

Himmelfarb Health Sciences Library, The George Washington University Health Sciences Research Commons

Epidemiology and Biostatistics Faculty Publications

Epidemiology and Biostatistics

1-1-2017

Post-diagnosis body mass index and mortality among women diagnosed with endometrial cancer: Results from the Women's Health Initiative.

Hannah Arem
George Washington University

Ruth M Pfeiffer


Steven C Moore

Melinda L Irwin

Michael J LaMonte

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://hsrc.himmelfarb.gwu.edu/sphhs_epibiostats_facpubs

 Part of the [Biostatistics Commons](#), [Epidemiology Commons](#), and the [Women's Health Commons](#)

APA Citation

Arem, H., Pfeiffer, R., Moore, S., Irwin, M., LaMonte, M., Sarto, G., Nassir, R., Luo, J., Chlebowski, R., Brinton, L., & Matthews, C. (2017). Post-diagnosis body mass index and mortality among women diagnosed with endometrial cancer: Results from the Women's Health Initiative.. *PLoS One*, 12 (2). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0171250>

This Journal Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Epidemiology and Biostatistics at Health Sciences Research Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Epidemiology and Biostatistics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Health Sciences Research Commons. For more information, please contact hsrc@gwu.edu.

Authors

Hannah Arem, Ruth M Pfeiffer, Steven C Moore, Melinda L Irwin, Michael J LaMonte, Gloria E Sarto, Rami Nassir, Juhua Luo, Rowan T Chlebowski, Louise A Brinton, and Charles E Matthews

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Post-diagnosis body mass index and mortality among women diagnosed with endometrial cancer: Results from the Women's Health Initiative

Hannah Are^{1*}, Ruth M. Pfeiffer², Steven C. Moore², Melinda L. Irwin³, Michael J. LaMonte⁴, Gloria E. Sarto⁵, Rami Nassir⁶, Juhua Luo⁷, Rowan T. Chlebowski⁸, Louise A. Brinton², Charles E. Matthews²

1 George Washington University Milken Institute School of Public Health, Washington, DC, United States of America, **2** Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, United States of America, **3** Yale School of Public Health; Yale Cancer Center, New Haven, CT, United States of America, **4** School of Public Health and Health Professions, Department of Epidemiology and Environmental Health, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, United States of America, **5** UW Madison Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Madison, WI, United States of America, **6** Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, University of California, Davis, CA, United States of America, **7** Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health—Bloomington, Indiana University, IN, United States of America, **8** Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute at the Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA, United States of America

* hannaharem@gwu.edu



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Are¹, Pfeiffer RM, Moore SC, Irwin ML, LaMonte MJ, Sarto GE, et al. (2017) Post-diagnosis body mass index and mortality among women diagnosed with endometrial cancer: Results from the Women's Health Initiative. *PLoS ONE* 12(2): e0171250. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171250

Editor: Eric Asselin, Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières, CANADA

Received: July 7, 2016

Accepted: January 17, 2017

Published: February 2, 2017

Copyright: This is an open access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the [Creative Commons CC0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) public domain dedication.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available from the Women's Health Initiative Institutional Data Access / Ethics Committee for researchers who meet the criteria for access to confidential data. More information about proposing a paper using WHI data can be found online at <https://www.whi.org/researchers/SitePages/Home.aspx> and proposals can be submitted to p&p@whi.org.

Funding: Funding was provided by the Intramural Research Division of the National Cancer Institute;

Abstract

Higher body mass index (BMI) measured before endometrial cancer diagnosis has been associated with greater risk of developing endometrial cancer and higher mortality, but the association between BMI measured after diagnosis and mortality risk is unclear. We identified 467 women (91 deaths) in the Women's Health Initiative (WHI) with information on BMI measured after diagnosis and used Cox proportional hazards regression to generate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for all-cause mortality. Comparing BMI 35+ with <25 kg/m², we observed no association with all-cause mortality (HR = 1.02, 95% CI 0.55–1.91). Our study does not support the hypothesis that higher BMI after endometrial cancer diagnosis is associated with poorer survival.

Background

There is consistent evidence that higher body mass index (BMI) is associated with higher risk of incident endometrial cancer [1]; each 5-unit BMI increase has been associated with a 59% increased risk of developing endometrial cancer [2]. Published studies of BMI measured *before* endometrial cancer diagnosis and all-cause mortality showed an approximate 2-fold increase in both all-cause and endometrial cancer specific mortality [3, 4].

While observational studies of BMI measured *after diagnosis* and mortality among breast cancer survivors (another BMI-related cancer) have suggested worse survival with higher BMI [5], evidence on BMI measured *after diagnosis* and mortality among women diagnosed with endometrial cancer is limited. An estimated 70% of endometrial cancer survivors are obese

The WHI program is funded by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (through contracts HHSN268201100046C, HHSN268201100001C, HHSN268201100002C, HHSN268201100003C, HHSN268201100004C, and HHSN271201100004C).

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

[6], constituting a potential public health target for weight loss. In the present study we draw on data from the Women's Health Initiative (WHI) Clinical Trial and Observational Study to confirm our recent findings in the US National Institutes of Health (NIH)-AARP cohort [7] that higher BMI measured after diagnosis was associated with greater mortality risk among endometrial cancer survivors.

Materials and methods

Eligibility criteria and recruitment methods for WHI have been published [8]. In short, 161,808 women were enrolled in the WHI between October 1993 and December 1998 from 40 US clinical centers. Eligible women were between 50 and 79 years of age, postmenopausal, had an anticipated survival of >3 years and were accessible for follow-up. Of the 161,808 women enrolled in WHI, 1,549 were diagnosed with invasive endometrial cancer before September 2013. We define cancer survivors from the moment of diagnosis, in accordance with guidelines from the Institute of Medicine, the American Society for Clinical Oncology, and other professional societies and government entities [9]. We excluded women who reported a hysterectomy before baseline ($n = 20$) or prior cancer before baseline visit ($n = 114$). We also excluded those with stage 4 disease ($n = 77$) because it is unlikely that BMI would affect prognosis for metastasized disease. According to study protocol, BMI data was collected at years 3 and 6 post baseline; of the remaining 1,338 women, 467 women had data on BMI collected after diagnosis. Women diagnosed after year six were not eligible for this analysis and we used the BMI measure closest to diagnosis (median 1.4 years) in the present analysis.

All participants provided written informed consent. Institutional review board approval was obtained from each of the participating study centers and from the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, which currently serves as the IRB of record for the WHI. In the WHI there were 40 clinical site IRBs, the coordinating center IRB, and ethical review at NIH; participants completed informed consent forms approved by local institutional review boards. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. More information about proposing a paper using WHI data can be found online at <https://www.whi.org/researchers/SitePages/Home.aspx> and proposals can be submitted to p&p@whi.org

We categorized BMI as normal weight, overweight, class 1 obese, and class 2 obese (18.5- <25 (referent), 25- <30 , 30- <35 , 35+ kg/m^2). The correlation between pre and post-diagnosis BMI was $r = 0.95$. In the study population 40 women lost more than three BMI units (kg/m^2), 398 women had no change within 3 units, and 29 women gained three or more BMI units. We used IVEware 2.0 (Ann Arbor, MI, 2002) to impute values for missing variables ($<5\%$ missing for all categories), using 10 iterations and five imputations. We used Cox proportional hazards models to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) in SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary NC). Proc mianalyze was used to combine results from the five imputed datasets. The underlying time metric was calculated from post-diagnosis questionnaire to death or end of follow-up (through September, 2013) whichever occurred first. We evaluated the proportional hazards assumption by modeling interaction terms of the continuous main exposure with follow-up time for each model, and found no violation of the model assumptions.

We first built a model adjusted only for age. We added tumor grade and stage to models as they are strongly associated with survival, and then tested the variables that showed significant differences by BMI category in [Table 1](#) as confounders and eliminated those that did not change BMI parameter estimates by $>10\%$. Thus, our final model included age at diagnosis, tumor stage and grade, diabetes and age at menarche. We added self-reported health status in

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the women diagnosed with endometrial cancer in the Women’s Health Initiative study populations by level of baseline body mass index (BMI) (kg/m²) (N = 467).

Body Mass Index (BMI)	18.5-<25		25-<30		30-<35		35+		p-value
Deaths/N	28/127		20/138		22/110		21/92		0.334
Age at diagnosis, years (mean, sd)	67.1	0.7	67.1	0.6	66.6	0.6	65.2	0.6	<0.001
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Tumor summary stage									0.758
Localized	113	89.0	125	90.6	97	88.2	85	92.4	
Regional/Distant	14	11.0	13	9.4	13	11.8	7	7.6	
Tumor grade									0.717
Well differentiated	41	32.3	39	28.3	39	35.5	30	32.6	
Moderately differentiated	49	38.6	67	48.6	41	37.3	41	44.6	
Poorly differentiated	24	18.9	22	15.9	20	18.2	17	18.5	
Anaplastic grade 3	12	9.5	8	5.8	7	6.4	3	3.3	
Education									0.013
<High school/ high school graduate	25	19.7	27	19.6	34	30.9	20	21.7	
Post high school/ some college	32	25.2	36	26.1	37	33.6	37	40.2	
College or graduate degree	70	55.1	73	52.9	39	35.5	34	37.0	
Race/ethnicity									
Non-Hispanic White	114	89.8	130	94.2	104	94.6	76	82.6	<0.001
African American	2	1.6	5	3.6	3	2.7	14	15.2	
Other	10	7.9	3	2.2	3	2.7	2	2.2	
Self-reported diabetes									0.003
No	124	97.6	134	97.1	102	92.7	80	87.0	
Yes	3	2.4	4	2.9	8	7.3	12	13.0	
Age at menarche									0.008
≤12 yrs old	53	41.7	75	54.4	63	57.3	59	64.1	
13+ yrs old	74	58.3	63	45.7	47	42.7	33	35.9	
Parity									<0.001
Nulliparous	20	15.8	25	18.1	7	6.4	21	22.8	
1–2	67	52.8	37	26.8	43	39.1	31	33.7	
3–4	33	26.0	60	43.5	40	36.4	28	30.4	
5+	7	5.5	14	10.1	20	18.2	12	13.0	
Age at menopause									<0.001
<50	45	40.2	41	36.3	38	38.8	15	19.5	
50-<55	37	33.0	43	38.1	35	35.7	27	35.1	
55+	28	25.0	29	25.7	18	18.4	26	33.8	
Oral contraceptive use									0.063
Never	73	57.5	97	70.3	61	55.5	59	64.1	
Ever	54	42.5	41	29.7	49	44.6	33	35.9	
Hormone use at baseline									<0.001
Never	22	17.3	39	28.3	26	23.6	57	62.0	
Former	16	12.6	37	26.8	28	25.5	22	23.9	
Current	89	70.1	59	42.8	53	48.2	13	14.1	
Smoke									0.601
Never	70	55.1	81	58.7	58	52.7	53	57.6	
Former	52	40.9	53	38.4	45	40.9	33	35.9	
Current	5	3.9	3	2.2	5	4.6	6	6.5	
Self-reported health									<0.001

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

Body Mass Index (BMI)	18.5-<25		25-<30		30-<35		35+		p-value
Excellent/very good	98	77.2	91	65.9	68	61.8	36	39.1	
Good	24	18.9	40	29.0	39	35.5	41	44.6	
Fair/Poor	5	3.9	7	5.1	3	2.7	15	16.3	
Marital Status									0.181
Married or living as married	78	61.4	84	60.9	80	72.7	62	67.4	
Not married	49	38.6	54	39.1	30	27.3	30	32.6	
Randomized to HRT Arm	8	6.3	18	13.0	23	20.9	11	12.0	0.010
Randomized to DM Arm	53	41.7	86	62.3	65	59.1	58	63.0	0.002
Observational Study	68	53.5	42	30.4	32	29.1	26	28.3	<0.001

Abbreviations: SD: standard deviation, HRT: Hormone replacement therapy; DM: dietary modification

Column percentages may not total to 100.0 due to missing data.

P-values were calculated using the t-test for continuous variables and the chi-squared test for categorical variables.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171250.t001

sensitivity analyses to allay concerns that health status is in the causal pathway between BMI and mortality. Health status is a strong independent predictor of mortality and it is possible that higher BMI may lead to health complications that increase risk of mortality. Neither adding trial arm (dietary modification, menopausal hormone therapy, observational study) to the models, nor stratifying by trial arm changed estimates.

Results

With a median 10.2 years of follow-up, we observed 91 all-cause deaths. Women with a BMI 35+ kg/m² were slightly younger, less educated, more likely to be African American and to have diabetes. These class 2 obese women also tended to have a younger age at menarche, older age at menopause, report never menopausal hormone therapy use, and report worse self-reported health (Table 1).

Before adjusting for co-variables, the log-rank test demonstrated a non-significant p-value = 0.364. In multivariate models, BMI was not associated with mortality when comparing BMI 35+ to <25 kg/m² (HR = 1.02, 95% CI 0.55–1.91) (Table 2). The addition of self-reported health status to the models also yielded null results (HR = 0.87, 95% CI 0.45–1.69). We did not observe differences in the association between BMI and mortality when stratifying by the median 1.4 years from diagnosis to questionnaire (HR_{<1.4 years} = 0.99, 95% CI 0.75–1.31;

Table 2. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals for body mass index (BMI) and mortality risk among endometrial cancer survivors in the WHI (n = 467).

	Deaths/Total N	Model 1 HR (95% CI)	Model 2 HR (95% CI)	Model 3 HR (95% CI)
BMI, kg/m²				
18.5-<25	28/127	1.00	1.00	1.00
25-<30	20/138	0.62 (0.35, 1.10)	0.67 (0.37, 1.21)	0.64 (0.36, 1.16)
30-<35	22/110	0.85 (0.48, 1.48)	0.82 (0.46, 1.45)	0.74 (0.41, 1.34)
35+	21/92	1.22 (0.68, 2.17)	1.02 (0.55, 1.91)	0.87 (0.45, 1.69)
<i>P-trend</i>		0.476	0.919	0.693

Model 1 was adjusted for age at diagnosis only.

Model 2 was additionally adjusted for tumor stage, tumor grade, diabetes and age at menarche.

Model 3 was adjusted for all of the above factors, in addition to health status.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171250.t002

$HR_{\geq 1.4 \text{ years}} = 0.99$, 95% CI 0.72–1.35). Adding additional demographic factors as described in [Table 1](#) did not change interpretation of results.

Discussion

Our results do not support an association between BMI measured after diagnosis and mortality among endometrial cancer survivors. These findings are in contrast with another study of post-diagnosis BMI and mortality in the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study, which found an approximate 2-fold increase in mortality risk comparing women with a BMI 35+ to normal weight women ($<25 \text{ kg/m}^2$) [7]. One reason for these discrepant findings may be due to differences in the timing of BMI measurement between studies, as BMI was measured a median of 1.4 years after diagnosis in WHI and median 4.1 years after diagnosis in NIH-AARP. In this WHI study we did not observe effect modification by time from diagnosis to BMI measurement. While the available metrics the WHI and NIH-AARP populations appeared similar, it is possible that there were differences in participant characteristics that we were unable to account for in this analysis. Alternatively, our results may simply show that there is no association between post-diagnosis BMI and mortality. Another study of endometrial cancer patients who participated in a randomized surgery and adjuvant radiation therapy found that among 380 patients, morbidly obese patients ($\text{BMI} \geq 40 \text{ kg/m}^2$) had a nearly threefold increased risk of death compared to $\text{BMI} < 30$ (HR = 2.77; 95% CI 1.21–6.36); this increased mortality risk was not apparent among patients with a BMI of 30–39.9 [10]. This finding suggests there may be a BMI threshold beyond which mortality risk is escalated; still, while there was an association with all-cause mortality, there was no association with endometrial cancer recurrence.

A recent meta-analysis among endometrial cancer survivors showed a dose–response curve between BMI and all-cause mortality, with a 66% increased risk of death comparing women with a BMI 40+ to $<25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ [11]. The timing of BMI measurement in relation to diagnosis varied in this published meta-analysis. In a previous analysis of endometrial cancer survivors in WHI, pre-diagnosis BMI measured a median of 5.1 years before diagnosis was associated with an all-cause mortality risk HR = 1.85 (95% CI 1.19–2.88) comparing women with a $\text{BMI} \geq 35 \text{ kg/m}^2$ to women with $\text{BMI} < 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ [4]. It is unclear why we found an association between pre-diagnosis BMI and mortality in this cohort but not post-diagnosis BMI. In future studies it could be important to account for intentional versus unintentional weight loss, or change in weight from pre to post-diagnosis.

In our previous analysis of pre-diagnosis BMI in WHI, the magnitude of association was stronger for BMI and endometrial-cancer specific mortality (HR = 2.23, 95% CI 1.09–4.54). This association was previously measured in the Cancer Prevention Study II, where there was over a six-fold association between pre-diagnosis BMI 40+ and normal BMI women for endometrial cancer-specific mortality [12]. In the present post-diagnosis cohort we were unable to assess cause-specific mortality because of insufficient cause-specific deaths. We were not able to assess associations separately for women with a BMI over 40 because of insufficient numbers in this category.

Limitations of our study include the lack of data on treatment, which may in turn affect survival. However, cancer stage (which we included in our adjusted models) has been shown to be the strongest predictor of prognosis among women with endometrial cancer [13]. We performed a post-hoc power calculation, whereby for BMI, we had 81% power to detect a HR of 2.23 (the HR for pre-diagnosis BMI and mortality in this WHI cohort) comparing the highest to the lowest BMI category using a type I error of $p = 0.05$ (Power 3.0, NCI). We also did not have enough deaths to perform sub-analyses by cause of death. We were unable to adjust for physical activity as this data was available for only 158 women. Although we had information

on some comorbidities, there may be unmeasured factors confounding the association between BMI and mortality that we were unable to account for in this analysis. Selection bias may have influenced results, as the individuals who had later stage disease may have died before follow-up. Strengths of the study include the geographic diversity of the cohort, the centralized adjudicated outcomes, and the multiple time points of collection on BMI data, which allowed us to examine BMI recorded after cancer diagnosis.

While obesity has been recognized as a public health threat in the general population [14], research focused on cancer survivors may present key opportunities for targeted interventions, as cancer diagnosis has been cited as a “teachable moment” for lifestyle change [15]. Future research should explore the effects of change in post-diagnosis BMI on cancer outcomes including quality of life, fatigue, and biomarkers of recurrence in addition to survival.

In summary, the present findings from the WHI do not support the hypothesis that BMI measured after diagnosis is associated with survival among women with endometrial cancer. Additional prospective data from larger cohorts with greater variation in timing and frequency of post diagnosis BMI assessment are needed to further clarify this association that has important implications to public health and women’s healthcare in an aging population.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the following WHI contributors: Program Office: (National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Bethesda, Maryland) Jacques Rossouw, Shari Ludlam, Dale Burwen, Joan McGowan, Leslie Ford, and Nancy Geller Clinical Coordinating Center: Clinical Coordinating Center: (Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA) Garnet Anderson, Ross Prentice, Andrea LaCroix, and Charles Kooperberg Investigators and Academic Centers: (Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA) JoAnn E. Manson; (MedStar Health Research Institute/Howard University, Washington, DC) Barbara V. Howard; (Stanford Prevention Research Center, Stanford, CA) Marcia L. Stefanick; (The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH) Rebecca Jackson; (University of Arizona, Tucson/Phoenix, AZ) Cynthia A. Thomson; (University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY) Jean Wactawski-Wende; (University of Florida, Gainesville/Jacksonville, FL) Marian Limacher; (University of Iowa, Iowa City/Davenport, IA) Robert Wallace; (University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA) Lewis Kuller; (Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC) Sally Shumaker Women’s Health Initiative Memory Study: (Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC) Sally Shumaker.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: HA CEM MLI.

Data curation: HA.

Formal analysis: HA RMP.

Funding acquisition: MJL GES RN JL RTC.

Investigation: HA MJL GES RN JL RTC.

Methodology: RMP SCM MLI HA CEM.

Project administration: MLI RTC.

Supervision: MJL GES RN JL RTC LAB CEM.

Validation: HA.

Visualization: HA CEM.

Writing – original draft: HA CEM.

Writing – review & editing: HA RMP SCM MLI MJL GES RN JL RTC LAB CEM.

References

1. Food, Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Prevention of Cancer: a Global Perspective. World Cancer Research Fund and American Institute for Cancer Research. 2007.
2. Renehan AG, Tyson M, Egger M, Heller RF, Zwahlen M. Body-mass index and incidence of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. *The Lancet*. 371 (9612):569–78.
3. Arem H, Park Y, Pelsler C, Ballard-Barbash R, Irwin ML, Hollenbeck A, et al. Prediagnosis body mass index, physical activity, and mortality in endometrial cancer patients. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 2013; 105(5):342–9. doi: [10.1093/jnci/djs530](https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djs530) PMID: [23297041](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23297041/)
4. Arem H, Chlebowski R, Stefanick ML, Anderson G, Wactawski-Wende J, Sims S, et al. Body mass index, physical activity, and survival after endometrial cancer diagnosis: results from the Women's Health Initiative. *Gynecologic oncology*. 2013; 128(2):181–6. doi: [10.1016/j.ygyno.2012.10.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2012.10.029) PMID: [23127972](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23127972/)
5. Diet, nutrition, physical activity and breast cancer survivors. World Cancer Research Fund International, 2014.
6. Courneya KS, Karvinen KH, Campbell KL, Pearcey RG, Dundas G, Capstick V, et al. Associations among exercise, body weight, and quality of life in a population-based sample of endometrial cancer survivors. *Gynecologic Oncology*. 2005; 97(2):422–30. doi: [10.1016/j.ygyno.2005.01.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2005.01.007) PMID: [15863140](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15863140/)
7. Arem H, Pfeiffer RM, Moore SC, Brinton LA, Matthews CE. Body mass index, physical activity, and television time in relation to mortality risk among endometrial cancer survivors in the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study cohort. *Cancer Causes & Control*. 2016; 27(11):1403–9.
8. Design of the Women's Health Initiative clinical trial and observational study. The Women's Health Initiative Study Group. *Control Clin Trials*. 1998; 19(1):61–109. Epub 1998/03/11. PMID: [9492970](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9492970/)
9. From Cancer Patient to Cancer Survivor: Lost in Transition. Hewitt M, Greenfield S, Stovall E, editors: Institute of Medicine and National Research Council; 2005.
10. von Gruenigen VE, Tian C, Frasure H, Waggoner S, Keys H, Barakat RR. Treatment effects, disease recurrence, and survival in obese women with early endometrial carcinoma. *Cancer*. 2006; 107(12):2786–91. doi: [10.1002/cncr.22351](https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.22351) PMID: [17096437](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17096437/)
11. Secord AA, Hasselblad V, Von Gruenigen VE, Gehrig PA, Modesitt SC, Bae-Jump V, et al. Body mass index and mortality in endometrial cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gynecologic oncology*. 2016; 140(1):184–90. doi: [10.1016/j.ygyno.2015.10.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2015.10.020) PMID: [26524722](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26524722/)
12. Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Walker-Thurmond K, Thun MJ. Overweight, Obesity, and Mortality from Cancer in a Prospectively Studied Cohort of U.S. Adults. *N Engl J Med*. 2003; 348(17):1625–38. doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa021423](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa021423) PMID: [12711737](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12711737/)
13. Wolfson AH, Sightler SE, Markoe AM, Schwade JG, Averette HE, Ganjei P, et al. The prognostic significance of surgical staging for carcinoma of the endometrium. *Gynecologic oncology*. 1992; 45(2):142–6. PMID: [1592280](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1592280/)
14. Wyatt SB, Winters KP, Dubbert PM. Overweight and obesity: prevalence, consequences, and causes of a growing public health problem. *The American journal of the medical sciences*. 2006; 331(4):166–74. PMID: [16617231](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16617231/)
15. Demark-Wahnefried W, Aziz NM, Rowland JH, Pinto BM. Riding the crest of the teachable moment: promoting long-term health after the diagnosis of cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2005; 23(24):5814–30. doi: [10.1200/JCO.2005.01.230](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2005.01.230) PMID: [16043830](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16043830/)