brought to you by **CORE** 

#### Hariraj et al

Journal of Drug Delivery & Therapeutics. 2017; 7(7):238

Available online on 25.12.2017 at http://iddtonline.info



Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics

Open Access to Pharmaceutical and Medical Research

© 2011-17, publisher and licensee JDDT, This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited



# Open Open Access

### **CASE STUDY**

# **Consumer Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs) Reporting in Malaysia: A Retrospective Analysis of Spontaneous Reports from the National Pharmacovigilance Database from 2008 to 2015**

# Vidhya Hariraj, Zoriah Aziz

Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

E-mail address: zoriah@um.edu.my

#### ABSTRACT

Many countries are incorporating direct patient reporting of adverse drug reactions (ADRs) into their pharmacovigilance systems as patients provide a different insight into drug safety compared to healthcare professionals. In Malaysia, consumer reporting of ADRs and issues with product qualitiesbegan in 2007. The aim of this study was to examine consumer reports in terms of ADR categoriesby System Organ Class (SOC), suspected products and the seriousness of the ADRs.

**Cite this article as:** Hariraj V, Aziz Z, Consumer Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs) Reporting in Malaysia: A Retrospective Analysis of Spontaneous Reports from the National Pharmacovigilance Database from 2008 to 2015, Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics. 2017; 7(7):238

#### **METHODS:**

The Malaysian Pharmacovigilance database was retrospectively searched from 2008 to 2015 to identify consumer reports. We excluded reports of adverse events following immunisation, and descriptively analysed eligible reports using SPSS version 20. Chi-squared test with significance level of p < 0.05 was used to evaluate the association of various categorical variables with serious ADRs.

#### **FINDINGS:**

Out of the 101,957 ADR reports available in the National ADR database for the period 2008 to 2015, only 81 (0.08%) reports were by consumers. The majority (64%) of the consumer reports used the consumer reporting form while a small proportion used ADRs form designed for healthcare professionals. Almost half of these 81 reports involved complementary and alternative medicines (CAM)while other major classes of products involved were

prescription medicines (19%) and cosmetics (11%). Of the total ADRs reports, the three main SOC involved were skin and appendages disorders (26%), body as a whole (25%), and central and peripheral nervous The system disorders (9%). Malaysian Pharmacovigilance Centre obtained and tested 45samples, of which 19(42%) were CAMs products found to be adulterated with prescription drugs while 4 cosmetic productsexceeded the permitted limit for hydroquinone or mercury. More than half of the reports involved unregistered products or unapproved cosmetics. Two factors found to be significantly associated with serious ADRs were status of product registration and presence of product adulteration.

## **CONCLUSION:**

Our preliminary findings show that consumers have the potential to provide valuable feedbackparticularly for serious ADR reports. The National Centre should allocate specific resources for the assessment of consumer reports to strengthen pharmacovigilance.