Available online on 15.02.2019 at http://jddtonline.info

Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics

Open Access to Pharmaceutical and Medical Research

Open Access Research Article

Formulation and evaluation of matrix transdermal patches of meloxicam

Sumit Chourasia[1]*, Tripti Shukla[1], Surendra Dangi [1], Neeraj Upmanyu [1], Nidhi Jain [2]

[1] School of Pharmacy, People's University, Ayodhya Bypass Rd, Peoples Campus, Bhanpur, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh- 462037, India

[2] School of Pharmacy, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology & Medical Sciences, Village-pachama, Sehore, M.P.-466001, india

ABSTRACT

The present study deals with the formulation and evaluation of transdermalpatches of meloxicam towards enhance its permeation through the skin and maintain the plasma levelconcentration. Transdermal patches were prepared by using polymers like Chitosan, HPMC 15cps and EC 20cpsat various concentrations by solvent casting technique employing dibutyl phthalate as plasticizer and isopropylmyristate as permeation enhancer. The transdermal patches were evaluated for their physico-chemical properties and *in-vitro* drug release. The transdermal patches were found to be transparent and smooth in texture. Amongthe formulations studied, at the end of 12th hour, the minimum and maximum *in-vitro* drug release was observedfor the formulations F12 and F4*i.e.* 80.012 ± 2.012 % and 98.365±3.012%. The mechanism of drugrelease was found to be Non-Fickian diffusion controlled. FT-IR studies revealed theintegrity of the drug in theformulations.

Keywords: Transdermal Patches, Meloxicam, Chitosan, HPMC 15cps, EC 20 cps, *in-vitro* diffusion studies.

Article Info: Received 10 Jan 2019; Review Completed 31 Jan 2019; Accepted 06 Feb 2019; Available online 15 Feb 2019

Cite this article as:

Chourasia S, Shukla T, Dangi S, Upmanyu N, Jain N, Formulation and evaluation of matrix transdermal patches of meloxicam, Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics. 2019; 9(1-s):209-213 **DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22270/jddt.v9i1-s.2326**

***Address for Correspondence:**

Sumit Chourasia, School of Pharmacy, People's University, Ayodhya Bypass Rd, Peoples Campus, Bhanpur, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh- 462037, India

INTRODUCTION

Transdermal drug delivery system has been in existence for a long time. In the past, the most commonly applied systems were topically applied creams and ointments for dermatological disorders the occurrence of systemic side-effects with some of these formulations is indicative of absorption through the skin[1]. A number of drugs have been applied to the skin for systemic treatment. In a broad sense, the term transdermal delivery system includes all topically administered drug formulations intended to deliver the active ingredient into the general circulation[2].

Transdermal therapeutic systems have been designed to provide controlled continuous delivery of drugs *via* the skin to the systemic circulation[3-4].Moreover, it over comes various side effects like painful delivery of the drugs and the first pass metabolism of the drug occurred by other means of drug delivery systems[5].

Meloxicam and other NSAIDs the mechanism of release was diffusion mediated. The developed transdermal patches increase the efficacy of meloxicam for the therapy of arthritis and other painful muscular conditions[6-8]. Conventional systems of medication which require multi dose therapy have numerous problems and most recently, there is an increasing recognition that the skin can serve as the portprovide continuous transdermal drug infusion into the systemic circulation[9-12]. Transdermal therapeutic systems are defined as self-contained, discrete dosage forms when applied to the intact skin deliver the drug through the skin at controlled rate to the systemic circulation[15-19]. So, in present study formulated, evaluated and *in-vitro*drug release studies of meloxicam.

MATERIALAND METHODS

Meloxicam hydrochloride was received as a gift samples from Cadila Pharmaceutical, Ahmadabad and polymers are obtained from. Other chemicals used in the study were of analytical grade. Double-distilled water was used throughout the study.

Preparation of Transdermal Patches

The transdermal patches of Meloxicam were prepared using combination of three polymers *i.e.* (Chitosan, HPMC, EC) (Table 1) in a suitable solvent system by solvent casting technique. Calculated amount of meloxicam was dissolved in methanol and was dispersed in polymeric solution. Dibutyl phthalate is used as plasticizer (30% weight of polymer) and Isopropyl myristate served as permeation enhancer (5% weight of polymer) were added and stirred to form uniform mixture. The resultant mixture was poured into petridish having glass bangle (diameter 4.5 cm) lined with aluminum foil as a backing membrane. The prepared patches were

allowed to dry at room temperature for 24 hrs. For complete drying, the moulds were kept in a hot air oven maintained at 45 ± 1ºC for another 4 hours. After complete drying, the patches were removed and stored in desiccator until used. The patches were smooth, flexible and could be cut to any desired size and shape[20-26].

Evaluation of transdermal patches

The transdermal patches were evaluated for the following parameters.

Physical appearance

All the prepared patches were visually inspected for color, clarity, flexibility and smoothness.

Thickness uniformity

The thickness of the formulated film was measured at 3 different points using a mitutoya thickness gage 7301 made in Japan thickness of three reading was calculated. Average thickness was determined.

Folding endurance

The folding endurance was determined to determine flexibility of film.The flexibility of the film is needed to handle the film easily and for comfortable, secured application of film on the wound. It was determined by repeatedly folding one film at same place till it breaks or folded up to 300 times manually. The number of times of film could be folded at the same place without breaking give the value of folding endurance.

Water absorption capacity

It is of utmost importance, if they are used for biologicalapplications and wound healing. It is used to measure the capacity of film to absorb wound exudates. The initial weight of 1inch of dry film was noted. Then this film was placed in 15ml. of distilled water taken in Petri plate. The weight of the film was noted periodically at first hour, second hour, third hour and 24th hour. Every time after noting the weight, the film was placed in fresh water. Water absorption capacity of the film was calculated using a formula:

$$\% \text{ moisture absorption} = \frac{\text{Final weight} - \text{Initial weight}}{\text{Initial weight}} \times 100$$

Percentage moisture loss

The films were weighed accurately and kept in a desiccators containing anhydrous calcium chloride. After 3 days, the films weretaken out and weighed. The moisture losswas calculated using the formula:

$$\% \text{ moisture loss} = \frac{\text{Initial weight} - \text{Final weight}}{\text{Initial weight}} \times 100$$

Water vapor transmission rate

Glass vials of 5 ml capacity were washedthoroughly and dried to a constant weight in anoven. About 1 g of fused calcium chloride wastaken in the vials and the polymer films of2.25 cm2 were fixed over the brim with thehelp of an adhesive tape. Then the vialswere weighed and stored in a humiditychamber of 80-90 % RH condition for aperiod of 24 h. The vials were removedand weighed at 24 h time intervals tonote down the weight gain.

$$\text{Transmission rate} = \frac{\text{Final weight} - \text{Initial weight}}{\text{Time} \times \text{Area}} \times 100$$

Tensile strength

Tensile strength of the film was determinedwith Universal strength testing machine(JUSTY, Tensile Testing Machine, JTM 50 digital). Thesensitivity of the machine was 1 g. Itconsisted of two load cell grips. The lowerone was fixed and upper one was movable.The test film of size (10 × 10 mm^2) wasfixed between these cell grips and forcewas gradually applied till the film broke. The tensile strength of the film wastaken directly from the dial reading in kg.Tensile strength is expressed as follows:

$$\text{Tensile Strength} = \frac{\text{Tensile load at break}}{\text{Cross section area}}$$

Drug content

An accurately cut patch of 1cm2 area was taken and added to a beaker containing 1 ml phosphate buffer solution of pH 7.4 The beaker was kept 24 hours with occasion shaking. The sample was analyzed drug content using UV spectrophotometer 248nm.This study was performed for 3 times for a single patch.

Table 1: Composition of Meloxicam Transdermal Patches

Formulation Code	Polymers			Plasticizer (30 % w/v) (DBT)	Permeation Enhancer (30% w/v) IPM
	Chitosan	HPMC	EC		
F1	5	-	-	30	5
F2	-	5	-	30	5
F3	2	3	-	30	5
F4	3	2	-	30	5
F5	3.5	1	0.5	30	5
F6	3	1.5	0.5	30	5
F7	2.5	1.5	1	30	5
F8	2.5	2.5	0	30	5
F9	3	1	1	30	5
F10	2	2	1	30	5
F11	2.5	2.5	0	30	5
F12	1.5	3.5	0	30	5

In vitro drug release studies

The *in vitro* evaluation was carried out in the modified Franz diffusion cell. This consists of an upper donor compartment and the lower receptor compartment, surrounded by water jacket for circulation of water to maintain the temperature inside at 32 ±1^{0}C.The uniformity of solution in the receptor phase was maintained by stirring at high speed of 100 rpm (approximately) using a tiny magnetic bead the volume of receptor compartment was maintained at 60 ml and the diffusional surface are of 0.785 cm^2. The receptor compartment was provided with the sampling port on one side, to withdraw sample at the predetermined time intervals for estimation of drug content by UV spectrophotometer.

Experimental conditions

The receptor medium was phosphate buffer solution of pH 7.4, temperature of the receptor medium was maintained at 37 ± 2^0 C throughout the experiment using water jacket. The donor compartment was in contact with ambient condition of atmosphere.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

In the present study, Meloxicam transdermal patches were prepared by solvent casting method. Polymers used for this study Chitosan, HPMC, EC employing aluminum foil as the backing membrane, Dibutyl phthalate used as plasticizer and Isopropyl myristate as permeation enhancer.

Thickness

The transdermal patches were transparent, smooth, uniform and flexible. Thicknesses of transdermal patches were found to be in the range of 0.02266 ± 0.0015 mm to 0.03533 ± 0.0025 mm (Table 2). The low standard deviation values in the fi lm thickness ensure uniformity of the patches prepared by solvent casting technique. The weights of formulations were found to be in the range of 0.1130 ± 0.0040 gm to 0.1736 ± 0.0015 gm. This indicated that there is no significant weight variation in all formulations and are as shown in Table 2.

Folding endurance

In order to evaluate the flexibility, the films were subjected to folding endurance studies. The values in the range of 138 to 176 were observed in all batches. This revealed that the preparedfilms were having capability to withstand the mechanical pressure along with good flexibility.The formulation F6 was found to have lowest folding endurance, whereas formulation F4 was found to have highest folding endurance. The folding endurance results were shown in Table 2.

Percentage moisture uptake and loss

Among the formulations, F1 showed maximum moisture uptake *i.e.* 3.4533 ± 0.2318 % and F4 showed minimum moisture uptake *i.e.* 1.3433 ± 0.1457%. The percentage moisture uptake results are as shown in Table 2. Among the formulations, F10 showed maximum moisture loss *i.e.* 4.3300 ± 0.0360 % and F1 showed minimum moisture loss *i.e.* 1.5150 ± 0.2700%. The percentage moisture loss results are asshown in Table 2.

Tensile strength

The tensile strength was determined by using tensile strength tester (Test techno consultant, Vadodara)having the capacity of 10 kg. The results are as shown in Table 2. It was found that the formulation F4 and F1 shown maximum (0.6130 ± 0.0010 kg/cm2) and minimum (0.3250 ± 0.0036 kg/cm2) tensile strength respectively among all the formulations.

Bursting strength

The bursting strength was determined by using bursting strength tester (Test Techno Consultant, Vadodara)having the capacity of 10 kg. From results are as shown in Table 2, it is found that the formulation F4 andF8 shown maximum (2.9 kg/cm2) and minimum (2.2 kg/cm2) bursting strength respectively among allthe formulations.

Drug content uniformity

The drug content uniformity of all the formulations was determined. The results of the drug content inall the formulations were found to be in the range of 96.5833 ± 1.5593 % to 98.4366 ± 0.9281 % and are asshown in Table 2.

In-vitro drug release

The results of the Table 3 indicated the cumulative percentage drug release of various formulations. Thecumulative percentage of drug released in 12 h was found to be minimum and maximum for the formulationsF4 and F10 *i.e.* 81.023 ± 3.013 % and 98.564 ±3.005%. The *in-vitro* release data obtained from different formulations of meloxicam was plotted for cumulative percent drug release versus time. First order plots are plotted by taking log cumulative percent drug remaining versus time. (Figure 1) To ascertain the drug release mechanism, the formulations were plotted for Higuchi diffusion plots (Figure 2) by taking cumulative percent drug release versus square root of time. The plots were found to be fairly linearand the regression coefficient values were nearer to 1 in all the cases. So it confirmed that the drug releasemechanismwas diffusion mechanism. The formulations were also treated to Peppa's plot by taking log percent release versus log time (Figure 3). The plots are found to be fairly linear and the regression values are nearer to 1. The values of slope of peppa's suggest that thedrug was released by Non-Fickian diffusion control (Anomalous diffusion) without any swelling.

CONCLUSION

The patches of Meloxicam prepared using the polymers HPMC 15cps, Chitosan and EC were of smooth surface, good appearance, uniform thickness and weight variation with minimum standard deviation. The ratio of hydrophilic and hydrophobic polymeric fi lm formers affected the mechanical properties, percentage moisture uptake and rate of drug release. With increasing levels of EC in the formulations the release rates were lowered. It can be concluded that Meloxicam can be delivered by transdermal route in a controlled manner into the systemic circulation to maintain therapeutic drug levels for prolonged periods.

Table 2: Physical Characterization of Meloxicam Transdermal Patches

Formulation Code	Thickness (mm)* Mean ±SD	Weight Variation (g)* Mean ±SD	Folding Endurance* Mean ±SD	Drug Content (%)* Mean±SD
F1	0.025±0.0030	0.1255±0.0052	146.3333±4.5092	97.4± .45
F2	0.022±0.0030	0.1455±0.0025	163.6666±2.3025	97.98± .42
F3	0.013±0.0015	0.1256±0.0041	169.0000±1.2563	99± .255
F4	0.035±0.0025	0.1366±0.0025	155.6666±3.5263	97.37± .48
F5	0.020±0.0032	0.1478±0.0063	136.3333±1.4415	96.00± .48
F6	0.032±0.0015	0.1585±0.0048	152.0000±2.3632	97.52±1.4
F7	0.021±0.0010	0.1263±0.0036	152.6666±5.3652	97.99± .79
F8	0.015±0.0020	0.2665±0.0074	136.3333±4.2635	98.82± .39
F9	0.025±0.0032	0.2556±0.0045	140.6666±4.3652	97.9± .79
F10	0.026±0.0020	0.2636±0.0012	150.3333±4.5665	98.82± .39
F11	0.04±0.0032	0.4553±0.0036	146.6666±3.2365	97.9± .70
F12	0.04±0.0032	0.2663±0.0063	142.0000±1.2556	98.5±.25

***Average of three determination**

Table 3(a): *In-vitro* Drug Release Studies

Time	Cumulative Percent Released					
	F1	**F2**	**F3**	**F4**	**F5**	**F6**
0	0.000±0.00	0.000±0.00	0.000±0.00	0.000±0.00	0.000±0.00	0.000±0.00
1	4.535±1.042	4.953±1.025	7.025±1.008	8.025±1.025	7.058±3.256	6.456±3.965
2	11.485±2.365	10.258±1.358	11.852±2.058	18.540±2.004	11.442±2.023	15.665±3.258
3	19.575±1.358	18.258±1.023	17.458±2.365	24.557±2.014	32.225±3.025	24.369±2.122
4	27.578±1.025	22.145±2.032	24.258±2.352	33.254±1.250	25.189±2.258	21.258±1.852
5	32.320±2.025	25.014±1.470	33.591±3.025	23.65±3.034	36.021±2.785	22.568±2.596
6	42.023±1.235	36.256±2.365	43.258±3.221	48.369±2.325	50.258±2.358	36.223±0.230
7	51.236±2.369	46.325±2.322	54.203±3.025	55.025±3.780	59.365±3.025	60.254±3.258
8	59.365±3.252	55.362±2.014	56.236±3.666	58.885±2.258	59.263±2.258	56.254±2.014
9	66.258±2.365	63.201±3.025	72.025±2.367	76.365±3.247	68.325±2.015	63.021±2.014
10	74.365±3.202	72.365±2.012	70.367±1.025	73.036±2.025	74.012±2.012	72.032±3.012
11	75.125±3.012	76.212±2.201	77.012±3.036	75.012±3.312	74.014±2.012	76.012±3.063
12	86.015±1.005	83.012±2.012	95.850±1.025	98.365±3.012	90.254±1.025	96.025±1.022

Table 3(b): *In-vitro* Drug Release Studies

Time	Cumulative Percent Released					
	F7	**F8**	**F9**	**F10**	**F11**	**F12**
0	0.000±0.00	0.000±0.00	0.000±0.00	0.000±0.00	0.000±0.00	0.000±0.00
1	8.025±1.025	6.456±3.965	7.025±1.008	4.535±1.042	7.058±3.256	4.953±1.025
2	18.540±2.004	15.665±3.258	11.852±2.058	11.485±2.365	11.442±2.023	10.258±1.358
3	24.557±2.014	24.369±2.122	17.458±2.365	19.575±1.358	32.225±3.025	18.258±1.023
4	33.254±1.250	21.258±1.852	24.258±2.352	27.578±1.025	25.189±2.258	22.145±2.032
5	23.65±3.034	22.568±2.596	33.591±3.025	32.320±2.025	36.021±2.785	25.014±1.470
6	48.369±2.325	36.223±0.230	43.258±3.221	42.023±1.235	50.258±2.358	36.256±2.365
7	55.025±3.780	60.254±3.258	54.203±3.025	51.236±2.369	59.365±3.025	46.325±2.322
8	58.885±2.258	56.254±2.014	56.236±3.666	59.365±3.252	59.263±2.258	55.362±2.014
9	76.365±3.247	63.021±2.014	72.025±2.367	66.258±2.365	68.325±2.015	63.201±3.025
10	73.036±2.025	72.032±3.012	70.367±1.025	74.365±3.202	74.012±2.012	72.365±2.012
11	75.012±3.312	76.012±3.063	77.012±3.036	75.125±3.012	74.014±2.012	76.212±2.201
12	97.365±3.012	96.025±1.022	95.850±1.025	86.015±1.005	90.254±1.025	80.012±2.012

REFERENCES

1. Berner B and John V.A. Pharmacokinetic characterization of Transdermal delivery systems. Jour. Clinical pharmacokinetics 1994; 26 (2):121-34.
2. Baker W and Heller Material Selection for Transdermal Delivery Systems", In Transdermal Drug Delivery: Developmental Issues and Research Initiatives, J.Hadgraft and R.H.Guys, Eds. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York 1989 pp. 293-311.
3. Wiechers J. Use of chemical penetration enhancers in Transdermal drug delivery-possibilities and difficulties. Acta pharm. 1992: 4: 123.
4. Yamamoto T, Katakabe k, Akiyoshi K, Kan K and Asano T. Topical application of glibenclamide lowers blood glucose levels in rats. Diabetes res. Clin. Pract. 1990; 8:19-22.
5. Al- Khamis K, Davis S.S and Hadgraft J. Microviscosity and drug release from topical gel Formulations. Pharm. Res. 1986; 3:214-217.
6. Anon. Transdermal delivery systems-general drug release standards. Pharmacopeial Forum, 1980; 14:3860-3865.

7. Mayorga P, Puisieux F and Couarraze G. Formulation study of a Transdermal delivery system of primaquine. Int. J. pharm. 1996; 132:71-79.
8. Deo M.R, SantV.P,Parekh S.R, Khopade A.J and Banakar U.V. Proliposome-based Transdermal delivery of levonorgestrel. Jour. Biomat. Appl. 1997; 12:77-88.
9. Yan-yu X, Yun- mei S, Zhi-Peng C and Qi-nerg P Preparation of silymarinproliposomes; A new way to increase oral bioavailability of silymarin in beagle dogs. Int. pharm. 2006; 319:162-168.
10. Crawford R.R and Esmerian O.K. Effect of plasticizers on some physical properties of cellulose acetate phthalate films. J. Pharm. Sci. 1997; 60:312- 314.
11. Singh J, Tripathi K.T and SakiaT.R. Effect of penetration enhancers on the *invitro*transport of ephedrine through rate skin and human epidermis from matrix based Transdermal formulations. Drug Dev.Ind. Pharm. 1993; 19:1623-1628.
12. Rhaghuramreddy k, Muttalik S and Reddy S. Once – daily sustained- release matrix tablets of nicorandil: formulation and *invitro*evaluation. AAPS Pharm.Sci.Tech. 2003; 4:4.
13. Shaila L, Pandey S and Udupa N. Design and evaluation of matrix type membrane controlled Transdermal drug delivery system of nicotin suitable for use in smoking cessation. Indian Journ. Pharm. Sci. 2006; 68:179-184
14. Aarti N, Louk A.R.M.P, Russsel.O.P and Richard H.G. Mechanism of oleic acid induced skin permeation enhancement *in vivo* in humans. Jour control. Release 1995; 37:299-306.
15. Wade A and Weller P.J. Handbook of pharmaceutical Excipients. Washington, DC: American Pharmaceutical Publishing Association 1994; 362-366.
16. Lec S.T, Yac S.H, Kim S.W and Berner B. One way membrane for Transdermal drug delivery system optimization. Int. J Pharm. 1991; 77:231 - 237.
17. PurnaSai K and Mary Babu, Collagen based dressings: a review, Central Leather Research Institute, Adyar, Chennai, Burns 26 (2000); 54-62 pp.
18. Segal, Marian. "Patches, Pumps and Timed Release: New Ways to Deliver Drugs". Food and Drug Administration. Archived from the original on 2007-02-10. Retrieved 2007-02-24.
19. Nachum Z, Shupak A, Gordon CR. "Transdermal scopolamine for prevention of motion sickness: clinical pharmacokinetics and therapeutic applications". *Clinical Pharmacokinetics* 2006; 45(6):543-66. PMID 16719539.
20. Berner B, John VA. "Pharmacokinetic characterisation of transdermal delivery systems". *Clinical pharmacokinetics* 1994; **26**(2):121–34. Doi: 10.2165/00003088-199426020-00005.PMID 8162656.
21. Ramkanth S, Alagusundaram M, Gnanaprakash K, Rao M, Saleem M, Paneer K *et al*. Design and characterization of matrix type transdermal drug delivery system using metoprololtartarate. Int J Adv Pharm Res 2010; 1(1):1-5.
22. Gavali P, Gaikwad A, Radhika PR, Sivakumar T. Design and development of hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) based polymeric fi lm of enalapril maleate. Int J Pharm Tech Res 2010; 2(1):274-82.
23. Praveen M, Rao S, kulkarni S.V, Basavaraj C.S. Formulation and evaluation of tizanidine hydrochloride transdermal patches. Int J Drug Formulation Res 2011; 2(2):298-313.
24. Sanjay dey, ananyamalgope. Preparation of carvedilol transdermal patch and the effect of propylene glycol on permeation. Int J Pha Pharm Sci 2010; 2(1):137-43.
25. Patel N.B., Sonpal R.N., Mohan S, Selvaraj S. Formulation and Evaluation of Iontophoretic Transdermal Delivery of Diltiazem Hydrochloride. Int J Res Pharm Sci 2010; 1(3):338-44.
26. Chien Y.W. Systemic delivery of pharmacologically active molecules across the skin, in: R.L. Juliano (Ed.), Targeted Drug Delivery, Springer- Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1991; p.181-230.