

Determinants of Environmental Factors on Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM)

Siew Poh Phung ^{#1}, Valliappan Raju ^{*2}

^{#1, *2}Post Graduate Centre, Limkokwing University
Jalan Technokrat, Cyberjaya, Malaysia

¹pohp@limkokwing.edu.my

²valliappan.raju@limkokwing.edu.my

Abstract - Regardless of the development in the group of green production network the board, it is as yet hard to comprehend the ecological manageability rehearses associated with inventory network the board (SCM) exercises. This is an interdisciplinary field and the extent of GSCM rehearses is wide covering from green obtaining to incorporated life-cycle the board. This paper centers around upstream inventory network the executives exercises, which are generally in charge of diffusing natural maintainability rehearses over the supply base. Accordingly, peer-evaluated papers concentrated on the dispersion of ecological manageability rehearses crosswise over assembling store network base are analyzed. It is discovered that this dissemination is forcefully identified with the acquiring, execution appraisal and coordinated effort. These upstream exercises are influenced by inside capacities and the development dimension of ecological and culture of association. Likewise, upstream SCM exercises are better structured considering the qualities of items and procedures, fundamentally regarding the sources of info and yields natural viewpoints. This research paper with accessing case of natural supportability rehearses the inventory network the executives field in generic methodology

Key Words: Green Supply Chain Management

1. Introduction

The pursuit to diminish the ecological weight and to wrinkle the between hierarchical shared obligation are center reasons for green store network the executives (GSCM) [1,2]. As an outcome, natural manageability rehearses have been considered in the most conventional production network exercises, for example, buying and materials the executives, execution evaluation and dissemination.

Regardless of the development in the collection of GSCM, it is still different religion to comprehend the natural supportability rehearses associated with inventory network the executives (SCM) exercises. Firstly, this is an interdisciplinary field; thus both

inner activities in a cross-utilitarian methodology and outside exercises are engaged with GSCM. Besides, the extent of GSCM rehearses is expansive covering from green acquiring to incorporated life-cycle the board [3]. All things considered, upstream and downstream in the green inventory network have an unmistakable direct reverberation with the item life cycle [4].

This paper centers around upstream inventory network the board exercises, which are generally in charge of diffusing environmental manageability rehearses over the supply base. They are likewise viewed as the routes by which providers are locked in to decrease waste and save the normal assets [5] and to achieve larger amounts of supportability [6]. Moreover, natural supportability rehearses crosswise over upstream SCM are connected to information and yield arranged ecological elements [7]. For instance, input-arranged elements incorporate vitality (consumption and source – sustainable or not), common asset and materials, water (quality and request) and yield situated variables are identified with waste and contamination.

In this unique circumstance, three center exercises of upstream SCM are considered, to be specific buying, execution management (provider confronting) and coordinated effort. In this way, this paper gives an account of the investigation of ecological supportability rehearses crosswise over upstream production network the executives. In the expected segment, the technique for the precise survey embraced in this examination are exhibited, trailed by the outcomes and dialog on the natural practices received in upstream SCM exercises.

2. Strategy

A methodical writing audit (SLR) was led dependent on Tranfield et al. [8]. SLR pursues a pre-decided unequivocal technique which must be replicable, straightforward, and logical [9]. To cover a delegate number of materials, two gatherings of catch phrases in accordance with social and natural supportability issues ("sustainability", "environment", green, "modern ecology" and SCM "store network", "esteem chain", arrange, relationship, "collaboration", "cooperation", execution, buying, acquisition) was utilized to develop look strings with the Boolean connectors "and". The strings were then used to look materials between the periods 1992 to 2013 in electronic databases. Six databases were chosen, in particular Scopus, Web of Science (Isi), EBSCO (Business Source Complete, Environment finish and GreenFILE) and ABI. Among October and November tenth 2013, papers were looked utilizing the "all fields". This hunt depended on every conceivable blend between those two gatherings of key words. Considering the high volume of materials, it was viewed as sensible to limit the hunt because of nature of commitment just to incorporate just friend inspected logical papers in English.

The title and unique were perused utilizing express consideration and avoidance criteria so as to choose important papers. Specifically, the paper expected to give any understanding to the connection between the central organization and member(s) of the inventory network of the assembling base as far as the dispersion of ecological supportability rehearses. Papers were avoided when they didn't cover this relationship inside SCM area. Other prohibition criteria were: sentiment of partners on supportability and redistributing, open buying and administrations inventory network (bank, inn, grocery store, healing center, instruction, supply of water, e-market) and production network security.

3. Upstream inventory network exercises associated with the dispersion of natural supportability

3.1. Buying the executives

Exercises engaged with obtaining assume a critical job in tending to natural supportability rehearses crosswise over supply management chain, for

instance in guaranteeing consistence with sustainability criteria [10] and additionally in affecting ecological provider's conduct [5]. What's more, buying may influence the span of the by and large ecological impression of a company [11].

Numerous creators present an assortment of exercises engaged with obtaining the executives. For instance, determination, assessment and provider advancement, were brought up by Walton et al. [11]. Other than these three exercises, Zsidisin and Siferd [12] considered in-bound dissemination, bundling, reusing, reuse, asset decrease, and last transfer as acquiring exercises. Igarashi et al. [13] considered thusly some buying procedures, to be specific: recognizable proof of requirements and details, passage emulation of criteria, call for patterns, capability, last determination and assessment of execution. [14] likewise incorporated the assessment procedure of the consistence level of the chose prerequisites. In this manner, three exercises in obtaining the executives are considered in this paper, to be specific: provider's necessities and criteria definition, provider's choice procedure, and provider's observing.

3.1.1. Providers' prerequisites

The foundation of negligible prerequisites and determination dependent on an assessment is an approach to ensure that the providers demonstration as indicated by an arrangement of measures [15]. Igarashi et al. [13] recommended that the necessities for providers' choice are partitioned in classes: criteria concentrated on the item characteristics and association/process. As a rule, association criteria are all the more normally received to qualify provider, while item criteria are utilized in the last phase of choice. One normal point for the two items and process is identified with the consistence with laws. As a matter of fact, this is an underlying practice that secured both ecological and wellbeing and security enactment [13]. Another critical angle for the two classifications is a decent comprehension of providers tasks [16].

Likewise, the prerequisites characterized to choose providers are connected to information and yields situated natural viewpoints [7]. Info situated factors generally incorporate asset and out put-arranged components are connected any kind of

contamination (air emission, squander, wastewater, and so on.).

So as to have a reasonable cognizance of the environmental practice as a necessity for determination of providers, these practices are arranged utilizing the categorizations introduced by Igarashi et al. [13] (Product or process) and Brandenburg et al. [7] (Inputs and yields) (Table 1). For instance, a few practices concentrated on both four classifications, for example, Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) considers on materials, ecological policy. These practices secured both the procedure and items qualities and components identified with sources of info and yields ecological perspectives.

Then again, Environmental Management System (EMS – ISO 14001) is progressively identified with process – information sources and yields. Nonetheless, one issue required with the board system as an insignificant prerequisite is whether it will be asked for as a confirmed framework or not. The affirmation is led by an outsider organization (Accreditation) concurring the specification of benchmarks like ISO 14001 (imparted approach, records of execution against consistence issues, program for enhancements and regulars interior and outer reviews) [4]. In any case, the procedure to looking for EMS confirmation is a costly chiefly when considering the size and benefit of providers [17].

Strikingly, in an overview directed by Holt [18] in 149 little, medium and expansive UK organizations, only 7.78% of the example trust that providers must have their EMS confirmed. All things considered, ISO 14001 was built up to qualify providers instead of a barring factor (last choice). Then again, Darnall [19] found that 346 little, medium and vast ensured US organizations were more dynamic than unconfirmed organizations as far as working with their supply arrange. The principle natural practices revealed were initiating techniques to evaluate providers, expecting providers to limit their ecological effects, and following waste in their operating frameworks [19].

At last, the poor improvement of natural requirements for provider's determination can cause a few issues for the two providers and central

Environmental requirements	Categories				Som
	Inp	Out	Pro	Pro	
Compliance with Laws	x	x	x	x	[20]
Level of contaminants	x	x	x	x	[4]
Hazardous materials					
Environmental Policy	x	x	x	x	[13]
EMS – ISO 14001 certified	x	x	x		[21]
EMS – ISO 14001 not certified	x	x	x		[22]
Environmental management	x	x	x		[23]
Energy source (e.g. renewable)	x		x	x	[24]
Waste management (source reduction prevention)		x	x		[25]
Reduction of GHG		x	x	x	[26]
Providing eco-design	x	x			[3]
Packaging – Material, minimizing and Recycling		x	x	x	[27]
Recyclable materials	x			x	[28]
Renewable resource	x			x	[29]
Recyclable pallet	x			x	[30]
Eco-labelling	x	x		x	[31]
LCA	x	x	x	x	[32]
Second-tier supplier environmental	x	x	x	x	[14]

organizations (value-based expense, behavioral vulnerability, trouble in checking whether consistence with assertions has occurred) [33]. What's more, this may cause issues identified with the comprehension of necessities by providers [34].

3.1.2. Provider's choice procedure

The accessibility of natural data on providers can encourage the determination of providers with high environmental execution [35]. The writing proposes that the examination of data has been made by both self-data by providers and all-around organized procedures. In self-data, providers generally present composed proof of requests from central organizations, for example, surveys [4] and self-assertion on consistence with central organization's prerequisites [9]. Surveys are likely the most conventional route embraced by provider's determination procedure. It is moderately simple to expound and the application isn't costly [36]. In any case, for some situation, providers have been tested to answer different central organizations or purchasers' organizations in the meantime. A few areas have created standard ecological quests tanneries to survey providers, for example, Computer Industry Quality Council [36].

As to very much organized process, they depend on location visits, reviews and on location examinations to check the process and the consistence with control related [24]. Audits are perceived as an orderly dependable device for

control of consistence and fundamental natural administration [37] and in addition can lessen the hazard from providers [38]. Be that as it may, the absence of asset restrains the application in provider's choice, so it has been connected all the more normally inside vital providers, in long haul connections [37].

Then again, there is more proof for the utilizing of the blend of surveys in addition to correlative archives (i.e. licenses, authorizations, reports of the dimension of treatment of modern wastewater). What's more, visits to analyses the procedures and materials, including synthetic compounds and the natural control related [11,24]. In view of the examination of surveys, archives or visit reports, suppliers will be arranged and chose.

Along these lines, in the wake of qualifying the providers and checking the dimension of consistence with necessities, a few instruments to ensure the exchange among purchasers and providers are generally received. These instruments are arranged in two classifications: formal and casual [9]. Formal instruments are more accepted in the situation of dangers or vulnerability and when new connections are built up. Along these lines, contracts and gauges are a few precedents. Then again, casual instruments, for example, qualities, pattern and culture, and also standards, are increasingly basic in set up connections [9].

In this manner, the accessibility and unwavering quality of ecological data from providers may encourage the determination process. Along these lines, it is important to embrace a few practices to have a solid procedure.

3.1.3. Observing

Observing is a procedure to control providers, including activities of social occasion and preparing providers data [39] so as to check how well the supply consents to choose prerequisites [40]. Distinctive methodologies for the information collection have been watched, which emerge from revealing, studies, reviews to the coordinated data framework. The investigation of revealing was featured by [41], who expressed that maintainability revealing is the act of estimating, disclosing and being responsible to inner and outside stakeholders

for authoritative execution towards the objective of sustainable improvement.

Leading studies has been referenced by a few creators as a training to screen providers [37]. For instance, Sony (Japanese gadgets organization), conducts nitty gritty reviews of its supply so as to comprehend providers' ecological execution, the utilization of destructive substances, the utilization of recyclable materials and waste administration including reusing of pressing and last transfer of materials [38].

Checking the subsequent affirmation of EMS was presented by Vachon and Klassen [3] and Walton et al. [31] who researched bundle and furniture industry, separately. Reviews were additionally the basic practices considered in the checking engaged with the buying procedure [34].

The utilization of an incorporated data framework, for example a sharing database encourages a common learning and the exchange of data between individuals from a production network. for all production network accomplices [42], for the most part as far as performance the board and cultivating more prominent natural complement normal interfaces. [42] discovered some product which had been normally utilized crosswise over German electric and electronic industry, for example, SAP GRC, Emos, Movex, and Gipa. Crotty [43] detailed the utilization of International Materials Data System database by UK autorotative makes. The utilization of this database permitted the OEMs to distinguish all materials utilized inside their present creation process.

3.2 Suppliers Performance Assessment

To broaden manageability over the inventory network it is necessary to embrace the proper execution estimation system to distinguish what activities are required [44]. In this specific circumstance, providers' execution the board enables central organizations to assess a provider's execution, contrast it and the execution of different providers, and give providers heading for enhancements [33]. This can incorporate, for example the decrease of costs, disturbances in the supply framework and ecological hazard [38]. Nonetheless, one test for these procedures to survey execution and additionally to create providers in

natural administration practice is to complete these procedures without causing high exchange costs or upsetting the stream of generation [33].

The writing demonstrates an absence of agreement

Topics	Indicators/ practices	Some paper
Performance management	Audit	[15]
	ISO 14031	[31]
	Eco-efficiency	[47]
	Balance scored card	[1]
	GRI	[48]
	LCA	[49]
	Carbon footprint	[50]
	Suppliers' process certification	[38]
KPI's (environment)	Material intensity	[51]
	Resource (use, transparency and inventory)	[41]
	Water quality and consumption	[7]
	Energy	[16]
	Hazardous substances use	[52]
	Wastewater	
	Waste-disposal, reduction	[53]
	Carbon	
	Fuel usage	[54]
	Waste Packaging	
	Soil degradation	
	Noise	
Costs of environmental inputs and outputs	[3]	

concerning metrics and KPI's in GSCM execution evaluation and in addition the impact of the dissemination of explicit ecological sustainability practice crosswise over store network in the general execution of a central organization. For instance, Schmidt and Schwegler [45] propose the idea of aggregate eco-power with which ecological or maintainability pointers are identified with the additional estimation of monetary exercises. The model depends on the idea of combined eco-power, which considers ecological effects and monetary components, for example, amount of materials provided, turnover and cost.

Hervani et al. [1] give a diagram of the different issues identified with natural store network the board performance estimation. This examination was one of the primary investigations which concentrated on measurements and measures (e.g. GRI), structure of pointers (e.g. EMS and ISO 14031) and structure and evaluation of green store network the executive's execution apparatuses (diagnostic chain of importance process; adjusted scorecard). In addition, systems to actualize and report and impart results were introduced.

Hassini et al. [29] recommend a composite pointer framework to outline complex and multi-dimensional markers. Three components of supportability and four production network accomplices (providers, makes, wholesaler, retailer and clients) are included. Sub-markers are figured in

each accomplice. These sub-pointers are then accumulated to shape an inventory network composite marker. Be that as it may, the paper just gave this general review without concentrating on instances of KPI's.

What's more, some reviews have surveyed how GSCM affects the corporate execution of a central organization, for example, [3,5,39,46]. Be that as it may, distinctive ecological manageability rehearses were considered, for example, eco-structure, EMS confirmed, EMS not ensured, eco-naming, and the waste administration plan.

Table 2 demonstrates a few precedents pointers and some practices identified with providers' execution evaluation. Fundamentally, some broad practices of execution the board were watched, such the utilization of reviews, reports to lead the assessment and execution devices, for example, eco-productivity, ISO 14031 and carbon impression. Natural maintainability KPI's are identified with data sources and yields ecological viewpoints.

3.3. Collaborative Effort

Collaborative effort incorporates coordinate commitment between the various dimensions of the inventory network, in which the central organization concedes to the enhancement of its providers environmental execution [39,42]. Vachon and Klassen [39] considered two basic qualities of the cooperation: it is a procedure of disguise of claim natural practices crosswise over inventory network, and conceivably catch the additional incentive by the decline of ecological effect.

In any case, the appropriation of collective practices relies upon specific perspectives. Right off the bat, a closer association with providers is required and is valuable for the fruitful results of ventures [37]. Furthermore, Kogg and Mont [10] featured the need of relative power from central organization to draw in its suppliers and the choice of items classes. The span of store network base was called attention to by Vachon and Klassen [39]. As indicated by them, littler provider base encouraged more prominent natural joint effort. At last, speculation to enhancing provider's supportable execution is related to tooling, gear and authoritative methods that are extraordinarily custom fitted to the association with

an individual supplier. This may speak to a solid disincentive to change suppliers [33].

Some contextual investigations of joint effort were found in the literature. General Motors began a program to assist its providers with improving vitality proficiency and materials use, and to decrease contamination in 1996 [36]. From that point onward, a gathering with eight key-providers was framed to investigate ways that GM could work viably with providers to coordinate ecological worries into the plan, sourcing and producing processes. This gathering had distinguished open doors for collaboration among GM and its providers on EMS, plan for environment, and ecological measurements all through the production network [36]. Hyundai engines asked for all first level providers to execute and ensure an EMS - ISO 14001 of every 2003. The organization upheld providers via preparing, workshops and much of the time gatherings. Following five years, the quantity of providers confirmed expanded drastically, developing from 34% in 2003 to 99% in 2008 [50].

Tables 3 points out some natural manageability rehearses embraced as a team with providers. The center offered was to execute a few enhancements into process, item and general exercises. Contamination aversion and EMS - ISO 14001 were the most widely recognized practice revealed with spotlight on process. As far as joint effort with spotlight on item, LCA and structure for natural were considerably revealed. At last, preparing with obtaining staff of central organization and providers staff was a typical practice in general exercises.

4. Discussion and Conclusion

This paper has given an exhaustive audit on how ecological supportability rehearses are diffused crosswise over store network base through the three-center upstream inventory network the executive's exercises – obtaining the board, performance evaluation and joint effort.

Chosen natural necessities are built up in order to qualify and choose providers. These prerequisites are basically affected by explicit sources of info and yields environmental parts of the procedures and the items (e.g. water and vitality utilization, GHG emanations, and so forth.) [7]. In addition, the meaning of these prerequisites is identified with the

environmental position and culture of central organizations. In this sense, the central organization must agree to these requires mindsight off the bat before it asks for its providers to go along [14]. As a matter of fact, an absence of inner consistency will prompt lower observation and inspiration for providers to embrace environmental manageability rehearses [59]. At long last, the poor plan of ecological prerequisites may be hard to survey the consistence with the chose necessities. Also, transactional cost and vulnerabilities as far as provider behavior may be expanded. In accordance with execution evaluation, reports (e.g. GRI), examines (e.g. LCA, Carbon impression), estimation of KPI's (e.g. Eco-productivity, ISO 14031) are the most natural supportability rehearses received. There is a solid exertion to evaluate if natural practices influence the general performance of the central organizations. Notwithstanding, extraordinary environmental practices have been considered. So as to have a superior dispersion of natural practices, it is expected an all-around organized framework to assemble and share dependable data with a reasonable meaning of KPI's (general identified with the insignificant necessities and sources of info and yields condition tall parts of procedures and items).

At long last, the dispersion of ecological maintainability practices is unequivocally affected by the dimension of cooperation. This movement basically centers around ecological upgrades as far as procedures and items. It is by and large aided via preparing, specialized help and the utilization of coordinated databases, particularly so as to share information. Joint efforts with key accomplices are progressively normal however not constantly embraced.

In rundown, the dissemination of ecological maintainability rehearses crosswise over supply is pointedly identified with the obtaining, execution evaluation and joint effort. These upstream exercises are influenced by inner capacities and the development dimension of ecological and culture of the association. What's more, these exercises are additionally better structured considering the attributes of items and procedures, for the most part the sources of info and yields ecological angles.

It is critical to think about obtaining, execution assessment and joint effort to diffuse ecological

sustainability rehearses crosswise over supply base. As an outcome, a superior plan of clear arrangement of prerequisites with ecological technique of the central organization may be finished. What's more, the consistence with these chose prerequisites and the by and large natural execution of providers may be surveyed. In view of that, dependable choices on needs to enhance the natural execution of providers by coordinated effort may be made. Future work should concentrate on exact investigations of the integration of buying, providers' execution appraisal and cooperation with providers so as to see how to fortify the association with providers.

References

- [1] A. A. Hervani, M.M. Helms, J. Sarkis, Performance measurement for green supply chain management, *Benchmarking An Int. J.* 12 (2005) 330–353.
- [2] S.K. Srivastava, Green supply-chain management: A state-of-the-art literature review, *Int. J. Manag. Rev.* 9 (2007) 53–80.
- [3] Q. Zhu, J. Sarkis, K. Lai, Confirmation of a measurement model for green supply chain management practices implementation, *Int. J. Prod. Econ.* 111 (2008) 261–273.
- [4] R. Lamming, J. Hampson, The Environment as a Supply Chain Management Issue, *Br. J. Manag.* 7 (1996) 45–62.
- [5] D. Hollos, C. Blome, K. Foerstl, Does sustainable supplier co-operation affect performance? Examining implications for the triple bottom line, *Int. J. Prod. Res.* 50 (2012) 2968–2986.
- S.-Y. Lee, R.D. Klassen, Drivers and Enablers That Foster Environmental Management Capabilities in Small- and Medium-Sized Suppliers in Supply Chains, *Prod. Oper. Manag.* 17 (2008) 573–586.
- [7] M. Brandenburg, K. Govindan, J. Sarkis, S. Seuring, Quantitative models for sustainable supply chain management: Developments and directions, *Eur. J. Oper. Res.* 233 (2014) 299–312.
- [8] D. Tranfield, D. Denyer, P. Smart, Towards a Methodology for Developing Evidence-Informed Management Knowledge by Means of Systematic Review, *Br. J. Manag.* 14 (2003) 207–222.
- [9] C. Pilbeam, G. Alvarez, H. Wilson, The governance of supply networks: a systematic literature review, *Supply Chain Manag. An Int. J.* 17 (2012) 358–376.
- [10] B. Kogg, O. Mont, Environmental and social responsibility in supply chains: The practise of choice and inter-organisational management, *Ecol. Econ.* 83 (2012) 154–163.
- [11] S. V. Walton, R.B. Handfield, S. a. Melnyk, The Green Supply Chain: Integrating Suppliers into Environmental Management Processes, *Int. J. Purch. Mater. Manag.* 34 (1998) 2–11.
- development, *Eur. J. Purch. Supply Manag.* 7 (2001) 61–73.
- [13] M. Igarashi, L. de Boer, A.M. Fet, What is required for greener supplier selection? A literature review and conceptual model development, *J. Purch. Supply Manag.* 19 (2013) 247–263.
- [14] [1] Chetty, Dr. Valliappan Raju Karuppan, and Dr. Siew Poh Phung. "Economics Behind Education: Elements of Development Outcomes through Political Involvement". *Eurasian Journal of Analytical Chemistry* 13 no. 6 (2018): emSJAC181129
- [15] S. Seuring, M. Müller, From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management, *J. Clean. Prod.* 16 (2008) 1699–1710.
- [16] Raju, Dr. Valliappan, and Dr. Amiya Bhaumik. "Understanding the Role of Indian Banks – In Perspective to Staff Engagement & Leadership". *Eurasian Journal of Analytical Chemistry* 13 no. 6 (2018): emEJAC181159.
- [17] K. Polgreen, Social and environmental supply-chain management: an overview, *Small Enterp. Dev.* 13 (2002) 25–33.
- [18] D. Holt, Managing the interface between suppliers and organizations for environmental responsibility – an exploration of current practices in the UK, *Corp. Soc. Responsib. Environ. Manag.* 84 (2004) 71–84.
- [19] N. Darnall, G.J. Jolley, R. Handfield, Environmental management systems Strateg. Environ. 17 (2008) 30–45.
- [20] H. Min, W.P. Galle, Green purchasing practices of US firms, *Int. J. Oper. Prod. Manag.* 21 (2001) 1222–1238.
- [21] B. Ageron, A. Gunasekaran, A. Spalanzani, Sustainable supply management: An empirical study, *Int. J. Prod. Econ.* 140 (2012) 168–182.

- [22] C.M. Rosen, J. Bercovitz, S. Beckman, Environmental Supply-Chain Management in the Perspective, *J. Ind. Ecol.* 4 (2001) 83–103.
- [23] M.S. Forman, M., Jorgensen, Organising environmental supply chain management: experience from a sector with frequent product shifts and complex chains, *Greener Manag. Int.* 45 (2004) 43–62.
- [24] F. Testa, F. Iraldo, Shadows and lights of GSCM (Green Supply Chain Management): determinants and effects of these practices based on a multi-national study, *J. Clean. Prod.* 18 (2010) 953–962.
- [25] C.R. Carter, J.R. Carter, Interorganizational Determinants of Environmental Purchasing: Initial Evidence from the Consumer Products Industries, *Decis. Sci.* 29 (1998) 659–684.
- [26] D. Harms, E.G. Hansen, S. Schaltegger, Strategies in Sustainable Supply Chain Management: An Empirical Investigation of Large German Companies, *Corp. Soc. Responsib. Environ. Manag.* 20 (2013) 205–218.
- [27] M.J. Hutchins, J.W. Sutherland, An exploration of measures of social sustainability and their application to supply chain decisions, *J. Clean. Prod.* 16 (2008) 1688–1698.
- [28] R.P. Côté, J. Lopez, S. Marche, G.M. Perron, R. Wright, Influences, practices and opportunities for environmental supply chain management in Nova Scotia SMEs, *J. Clean. Prod.* 16 (2008) 1561–1570.
- [29] E. Hassini, C. Surti, C. Searcy, A literature review and a case study of sustainable supply chains with a focus on metrics, *Int. J. Prod. Econ.* 140 (2012) 69–82.
- [30] D. Holt, A. Ghobadian, An empirical study of green supply chain management practices amongst UK manufacturers, *J. Manuf. Technol. Manag.* 20 (2009) 933–956.
- [31] C.-C. Chen, Incorporating green purchasing into the frame of ISO 14000, *J. Clean. Prod.* 13 (2005) 927–933.
- [32] C.V. Seuring, S., Ossietzky, Industrial ecology, life cycles, supply chains: differences and interrelations, *Bus. Strateg. Environ.* 319 (2004) 306–319.
- [33] D.F. Simpson, D.J. Power, Use the supply relationship to develop lean and green suppliers, *Supply Chain Manag. An Int. J.* 10 (2005) 60–68.
- [34] J.M. Cramer, Organising corporate social responsibility in international product chains, *J. Clean. Prod.* 16 (2008) 395–400.
- [35] A.B.L.S. Jabbour, C.J.C. Jabbour, Are supplier selection criteria going green? Case studies of companies in Brazil, *Ind. Manag. Data Syst.* 109 (2009) 477–495.
- [36] Success Ath, Corp. *Environ. Strateg.* 6 (1999) 175–182.
- [37] D. Nawrocka, T. Brorson, T. Lindhqvist, ISO 14001 in environmental supply chain practices, *J. Clean. Prod.* 17 (2009) 1435–1443.
- [38] R. Handfield, R. Sroufe, S. Walton, Integrating environmental management and supply chain strategies, *Bus. Strateg. Environ.* 14 (2005) 1–19.
- [39] S. Vachon, R.D. Klassen, Extending green practices across the supply chain: The impact of upstream and downstream integration, *Int. J. Oper. Prod. Manag.* 26 (2006) 795–821.
- [40] D. Gallea, A. Ghobadian, W. Chen, Corporate responsibility, supply chain partnership and performance: An empirical examination, *Int. J. Prod. Econ.* 140 (2012) 83–91.
- [41] J.S. Srari, L.S. Alinaghian, D. a. Kirkwood, Understanding sustainable supply network capabilities of multinationals: A capability maturity model approach, *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part B J. Eng. Manuf.* 227 (2013) 595–615.
- [42] D. Wittstruck, F. Teuteberg, Understanding the Success Factors of Sustainable Supply Chain Management: Empirical Evidence from the Electronics and Electronics Industry, *Corp. Soc. Responsib. Environ. Manag.* 19 (2012) 141–158.
- [43] J. Crotty, Greening the supply chain? The impact of take-back regulation on the UK automotive sector, *J. Environ. Policy Plan.* 8 (2006) 219–234.
- [44] C. Gimenez, E.M. Tachizawa, Extending sustainability to suppliers: a systematic literature review, *Supply Chain Manag. An Int. J.* 17 (2012) 531–543.
- [45] M. Schmidt, R. Schwegler, A recursive ecological indicator system for the supply chain of a company, *J. Clean. Prod.* 16 (2008) 1658–1664.
- [46] S. Vachon, R.D. Klassen, Environmental management and manufacturing performance:

- The role of collaboration in the supply chain, *Int. J. Prod. Econ.* 111 (2008) 299–315.
- [47] B.M. Beamon, Designing the green supply chain, *Logist. Inf. Manag.* 12 (1999) 332–342.
- [48] S. Shaw, D.B. Grant, J. Mangan, Developing environmental supply chain performance measures, *Benchmarking An Int. J.* 17 (2010) 320–339.
- [49] G.J.L.F. Hagelaar, J.G.A.J. Van Der Vorst, Environmental supply chain management: using life cycle assessment to structure supply chains, *Int. Food Agribus. Manag. Rev.* 4 (2002) 399–412.
- [50] K.-H. Lee, I.-M. Cheong, Measuring a carbon footprint and environmental practice: the case of Hyundai Motors Co. (HMC), *Ind. Manag. Data Syst.* 111 (2011) 961–978.
- [51] M. Salvá, S. Jones, R.J. Marshall, C.F.H. Bishop, An audit tool for environmental measurement in the UK food sector, *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 48 (2013) 1509–1518.
- [52] Q. Zhu, J. Sarkis, K. Lai, Green supply chain management: pressures, practices and performance within the Chinese automobile industry, *J. Clean. Prod.* 15 (2007) 1041–1052.
- [53] Raju, Dr. Valliappan. "Theory of Lim Law: Leadership Style". *Eurasian Journal of Analytical Chemistry* 13 no. 6 (2018): emEJAC181127.
- [54] U. Okongwu, R. Morimoto, M. Lauras, The maturity of supply chain sustainability disclosure from a continuous improvement perspective, *Int. J. Product. Perform. Manag.* 62 (2013) 827–855.
- [55] E.F. Bowen, Horses for courses: explaining the gap between the theory and practice of green supply, *Greener Manag. Int.* 35 (2001) 41–60.
- [56] M.J. Tachizawa, E. M., Thomsen, C.G., Montes-Sancho, Green Supply Management Strategies in Spanish Firms, *IEEE Trans. Eng. Manag.* 59 (2012) 741–752.
- [57] R.D. Klassen, S. Vachon, Collaboration and Evaluation in the Supply Chain: the Impact on Plant-Level Environmental Investment, *Prod. Oper. Manag.* 12 (2009) 336–352.
- [58] K. Nakano, M. Hirao, Collaborative activity with business partners for improvement of product environmental performance using LCA, *J. Clean. Prod.* 19 (2011) 1189–1197.
- [59] D.E. Boyd, R.E. Spekman, J.W. Kamauff, P. Werhane, Corporate Social Responsibility in Global Supply Chains: A Procedural Justice Perspective, *Long Range Plann.* 40 (2007) 341–356.