#### Reddy et al

Journal of Drug Delivery & Therapeutics. 2018; 8(6-s):125-134

Available online on 15.12.2018 at http://jddtonline.info



Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics

Open Access to Pharmaceutical and Medical Research

© 2011-18, publisher and licensee JDDT, This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited



# Open Open Access

**Research Article** 

### Stability indicating analytical method validation for hydralazine hydrochloride related substances method-I by Reverse Phase High Performance Liquid Chromatography in drug substances

Swamy K. Reddy 1\*, Pushpendra Sharma 1, Asheesh Singh 2

<sup>1</sup>Sri Satya Sai University of Technology & Medical Sciences, Sehore (M.P), India-466001

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of pharmacy, VNS institute, Neelbud Bhopal (M.P.), India-462044

#### ABSTRACT

A simple, rapid, precise, accurate and cost effective stability-indicating reversed phase (RP) HPLC related substance method-1 was validated for Hydralazine Hydrochloride (HYD HCl) in Active pharmaceutical ingredient. All the analytical parameters were determined as per ICH Q2B guidelines. Good chromatographic separation was achieved with Inertsil ODS 3V column (4.6 mm x 250 mm, 5 µm particle size) at a wavelength of 230 nm using phosphate buffer pH 2.5 and acetonitrile as mobile phase A and Methanol as mobile phase B with gradient programming with a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. The Resolution between Hydralazine peak and impurity-A should not be less than 3.0. From the statistical treatment of the linearity data of Hydralazine HCl, it is clear that the response of Hydralazine HCl is linear between 50 % to 150 % level. The correlation coefficient is greater than 0.998. The developed method showed good linearity, Accuracy, reproducibility, precision and robustness and can be suitably applied for the routine quality control analysis in the estimation of commercial formulations. **Keywords:** Hydralazine hydrochloride, HPLC, Validation, Estimation.



Article Info: Received 18 Oct 2018; Review Completed 30 Nov 2018; Accepted 03 Dec 2018; Available online 15 Dec 2018

#### Cite this article as:

Reddy SK, Sharma S, Singh A, Stability indicating analytical method validation for hydralazine hydrochloride related substances method-I by Reverse Phase High Performance Liquid Chromatography in drug substances, Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics. 2018; 8(6-s):125-134 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22270/jddt.v8i6-s.2099

#### \*Address for Correspondence:

Swamy K. Reddy, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology & Medical Sciences, Sehore (M.P), India-466001

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Hydralazine HCl is chemically 1- hydrazinylphthalazine. With molecular formula-  $C_8H_8N_4$  and 160.17 mg molecular weight. It is freely soluble in water and sparingly soluble in methaline chloride. Hydralazine is a direct-acting smooth muscle relaxant. It is used as an antihypertensive agent in cases like preeclampsia (a condition in pregnancy characterized by high blood pressure). Hydralazine HCl acts by increasing cyclic guanosine mono-phosphate (cGMP) levels which causes an increase in the activity of protein kinase G (PKG). This results in blood vessel relaxation and causes dilation of arteries and arterioles<sup>1-3</sup>.



Figure 1: Chemical structure of Hydralazine HCl

#### **Objective of Study**

Literature survey revealed that Methods for the determinations of Hydralazine HCl include HPLC, Gas spectrophotometric chromatography, simultaneous determination and other methods. Literature survey reveals that different assay methods like spectrophotometry, spectrofluorometry, oxidimetry, and HPLC are available for the validation of Hydralazine hydrochloride in drug substances, But none of these methods are found suitable for routine quality control studies due to the following reasons like poor sensitivity, longer run time, using costly solvent, suitable at higher concentration only, extraction procedure involved in sample preparation <sup>4-6</sup>. Based on this, it was felt necessary to develop a validated simple, selective and sensitive HPLC determination Hydralazine method for the of hydrochloride in drug substances. The proposed method has been demonstrated superior to the existing procedures due to its sensitivity, speed, accuracy and it is suitable for routine quality control analysis. This proposed method can be successfully employed for quality control during manufacture and for assessment of the stability of drugs in drug substances <sup>6-10</sup>.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL WORK:**

#### Chromatographic Conditions:

Column: Inertsil ODS-3V, 250 x 4.6mm, 5.0µm Detector wavelength: UV at 230 nm Flow rate: 1.0mL / min. Temperature: 30°C Sample temperature: 10°C Injection volume: 10µL Run time: 70 minutes Diluent: Water pH adjusted to 3.2 with Orthophosphoric acid. Rinsing solution: Water: Acetonitrile (1:1) v/v Preparation of Mobile phase:

Mobile phase A: Weigh and transfer about 0.68 g of potassium dihydrogen phosphate in 2000mL Water, sonicate to dissolve and adjust the pH to 2.5 with dilute Orthophosphoric acid, filter thorough 0.45 $\mu$ .

Mobile phase B: Methanol

#### Gradient Program:

| Time (minutes) | Solution A | Solution B |
|----------------|------------|------------|
| 0              | 90         | 10         |
| 10             | 90         | 10         |
| 45             | 35         | 65         |
| 50             | 30         | 70         |
| 60             | 30         | 70         |
| 61             | 90         | 10         |
| 70             | 90         | 10         |

Standard Stock solution-A: Weigh and transfer accurately 15.0 mg of Impurity-A reference standard, 15.0 mg of Impurity-B reference standard, 15.0 mg of Impurity-C reference standard into a 100 mL volumetric flask, add 10 mL of Methanol. sonicate to dissolve, add diluent and make up to volume with diluent and mix.

Standard Stock solution-B: Weigh and transfer accurately 10.0 mg of Impurity-D reference standard in to 100mL volumetric flask, add approximately 50 mL of 10 % v/v Orthophosphoric acid solution in water and sonicate to dissolve and make up to mark with 10 % v/v Orthophosphoric acid solution.

Standard Stock solution-C: Weigh and transfer accurately 25.0 mg of Hydralazine Hydrochloride reference standard in to 100 mL volumetric flask, add about 50 mL of diluent and sonicate to dissolve and make up to mark with diluent.

Standard stock solution-D: Transfer 5.0 mL of standard stock solution-A, B and 2.0 mL of standard stock solution-C in to a 50 mL volumetric flask and dilute to mark with diluent.

Standard solution: Transfer 5.0 mL of standard stock solution-D in to a 50 mL volumetric flask and dilute up to mark with diluent.

System suitability solution: Weigh and transfer accurately 20.0 mg of Hydralazine Hydrochloride reference standard in 20 mL volumetric flask add standard solution, sonicate to dissolve and dilute up to the mark with standard solution.

Test Sample solution: Weigh and transfer accurately 50.0 mg of sample in to 50 mL volumetric flask add diluent, sonicate to dissolve and dilute up to the mark with diluent.

Impurity E Stock solution preparation: Weigh and transfer accurately 10.0 mg of Impurity-E reference standard in to 100 mL volumetric flask, add about 50 mL of diluent and sonicate to dissolve and make up to mark with diluent.

Impurity F Stock solution preparation: Weigh and transfer accurately 10.0 mg of Impurity-F reference standard in to 100 mL volumetric flask, add about 50 mL of solvent mixture (Acetonitrile: methanol) and sonicate to dissolve and make up to mark with Solvent mixture.

Hydrochloric acid, Impurity E and Impurity F peak Identification Solution: Transfer 4.5mL of conc. Hydrochloric acid in to 10 mL volumetric flask and add 1.0 mL each Impurity E and Impurity F stock solution and diluted up to mark with diluent.

Note: Inject freshly prepared system suitability solution, standard solution and Test solution

Procedure: Inject Blank (diluent), system suitability preparation, standard solution six replicates and Test preparation in duplicate. Hydrazine peak is eluting at the retention time of about 6.0 minutes under the given chromatographic condition. The relative retention times of all components are as follows.

Table 1: Name of component with relative retention time

| Name of the component     | Relative retention<br>time (RRT) |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Hydralazine Hydrochloride | 1.00                             |
| Impurity-A                | 3.0                              |
| Impurity-B                | 4.9                              |
| Impurity-C                | 5.5                              |
| Impurity-D                | 6.8                              |
| Impurity-E                | 7.1                              |
| Impurity-F                | 8.3                              |

Evaluation of System suitability: The system is suitable for analysis, if and only if, (a). The Resolution between Hydralazine peak and impurity-A should not be less than 3.0 and (b). %RSD for area of six replicate injections of standard solution for each component should be not more than 5.0

Note: Disregard the peaks due to blank, Hydrochloric acid, Impurity E and Impurity F.

Calculation: Calculate impurity-A, impurity-B, impurity-C, impurity- D and any other individual impurity and total impurities by the following formula

#### %Impurity A /%Impurity B/%Impurity C/%Impurity D

= <u>Area of impurity in sample X Wt. of impurity.std (mg) X 5 X 5 X 50 X P1</u> Avg.area of Impurity Std. X100 X 50 X 50 X Sample Weight (mg)

#### % any other individual impurity:

= <u>Area of any other individual impurity X wt of Hydralazine.std (mg) X 2 X 5 X 50 X P2</u> Avg.peak area of Hydralazine in Standard X 100 X 50 X50 X Sample Weight(mg)

Where,

P1=Potency of Impurity standard

P2=Potency of Hydralazine HCl standard

%Total impurities = %Impurity A+% of Impurity B+% Impurity C+%Impurity D +% Total other impurities

| Tab | le 2 | 2:: | Specifi | ication | limit | of in | npuri | ties a | and s | tand | lard | de | tail | S |
|-----|------|-----|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|------|------|----|------|---|
|-----|------|-----|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|------|------|----|------|---|

| Sr. No | Name of the Component         | Specification       |
|--------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1      | Impurity-A                    | Not more than 0.15% |
| 2      | Impurity-B                    | Not more than 0.15% |
| 3      | Impurity-C                    | Not more than 0.15% |
| 4      | Impurity-D                    | Not more than 0.10% |
| 7      | Any other individual impurity | Not more than 0.10% |
| 8      | Total impurities              | Not more than 1.0 % |

#### **Standard Details:**

| Standard Name             | Potency |
|---------------------------|---------|
| Hydralazine Hydrochloride | 99.7    |
| Impurity-A(Phthalazine)   | 98.8    |
| Impurity-B                | 96.7    |
| Impurity-C                | 99.6    |
| Impurity-D                | 96.6    |
| Impurity-E                | 94.3    |
| Impurity-F                | 94.2    |
| Hydralazine Hydrochloride | NA      |
| Hydrazine dihydrochloride | 100.0   |

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Specificity:** Blank (diluent), system suitability solution, diluted standard solution, all known impurity solutions individually, sample solution and sample solution spiked with all known impurities at specification level were prepared and injected into the HPLC equipped with a

photodiode array detector and analysed. Peak purity passed for Hydralazine and its related impurities in control sample and spiked sample. Data is reported in Table 3 and Figure 2, 3,4 and 5.



**Blank Chromatogram** 

Auto-Scaled Chromatogram



|   | Name        | RT    | Area     | % Area | RT Ratio | USP Resolution | Purityt<br>Angle | Purity1<br>Threshold | Purity1<br>Flag | Int Type |
|---|-------------|-------|----------|--------|----------|----------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------|
| 1 | Hydralazine | 5.14  | 30929628 | 98.88  | 1.00     |                | 7.650            | 1.001                | Yes             | BB       |
| 2 | Impurity-A  | 15.50 | 186459   | 0.60   | 3.01     | 22.58          | 0.312            | 1.210                | No              | BB       |
| 3 | Impurity-B  | 25.13 | 45464    | 0.15   | 4.89     | 25.87          | 0.465            | 1.429                | No              | 88       |
| 4 | Impurity-C  | 27.80 | 86964    | 0.28   | 5.40     | 9.27           | 0.211            | 1.159                | No              | BB       |
| 5 | Impurity-D  | 33.82 | 32259    | 0.10   | 6.58     | 20.07          | 0.449            | 1.296                | No              | BB       |

#### System Suitability

Figure 2: Blank and System Suitability chromatogram

Journal of Drug Delivery & Therapeutics. 2018; 8(6-s):125-134







Figure 4: Sample Solution with peak purity chromatogram

Journal of Drug Delivery & Therapeutics. 2018; 8(6-s):125-134



Figure 5: Spike Solution with peak purity chromatogram

| rable. 5 reak purity mormation (ror spiked solution) | Гab | ble: 3 | Peak | purity | information | (For S | piked | solution] |
|--|-----|--------|------|--------|-------------|--------|-------|-----------|
|--|-----|--------|------|--------|-------------|--------|-------|-----------|

| Name of the compound | Purity angle | Purity Threshold | Peak Purity Result |
|----------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Impurity A           | 1.870        | 3.983            | Pass               |
| Impurity B           | 8.994        | 10.747           | Pass               |
| Impurity C           | 0.948        | 2.545            | Pass               |
| Impurity D           | 1.285        | 1.608            | Pass               |

From the above data, it is clear that, Hydralazine, Impurity-A, Impurity-B, Impurity-C and Impurity-Dare well separated from each other and Hydralazine peak. There is no interference of Blank at the retention time of all known impurities and unknown Impurities. Peak Purity is passes for Hydralazine peak and all known impurities. Based on the above data method is Specific.

**Solution Stability:** From the below given data it is clear that, spiked solution is not stable at sample cooler temperature of 10°C since Impurity B area is decreasing. So it is recommending that spiked solutions should be prepared freshly. Data reported in table no. 4.

| S. N. | Sample ID                   | <b>Impurity A Area</b> | % Diff with initial | <b>Impurity B Area</b> | % Diff with initial |
|-------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| 1     | Initial                     | 198105                 |                     | 51493                  |                     |
| 2     | Sample solution after 1hrs  | 197877                 | -0.12               | 23719                  | -14.02              |
| 3     | Sample solution after 2hrs  | 195653                 | -1.12               | 12680                  | -5.57               |
| 4     | Sample solution after 5hrs  | 195102                 | -0.28               | 4795                   | -3.98               |
| 5     | Sample solution after 12hrs | 191853                 | -1.64               | 3235                   | -0.79               |
| 6     | Sample solution after 18hrs | 194241                 | 1.21                | 2990                   | -0.12               |
| 7     | Sample solution after 24hrs | 193899                 | -0.17               | 2956                   | -0.02               |

Table 4: solution stability data for spiked solution at 10°C Sample cooler temperature:

**Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantification:** Based on determination of Prediction linearity, six replicate injections were made for LOD & LOQ. Details summarized in the given Table 5.

| Colution nome                               | Concentration (%) |            |            |            |             |  |  |
|---|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|--|--|
| Solution name                               | Impurity A        | Impurity B | Impurity C | Impurity D | Hydralazine |  |  |
| Linearity at 1% solution                    | 0.002             | 0.001      | 0.002      | 0.005      | 0.001       |  |  |
| Linearity at 5% solution                    | 0.008             | 0.007      | 0.008      | 0.010      | 0.005       |  |  |
| Linearity at 10% solution                   | 0.015             | 0.015      | 0.015      | 0.015      | 0.010       |  |  |
| Linearity at 15% solution                   | 0.023             | 0.022      | 0.023      | 0.019      | 0.015       |  |  |
| Linearity at 20% solution                   | 0.030             | 0.029      | 0.030      | 0.024      | 0.020       |  |  |
| Linearity at 25% solution                   | 0.038             | 0.037      | 0.038      | 0.005      | 0.025       |  |  |
| Slope of calibration curve(S)               | 1303819.957       | 359622.915 | 616594.628 | 312992.951 | 290112.650  |  |  |
| Standard Deviation of<br>Response STEYX (σ) | 778.732           | 119.039    | 163.076    | 98.753     | 55.039      |  |  |
| LOD (in %)                                  | 0.002             | 0.001      | 0.001      | 0.001      | 0.001       |  |  |
| LOQ (in %)                                  | 0.006             | 0.003      | 0.003      | 0.003      | 0.002       |  |  |

| Table | 5: | for I | [.OD | and | L00 | Establishment |
|-------|----|-------|------|-----|-----|---------------|
| able  | э. | 101 1 | LUD  | anu | LUQ | Lotabilonnent |

The predicted LOD and LOQ values of Hydralazine, impurity B, impurity C and Impurity D are Low and not reproducible. So LOD and LOQ Values are considering from 5% linearity and 10% Linearity respectively. These values shall be further confirmed by precision and accuracy studies. **LOD Confirmation and LOQ Precision:** The Resolution between Hydralazine peak and Impurity A peak is 23.4 (NLT 3.0). %RSD of six replicates of standard solution is Complies (NMT 5.0%). System suitability parameter Complies. From the below given results, it is concluded that method is precise at LOQ Level. All individual known impurities were detectable at LOD level concentration.

| Inj. No | Area of<br>Hydralazine | Area of Impurity-A | Area of<br>Impurity-B | Area of Impurity-<br>C | Area of Impurity-D |
|---------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 1       | 27413                  | 202224             | 50291                 | 90922                  | 27597              |
| 2       | 27600                  | 203548             | 50552                 | 90880                  | 27855              |
| 3       | 27343                  | 203811             | 50771                 | 91184                  | 27707              |
| 4       | 27700                  | 201418             | 50873                 | 90847                  | 28099              |
| 5       | 27539                  | 202023             | 51930                 | 90900                  | 27691              |
| 6       | 27385                  | 202071             | 50935                 | 90583                  | 27634              |
| Avg.    | 27497                  | 202516             | 50892                 | 90886                  | 27764              |
| STDEV   | 139.21                 | 945.94             | 560.55                | 191.66                 | 186.48             |
| %RSD    | 0.51                   | 0.47               | 1.10                  | 0.21                   | 0.67               |

#### Table 6: Standard solution and all individual known impurities:

#### Table 7: LOD and LOQ for All impurities and API:

| S.No | Name of the compound | LOD in % | LOQ in % |
|------|----------------------|----------|----------|
| 1    | Hydralazine          | 0.005    | 0.015    |
| 2    | Impurity-A           | 0.002    | 0.006    |
| 3    | Impurity-B           | 0.0075   | 0.025    |
| 4    | Impurity-C           | 0.0075   | 0.025    |
| 5    | Impurity-D           | 0.005    | 0.015    |

#### Linearity & Range:

A series of Standard preparations (minimum of five preparations) in triplicate of hydralazine hydrochloride and Impurity A, B, C and D working standards were prepared over a range of the LOQ to 150% of specification

limits. The Correlation coefficient for hydralazine hydrochloride and Impurity A, B, C and D is more than 0.99. Therefore, HPLC Method for the determination of related substances of hydralazine hydrochloride is linear. Linearity reported in Table 8-9.

| Table 8: Linearity | of Impurity | A, B, C, D | and hydralazine |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|
|--------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|

| Lin e esiter levele     | Impurity-A |           | Impurity-B |           | Impurity-C |           |
|-------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Linearity levels        | Conc. in % | Avg. Area | Conc. in % | Avg. Area | Conc. in % | Avg. Area |
| Linearity at LOQ        | 0.0054     | 6693      | 0.022      | 7699      | 0.023      | 14712     |
| Linearity at 50%        | 0.076      | 99369     | 0.074      | 25606     | 0.075      | 45728     |
| Linearity at 80%        | 0.121      | 162812    | 0.118      | 41694     | 0.120      | 73594     |
| Linearity at 100%       | 0.151      | 204689    | 0.147      | 52357     | 0.150      | 91800     |
| Linearity at 120%       | 0.181      | 244911    | 0.176      | 62011     | 0.180      | 109165    |
| Linearity at 150%       | 0.227      | 308449    | 0.221      | 77619     | 0.225      | 136569    |
| STEYX                   |            | 1264.111  | 344.810    |           | 381.002    |           |
| Slope                   |            | 1359996.5 | 354232.938 |           | 601870.424 |           |
| Correlation coefficient |            | 1.000     | 1.000      |           | 1.000      |           |

#### Table 9: Linearity of Impurity A, B, C, D and hydralazine

| l incarity lovals       | Impurity-D |            | Hydralazine |           |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|-----------|
| Linearity levels        | Conc. in % | Avg. Area  | Conc. in %  | Avg. Area |
| Linearity at LOQ        | 0.015      | 4835       | 0.015       | 4163      |
| Linearity at 50%        | 0.049      | 17041      | 0.126       | 14202     |
| Linearity at 80%        | 0.079      | 27065      | 0.202       | 22929     |
| Linearity at 100%       | 0.098      | 33386      | 0.253       | 28580     |
| Linearity at 120%       | 0.118      | 39474      | 0.304       | 33792     |
| Linearity at 150%       | 0.147      | 49068      | 0.379       | 41516     |
| STEYX                   |            | 450.771    | 588.799     |           |
| Slope                   |            | 336738.026 | 104104.048  |           |
| Correlation coefficient |            | 1.000      | 0.999       |           |





Figure 6: Linearity Graph of Impurity A, B, C, D and hydralazine

**Accuracy:** Sample of Hydralazine hydrochloride drug substances, were spiked with Impurities A, B, C and D at four different levels: LOQ, 50%, 100%, and 150% of specification limits (in triplicate (in total twelve determinations) and analysed. The Mean Recovery for

known impurities is within limits. Therefore, the HPLC Method for the determination of related substances method-1 of Hydralazine hydrochloride in Hydralazine hydrochloride drug substances is accurate. Accuracy reported in Table 10.

| <b>Table 10: Accuracy</b> | of Impurity A, B | 3, C and D at LOQ | to 150% |
|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|

| Name of the component | %Recovery |       |      |       |  |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------|------|-------|--|
| r                     | LOQ       | 50%   | 100% | 150%  |  |
| Impurity-A            | 89.4      | 98.9  | 99.8 | 101.0 |  |
| Impurity-B            | 92.7      | 96.4  | 95.0 | 92.5  |  |
| Impurity-C            | 101.2     | 100.6 | 99.9 | 100.3 |  |
| Impurity-D            | 93.7      | 96.9  | 98.1 | 101.0 |  |
| Average               |           |       |      |       |  |

## System precision Method precision and intermediate precision:

**System Precision**: Six replicate injections of the standard solution were made & injected. RSD should not be more than 5.0% and The Resolution between Hydralazine peak and Impurity A peak is 22.1 (NLT 3.0). The RSD of system precision is 2.43 %.

**Method Precision:** Six Sample solutions of hydralazine hydrochloride spiked with Known impurities was prepared and injected into the HPLC, along with standard solution. RSD should not be more than 10.0%. RSD is less than 10.0%. Therefore, the HPLC Method for the determination

of related substances of hydralazine hydrochloride (Method-1) is precise.

Ruggedness (Intermediate Precision): Six Sample solutions of the same lot of hydralazine hydrochloride, spiked with known impurities was made by a different analyst and analysed using different column on a different day and injected into a different HPLC, along with Standard solution. Overall RSD is less than 10.0%. Therefore, the HPLC Method for the determination of related substances of hydralazine hydrochloride (Method-1) is rugged. Based on the above data it is clear the method is Precise &Rugged. Precision and ruggedness data summarized in Table 11.

| Sample ID                | Impurity-A<br>(% w/w) | Impurity-B<br>(% w/w) | Impurity-C<br>(% w/w) | Impurity-D<br>(% w/w) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Method precision-1       | 0.15                  | 0.14                  | 0.15                  | 0.10                  |
| Method precision-2       | 0.15                  | 0.14                  | 0.15                  | 0.10                  |
| Method precision-3       | 0.15                  | 0.14                  | 0.15                  | 0.10                  |
| Method precision-4       | 0.15                  | 0.14                  | 0.15                  | 0.10                  |
| Method precision-5       | 0.15                  | 0.14                  | 0.15                  | 0.10                  |
| Method precision-6       | 0.15                  | 0.14                  | 0.15                  | 0.09                  |
| Intermediate precision-1 | 0.15                  | 0.14                  | 0.15                  | 0.10                  |
| Intermediate precision-2 | 0.15                  | 0.14                  | 0.15                  | 0.10                  |
| Intermediate precision-3 | 0.15                  | 0.12                  | 0.16                  | 0.10                  |
| Intermediate precision-4 | 0.15                  | 0.15                  | 0.16                  | 0.10                  |
| Intermediate precision-5 | 0.15                  | 0.14                  | 0.15                  | 0.09                  |
| Intermediate precision-6 | 0.15                  | 0.16                  | 0.15                  | 0.09                  |
| Average                  | 0.15                  | 0.14                  | 0.15                  | 0.10                  |
| STDEV                    | 0.0012                | 0.0093                | 0.0025                | 0.0014                |
| % RSD                    | 0.8                   | 6.7                   | 1.7                   | 1.4                   |

#### Table 11: Overall RSD for method precision and intermediate precision:

**Robustness:** System suitability results meet as per criteria. The % RSD for content of each impurity in as such condition and changed condition should not be more than 10.0. The % RSD for Contents of each impurity in spiked sample under test with each variable condition (mentioned in below table) along with as such condition is complies.

| Conditions     | Impurity % w/w |        |        |        |
|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|
| conuctoris     | Imp. A         | Imp. B | Imp. C | Imp. D |
| As Such sample | 0.149          | 0.139  | 0.151  | 0.096  |
| Low Ph         | 0.158          | 0.126  | 0.150  | 0.105  |
| Average        | 0.15           | 0.13   | 0.15   | 0.10   |
| STDEV          | 0.0059         | 0.0090 | 0.0004 | 0.0063 |
| % RSD          | 3.8            | 6.8    | 0.3    | 6.3    |
| As Such sample | 0.149          | 0.139  | 0.151  | 0.096  |
| High Ph        | 0.150          | 0.139  | 0.148  | 0.102  |
| Average        | 0.15           | 0.14   | 0.15   | 0.10   |
| STDEV          | 0.0005         | 0.0001 | 0.0016 | 0.0041 |
| % RSD          | 0.3            | 0.1    | 1.1    | 4.2    |
| As Such sample | 0.149          | 0.139  | 0.151  | 0.096  |
| Low Temp.      | 0.150          | 0.140  | 0.159  | 0.110  |
| Average        | 0.15           | 0.14   | 0.15   | 0.10   |
| STDEV          | 0.0006         | 0.0009 | 0.0063 | 0.0100 |
| % RSD          | 0.4            | 0.6    | 4.0    | 9.7    |
| As Such sample | 0.149          | 0.139  | 0.151  | 0.096  |
| High Temp.     | 0.146          | 0.132  | 0.142  | 0.084  |
| Average        | 0.15           | 0.14   | 0.15   | 0.09   |
| STDEV          | 0.0026         | 0.0047 | 0.0059 | 0.0088 |
| % RSD          | 1.7            | 3.5    | 4.0    | 9.8    |
| As Such sample | 0.149          | 0.139  | 0.151  | 0.096  |
| Increase Flow  | 0.151          | 0.124  | 0.150  | 0.110  |
| Average        | 0.15           | 0.13   | 0.15   | 0.10   |
| STDEV          | 0.0008         | 0.0109 | 0.0005 | 0.0097 |
| % RSD          | 0.5            | 8.3    | 0.3    | 9.5    |
| As Such sample | 0.149          | 0.139  | 0.151  | 0.096  |
| Decrease Flow  | 0.149          | 0.146  | 0.153  | 0.086  |
| Average        | 0.15           | 0.14   | 0.15   | 0.09   |
| STDEV          | 0.0004         | 0.0050 | 0.0015 | 0.0070 |
| % RSD          | 0.3            | 3.5    | 1.0    | 7.7    |

#### Table 12: Robustness of different variable conditions

#### CONCLUSION

The Analytical Method for determination of Related substances (Method-I) by HPLC of Hydralazine Hydrochloride is validated as per method described. The validated method is found Specific, Linear, Precise, Accurate, Robust and Rugged for determination of Related substances (Method-I) by HPLC. Hence it is concluded that determination of Related substances (Method-I) for Hydralazine Hydrochloride by HPLC can be used for Routine release analysis of API at Quality control department.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors would like to thanks the Wanbury Limited, DOC Division, K. Illindalaparru Iragavaram Mandal, West Godavari Andhra Pradesh, India for giving us an opportunity to carry out validation & provide necessary facilities in Laboratories.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Srinivasa RM, Shanmukha KJV, Ramachandran D, Spectrophotometric estimation of hydralazine hydrochloride in pharmaceutical formulations, Journal of Pharmaceutical Biology, 2012; 2(1):16-19.
- Mopper B, UV spectrophotometric determination of hydralazine hydrochloride in tablets: collaborative study, Journal - Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 1988; 71(6):1121-1122.
- 3. Naik DV, Davis BR, Minnet KM, Schulman SG, Fluorescence of hydralazine in concentrated sulfuric acid, Journal of Pharmaceutical Science, 1976: 65:270-274.
- 4. Gaidukevich, OM, Zhukova TV, Zarechensky MA, Sim G, Sensitive spectrophotometric methods for quantitative determination of hydralazine hydrochloride in pure and pharmaceutical formulation, Khimiko Farmatsevticheskii Zhurnal, 1988; 43:41-49.
- 5. Adegoke OA, Nwoke CE, Spectrophotometric determination of hydralazine using *p* dimethyl aminobenzaldehyde, Journal of the Iranian Chemical Society, 2008; 5(2):316-323.

- 6. International Conference on Harmonization, ICH harmonized tripartite guideline validation of analytical procedures: text and methodology Q2 (R1) ICH, Geneva, 2005.
- Gelber L, Papas AN, Validation of high-performance liquid chromatographic methods for analysis of sustained release preparations containing nitroglycerin, isosorbide dinitrate, or pentaerythritol tetranitrate. Journal of Pharmaceutical Science, 2013; 72:124-26.
- 8. Massoud M, Hamidreza F, Babak G, Ladan T, Determination of Isosorbide Dinitrate in serum by Gas Chromatography with new generation of electron captures detector and its application in pharmacokinetic study, Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, 2008; 8:251-255.
- Prue DG, Johnson RN, Kho BT, Gas-liquid chromatographic Determination of isosorbide dinitrate in tablets. Journal -Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 2017; 60:1341-44.
- Yan X, Zhou H, Zhang Z, He D, He C, Determination of hydralazine with flow injection chemiluminescence sensor using molecularly imprinted polymer as recognition element. Journal of pharmaceutical and biomedical analysis, 2006; 41:694-700.

