Journal of Energy in Southern Africa 23(4): 85–93 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.17159/2413-3051/2012/v23i4a3181

The external costs of coal mining: the case of collieries supplying Kusile power station

Nonophile P Nkambule

James N Blignaut

Department of Economics, University of Pretoria

Abstract

The aim of this paper was to quantify the external costs of mining and transporting coal to the Kusile coal-fired power station in eMalahleni. Monetary values were estimated for a number of impacts including its contribution to climate change, human health effects of classic air pollutants, mortality and morbidity, impacts of water pollution and water consumption. The results of the study disclosed that coal mining and transportation will inflict costs to both the environment and humans of between R6 538 million and R12 690 million per annum, or between 20.24 c/kWh and 39.3 c/kWh sent out. The external effect of water consumption (opportunity costs of water) constitutes over 90% of the total cost, followed by global warming damage costs and ecosystem services lost due to coal mining. The estimated externality cost is approximately between 50% and 100% of the current average electricity price.

Keywords: external costs, coal mining, coal transportation

1. Introduction

Cheap coal and electricity are considered to be comparative advantages for South African industry (Department of Energy, 2010). However, the mining, transportation and combustion of coal for the purposes of electricity generation produce harmful environmental and health effects that are not only borne by South African society, but by people around the world. Some of these effects include the impact of air pollution on human health, the impact of climate change, and the environmental impact on water quality and biodiversity. South African researchers have been investigating a number of these effects and their associated external costs, with the emphasis on the combustion process (Van Horen, 1997; Blignaut & King, 2002; Spalding-Fecher & Matibe, 2003).

In the past, researchers have noted that the entire coal fuel cycle is associated with dire impacts on both the environment and human health. They have, therefore, called for the consideration of all stages in the life cycle of coal-based electricity supply, including coal mining, processing and transportation (Bjureby et al., 2008; Mishra, 2009; Epstein et al., 2011). The consideration of all stages, instead of focusing only on coal combustion, is paramount to revealing the true cost of coalbased electricity generation and is necessary to inform public policy and private investment (Bjureby et al., 2008; Epstein et al., 2011). More research on the environmental and health costs of coal mining and transportation in South Africa (SA) is, therefore, needed (Munnick et al., 2009). Furthermore, most of the studies are relatively old and need to be updated (for example, Van Horen, 1997; Goldblatt et al., 2002; Van Zyl et al., 2002). There are also no studies that extensively quantify the external costs of transporting coal to a power station in South Africa. This paper aims to advance the understanding of the measurable and quantifiable external costs of coal mining and transportation by quantifying these costs in relation to the Kusile coal-fired power station, which is currently being constructed in eMalahleni. It should be noted that this analysis excludes the contribution to climate change of other parts of the coal chain, water, aspects which are captured elsewhere (Riekert and Koch 2012, Inglesi-Lotz and Blignaut 2012, and Nkambule and Blignaut 2012).

Activity	Accidents		Air pollution		GHG emissions	Damage to roads	Bio- diversity	Water quality
	Morbidity	Mortality	Morbidity	Mortality				
Coal mining	х	Х	Х	х	Х		Х	х
Beneficiation							Х	х
Coal transportation	n X	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	

Table 1: Coal mining and transportation impacts

2. The environmental and health impacts associated with coal mining

In general, coal mining stresses the environment during the extraction, beneficiation and transportation of coal to a power station (Mishra, 2009). A summary of the health and environmental hazards associated with these processes is shown in Table 1. Coal mining produces negative externalities, primarily in the form of air pollution, global warming from greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, accidents, biodiversity impacts and water pollution (Goldblatt et al., 2002). In addition to these externalities, coal transportation produces noise pollution, congestion and damage to roadways (Jorgensen, 2010) and uses fuel. Coal beneficiation, a process mainly done using wet cleaning methods, leaves behind coal slurry which may contaminate water. Also, some of the chemicals used and generated in processing coal are known to be carcinogenic and some cause heart and lung damage (Epstein et al., 2011).

3. Literature review: external costs of coal mining and transportation

Environmental and health impacts in the life cycle of coal (mining, transport, processing and combustion) have been assessed using a range of methods since 1982 (Mishra, 2009). The literature discloses two broad categories of methods that have been used by researchers to estimate the external costs: the abatement cost approach and the damage cost approach. The abatement cost approach uses the costs of controlling or mitigating damage as a proxy for the damage caused by an externality. Alternatively, the damage cost approach estimates the actual external burdens and assigns a monetary cost to them, using valuation techniques. The damage cost approach can be executed in either a topdown or a bottom-up manner.

The top-down approach estimates external costs of pollutants based on national or regional damages. The bottom-up approach – also known as the impact pathway approach – traces pollutants and other burdens from their initial source, quantifies impacts and monetises impacts using valuation techniques, such as the contingent valuation method (for example, through directly eliciting willingness-to-pay or willingness-to-accept) or indirect valuation methods (for example, replacement cost technique or hedonic pricing method). The bottomup approach is the most preferred approach, but it is data intensive (Sundqvist, 2002). In most developing countries such as South Africa, primary valuation studies that are linked to the environmental impacts of energy are also lacking. For this reason, researchers adjust monetary estimates of externalities from previous studies and transfer them to new contexts (i.e. benefit transfer technique) (Van Horen, 1997; Spalding-Fecher & Matibe, 2003). Various researchers have used a number of approaches to place a value on the impacts of coal mining, depending on the nature of the externality.

A number of international studies have attempted to quantify the external costs of coal mining and transportation (for example, Bjureby *et al.*, 2008; Sevenster *et al.*, 2008; Yushi *et al.*, 2008; Epstein *et al.*, 2011). These studies are summarised in Table 2 below, highlighting how the various researchers studied, quantified and monetised the environmental and health effects of coal mining and transportation.

In summary, the international studies cover three main impacts related to coal mining and transportation that is climate change impacts from GHG emissions, human health burdens due to air pollution and fatalities due to coal transportation. For climate change impacts, the most recent values used by the researchers range between 10 and 100/tof CO₂e (carbon dioxide equivalent) (2008 values) based on either the prevention costs for CO_2 or the valuation of damages due to emissions of a ton of carbon (damage cost approach). Specifically, Epstein et al. (2011) and Yushi et al. (2008) monetised climate change impacts using the damage cost approach, while Bjureby et al. (2008) and Sevenster et al. (2008) used values based on the approximate prevention costs for CO_2 . To estimate human health damages due to air pollution, researchers generally estimate the specific air pollutants' quantities obtained from databases and then multiply these numbers with adjusted damage costs per ton of emission figures from other studies. For fatalities due to coal transportation, they generally estimate fatality rates for transportation and multiply these with the adjusted value of statistical life (VSL) or value of life year (VOLY). The externality values were converted to 2010 US\$ for comparative purposes (see last column of Table 2). However, in-depth comparisons are still hindered by the fact

Author	Country	Method	Impacts investigated	Values in: Units	Value	Value 2010-US\$
Yushi <i>et al.</i> (2008)	China	Human capital approach; Willingness-to- pay; Travel cost	<i>Coal mining:</i> - Airborne pollution - Soil pollution - Biodiversity loss, etc.	2005RMB/t69.47	12.05	
			Coal transportation: - Emissions, noise - Damage to roads - Overloading - Accidents	RMB/t 8.73	8.73	
Epstein <i>et al.</i> (2011)	United States	Benefit transfer	<i>Coal mining:</i> - Climate change - Public health burden	2008\$/kWh0.03-0 \$/kWh 4.36	.340.03-0.3 4.55	34
			Coal transportation: - Public fatalities	\$/kWh 0.09	0.09	
Sevenster <i>et al.</i> (2008)	Global	Benefit transfer	Mining & transportation: - Air pollution (GHG and classic air pollutants)	2007€ mil/yr673 € mil/yr0.0073	946.45 0.01	
Bjureby <i>et al.</i> (2008)	Global	Benefit transfer	Coal mining: - Climate change - Human health impacts from air pollution	2007€ mil/yr674 € mil/yr 0.007	947.85 0.01	
			Mining accidents	€ mil/yr 161 € mil/yr0.0017	226.42 0.0024	

Table 2: Summary of international studies on external costs of coal mining and transportation

Table 3: Summar	y of South African studies on external costs of coal mining
	j el ecultivali cladice el charlen a cecto el ceal mining

Author	Impact investigated	Units	Low	Central	High	1990/1	1995/6
Van Zyl et al. (1999 values	Methane	R million R/t	180 0.98	540 2.93	1260 6.83		
) (2002)	Sulphate pollution	R million R/t				8.56 0.11	17.13 0.19
Van Horen (1997) (1994 values)	Accidents	R million R/kWh	16.8 0.01	24.5 0.02	34.5 0.02		
Pretorius (2009)	Acid mine drainage	R/kWh		0.38			

that the units of analysis vary between the studies (for example, some report cost per ton and some report cost per kWh). In general, the external costs of coal mining and transportation reported in the global studies look similar (Bjureby *et al.*, 2008; Sevenster *et al.*, 2008) due to the use of similar methodologies and the consideration of more or less similar externalities.

Locally, owing to the importance of coal to South Africa, there are studies that have attempted to quantify the external cost of coal mining and these are summarised in Table 3. Van Zyl *et al.* (2002) estimate the climate change impact of methane (CH₄) emissions produced during coal mining to range between R180 million and R1.260 billion (R0.98 – R6.83/t). They further estimate the impact of coal mining on the quality of water in the eMalahleni catchment to be between R8.56 million and R17.13 million (R0.12 – R0.23/t). Pretorius (2009), however, estimates the water damage externality for Eskom's coal mining needs to be R0.38/kWh. Additionally, Van Horen (1997) estimates the occupational health effects of coal mining (accidents: morbidity and mortality) to range between R16.8 and R34.5 million (R0.01–R0.02/ kWh) (1994 values). The cost-of-illness approach was used to value injuries. To attach an economic value to premature mortality (fatalities), Van Horen (1997) adjusted valuations of a changed probability of death from international studies.

External costs of road transport are estimated by Gaffen *et al.* (2000) at a national level so external cost estimates for coal transportation destined for power generation were not distinguished.

Jorgensen (2010) mainly focuses on rail transport, while Coaltech (2009) quantifies CO_2 emissions from coal transportation. These studies highlight a lack of data in South Africa in terms of emissions and valuation studies, among other issues.

In conclusion, local studies highlight the need for more research on coal mining and coal transportation externalities. No studies were found attempting to quantify the external costs of transporting coal to a power station, and the local studies seem to be relatively old and need to be updated.

4. Research method and data

The specific impacts that are considered in this study, as well as the sources of data that enabled the computation of the external costs of coal mining and transportation, are presented in Table 4. The impacts investigated in this study are climate change impacts, the human health effects of classic air pollutants, mortality and morbidity, the impacts of water pollution, water consumption and the loss of ecosystem services due to coal mining.

4.1 Coal mining global warming damages

The main GHG associated with coal mining is CH_4 (methane), which is released during coal extraction when coal seams are cut. The global damage cost from methane emissions was computed as a prod-

uct of the annual amount of CH₄ released in meeting Kusile's annual coal requirement and the damage cost estimate for CO₂ adjusted for inflation and to reflect the global warming potential of CH₄ compared to CO₂. For the amount of CH₄ emitted per ton of coal in surface mines, estimates from Lloyd and Cook (2005) were used. About 17 million tons of coal will be transported to Kusile annually (Wolmarans & Medallie, 2011). A range of damage cost estimates for CO₂, computed by Blignaut (2012) were adopted in this study (2010 values) (i.e. $0.80/tCO_2$ (low), $15/tCO_2$ (market), 14.33tCO₂ (median), $24.29/tCO_2$ (high), $82.02/tCO_2$ (very high) and $112.01/tCO_2$ – Stern (2007 and 2008) base value).

4.2 Coal transportation global warming damages

The GHGs associated with transportation include CO_2 , CH_4 and N_2O (nitrous oxide) (Gaffen *et al.*, 2000). To calculate the global damage costs of CO_2 emitted during coal transportation, the following procedure was followed: First, the amount of diesel that is required to transport the 17 million tons of coal to Kusile was estimated. This necessitated determining the amount of coal to be transported by road, the truck capacity (based on Coaltech, 2009), annual distance travelled and the truck's fuel

transfermining coal for Kusile power station. 2. Opportunity cost of water2. Inglesi-Lotz and Blignaut (2012)Human health impact due to air pollutionBenefit transfer1. Emission factors for various classic air pollutants 2. Damage cost estimates1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.)Loss of ecosystem servicesOpportunity cost1. Land use 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Blignaut <i>et al.</i> (2010)	Impact investigated	Method	Data requirements	Data source
3. Coal mined for Kusile 3. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 4. CH ₄ global warming potential 4. IPCC (2001) Coal transportation Benefit 1. Total diesel consumption 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) climate change impacts transfer 2. Carbon emission factor for 2. IPCC (1996) Accidents: mortality Benefit 1. Fatalities and injuries during 1. DME (2010) Accidents: mortality Benefit 1. Fatalities and injuries during 1. DME (2010) and morbidity transfer 2. Monetary valuation estimates for morbidity 3. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 3. Monetary valuation estimates for morbidity 3. Monetary valuation estimates for morbidity 3. Vertice (2007), AEA Technology Water pollution Benefit 1. Coal mined for Kusile 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) Water consumption Benefit 1. Coal mined for Kusile 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) Water consumption Benefit 1. Coal mined for Kusile 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) Water consumption Benefit 1. Annual water requirements for 1. Pulles et al. (2001), Wassung, (2010) Human health impact Benefit 1. Emission factors for various classic 1. Stone and Benenett (n	Coal mining climate	Benefit	1. Social cost of carbon	1. Blignaut (2012)
4. CH ₄ global warming potential 4. IPCC (2001) Coal transportation climate change impacts Benefit transfer 1. Total diesel consumption diesel & diesel oxidation factor 3. Social cost of carbon 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. IPCC (1996) Accidents: mortality and morbidity Benefit transfer 1. Fatallites and injuries during coal mining and transportation 2. Monetary valuation estimates for mortality 1. DME (2010) Water pollution Benefit transfer 1. Coal mined for Kusile transfer 2. NEEDS (2007), AEA Technology Environment (2005) Water pollution Benefit transfer 1. Coal mined for Kusile 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) Water consumption Benefit transfer 1. Coal mined for Kusile 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) Water consumption Benefit transfer 1. Annual water requirements for mining coal for Kusile power station. 2. Opportunity cost of water 1. Pulles <i>et al.</i> (2001), Wassung, (2010) Human health impact due to air pollution Benefit transfer 1. Emission factors for various classic air pollutants 1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.) 2. Damage cost estimates 2. NEEDS (2007), Sevenster et al. (201) Loss of ecosystem services Opportunity cost 1. Land use 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands	change impacts	transfer	2. Methane emission factor	2. Lloyd and Cook (2005)
Coal transportation climate change impactsBenefit transfer1. Total diesel consumption 1. Total diesel consumption 3. Social cost of carbon1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. IPCC (1996)Accidents: mortality and morbidityBenefit transfer1. Fatalities and injuries during coal mining and transportation 2. Monetary valuation estimates for mortality 4. Coal produced in various years1. DME (2010) 3. Van Horen (1997) 4. WCA (2006-2009)Water pollutionBenefit transfer1. Coal mined for Kusile uter splution damage cost1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. NEEDS (2007), AEA Technology Environment (2005) 3. Van Horen (1997) 4. Coal produced in various years3. Van Horen (1997) 4. WCA (2006-2009)Water pollutionBenefit transfer1. Coal mined for Kusile mining coal for Kusile power station. 2. Opportunity cost of water1. Pulles et al. (2001), Wassung, (2010) 2. Inglesi-Lotz and Blignaut (2012)Human health impact due to air pollutionBenefit transfer1. Emission factors for various classic air pollutants 2. Damage cost estimates1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.)Loss of ecosystem servicesOpportunity cost1. Land use 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Blignaut et al. (2010)			3. Coal mined for Kusile	3. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011)
climate change impactstransfer2. Carbon emission factor for diesel & diesel oxidation factor 3. Social cost of carbon2. IPCC (1996)Accidents: mortality and morbidityBenefit transfer1. Fatalities and injuries during coal mining and transportation 2. Monetary valuation estimates for mortality 3. Monetary valuation estimates for morbidity1. DME (2010)Water pollutionBenefit transfer1. Coal mined for Kusile 2. Water pollution3. Van Horen (1997) 4. Coal produced in various years3. Van Horen (1997) 4. Coal produced in various yearsWater consumptionBenefit transfer1. Coal mined for Kusile 2. Water pollution damage cost1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Van Zyl et al. (2002)Water consumptionBenefit transfer1. Annual water requirements for mining coal for Kusile power station. 2. Opportunity cost of water1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.) air pollutants 2. Damage cost estimates1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.)Loss of ecosystem servicesOpportunity cost1. Land use 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Blignaut et al. (2010)			4. CH ₄ global warming potential	4. IPCC (2001)
Accidents: mortality and morbidityBenefit transfer1. Fatalities and injuries during coal mining and transportation 2. Monetary valuation estimates for mortality 4. Coal produced in various years1. DME (2010)Water pollutionBenefit transfer1. Coal mined for Kusile 2. Water pollution2. NEEDS (2007), AEA Technology Environment (2005) 3. Wonetary valuation estimates for morbidity 4. Coal produced in various years2. NEEDS (2007), AEA Technology Environment (2005) 3. Van Horen (1997) 4. Coal produced in various yearsWater pollutionBenefit transfer1. Coal mined for Kusile 2. Water pollution damage cost1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Van Zyl et al. (2002)Water consumptionBenefit transfer1. Annual water requirements for mining coal for Kusile power station. 2. Opportunity cost of water1. Pulles et al. (2001), Wassung, (2010) mining coal for Kusile power station. 2. Opportunity cost of waterHuman health impact due to air pollutionBenefit transfer1. Emission factors for various classic air pollutants 2. Damage cost estimates1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.) air pollutantsLoss of ecosystem servicesOpportunity cost1. Land use 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Blignaut et al. (2010)	Coal transportation	Benefit	1. Total diesel consumption	1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011)
3. Social cost of carbon 3. Blignaut (2012) Accidents: mortality and morbidity Benefit transfer 1. Fatalities and injuries during coal mining and transportation 1. DME (2010) 2. Monetary valuation estimates for mortality 2. Nonetary valuation estimates for mortality 2. NEEDS (2007), AEA Technology Environment (2005) 3. Water pollution Benefit transfer 1. Coal mined for Kusile 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) Water consumption Benefit transfer 1. Coal mined for Kusile 2. Water pollution damage cost 1. Pulles <i>et al.</i> (2002) Water consumption Benefit transfer 1. Annual water requirements for mining coal for Kusile power station. 2. Opportunity cost of water 1. Pulles <i>et al.</i> (2001), Wassung, (2010) Human health impact due to air pollution Benefit transfer 1. Emission factors for various classic air pollutants 2. Damage cost estimates 1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.) Loss of ecosystem services Opportunity cost 1. Land use 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011)	climate change impacts	s transfer	2. Carbon emission factor for	2. IPCC (1996)
Accidents: mortality and morbidity Benefit transfer 1. Fatalities and injuries during coal mining and transportation 1. DME (2010) Accidents: mortality and morbidity transfer 1. Fatalities and injuries during coal mining and transportation 1. DME (2010) Accidents: mortality transfer 2. Monetary valuation estimates for mortality 2. NEEDS (2007), AEA Technology Environment (2005) Water pollution Benefit transfer 1. Coal mined for Kusile 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) Water consumption Benefit transfer 1. Annual water requirements for mining coal for Kusile power station. 1. Pulles <i>et al.</i> (2001), Wassung, (2010 Human health impact due to air pollution Benefit transfer 1. Emission factors for various classic air pollutants 1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.) Loss of ecosystem services Opportunity cost 1. Land use 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011)			diesel & diesel oxidation factor	
and morbiditytransfercoal mining and transportation 2. Monetary valuation estimates for mortality 3. Monetary valuation estimates for morbidity 4. Coal produced in various years2. NEEDS (2007), AEA Technology Environment (2005) 3. Van Horen (1997) 4. WCA (2006-2009)Water pollutionBenefit transfer1. Coal mined for Kusile 2. Water pollution damage cost1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Van Zyl et al. (2002)Water consumptionBenefit transfer1. Annual water requirements for mining coal for Kusile power station. 2. Opportunity cost of water1. Pulles et al. (2001), Wassung, (2010)Human health impact due to air pollutionBenefit transfer1. Emission factors for various classic air pollutants 2. Damage cost estimates1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.) air pollutantsLoss of ecosystem servicesOpportunity cost1. Land use 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands2. NEEDS (2007), Sevenster et al. (2010)			3. Social cost of carbon	3. Blignaut (2012)
2. Monetary valuation estimates for mortality 3. Monetary valuation estimates for morbidity 4. Coal produced in various years2. NEEDS (2007), AEA Technology Environment (2005) 3. Van Horen (1997) 4. WCA (2006-2009)Water pollutionBenefit transfer1. Coal mined for Kusile 2. Water pollution damage cost1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Van Zyl et al. (2002)Water consumptionBenefit transfer1. Annual water requirements for mining coal for Kusile power station. 2. Opportunity cost of water1. Pulles et al. (2001), Wassung, (2010)Human health impact due to air pollutionBenefit transfer1. Emission factors for various classic air pollutants 2. Damage cost estimates1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.) air pollutantsLoss of ecosystem servicesOpportunity cost1. Land use 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Blignaut et al. (2010)	Accidents: mortality	Benefit	1. Fatalities and injuries during	1. DME (2010)
for mortality Environment (2005) 3. Monetary valuation estimates for morbidity 3. Van Horen (1997) 4. Coal produced in various years 4. WCA (2006-2009) Water pollution Benefit transfer 2. Water pollution damage cost Water consumption Benefit transfer 1. Annual water requirements for mining coal for Kusile power station. 2. Van Zyl et al. (2001), Wassung, (2010) Human health impact Benefit due to air pollution 1. Emission factors for various classic air pollutants 2. Damage cost estimates 2. Damage cost estimates 2. NEEDS (2007), Sevenster et al. (201) Loss of ecosystem Opportunity services Cost 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands	and morbidity	transfer	coal mining and transportation	
3. Monetary valuation estimates for morbidity 4. Coal produced in various years 3. Van Horen (1997) 4. WCA (2006-2009) Water pollution Benefit transfer 1. Coal mined for Kusile 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) Water consumption Benefit transfer 1. Annual water requirements for mining coal for Kusile power station. 1. Pulles et al. (2002) Wuman health impact due to air pollution Benefit transfer 1. Emission factors for various classic 1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.) Loss of ecosystem services Opportunity cost 1. Land use 2. NEEDS (2007), Sevenster et al. (2011) 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011)			2. Monetary valuation estimates	2. NEEDS (2007), AEA Technology
4. Coal produced in various years4. WCA (2006-2009)Water pollutionBenefit transfer1. Coal mined for Kusile transfer1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Van Zyl et al. (2002)Water consumptionBenefit transfer1. Annual water requirements for mining coal for Kusile power station. 2. Opportunity cost of water1. Pulles et al. (2001), Wassung, (2010)Human health impact due to air pollutionBenefit transfer1. Emission factors for various classic air pollutants 2. Damage cost estimates1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.)Loss of ecosystem servicesOpportunity cost1. Land use 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Blignaut et al. (2010)			for mortality	Environment (2005)
Water pollutionBenefit transfer1. Coal mined for Kusile transfer1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Van Zyl et al. (2002)Water consumptionBenefit transfer1. Annual water requirements for mining coal for Kusile power station. 2. Opportunity cost of water1. Pulles et al. (2001), Wassung, (2010)Human health impact due to air pollutionBenefit transfer1. Emission factors for various classic air pollutants 2. Damage cost estimates1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.)Loss of ecosystem servicesOpportunity1. Land use cost2. NEEDS (2007), Sevenster et al. (2011) 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Blignaut et al. (2010)				
transfer2. Water pollution damage cost2. Van Zyl et al. (2002)Water consumptionBenefit transfer1. Annual water requirements for mining coal for Kusile power station. 2. Opportunity cost of water1. Pulles et al. (2001), Wassung, (2010)Human health impact due to air pollutionBenefit transfer1. Emission factors for various classic air pollutants 2. Damage cost estimates1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.)Loss of ecosystem servicesOpportunity cost1. Land use 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Blignaut et al. (2010)			4. Coal produced in various years	4. WCA (2006-2009)
Water consumption Benefit transfer 1. Annual water requirements for mining coal for Kusile power station. 1. Pulles et al. (2001), Wassung, (2010) Water consumption Benefit transfer 1. Annual water requirements for mining coal for Kusile power station. 2. Inglesi-Lotz and Blignaut (2012) Human health impact due to air pollution Benefit transfer 1. Emission factors for various classic 1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.) Loss of ecosystem services Opportunity 1. Land use 2. NEEDS (2007), Sevenster et al. (2011) Services Cost 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011)	Water pollution	Benefit	1. Coal mined for Kusile	1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011)
transfermining coal for Kusile power station. 2. Opportunity cost of water2. Inglesi-Lotz and Blignaut (2012)Human health impact due to air pollutionBenefit transfer1. Emission factors for various classic air pollutants 2. Damage cost estimates1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.)Loss of ecosystem servicesOpportunity cost1. Land use 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Blignaut <i>et al.</i> (2010)		transfer	2. Water pollution damage cost	2. Van Zyl <i>et al</i> . (2002)
2. Opportunity cost of water 2. Inglesi-Lotz and Blignaut (2012) Human health impact due to air pollution Benefit transfer 1. Emission factors for various classic air pollutants 1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.) Loss of ecosystem services Opportunity 1. Land use 2. NEEDS (2007), Sevenster et al. (2011) Loss of ecosystem services Opportunity 1. Land use 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands 2. Blignaut <i>et al.</i> (2010)	Water consumption	Benefit	1. Annual water requirements for	1. Pulles et al. (2001), Wassung, (2010)
Human health impact due to air pollution Benefit transfer 1. Emission factors for various classic air pollutants 1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.) Loss of ecosystem services Opportunity 1. Land use 2. NEEDS (2007), Sevenster et al. (20 Loss of ecosystem Opportunity 1. Land use 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands 2. Blignaut et al. (2010)		transfer	mining coal for Kusile power station.	
due to air pollutiontransferair pollutants 2. Damage cost estimates2. NEEDS (2007), Sevenster et al. (2007)Loss of ecosystem servicesOpportunity cost1. Land use 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Blignaut <i>et al.</i> (2010)			2. Opportunity cost of water	2. Inglesi-Lotz and Blignaut (2012)
2. Damage cost estimates 2. NEEDS (2007), Sevenster et al. (2007) Loss of ecosystem services Opportunity 1. Land use 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands 2. Blignaut et al. (2010)	Human health impact	Benefit	1. Emission factors for various classic	1. Stone and Bennett (n.d.)
Loss of ecosystem services Opportunity cost 1. Land use 1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011) 2. Market price of maize and value of ecosystem services provided by grasslands 2. Blignaut et al. (2010)	due to air pollution	transfer	air pollutants	
services cost 2. Market price of maize and value of 2. Blignaut <i>et al.</i> (2010) ecosystem services provided by grasslands			2. Damage cost estimates	2. NEEDS (2007), Sevenster et al. (2008)
ecosystem services provided by grasslands	Loss of ecosystem	Opportunity	1. Land use	1. Wolmarans and Medallie (2011)
	services	cost	2. Market price of maize and value of	2. Blignaut <i>et al.</i> (2010)
			ecosystem services provided by grasslands	
Impacts not investigated due to lack of data: noise pollution, damage to roads and traffic congestion	Impacts not investigate	d due to lack o	of data: noise pollution, damage to roads and tra	ffic congestion

Table 4: Coal mining and transportation impacts investigated in this study and the sources of data

consumption (based on Odeh and Cockerill, 2008); Second, the total amount of diesel consumed was converted to terajoule (TJ); Third, the carbon emission factor for diesel was determined, based on the IPCC (1996); Fourth, the carbon content of the diesel used was estimated by multiplying the total amount of diesel consumed with the diesel carbon emission factor (t/TJ); Fifth, the fact that not all carbon is oxidised during combustion was accounted for by using the diesel oxidation factor - which is 99% according to IPCC (1996); Sixth, the estimated total carbon emissions were converted to CO₂ by multiplying the carbon emissions with the molecular weight ratio of CO_2 to carbon; Last, the global warming damage cost of CO2 emissions during coal transportation was computed as a product of the total CO₂ emissions calculated above, multiplied with the damage cost of CO_2 (\$/t CO_2), as computed by Blignaut (2012).

4.3 Accidents: monetary estimates for mortality and morbidity

To compute both the injury and fatality rates for the annual amount of coal needed by Kusile, the following procedure was followed: First, the fatalities and injuries per million tons of coal mined in South Africa from 2006 to 2009 were calculated based on estimates reported by the Department of Minerals and Energy (2010): Second, to calculate the total number of people that are likely to die or be injured by Kusile's annual coal requirement, the respective computed rates were multiplied by the amount of annual coal needed by Kusile (i.e. 17 million tons), which yielded 14 injuries and one death per annum; Third, valuation estimates for morbidity and mortality were computed and multiplied with the respective number of people that are likely to be injured or die.

For morbidity, cost estimates (estimated using the cost-of-illness approach by Van Horen (1997)) from public health practitioners were transferred to this study by adjusting the values for inflation. For fatalities, due to a lack of valuation studies in South Africa, estimating the economic value for mortality was based on valuation of changed life expectancy, obtained from the NEEDS (2007) and AEA Technology Environment (2005) studies. The valuation of changed life expectancy, like the valuation of a changed probability of death, entails the use of individual preference approaches. These approaches are generally preferred in the literature to values yielded by the human capital approach, which values a lost life at the discounted value of future income which that person might have been expected to generate (Van Horen, 1997). Basing the value for mortality on the change of life expectancy, as opposed to a change in the probability of death as noted by Rabl (2006) and NEEDS (2007), is more appealing because the approach considers the constraint that humans die only once, and also because respondents (i.e. surveyed individuals) show difficulty in understanding small probability variations. The values for mortality were adjusted to reflect the disparity in income levels between the European Union and South Africa. This adjustment is essential because, theoretically, individual valuations of the risk of death are dependent on income levels. The income adjustment factor was therefore calculated and used to adjust the values for mortality. The adjusted values were then inflated to ZAR and multiplied by the number of people that are likely to die, yielding the total value for mortality.

4.4 Water pollution

Coal mines can affect water quality through mine water discharges, leachate from discard dumps or acid mine drainage (AMD). AMD is highly acidic water that forms when pyrite, a sulphur-bearing mineral, and other sulphide minerals present in coal and associated strata, reacts with water and air to form sulphuric acid and dissolved iron (Ochieng *et al.*, 2010; Singh, 2008). This acidic run-off dissolves heavy metals such as lead and copper (WCA, 2010). For these reasons, AMD is characterised by a low pH (potential Hydrogen) and high concentrations of sulphate and heavy metals (Neculita *et al.*, 2007; Manders *et al.*, 2009).

AMD is a crucial and costly environmental problem linked to both coal and gold mining in South Africa (Council for Geoscience, 2010; Naicker et al., 2003). Direct damage cost estimates of water pollution from coal mining in the eMalahleni catchment were computed by Van Zyl et al. (2002). As already noted, AMD is characterised among other attributes by high concentrations of sulphate. For this reason sulphate was chosen by the researchers as a best available indicator of overall salinity and a major concern in the area. Damages to the industrial and domestic sectors were estimated using preventative expenditures while those to the agricultural sector were estimated using preventative expenditures necessary to maintain yield and lower yields due to pollution.

The drawbacks of the Van Zyl *et al.* (2002) study are its focus on i) sulphate and not all pollutants, ii) impacts in the catchment and not downstream, and iii) lack of address of natural/environmental uses. The estimates computed are thus considered conservative. The direct damage cost imposed on other water users in the eMalahleni catchment from sulphate pollution by coal mining was estimated to range between R0.11 and R0.19/t of saleable production (1999 ZAR). These damage cost estimates from sulphate pollution are used in this study. These estimates are inflated and then multiplied with the annual amount of coal required by Kusile to arrive at an estimate of the annual damage costs that are likely to be imposed by mining coal for Kusile.

4.5 Water consumption

Water is used in a number of activities in coal mines. Primarily, it is used for dust control, extraction and coal washing. It is also lost through evaporation (Wassung, 2010). In order to compute the society-wide cost of water consumption by the proposed New Largo Colliery that will supply coal to the Kusile coal-fired power station, the following procedure was followed: First, the annual water requirements of a surface mine with a beneficiation plant that produces 17 million tons of coal for electric power generation were computed based on figures reported by Pulles et al. (2001) and validated by Wassung (2010); Second, it was necessary to establish the opportunity cost of water to society when engaging in coal mining. Estimating such, if time and resources allow is imperative, as the administered price of water for coal mining or for coal-fired electricity generation in South Africa in general does not reflect the actual loss of welfare to society due to the presence of externalities (Spalding-Fecher & Matibe, 2003). However, since the opportunity cost of water to society when engaging in coal mining has not been computed in South Africa, the opportunity cost of water to society when engaging in coal-fired electricity generation will be used, as the coal produced by the proposed coal mine will be 100% dedicated to coalfired power generation.

Inglesi-Lotz and Blignaut (2012) estimated the opportunity cost of water to society for the Kusile coal-fired power station and their values were used in this study. First, the society-wide loss of water use at the Kusile power station computed was divided by the water requirements of the power station to arrive at the opportunity cost of water per cubic meter. The values that were yielded (in R/m³) were then multiplied by the annual water requirements of mining coal for the Kusile power station, thereby yielding a society-wide cost (opportunity cost) of water use in the New Largo Colliery for the purposes of supplying the Kusile coal-fired power station.

4.6 Human health damages due to air pollution

Air pollution (classic air pollutants) in coal mines is mainly caused by coal dust and particulate matter generated during coal mining, burning discard dumps and underground fires (Goldblatt *et al.*, 2002). To estimate human health damage due to air pollution coming from coal mining and transportation, the methodology used by Sevenster *et al.* (2008) and Bjureby *et al.* (2008) was adopted. This was done owing to the lack of studies in South Africa that link human exposure to classic air pollutants produced during coal mining and transportation to human health. First, the amount of classic air pollutants emitted was calculated using emission factors from the literature. For coal transportation, this involved computing the total annual distance travelled by the truck and multiplying it with the emission factor for each pollutant considered. Emission factors were sourced from the study by Stone and Bennett (n.d.). This was followed by transferring damage cost estimates per ton already linked to air pollutants from the NEEDS project (NEEDS, 2007; Sevenster *et al.*, 2008) and from the AEA Technology Environment (2005) study.

The damage cost estimates needed adjustments before these could be multiplied with the estimated emissions. The adjustment was done by first transferring the VOLY estimates for the EU (VOLY_{EU}) and adjusting the VOLY_{EU} values for differential levels of income between the European Union and South Africa. An adjustment factor was then obtained (VOLY_{EU}/VOLY_{SA}) and was used to adjust all the original damage costs per ton of emission. Finally, to estimate human health damages due to air pollution, the respective adjusted values per ton were multiplied by the estimated emissions. The classic air pollutants that were considered were nitrogen oxides (NO_X), sulphur dioxide (SO₂) and particulate matter (PM_{2.5}).

4.7 Loss of ecosystem services due to coal mining

The new opencast mine that is proposed to supply coal to the Kusile power station will mine coal from the New Largo coal reserve. The New Largo coal reserve signifies the extent of the area that could be mined and covers an area of 6 817 hectares (Wolmarans & Medallie, 2011). The area is mainly used for maize cultivation and a substantial part fall into grasslands. Extraction of the coal resource in this area will therefore lead to loss of both farmlands and grasslands. The opportunity cost of coal mining in this area is therefore the forgone benefits derived from agricultural production and ecosystem services generated by grasslands.

Loss of agricultural potential was calculated as a product of the number of hectares of land under maize production, productivity of maize (t/ha) and the market price of maize. Concerning grasslands, there are numerous services provided by them, including carbon storage, drought and flood mitigation, sediment reduction, biodiversity maintenance, wildlife habitat provision, aesthetic beauty provision, protection of watersheds, stream and river channels, nutrient cycling and movement, waste detoxification and decomposition, and control of agricultural pests (USDA, 2010). Three of these, namely carbon storage, drought mitigation and sediment reduction, were valued in a study by Blignaut et al. (2010) for a fire-prone grassland ecosystem in the Maloti-Drakensberg mountain range in South Africa. These three ecosystem services were considered immediately viable and marketable, thus the

Damage estimated	Central estimate (R million	n)High estimate (R million)	% of total
Global damage cost: coal mining	477	722.4	
Global damage cost: coal transportation	2.4	3.9	~ 6
Human health damages due to accidents	0.7	1.3	< 1
Human health damages due to air pollution	on 10.5	15	< 1
Water pollution damages	6.1	7.7	< 1
Water consumption external effect	5 964.18	11 862.41	> 90
Loss of agricultural potential	76.4	76.4	
Loss in ecosystem services	1	1	~ 1
Total	6 538.28	12 690.11	

others were excluded to avoid selling services with no immediate market.

In this current study, however, only the carbon storage value could be adapted from Blignaut *et al.* (2010), not drought mitigation or sediment reduction. The reason for this is that the water values are for a high rainfall mountain catchment and cannot be equated to highlands low productive grasslands. Also, the carbon sequestration estimate adapted from the Blignaut *et al.* (2010) study is considered conservative. The loss in carbon sequestration was computed as the product of the number of hectares under grasslands and an estimate of the value of carbon storage generated by grasslands.

5. Results and discussion

Table 5 shows a summary of the annual external damages of mining coal and transporting coal to Kusile for electricity generation purposes. The overall costs ranged between R6 538 million and R12 690 million per annum. The external effect of water consumption (opportunity costs of water) makes up over 90% of the total cost, followed by global warming damage costs (\sim 6%) and ecosystem services lost due to coal mining (\sim 1%).

Based on an annual coal usage of 17 million tons, the costs translates into an externality value of between R385 and R746/t, which is considerably higher than the earlier South African studies (shown in Table 3). This is due to the higher (global) price of carbon and the fact that this study includes more externality aspects. It is estimated that the net power generation output of Kusile is 32.3 million MWh (net capacity of 723 MW per unit x 6 units x 8 760 hours x a load factor of 85%) which translates to an estimated damage cost of between R6 538 million and R12 690 million and an externality cost of between 20.2 and 39.3 c/kWh. The estimated externality cost is between 50% and 100% of the current average electricity price, which is approximately R0.41/kWh (2010 value) (RSA, 2011).

6. Conclusion

The aim of this study was to quantify the external

costs of coal mining and transportation related to the Kusile coal-fired power station currently being constructed in eMalahleni. The results of the study disclosed that coal mining and transportation will inflict costs to both the environment and humans of between R6 538 million and R12 690 million per annum, or between 20.24 c/kWh and 39.3 c/kWh sent out. The estimated externality cost is between 50% and 100% of the current average electricity price. These costs are considered to be a lower bound estimate since some externalities were not investigated (for example, noise pollution, damages to roads and the damage caused by ash lagoons on water resources) due to unavailability of data.

References

- AEA Technology Environment. (2005). Damages per tonne emission of PM_{2.5}, NH₃, SO₂, NOx and VOCs from each EU25 member state (excluding Cyprus) and surrounding seas. Available at: www.cafecba.org/assets/marginal_damage_03-05.pdf (accessed on 14 August 2011).
- Bjureby, E., Britten, M., Cheng, I., Ka[mierska, M., Mezak, E., Munnik, V., Nandi, J., Pennington, S., Rochon, E., Schulz, N., Shahab, N., Vincent, J. and Wei, M. (2008). The true cost of coal: how people and the planet are paying the price for the world's dirtiest fuel. Available at: www.greenpeace.org/australia/en/what-we-do/climate/resources/reports/thetrue-cost-of-coal/ (accessed on 3 July 2011).
- Blignaut, J.N. (2012). The opportunity cost of Medupi and Kusile power stations. *Journal of Energy in Southern Africa* 23(4): 67-75.
- Blignaut, J.N. and King, N. (2002). The externality cost of coal combustion in South Africa. Forum for Economics and Environment Conference 2002, Cape Town.
- Blignaut, J., Mander, M., Schulze, R., Horan, M., Dickens, C., Pringle, K., Mavundla, K., Mahlangu, I., Wilson, A., McKenzie, M. and McKean, S. (2010).
 Restoring and managing natural capital towards fostering economic development: Evidence from the Drakensberg, South Africa. Ecological Economics, 69: 1313 – 1323.

Coaltech (2009). Coaltech transport investigation. Available at: www.coaltech.co.za (accessed on 15 August 2011).

Council for Geoscience. (2010). Mine water management in the Witwatersrand gold fields with special emphasis on acid mine drainage. Available at: www.dwaf.gov.za/Documents/ ACIDReport.pdf (accessed on 12 September 2011).

Department of Energy. (2010). South African energy synopsis 2010. Available at: www.energy.gov.za/ files/media/explained/2010/South_African_Energy_S ynopsis_2010. pdf (accessed on 13 July 2011).

Department of Minerals and Energy. (2010). Annual report 2009/2010. Available at: www.dmr.gov.za/AnualReport/Documents/DME%20 Annual%20Report%2009_10%20hr.pdf (accessed on 28 June 2011).

Epstein, P.R., Buonocore, J.J., Eckerle, K., Hendryx, M., Stout III, B.M., Heinberg, R., Clapp, R.W., May, B., Reinhart, N.L., Ahern, M.M., Doshi, S.K. and Glustrom, L. (2011). Full cost accounting for the life cycle of coal. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci*, 1219: 73 – 98.

Gaffen, M., Naude, C., Lombaard, P., Maasdorp, G., Taylor, A. and Pretorius, J. (2000). A quantitative analysis of the full cost associated with motor vehicle use in South Africa. Report for the Department of Transport, funded by USAID.

Goldblatt, M., Gelb, S. and Davies, G. (2002). Macroeconomics and sustainable development in Southern Africa: synthesis report of South African study. Development Bank of South Africa. Midrand, South Africa.

Inglesi-Lotz, R. and Blignaut, J.N. (2012). Estimating the opportunity cost of water for the Kusile and Medupi coal-fired electricity power plants in South Africa. *Journal of Energy in Southern Africa* 23(4): 76-84.

IPCC (1996). IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories: Reference manual, Volume 3. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

IPCC (2001). Climate change. In Watson, R.T. et al. (eds). Third assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Geneva: Cambridge University Press.

Jorgensen, A.A. (2010). Transport costs and the relevance of externalities. Available at: www.rra.co.za/?p =16756 (accessed on 25 June 2011).

Lloyd, P. and Cook, A. (2005). Methane release from South African coal mines. *The Journal of the South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy*, 105: 1–8.

Manders, P., Godfrey, L. and Hobbs, P. (2009). Acid mine drainage in South Africa. CSIR Natural Resources and the Environment. Available at: www.csir.co.za/nre/docs/BriefingNote2009 _2_AMD_draft.pdf (accessed on 14 September 2011).

Mishra, S.K. (2009). Estimation of externality costs of electricity generation from coal: An OH-MARKAL extension dissertation. Unpublished doctoral thesis, The Ohio State University, Canada.

Munnick, V., Hochmann, G. and Hlabane, M. (2009). The social and environmental consequences of coal mining. South African case study: final draft. A joint initiative of Environmental Monitoring Group, Cape Town, South Africa and Both ENDs, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Naicker K., Cukrowska, E. and Mccarthy, T.S. (2003). Acid mine drainage from gold mining activities in Johannesburg, South Africa and environs. *Environmental Pollution*, 122: 29–40.

Neculita, C., Zagury, G.J. and Bussiere, B. (2007). Passive treatment of acid mine drainage in bioreactors using sulphate-reducing bacteria: critical review and research needs. Journal of Environ. Qual, 36: 1–16.

New Energy Externalities Developments for Sustainability (NEEDS). (2007). Final report on the monetary valuation of mortality and morbidity risks from air pollution. Deliverable for WP6 of RS1b of the New Energy Externalities Developments for Sustainability (NEEDS) project. IER, University of Stuttgart.

Ochieng, G.M., Seanego, E.S. and Nkwonta, O.I. (2010). Impacts of mining on water resources in South Africa: a review. Scientific Research and Essays, 5(22): 3351–3357.

Odeh, N.A. and Cockeril, T.T. (2008). Life cycle analysis of UK coal-fired power plants. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 49: 212–220.

Pulles, W., Boer, R. and Nel, S. (2001). A generic water balance for the South African coal mining industry. Water Research Commission Report No. 801/1/01.

Pretorius, K. (2009). Coal mining and combustion: Internalising the cost for a fair climate change debate. Randburg: Federation for a Sustainable Environment.

Rabl, A. (2006). Analysis of air pollution mortality in terms of life expectancy changes: relation between time series, intervention and cohort studies.
Environmental health: a global access science source 2006, 5: 1. Available at: http://web.me.com/arirabl/Site/Publications_files/Rabl06%20LE%20framework.pdf (accessed on 14 August 2011).

Republic of South Africa (RSA). (2011). Integrated resource plan 2010–2030. Government Gazette. Pretoria: Government Printers.

Sevenster, M., Croezen, H., Van Valkengoed, M., Markowska, A. and Donszelmann, E. (2008). External costs of coal: Global estimate. CE Delft, publication number: 08.7766.63.

Riekert, J. and Koch, S. 2012. Projecting the External Health Costs of a Coal-Fired Power Plant: The Case of Kusile. *Journal of Energy in Southern Africa* 23(4): 52-66.

Singh, G. (2008). Mitigating environmental and social impacts of coal mining in India. Available from: www.ismenvis.nic.in/My_Webs/Digital_Library/GSing h/Mitigating%20Environmental%20and%20Social% 20Impacts%20of%20Coal%20Mining%20in%20Indi a.pdf (accessed on 11 July 2011).

Spalding-Fecher, R. and Matibe, D.K. (2003). Electricity and externalities in South Africa. *Energy Policy*, 31: 721–734.

Stone, A. and Bennett, K. (n.d.). A bulk model of emissions from South African diesel commercial vehicles. Energy Research Institute (ERI), University of Cape Town. Available at: www.erc.uct.ac.za/Research/publications-pre2004/01Stone-Bennett_Diesel_emissions.PDF (accessed on 13 June 2011).

- Sundqvist, T. (2002). Power generation choice in the presence of environmental externalities. Unpublished doctoral thesis, Lulea University of Technology, Sweden.
- USDA. (2010). USDA forest service national grasslands - ecosystem services from national grasslands. Available at: http://www.fs.fed.us/grasslands/ecoservices/index.shtml (accessed on 02 October 2012).
- Van Horen, C. (1997). Cheap energy At what cost? Externalities in South Africa's electricity sector. In Van Horen, C. (ed.). Counting the social costs: electricity and externalities in South Africa. Cape Town: Elan Press and UCT Press.
- Van Zyl, H., Raimondo, J. and Leiman, T. (2002). Energy supply sector – coal mining. WWF macroeconomic reforms and sustainable development in South Africa. Development Bank of South Africa. Midrand, South Africa.
- Wassung, N. (2010). Water scarcity and electricity generation in South Africa Part 1: Water use in the coalto-electricity process. Unpublished master's dissertation, University of Stellenbosch, Stellenbosch.
- WCA. (2006-2009). Coal facts. Available at: www.worldcoal.org/resources/coal-statistics/ (accessed on 18 August 2010).
- WCA. (2010). Coal mining and the environment. Available at: www.worldcoal.org/coal-the-environment/coal-mining-the-environment/ (accessed on 13 September 2011).
- Wolmarans, M. and Medallie, M. (2011). New Largo Colliery Environmental Scoping Report. Report No. S0403/NL/SR02. Synergistics Environmental Services, Johannesburg, South Africa.
- Yushi, M., Hong, S. and Fuqiang, Y. (2008). The true cost of coal. Available at: http://act.greenpeace.org. cn/coal/report/TCOC-Final-EN.pdf (accessed on 25 June 2011).

Received: 30 November 2011; revised 10 October 2012