancer. However, unlike *D*α, the *D*β consensus motif for the NEEs of *D. willistoni* is nearly identical with the corresponding motif in other lineages (Supplementary Table S1).

We also find that the Dl relic element clusters of NEEs from *D. willistoni* are enriched in lengthy CA-satellite tracts (Supplementary Fig. S3). In fact, specific CA-dinucleotide repeats are associated with specific constituents of Dl relic elements. Conversely, almost

### ARTICLE



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Figure 3 | The** *vnd* **NEE from** *D. willistoni* **is enriched in palindromic CA satellite.** (**a**) Graph of the relic site cluster of the *vnd* NEE sequence from the relatively uncompacted *D. willistoni* genome. Each motif-matching sequence is visualized in a separate numbered track (1–8) at the top and described in more detail below. Similar to other canonical NEEs from *D. willistoni*, this sequence contains lengthy, split, palindromic CA-satellite tracts (roman numerals) on both strands, as visualized by matches to the short CA-satellite motifs 5′-CACA-3′ or 5′-ACAC-3′ (tracks no. 1 and no. 2). Inferred Dl relic sites as visualized by the Dl motif spectrum are visualized on the bottom tracks and numbered for reference underneath the bottom-most track (*D1–D12*). (**b**) The exact sequences of the palindromic CA-satellite tracts (i–v). CA satellite or its fragments are shown in black and the intact or split *E(CA)T* motifs indicated with orange or split grey boxes, respectively. (**c**) A list of the Dl variant sites numbered in panel **a**. *D*β is shown dark blue, whereas relic Dl sites are shown in light blue and positions of divergence from *D*β in red.

all constituent sites of Dl relic elements are associated with prominent CA-satellite tracts. For example, the NEE*vn* of *D. willistoni* has expanded CA-satellite tracts coordinated to divergent *D*β elements at ~340 to 400bp and again at ~580 to 630bp, whereas the *D. willistoni* NEE*rho* also has expanded CA-satellite tracts coordinated to divergent *D*β elements at ~130 to 150bp and again at ~270 to 290bp. Last, the NEE<sub>*vnd*</sub> sequence, which is at least ~250 million years old, is characterized by the greatest number of lengthy CA-satellite tracts ([Fig. 3a\)](#page-4-0). Given that the *E(CA)T* sequence, 5′-CACATGT-3′, is composed entirely of CA-dinucleotide repeats, these results suggest that these CA-dinucleotide repeats are the *E(CA)T* motif 's relic counterparts, and possibly that runaway tract expansions persist in lineages with uncompacted genomes.

**Homotypic site clusters are non-functional relic sequences**. In the NEE*vnd* module of *D. willistoni*, we detect the unambiguous inactivation of one of two *E-to-D* encodings still present in orthologous sequences from *D. melanogaster*, *D. pseudoobscura* and *D. virilis* [\(Fig. 3a\)](#page-4-0). In *D. melanogaster*, the first *E-to-D* encoding has a tighter spacer compared with the second, distantly spaced *E-to-D* encoding. Although the *E(CA)T* element of this second divergent encoding is intact in other species, in *D. willistoni* it is expanded on both sides and split apart [\(Fig. 3a,](#page-4-0) inverted CA-satellite palindromic pair no. iv). This NEE*vnd* of *D. willistoni* is marked by several



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Figure 4 | Relic** *E-to-D* **encodings become inactivated by mutations in elements or spacing.** (**a**) Diagram showing two assayed sub-fragments from the 947 bp *D. melanogaster vnd* NEE. A 300 bp sub-fragment contains an *E-to-D* encoding coordinated by a 10bp spacer (narrow yellow column). A separate, but overlapping, 266bp fragment contains a possible *E-to-D* encoding coordinated by a 20bp spacer (wide yellow column). All sites matching the motifs for Su(H) (red), *E(CA)T* (orange) and *D*β (blue), and a *D*β motif spectrum (increasingly lighter shades of blue) are shown. (**b**) Typical *in situ lacZ* expression pattern given by the parent 947bp *vnd* NEE fragment. (**c**) Typical *in situ lacZ* expression pattern given by the 300bp *vnd* NEE sub-fragment. (**d**) *In situ lacZ* expression pattern given by the 266bp *vnd* NEE sub-fragment, as seen in a rare embryo with faint staining. Most embryos stained from these reporter lines lack any expression. (**e**) Quantification of the stripe width over several embryos for each construct depicted in panels **a**–**d**. Error bars represent ±1 s.d., as derived from three independent replicates of at least 20 embryos for each construct. (**f**) A comparison of *D*β sequences from *D. melanogaster* NEEs, including the two closest matches from the *vnd* NEE. Divergence in sequence or its adjacent spacer length to *E(CA)T* is depicted in dark red.

other increasingly lengthy palindromic tracts, of which the intact but also expanded *E(CA)T* site is the leftmost site in the series ([Fig. 3b\)](#page-4-0). These expanded CA-satellite palindromes are associated with Dl variant sequences that are increasingly divergent from the *D*β motif [\(Fig. 3c](#page-4-0)).

Although the *D. willistoni* NEE*vnd* sequence has lost an intact *E(CA)T* site at the second *E-to-D* encoding, we did not know whether this encoding functions in species in which this element is still intact. We therefore tested in transgenic reporter assays two different fragments contained within our 'full-length' 949bp NEE*vnd* sequence from *D. melanogaster* ([Fig. 4a\)](#page-4-1). We tested an upstream 300bp fragment that contains a 10bp *E-to-D* spacer, and a separate downstream 266bp fragment that contains the longer 20bp *E-to-D* spacer. Both fragments overlap in the middle of the enhancer, which contains the *SUH*/*D*α supersite. We find that the upstream 300bp fragment drives reporter gene expression at the same threshold setting as the full-length fragment ([Fig. 4b–c](#page-4-1)). In contrast, the downstream 266bp fragment does not drive reporter gene expression in a lateral stripe of any measurable width, although faint patches of sporadic ventral neuroectodermal expression are seen in a few rare embryos [\(Fig. 4d–e](#page-4-1)). Thus, the upstream *E-to-D* encoding, which is tightly spaced, is sufficient for the complete threshold response, whereas the second *E-to-D* encoding, which is expansively spaced to a *D*β variant, is both non-functional by itself and dispensable to neighbouring functional elements. This relic *D*β sequence appears



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Figure 5 | Relic sites are non-functional and accumulate as the enhancer ages.** (**a**) Graph showing the percentage of CA-dinucleotide and CAC-trinucleotide content of several orthologous enhancer sequences from *D. melanogaster*, *D. pseudoobscura*, *D. willistoni* and *D. virilis*. Each window of NEE sequence is taken ±480bp from *D*β for each species. Each window of an A/P enhancer is a 960bp sequence centred around the Bicoid-binding site cluster. Each orthologous set of NEEs is boxed separately to visualize enrichment relative to other groups. The red boxes show the regions occupied by all data points corresponding to a single orthologous set of NEEs located at the indicated locus across many species. The blue box shows the region occupied by all data points corresponding to all A/P enhancers for all species. (**b**) Identical graph as in panel **a**, except the data points are boxed by species to visualize genome-specific effects in satellite enrichment or depletion. Red boxes show the region occupied by all data points corresponding to all NEEs within a single species. Canonical A/P enhancers at the *eve*, *gt*, *Kr* and *hb* loci for all four species are boxed in both panels (blue rectangular area). (**c**) Graph showing the number of cells spanned by the *lacZ* expression pattern (vertical axis), as driven by NEEs containing different numbers of Dl half-sites, 5′-SGGAAW-3′ (horizontal axis). (**d**) Graph showing the number of cells spanned by the *lacZ* expression pattern (vertical axis), as driven by NEEs characterized by different *E-to-D* spacer lengths (horizontal axis). Error bars in **c** and **d** represent ±1 s.d., as derived from a replicate pool of 20–120 embryos for each construct.

to be decaying, as it has diverged from the genus-wide *D*β consensus ([Fig. 4f\)](#page-4-1). These results indicate that the divergent Dl-binding sites and their associated CA-satellite tracts are non-functional relic *E-to-D* encodings, which are frequently replaced, or superseded and deprecated, by adaptive sweeps of threshold variants during lineage evolution.

**Thresholds are sourced from a single mutational mechanism**. Although new threshold encodings can occur by selection of spacer length variants defined by existing elements, they can also occur by selection of new replacement elements that define new spacers. Three inherent features of *E-to-D* encodings increase the capacity for selective amplification of these replacement encodings. One feature is the palindromic nature of *E(CA)T* and *D*β, which allows new *E-to-D* encodings to arise from a single emergent site that is located on the other side of its coordinating partner element in an existing encoding ('a leapfrog'). A second feature is that the *E-to-D* spacer's functional range is broad and capable of producing nearoptimal encodings with adaptive potential. A third feature is that a generic Twi-binding site can evolve to resemble a specific CA-dinucleotide satellite sequence, which is susceptible to repeat expansions and contractions across the *Drosophila* genus<sup>37-39</sup>. This third feature can accelerate the optimization of existing encodings as well as new replacement encodings by generating spacer length variants and/or new Twi-binding sites.

We sought to corroborate or reject this hypothesized role of CA-satellite-repeat-induced mutation during threshold evolution. According to this idea, selection for new thresholds amplifies spacer length variants, which are predominantly produced by one specific mutational mechanism. To be consistent with our data, this hypothesis would also require that the fixation rate of synonymous mutations at a functional Twi-binding site is much less than the rate of selective sweeps for new spacer variants produced by CA-satelliterich Twi-binding sites. We therefore aligned and compared all of the flanking sequences extending from the *E(CA)T* heptamer across orthologous NEEs. We find that these intact *E(CA)T* elements are frequently repeat-expanded beyond the core Twi-binding heptamer such that they match the general pattern given by 5'-(CA)<sub>n</sub>T(GT)<sub>m</sub>-3′, where *n*≥2 and *m* ≥1 (Supplementary Table S2). This finding supports the idea that CA-satellite instability is the source of

new threshold setting spacers and possibly new Twi-binding sites as well.

Alternatively, the observed constraint in the *E(CA)T* sequence could be partially explained as the superimposition of binding preferences for Twi and Sna. Activating Twi:Da basic helix–loop–helix heterodimers bind the YA-core E-box 5′-CAYATG-3′, whereas the mesodermal Sna repressor binds to the motif 5′-SMMCWT-GYBK-3′(refs 40, 41). However, selection for such a dual-functioning site should result in the motif 5′-SCACATGYBK-3′ (underlined sequence at odds with data), which we do not observe in the study of 22 different NEEs from 5 different *Drosophila* genomes.

To address the magnitude of CA-satellite accumulation in NEEs across the genus, we computed the percentage of CA satellite in NEEs from *D. melanogaster*, *D. pseudoobscura*, *D. willistoni* and *D. virilis* relative to their genomic background levels (Supplementary Table S3). We find that the NEEs are enriched relative to their genomes and that their intact *E(CA)T* motifs constitute only a minor fraction of this CA-repeat sequence (Supplementary Table S3). These analyses show that CA satellite is enriched in NEEs above genomic background rates because of relic sites and not because of intact functional elements.

To address the possibility that elevated CA-satellite composition is a feature common to developmental enhancers, we looked at several embryonic enhancers that respond to the Bicoid morphogen gradient, which patterns the A/P axis. We identified complete orthologous sequence sets for the *hb* embryonic enhancer<sup>42</sup>, the *gt* posterior stripe enhancer<sup>43</sup>, the *Kr* central domain enhancer<sup>44,45</sup> and the *eve* stripe 2 enhancer<sup>46</sup> from each of four genomes, namely, *D*. *melanogaster*, *D. pseudoobscura*, *D. willistoni* and *D. virilis*. All of these enhancers are active in the same embryonic nuclei as the NEEs and thus constitute a well-matched control group. We find that while the NEE set from any genome is enriched in CA-satellite dinucleotide and trinucleotide fragments, none of the 16 A/P enhancer sets possess the elevated CA-satellite levels that characterize canonical NEEs from these same species, even in genomes with elevated CA-satellite content [\(Fig. 5a–b](#page-5-0)).

We then investigated the relation between threshold readout and the density of Dl half-sites in a region anchored  $\pm$ 480 bp from *D*β ([Fig. 5c\)](#page-5-0). Despite using diverse descriptors of a Dl site, we find no relation between Dl-binding site densities and stripe width





<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Figure 6 | Su(H)-binding sites are exapted from Dl relic sequences in mature NEEs.** (**a**) Alignment of the lineage-specific consensi for *D*α shows that the portion overlapping the Su(H)-binding site is the least divergent (purple), whereas the second half-site is degenerate relative to other lineages (black struck-out letters). Also shown are the wildtype and mutated sequences of this site tested in the *rho* NEE from *D. melanogaster* (*D. mel.*)*.* (**b**, **c**) Typical *lacZ* expression patterns driven by *rho* NEE reporters containing the full *SUH*/*D*α site (**b**) or the knocked out (KO) Su(H) site (**c**).

measured at 50% egg length. Identical densities of Dl half-sites, degenerate full-sites and more complete full-sites are present in different enhancers that readout different Dl concentration thresholds and vice versa. In contrast, if we plot the length of threshold spacers for different NEEs from different species, except those from the dorsally repressed *vnd* loci, we see a well-defined, hump-shaped curve, whose peak activity tops at around ~8 to 12 bp and falls on either side of this maximum [\(Fig. 5d](#page-5-0)). The spacer elements from the consistently high-threshold NEE*vnd* sequences obey a similar, although depressed, curve across the genus because of one additional regulatory input, which we will describe in a future study.

Thus, there is a tremendous sequence bias that is unique to canonical NEEs across the genus. Although non-functional, this compositional bias is related to specific threshold setting elements employed by NEEs. This suggests that the frequency of threshold replacement during lineage evolution is high.

**Dl relic elements bias site sequence selection**. A high frequency of threshold replacement suggests that the specialized *SUH*/*D*α site may originate as a *D*β relic element that is exapted into a Su(H) binding site. We therefore compared the *D*α and *D*β consensi motifs across all five divergent *Drosophila* lineages for which we functionally tested NEEs [\(Fig. 6a](#page-6-0)). We find that the first half of the *D*α motif, which overlaps the Su(H)-binding motif, is conserved whereas the second half is increasingly degenerate relative to the inferred ancestral *D*α motif, which resembles a *D*β motif itself (compare Su(H) with *D*α motifs in [Fig. 6a\)](#page-6-0).

To test whether the Su(H)-binding site is itself functional and perhaps the principal reason for persistence of a 'ghost' *D*α motif, we knocked out the Su(H)-specific portion of the *SUH*/*D*α site in the NEE*rho* sequence of *D. melanogaster* and tested this modified enhancer in our standard transgenic reporter assay (see *KO-SUH* in [Fig. 6a](#page-6-0)). We find that this mutation weakens the activation response of the enhancer without affecting the specific threshold setting ([Fig. 6b–c\)](#page-6-0).

We suggest that runaway CA-satellite expansions in relic *E(CA)T* sequences push coordinating Su(H)-binding elements away from active *E-to-D* encodings, and that this engenders selection for closer Su(H)-binding sites in aging NEEs. Consequently, because mature NEEs contain deprecated *D*β relic sites, whose palindromic half-sites resemble the last six nucleotides of a generic Su(H)-binding motif (5′-YGTGRGAAM-3′), closer Su(H)-binding sites are exapted from Dl relic sites.

**Newly evolved NEEs are not enriched in relic sites**. Our model of threshold evolution suggests that NEE signatures are missed in whole-genome bioinformatic searches that use overly determined *SUH*/*D*α motifs. We documented a lineage-specific NEE sequence at the *sog* locus of *D. melanogaster*[6](#page-8-2) , but because the CA content of NEEs from *D. melanogaster* may have been secondarily reduced during genome compaction, we sought to identify recently evolved NEEs from larger genomes for unambiguous interpretation. We therefore searched the two largest *Drosophila* genome assemblies, which correspond to *D. ananassae* (231.0Mb) and *D. willistoni* (235.5Mb).

Of the 1 kb genomic windows centred on all *D*β sequences in any given genome and containing *E(CA)T* anywhere in that window, we identified those sequences that contain an *E-to*-*D* encoding and an 8bp degenerate Su(H)-binding motif (5′-YGYGRGAA-3′) instead of the 14bp *SUH*/*D*α motif. Using this set of minimal criteria, we identified the canonical NEE repertoires in each species and one additional positive hit in *D. ananassae*.

From the *D. ananassae* genome, we cloned and assayed both a functional set of canonical NEEs [\(Fig. 7a–d\)](#page-7-0) and a new NEE at the *Delta* locus ([Fig. 7e–f](#page-7-0)). *Delta* encodes a ligand for the Notch receptor, whose signalling is relayed by Su(H)47,48. In *D. melanogaster* embryos, *Delta* is expressed in a narrow lateral stripe in the mesectoderm and ventral-most row of the neurogenic ectoderm using sequences that are unrelated to the unique NEE<sub>Delta</sub> sequence of *D. ananassae*[4](#page-8-7)9 . This NEE*Delta* sequence has not acquired either CA-satellite fragments or Dl relic sequences ([Fig. 7e](#page-7-0)). Nonetheless, this enhancer is functional in *D. melanogaster* embryos ([Fig. 7f](#page-7-0)). Furthermore, its Su(H)-binding site does not overlap the ghost *D*α motif that characterizes the canonical NEEs of the genus ([Fig. 7g\)](#page-7-0). Altogether, our data on the NEE*Delta* sequence suggest a shorter period of evolutionary maintenance, as is consistent with its more recent phylogenetic origin relative to canonical NEEs.

#### **Discussion**

To understand the origin of complex homotypic site clusters in relation to the Dl morphogen concentration threshold-encoding scheme of NEEs, we conducted a comparative study of such sequences isolated from *Drosophila* species with the largest sequenced genomes. Our results support a novel evolutionary model that describes how selective maintenance of optimal threshold encoding results in complex non-functional sequence signatures over time [\(Fig. 8\)](#page-7-1).

NEEs encode a specific concentration threshold response by containing a single *E-to-D* threshold-encoding sequence near a Su(H)-binding site (bottom of [Fig. 8\)](#page-7-1). An *E-to-D* encoding functionally maps a DNA spacer length of 3–15 bp, which separates a pair of well-defined Dl- and Twi-binding elements, onto one well-defined dorsal border of expression that is 5–15 nuclei past the ventral border of the neurogenic ectoderm. Certain features that are inherent to *E-to-D* encodings facilitate the selection for changes in threshold through simple mutational alterations. The foremost feature is that the Twi-binding site can occur in the form of a CA-satellite-rich



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Figure 7 | Recently evolved NEEs have not accumulated relic element clusters.** (**a**–**d**) NEE-driven *lacZ in situ* hybridization experiments for *D. ananassae* (*D. ana.*) NEEs. Shown are lateral stripe expression patterns that are typical of multiple transgenic *D. melanogaster* lines made with the *D. ananassae* NEEs from *vn* (**a**), *vnd* (**b**), *rho* (**c**) and *brk* (**d**). (**e**) Diagram of relic site clusters for the *Delta* and *vnd* NEEs from *D. ananassae*. Matches to CA satellite on either strand (black), Su(H)-binding motif (red), *E(CA)T* (orange), *D*β (dark blue) and a *D*β motif spectrum (light blue) are visualized in separate numbered tracks (1–7) at the top and described in more detail below. CA satellite is defined here as sequences matching two CA-dinucleotide repeats or longer given by the perl regular expression: 'A?(CA){2,}C?'. (**f**) The typical *lacZ in situ* hybridization experiment for *D. ananassae Delta* NEE. (**g**) A comparison of the *Delta* NEE Su(H)-binding site and downstream flanking sequence and the *D*α motif for *D. ananassae*. The flanking sequence at the *Delta* site (black lettering) is unrelated to a Dl half-site.

sequence that is prone to repeat expansions and contractions that can redefine the spacer length and threshold setting. Consequently, this *E(CA)T* instability becomes the mutational source of all new threshold variants. Second, because the Dl- and Twi-binding sites are palindromes, threshold evolution may proceed through selection of one new site adjacent to an *E-to-D* encoding (see leap-frogging of sites during evolution of thresholds from  $\theta_1$  to  $\theta_2$ , and again from  $\theta$ , to  $\theta_3$  in [Fig. 8](#page-7-1)). Such a new site can define a new spacer length and threshold setting. This evolutionary process of threshold selection readily produces eclipsed Dl- and Twi-binding elements that decay as relic elements. Third, the broad functional range of *E-to-D* encodings increases the number of possible variants with incrementally optimized thresholds.



<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Figure 8 | Evolutionary origin of relic element clusters.** On the left are diagrams of an evolving NEE configuration and on the right are hypothetical embryos of evolving size, which necessitate the implementation of different concentration thresholds (high (HIGH), medium, (MED), low (LO) and medium (MED)) by the enhancer (indicated by indexed theta symbols). The ancestral NEE configuration is depicted at the bottom and increasingly more recent configurations are depicted above the earlier configurations. Other potential reasons for threshold evolution are possible but are not shown. In the NEE site configurations, Dl- and Twi-binding sites are depicted by blue and orange boxes, respectively, and their relic counterparts in similar but more transparent boxes. Su(H)-binding sites are depicted in red boxes. Transcription factor proteins that recognize the functional elements are also indicated.

Our data suggest that relic element accumulation begins with each NEE origination and is continuously co-extant with its adaptive maintenance. With increasing time, the background sequence composition of enhancers is profoundly altered and eventually dominates the nature of binding site selection because it provides a highly biased ground state from which new sites are exapted (top of [Fig. 8](#page-7-1)). In principle, plaques of relic elements will accumulate in complex eukaryotic enhancers that encode threshold response variables in a precise syntax that is under constantly shifting selection.

Regulatory evolution may underlie many of the stabilizing and adaptive changes associated with both normal lineage persistence and event-driven originations of new lineages. During such scenarios, the potential for gene regulatory evolution is facilitated by DNA regulatory systems that encode broad-ranged response variables. However, a broad or evolutionarily varied phenotypic range may be an indirect consequence of molecular mechanisms that are employed ontogenetically at multiple loci in precise but functionally varied configurations, as we have documented. In this regard, we point out that the Dl–Twi protein complex assembling on NEEs appears to be functioning as a pair of molecular calipers for measuring the precise lengths of DNA at different enhancers. Several interesting lines of questioning present themselves and we hope we can address these with protein biochemistry conducted in the context of informative configurations of key DNA sequences.

### **Methods**

**Embryonic experiments**. Animal rearing, P-element-mediated transformations, embryonic collections, staging, anti-DigU probe synthesis and whole-mount *in situ* hybridizations were conducted on stage 3 to stage 6 embryos that were dechorionated, devitellizied, fixed in formaldehyde and dehydrated in EtO[H6](#page-8-2) . *D. willistoni* and *D. ananassae* strains were obtained from stock centres and reared at ~23 °C (room temperature) using standard *D. melanogaster* media.

**Probes for whole-mount** *in situ* **hybridization in** *D. willistoni* **embryos**. Primers for probe synthesis are as listed here. *rho*: 5′-CCGCCTTTGCCTATGACCGTTA TACAATGC-3′ and 5′-Pr-TTAGGACACACCCAAGTCGTGC-3′, where *Pr* = the T7 promoter sequence 5′-CCGCCTAATACGACTCACTATAGGG-3′. *vn*: 5′- CCGCCTAGTGACGACAACAACAACAGTAGC-3′ and 5′-Pr-ATTTTCACTC ACAGCCATTTTCACC-3′. *vnd*: 5′-CCGCCCTAGTCCGGATAGCACTTCGC-3′ and 5′-Pr-CGGCTGCCACATGTTGATAGG-3′. *brk*: 5′-CCGCCAACAAAGTTC GTCGGCAACAACG-3′ and 5′-Pr-CATGGTGAGGTGAGGACTATGG-3′.

**Whole-genome sequence analysis**. Current versions for all genomes were downloaded from Flybase (http://www.flybase.org) and these correspond to assembly versions: dmel ver5.22, dana ver1.3, dpse. ver2.6, dwil ver1.3 and dvir ver1.2. We wrote UNIX-shell script programs that employ grep and perl programs. We used these script programs on FASTA genome assembly files (for example, 'dmel-r5.22.txt') to produce a HEADER-FREE, N-FREE, fly genome file, indicated by the file extension '.HNF'. We used these files to identify and count substrings without counting N's and header characters. This script also produces the '.ONE' file from the '.HNF' file. The '.ONE' file has no newlines and can be used to count known nucleotides without counting newlines using the UNIX command 'wm -m dmel-r5.22.ONE'. The '.HNF' files are processed by an additional script to identify a substring, remove newlines and count characters and so on. All script and sequence files are provided in two b-zipped, archived Supplementary Software files corresponding to NEE composition and CA-satellite analyses.

#### **References**

- 1. Prud'homme, B., Gompel, N. & Carroll, S. B. Emerging principles of regulatory evolution. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **104**(Suppl 1), 8605–8612 (2007).
- 2. Carroll, S. B., Prud'homme, B. & Gompel, N. Regulating evolution. *Sci. Am.* **298,** 60–67 (2008).
- 3. Wittkopp, P. J., Haerum, B. K. & Clark, A. G. Evolutionary changes in cis and trans gene regulation. *Nature* **430,** 85–88 (2004).
- 4. Marcellini, S. & Simpson, P. Two or four bristles: functional evolution of an enhancer of scute in Drosophilidae. *PLoS Biol.* **4,** e386 (2006).
- 5. McGregor, A. P. *et al.* Morphological evolution through multiple cis-regulatory mutations at a single gene. *Nature* **448,** 587–590 (2007).
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>6. Crocker, J., Tamori, Y. & Erives, A. Evolution acts on enhancer organization to fine-tune gradient threshold readouts. *PLoS Biol.* **6,** e263 (2008).
- 7. Prabhakar, S. *et al.* Human-specific gain of function in a developmental enhancer. *Science* **321,** 1346–1350 (2008).
- 8. Williams, T. M. *et al.* The regulation and evolution of a genetic switch controlling sexually dimorphic traits in *Drosophila*. *Cell* **134,** 610–623  $(2008)$
- 9. Wittkopp, P. J., Haerum, B. K. & Clark, A. G. Regulatory changes underlying expression differences within and between *Drosophila* species. *Nat. Genet.* **40,** 346–350 (2008).
- 10. Shirangi, T. R., Dufour, H. D., Williams, T. M. & Carroll, S. B. Rapid evolution of sex pheromone-producing enzyme expression in *Drosophila*. *PLoS Biol.* **7,** e1000168 (2009).
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>11. Wolpert, L. Positional information revisited. *Development* **107**(Suppl), 3–12 (1989).
- 12. Anderson, K. V., Bokla, L. & Nusslein-Volhard, C. Establishment of dorsalventral polarity in the *Drosophila* embryo: the induction of polarity by the toll gene product. *Cell* **42,** 791–798 (1985).
- 13. Jiang, J., Kosman, D., Ip, Y. T. & Levine, M. The dorsal morphogen gradient regulates the mesoderm determinant twist in early *Drosophila* embryos. *Genes Dev.* **5,** 1881–1891 (1991).
- 14. Small, S., Kraut, R., Hoey, T., Warrior, R. & Levine, M. Transcriptional regulation of a pair-rule stripe in *Drosophila*. *Genes Dev.* **5,** 827–839 (1991).
- 15. Ip, Y. T., Levine, M. & Small, S. J. The bicoid and dorsal morphogens use a similar strategy to make stripes in the *Drosophila* embryo. *J. Cell. Sci. Suppl.* **16,** 33–38 (1992).
- 16. Norris, J. L. & Manley, J. L. Selective nuclear transport of the *Drosophila* morphogen dorsal can be established by a signaling pathway involving the transmembrane protein toll and protein kinase A. *Genes Dev.* **6,** 1654–1667 (1992).
- 17. Reinitz, J., Mjolsness, E. & Sharp, D. H. Model for cooperative control of positional information in *Drosophila* by bicoid and maternal hunchback. *J. Exp. Zool.* **271,** 47–56 (1995).
- 18. Jaeger, J. *et al.* Dynamic control of positional information in the early *Drosophila* embryo. *Nature* **430,** 368–371 (2004).
- 19. Moussian, B. & Roth, S. Dorsoventral axis formation in the *Drosophila* embryoshaping and transducing a morphogen gradient. *Curr. Biol.* **15,** R887–899  $(2005)$
- 20. Gregor, T., Tank, D. W., Wieschaus, E. F. & Bialek, W. Probing the limits to positional information. *Cell* **130,** 153–164 (2007).
- 21. Gregor, T., Wieschaus, E. F., McGregor, A. P., Bialek, W. & Tank, D. W. Stability and nuclear dynamics of the bicoid morphogen gradient. *Cell* **130,** 141–152 (2007).
- 22. Reinitz, J. Developmental biology: a ten per cent solution. *Nature* **448,** 420–421  $(2007)$
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>23. Gregor, T., McGregor, A. P. & Wieschaus, E. F. Shape and function of the bicoid morphogen gradient in dipteran species with different sized embryos. *Dev. Biol.* **316,** 350–358 (2008).
- 24. Berman, B. P. *et al.* Exploiting transcription factor binding site clustering to identify cis-regulatory modules involved in pattern formation in the *Drosophila* genome. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **99,** 757–762 (2002).
- 25. Markstein, M., Markstein, P., Markstein, V. & Levine, M. S. Genome-wide analysis of clustered dorsal binding sites identifies putative target genes in the *Drosophila* embryo. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **99,** 763–768 (2002).
- 26. Papatsenko, D. & Levine, M. Quantitative analysis of binding motifs mediating diverse spatial readouts of the dorsal gradient in the *Drosophila* embryo. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **102,** 4966–4971 (2005).
- 27. Zinzen, R. P., Senger, K., Levine, M. & Papatsenko, D. Computational models for neurogenic gene expression in the *Drosophila* embryo. *Curr. Biol.* **16,** 1358–1365 (2006).
- 28. Janssens, H. *et al.* Quantitative and predictive model of transcriptional control of the *Drosophila melanogaster even skipped* gene. *Nat. Genet.* **38,** 1159–1165  $(2006)$
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>29. Erives, A. & Levine, M. Coordinate enhancers share common organizational features in the *Drosophila* genome. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101,** 3851–3856  $(2004)$
- 30. Grimaldi, D. A. & Engel, M. S. *Evolution of the Insects* (Cambridge University Press, 2005).
- 31. Bertone, M. A., Courtney, G. W. & Wiegmann, B. M Phylogenetics and temporal diversification of the earliest true flies (insecta: Diptera) based on multiple nuclear genes. *Syst. Entomol.* **33,** 668–687 (2008).
- 32. Wiegmann, B. M. *et al.* Single-copy nuclear genes resolve the phylogeny of the holometabolous insects. *BMC Biol.* **7,** 34 (2009).
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>33. Crocker, J. & Erives, A. A closer look at the eve stripe 2 enhancers of Drosophila and Themira. *PLoS Genet.* **4,** e1000276 (2008).
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>34. Li, L., Zhu, Q., He, X., Sinha, S. & Halfon, M. S. Large-scale analysis of transcriptional cis-regulatory modules reveals both common features and distinct subclasses. *Genome Biol.* **8,** R101 (2007).
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>35. Ochoa-Espinosa, A. *et al.* The role of binding site cluster strength in Bicoiddependent patterning in *Drosophila*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **102,** 4960–4965 (2005).
- 36. Clark, A. G. *et al.* Evolution of genes and genomes on the *Drosophila* phylogeny. *Nature* **450,** 203–218 (2007).
- 37. Schlöotterer, C. & Harr, B. *Drosophila* virilis has long and highly polymorphic microsatellites. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **17,** 1641–1646 (2000).
- 38. Harr, B., Zangerl, B. & Schlötterer, C. Removal of microsatellite interruptions by DNA replication slippage: phylogenetic evidence from *Drosophila*. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **17,** 1001–1009 (2000).
- 39. Harr, B. & Schlötterer, C. Long microsatellite alleles in Drosophila melanogaster have a downward mutation bias and short persistence times, which cause their genome-wide underrepresentation. *Genetics* **155,** 1213–1220 (2000).
- 40. Castanon, I., Von Stetina, S., Kass, J. & Baylies, M. K. Dimerization partners determine the activity of the twist bhlh protein during *Drosophila* mesoderm development. *Development* **128,** 3145–3159 (2001).
- 41. Gray, S., Szymanski, P. & Levine, M. Short-range repression permits multiple enhancers to function autonomously within a complex promoter. *Genes Dev.* **8,** 1829–1838 (1994).
- 42. Lukowitz, W., Schröder, C., Glaser, G., Hülskamp, M. & Tautz, D. Regulatory and coding regions of the segmentation gene *hunchback* are functionally conserved between *Drosophila virilis* and *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Mech. Dev.* **45,** 105–115 (1994).
- 43. Berman, B. P. *et al.* Exploiting transcription factor binding site clustering to identify cis-regulatory modules involved in pattern formation in the *Drosophila* genome. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **99,** 757–762 (2002).
- 44. Hoch, M., Schröder, C., Seifert, E. & Jäckle, H. *cis*-acting control elements for *Krüppel* expression in the *Drosophila* embryo. *EMBO J.* **9,** 2587–2595 (1990).
- 45. Hoch, M., Seifert, E. & Jäckle, H. Gene expression mediated by cis-acting sequences of the *Krüppel* gene in response to the *Drosophila* morphogens bicoid and hunchback. *EMBO J.* **10,** 2267–2278 (1991).
- 46. Small, S., Blair, A. & Levine, M. Regulation of *even-skipped* stripe 2 in the *Drosophila* embryo. *EMBO J.* **11,** 4047–4057 (1992).
- 47. Lecourtois, M. & Schweisguth, F. Role of suppressor of hairless in the deltaactivated Notch signaling pathway. *Perspect. Dev. Neurobiol.* **4,** 305–311 (1997).
- 48. Lecourtois, M. & Schweisguth, F. Indirect evidence for delta-dependent intracellular processing of notch in Drosophila embryos. *Curr. Biol.* **8,** 771–774 (1998).
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>49. Morel, V., Le Borgne, R. & Schweisguth, F. Snail is required for delta endocytosis and notch-dependent activation of single-minded expression. *Dev. Genes Evol.* **213,** 65–72 (2003).

#### **Acknowledgments**

We thank M. Dietrich, M. McPeek, A. Heimberg, K. Peterson, L.K. Fleischer, I. Ruvinsky, B. Kolaczkowski and J. Hertog for commenting on serial versions of the paper, and A. Lavanway for technical assistance. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 0952743, and an HHMI undergraduate research internship to N.P.

#### **Author contributions**

A.E. and J.C. designed the experiments. J.C. and N.P. conducted DNA cloning and sequencing. J.C. and N.P. conducted the embryological work for *in situ* hybridizations. A.E. and J.C. analysed the embryological data. A.E. conducted the computational bioinformatics, with additional contributions by J.C. for Supplementary Table S3. A.E. wrote the paper and made the figures.

### **Additional information**

**Supplementary Information** accompanies this paper on http://www.nature.com/ naturecommunications

**Competing financial interests:** The authors declare no competing financial interests.

**Reprints and permission** information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

**How to cite this article:** Justin C., *et al.* Dynamic evolution of precise regulatory encodings creates the clustered site signature of enhancers. *Nat. Commun.* 1:99 doi: 10.1038/ncomms1102 (2010).

**License:** This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/