Himmelfarb Health Sciences Library, The George Washington University Health Sciences Research Commons

Medicine Faculty Publications

Medicine

12-2017

Clinical and serological features of systemic sclerosis in a multicenter African American cohort: Analysis of the genome research in African American scleroderma patients clinical database

Nadia Morgan

Ami Shah

Maureen Mayes

Robyn Domsic

Thomas Medsger

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://hsrc.himmelfarb.gwu.edu/smhs_medicine_facpubs Part of the <u>Immune System Diseases Commons</u>

APA Citation

Morgan, N., Shah, A., Mayes, M., Domsic, R., Medsger, T., Shanmugam, V. K., & +several additional authors (2017). Clinical and serological features of systemic sclerosis in a multicenter African American cohort: Analysis of the genome research in African American scleroderma patients clinical database. *Medicine (Baltimore)*, 96 (51). http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000008980

This Journal Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Medicine at Health Sciences Research Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Medicine Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Health Sciences Research Commons. For more information, please contact hsrc@gwu.edu.

Authors

Nadia Morgan, Ami Shah, Maureen Mayes, Robyn Domsic, Thomas Medsger, Victoria K. Shanmugam, and +several additional authors



Clinical and serological features of systemic sclerosis in a multicenter African American cohort Analysis of the genome research in African American scleroderma patients clinical database

Nadia D. Morgan, MBBS, MHS^a, Ami A. Shah, MD, MHS^a, Maureen D. Mayes, MD, MPH^b, Robyn T. Domsic, MD, MPH^c, Thomas A. MedsgerJr, MD^c, Virginia D. Steen, MD^d, John Varga, MD^e, Mary Carns, MS^e, Paula S. Ramos, PhD^f, Richard M. Silver, MD^f, Elena Schiopu, MD^g, Dinesh Khanna, MD^g, Vivien Hsu, MD^h, Jessica K. Gordon, MDⁱ, Heather Gladue, DO^j, Lesley A. Saketkoo, MD, MPH^k, Lindsey A. Criswell, MD, MPH^I, Chris T. Derk, MD, MS^m, Marcin A. Trojanowski, MDⁿ, Victoria K. Shanmugam, MBBS, MRCP^o, Lorinda Chung, MD, MS^p, Antonia Valenzuela, MD, MS^p, Reem Jan, MBBS^q, Avram Goldberg, MD^r, Elaine F. Remmers, PhD^s, Daniel L. Kastner, MD, PhD^s, Fredrick M. Wigley, MD^a, Pravitt Gourh, MD^t, Francesco Boin, MD^{I,*}

Abstract

Racial differences exist in the severity of systemic sclerosis (SSc). To enhance our knowledge about SSc in African Americans, we established a comprehensive clinical database from the largest multicenter cohort of African American SSc patients assembled to date (the Genome Research in African American Scleroderma Patients (GRASP) cohort).

Editor: Francesco Carubbi.

PG and FB equally contributed to this study and are co-senior authors.

Authorship: NDM, AAS, FW, FB: analysis and interpretation of data and drafting of the article. All authors: conception and study design; critical review and revision of the article to ensure important intellectual content, and final approval of the version to be published.

Ethics approval: Approval from respective local ethics committees was obtained by each participating center.

Funding: The GRASP consortium was supported by research funding from the Scleroderma Research Foundation and the Intramural Research Programs of the National Human Genome Research Institute and the National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases.

Dr Nadia Morgan was supported by the National Institute of Arthritis, Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases (NIAMS) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under Award Number T32AR048522 and the Rheumatology Research Foundation Scientist Development Award.

Dr Ami Shah was supported by NIAMS of the NIH under Award Number K23AR061439.

Dr Maureen Mayes was supported by grants from NIAMS of the NIH Centers of Research Translation P50-AR054144, NIH grant N01-AR-02251 and R01-AR-055258; and the Department of Defense Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program W81XWH-07–1–011 and WX81XWH-13–1-0452.

Dr Paul Ramos was supported by grants from the NIH K01 AR067280, R03 AR065801, P60 AR062755, and the South Carolina Clinical and Translational Research Institute, with an academic home at the Medical University of South Carolina, through NIH Grants numbers UL1 RR029882 and UL1 TR000062.

Dr Richard Silver was supported by grants from the NIH P60 AR062755 and the South Carolina Clinical and Translational Research Institute, with an academic home at the Medical University of South Carolina, through NIH Grants numbers UL1 RR029882 and UL1 TR000062.

Dr Dinesh Khanna was supported by grants from NIAMS of the NIH K24 AR063120, has received investigator-initiated grants and acts as a consultant to Actelion, BMS, Bayer, Corbus, Cytori, ChemoMab, Eicos, GSK, Genentech/Roche, Sanofi-Aventis, and UCB.

Dr Chris Derk was supported by research funding from Gilead, Actelion and Cytori.

Dr Francesco Boin was supported by research funding from Nina Ireland Program for Lung Health.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Supplemental Digital Content is available for this article.

^a Division of Rheumatology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, ^b Division of Rheumatology, University of Texas-McGovern Medical School, Houston, TX, ^c Division of Rheumatology, University of Pittsburgh, PA, ^d Division of Rheumatology, Georgetown University School of Medicine, Washington, DC, ^e Division of Rheumatology, Northwestern University, Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, ^f Division of Rheumatology, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC, ^g Division of Rheumatology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, ^h Division of Rheumatology, Robert Wood Johnson University, New Brunswick, NJ, ⁱ Division of Rheumatology, Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, NY, ⁱ Department of Rheumatology, Arthritis and Osteoporosis Consultants of the Carolinas, Charlotte, NC, ^k Division of Rheumatology, Tulane University School of Medicine, New Orleans, LA, ⁱ Division of Rheumatology, University of California San Francisco, CA, ^m Division of Rheumatology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, ⁿ Division of Rheumatology, Boston University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, ^o Division of Rheumatology, George Washington University, Washington, DC, ^e Division of Rheumatology, New York University Langone Medical Center, New York, NY, ^s National Human Genome Research Institute, ^t National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA.

* Correspondence: Francesco Boin, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA (e-mail: Francesco.Boin@ucsf.edu).

Copyright © 2017 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives License 4.0, which allows for redistribution, commercial and noncommercial, as long as it is passed along unchanged and in whole, with credit to the author.

Medicine (2017) 96:51(e8980)

Received: 5 September 2017 / Received in final form: 2 November 2017 / Accepted: 8 November 2017

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000008980

African American SSc patients were enrolled retrospectively and prospectively over a 30-year period (1987–2016), from 18 academic centers throughout the United States. The cross-sectional prevalence of sociodemographic, clinical, and serological features was evaluated. Factors associated with clinically significant manifestations of SSc were assessed using multivariate logistic regression analyses.

The study population included a total of 1009 African American SSc patients, comprised of 84% women. In total, 945 (94%) patients met the 2013 American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism (ACR/EULAR) classification criteria for SSc, with the remaining 64 (6%) meeting the 1980 ACR or CREST (calcinosis, Raynaud's phenomenon, esophageal dysmotility, sclerodactyly, telangiectasia) criteria. While 43% were actively employed, 33% required disability support. The majority (57%) had the more severe diffuse subtype and a young age at symptom onset (39.1 ± 13.7 years), in marked contrast to that reported in cohorts of predominantly European ancestry. Also, 1 in 10 patients had a severe Medsger cardiac score of 4. Pulmonary fibrosis evident on computed tomography (CT) chest was present in 43% of patients and was significantly associated with antitopoisomerase I positivity. 38% of patients with CT evidence of pulmonary fibrosis had a severe restrictive ventilator defect, forced vital capacity (FVC) \leq 50% predicted. A significant association was noted between longer disease duration and higher odds of pulmonary hypertension, telangiectasia, and calcinosis. The prevalence of potentially fatal scleroderma renal crisis was 7%, 3.5 times higher than the 2% prevalence reported in the European League Against Rheumatism Scleroderma Trials and Research (EUSTAR) cohort.

Our study emphasizes the unique and severe disease burden of SSc in African Americans compared to those of European ancestry.

Abbreviations: ACE = angiotensin converting enzyme, ACR/EULAR = American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism, ANA = antinuclear antibody, ANOVA = analysis of variance, CI = confidence interval, CREST = calcinosis, CT = computed tomography, dcSSc = diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis, DLCO = diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide, esophageal dysmotility, EUSTAR = European League Against Rheumatism Scleroderma Trials and Research, EUSTAR = European League Against Rheumatism Scleroderma Trials and Research, EUSTAR = European League Against Rheumatism Scleroderma Trials and Research in African American Scleroderma Patients cohort, ILD = interstitial lung disease, IcSSc = limited cutaneous systemic sclerosis, mRSS = modified Rodnan Skin Score, Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases, NIAMS = National Institute of Arthritis, NIH = National Institutes of Health, OR = odds ratio, PFTs = pulmonary function tests, Raynaud's phenomenon, RP = Raynaud's phenomenon, sclerodactyly, SLE = systemic lupus erythematosus, SRC = scleroderma renal crisis, SSc = systemic sclerosis, telangiectasia, US = United States.

Keywords: African Americans, autoantibodies, systemic sclerosis

1. Introduction

There is evidence that racial differences exist in the susceptibility to and severity of systemic sclerosis (scleroderma; SSc). African Americans have a higher age-specific incidence and prevalence of SSc compared to European Americans.^[1,2] Moreover, the most current national report of SSc-associated mortality in the United States (US) noted death rates that peaked a decade earlier in the African American population, with age-adjusted mortality significantly higher in African Americans compared to European Americans.^[3]

The leading cause of mortality in SSc is attributed to pulmonary complications, which occur in 70% to 90% of patients.^[4] The 10-year survival for SSc patients with interstitial lung disease (ILD) is only 60%.^[5] African ancestry is an independent predictor of lung involvement in SSc.^[6] Furthermore, the incidence of SSc-associated severe ILD^[7] and pulmonary hypertension^[8,9] is reported to be higher in African Americans than other ethnic groups.

Socioeconomic factors and impaired access to health care have not fully accounted for the predilection of African Americans to poor health outcomes.^[10] Attempts to elucidate the factors influencing increased disease severity have been hindered by the relatively small size of available African American SSc cohorts.^[11,12]

Accordingly, a multicenter SSc cohort database, the Genome Research in African American Scleroderma Patients (GRASP) clinical database, was established to enhance our understanding of the phenotype of SSc in African Americans and identify factors contributing to the severity of their disease. The GRASP cohort consists of more than 1000 extensively phenotyped African American SSc patients enrolled from academic centers throughout the US. It is currently the largest multicenter cohort of African American SSc patients. Consequently, the comprehensive clinical database and significant size of the GRASP cohort provides adequate statistical power to perform informative multivariate analyses.

In this paper, we describe the clinical and serological characteristics of the GRASP cohort and report the results of multivariate analyses, to identify factors associated with clinically significant and severe manifestations of SSc in African Americans. Additionally, we compare the findings in the GRASP cohort to that reported in a multicenter cohort of predominantly European ancestry.

2. Methods

2.1. Study population

The GRASP clinical database was established in May 2013 and includes socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of a US cohort of exclusively African American SSc patients, enrolled retrospectively and prospectively over a 30-year period (1987–2016). African American race was ascertained by patient self-identification. All patients met the 1980 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) or 2013 ACR/EULAR (European League Against Rheumatism) classification criteria for systemic sclerosis; or had at least 3 of 5 features of the CREST (calcinosis, Raynaud's phenomenon, esophageal dysmotility, sclerodactyly, telangiectasia) syndrome.^[13,14]

2.2. Study protocol

Patients were enrolled from a total of 18 academic centers throughout the US. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki^[15] and participating centers secured local ethics committee approval prior to participant enrollment.

GRASP investigators documented clinical, serological and sociodemographic data, including age, sex, dates of SSc diagnosis and symptom onset, smoking status, immunosuppressive medication use, history of malignancy and autoantibody status. The presence of an overlapping autoimmune disease (rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, inflammatory myopathy, Sjögren's syndrome) was ascertained based on established classification criteria.^[16–20]

Data from diagnostic studies including pulmonary function tests (PFTs), echocardiograms, chest radiographs, and highresolution computed tomography (CT) scans of the chest and right heart cardiac catheterizations were obtained. All data were subsequently assembled in a clinical database, maintained at the Johns Hopkins University coordinating site.

Disease onset was defined as the occurrence of the first ever symptom attributed to SSc (Raynaud's or non-Raynaud's). Disease duration was defined as the time from disease onset to the date of sample collection for genetic analysis. SSc subtype was classified as diffuse (dcSSc) or limited (lcSSc) based on the extent of cutaneous involvement, as described by LeRoy et al.^[21] Patients were classified as having diffuse SSc if there was clinical evidence of cutaneous fibrosis extending proximal to the elbows or knees, at any time during the disease course.

The pattern of skin involvement was further classified into 4 subsets (Type 0,1,2,3) as previously defined by Cottrell et al.^[22] The degree of cutaneous fibrosis was quantitatively assessed using the physician assigned modified Rodnan Skin Score (mRSS).^[23] The maximum mRSS and worst ever organ specific severity scores were recorded for each patient. Organ-specific severity scores were assigned in accordance with the revised Medsger Severity Score for SSc.^[24]

Target organ involvement was deemed to be present if the respective Medsger Severity Score was≥1. Severe organ involvement was defined as a Medsger Severity Score of 3 (severe) or 4 (end stage).^[24] Accordingly, severe peripheral vascular involvement was defined as the presence of digital tip ulcerations or digital gangrene. An mRSS \geq 30 was indicative of severe cutaneous involvement. Severe gastrointestinal disease included malabsorption syndrome, episodes of pseudo-obstruction or the requirement of total parenteral nutrition. For renal disease, severe involvement was defined by a serum creatinine level $\geq 3.0 \text{ mg/dL}$, or the requirement for dialysis or renal transplant. Skeletal muscle involvement was deemed to be severe if proximal muscle weakness with less than grade 3/5 power was evident on physical examination, or the patient required ambulation aids. Severe cardiac disease was defined as a left ventricular ejection fraction <40%, clinical signs of heart failure, an arrhythmia requiring treatment, or heart transplant. Severe pulmonary involvement was characterized by the presence of at least one of the following: forced vital capacity (FVC) < 50% of predicted, diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DLCO) < 50% of predicted, moderate to severe pulmonary hypertension, requirement for oxygen due to SSc-associated pulmonary disease or lung transplant.

2.3. Statistical analysis

The cross-sectional prevalence of clinical and serological features in the GRASP cohort was determined using the data obtained at the time of study enrollment. Clinical and socio-demographic characteristics were compared between groups based on sex, serological profile, and SSc subtype respectively, using *t*-test, chisquare test, Fisher's exact test, and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) as appropriate. Factors associated with clinical manifestations of SSc and severe organ involvement were identified using multivariable logistic regression analyses including covariates: sex (male versus female), SSc subtype (dcSSc versus lcSSc), SSc-associated autoantibody status (anti-centromere, anti-topoisomerase I or anti-RNA polymerase III positivity), age at first symptom onset and disease duration in years. These variables were fixed in all analyses because of their clinical relevance.

The date of onset of the first symptom attributed to SSc (Raynaud's or non-Raynaud's) was used to calculate disease duration. Sensitivity analyses were performed to ensure the choice of disease onset (Raynaud's onset versus first non-Raynaud's symptom onset) did not impact the magnitude or significance of observed associations with relevant clinical outcomes. The covariate of smoking status (ever versus never smoked cigarettes) was included in multivariable logistic regression analysis to determine factors associated with vascular and cardiopulmonary involvement. Assumptions in the statistical analyses were verified using normal probability and leverage plots. Statistical significance was defined as a 2-sided *P* value \leq .05. The dataset was analyzed using Stata Statistical Software version 14.2 (College Station, TX).

3. Results

3.1. Patient characteristics and sociodemographic features

As of November 2016, a total of 1009 African American SSc patients were enrolled in the GRASP cohort from the 18 participating US academic centers (Supplementary Table 1, http://links.lww.com/MD/C23). Comprehensive clinical and serological data were provided for most patients (Supplementary Table 2, http://links.lww.com/MD/C23). The sociodemographic features of the GRASP cohort are summarized in Table 1.

There was a female predominance, 843 (84%) women. The majority of patients were insured. More than 50% completed a college or post-graduate education. While 43% were actively employed, 33% required disability support. At the time of study enrollment, 35% of patients had a history of or currently smoked cigarettes.

3.2. Disease characteristics

In total 94% patients met the 2013 ACR/EULAR classification criteria for SSc, with the remaining 6% meeting the 1980 ACR or CREST criteria (Table 2). The majority of patients (57%) were classified as dcSSc.

The mean age at SSc diagnosis was 42.4 ± 13.5 years, with an average time to diagnosis of 3.4 ± 6.0 years from the onset of the first symptom attributed to SSc. The mean age at onset of the first symptom attributed to SSc was 39.1 ± 13.7 years (Table 2).

An assessment of the general health status was scored by the Medsger general severity scale, which uses weight loss and hematologic measures to define disease burden.^[24] 10% exhibited a severe grade 4 disease burden, with weight loss \geq 44 pounds or anemia with hematocrit <25% (Fig. 1).

3.3. Organ involvement

3.3.1. *Cutaneous.* A high prevalence of diffuse disease (57%) was noted, and a predilection for the diffuse subtype was observed in both men and women (Table 2). The mean maximum

Table 1

Socio-demographic characteristics of the Genome Research in African American Scleroderma Patients cohort.

Socio-demographic characteristics	Number, %
Sex	
Male	166 (16)
Female	843 (84)
Hispanic/Latino Ethnicity	13 (2)
Insurance	
None	9 (2)
Medicare	67 (12)
Medical Assistance	69 (12)
Self-pay	11 (2)
Private	415 (73)
Marital status	
Single	269 (33)
Divorced	124 (15)
Separated	20 (2)
Widowed	42 (5)
Domestic partnership	6 (1)
Married	355 (44)
Education	
Grade school	16 (3)
Technical/trade	36 (6)
High school	197 (33)
College	266 (44)
Post-graduate	89 (15)
Employment	
Unemployed	77 (11)
Employed	297 (43)
Disability	224 (33)
Retired	87 (13)
Cigarette use	331 (35)
Age at commencement, mean \pm SD	20.4 ± 7.6
Age at cessation, mean \pm SD	37.7 ± 13.5
Past PPD, mean \pm SD	0.7 ± 0.5
Current PPD, mean \pm SD.	$0.7 \pm 0.4.$

mRSS for patients with dcSSc was 20 ± 10 , and 5 ± 5 in patients with lcSSc (Table 3). Anti-topoisomerase I (adjusted odds ratio [OR] 1.67, 95% confidence interval [95% CI] 1.08–2.58) and anti-RNA polymerase III (adjusted OR 2.54, 95% CI 1.36–4.75) positivity were significantly associated with the diffuse subtype, whereas anti-centromere positivity was found to be protective (adjusted OR 0.14, 95% CI 0.05–0.38) (Table 4).

In bivariate analyses, higher mRSS values were associated with male sex (difference in mRSS 4, P < .001), anti-topoisomerase I positivity (difference in mRSS 4, P < .001) and anti-RNA polymerase III positivity (difference in mRSS 7, P < .001). Conversely, anti-centromere antibody positivity was associated with lower mRSS values (difference in mRSS 8, P < .001). In multivariate analyses, severe cutaneous involvement (mRSS ≥ 30) was significantly associated with male sex, anti-topoisomerase I, and anti-RNA polymerase III positivity (Table 5).

50% of the GRASP cohort had telangiectasia (Table 2). In the adjusted models, the relative odds of having telangiectasia was 4% higher for each year of disease duration and anti-RNA polymerase III positivity was associated with over 50% lower odds of exhibiting telangiectasia (Table 4).

18% of patients had a history of calcinosis. Longer disease duration was the only factor significantly associated with calcinosis (Table 4).

3.3.2. Raynaud's phenomenon. The mean age at onset of Raynaud's phenomenon (RP) was 39.1 ± 13.5 years (Table 2). 98% of patients reported a history of RP. 31% of patients experienced digital tip ulcerations and 6% reported complications of digital gangrene. Diffuse disease and cigarette smoking were significantly associated with severe vascular complications of digital ulcers and gangrene (Table 5). Older age at symptom onset was associated with significantly lower odds of experiencing these severe vascular complications (Table 5).

PPD = packs per day, SD = standard deviation.

Table 2

Clinical and serological characteristics of the Genome Research in African American Scleroderma Patients cohort by sex.

Total (n=1009) Female (n=843) Male (n=166) ACR/EULAR 2013 criteria fulfilled [*] 945 (94) 789 (94) 156 (95) Age at symptom onset, mean±SD 39.1±13.7 38.6±13.7 41.7±13.4 Raynaud's Phenomenon 39.1±13.5 38.8±13.6 41.1±13.2 Non-Raynaud's Phenomenon 40.6±13.4 40.3±13.4 42.3±13.1 Age at diagnosis, mean±SD 42.4±13.5 42.2±13.6 43.7±13.0 Age at sample collection, mean±SD 49.1±12.9 49.0±13.0 49.6±12.0	P NA .009
ACR/EULAR 2013 criteria fulfilled"945 (94)789 (94)156 (95)Age at symptom onset, mean \pm SD39.1 \pm 13.738.6 \pm 13.741.7 \pm 13.4Raynaud's Phenomenon39.1 \pm 13.538.8 \pm 13.641.1 \pm 13.2Non-Raynaud's Phenomenon40.6 \pm 13.440.3 \pm 13.442.3 \pm 13.1Age at diagnosis, mean \pm SD42.4 \pm 13.542.2 \pm 13.643.7 \pm 13.0Age at sample collection, mean \pm SD49.1 \pm 12.949.0 \pm 13.049.6 \pm 12.0	NA .009
Age at symptom onset, mean ± SD 39.1 ± 13.7 38.6 ± 13.7 41.7 ± 13.4 Raynaud's Phenomenon 39.1 ± 13.5 38.8 ± 13.6 41.1 ± 13.2 Non-Raynaud's Phenomenon 40.6 ± 13.4 40.3 ± 13.4 42.3 ± 13.1 Age at diagnosis, mean ± SD 42.4 ± 13.5 42.2 ± 13.6 43.7 ± 13.0 Age at sample collection, mean ± SD 49.1 ± 12.9 49.0 ± 13.0 49.6 ± 12.0	.009
Raynaud's Phenomenon 39.1 ± 13.5 38.8 ± 13.6 41.1 ± 13.2 Non-Raynaud's Phenomenon 40.6 ± 13.4 40.3 ± 13.4 42.3 ± 13.1 Age at diagnosis, mean ± SD 42.4 ± 13.5 42.2 ± 13.6 43.7 ± 13.0 Age at sample collection, mean ± SD 49.1 ± 12.9 49.0 ± 13.0 49.6 ± 12.0	052
Non-Raynaud's Phenomenon 40.6±13.4 40.3±13.4 42.3±13.1 Age at diagnosis, mean±SD 42.4±13.5 42.2±13.6 43.7±13.0 Age at sample collection, mean±SD 49.1±12.9 49.0±13.0 49.6±12.0	.002
Age at diagnosis, mean ± SD 42.4 ± 13.5 42.2 ± 13.6 43.7 ± 13.0 Age at sample collection, mean ± SD 49.1 ± 12.9 49.0 ± 13.0 49.6 ± 12.0	.096
Age at sample collection, mean ± SD 49.1 ± 12.9 49.0 ± 13.0 49.6 ± 12.0	.196
	.571
Disease duration, years, mean \pm SD 9.9 \pm 8.7 10.3 \pm 8.9 8.0 \pm 7.4	.002
Scleroderma phenotype	
SSC Subtype	
Limited 432 (43) 380 (46) 52 (32)	.001
Diffuse 566 (57) 454 (54) 112 (68)	
SSC Type	.009
0 34 (3) 31 (4) 3 (2)	
1 304 (30) 269 (32) 35 (21)	
2 94 (9) 80 (10) 14 (9)	
3 566 (57) 441 (54) 112 (68)	
Maximum mRSS, mean ± SD 14 ± 11 13 ± 11 17 ± 12	<.001
Raynaud's Phenomenon 975 (98) 819 (98) 156 (96)	.064
Telangiectasia 503 (50) 424 (51) 79 (48)	.487
Calcinosis 184 (18) 160 (19) 24 (15)	.155
Organ system involvement	
Skin 884 (96) 731 (95) 153 (98)	.130
Skeletal muscles 237 (28) 198 (28) 39 (27)	.822
Myopathy	
Elevated muscle enzymes 150 (23) 122 (23) 28 (24)	.841
EMG evidence 42 (7) 33 (7) 9 (8)	.579
MRI evidence 23 (4) 18 (4) 5 (5)	.640
Biopsy proven 36 (6) 28 (6) 8 (7)	.524
Gl tract 903 (94) 756 (94) 147 (94)	.848
Heart 220 (24) 169 (22) 51 (34)	.002
Kidney 140 (15) 101 (13) 39 (25)	<.001

(continued)

Table 2

(continued)	-
-------------	---

Total (n=100) Fende (n=40) Nate (n=160) P Six field (n=100) 65 (n) 0.0 (n) 140 (n) 157 (n) <th></th> <th></th> <th>African American scleroo</th> <th>lerma patients</th> <th></th>			African American scleroo	lerma patients	
SS: Polar Crise 66 (7) 43 (8) 13 (8) 40 (49) 47. PURDay prot, man_SD 62 6 ± 21.3 63.3 ± 21.4 60.7 ± 20.8 222 DCMP, prot, man_SD 66 4 ± 21.7 46 8 ± 20.3 111 79.00 100 (47) 200 (47) 46 8 ± 20.3 111 PLOT protoc 360 (43) 238 (43) 67 (47) 38.9 79.00 22.01 20.01		Total (n=1009)	Female (n = 843)	Male (n = 166)	Р
Difform 839 693 693 140 693 671 DVS, prof., mar., SD 625, 22.1 63.05, ±7.1 49.9, ±7.12 48.6, ±2.3.9 1.111 Parton F, Morais 98.66 90.07.01 3.57 2.84 4.33 6.91 9.33 DLOS, prof., mar., SD 46.4 ± 2.17 49.9, ±7.12 4.84, ±2.3.9 1.111 DLOS, prof., mar., SD 46.4 ± 2.3.9 1.111 3.33 2.33 4.84 4.33 4.33 And Construct 380 1.33 1.33 2.21 .79 3.44 4.24 2.24 .74	SSc Benal Crisis	56 (7)	43 (6)	13 (9)	144
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pulmonary	839 (88)	699 (88)	140 (89)	.870
D.COS pred. mon \pm 50 49 4^{\pm} 2:7 49 3^{\pm} 2:1 48 4^{\pm} 2:3 111 Dutnous flythinsis 563 (89 463 (89 100 (70) 577 Olf widence 88 (14) 66 (12) 22 (19) 0.06 To see incorrect 93 (16) 281 (2) 67 (4) 324 Putnous flythinsis 282 (30) 281 (2) 32 (2) 789 CDA PH 167 (18) 30 (20) 452 (2) 789 CDA PH 167 (18) 30 (20) 452 (2) 789 CDA PH 167 (18) 30 (20) 452 (2) 799 Add HMA 167 (18) 30 (20) 452 (2) 799 Add HMA 167 (13) 12 (2) (2) 729 730 (2) 740 (2) Add HMA 160 (18) 20 (10) 52 (2) 771 (3) 12 (2) 727 Add HMA 160 (19) 10 (18) 22 (17) 381 74 (3) 24 (4) 30 (0) 74 (3) Add HMA 76 (0) 2 (17) 60 (10)	FVC% pred. mean + SD	62.6+21.3	63.0 + 21.4	60.7 + 20.8	.222
Putronsy Pictors 655 (89) 463 (86) 100 (70) 177 DR dotlonc 88 (14) 66 (12) 22 (19) 066 of C Dett eleters 390 (43) 233 (43) 67 (47) 353 of ar APC 250K put 131 (28) 103 (27) 28 (44) 344 PLOAD for 131 (28) 103 (27) 28 (44) 344 Cah Ph 119 (20) 77 (20) 32 (27) 470 Cah Ph 119 (20) 137 (20) 32 (27) 470 Atternotome 72 (8) 68 (10) 4 (3) 400 Atternotome 72 (8) 68 (10) 4 (3) 400 Atternotome 70 (1) 10 (10) 10 (10) 340 Atternotome 70 (1) 10 (10) 10 (10) 344 Atternotome 70 (1) 70 (10) 0 (0) 344 Atternotome 12 (17) 10 (10) 10 (10) 344 Atternotome 12 (17) 10 (10) 10 (10) 344 A	DI CO% pred. mean + SD	49.4 + 21.7	49.9 ± 21.2	46.8 + 23.9	.113
Cit R winnen 18 (14) 66 (12) 12 (16) 106 CT Obst voltene 380 (43) 233 (43) 57 (47) 351 and PC - 50% prod 13 (86) 133 (37) 28 (44) .244 Palmoner Mynetrison 222 (3) 23 (22) .38 .344 Palmoner Mynetrison 22 (3) 157 (18) 30 (22) .34 Anti-ontronen 72 (8) 68 (10) 4 (3) .000 Anti-ontronen 72 (8) 67 (13) 12 (17) .77 Anti-Othy 16 (1) 16 (10) 2 (8) .45 Anti-Othy 16 (1) 16 (10) .44 .45 Anti-Othy 16 (1) 17 (7) 19 (17) .41 (14) .43 Anti-Othy 76 (1) 2 (17) .42 (14) .44 .44 (Pulmonary Fibrosis	563 (68)	463 (68)	100 (70)	570
CT (Dest Produce 98 (43) 233 (43) CT (47) CT (47) <thct (47)<="" th=""> CT (47) <thct (47)<="" th=""></thct></thct>	CXB evidence	88 (14)	66 (12)	22 (19)	064
air MC_520% prind 151 (8) 103 (37) 28 (44) 282 (42) PUTronsry Mynchristion 282 (60) 230 (29) 52 (24) .424 Cath PH 1157 (10) 137 (11) 30 (20) .484 Attaantoris	CT Chest evidence	360 (43)	293 (43)	67 (47)	.001
Phonony Appetension 220 (20) 230 (20) 22 (24) -24 EOR PH 189 (20) 157 (20) 32 (21) 790 Cath PH 167 (18) 137 (18) 30 (20) .481 Admittodie 70 (30) 21 (32) 167 (20) .401 Anti-atopicances I 70 (30) 21 (32) 170 (30) .401 Anti-atopicances I 70 (30) 21 (32) 170 (30) .401 Anti-Bolic Networks I 161 (14) 16 (16) 28 (30) .401 Anti-Bolic Networks I 161 (14) 16 (16) 28 (30) .422 (17) .401 Anti-Bolic Networks I 70 (30) 34 (37) 00) .434 .441 Anti-Bolic Networks I 70 (30) 40 (30) .441 .441 .441 Anti-Bolic Networks I 70 (30) 36 (9) .36 (9) .361 .361 Anti-Bolic Networks I 70 (7) 100 .442 .441 .441 .441 Anti-Bolic Networks I 371 (10) 16 (11)	and $E/C < 50\%$ pred	131 (38)	103 (37)	28 (44)	242
Line Mathematical 189 (20) 157 (20) 127 (20) <td>Pulmonany Hypertension</td> <td>282 (30)</td> <td>230 (20)</td> <td>52 (34)</td> <td>2/2</td>	Pulmonany Hypertension	282 (30)	230 (20)	52 (34)	2/2
Card Pith Dif Carl Dif Var Sec Carl	ECHO PH	180 (20)	157 (20)	32 (04)	700
And entrome 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 Anti-entrome 72 60 60 41 90 41 91 41 91 41 91 41 91 41 91 41 91 41 91 41 91 41 41 10 10 10 41 <td>Coth PH</td> <td>167 (19)</td> <td>107 (20)</td> <td>20 (20)</td> <td>.130</td>	Coth PH	167 (19)	107 (20)	20 (20)	.130
And examples 72 (8) 68 (10) 4 (9) 70 (9) 70 (9) 70 (9) 71 (9) 71 (9) 71 (9) 72 (1) 72 (1) <th72 (1)<="" th=""> <th72 (1)<="" th=""> <th72 (<="" td=""><td>Autoantibodioc</td><td>107 (10)</td><td>137 (18)</td><td>30 (20)</td><td>.499</td></th72></th72></th72>	Autoantibodioc	107 (10)	137 (18)	30 (20)	.499
And-space systems TO CO	Anti contromoro	72 (9)	69 (10)	4 (2)	000
And And Jonation 27 (04) 1 (21) 1 (02) <th1 (02)<="" th=""> <</th1>	Anti tangigamaraga I	270 (20)	212 (20)	4 (J) 57 (29)	.000
Anima Lypin case in 73 [13] 0 [13] 12 [12]	Anti DNA polymorodo III	270 (30)	213 (20)	10 (10)	.017
Articul Name H2 (10) 12 (10) 22 (1) dit Articul Name 15 (10) 16 (10) 20 (10) dit Artic Chi 7 (6) 7 (7) 0 (0) dit Artic Smith 50 (6) 44 (7) 6 (5) dit Artic Smith 50 (6) 44 (7) 8 (10) dit Artic Smith 27 (3) 24 (4) 3 (2) dit Artic Schi 47 (9) 39 (9) 8 (9) dit dit Artic Acta 47 (9) 39 (9) 8 (9) dit dit dit Artic Acta 7 (8) dit dit dit dit dit dit Artic Acta 28 (6) dit dit <td>Anti Lit, DND</td> <td>140 (10)</td> <td>120 (13)</td> <td>12 (12)</td> <td>./20</td>	Anti Lit, DND	140 (10)	120 (13)	12 (12)	./20
All L1, have B [14] B [16] C [0] C [0] <thc [0]<="" th=""></thc>	Anti-UT RNP	142 (18)	120 (18)	22 (17)	.010
Aller Int D 5 (b) 5 (b) 7 (7) 0 (b) 344 Ard-Smith 50 (b) 44 (7) 6 (b) 44 (7) Ard-Smith 50 (b) 44 (7) 6 (b) 44 (7) Ard-Smith 137 (7) 14 (7) 6 (b) 41 (7) Ard-SabhA 27 (7) 39 (9) 3 (9) 60 Articular 838 (94) 750 (94) 148 (94) 90 Adminuclar 838 (94) 750 (94) 148 (94) 90 Apatem 60 67 (7) 2 (2) 10 70 Apatem 286 (8) 227 (36) 11 (9) 10 70 Nuclaviar 286 (8) 2 (0.3) 2 (0.3) 0 (0.0) 000 Offusa 5 (0.7) 3 (0.5) 2 (1.7) 10 64 (9) 000 <000	Anti-U3 KNP	18 (14)	10 (10)	2 (8)	.320
Affer Hissah 7 (b) 7 (c) 0 (c)	Anti-Ti/To	C (8)	5 (TU)	0 (0)	.382
Alti-Shift 30 (b) 44 (l) b (b) 44 (l) Anti-Ao 37 (17) 149 (17) 18 (14) 31 (1 Anti-Ao 27 (3) 24 (A) 3 (2) 80 (3) Anti-AobNA 47 (f9) 39 (9) 8 (9) 90 (9) 8 (9) 90 (9) 30 (9)	Anti-Pinsci Anti-Pinsci	7 (0)	I(I)	0 (0)	.342
Antl-ho 13/ (1/) 119 (1/) 18 (14) .312 Antl-La 27 (3) 24 (4) 3 (2) .602 Antl-La 47 (9) 39 (9) 8 (9) .907 Antl-Austan 750 (94) 148 (94) .977 Anth-La 750 (94) 148 (94) .977 Anth-La 26 (8) 60 (9) 58 (9) 11 (9) Centromere 48 (6) 46 (7) 2 (2) .00 (0) Mucleóar 266 (36) 227 (36) 41 (34) .00 (0) Speckled 226 (30) 166 (30) 40 (33) .00 (0) .00 (0) Offuse 2 (0.3) 2 (0.3) 2 (1.7) .27 (16) .166 Pheumatoi atrihis 37 (4) 36 (4) 1 (0.6) .000 .000 Offuse 7 (9) 7 (10) .41 (1) .11 (1) .32 (2) .712 Spectric lupus erythematacus 64 (6) 9 (6) .30 (5) .6 (5) .32 (1) .400 Spetric lupus erythematacus	Anti-Smith	50 (6)	44 (7)	6 (5)	.417
Antl-La 27 (3) 24 (4) 3 (2) 800 Antl-Jahn 47 (9) 39 (9) 8 (9) 900 Antl-Jahn 70 148 70 900 900 Antl-Jahn 70 700 <th< td=""><td>Anti-Ro</td><td>137 (17)</td><td>119 (17)</td><td>18 (14)</td><td>.318</td></th<>	Anti-Ro	137 (17)	119 (17)	18 (14)	.318
Anti-sclam 47 (9) 39 (9) 8 (9) 90 <t< td=""><td>Anti-La</td><td>27 (3)</td><td>24 (4)</td><td>3 (2)</td><td>.602</td></t<>	Anti-La	27 (3)	24 (4)	3 (2)	.602
Aftincter 88 (4) 76 (4) 148 (4) 37 AdA pater	Anti-dsDNA	47 (9)	39 (9)	8 (9)	.907
ANA pattern	Antinuclear	898 (94)	750 (94)	148 (94)	.970
Centromere 48 (6) 46 (7) 2 (2) Hornogenous 69 (9) 58 (9) 11 (9) Nucleolar 268 (36) 227 (36) 41 (34) Speckled 226 (30) 168 (30) 40 (33) Ortoplasmic 2 (0.3) 0 (0.0) 0 Diffuse 5 (0.7) 3 (0.5) 2 (1.7) Maxed 127 (17) 102 (16) 25 (21) Overlaping desase 204 (20) 177 (21) 27 (16) .16 Systemi (ups eighternatous 64 (6) 64 (8) 0 (0) <<001	ANA pattern				.104
Honogenous 69 (9) 58 (9) 11 (9) Nucleolar 268 (36) 227 (36) 41 (34) Speckled 226 (30) 166 (30) 40 (33) Optoplasmic 2 (0.3) 2 (0.3) 0 (0.0) Diffuse 5 (0.7) 30 (5) 2 (1.7) Mixed 127 (17) 102 (16) 25 (21) Overtagnipa disease 204 (20) 177 (21) 22 (16) 166 Rheumatid arthitis 37 (4) 36 (4) 1 (0.6) 020 Systemic Lique stythematosus 64 (6) 64 (8) 0 (0) <.001	Centromere	48 (6)	46 (7)	2 (2)	
Nuclealar 266 36) 227 (36) 41 (34) Speckled 226 (30) 166 (50) 40 (33) Optingiamic 2 (0.3) 2 (0.3) 0 (0.0) Diffuse 5 (0.7) 3 (0.5) 2 (1.7) Mixed 127 (17) 102 (16) 25 (21) Overlapping disease 204 (20) 177 (21) 27 (16) .66 Rheumaticul arthritis 37 (4) 36 (4) 0 (0) <.001	Homogenous	69 (9)	58 (9)	11 (9)	
Speckled 226 (3) 216 (3) 20 (3) 0 (0.0) Ortoplasmic 2 (0.3) 2 (0.3) 2 (0.3) 0 (0.0) Diffuse 5 (0.7) 3 (0.5) 2 (1.7)	Nucleolar	268 (36)	227 (36)	41 (34)	
Choplasmic 2 (0.3) 2 (0.3) 0 (0.0) Diffuse 5 (0.7) 3 (0.5) 2 (1.7) Moded 127 (17) 102 (16) 25 (21) Overlapping disease 204 (20) 177 (21) 27 (16) 166 Rheumatoid arthritis 37 (4) 36 (4) 1 (0.6) .022 Systemic lupus erythematosus 64 (6) 64 (8) 0 (0) .400 Inflammatory myopathy 87 (9) 70 (8) 17 (10) .416 Systemic lupus erythematosus 64 (6) 30 (5) 65 (5) .822 .715 Other 16 (2) 10 (1) 64 (9) .000 .822 .715 .822 .715 CAD 27 (6) 32 (5) 33 (10) .66 (9) .822	Speckled	226 (30)	186 (30)	40 (33)	
Diffuse 5 (0.7) 3 (0.5) 2 (1.7) Mixed 127 (17) 102 (16) 25 (21) Overlapping disease 204 (20) 177 (21) 27 (16) 166 Rheumatoid athritis 37 (4) 36 (4) 1 (0.6) 022 Systemic lupus erythematosus 64 (6) 64 (8) 0 (0) <000	Cytoplasmic	2 (0.3)	2 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	
Mxad 127 (17) 102 (16) 25 (21) 0xerdaprig disease 204 (20) 177 (21) 27 (16) 166 Rheumatoid arthritis 37 (4) 36 (4) 1 (0.6) 022 Systemic lupus erythematosus 64 (6) 64 (8) 0 (0) <001	Diffuse	5 (0.7)	3 (0.5)	2 (1.7)	
Overlagning disease 204 (20) 177 (21) 27 (66) .166 Rheumatoid arthritis 37 (4) 36 (4) 1 0.6) .022 Systemic lupus erythematosus 64 (6) 64 (8) 0 (0) .001 Inflammatory myopathy 87 (9) 70 (8) 17 (10) .416 Sidgren's syndrome 14 (1) 11 (1) 3 (2) .711 Other 16 (2) 10 (1) 6 (4) .022 Cancer 36 (5) 33 (5) 6 (6) .822 CAD 27 (4) 25 (4) 2 (2) .206 Hyperinjcidemia 122 (17) 99 (16) 23 (20) .321 Diabetes mellitus 65 (8) 52 (8) 13 (10) .362 COPD 46 (6) 33 (5) 13 (11) .022 Immunosuppressive medications	Mixed	127 (17)	102 (16)	25 (21)	
Rheumatoid arthritis 37 (4) 36 (4) 1 (0.6) .027 Systemic lupus enythematosus 64 (6) 64 (8) 0 (0) <.001	Overlapping disease	204 (20)	177 (21)	27 (16)	.165
Systemic lupus erythematosus 64 (6) 64 (8) 0 (0) <-00' Inflammatory myopathy 87 (9) 70 (8) 17 (10) 416 Siguer's syndrome 14 (1) 11 (1) 3 (2) .71' Other 16 (2) 10 (1) 6 (4) .022 Cancer 36 (5) 30 (5) 6 (5) .822 Comothid conditions	Rheumatoid arthritis	37 (4)	36 (4)	1 (0.6)	.021
Inflamatory myopathy 87 (9) 70 (8) 17 (10) 414 Sjögren's syndrome 14 (1) 11 (1) 3 (2) 7.13 Other 16 (2) 10 (1) 6 (4) 022 Cancer 36 (5) 30 (5) 6 (5) 822 Comotid conditions	Systemic lupus erythematosus	64 (6)	64 (8)	0 (0)	<.001
Sjögrar's syndrome 14 (1) 11 (1) 3 (2) 7.11 Öther 16 (2) 10 (1) 6 (4) 0.022 Cancer 36 (5) 30 (5) 6 (5) .822 Comothid conditions	Inflammatory myopathy	87 (9)	70 (8)	17 (10)	.416
Öther 16 (2) 10 (1) 6 (4) 022 Cancer 36 (5) 30 (5) 6 (5) 022 Comotidi conditions	Sjögren's syndrome	14 (1)	11 (1)	3 (2)	.713
Cancer 36 (5) 30 (5) 6 (5) 822 Comorbid conditions	Other	16 (2)	10 (1)	6 (4)	.022
Comorbid conditions ASCVD 45 (6) 32 (5) 13 (1) 018 ASCVD 27 (4) 25 (4) 2 (2) 200 Hypertension 368 (46) 302 (45) 66 (49) 406 Hyperfinidemia 122 (17) 99 (16) 23 (20) .321 Diabetes melitus 65 (8) 52 (8) 13 (10) .365 COPD 46 (6) 33 (5) 13 (11) .026 Immuosuppressive medications 76 (21) 143 (21) 33 (24) .371 Prednisone 176 (21) 143 (21) 33 (24) .374 Prednisone 473 (53) 401 (54) 72 (48) .196 Methotrexate 186 (22) 161 (23) 25 (18) .222 Cyclophosphamide 113 (13) 83 (12) 30 (21) .002 Mycophenolate mofetil 321 (37) 259 (36) 62 (43) .125 Hydroxychioroquine 227 (27) 206 (29) 21 (15) .001 D-Penicillamine 42 (5) 37 (5)	Cancer	36 (5)	30 (5)	6 (5)	.822
CAD 45 (6) 32 (5) 13 (1) Oft ASCVD 27 (4) 25 (4) 2 (2) .200 Hypertipidemia 368 (46) 302 (45) 66 (49) .400 Hypertipidemia 122 (17) 99 (16) 23 (20) .321 Diabetes mellitus 65 (8) 52 (8) 13 (10) .365 COPD 46 (6) 33 (5) 13 (10) .365 COPD 46 (6) 33 (5) 13 (10) .365 COPD 46 (6) 33 (5) .31 (1) .026 Immunosuppressive medications	Comorbid conditions			.,	
ASCVD27 (4)25 (4)2 (2).200Hypertension368 (46)302 (45)66 (49).400Hypertipidemia122 (17)99 (16)23 (20).321Diabetes mellitus65 (8)52 (8)13 (10).365COPD46 (6)33 (5)13 (11).026Immunosuppressive medications	CAD	45 (6)	32 (5)	13 (11)	.018
Hypertension 368 (46) 302 (45) 66 (49) .400 Hypertipidemia 122 (17) 99 (16) 23 (20) .32: Diabetes mellitus 65 (8) 52 (8) 13 (10) .36: COPD 46 (6) 33 (5) 13 (10) .36: Immunosuppressive medications	ASCVD	27 (4)	25 (4)	2 (2)	.208
Hyperlipidemia122 (17)99 (16)23 (20).32:Diabetes mellitus65 (8)52 (8)13 (10).36:COPD46 (6)33 (5)11.02:Immunosuppressive medications	Hypertension	368 (46)	302 (45)	66 (49)	.408
Diabetes mellitus 65 (8) 52 (8) 13 (10) .36: COPD 46 (6) 33 (5) 13 (11) .024 Immunosuppressive medications - - - - - - - - .024 <td< td=""><td>Hyperlipidemia</td><td>122 (17)</td><td>99 (16)</td><td>23 (20)</td><td>.321</td></td<>	Hyperlipidemia	122 (17)	99 (16)	23 (20)	.321
COPD 46 (6) 33 (5) 13 (11) Optimized Immunosuppressive medications 76 (21) 143 (21) 33 (24) .347 None 176 (21) 143 (21) 33 (24) .347 Prednisone 473 (53) 401 (54) 72 (48) .190 Methotrexate 186 (22) 161 (23) 25 (18) .224 Azathioprine 72 (9) 65 (9) 7 (5) .12C Cyclophosphamide 113 (13) 83 (12) 30 (21) .0002 Mycophenolate mofetil 321 (37) 259 (36) 62 (43) .12E Hydroxycholoroquine 227 (27) 206 (29) 21 (15) .001 D-Penicillarnine 42 (5) 37 (5) 5 (4) .437 Minocycline 14 (2) 11 (2) 3 (2) .602 Colchicine 29 (3) 23 (3) 1 (1) .000 Intrum necrosis factor 24 (3) 23 (3) 1 (1) .000 Leftnomide 10 (1) 10 (1) 0 (0)	Diabetes mellitus	65 (8)	52 (8)	13 (10)	.363
Immunosuppressive medications Immunosuppressive medications Immunosuppressive medications None 176 (21) 143 (21) 33 (24) .347 Prednisone 473 (53) 401 (54) 72 (48) .190 Methotrexate 186 (22) 161 (23) 25 (18) .222 Azathioprine 72 (9) 65 (9) 7 (5) .120 Cyclophosphamide 113 (13) 83 (12) 30 (21) .000 Mycophenolate mofetil 321 (37) 259 (36) 62 (43) .122 Hydroxycholoroquine 227 (27) 206 (29) 21 (15) .001 D-Penticillamine 42 (5) 37 (5) 5 (4) .437 Minocycline 14 (2) 11 (2) 3 (2) .602 Colchicine 29 (3) 23 (3) 1 (1) .100 Leftunomide 10 (1) 10 (1) 0 (0) .160 Intravenous immunoglobulin 27 (3) 22 (3) 3 (2) .547	COPD	46 (6)	33 (5)	13 (11)	.026
None 176 (21) 143 (21) 33 (24) .347 Prednisone 473 (53) 401 (54) 72 (48) .190 Methotrexate 186 (22) 161 (23) 25 (18) .224 Azathioprine 72 (9) 65 (9) 7 (5) .122 Cyclophosphamide 113 (13) 83 (12) 30 (21) .002 Mycophenolate mofetil 321 (37) 259 (36) 62 (43) .125 Hydroxycholoroquine 227 (27) 206 (29) 21 (15) .001 D-Penicillamine 42 (5) 37 (5) 5 (4) .437 Minocycline 24 (3) 23 (3) 6 (4) .524 Colchcicne 29 (3) 23 (3) 1 (1) .100 Leflunomide 10 (1) 10 (1) 0 (0) .160 Intravenous immunoglobulin 27 (3) 22 (3) 3 (2) .547	Immunosuppressive medications	(-)	(-)		
Prednisone 473 (53) 401 (54) 72 (48) .190 Methotrexate 186 (22) 161 (23) 25 (18) .224 Azathioprine 72 (9) 65 (9) 7 (5) .190 Oyclophosphamide 113 (13) 83 (12) 30 (21) .000 Mycophenolate mofetil 321 (37) 259 (36) 62 (43) .125 Hydroxycholoroquine 227 (27) 206 (29) 21 (15) .001 D-Penicillamine 42 (5) 37 (5) 5 (4) .431 Minocycline 29 (3) 23 (3) 6 (4) .524 Colchicine 29 (3) 23 (3) 1 (1) .100 Leflunomide 10 (1) 10 (1) 0 (0) .160 Intravenous immunoglobulin 27 (3) 22 (3) 3 (2) .547 Rituximab 25 (3) 22 (3) 3 (2) .547	None	176 (21)	143 (21)	33 (24)	.347
Methotrexate 186 (22) 161 (23) 22 (18) .224 Azathioprine 72 (9) 65 (9) 7 (5) .120 Cyclophosphamide 113 (13) 83 (12) 30 (21) .000 Mycophenolate mofetil 321 (37) 259 (36) 62 (43) .122 Hydroxycholoroquine 227 (27) 206 (29) 21 (15) .001 D-Penticillamine 42 (5) 37 (5) 5 (4) .433 Minocycline 29 (3) 23 (3) 6 (4) .524 Colchicine 29 (3) 23 (3) 1 (1) .100 Leflunomide 10 (1) 10 (1) 0 (0) .160 Intravenous immunoglobulin 27 (3) 22 (3) 3 (2) .547	Prednisone	473 (53)	401 (54)	72 (48)	190
Noncontrol72 (9)65 (9)7 (5).120Cyclophosphamide113 (13)83 (12)30 (21).002Mycophenolate mofetil321 (37)259 (36)62 (43).120Hydroxycholoroquine227 (27)206 (29)21 (15).001D-Pencillamine42 (5)37 (5)5 (4).433Minocycline14 (2)11 (2)3 (2).602Colchcine29 (3)23 (3)6 (4).524Anti-tumor necrosis factor24 (3)23 (3)1 (1).100Leflunomide10 (1)10 (1)0 (0).166Intravenous immunoglobulin27 (3)22 (3)3 (2).547Rituximab25 (3)22 (3)3 (2).547	Methotrevate	186 (22)	161 (23)	25 (18)	224
Definition Definition <thdefinition< th=""> Definition Definiti</thdefinition<>	Azathionrine	72 (9)	65 (9)	7 (5)	120
Option of problem of the design of	Cyclophosphamide	112 (12)	83 (12)	30 (21)	002
Hydroxycholoroquine 32 (37) 23 (30) 02 (45) 112 Hydroxycholoroquine 227 (27) 206 (29) 21 (15) .001 D-Penicillamine 42 (5) 37 (5) 5 (4) .437 Minocycline 14 (2) 11 (2) 3 (2) .602 Colchicine 29 (3) 23 (3) 6 (4) .524 Anti-tumor necrosis factor 24 (3) 23 (3) 1 (1) .100 Leflunomide 10 (1) 10 (1) 0 (0) .166 Intravenous immunoglobulin 27 (3) 22 (3) 5 (4) .766 Rituximab 25 (3) 22 (3) 3 (2) .547	Mycophenolate mofetil	321 (37)	250 (36)	62 (43)	125
Injective construction 22 (27) 200 (23) 21 (13) .100 D-Penicillamine 42 (5) 37 (5) 5 (4) .433 Minocycline 14 (2) 11 (2) 3 (2) .6602 Colchicine 29 (3) 23 (3) 6 (4) .524 Anti-tumor necrosis factor 24 (3) 23 (3) 1 (1) .100 Leflunomide 10 (1) 10 (1) 0 (0) .1602 Intravenous immunoglobulin 27 (3) 22 (3) 3 (2) .547 Rituximab 25 (3) 22 (3) 3 (2) .547	Hydrovycholoroguine	021 (07) 007 (07)	208 (20)	02 (40)	.120
Differentiame 42 (3) 57 (3) 5 (4) .45 Minocycline 14 (2) 11 (2) 3 (2) .602 Colchicine 29 (3) 23 (3) 6 (4) .524 Anti-tumor necrosis factor 24 (3) 23 (3) 1 (1) .100 Leflunomide 10 (1) 10 (1) 0 (0) .160 Intravenous immunoglobulin 27 (3) 22 (3) 5 (4) .766 Rituximab 25 (3) 22 (3) 3 (2) .547 <td></td> <td>221 (21) 10 (E)</td> <td>200 (23)</td> <td>2 I (IJ) 5 (A)</td> <td>.001</td>		221 (21) 10 (E)	200 (23)	2 I (IJ) 5 (A)	.001
Initiograme 14 (z) 11 (z) 5 (z) .00z Colchicine 29 (3) 23 (3) 6 (4) .524 Anti-tumor necrosis factor 24 (3) 23 (3) 1 (1) .100 Leflunomide 10 (1) 10 (1) 0 (0) .160 Intravenous immunoglobulin 27 (3) 22 (3) 5 (4) .766 Rituximab 25 (3) 22 (3) 3 (2) .547	Minocycling	42 (0)	37 (3) 11 (3)	2 (0)	.437
Continuitie 29 (3) 23 (3) 6 (4)	Colobicino	14 (2)	11 (2)	3 (2) 6 (4)	.002
Anit-turing neurons racion 24 (3) 23 (3) 1 (1) .10 Leflunomide 10 (1) 10 (1) 0 (0) .16(Intravenous immunoglobulin 27 (3) 22 (3) 5 (4) .76(Rituximab 25 (3) 22 (3) 3 (2) .547		29 (3)	23 (3)	0 (4)	.524
Lenunomide 10 (1) 10 (1) 0 (0) .16(Intravenous immunoglobulin 27 (3) 22 (3) 5 (4) .766 Rituximab 25 (3) 22 (3) 3 (2) .547	Anti-tumor necrosis ractor	24 (3) 10 (1)	23 (3)	1 (1)	.100
intravenous immunogrobulin27 (3)22 (3)5 (4).766Rituximab25 (3)22 (3)3 (2).547		10 (1)	10 (1)	U (U)	.160
Hituximap 25 (3) 22 (3) 3 (2) .547	Intravenous Immunoglobulin	27 (3)	22 (3)	5 (4)	./66
	KITUXIMAD	25 (3)	22 (3)	3 (2)	.547

Except where indicated otherwise, values are the number (%) of patients.

* The remaining met ACR 1980 or CREST criteria.

ANA = antinuclear antibody, ASCVD = atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, CAD = coronary artery disease, COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CT = computed tomography, CXR = chest x-ray, DLCO% pred = diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide percent of predicted, ECHO = echocardiogram, EMG = electromyogram, FVC% pred = forced vital capacity percent of predicted, MRI = magnetic resonance imaging, mRSS = modified Rodnan skin score, PH = pulmonary hypertension, SSC = systemic sclerosis.

Bold values means they are statistically significant (P value < .05).

3.3.3. Pulmonary. More than half of the cohort (66%) had a restrictive ventilatory defect with FVC \leq 70% of predicted. The overall mean FVC percent predicted was 63%, and mean DLCO percent predicted was 49% (Table 2). By report 68% of patients had pulmonary fibrosis. 43% of patients had evidence of pulmonary fibrosis on CT chest and 38% of these patients had a severe restrictive ventilatory defect (FVC \leq 50% predicted) (Table 2).

Anti-topoisomerase I positivity was associated with significantly higher odds of pulmonary fibrosis on CT chest, and 2-fold higher odds of a restrictive ventilatory defect with FVC \leq 70% predicted (Table 4). The presence of a restrictive ventilatory defect was significantly associated with cigarette smoking (Table 4). Notably, patients with dcSSc compared to lcSSc had approximately equal odds of demonstrating a restrictive ventilatory defect (Table 4).

30% of patients had evidence of pulmonary hypertension on echocardiogram or right heart cardiac catheterization. Moreover, these patients had severe disease with a mean DLCO 42% of predicted. In multivariate analyses, older age at symptom onset



Figure 1. Distribution of respective severity scores for organ involvement in the GRASP cohort^{*}. *Respective severity scores assigned in accordance with the revised Medsger Severity Score for systemic sclerosis.^[24] GRASP = Genome Research in African American Scleroderma Patients cohort.

was significantly associated with pulmonary hypertension (Table 4). Furthermore, a 6% increase in odds of pulmonary hypertension was noted with each 1 year increase in disease duration. The diffuse subtype was protective and associated with 41% lower odds of pulmonary hypertension (Table 4).

3.3.4. Cardiac. Echocardiographic or electrocardiogram evidence of cardiac disease was noted in 24% of patients. 11% of patients had a Medsger cardiac severity score of 4, indicative of either clinical signs of heart failure, a left ventricular ejection fraction of <30%, an arrhythmia requiring treatment or a heart transplant (Fig. 1).

In multivariate analyses, a significant association was noted between cardiac involvement and older age at symptom onset (adjusted OR 1.03 per 1 year increase in age, 95% CI 1.01–1.05), longer disease duration (adjusted OR 1.05 per year, 95% CI 1.02–1.08), and male sex (adjusted OR 2.00, 95% CI 1.14–3.48). Moreover, the significance of the observed associations was maintained, even after adjustment for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease and cardiovascular risk factors including diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and cigarette smoking.

The odds of severe cardiac involvement increased by 5% per annum of disease duration (Table 5). The magnitude and significance of this association was maintained in multivariate analysis adjusting for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease and cardiovascular risk factors (adjusted OR 1.07 per 1 year increase in age, 95% CI 1.03–1.12). Cigarette smoking was associated with 88% higher odds of severe cardiac involvement (Table 5).

3.3.5. Gastrointestinal tract. 94% of patients had a history of gastrointestinal tract involvement of varying severity. In the adjusted model, anti-RNA polymerase III positivity was associated with 63% lower odds of gastrointestinal involvement including gastrointestinal reflux, abnormal small bowel series, small intestinal bacterial overgrowth, malabsorption syndrome, episodes of pseudo-obstruction or the requirement of total parenteral nutrition (adjusted OR 0.37, 95% CI 0.14–0.96).

Notably, 11% of patients experienced severe gastrointestinal complications such as malabsorption syndrome, pseudo-obstruction, or required total parenteral nutrition. The diffuse subtype was associated with over 2-fold higher odds of severe gastrointestinal complications (Table 5).

3.3.6. *Renal.* Normal renal function was noted in 85% of patients. The prevalence of scleroderma renal crisis (SRC) in the GRASP cohort was 7% (Table 2). In bivariate analyses, SRC was significantly associated with the diffuse subtype (OR 3.30, 95% CI 1.68–6.47). Additionally, anti-RNA polymerase III positivity was associated with more than 4-fold increased odds of SRC (OR 4.03, 95% CI 1.85–8.77). The significant association with anti-RNA polymerase III positivity, but not diffuse subtype, was maintained in the adjusted model (Table 4).

Table 3

Clinical and serological characteristics of the Genome Research in African American Scleroderma Patients cohort by Scleroderma subtype.

	Limited SSc (n = 432)	Diffuse SSc (n=566)	Р
Sex			.001
Male	52 (12)	112 (20)	
Female	380 (88)	454 (80)	
Age at symptom onset, mean \pm SD	38.5 ± 13.7	39.5 ± 13.6	.215
Raynaud's Phenomenon	38.4 <u>+</u> 13.6	39.6 <u>+</u> 13.5	.199
Non-Raynaud's Phenomenon	41.1 <u>+</u> 13.4	40.3 <u>±</u> 13.4	.327
Disease duration (years), mean \pm SD	12.8±9.9	7.6 ± 7.0	<.001
Maximum mRSS, mean \pm SD	5±5	20 ± 10	<.001
Raynaud's Phenomenon	420 (98)	547 (98)	.958
Telangiectasia	222 (52)	279 (50)	.506
Calcinosis	78 (18)	104 (19)	.892
Organ system involvement	()		
Skeletal muscles	75 (20)	160 (35)	<.001
GI tract	383 (93)	512 (95)	.113
Heart	95 (24)	125 (25)	.890
Kidney	64 (16)	76 (15)	.578
SSC Renal Unsis	11 (3)	45 (9)	<.001
FUCP prod moon (SD	307 (07)	473 (09)	.444
$PVC\%$ pred, mean \pm SD	12.2 ± 21.9	50.4 ± 21.0	10/
DLCO% pieu, mean±3D Pulmonon, fibrosis	40.0 ± 21.0 242 (60)	30.4 ± 21.0 212 (69)	.124
	243 (09)	/18 (13)	.379
CT chest evidence	160 (14)	10/ (/1)	101
and $E/C < 50\%$ pred	65 (42)	64 (35)	206
Pulmonary Hypertension	1/12 (35)	1/0 (27)	016
FCHO PH	92 (22)	97 (19)	187
Cath PH	92 (22)	75 (15)	002
Autoantibodies	02 (22)	10 (10)	1002
Anti-centromere	65 (18)	6 (1)	<.001
Anti-topoisomerase I	92 (24)	172 (33)	.004
Anti-RNA polymerase III	19 (8)	60 (16)	.003
Anti-U1 RNP	72 (22)	70 (16)	.026
Anti-U3 RNP	6 (11)	11 (16)	.422
Anti-Th/To	4 (15)	1 (3)	.100
Anti-PmScl	5 (10)	1 (2)	.045
Anti-Smith	27 (8)	23 (5)	.077
Anti-Ro	74 (22)	63 (14)	.003
Anti-La	14 (4)	13 (3)	.329
Anti-dsDNA	28 (11)	19 (7)	.100
Antinuclear	384 (94)	505 (94)	.983
Overlapping autoimmune disease	99 (23)	100 (18)	.040
Rheumatoid arthritis	21 (5)	14 (2)	.042
Systemic lupus erythematosus	39 (9)	25 (4)	.003
initianimatory myopatny	31 (7)	53 (9)	.21/
Sjugren s	0 (I) 0 (2)	δ (I) 7 (1)	.974
Utilei	9 (2)	7 (1)	.291

Except where indicated otherwise, values are the number (%) of patients.

CT=computed tomography, DLCO% pred=diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide percent of predicted, ECHO=echocardiogram, FVC% pred=forced vital capacity percent of predicted, mRSS=modified Rodnan skin score, PH=pulmonary hypertension, SSc=systemic sclerosis.

Bold values means they are statistically significant (P value <.05).

3.3.7. *Muscle.* 237 (28%) patients had an abnormal muscle severity score indicating weakness. Patients with dcSSc had a higher prevalence of skeletal muscle involvement (Table 3). The diffuse subtype was associated with a 2-fold higher odds of skeletal muscle involvement compared to limited disease (adjusted OR 2.00, 95% CI 1.20–3.33).

3.4. Serological profile

Clinical characteristics of the GRASP cohort by the serological profile are summarized in Supplementary Table 3, http://links.

lww.com/MD/C23. 30% of patients were seropositive for antitopoisomerase I while only 8% were anticentromere positive (Table 2). A low prevalence of anti-RNA polymerase III positivity (13%) was observed; however, it is important to note that anti-RNA polymerase III data were missing in 40% of patients, likely because this assay was not commercially available until 2007.

A predilection for anti-topoisomerase I positivity was noted in men, while a significantly higher prevalence of anti-centromere positivity was observed in women (Table 2). A variety of antinuclear antibody (ANA) patterns were observed, with the nucleolar pattern being the most common, noted in 36% of patients (Table 2).

3.5. Overlapping autoimmune diseases

In total, 1 in 5 patients were diagnosed with an overlapping autoimmune disease of which systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and inflammatory myopathies were the most common (Table 2). In the multivariate model which also included anti-U1RNP positivity, seropositivity for anti-topoisomerase I was associated with significantly lower odds of an overlapping autoimmune disease (adjusted OR 0.53, 95% CI 0.29–0.96). Anti-U1RNP positivity was associated with an approximately 4-fold higher odds of exhibiting an overlapping autoimmune disease (adjusted OR 3.99, 95% CI 2.33–6.84).

3.6. Immunosuppressive therapy

A history of exposure to immunosuppressive therapy was obtained. The immunosuppressive agents administered are summarized in Table 2. The most commonly prescribed immunosuppressive agents were prednisone (53%) and mycophenolate mofetil (37%), while cyclophosphamide was used in 13% of patients (Table 2).

4. Discussion

Our study highlights sociodemographic, clinical and serological features of the largest multicenter cohort of African American patients with SSc. It provides insight into the factors associated with clinically significant and severe manifestations of SSc in African Americans and emphasizes the unique clinical features of SSc in African Americans that differ from that reported in cohorts of European ancestry.

The European League Against Rheumatism Scleroderma Trials and Research (EUSTAR) cohort is the largest multinational SSc cohort, comprised of over 7000 patients of predominantly European ancestry.^[25] Genetic studies have been conducted using this cohort to identify SSc disease susceptibility loci.^[26–28] However, patients in the EUSTAR cohort differ in fundamental clinical characteristics from those enrolled in GRASP. The inferences made from genetic studies in the EUSTAR and other cohorts of predominantly European ancestry^[29–31] may not be applicable to African American patients represented in the GRASP cohort.

The mean age at onset of RP and the first non-RP symptom was 39.1 and 40.6 years, respectively, in the GRASP cohort. In contrast in the EUSTAR cohort, the mean age of onset of RP and non-RP symptoms occurred 3 and 4 years later, respectively.^[25] This is consistent with prior studies, in which the average age at onset of SSc-associated symptoms and subsequent diagnosis was reported to be significantly younger in African Americans than European Americans.^[2,10,32–35]

Factors a	ssociated with	Clinical Ma	nifestations of	f Systemic Sclerosis	s. adjusted odds	s ratio (95%	confidence i	nterval) [*] .

	Diffuse Scleroderma	Telangiectasia	Calcinosis	Pulmonary [†] Fibrosis	FVC% pred $^{\dagger} \leq$ 70	Pulmonary ^{¶†} Hypertension	Scleroderma Renal Crisis
Age at onset	0.99 (0.97-1.00)	0.99 (0.98-1.01)	1.00 (0.98-1.01)	1.00 (0.99-1.02)	1.00 (0.98-1.02)	1.02 [‡] (1.00–1.04)	1.01 (0.98–1.05)
Disease duration	0.93 (0.90-0.95)	1.04 (1.02-1.07)	1.05 (1.02-1.08)	1.02 (0.99-1.05)	1.01 (0.98-1.04)	1.06 (1.03-1.09)	1.01 (0.96-1.07)
Male sex	1.53 (0.91-2.57)	0.89 (0.56-1.42)	0.74 (0.39-1.39)	0.80 (0.47-1.36)	1.37 (0.77-2.42)	1.53 (0.87-2.69)	1.81 (0.75-4.37)
Diffuse SSc	_	1.14 (0.78–1.67)	1.36 (0.82-2.24)	0.63 (0.41-0.98)	0.91 (0.58-1.41)	0.59 (0.37-0.93)	2.45 (0.92-6.47)
subtype							
ACA	0.14 (0.05-0.38)	1.47 (0.69-3.12)	0.68 (0.25-1.86)	0.45 (0.20-1.05)	0.88 (0.38-2.02)	1.52 (0.71-3.26)	§
Topo I	1.67 (1.08-2.58)	0.77 (0.52-1.15)	1.57 (0.96-2.57)	2.14 (1.38-3.31)	2.05 (1.26-3.33)	1.29 (0.79-2.10)	0.53 (0.19-1.49)
RNA Pol III	2.54 (1.36-4.75)	0.43 (0.24-0.75)	1.29 (0.65-2.56)	1.00 (0.54-1.84)	0.94 (0.50-1.78)	1.22 (0.61-2.45)	2.88 (1.20-6.94)
Smoking status	_	_	_	1.41 (0.93–2.14)	1.58 (1.01-2.46)	1.19 (0.75–1.86)	_

ACA=anti-centromere, FVC% pred=forced vital capacity percent of predicted, RNA Pol III=anti-RNA polymerase III, SSc subtype=scleroderma subtype, Topo I=anti-topoisomerase I.

* Adjusted model includes covariates: sex (male versus female), SSc subtype (diffuse versus limited), autoantibody status (positive versus negative), age at first symptom onset and disease duration in years. † Covariate of smoking status (ever versus never smoked cigarettes) included in multivariate analysis.

*P = .015.

Table 4

[§] In the adjusted model: Scleroderma renal crisis was not noted in anti-centromere antibody seropositive patients.

|| Pulmonary fibrosis evident on CT chest.

¹Pulmonary hypertension evident on echocardiogram or right heart cardiac catheterization.

Bold values means they are statistically significant (P value < .05).

Diffuse cutaneous SSc was present in 57% of the GRASP cohort, in contrast to 37% in the EUSTAR cohort.^[25] This is of particular importance, as patients with dcSSc have more extensive cutaneous fibrosis affecting the trunk and proximal limbs, and are noted to exhibit a higher frequency of cardiac, pulmonary, and renal involvement especially within the first 3 years of disease onset.^[36]

Pulmonary complications are a prominent source of morbidity and mortality in SSc^[5,37–39] and African ancestry is reported to be an independent predictor of early pulmonary involvement^[6] and severe pulmonary fibrosis.^[35] Compared to the EUSTAR cohort, participants in the GRASP cohort had a lower mean FVC % predicted^[25] (63% vs 92%). Additionally, 16% of patients in the GRASP cohort required supplemental oxygen therapy compared to 3% in the EUSTAR cohort.

Prior to the advent of initiation of angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors for management of SRC, the 5-year cumulative survival of patients with this potentially fatal complication was <10%.^[40] The prevalence of SRC in the GRASP cohort was 3.5 times that observed in the EUSTAR cohort (7% vs 2%).^[25] This difference may potentially be attributed to the higher frequency of dcSSc in the GRASP cohort

or the higher seroprevalence of anti-RNA polymerase III in the GRASP (13% vs 2%) compared to the EUSTAR cohort.^[25]

The frequency of anti-topoisomerase I positivity in African American SSc cohorts is estimated to range from 16% to 39%.^[2,8,10,32,33,35,41,42] 30% of patients in the GRASP cohort were anti-topoisomerase I positive. Interestingly, a slightly higher prevalence of anti-topoisomerase I positivity (37%) was observed in the EUSTAR cohort.^[25] Additionally, while the prevalence of anti-RNA polymerase III positivity in the GRASP cohort (13%) was consistent with prior estimates from other African American SSc cohorts^[32,35,41] it was 6 times higher than that observed in the EUSTAR cohort (13% vs 2%).^[25] This suggests that differences exist in the serological profiles among SSc patients of African and European ancestry, thereby limiting the generalizability of the EUSTAR reports.

Anti-centromere antibody positivity was lower (8% vs 32%) and anti-U1 RNP positivity was higher (18% vs 8%) in the GRASP compared to EUSTAR cohort.^[25] Unfortunately, serological data on anti-U3 RNP and other nucleolar autoantibodies were not uniformly available for patients in the GRASP cohort, limiting our ability to make reliable inferences about the prevalence of these autoantibodies. Anti-U3 RNP antibodies are

Ta	Ы		
		-	

Factors associated with Severe	Organ Involvement	adjusted odds ratio	(95% confidence inte	vrval)*
		\mathbf{a} aurusieu ouus raio		ivai

Factors associa	actors associated with Severe Organ involvement, adjusted odds ratio (95% confidence interval).								
	Cutaneous	Vascular [†]	Pulmonary [†]	Cardiac [†]	Muscle	Gastrointestinal	Renal		
Age at onset	0.99 (0.96-1.01)	0.97 (0.95-0.98)	1.00 (0.98–1.01)	1.01 (0.99–1.04)	0.98 (0.92-1.05)	1.01 (0.99–1.03)	0.98 (0.94–1.03)		
Disease duration	0.95 (0.91-1.00)	1.00 (0.98-1.03)	1.01 (0.98-1.03)	1.05 (1.02-1.09)	1.04 (0.95-1.15)	1.00 (0.96-1.04)	1.01 (0.94-1.09)		
Male sex	1.97 (1.02-3.80)	0.98 (0.58-1.65)	1.11 (0.67-1.82)	1.83 (0.90-3.73)	3.93 (0.80-19.35)	0.93 (0.47-1.84)	2.99 (0.93-9.59)		
Diffuse SSc	_	2.28 (1.47-3.52)	0.78 (0.52-1.17)	1.14 (0.59–2.20)	2.01 (0.33-12.42)	2.11 (1.13-3.95)	1.45 (0.41–5.18)		
subtype									
ACA	0.42 (0.05-3.24)	1.47 (0.67-3.23)	0.68 (0.32-1.42)	1.67 (0.63-4.44)	NA‡	0.79 (0.22-2.86)	NA [§]		
Topo I	2.09 (1.14-3.86)	1.40 (0.91-2.16)	1.25 (0.82-1.90)	0.93 (0.47-1.83)	0.87 (0.15-5.13)	1.21 (0.68-2.13)	0.62 (0.16-2.41)		
RNA Pol III	3.00 (1.41-6.38)	0.54 (0.28-1.04)	0.74 (0.41-1.35)	0.29 (0.07-1.23)	3.95 (0.67-23.35)	0.74 (0.31-1.74)	2.45 (0.62-9.71)		
Smoking status	_	2.01 (1.33-3.06)	1.41 (0.95-2.09)	1.88 (1.04-3.40)	_	_	_		

ACA = anti-centromere, RNA Pol III = anti-RNA polymerase III, SSc subtype = scleroderma subtype, Topo I, anti-topoisomerase I.

* Adjusted model includes covariates: sex (male versus female), SSc subtype (diffuse versus limited), autoantibody status (positive versus negative), age at first symptom onset and disease duration in years. † Covariate of smoking status (ever versus never smoked cigarettes) included in multivariate analysis.

* In the adjusted model, severe muscle involvement was not noted in anti-centromere antibody seropositive patients.

[§] Severe renal disease was not noted in anti-centromere antibody seropositive patients.

Bold values means they are statistically significant (P value < .05).

reported to be highly specific for SSc and more prevalent in African Americans,^[43,44] exhibiting associations with diffuse disease, skeletal muscle involvement, and primary pulmonary arterial hypertension^[43,45] as well as aggressive gastrointestinal disease.^[35] Of note anti-U3 RNP antibodies typically demonstrate a nucleolar pattern on indirect immunofluorescence. This pattern was observed in 36% of the GRASP cohort.

African American patients with SSc are reported to have overall lower sociodemographic status and significantly fewer years of education than European Americans.^[8,10] It is noted that 97% of the GRASP cohort obtained a high school education with 59% completing college or post-graduate education. Furthermore, participants in the GRASP cohort were almost universally insured, with 97% having Medicare, private insurance or medical assistance. In light of this, the high disease burden in the GRASP cohort is unlikely to be substantially attributed to socioeconomic factors and impaired access to healthcare.

There are limitations to our study, primarily related to missing data stemming from the retrospective collection of information. In particular, while DNA samples have been uniformly provided, for some patients the corresponding clinical and serological information are incomplete. Nevertheless, the data gleaned from the GRASP cohort is comprehensive, providing the most complete phenotypic characterization of SSc in African American patients to date.

Evidence of distinct clinical and serological differences between SSc patients of African and European ancestry underscores the critical importance of further research in African Americans, who otherwise may not benefit from precision medicine through new clinical and technological advancements in the treatment of their disease.^[46] Our study highlights sociodemographic, clinical and serological features of this multicenter cohort of African American patients and emphasizes the severe disease burden of SSc in African Americans. Furthermore, GRASP provides a unique cohort to facilitate future investigations probing the role of genetic factors in SSc disease susceptibility and severity in African Americans.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Gwendolyn Leatherman and Adrianne Woods for excellent database management support. They would also like to acknowledge the Martha McCrory endowment.

References

- Steen VD, Oddis CV, Conte CG, et al. Incidence of systemic sclerosis in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania. A twenty-year study of hospitaldiagnosed cases, 1963–1982. Arthritis Rheum^^ 1997;40:441–5.
- [2] Mayes MD, Lacey JVJr, Beebe-Dimmer J, et al. Prevalence, incidence, survival, and disease characteristics of systemic sclerosis in a large US population. Arthritis Rheum 2003;48:2246–55.
- [3] Mendoza F, Derk CT. Systemic sclerosis mortality in the United States: 1999-2002 implications for patient care. J Clin Rheumatol 2007;13: 187–92.
- [4] Akter T, Silver RM, Bogatkevich GS. Recent advances in understanding the pathogenesis of scleroderma-interstitial lung disease. Curr Rheumatol Rep 2014;16:411.
- [5] Steen VD, Medsger TA. Changes in causes of death in systemic sclerosis, 1972–2002. Ann Rheum Dis 2007;66:940–4.
- [6] McNearney T, Reveille JD, Fischbach M, et al. Pulmonary involvement in systemic sclerosis: associations with genetic, serologic, sociodemographic, and behavioral factors. Arthritis Rheum 2007;57:318–26.
- [7] Silver RM, Bogatkevich G, Tourkina E, et al. Racial differences between blacks and whites with systemic sclerosis. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2012; 24:642–8.

- [8] Reveille JD, Fischbach M, McNearney T, et al. Systemic sclerosis in 3 US ethnic groups: a comparison of clinical, sociodemographic, serologic, and immunogenetic determinants. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2001;30: 332–46.
- [9] Blanco I, Mathai S, Shafiq M, et al. Severity of systemic sclerosisassociated pulmonary arterial hypertension in African Americans. Medicine 2014;93:177–85.
- [10] Nietert PJ, Mitchell HC, Bolster MB, et al. Racial variation in clinical and immunological manifestations of systemic sclerosis. J Rheumatol 2006; 33:263–8.
- [11] Arnett FC, Gourh P, Shete S, et al. Major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II alleles, haplotypes and epitopes which confer susceptibility or protection in systemic sclerosis: analyses in 1300 Caucasian, African–American and Hispanic cases and 1000 controls. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:822–7.
- [12] Hudson LL, Silver RM, Pandey JP. Ethnic differences in cytotoxic T lymphocyte associated antigen 4 genotype associations with systemic sclerosis. J Rheumatol 2004;31:85–7.
- [13] Preliminary criteria for the classification of systemic sclerosis (scleroderma). Subcommittee for scleroderma criteria of the American Rheumatism Association Diagnostic and Therapeutic Criteria Committee. Arthritis Rheum 1980;23:581–90.
- [14] van den Hoogen F, Khanna D, Fransen J, et al. 2013 classification criteria for systemic sclerosis: an American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. Ann Rheum Dis 2013;72:1747–55.
- [15] World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. JAMA 2013;310: 2191–4.
- [16] Petri M, Orbai AM, Alarcon GS, et al. Derivation and validation of the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics classification criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 2012;64: 2677–86.
- [17] Aletaha D, Neogi T, Silman AJ, et al. 2010 rheumatoid arthritis classification criteria: an American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:1580–8.
- [18] Bohan A, Peter JB. Polymyositis dermatomyositis (first of two parts). N Engl J Med 1975;292:344–7.
- [19] Targoff IN, Miller FW, Medsger TAJr, et al. Classification criteria for the idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. Curr Opin Rheumatol 1997;9: 527–35.
- [20] Shiboski SC, Shiboski CH, Criswell L, et al. American College of Rheumatology classification criteria for Sjogren's syndrome: a datadriven, expert consensus approach in the Sjogren's International Collaborative Clinical Alliance cohort. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2012;64:475–87.
- [21] LeRoy EC, Black C, Fleischmajer R, et al. Scleroderma (systemic sclerosis): classification, subsets and pathogenesis. J Rheumatol 1988; 15:202–5.
- [22] Cottrell TR, Wise RA, Wigley FM, et al. The degree of skin involvement identifies distinct lung disease outcomes and survival in systemic sclerosis. Ann Rheum Dis 2014;73:1060–6.
- [23] Clements P, Lachenbruch P, Siebold J, et al. Inter and intraobserver variability of total skin thickness score (modified Rodnan TSS) in systemic sclerosis. J Rheumatol 1995;22:1281–5.
- [24] Medsger TAJr, Bombardieri S, Czirjak L, et al. Assessment of disease severity and prognosis. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2003;21(3 suppl 29): S42–46.
- [25] Meier FM, Frommer KW, Dinser R, et al. Update on the profile of the EUSTAR cohort: an analysis of the EULAR Scleroderma Trials and Research group database. Ann Rheum Dis 2012;71:1355–60.
- [26] Allanore Y, Borderie D, Airo P, et al. Lack of association between three vascular endothelial growth factor gene polymorphisms and systemic sclerosis: results from a multicenter EUSTAR study of European Caucasian patients. Ann Rheum Dis 2007;66:257–9.
- [27] Alizadeh BZ, Broen J, Rueda B, et al. Functional variants of Fc gamma receptor (FCGR2A) and FCGR3A are not associated with susceptibility to systemic sclerosis in a large European study (EUSTAR). J Rheumatol 2010;37:1673–9.
- [28] Koumakis E, Wipff J, Dieude P, et al. TGFbeta receptor gene variants in systemic sclerosis-related pulmonary arterial hypertension: results from a multicentre EUSTAR study of European Caucasian patients. Ann Rheum Dis 2012;71:1900–3.
- [29] Mayes MD, Bossini-Castillo L, Gorlova O, et al. Immunochip analysis identifies multiple susceptibility loci for systemic sclerosis. Am J Human Genet 2014;94:47–61.

- [30] Lopez-Isac E, Campillo-Davo D, Bossini-Castillo L, et al. Influence of TYK2 in systemic sclerosis susceptibility: a new locus in the IL-12 pathway. Ann Rheum Dis 2016;75:1521–6.
- [31] Coustet B, Bouaziz M, Dieude P, et al. Independent replication and meta analysis of association studies establish TNFSF4 as a susceptibility gene preferentially associated with the subset of anticentromere-positive patients with systemic sclerosis. J Rheumatol 2012;39:997–1003.
- [32] Gelber A, Manno R, Shah A, et al. Race and association with disease manifestations and mortality in scleroderma: a 20-year experience at the Johns Hopkins Scleroderma Center and review of the literature. Medicine 2013;92:191–205.
- [33] Laing TJ, Gillespie BW, Toth MB, et al. Racial differences in scleroderma among women in Michigan. Arthritis Rheum 1997;40:734–42.
- [34] Nashid M, Khanna P, Furst D, et al. Gender and ethnicity differences in patients with diffuse systemic sclerosis—analysis from three large randomized clinical trials. Rheumatology 2011;50:335–42.
- [35] Steen VD, Domsic R, Lucas M, et al. A clinical and serologic comparison of African American and Caucasian patients with systemic sclerosis. Arthritis Rheum 2012;64:2986–94.
- [36] Denton CP. Systemic sclerosis: from pathogenesis to targeted therapy. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2015;33(4 suppl 92):S3–7.
- [37] Pakas I, Ioannidis JP, Malagari K, et al. Cyclophosphamide with low or high dose prednisolone for systemic sclerosis lung disease. J Rheumatol 2002;29:298–304.

- [38] Ioannidis JP, Vlachoyiannopoulos PG, Haidich AB, et al. Mortality in systemic sclerosis: an international meta-analysis of individual patient data. Am J Med 2005;118:2–10.
- [39] Diot E, Giraudeau B, Diot P, et al. Is anti-topoisomerase I a serum marker of pulmonary involvement in systemic sclerosis? Chest 1999;116: 715–20.
- [40] Steen VD, Medsger TAJr. Long-term outcomes of scleroderma renal crisis. Ann Intern Med 2000;133:600–3.
- [41] Krzyszczak M, Li Y, Ross S, et al. Gender and ethnicity differences in the prevalence of scleroderma-related autoantibodies. Clin Rheumatol 2011;30:1333–9.
- [42] Steen VD. Autoantibodies in systemic sclerosis. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2005;35:35–42.
- [43] Okano Y, Steen VD, Medsger TA. Autoantibody to U3 Nucleolar Ribonucleoprotein (Fibrillarin) in patients with systemic sclerosis. Arthritis Rheum 1992;35:95–100.
- [44] Masataka K, Yutaka O, Junichi K, et al. Racial differences in the distribution of systemic sclerosis-related serum antinuclear antibodies. Arthritis Rheum 1994;37:902–6.
- [45] Aggarwal R, Lucas M, Fertig N, et al. Anti-U3 RNP autoantibodies in systemic sclerosis. Arthritis Rheum 2009;60:1112–8.
- [46] Ramos PS, Silver RM, Feghali-Bostwick CA. Genetics of systemic sclerosis: recent advances. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2015;27: 521–9.