BONPLANDIA 15(3-4): 113-119. 2006

# CHROMOSOME NUMBERS IN SOUTH AMERICAN ANDEAN SPECIES OF LUPINUS (LEGUMINOSAE)

MARISTELA FIESS CAMILLO<sup>1</sup>, MARISA TONIOLO POZZOBON<sup>2</sup> & MARIA TERESA SCHIFINO-WITTMANN<sup>1,3,4</sup>

**Summary:** Camillo, M. F., M. T. Pozzobon & M. T. Schifino-Wittmann. 2006. Chromosome numbers in South American Andean species of *Lupinus* (Leguminosae). Bonplandia 15(3-4): 113-119. ISSN 0524-0476.

Chromosome numbers were determined in 22 accessions of 16 *Lupinus* L. species from the Andean region. All had 2n=48 chromosomes, except *L. bandelierae* C. P. Smith (2n=36). These are the first chromosome countings for *L. arvensis* Benth., *L. chilensis* C. P. Smith, *L. chilorolepsis* C. P. Smith, *L. chrysanthus* Ulbr., *L. lindleyanus* Agardh, *L. mantaroensis* C. P. Smith, *L. piurensis* C. P. Smith, *L. proculaustrinus* C. P. Smith, *L. prostratus* Agardh, *L. pulvinaris* Ulbr., *L. pycnostachys* C. P. Smith, *L. smithianus* Kunth and *L. tominensis* Wedd. The present study also confirmed literature data on chromosome numbers of *L. mutabilis* Sweet, *L. semperflorens* Benth. (2n=48) and *L. bandelierae* (2n=36). The results clearly confirm that, cytologically, the vast majority of Andean species are closer to the North American than to the eastern South American taxa.

Key words: cytotaxonomy, evolution, ploidy levels.

**Resumen**: Camillo, M. F., M. T. Pozzobon & M. T. Schifino-Wittmann. 2006. Números cromosómicos en especies sudamericanas andinas de *Lupinus* (Leguminosae). Bonplandia 15(3-4): 113-119. ISSN 0524-0476.

Se determinó el número cromosómico en 22 poblaciones de 16 especies del género *Lupinus* L. de la región Andina. Todos presentaron 2n=48 cromosomas con excepción de *L. bandelierae* C. P. Smith (2n=36). Estos son los primeros recuentos cromosómicos para *L. arvensis* Benth., *L. chilensis* C. P. Smith, *L. chlorolepsis* C. P. Smith, *L. chrysanthus* Ulbr., *L. lindleyanus* Agardh, *L. mantaroensis* C. P. Smith, *L. piurensis* C. P. Smith, *L. proculaustrinus* C. P. Smith, *L. prostratus* Agardh, *L. pulvinaris* Ulbr., *L. pycnostachys* C. P. Smith, *L. smithianus* Kunth y *L. tominensis* Wedd. Este trabajo también confirmó recuentos cromosómicos para *L. mutabilis* Sweet, *L. semperflorens* Benth. (2n=48) y *L. bandelierae* (2n=36). Los resultados claramente confirman que, citológicamente, la mayoría de los *Lupinus* andinos son más próximos de las especies norteamericanas qué a las del este de América del Sur.

Palabras clave: citotaxonomía, evolución, niveles de ploidía.

Departamento de Plantas Forrageiras e Agrometeorologia, Faculdade de Agronomia, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Av. Bento Gonçalves 7712, CP 15100, CEP 91501-970, Porto Alegre, RS, Brasil.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Embrapa Recursos Genéticos e Biotecnologia, Pq. EB Av. W/5 Norte (final), CP 02372, CEP 70770-900, Brasília, DF, Brasil.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: mtschif@ufrgs.br

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>CNPq Fellowship

### Introduction

The genus *Lupinus* L. (Leguminosae, Faboideae, Genisteae) comprises around 200 species (Plittmann, 1981), an estimate which, depending on the author, ranges from 150 (Burkart, 1952) up to 500 (Dunn, 1984) taxa. The most recent estimate is of 275 species (Hughes & Eastwood, 2006). They inhabit a wide climatic range, from sub-arctic to semi-desertic and sub-tropical regions, and may be uni- or multifoliolate (predominantly), herbaceous or shrubby, annual, biennial or perennial.

The species are geographically separated in two big groups: 12 species in the Old World and the majority of the genus components in the Americas. Some of them have been cultivated by mankind since a long time, especially L. albus L., L. angustifolius L. and L. luteus L., in the Old World, and in the Americas, L. mutabilis Sweet (the only American species cultivated as a grain crop). For human consumption, seeds should be previously boiled or soaked in water, processes that remove their alkaloids. Other species are also used for other finalities such as green manure, forage, ornamentals and dune stabilization (Hoveland & Towsend, 1985: Gladstones, 1998).

A monophyletic origin for the genus is supported by several approaches including biochemical and molecular analyses (Cristofolini, 1989; Badr & al., 1994; Aïnouche & Bayer, 1999; Aïnouche & al., 2004) but its center of origin is still debatable. Suggestions range from North American (Plittmann, 1981), South American (Dunn, 1984; Gross, 1986) and Old World origins, the last one being the most supported hypothesis (Cristofolini, 1989; Wolko & Weeden, 1990). Gladstones (1998), suggested a Northern Hemisphere origin with a further and progressive branching to eastern South America, North Africa, the Mediterranean and finally North America and western South America. However, a very recent work by Hughes & Eastwood (2006) showed that the eastern South America species and most of the North America and Andean taxa form two sister clades, therefore of same age.

The 12 Old World species, multifoliolate annuals and mostly autogamous, are divided into rough-seeded (*L. pilosus* Murr., *L. cosentinii* Guss., *L. digitatus* Forsk, *L. atlanticus* Gladst., *L. prince*i Harms, *L. somaliensis* Baker) and smooth-seeded taxa (*L. albus*, *L. angustifolius*, *L. micranthus* Guss., *L. luteus*, *L. hispanicus* Boiss. & Reut.).

Most of the American species are multifoliolate except for the unifoliolate group of 13 species from sub-tropical Brazil and the four unifoliolate species from southeast North America (Dunn, 1971; Planchuelo & Dunn, 1984, 1989; Monteiro & Gibbs, 1986).

In South America, considered as a biological center of speciation in the genus (Planchuelo-Ravelo, 1984) there are two main geographical distribution areas, the Atlantic and the Andean regions (Planchuelo-Ravelo, 1984; Gross, 1986). Molecular data support the general separation of eastern and western South American species (Käss & Wink, 1997; Aïnouche & Bayer, 1999), but the eastern South American species group to a few North American taxa (Hughes & Eastwood, 2006).

The 12 Old World species fall into distinct cytotaxonomic groups (2n=52, 50, 42, 40, 38, 36 and 32), some of them monospecific (Gladstones, 1998). Cytogenetic information on American species, except North American taxa, was, as a whole, rather limited. Most of the around 50 North American species analysed, have 2n=48, occasionally 2n=96 (generally as polyploid races of diploid taxa), 2n=50 (as intraspecific variation of two 2n=48 species), 2n=36 (three species) or 2n=34 (as intraspecific variation of two 2n=36 species). Regarding the South American Andean species, until very recently, literature data were found for only four species: L. microphylus Desr., L. mutabilis, L. paniculatus Desr. and L. pubescens Benth., all with 2n=48 (Darlington, 1955; Fedorov, 1969; Cox, 1972; Dunn, 1984; Gladstones, 1998; IPCN). It is suggested that x=6 is the basic number in the genus and that evolution was accompanied by aneuploidy and polyploidy (Dunn, 1984; Gladstones, 1998).

The first chromosome number determinations for south-eastern South American taxa were published in 2002 (Maciel & Schifino-Wittmann, 2002) for nine species: L gibertianus C. P. Smith, L. lanatus Benth., L. magnistipulatus Planchuelo & Dunn, L. multiflorus Desr., L. rubriflorus Planchuelo, L. uleanus C. P. Smith and L. reitzii Pinheiro & Miotto, with 2n=36, and L. bracteolaris Desr. and L. linearis Desr., both with 2n=32and 2n=34. Conterato & Schifino-Wittmann (2006) further analysed L. paranensis C. P. Smith, L. paraguariensis Chod. & Hassl. and the unifoliolate L. guaraniticus (Hassl.) C. P. Smith, L. crotalarioides Mart. ex Benth, and L. velutinus Benth., all collected in Brazil and with 2n=36. The same authors determined the number of chromosomes for the Andean L. ballianus C. P. Smith, L. eanophyllus C. P. Smith, L. huaronensis Macbride and L. semperflorens Benth. from Peru and Bolivia, all with 2n=48, L. bandelierae (2n=36) from Bolivia and for two unifoliolate species from Florida, L. cumulicola Small. and L. villosus Willd., both with 2n=52, a chromosome number previously unknown American taxa. These results supported the suggestions of Maciel & Schifino-Wittmann (2002) that southeastern South-American species are a group cytologically differentiated from the Andean as well as from most other American ones, and indicated that the Brazilian and the North American unifoliolate Lupinus had independent origins.

Data on nuclear DNA amounts for a few Old World and New World species (Naganowska & al., 2003a, 2006; Bennet & Leitch, 2004) showed no correlation between chromosome number and DNA amount, suggesting that evolution was accompanied by gain and/or loss of DNA. FISH (fluorescent *in situ* hybridization) for rRNA genes has been recently employed in a few Old World species (Naganowska & Zielinska, 2002; Naganowska & al., 2003b) but comparative studies with American taxa are still lacking.

The objective of the present work was to determine chromosome numbers in a larger sample of species and accessions of *Lupinus* from the Andean region, in order to have a more representative sample of the region.

## **Material and Methods**

Seeds were obtained from Dr. Colin E. Hughes and Ruth E. Eastwood, Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford, United Kingdom. A list of the species and accessions analyzed, as well as information on the collection places are shown in Table 1. Voucher specimens are deposited at the Daubeny Herbarium (FHO), University of Oxford.

The work was conducted at the Cytogenetics Laboratory, Departamento de Plantas Forrageiras e Agrometeorologia, Faculdade de Agronomia, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul.

Chromosome numbers were determined in roottip cells. Seeds were scarified with sandpaper and germinated at room temperature in petri dishes with moist filter paper. When the roots were about 2-5 mm long they were pretreated in a saturated solution of paradichlorobenzene at 4°C for 18-20 h, fixed in absolute ethanol: glacial acetic acid (3:1) for 12-24 h and stored in 70% ethanol at 4°C until required. For slide preparation, the roots were washed in distilled water, hydrolysed in 1N HCl at 60°C for 20 min., stained with Feulgen, treated for less than 1 min. in 2% pectinase and squashed in 2% propionic carmine. At least ten metaphase plates per accession (intact cells, well spread chromosomes, no chromosome overlapping) were analysed.

#### Results

Chromosome numbers were determined in 22 accessions of 16 Lupinus species from Bolivia, Ecuador and Peru (Table 1) and all had 2n=48 chromosomes, except L. bandelierae (2n=36). These are the first chromosome determinations for L. arvensis Benth., L. chilensis C. P. Smith, L. chlorolepsis C. P. Smith, L. chrysanthus Ulbr., L. lindleyanus Agardh, L. mantaroensis C. P. Smith, L. piurensis C. P. Smith, L. proculaustrinus C. P. Smith, L. prostratus Agardh, L. pulvinaris Ulbr., L. pycnostachys C. P. Smith, L. smithianus Kunth and L. tominensis Wedd. The present study confirmed literature data on chromosome numbers of L. bandelierae, L. mutabilis and L. semperflorens.

Table 1. Somatic chromosome numbers (2n) and list of the Lupinus species and accessions examined.

Species	2n	Collector number	Place of collection	Lat. (S)	Long. (W)	Alt. (m)
L. arvensis Benth.	48	RJE 221	Ecuador. Prov. Loja, Loja.	03° 59'	79° 11'	2200
L. bandelierae C. P. Smith	36	CEH 2318	Bolivia. Dep. Potosí, Saavedra.	19° 34'	65° 25'	3290
L. chilensis C. P. Smith	48	CEH 2319	Bolivia. Dep. Potosí, T. Frias.	19° 37'	65° 44'	4340
L. chlorolepsis C. P. Smith	48	CEH 2261	Bolivia. Dep. La Paz, Larecaja.	15° 50'	68° 38'	3770
L. chrysanthus Ulbr.	48	CEH 2264	Bolivia. Dep. La Paz, Murillo.	16° 17'	68° 07'	4690
L. lindleyanus Agardh	48	RJE 141	Peru. Dep. Lima, Yauyos.	12° 46'	75° 49'	2640
L. mantaroensis C. P. Smith	48	CEH 2000	Peru. Dep. La Libertad, Santiago de Chuco.	07° 12'	78° 24'	2720
	48	RJE 54	Peru. Dep. La Libertad, Santiago de Chuco.	08° 09'	78° 12'	3400
L. mutabilis Sweet	48	CEH 1999	Peru. Dep. Cajamarca, Cajamarca.	07° 11'	78° 27'	2610
	48	CEH 2009	Peru. Dep. Cajamarca, Cajamarca.	07° 05'	78° 34'	2920
	48	CEH 2302	Bolivia. Dep. Cochabamba, Arani.	17° 37'	65° 40'	3550
	48	CEH 2323	Bolivia. Dep. La Paz, Manco Kapac.	16° 09'	69° 05'	3845
	48	CEH 2326	Bolivia. Dep. La Paz, Manco Kapac.	16° 00'	69° 11'	3840
L. piurensis C. P. Smith	48	RJE 113	Peru. Dep. Cajamarca, Cajamarca.	07° 16'	78° 30'	2600
L. proculaustrinus C. P. Smith	48	RJE 142	Peru. Dep. Lima, Yauvos.	12° 46'	75° 49'	2780
L. prostratus Agardh	48	RJE 126	Peru. Dep. Piura, Huancabamba.	05° 12'	79° 28'	2760
L. pulvinaris Ulbr.	48	CEH 2333	Bolivia. Dep. La Paz, B. Saavedra.	15° 14'	68° 57'	4150
L. pycnostachys C. P. Smith	48	CEH 2444	Bolivia. Dep. La Paz, Los Andes.	16° 15'	68° 34'	3860
	48	CEH 2250	Bolivia. Dep. La Paz, Los Andes.	16° 22'	68° 24'	3950
L. semperflorens Benth.	48	RJE 219	Ecuador, Prov. Loja, Loja.	04° 00'	79° 15'	2550
L. smithianus Kunth	48	RJE 201	Ecuador. Prov. Chimborazo, Volcan Chimborazo.	01° 30'	78° 52'	4350
L. tominensis Wedd.	48	CEH 2294	Bolivia. Dep. Cochabamba, Quillacollo.	17° 16'	66° 20'	3790

CEH: C. E. Hughes; RJE: Ruth E. Eastwood.

#### Discussion

Considering the present results and literature data, there are now chromosome number determinations for 22 Andean Lupinus species, 21 of which with 2n=48. In their paper, Conterato & Schifino-Wittmann (2006) reported chromosome numbers of 2n=48 for another eight taxa with pending taxonomic identification. We have also examined five additional Lupinus accessions, all with 2n=48 but that could not be taxonomically clearly identified (data not shown). This information supports the predominance of 2n=48 chromosomes among Andean Lupinus species as well as an apparent lack of intraspecific variability. The only species with 2n=36, L. bandelierae, occurs widely across Bolivia and probably in NW Argentina, and has some morphological similarities with Brazilian/Paraguayan species such as L. bracteolaris Desr. and L. gibertianus C. P. Smith (C. E. Hughes, pers.

The prevalence of 2n=48 (8x, accepting that x=6) chromosomes among the Andean and North American species and 2n=36 (6x) among the southeastern South American taxa supports the suggestion that, in the latter region, lower ploidy levels would have been involved in the speciation processes (Maciel & Schifino-Wittmann, 2002; Conterato & Schifino-Wittmann, 2006). In the molecular analyses of Aïnouche & Bayer (1999) and Aïnouche & al. (2004), the North American species L. texensis Hook., one of the few with 2n=36, grouped with the southeastern South American L. paraguariensis, L. multiflorus Desr. and L. bracteolaris, and the Andean L. mutabilis (2n=48) grouped with the 2n=48 North American taxa analysed. The molecular analyses of Hughes and co-workers (Hughes & Eastwood, 2006; C. E. Hughes, pers. com.) on a large sample of American Lupinus clearly showed the grouping of American Lupinus species according to their chromosome number. These works suggest that the different chromosome numbers between the two big American Lupinus groups reflect more profound genomic differences and that chromosome evolution has been very important in the genus evolution.

From literature data, and the present results, it can be concluded that, while in the Old World, polyploidy and aneuploidy have played important roles in speciation and evolution, in the New World polyploidy was the dominant cytogenetic alteration.

However, many questions still needed to be answered, such as the real extent (if any) of intraspecific variability in chromosome number among American taxa. As generally few accessions per species have been so far examined, this question could only be solved by increasing the sample size and covering the species distribution area. Another important aspect, as pointed out in the introduction, is the apparent lack of relationship between chromosome number and DNA content, probably related to differences in chromosome size. This point should be further investigated by further DNA amount measures in a larger number of species as well as by detailed karyotypic analyses and chromosome size measurements. Even if no accurate measurements were made, we have observed during our continued Lupinus cytogenetic work that there are indeed some differences in chromosome size among different Lupinus species [as shown in the photographs of Conterato & Schifino-Wittmann (2006)]. Another intriguing point is the chromosome number and ploidy level of the ancestral that gave origin to the 2n=36 and 2n=48 lines. Conterato & Schifino-Wittmann (2006) suggested that the ancestral of all Lupinus could have been a 2n=24 taxon.

Finally, additional cytogenetic techniques, such as chromosome banding, FISH and GISH (genomic *in situ* hybridization), would help to clarify which chromosomal changes, other than variation in number, happened during the genus evolution.

## **Conclusions**

The predominant chromosome number among Andean species of *Lupinus* is 2n=48.

The cytological separation between eastern and the majority of western South American and North American species is evident. An improved understanding of *Lupinus* chromosome evolution would require analyses of more species and accessions as well as the use of additional techniques, such as chromosome banding, FISH and GISH, and more determinations of nuclear DNA amounts, comparing Old World and the two major groups of New World species.

# **Acknowledgments**

To Dr. Colin E. Hughes and Ruth E. Eastwood for supplying the seeds of the analysed *Lupinus* accessions and to CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tcenológico) for financial support.

## **Bibliography**

- AÏNOUCHE, A. K. & R. BAYER. 1999. Phylogenetic relationships in *Lupinus* (Fabaceae, Papilionoideae) based on internal transcribed spacer sequences (ITS) of nuclear ribosomal DNA. Amer. J. Bot. 86: 590-607.
- ——, R. BAYER & M. T. MISSET. 2004. Molecular phylogeny, diversification and character evolution in *Lupinus* (Fabaceae) with special attention to Mediterran and African lupines. Pl. Syst. Evol. 246: 211-222.
- BADR, A., W. MARTIN & U. JENSEN. 1994. Chloroplast DNA restriction site polymorphism in Genisteae (Leguminosae) suggests a common origin for European and American lupines. Pl. Syst. Evol. 193: 95-106.
- BENNET, M. D. & I. J. LEITCH. 2004. Angiosperm DNA C-values database (release 5.0, Dec. 2004). http://www.rbgkew.org.uk/cval/homepage.html
- BURKART, A. 1952. Las leguminosas argentinas silvestres y cultivadas. Acme, Buenos Aires. 509 pp.
- CONTERATO, I. F. & M. T. SCHIFINO-WITTMANN. 2006. New chromosome numbers, meiotic behaviour and pollen fertility in American taxa of *Lupinus* (Leguminosae): contributions to taxonomic and evolutionary studies. Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 150: 229-240.
- CRISTOFOLINI, G. 1989. A serological contribution to the systematics of the genus *Lupinus* (Fabaceae). Pl. Syst. Evol. 166: 265-278.

- COX, B. J. 1972. IOPB chromosome number report. Taxon 21: 680-681.
- DARLINGTON, C. D. 1955. Chromosome atlas of flowering plants. Allen-Unvin, London. 519 pp.
- DUNN, D.B. 1971. A case of long range dispersal and "rapid speciation" in *Lupinus*. Trans. Missouri Acad. Sci. 5: 26-38.
- —. 1984. Cytotaxonomy and distribution of the New World lupin species. International Lupin Conference, 3. La Rochelle. International Lupin Association, La Rochelle. pp. 68-85.
- FEDOROV, A. A. 1969. Chromosome numbers of flowering plants. Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Leningrad. 926 pp.
- GLADSTONES, J.S. 1998. Distribution, origin, taxonomy, history and importance. *In J. S. Gladstones*, C. A. Atkins & J. Hamblin (eds.). Lupins as crop plants: biology, production and utilization, pp. 1-40. CAB International, Cambridge.
- GROSS, R. 1986. Lupins in the Old and New World a biological cultural coevolution. International Lupin Conference, 4. International Lupin Association, Geraldton. pp. 244-277.
- HOVELAND, C. S. & C. E. TOWNSEND. 1985. Other legumes. *In* M. E. Heath, R. F. Barnes & D. S. Metcalfe (eds.). Forages, pp. 146-153. Iowa State University Press, Ames.
- HUGHES, C. E. & R. EASTWOOD. 2006. Island radiation on a continental scale: exceptional rates of plant diversification after uplift of the Andes. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 103: 10334-10339.
- IPCN. Index to Plant Chromosome Numbers. http://mobot.mobot.org/W3T/Search/ipcn.html
- KÄSS, E. & M. WINK. 1997. Molecular phylogeny and phylogegography of *Lupinus* (Leguminosae) inferred from nucleotide sequences of the *rbc*L gene and ITS 1+2 regions of rDNA. Pl. Syst. Evol. 208: 139-167.
- MACIEL, H. S. & M. T. SCHIFINO-WITTMANN. 2002. First chromosome number determinations, in south-eastern South American species of *Lupinus* L. (Leguminosae). Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 139: 395-400.
- MONTEIRO, R. & P. E. GIBBS. 1986. A taxonomic revision of the unifoliolate species of *Lupinus* L. (Leguminosae) in Brazil. Notes Roy. Bot. Gard. Edinburgh 44: 71-104.
- NAGANOWSKA, B. & A. ZIELINSKA. 2002. Physical mapping of 18S-25S rDNA and rRNA in *Lupinus* via fluorescent *in situ* hybridization. Cell. Molec. Biol. Lett. 7: 665-670.
- —, B. WOLKO, E. SLIWINSKA & Z. KACZMAREK. 2003a. Nuclear DNA content variation and species relationships in the genus *Lupinus* (Fabaceae). Ann. Bot. 92: 349-355.
- —, J. DOLEZEL & W. K. SWIECICKI. 2003b. Development of molecular cytogenetics and

- physical mapping of ribosomal RNA genes in *Lupinus*. Biol. Plant. 46: 211-215.
- —, B.WOLKO, E. SLIWINSKA, Z. KACZMAREK & M. T. SCHIFINO-WITTMANN. 2006. 2C DNA variation and relationships among New World species of the genus *Lupinus* (Fabaceae). Pl. Syst. Evol. 256: 147-157.
- PLANCHUELLO, A. M. & D. B. DUNN. 1984. The simple leaved lupines and their relatives in Argentina. Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 71: 92-103.
- & D. B. DUNN. 1989. Two new species of the

- Lupinus lanatus complex. Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 70: 303-309.
- PLANCHUELO-RAVELLO, A. M. 1984. Taxonomic studies of *Lupinus* in South America. International Lupin Conference, 3. International Lupin Association, La Rochelle. pp. 39-54.
- PLITTMANN, U. 1981. Evolutionary history of the Old World lupines. Taxon 30: 430-437.
- WOLKO, B. & N. F. WEEDEN. 1990. Isozyme number as an indicator of phylogeny in *Lupinus*. Genet. Polon. 31: 179-187.

Original recibido el 2 de junio de 2006; aceptado el 22 de agosto de 2006.