Determinants of profitability in aviation industry of Europe and America

Alexandros Garefalakis^{#1}, George Mantalis^{*2}, Christos Lemonakis^{#3}, Konstantinos Vassakis^{*4}, Konstantinos Spinthiropoulos^{#5}

^{#1, *2, #3, *4, #5} Accounting and Finance Department, Technological Educational Institute of Crete Heraklion Crete, Greece

¹garefalakis@teicrete.gr ²mantalis10@hotmail.com ³culemon2004@yahoo.gr ⁴kvassakis@gmail.com ⁵ spinthiropoulos_k@yahoo.gr

Abstract— The civil aviation, is an industry evolving at exponential speed despite the significant problems that faces in the last decade (increase of oil price, terrorist attacks etc.) An important feature of aviation firms and generally all companies, is that their size reflects their efficiency and in general their economic growth. In this era of financial crisis, liquidity is a significant factor for the stabilization and growth of economic organizations.

Therefore, the examination of cash flows as indicator of liquidity limitations is necessary for the measurement of firms' profitability. In this study, we tried to see whether the efficiency of size of European and American airlines listed firms, depends on their growth and cash flows from investment activity

Keywords— aviation; profitability; size; firm growth; cash flows; listed companies

1. Introduction

Civil aviation is a sector constantly evolving thinking that in 1903 Wright brothers flew for first time in history, in 1912 the first passenger airline company (Deutsche Luftschiffahrts -Aktiengesellschaft) was established and nowadays International Airline Trade Association (IATA) has 240 registered members comprising 84% of global air traffic (Figure 1).



International Journal of Supply Chain Management IJSCM, ISSN: 2050-7399 (Online), 2051-3771 (Print) Copyright © ExcelingTech Pub, UK (<u>http://excelingtech.co.uk/</u>) Figure 1. Air travel expansion in the past 40 years¹

In the past forty years, air travel measured by worldwide scheduled revenue passenger kilometres (RPKs) has expanded significantly and its expansion presents growth three times greater than world's GDP. This progress indicates that air travel facilitated by globalization and has been one of the fastest growing economic sectors (IATA, 2011).

Especially in Europe, as it is presented in the chart 2, the future flight demand is going to overcome the airport capacity. This prospect will pose need for further private and governmental investments in the aviation industry.





¹ Source: ICAO, IATA, Haver, Available at:

http://www.iata.org/pressroom/facts_figures/Documents/vision-2050.pdf

Airlines serve millions of passengers, offer jobs in millions of people and reduce dramatically the distance covering millions of kilometres. Aviation is a very significant factor of tourism industry that a properly structured variation network is capable of offering positive primary impact on tourism industry and positive secondary impact in the broader economy [4]. It is obvious thus the size of economic and social impacts by aviation.

In the last decade, both European and American aviation industry face many problems [1] such as the increase of oil price, the terrorist attack of 9/11 etc. Therefore, their growth is induced.

In the next section the literature review of efficiency and profitability in aviation industry is presented. In the third section, the methodology of this study is analysed. In the fourth section, the results of this study are presented and discussed. In the end, the conclusions of this study are presented.

2. Literature Review

There are many ways leading a firm to growth, the bulk of studies have identical indicators for measuring the growth, such as total assets, profits, sales etc. [5]. Nonetheless, the most widely used, are:

The sales growth in five years [12], [13]. This measurement confirms several theories indicating that sales growth leads on profitability. The development of employees with three years study period [15], [18], [20].

In literature, the economic growth of firms is related to their size. Many scholars have examined the relationship between firm size and other characteristics with their growth [9], [14]. Additionally there is evidence that firm size is the most significant factor for its growth [10], [17].

It is indicated that small firms cannot develop as the big, due to difficulties in access to funding sources [8]. Other studies concluded that pressures of financial markets have positive impact more in small firms than large [2], [3]. However, if the availability of funding is a key factor for the size, firm size must be related to factors associated with the development of money markets. It is noticed negative correlation between development and leverage [11], while it is denoted that leverage is a determinant of firm growth [9]. The firms with high level of leverage may cannot seize opportunities from their growth or the firms with a few opportunities, during their growth spend their cash in inefficient plans-projects. That negative correlation appears in firms with low ratio Tobin q and not in these with high [11].

Cash flows are also an indicator of liquidity limitations [6], [7]. More specifically, it is noticed that the empirical proportion of investment is highly correlated with the cash flows [7].

Apart from whether cash flows provide or not information for liquidity limitation, are also a source of funding. The more lower a firm is the more sensitive is in its cash flows, while smaller firms have lower cash flows than larger [7].

The cash flows, according to IAS7, are classified into three categories: a) Cash flows from operating activities, b) Cash flows from investments and c) Cash flows from financing.

Subsequently, Ref. [16] following the methodology of [7] studied how financing limitations can induce the elements of firm growth as well as the sensitivity of growth rate in cash flows. It is noticed that firms' cash flows have a correlation with the growth rate of firms [6]. Moreover, it is found that firms with high cash flows grow faster, thus cash flows are correlated with growth rate of firms [19].

3. Methodology

The data sample consisted of 50 (25 European and 25 American) publicly traded aviation firms in balanced panel data. The research covers the time period of 2005-2011. The sample includes 25 European and 25 American listed companies in aviation for the whole period under study.

In order to examine the determinants of profitability in aviation industry financial firm level data are used. Determinants of profitability are investigated through econometric regression. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is used in order to eliminate the number of variables and exclude the observations of possibly correlated

² Source: Eurocontrol (2010), Available at: www.eurocontrol.int/sites/default/files/publication/files/longterm-forecast-2010-2030.pdf

variables. The methodology used is EGLS multivariate regression on balanced panel data. From the results, significant conclusions about factors affecting aviation's firm profitability could be extracted for the examining period.

In this study, Return on Assets (ROA) as independent variable was selected on the basis of theory and international literature in order to examine the factors that affect the profitability ratio of firms. A dummy variable (LOC) is used to indicate the location based of aviation firm, taking value equals to zero for European aviation firm and one for American. Size of firms is measured in term of log total assets demonstrating whether small firms are more profitable than large ones.

 Table 1. Variables Selection

| | Symbol | Variable | | | |
|-----------------------|--------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| | - | Description | | | |
| Dependent variable | ROA | Return On Assets | | | |
| | CF_CL | Cash Flow/Current | | | |
| | | Liabilities | | | |
| | AP | Accounts Payable | | | |
| | CE | Common Equity | | | |
| | NM | Net Margin | | | |
| | ROIC | Return On Invested | | | |
| | | Capital | | | |
| D 1 | SIZE | LogTotalAssets | | | |
| Explanatory | STI | Short Term | | | |
| variables | | Investments | | | |
| | LOC | Dummy variable | | | |
| | | for location takes | | | |
| | | values: 0=Europe/ | | | |
| | | 1=America | | | |
| | QR | Quick Ratio | | | |
| | PPE | Property Plant & | | | |
| | | Equipment - Net | | | |

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics of selected variables

| | Mean | Std. Deviation |
|--------------|----------|----------------|
| Return On | ,0523 | ,086273 |
| Assets | | |
| Cash | ,1026 | 3,0273 |
| Flow/Current | | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Accounts | 170,9510 | 241,9117 |
| Payable | | |
| Common | 398,212 | 1576,5855 |
| Equity | | |
| Net Margin | ,0441 | ,16004 |

| Return On | ,0858 | ,12045 |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Invested | | |
| Capital | | |
| Log Total | 2,927 | ,8155 |
| Assets (SIZE) | | |
| Short Term | 188,935 | 302,79445 |
| Investments | | |
| 0=Europe/ | ,50 | ,501 |
| 1=America | | |
| Quick Ratio | 1,0844 | ,5859 |
| Property Plant | 1334,5285 | 2006,924 |
| & Equipment - | | |
| Net | | |

The model used in this research is the following:

$ROA_{i,t} =$

 $\begin{array}{l} \beta_0+\beta_1CF_LIAB+\beta_2AP+\beta_3COEQ+\beta_4NM+\beta_5ROIC+\\ \beta_6SIZE+\beta_7STI+\beta_8LOC+\beta_9QR+\beta_{10}PPE+\epsilon_{i,t}\end{array}$

, where $\varepsilon_{i,t}$ is the error term.

4. Discussion

The EGLS model used to examine relationship between profitability with cash flows, size and location (see Table 3) for all the aviation firms. Using balanced panel data for the whole period (2005-2011) with diagonal correction of standard errors for heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation (according to the White methodology) and crosssection weights. Data were treated for outliers at the 5% level. There is no indication that the data structure is characterized by period specific heteroskedasticity, contemporaneous and betweenperiod covariances. More specifically, it is observed that six explanatory variables are statistically significant at 1% level of significance, while variable of firm size is statistically significant at 5% level of significance.

| Table 3. Regression results | s |
|-----------------------------|---|
|-----------------------------|---|

| Dependent Variable: ROA | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Method: Panel EGLS (Cross-section weights) | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample: 200 | 5 2011 | | | | | | | | |
| Periods inclu | ded: 7 | | | | | | | | |
| Total panel (b | oalanced) observ | ations: 350 | | | | | | | |
| Linear estima | ation after one-st | ep weighting | matrix | | | | | | |
| White cross-s | section standard | errors & cov | ariance | | | | | | |
| (d.f. corrected | d) | | | | | | | | |
| | | Std. | | | | | | | |
| Variable | Coefficient | Error | t-Statistic | | | | | | |
| | -0.023101** | | | | | | | | |
| С | C (0.0002) 0.006062 -3.811065 | | | | | | | | |
| CF_LIA | CF_LIA 0.000864** | | | | | | | | |
| В | (0.0034) | 0.000293 | 2.950072 | | | | | | |
| AP | -6.10E-06 | 5.40E-06 | -1.130763 | | | | | | |

| | 134 |
|----------------|-----------|
| Vol. 5, No. 2, | June 2016 |

| | (0.2590) | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| | 1.85E-06 | | | | | | |
| COEQ | (0.4025) | 2.21E-06 | 0.838197 | | | | |
| | 0.229612** | | | | | | |
| NM | (0.0001) | 0.056441 | 4.068174 | | | | |
| | 0.375525** | | | | | | |
| ROIC | (0.0000) | 0.024504 | 15.32480 | | | | |
| | 0.006374* | | | | | | |
| SIZE | (0.0131) | 0.002554 | 2.495230 | | | | |
| | -1.29E-05** | | | | | | |
| SII | (0.0000) | 1.04E-06 | -12.37450 | | | | |
| | 0.013511** | | | | | | |
| LOC | (0.0000) | 0.001416 | 9.540290 | | | | |
| 0.5 | 0.010068** | | | | | | |
| QR | (0.0000) | 0.000937 | 10.74284 | | | | |
| | -1.21E-06 | | | | | | |
| PPE | (0.0876) | 7.06E-07 | -1.713066 | | | | |
| | Weighted Sta | atistics | | | | | |
| R-squared | 0.925474 | | | | | | |
| Adjusted R- | | | | | | | |
| squared | 0.923275 | | | | | | |
| | | Sum | | | | | |
| | | squared | | | | | |
| F-statistic | 420.9737 | resid | 0.862332 | | | | |
| 1 Statistic | 12019707 | Durbin- | 0.002002 | | | | |
| Prob(F- | | Watson | | | | | |
| statistic) | 0.000000 | stat | 1.210321 | | | | |
| (*) significant | (*) significance at 5% (**) significance at 1% | | | | | | |
| () significance at 570 () significance at 170 | | | | | | | |

From the results of the regression model, it is observed that there is positive relationship between firm' profitability and ratio of cash flow to liabilities, size, return on invested capital, net margin, quick ratio, location while negative relationship between firms' profitability and short term investments exists. More specifically, firms that have higher ratio of cash flows to liabilities present more liquidity and have higher return on invested capital (ROIC) present higher level of profitability. Net margin and quick ratio are positively correlated with profitability ratio of aviation firms indicating that profits remaining after operating after all operating expenses, interest, taxes and preferred stock dividends have positive impact in firm's profitability. Additionally, from the dummy variable used for firms' location of firms is noticed that American aviation firms are more profitable than European. The positive relationship between ROA and size indicates that larger firms are more profitable than smaller firms in aviation industry. The variable of short-term investments has negative value indicating negative correlation with profitability ratio. Aviation firms appear to be less profitable with the decrease of their liquidity for investments in the short-term.

5. Conclusion

Aviation industry is one of the most rapidly developing sectors with growth rate greater than world's GDP. It is significant for the country and continent development as it contributes to reduction of unemployment and increase of growth. Therefore, this research investigates the factors affecting the profitability of European and American listed companies in aviation industry during the time period 2005-2011.

Significant conclusions came from the results of this study. Measuring profitability with return on assets based on theory and literature, the study attempted to identify the factors of profitability in American and European publicly traded aviation firms. The results indicate that the main differences of more profitable aviation firms are the size, cash flows to liabilities return on invested capital, net margin, location, quick ratio and short term investments suggesting that larger aviation firms from America are more profitable than smaller firms from Europe.

Location of aviation firms found to play significant role in profitability as American firms seem to be more profitable than European. In addition, larger enterprises appear to gain more profits than smaller indicating that increased total assets lead on an increase of profitability. Also, investments in short term present negative sign suggesting negative impact in profits of aviation firms, while Property, Plant & Equipment seem to have no impact to profitability.

References

- Assaf, A., Josiassen, A., "European vs. US airlines: Performance comparison in a dynamic market", Tourism management, Vol 33, pp. 317-326, 2012.
- [2] Aghion P., P. Bolton, "A Theory of Trickle-Down Growth and Development", Review of Economic Studies, Vol 64, pp. 151-172, 1997.
- [3] Beck, T., Demirgüç Kunt, A., "Access to Finance: An Unfinished Agenda", The World Bank Economic Review, Vol 22, No. 3, pp. 383-396, 2008.
- [4] Bowen, J., "Airline hubs in Southeast Asia: national economic development and nodal accessibility", Journal of Transport Geography, Vol 8, pp. 25-41, 2000.

- [5] Delmar, F., Davidsson, P., Gartner, W.B., "Arriving at the high-growth firm", Journal of Business Venturing, Vol 18, pp. 189-216, 2003.
- [6] Elston, J., "An Examination of the Relationship Between Firm Size, Growth andLiquidity in the Neuer Markt", Discussion Paper 15/02, Economic Research Centre of the Deutsche Bundesbank, 2002.
- [7] Fazzari, S., Hubbard, G., Petersen, B., "Financing Constraints and Corporate Investment", Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, Vol 1, pp. 141-195, 1988.
- [8] Greenwood, J., Jovanovic, B., "Financial Development, Growth, and the Distribution of Income", Journal of Political Economy, Vol 98, No. 5, pp. 1076-1107, 1990.
- [9] Gill A., Biger N, Mathur N, Shah C, Bhutani I., "Factors that affect Canadian propensity to grow and expand small business", Business and Economics Journal, pp. 1-10, 2010.
- [10] Henrekson, M., Johansson, D., "Competencies and Institutions Fostering High-growth Firms", Foundations and Trends(R) in Entrepreneurship, Vol 5, No. 1, pp. 1-80, 2008.
- [11] Lang, L., Ofek, E., Stulz, R., "Leverage, investment and firm growth", Journal of Financial Economics Vol 40, pp. 3-29, 1996.
- [12] McCann, J.E., "Patterns of growth, competitive technology, and financial strategies in young ventures", J. Bus. Venturing, Vol 6, pp. 189–208, 1991.
- [13] Merz, G., Sauber, M., "Profiles of managerial activities in small firms", Strategic Management Journal, Vol 16, pp. 551-564, 1995.
- [14] Oliveira, B., Fortunato, A., "The dynamics of the growth of firms: Evidence from the

services sector", Empirica, Vol 35, No. 3, pp. 293-312, 2008.

- [15] Peters, M., Brush, C., "Market information scanning activities and growth in new ventures: a comparison of service and manufacturing businesses", Journal of Business Research, Vol 36, pp. 81–89, 1996.
- [16] Petersen, B., Carpenter, R., "Is The Growth Of Small Firms Constrained By Internal Finance?" The Review of Economics and Statistics, MIT Press, Vol 84, No. 2, pp. 298-309, 2002.
- [17] Schimke, A., Brenner, T., "Temporal structure of firm growth and the impact of R&D", KIT Working paper 32, 2011.
- [18] Vaessen, P., Keeble, D., "Growth-oriented SMEs in unfavourable regional environments", Reg. Stud, Vol 29, No. 6, pp. 489–505, 1995.
- [19] Wagenvoort, R., "Are finance constraints hindering the growth of SMEs in Europe?", EIB Paper No.7, Economic and Financial Studies, European Investment Bank, Luxemburg, 2003.
- [20] Zahra, S., "Environment, corporate entrepreneurship, and financial performance: a taxonomic approach", Journal of Business Venturing, Vol 8, pp. 319–340, 1993.

Appendix

Appendix 1

| | ANOVA ^a | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|-----|-------------|---------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Model | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. | | | | | |
| 1 | Regression | ,826 | 1 | ,826 | 162,336 | ,000 ^b | | | | | |
| | Residual | 1,771 | 348 | ,005 | | | | | | | |
| | Total | 2,598 | 349 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Regression | 1,290 | 2 | ,645 | 171,154 | ,000 ^c | | | | | |
| | Residual | 1,308 | 347 | ,004 | | | | | | | |
| | Total | 2,598 | 349 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Regression | 1,315 | 3 | ,438 | 118,306 | ,000 ^d | | | | | |
| | Residual | 1,282 | 346 | ,004 | | | | | | | |
| | Total | 2,598 | 349 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Regression | 1,340 | 4 | ,335 | 91,908 | ,000 ^e | | | | | |
| | Residual | 1,258 | 345 | ,004 | | | | | | | |
| | Total | 2,598 | 349 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Regression | 1,354 | 5 | ,271 | 74,940 | ,000 ^f | | | | | |
| | Residual | 1,243 | 344 | ,004 | | | | | | | |
| | Total | 2,598 | 349 | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Regression | 1,380 | 6 | ,230 | 64,826 | ,000 ^g | | | | | |
| | Residual | 1,217 | 343 | ,004 | | | | | | | |
| | Total | 2,598 | 349 | | | | | | | | |

a. Dependent Variable: Return On Assets

b. Predictors: (Constant), Return On Invested Capital

c. Predictors: (Constant), Return On Invested Capital, Net Margin

d. Predictors: (Constant), Return On Invested Capital, Net Margin, 0=Europe/1=America

e. Predictors: (Constant), Return On Invested Capital, Net Margin, 0=Europe/1=America, Quick Ratio

f. Predictors: (Constant), Return On Invested Capital, Net Margin, 0=Europe/1=America, Quick Ratio,

Property Plant & Equipment - Net

g. Predictors: (Constant), Return On Invested Capital, Net Margin, 0=Europe/1=America, Quick

Ratio, Property Plant & Equipment - Net, Short Term Investments

Appendix 2

| Correlations | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|------------------------|--------|-------------|----------|----------|--------|-----------|-------|---------|----------|-------|-------------|
| | | | Cash | | | | | | Short | | | Property |
| | | Return | Flow/ | | | | Return On | | Term | | | Plant & |
| | | On | Current | Accounts | Common | Net | Invested | | Investm | | Quick | Equipment - |
| | <u>_</u> | Assets | Liabilities | Payable | Equity | Margin | Capital | Size | ents | Location | Ratio | Net |
| Pearson | Return On Assets | 1,000 | ,105 | ,012 | ,099 | ,542 | ,564 | ,013 | -,108 | ,199 | ,210 | ,042 |
| Correlation | Cash Flow/Current | ,105 | 1,000 | ,024 | ,015 | ,071 | ,116 | ,050 | ,023 | -,016 | -,082 | ,038 |
| l | Liabilities | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Accounts Payable | ,012 | ,024 | 1,000 | -,042 | -,051 | ,033 | ,584 | ,374 | ,122 | -,100 | ,527 |
| | Common Equity | ,099 | ,015 | -,042 | 1,000 | ,052 | ,059 | ,124 | ,081 | -,104 | ,107 | -,087 |
| l | Net Margin | ,542 | ,071 | -,051 | ,052 | 1,000 | ,232 | -,010 | -,044 | ,125 | ,136 | ,037 |
| | Return On Invested | ,564 | ,116 | ,033 | ,059 | ,232 | 1,000 | -,117 | -,113 | ,101 | ,133 | -,113 |
| l | Capital | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | LogTotalAssets (SIZE) | ,013 | ,050 | ,584 | ,124 | -,010 | -,117 | 1,000 | ,527 | ,164 | -,053 | ,659 |
| | Short Term Investments | -,108 | ,023 | ,374 | ,081 | -,044 | -,113 | ,527 | 1,000 | ,198 | -,041 | ,465 |
| l | 0=Europe/ 1=America | ,199 | -,016 | ,122 | -,104 | ,125 | ,101 | ,164 | ,198 | 1,000 | -,038 | ,257 |
| | Quick Ratio | ,210 | -,082 | -,100 | ,107 | ,136 | ,133 | -,053 | -,041 | -,038 | 1,000 | -,197 |
| | Property Plant & | ,042 | ,038 | ,527 | -,087 | ,037 | -,113 | ,659 | ,465 | ,257 | -,197 | 1,000 |
| | Equipment - Net | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | |
| Sig. | Return On Assets | | ,025 | ,410 | ,032 | ,000 | ,000 | ,407 | ,022 | ,000 | ,000 | ,215 |
| (1-tailed) | Cash Flow/Current | ,025 | ! | ,327 | ,390 | ,094 | ,015 | ,175 | ,335 | ,386 | ,064 | ,238 |
| | Liabilities | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| | Accounts Payable | ,410 | ,327 | ! | ,216 | ,169 | ,269 | ,000 | ,000 | ,011 | ,031 | ,000 |
| | Common Equity | ,032 | ,390 | ,216 | | ,166 | ,137 | ,010 | ,066 | ,027 | ,023 | ,052 |
| | Net Margin | ,000 | ,094 | ,169 | ,166 | | ,000 | ,429 | ,204 | ,009 | ,005 | ,247 |
| | Return On Invested | ,000 | ,015 | ,269 | ,137 | ,000 | | ,014 | ,017 | ,030 | ,007 | ,018 |
| | Capital | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| | LogTotalAssets (SIZE) | ,407 | ,175 | ,000 | ,010 | ,429 | ,014 | | ,000 | ,001 | ,162 | ,000 |
| | Short Term Investments | ,022 | ,335 | ,000 | ,066 | ,204 | ,017 | ,000 | | ,000 | ,221 | ,000 |
| | 0=Europe/ | ,000 | ,386 | ,011 | ,027 | ,009 | ,030 | ,001 | ,000 | | ,238 | ,000 |
| | 1=America | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| | Quick Ratio | ,000 | ,064 | ,031 | ,023 | ,005 | ,007 | ,162 | ,221 | ,238 | | ,000 |
| | Property Plant & | ,215 | ,238 | ,000 | ,052 | ,247 | ,018 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | ,000 | |
| | Equipment - Net | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| | Property Plant & | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 |
| | Equipment - Net | | ! | ! | ! | | | | | | | |