Evaluation of the CareStart[™] Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) Rapid Diagnostic test at Community and Health Centers in Cambodia

Bertha Wojnarski^{1,2}, Chanthap Lon¹, Darapiseth Sea¹, Somethy Sok³, Sabaithip Sriwichai¹, Soklyda Chann⁴, Sohei Hom⁴, Sohei Hom⁴ Khengheang Thay⁵, Vy Dao³, Panita Gosi¹, Jimee Hwang⁶, Worachet Kuntawunginn¹, Mitra Feldman¹, Nillawan Buathong¹, Mali Ittiverakul¹, Nichapat Uthaimongkol¹, Huy Rekol⁵, Lek Dysoley⁵, Michele Spring¹, Philip Smith¹, Mariusz Wojnarski¹, Mark Fukuda¹

Background

Primaquine (PQ) is the only FDA-approved drug for radical cure of Plasmodium vivax (P.v) malaria but treatment can result in life-threatening hemolysis if given to a glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficient (G6PD*d*) patient. Therefore, the G6PD status of the patient with *P.v* must be known prior to prescribing PQ. To increase PQ access in Cambodia, performance of G6PD rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) needs to be evaluated in healthcare workers (HCWs) and village malaria workers (VMWs).



There is insufficient data on performance of G6PD RDT in field setting, and whether it matches the performance demonstrated in controlled lab settings by researchers.



The G6PD RDT may enable safer application of PQ and reduce the burden of vivax malaria. Malaria workers need training on risks and benefits of PQ, G6PD testing and correct interpretation of G6PD RDT results in the field.

Assess the

HCW/VMWs'

G6PD using

by RDT.

CareStart[™], and

knowledge of PQ, their

acceptability to test for

willingness to give PQ

when the G6PD status

of the patient is known

Objectives



- Develop training materials on G6PD and PQ to improve knowledge and acceptability of PQ use.
- Assess CareStart[™] performance in the hands of healthcare and village malaria workers vs. expert readers both in field and laboratory settings against reference lab quantitative test (Pointe Scientific, Inc. MI).

School of Nursing THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

1. US Armed Forces Research Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangkok, Thailand, 2. The George Washington, DC, 3. Ministry of National Defense, Department of Health, Phnom Penh, Cambodia, 4. US Armed Forces Research Institute of Medical Sciences, Phnom Penh, Cambodia, 5. National Center for Parasitology, Entomology and Malaria Control, Phnom Penh, Cambodia, 6.PMI, Malaria Branch, Division of Parasitic Diseases and Malaria, CDC

Methods

Design

- Quasi-experimental design in Oddar Meanchay province, Cambodia.
- Post-training, each of the 94 HCW/VMWs (age 18-69yrs) performed on average 10 G6PD RDT tests on 960 adult males.
- Performance of CareStart[™] RDT for G6PD*d* screening was assessed against quantitative G6PD test (Pointe Scientific, Inc. Canton MI).
- Pre/post-training questionnaires completed by HCW/VMWs and G6PD volunteers.

Blood sample collection

- Study was conducted from Dec, 2017 to Feb, 2018.
- Finger prick blood sample was obtained for



• 2ml venous blood was collected in Vacutainer tubes (BD Vacutainer, Franklin Lakes, NJ) for CBC and quantitative G6PD testing in lab.

Data Collection

- Demographics data was collected with questionnaires
- Perceptions on PQ risk and benefits and willingness to use G6PD RDTs for screening was evaluated

Primary Endpoint

Assess the sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV of CareStart[™] RDT in the field setting vs. the quantitative G6PD activity thresholds ("gold standard" for G6PD*d* diagnosis).

The RDT test results obtained by trainees in the field were compared against the performance of the RDT test by expert readers both in field and laboratory settings

Analysis:

- Descriptive and inferential statistics to evaluate the acceptability and effectiveness of training.
- Stata 15, SPSS, and Graphprism 7. Standard methods for calculating sensitivity, specificity, PPV & NPV were applied to the G6PD RDT (95%) CI)..



Reference standard

The G6PD spectrophotometric quantitative analysis was performed using a Pointe G6PD reagent kit (Pointe Scientific, Inc. MI, USA).





