E.H. Butler Library at Buffalo State College Digital Commons at Buffalo State

Creative Studies Graduate Student Master's Projects

International Center for Studies in Creativity

12-2008

Incorporating Thinking Tools to Enhance Facilitation of Problem-Based Learning

John W. Yeo Buffalo State College

To learn more about the International Center for Studies in Creativity and its educational programs, research, and resources, go to http://creativity.buffalostate.edu/.

Recommended Citation

Yeo, John W., "Incorporating Thinking Tools to Enhance Facilitation of Problem-Based Learning" (2008). Creative Studies Graduate Student Master's Projects. Paper 127.

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.buffalostate.edu/creativeprojects

Part of the <u>Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons</u>

INCORPORATING THINKING TOOLS TO ENHANCE FACILITATION OF PROBLEM-BASED LEARNING

by

John W. Yeo

An Abstract of a Project in Creative Studies

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Science

December, 2008

Buffalo State College State University of New York International Center for Studies in Creativity

ABSTRACT OF PROJECT

Incorporating Thinking Tools to Enhance Facilitation of Problem-Based Learning

This project focuses on a review of challenges some teachers experience during facilitation of Problem-based learning (PBL) and aims to design a training package to address the above challenge. This training package consists of some Thinking Tools that can enhance cognitive engagement in PBL. In addition, Thinking Tools equip teachers with a wider range of facilitation strategies to increase their flexibility to better meet the needs of each stage of PBL. Through the use of Thinking Tools, this training package provides opportunities to foster new relationships between teachers and students through the development of student-led enquiry-based learning activities. The Thinking Tools also help to equip students with creative and critical thinking skills that will develop their responsibility to and ownership of their learning.

Date: December 8, 2008

Buffalo State College State University of New York International Center for Studies in Creativity

Incorporating Thinking Tools to Enhance Facilitation of Problem-Based Learning

A Project in Creative Studies

by

John W. Yeo

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Science

December 2008

Buffalo State College State University of New York International Center for Studies in Creativity

Incorporating Thinking Tools to Enhance Facilitation of Problem-Based Learning

A Project in Creative Studies by

John W. Yeo

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

> Master of Science December, 2008

Dates of Approval:

Dr. Susan Keller-Mathers, Advisor Assistant Professor International Center for Studies in Creativity

December 8, 2008

John W.Yeo Candidate

Dedication and Acknowledgment

My Master Project is the culmination of a deep interest to develop myself both academically in my pursuit of creativity studies as well as professionally in problembased learning. I wish to dedicate this project to my loving wife, Vanessa and our darlings- Joshua and Joanna. You were my inspiration- especially during the painful months while I was away on the other side of the globe. Your support and love drive me to pursue what I truly treasure- *creativity*!

I would like to acknowledge the many wonderful people who have provided me with the best learning opportunity. To my two cohorts of classmates- *Lucky Sevens* (2007) and *Class on the Grass* (2006) as well as dear friends in Toronto, wonderful friends in Emergenetics in Colorado & Singapore, as well as the many buddies in my Garden City-Singapore, our shared passion for the manifestations of what is creative, will always remind me to push the boundaries of education in the 21st Century, and serve my community and beyond through this work. Special thanks to Irene and Alice- you both are best positioned to understand the needs of our Singapore educators as Master Teachers who have worked extensively with PBL projects. I would also like to thank Professor Tan Oon-Seng for generously sharing his insights on this project.

To the two leaders, maestros and lovers of creativity- Susan Keller-Mathers and Mary Murdock, both of whom I have utmost love and respect for all that they believe in education as well as creativity, *thank you*.

V

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract Title Page	i
Abstract of Project	ii
Title Page	iii
Signatory Page	iv
Dedication and Acknowledgement Page	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Figures & List of Tables	viii
SECTION ONE: PROJECT BACKGROUND	
Introduction	1
Thinking Skills as a Core Competency of 21 st Century Education	2
Curriculum Innovation in Singapore	2
Teach Less, Learn More	4
Problem-Based Learning	
A New Way of Teaching	6
Characteristics of PBL	6
The Creative Tension	7
Facilitation to Enhance the Creative Process of PBL	8
Thinking Tools and Problem-Based Learning	10
SECTION TWO: PERTINENT LITERATURE	
Introduction	12
Ineffective Facilitation	12
Differentiating Types of Thinking	14
The Purpose of Thinking Tools	18
Typical Templates Used in PBL	18
Other Tools That May Be Used In PBL	20
Using 'Real-World' Thinking Tools	
Thinking Tools to enhance facilitation and pedagogical	21
strategies	22
Thinking Tools to create a conducive environment for	22
students to collaborate	22
Thinking Tools to enhance teachers' own thinking	23
SECTION THREE: PROCESS PLAN	
Introduction	24
Phase 1: Sensing a Problem or Gap in Information	25
Research Methodology: Observation, Interviews and Focus Group	25
Discussion	
Research Methodology: Literature Review	26
Phase 2: Forming Ideas	27
Phase 3: Testing and Modifying the Ideas	27
Phase 4: Communicating the Results	29
Planned and Actual Project Timeline	30

Page

Introduction	32
Sharing Session- Project Work Sharing on 29 th October	33
Post-Sharing Evaluation by Some of the Participants	35
Aims of the Full-day Workshop on 11 th November	37
Boys' Brigade Life Skills Workshop on 13 th and 14 th November	
Module 4: Values, Self-Image, Self-Esteem	47
Module 5: Passion	50
SECTION FIVE: KEY LEARNINGS	
Introduction	53
Examination of the Challenges Teachers Faced During PBL	53
Optimal and Creative Use of Space to Influence Behaviour	55
Teacher Modelling	56
Tools for Formative Assessment	57
Floor Targeting Tool	57
3-2-1-1 Feedback Sheet	58
Targeting on Tools	59
Thinking Tools Exposed	60
FILA and KWL Templates	61
OTO- A Simpler Strategy for Younger Students	61
Affinity Diagram Thinking Tool	62
A New Tool is Born- TaD Tool (Thinking about Doing)	63
SECTION SIX: CONCLUSION	
What Have We Been Thinking about Thinking?	66
Steps Forward	
Using Thinking Tools to Build Teacher Learning Communities	68
Building Programme Coherence	68
Future Opportunities	69
References	70
SECTION SEVEN: APPENDICES	0.0
Appendix A: Concept Paper	80
Appendix B: 21 st Century Skills Comparison	92
Appendix C: Presentation slides for Project Work Sharing on 29 th October 2008	95
Appendix D: Presentation slides for Thinking Skills in PBL Workshop on 11 th November 2008	102
Appendix E: Praise First with PPCO Thinking Tool	118
Appendix F: Feedback Form for Teachers	122
Appendix G: Permission to Use Images of Zhonghua Secondary	124
School's Boys Brigade Students for Figures 7 and 8	
Appendix H: 3-2-1-1 Reflection Sheet	126

SECTION FOUR: OUTCOMES

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Genesis of 'Teach Less, Learn More' initiative	3
Figure 2	TLLM Framework	5
Figure 3	Alignment of the main objectives of PBL with the 4 components	15
-	identified in definitions of critical thinking (Weissinger, 2004, p.47)	
Figure 4	Proposed Map of Thinking Skills to Stages of PBL	17
Figure 5	Photographs of Sharing Session on 29 th October	35
Figure 6	Series of photographs of the teachers' collaborative learning on 11 th	46
	November	
Figure 7	Series of photographs of Life Skill lesson on Values, Self-Image,	49
	Self-Esteem	
Figure 8	Series of photographs of Life Skill lesson on Passion	52
Figure 9	Classroom re-designed	55
Figure 10	Getting participants to switch roles to debrief	57
Figure 11	Targeting as a formative assessment tool	60
Figure 12	TaD Tool (Thinking about Tools)	65

LIST OF TABLES

Page

Table 1	Thinking Skills Defined	16
Table 2	Typical PBL Templates	19
Table 3	Process Plan of the 3 Project Phases that showed Both Planned and Actual Project Timeline	30
Table 4	Presentation Plan for Sharing Session on 29 th October	33
Table 5	Collated Evaluation for 29 th October	34
Table 6	Programme and Facilitation Plan of 11 th November Workshop	39
Table 7	Programme and Facilitation Plan of Module 4: Values, Self-Image, Self-Esteem	47
Table 8	Programme and Facilitation Plan of Module 5: Passion	50

SECTION ONE: PROJECT BACKGROUND

Introduction

The knowledge of strategies gained from my Master of Science degree programme in Creativity Studies and Change Leadership as well as the propensity toward applying them propelled the exploration to leverage the rich learning for my professional development. This project- *Thinking Tools to Enhance Facilitation of Problem-Based Learning* is a creative product derived from my personal exploration in the creative problem solving process: sensing gaps, defining problem, gathering and evaluating information, and developing solutions. I aimed to create a training package that incorporates some of the Thinking Tools from the module on *Advanced Cognitive Tools for Creative Problem Solving* (CRS 614) to enhance effectiveness of facilitating Problembased learning (PBL) for teachers.

In the spirit of learning to be a reflective practitioner, I aspired to synthesise a more hands-on approach to increase flexibility of teachers by equipping them with a wider range of facilitation tools to enhance metacognition. For the purpose of this project, a Thinking Tool can be defined as "a structured strategy to focus, organise, and guide an individual or group's thinking" (Puccio, Murdock, & Mance, 2007, p.95). Through the use of Thinking Tools, this project aimed to foster new relationships between teachers and students through the development of student-led inquiry-based learning activities, especially in PBL where learning is an active process with students mutually engaged in dialogue. Thinking Tools thus serve as scaffolds to make the thinking more "visible" to all. The overarching goal is

to empower teachers to facilitate young people's development of thinking skills through PBL as well as to foster greater responsibility and autonomy in their learning.

Thinking Skills as a Core Competency of 21st Century Education

This project integrates two areas of theory into a single practice: facilitating problem-based learning and enhancing thinking skills. Treffinger (2002) used productive thinking as an expression that includes creative thinking, critical thinking, problem solving, and decision making. While productive thinking is recognised in many of the US national educational reports, he was of the opinion that it will help students meet the challenges of shaping, supporting and advancing local, national, global priorities and goals. Similarly, my comparison of the different educational models of 21st Century literacies (see Appendix B) showed the common focus on the importance of equipping students with thinking skills to help them contribute effectively in a knowledge-based global economy.

Curriculum Innovation in Singapore

Singapore's Ministry of Education (MOE) initiated the *Teach Less, Learn More* or TLLM initiative (<u>http://www.moe.gov.sg/press/2008/pr20080108.htm</u>) in response to the Prime Minister's call for education to move towards a more flexible system that nurtures students with a capacity for independent thinking (see Figure 1).



Figure 1 Genesis of 'Teach Less, Learn More' initiative

Since 1997, Ministry of Education (MOE) has adopted the vision of *Thinking Schools, Learning Nation* or TSLN. This vision of TSLN still holds true today and it provides the basis for all our education decisions. Under this vision, MOE established a firm foundation in the core areas and continue to grow from strength to strength in these areas and aspire to bring Singapore schools to a higher level. The *Innovation and Enterprise* or I & E was launched in 2003 to encourage a mindset of initiatives and creativity. 2005 saw a progressive movement towards TLLM. TLLM builds on the groundwork laid in place by the systemic and structural improvements under TSLN, and the mindset changes encouraged under I&E. It continues the TSLN journey by focusing on improving the quality of interaction between teachers and students, so that students can be more engaged in learning and better attain the desired outcomes of education.

Teach Less, Learn More

Figure 2 shows the TLLM framework. It contains core components which bring about TLLM in schools. The learner is at the centre of everything MOE does. MOE recognise that students have diverse needs, abilities, aspirations and backgrounds. As educators of the 21st century, Singapore teachers are asking how we can more effectively cater to the needs of our students, and teach in a manner that can better prepare them for life. One systemic approach was to allow schools provision to customise curriculum and programmes by leveraging on school-based innovations to engage their students better. Beyond the curriculum flexibilities, time and space, and developing the capacity of teachers and leaders, there needs to be an open and sharing culture, in order for schoolbased innovations to be developed and sustained. To support the schools in their TLLM *Ignite!* journey, MOE started a curriculum partnership for each school. This partnership presents a synergistic alliance between MOE and schools in customising curriculum to develop teacher capacity for schools to actualise their TLLM ideas.



Figure 2 TLLM Framework

As a curriculum partner, I support a network of Research Activists (RAs) while they design, plan and implement their action research on Problem-based learning (PBL). As the RAs carry out their action research to investigate the effects of these new ways of teaching and learning, they also come together as a community of learners to carry on a dialogue with one another and curriculum partners to deepen their experience with these curriculum innovations. I have the opportunity to work closely with the RAs to help them design, implement and evaluate their own research project. Over the past months, my observations of this network of RAs facilitating the PBL in classrooms further deepen my own understanding of the intricacies of implementing PBL in different classroom contexts. As a curriculum partner, my reflections and evaluation of the process led me to unpack the challenges faced by some of these RAs with their experience in PBL.

Problem-Based Learning

A New Way of Teaching

PBL is a pedagogical approach that facilitates active construction of knowledge. It has been adopted by many learning institutions around the world since its inception in the late 60s in the medical school at McMaster University in Canada. Today, PBL is one of the most popular a curricular innovations in education (Marincovich, 2000). The relevance of PBL seemed all the more important with "advanced economies, innovative industries and firms, and high-growth jobs (that) require more educated workers with the ability to respond flexibly to complex problems, communicate effectively, manage information, work in teams and produce new knowledge" (Partnership for 21st Century Skills, 2008). With Forgarty defining PBL as a "curriculum model designed around real-life problems that are ill-structured, open-ended, or ambiguous" (1997, cited in Wu and Forrester, 2004, p.64), it thus further suggests why many education reform movements embrace PBL.

Characteristics of PBL

Unlike traditional didactic teaching where students amass and assimilate taught knowledge, PBL has been more objectively described as starting with an ill-structured mess (Gardner, 1983). While students address this initial "mess" through discussion and research, they begin to determine the real issue at hand (Fogarty, 1997). Tan (2008) in his keynote speech address at the 7th Asia-Pacific Conference on PBL in Shenyang, China, noted that PBL is a highly learner-centred pedagogy. In his slides, he highlighted that PBL integrate advances in cognitive, psychoneurological and learning sciences. He also shared

that PBL is able to demonstrate efficacy in knowledge construction and application with deeper awareness of the range of cognitive functions and allows students to apply them within rich and meaningful problem solving situation.

Wu & Forrester (2004) summed up the following characteristics displayed in PBL approaches:

- 1. All PBL approaches begin with a problem or question;
- 2. The process of PBL involves clarification, definition with reframing, analysis, and summary with synthesis;
- Students assume primary responsibility for analysing the problem and making inquiry;
- 4. The teacher's role is primarily facilitative.

In a typical PBL process (Tan, 2003) PBL schema will include the following stages:

- 1. Meeting the problem;
- 2. Problem analysis and learning issues;
- 3. Discovery and reporting;
- 4. Solution presentation and reflection;
- 5. Overview, integration and evaluation.

The Creative Tension

If creativity is needed to bring about new thinking to resolve a problem, then diagnosing the critical gap first is essential for effective problem solving. The opportunity to serve as a curriculum partner to the RAs in the PBL network has allowed me ample opportunities to carry on a dialogue with the research activists on their problem-scenario crafting, observe the planned PBL lessons and co-evaluate their research.

I observed that some of the PBL lessons struggled to attain the intended learning outcomes and superficial learning took place in some of the classes based on the analysing the products of some students' work. In addition, the interaction with some students and teachers led me to believe that in many of these first-time PBL lessons, there was little evidence of acquisition of problem solving skills, depth of disciplinary inquiry and discipline-related reasoning skills.

Another level of discourse is derived from the pedagogical shift that is pre-occupied with content. Since PBL designs learning around 'real-world' problems, students then achieve learning outcomes through the process of actively working on unstructured problems. By exposing students to viewing problems framed in a real-world context, teachers themselves then need to have both the skill-set and mindset to discern the nuances of the changing forces, and synthesise new ideas to function amidst a complex and chaotic environment, manage multiple changing and emerging factors, and appreciate learning as connecting, creating and making an impact. This would imply then that PBL teachers need to have a clear conception of what is understood by thinking. Otherwise, if the teachers fail to achieve a sufficiently clear conception of thinking, then the chance of effective teaching or assessing learning through PBL will be greatly diminished.

Facilitation to Enhance the Creative Process of PBL

Rhodes (1961) articulated his conception of creativity in terms of 4Ps- *Person*, *Process, Product and Press.* Comparatively, PBL as a pedagogy involves teachers in

mediating students' learning (i.e., *Person*) through a problem scenario with iterations in information processing (i.e., *Process*). Furthermore, Weissinger (2004) pointed that in PBL, metacognition can be derived through activities such as journaling, discussion and selfevaluation, thus cognitively helping teachers and students "use good judgment with respect to knowledge" (p.51). PBL also requires an inquiry mindset of both teachers and students to embrace an open learning environment (i.e., *Press*) to accept the 'chaotic' nature of unstructured learning through the problem. On a process level, teachers also negotiate the acquisition of knowledge and skills with much self-reflection- constant monitoring, assessing the level of interactions and learning, as well as making mental notes on next steps, etc. All of the above were done with consideration of the dispositions of students in order to bring about a fruitful learning experience. In addition, Simonton (1988, 1990) added a fifth P- *Persuasion*, thus emphasising the teacher's role of facilitative leadership that can influence the degree of meaningful engagement in a PBL classroom. Thus, by improving facilitation skills, teachers can better help students develop their metacognition throughout the PBL process.

In PBL, the teacher facilitates both the learning of the content (i.e., learning agenda and domain-specific knowledge acquisition) as well as the process (i.e., methods, procedures, group dynamics, climate of interaction, etc). Tan (2004b) opined that PBL teachers need to have a "defined core body of disciplinary knowledge" since "the purpose of PBL is to enable students to appreciate the depth of the inquiry often unique to the discipline" (p.205). This lends support to how PBL can enhance creative thinking with Kaufmann's (1988) proposition,

We may now expect that high-level creativity is crucially dependent on a large amount of well-organised domain-specific knowledge. Before the fruits

of creativity can be reaped, then, we may expect that a long history of building up domain specific knowledge and skills must precede. (p.114)

Thinking Tools and Problem-Based Learning

This led me to realize that Thinking Tools can be effectively employed to organise the knowledge. This new-found connection made much sense as I realised that both creative thinking as well as PBL require iterations of divergent and convergent thinking. If PBL requires students to build on prior knowledge, then divergent thinking is needed to "intentionally opens up new possibilities... challenges paradigms and creates new knowledge" (Puccio et al., 2007, p.72). On the other hand, Puccio et al. (2007) also point out that convergent thinking requires a critical awareness of the standards that must be met to ensure success by making decisions on alternatives which are most useful. Likewise, PBL requires students to converge by making meaning of the problem scenario and gather relevant information in order to ensure that learning results in enhanced knowledge acquisition.

Further incubation of the problem led to the new thought: that if PBL requires students to solve an "ill-structured" problem in a real world context, and that the learning from one subject area in PBL should be transferable to other areas in curriculum and everyday life, then it ought to make good reasoning for PBL to 'adopt' real world tools such as those used frequently for knowledge management in organisations. Examples of such tools include SWOT Analysis and Gap Analysis Diagram, which are used for envisioning and/or strategic planning sessions. I was thus intrigued to explore whether the use of such 'real-world' tools enhance the effectiveness of solving real-world problems in PBL. This sparked my curiosity then to uncover the Thinking Tools identified by Barbero-

Switalski (2003) gathered from sources such as Total Quality Management, Strategic Management and Creative Problem Solving Process, and study the relevance of these tools to PBL thinking processes. My literature review also led me to conclude that there is little research on the use of these 'real-world' Thinking Tools with direct application to PBL.

SECTION TWO: PERTINENT LITERATURE

Introduction

This chapter reviews and highlights some of the literature that supports how thinking skills, especially those pertaining to metacognition, can bridge the gap to strengthen facilitation during PBL. The next section examines the use of different forms of Thinking Tools to support better instruction in PBL and is also discussed in the light of some typical PBL templates and visual tools used by most PBL practitioners. Finally, a discussion on the three main reasons for the use of 'real-world' Thinking Tools in PBL to: (1) enhance facilitation and pedagogical strategies; (2) create a conducive environment for students to collaborate; and (3) enhance teachers' own thinking.

Ineffective Facilitation

In an informal discussion with Ms Ho Peng, Director of Curriculum Planning Division, MOE (Singapore), she commented that I should consider devising a methodology that is engaging and also allows teachers to think. This comment led me to further analyse the chasm between advocates of PBL and the reality of the teachers' facilitation experience.

The earlier workshops to train the Research Activists on the PBL pedagogy were useful- evident in their confidence to design PBL lesson plans incorporating some of the above tools. However, the workshops were not designed to equip them with facilitation skills. Little was also mentioned of the need to understand psychological tools and the construction of knowledge in the use of PBL. Many of the RAs also lacked sufficient experience to effectively manage the thinking process that is needed to activate students' prior knowledge (i.e., the axioms, language, and the tools of certain domains) (Tan, 2004b).

Many of the RAs were challenged in areas such as effective questioning skills, providing quality responses, and managing students' ability to *flow* in the process of interaction and reflection. One of my key observations was that although the RAs generally had wellprepared lesson plans, some of the lessons were clearly unable to reap the intended outcomes of engaged learning in the students as outlined in TLLM framework. This is especially detrimental as PBL foundationally serves as an inquiry process that places students as active learners with the role of problem-solvers confronting an ill-structured problem that mirrors real-world problems (Finkle & Torp, 1995). This poses potential problems with the perception of PBL as a pedagogy being ineffective if it is unable to help students attain the learning objectives, and consequently affects the motivation of the learners and defeats the goals of PBL. This is further confirmed by Tan (2004b) who noted that students who plunged into self-directed and self-regulated learning without appropriate mediation often end up producing mediocre work as a result of "unproductive use of time, unnecessary anxiety, loss of interest, feeling of hopelessness, and superficial learning" (p.206).

Taking a broader perspective, Russell et al. (2003) listed the following factors that may enhance student engagement: nature of tasks, context, teacher-student relationships, pedagogy, classroom climate and support from school leadership – all critically affecting the success of a well designed and effectively implemented PBL lesson. This project aims to improve the problem of insufficient awareness of different thinking skills to meet the needs of different stages of PBL.

In theory, the use of PBL allows teachers to design new learning milieus and curricula that really encourage motivation and independence so as to equip students with

learning, thinking and problem solving skills through contextualisation of content knowledge (Tan, 2003). Yet, Tan (2004b) also highlighted that for PBL to effectively enhance thinking, the teacher needs to be able to shift from lecturing to acting as coaches in their role as well as facilitating more effectively with psychological, pedagogical, and technological tools.

Tan noted that although many teachers may accept the rationale for PBL and recognize the potential value of such a learning paradigm, they may, however, become overwhelmed with the number of practical realties (Tan, 2001). Many teachers are still very much immersed in teacher-centred pedagogy and they struggle with the shift in mindset as well as an insufficient repertoire of skill set. As Wee (2002) noted, good facilitators "guide learners in non-directive ways using questions at a metacognitive level. They rarely provide learners with their knowledge or opinions."(p.8).

Differentiating Types of Thinking

"Problem-based learning is an instructional strategy that encourages students to develop critical thinking and problem solving skills that they can carry with them throughout their lifetimes." (Samford University, n.d.)

An extensive review of literature provided some evidence that there was no shortage of models and theories of thinking with some notable works by Cohen (1971), Marzano et al. (1988), Perkins (1992) and Presseisen (2001). Cohen (1971) and Presseisen (2001) described four specific complex thinking processes i.e., problem solving (resolve a known difficulty), decision making (choose the best alternative), critical thinking (understanding particular meaning) and creative thinking (create novel or aesthetic ideas or products). PBL as a learning process requires the above four thinking skills needed in different stages since it involves a cognitive transition from "simple to more complex operations, from observable to abstract dimensions, and from an emphasis on creating or inventing new, previously unknown approaches" (Presseisen, 2001, p.48).

More specifically, many higher education institutes that adopt PBL aim to equip their students the ability to transfer abstract principles to concrete applications under the construct of critical thinking (Wood, 1997). Weissinger explained that PBL provides excellent opportunity to develop critical thinking skills in its four domains (see Figure 3): (1) PBL can provide a strong grasp of knowledge base- factual and applied; (2) it provides opportunities for the development of critical appraisal skills; (3) its environment encourages students to question; and (4) the PBL teacher steps back and allows students to direct their own learning, which becomes the foundation for future professional behaviours (Wessinger, 2004, p.46).



Figure 3:

Alignment of the main objectives of PBL with the 4 components identified in definitions of critical thinking (Weissinger, 2004, p.47).

Arguably, the many theories, perspectives and terminology may tend to confuse rather than enhance curriculum planning. The challenge thus lies in identifying or constructing a model of thinking that should be both valid as well as useful for teachers to use in the classroom. For the purpose of this project, I am building upon the list of thinking skills identified by Barbero-Switalski (2003) associated with the seven Creative Problem Solving steps (Puccio et al., 2005).

Barbero-Switalski (2003) organised and categorised a set of Thinking Tools

reviewed from a range of management and decision-making processes in her Master's

Project on Evaluating and Organising Thinking Tools in Relationship to the CPS

Framework. Barbero-Switalski's definition of each of the thinking skills is presented in Table 1 below.

Table 1

Thinking Skills	Definitions		
Diagnostic thinking	Examining situation closely by using this analysis to decide		
	what process step to take next		
Visionary thinking	Describing a vivid and concrete picture of the desired future		
Strategic thinking	Identifying the critical gaps and the pathways that need to be		
	followed to attain the desired outcomes		
Ideational thinking	Producing original mental images & thoughts that respond to		
	challenges or opportunities		
Evaluative thinking	Assessing the reasonableness and quality of ideas in order to		
	develop workable solutions		
Contextual thinking	Understanding the interrelated conditions & circumstances		
	that will support or hinder success		
Tactical thinking	Devising a plan & measurable steps for attaining end &		
	monitoring its effectiveness		

Thinking Skills Defined

By explicitly highlighting the above thinking skills in the PBL process, the teachers can help students develop richer cognitive interactions in their small groups. These engagements in PBL involve instructional iterations pertaining to scanning the environment,

Incorporating Thinking Tools to Enhance Facilitation of Problem-Based Learning

understanding the problem, gathering key data and analysing them, and elaborating on solutions. The above thinking skills are applicable to PBL as they would frame group dialogues more meaningfully. Also as the students begin to experience the various thinking skills, they would consciously be shifting away from their preconceived notions- and liberated from being locked into their own limited or prejudiced perspectives. The thinking skills are thus useful for developing creative and critical thinking, through purposeful reflection. The type of thinking skills that may strengthen each stage of PBL is proposed in Figure 4.



Figure 4: Proposed Map of Thinking Skills to Stages of PBL

The Purpose of Thinking Tools

Based on the earlier definition of Thinking Tool as "a structured strategy to focus, organise, and guide an individual or group's thinking" (Puccio et al., 2007, p.95), this project explores the use of Thinking Tools to enhance each stage of PBL process to bring about the intended function.

Typical Templates Used in PBL

In the early stages of Meeting the Problem and Problem Analysis & Learning Issues, most PBL teachers will introduce an organizational template (see examples in Table 2) to scaffold students' planning and use information from the template to assist the reasoning process. The template is often used in these early stages to teach the identification of the problems based on facts to guide student discussions (Suthers & Hundhausen, 2001). These stages also entail getting students to learn to ask questions in order to obtain a more accurate and thorough understanding of the problem. Some teachers who are new to PBL may merely start by brainstorming of ideas, which tend to lead straight into hypothesizing causes and suggesting solutions without thoroughly listing the facts of the problem. Also, without thorough fact-finding of the problem, there may be a "tendency to perceive the problem with a sweeping or bias perception" (Tan, 2003, p.52).

Hmelo-Silver et al. (2004) pointed that these organizational templates are most useful to have substantive discussions for students to rank and decide viable hypotheses, negotiate ideas and signify agreement by the group. Table 2 presents some of the typical templates used to help students inquire by asking questions to obtain a more accurate and thoroughly understanding of the problem.

Table 2: Typical PBL templates

(a) FILA temptate			
List the FACTS	List as many IDEAS	List the LEARNING	List the ACTION
in the problem.	as you can generate	ISSUES that you	PLAN to show how,
	to manage the	need to learn in order	what and where you
	problem.	to manage the	intend to seek new
		problem.	information.

(a) FILA template

(b) KNL template

What we KNOW	What we NEED to know	What are the LEARNING issues

(c) IFL template

List of IDEAS	List of FACTS	LEARNING issues

(d) SINI template

What is the situation in need of improvement?	Formulating hypotheses	List of learning needs
need of miprovement		

(e) KIND template

We KNOW	Our IDEAS	We NEED to know	Our to DO list

(f) KWHLAQ template

• · · ~	^				
What do we	What do we	HOW will	What do we	How will	What new
think we	WANT to	we go about	expect to	we APPLY	QUESTIONS
KNOW	find out	finding out	LEARN	what we	do we have
				learn	following our
					inquiry

As a visual tool, the templates provide a more systematic way of approaching

problems. More explicitly, the templates also serve the purposes of helping teachers and

students clarify facts (what we know) from ideas, identify what further data or information

is needed, identify knowledge gaps, list new learning that is needed to be achieved (learning issues) and to clarify the action plan (Tan, 2003). Working independently, students are encouraged to ideate and to analyse the problem, followed by identifying the learning issues and formulating the learning objectives before coming together as a group to establish consensus on their learning issues. The collective effort to think together, with appropriate peer feedback and evaluation, would further enhance their ideational and analytical ability.

Other Tools That May Be Used In PBL

Forrester and Wu (2004) recognise that visual tools such as brainstorming webs, graphic organisers and thinking process maps are useful mental mapping techniques that play certain roles in cognitive processes. These tools help to activate the visual generation, change and communication of information during PBL. Along with key scholars, they describe the following three roles of visual tools (Forrester and Wu, 2004, p.71):

- Organizing information: The human mind organises and stores information in a series of networks. Thus, as the visual depictions resemble networks that enable students to add or modify their background knowledge by seeing the connections and contradictions between the existing knowledge and new information.
- 2. *Understanding information and relationships:* Visual tools serve as mental tools to help students understand and retain important information and relationships.
- 3. *Depicting knowledge and understanding:* Visual tools provide an optional way of expressing knowledge and understanding, so they are particularly beneficial for

students who have difficulty with expressing relationships in written format (Hong Kong Education Department, 2001).

Using 'Real-World' Thinking Tools

Thinking Tools to enhance facilitation and pedagogical strategies.

Although Tan (2004b) advocates that PBL facilitators need to "scaffold learning through a protocol of questions", I am proposing that the use of Thinking Tools can further serve to probe into students' thinking "to develop their acumen in problem solving and critical thinking in that knowledge field" (p.206). Just like the other tools that were previously highlighted, the Thinking Tools provide additional strategies for teachers to engage students in a discussion with greater clarity in appropriate thinking skills. As such, PBL constructs a real-world learning environment that embraces the use of both questioning and visual tools with "an open pragmatism that considers and prioritizes all forms of learning... in terms of their contribution to problem resolution" (Forrester & Wu, 2004, p.72).

The teacher as the content expert will benefit by acquiring a wider range of cognitive facilitation skills, apart from the traditional "skill and drill" methods. By expanding his/her repertoire of Thinking Tools, the teacher himself/herself can gain deeper understanding of thinking skills. Consequently, he/she will be better able to appropriate the relevant tools to help students' thinking become more "visible". Transfer of learning can then be assessed by observing students select and use the appropriate Thinking Tools to hone these thinking skills. The students' application in various contexts allow them to be able to concisely determine the learning objectives, choose probable resources, mine for

information, plan the learning task and decide on the depth of inquiry, monitor and reflect upon their own thinking and progress. Dressel & Mayhew (1954) shared that for strong inquiry-based pedagogy, students were better able to select pertinent information for the solution, make relevant hypotheses, draw valid conclusions, and judge the validity of inferences.

Thinking Tools to create a conducive environment for students to collaborate.

As in visual tools, Thinking Tools help students share more readily when thoughts, data, facts, ideas, learning issues are visually 'transparent' to all and thus synergise with the use of cooperatively learning techniques to share and/or debate in pairs, small groups or even as a class (Albanese, 2004; Hmelo-Silver et al., 2004; Tan, 2004b). This is clearly "visible" during brainstorming and group reflection, which will help students find their shared purposes and priorities. For example, by drawing together onto flipcharts the issues emerging from a group discussion also serve as a physical means for the group to clarify their shared purpose and priorities in order to write a group development plan to guide their collaborative enquiry and learning.

Johnson, Johnson, & Smith (1998) studied team dynamics and found that groups usually make a more informed choice only if they understand what effective group process is and how its own process falls short. Consequently, the role of the facilitator is to periodically help the group consider how much further it wants to improve its process skills. Their project indicates that collaborative learning brings about higher achievement academically. This is achieved through knowledge acquisition, accuracy, creativity in problem-solving, and higher reasoning skills, as well as positive effects such as persistence

toward goals, intrinsic motivation, transfer of learning to other situations, and staying longer on tasks (Johnson et al., 1998).

Thinking Tools to enhance teachers' own thinking.

With the above merits, Thinking Tools also allow teachers to build on their own 'grounded theory' about aspects of their practice rather than trying to apply academic theory to their experience. If metacognition is about thinking about one's own thinking, then self-reflection drives the "development of thinking skills as well as the habits and dispositions to use them" (Weissinger, 2004, p.45) to monitor their own as well as their students' level of understanding in order to correct any faulty thinking patterns.

Collaborative learning with Thinking Tools, even among teachers, can be a powerful strategy especially as a formation of a community of practice. Within the community, this can be further reinforced by action research (data gathering, wider reflection, action and evaluation), in order to develop increased understanding and control over professional judgments. The fresh perspectives and skills gained from these communities will thus provide the psychological basis for pedagogy and reinforce the teachers' personal learning (Feurstein & Feuerstein, 1991).

SECTION THREE: PROCESS PLAN

Introduction

This chapter is an exposition of the step by step map of what I have done in the project- from beginning until end. Yet throughout the whole process, I had yet another 'Aha' moment as the thinking, implementing, and reflecting, all exactly resembled Torrance's (1995) definition of creativity: "I have tried to describe a creative thinking as taking place in the process of (1) sensing gaps, problems, gaps in information, or missing elements; (2) making guesses and possibly revising and retesting them; and finally (4) communicating the results. I like this definition because it describes such a natural process" (Torrance, 1995, p.72). The processes involved in this project includes preliminary navigation through possibilities before the careful diagnosis of the problem, then struggling through each new challenge arising during implementation, to eventually sharing the outcomes. I learnt that the creative person, creative product and the creative press are intricately interwoven as part of this amazingly creative process. Although the plan as drafted in the concept paper delineates the process in phases, many of the process elements are dynamically interlinked. To fit Torrance's four-step process on creativity, I have modified the drafted project timeline with the inclusion of Phase 3 to test and modified the idea as part of the complete project plan. I would also like to add that though not part of the recorded plan, the project was preceded with substantial amount of time invested to explore all possible project areas and weighing the pros and cons of each idea in relation to my other commitments and motivation level. In retrospect, all of these *thinking*

were also described as the first two stages of creative problem solving proposed by Graham Wallas in 1926 – Preparation and Incubation.

Phase 1: Sensing a Problem or Gap in Information

This project design phase began by scanning the various areas that I am passionate about- i.e., family, curriculum development, blogging, etc. The primary direction of this project was focused on marrying both my learnings in this creativity programme to that of deepening my professional development.

Research Methodology: Observation, Interviews and Focus Group Discussion

With a heightened creativity consciousness, I observed that just as the Creative Problem Solving Process had many complementary skills and mindset to that in PBL, my interaction with the RAs illuminated the opportunity to introduce the thinking skills to overcome many of the problems they faced during facilitation. For example during a postlesson evaluation, the RA led a discussion with her teachers who co-facilitated a module. The common concern raised was the difficulty to facilitate effectively a class of 40 students. They shared that it was even more challenging to getting all the students to participate actively. As I shared on how Affinity Diagram can be used to facilitate the information processing during the whole-class discussion, the teachers agreed that such a tool was useful to enhance the social knowledge construction. Further discussion with the other curriculum partners made the connection between Thinking Tools and PBL even more possible. Mdm Irene Tan, Master Teacher and fellow curriculum partner to the PBL network agreed that these Thinking Tools are useful scaffolds and commented that tools

such as SWOT analysis and Fishbone Diagram can help students use their prior knowledge to make more meaningful connections when they see the data visually. An online focus group discussion was facilitated to further explore the issues PBL practitioners faced which further confirmed two preliminary thoughts: (1) facilitation in PBL was a major area of challenge most teachers faced; and (2) many students were not able to see the different types of 'thinking' during a PBL class. These interactions were critical to validate the purpose of the project and allowed me to seek clarity on the feasibility and manageability on the project.

Research Methodology: Literature Review

The foundation of my literature review was based on two main areas- Thinking Skills and PBL. The questions that guided my thinking were primarily the origins of each area were and further studies on their inherent relationship between two. It was interesting to learn that more research was carried out in the past few years that highlighted the role of Thinking Skills in PBL. Most studies done provided empirical evidence of how students through PBL, were able to develop and internalize problem solving competencies through heightening awareness of multiple ways of thinking needed in working on a problem (Tan, 2004). That mentioned, there was evidently little written on use of specific tools in PBL. Types of PBL tools that were mentioned in the literature included: worksheets, graphic organisers such as concept maps and flowcharts, whiteboards and large pieces of newsprint, technology, and most commonly used were the conceptual and representational tools such as the typical PBL templates in Table 2.
Phase 2: Forming Ideas

The next steps involved conceptualizing the idea of how real-world Thinking Tools can enhance the facilitation of PBL by concretizing the idea into several possible scenarios. The earlier discussion with teachers allowed me to continue to study the feasibility on the use of these tools by talking to more experts. Many of these conversations were highly fruitful as they helped to clarify the purpose as well as identify possible areas of success and challenges (i.e. issue of language of the tools and age appropriateness). Being one of the event organisers for the National Project Work Sharing Session on the 29th October, I had the opportunity to dialogue with more project work coordinators in primary and secondary schools. Contextually, this further affirmed the concept of how Thinking Tools, even beyond PBL, may be great facilitation tools in any project-related pedagogy. As with Wallas' (1926) incubation stage, these expanded developments were processed at different levels since I was subconsciously incubating on the main idea of Thinking Tools in PBL and yet as I was consciously attending to other related areas (eg., Inquiry-based in Project Work, Rubrics design, Story-telling as a pedagogy, etc.). I was able to make new connections as well as further strengthening the prior concept by incubation while "getting" away from the problem" (Davis, 2004, p.124).

Phase 3: Testing and Modifying the Ideas

With all the divergent thinking and planning, the very first sharing of the concept on 29th October represented a milestone. Prior to the sharing, I sent a welcome note to the thirty participants who signed up for my sharing session to provide a overview of the presentation. In addition, I sent a document based on the Thinking Tool of *Praise First*

with PPCO (Appendix E) to invite them to reflect upon their own experience with PBL. Based on the Torrance Incubation Model (TIM), this was a direct application of one of the cognitive strategies of *Heightening Anticipation* by "using the incompleteness and the resulting arousal and creative tension that comes from not knowing what to expect to get learners involved" (Murdock and Keller-Mathers, 2008, p.12). Participants were thereafter invited to give their feedback on the session, some of which served as important considerations for the design of the next workshop on 11th November. For example, although feedback from most participants was extremely positive and encouraging, one stood out from the rest with the following comment: "Session taught no thinking skills. It was a waste of time." Though the initial reaction was of hurt, this comment helped me to be extremely focused in ensuring that most of the activities for the subsequent workshop entailed participants to make their thinking "visible".

To increase the realism of walking through a full PBL lesson, I co-designed a problem scenario with Mdm Irene Tan, Master Teacher and co-curriculum partner for PBL network. Together, we selected from the initial list of fifteen tools to just eight to ten tools so that participants could experience some of Torrance and Safter's (1990) cognitive processing strategies represented in metaphors such as *getting into deep water* and *digging deeper* for each the tool and allocated sufficient free space for *listening/talking to a cat* with more group interaction. Besides crafting the task and preparing the presentation slides, here were many other logistical preparations such as preparing the toolkit for each tool, worksheets, etc. Concurrently, the organization of the whole session was also energy-zapping as there were many other constraints such as limitation of time and difficulty to contact teachers since it was during the school holidays. It was a stroke of fortune as Irene

brought along another experienced Master Teacher, Mdm Alice Aw who came along to add her inputs in the designing of the workshop as well as to serve as a critical friend to observe the session. The full-day workshop was finally conducted on 11th November (see Section Four for the outcomes). Building on the TIM's cognitive strategy of *Shaking Hands with Tomorrow*, participants were then required to participate in an online discussion to post their reflections on the day's learnings.

As a volunteer officer serving the Boys' Brigade, I needed to conduct two Life Skill modules on the 13 and 14th November respectively. As I wanted to gain a deeper experience with actual facilitation with the Thinking Tools, I decided upon a last minute decision to design the workshops with some of the tools to deliver the outcomes. This opportunity indeed affirmed that Thinking Tools do work wonders for effective facilitation!

Phase 4: Communicating the Results

To help teachers gain more insights on the tools, I created two Blog entries on <u>http://think-learn-grow.blogspot.com</u> for participants to use as platform for discussion and to share their reflections next year when they will trial the tools in class. Meantime, as I continued to identify new possibilities and directions for the paper, I was fortunate to be able to discuss further partnerships with two schools to enhance the model (i.e., Jurong Secondary School to do video lessons for the Thinking Tools and Nan Hua High School to integrate the Thinking Skills in their lesson package for 2009).

 Table 3: Process Plan of the 3 Project Phases that showed Both Planned and Actual Project Timeline

								Pla	nned	and	Actu	ial Pr	ojec	t <u>T</u> im	eline
									ctual						
Phase	Detailed tasks			Sept	t		Oct				Nov				Dec
	dates	1	8	15	22	29	6	13	20	27	3	10	17	24	1
1: Sensing a	Research on the principles of PBL and Thinking Skills;														
Problem or															
Gap in	Prepare concept paper draft (due 19 th Sep)														
Information															
	Interview Research Activists and curriculum partners to														
	gather feedback on their implementation issues														
2 : Forming	Prepare draft of Sharing materials for 20 th Oct														
Ideas															
	Prepare draft of Chapter 1-3 for submission on 27 th Oct														
	Present The Big Concept with one tools-hands on during														
	Project Work coordinators Sharing on 29 th Oct														
3: Testing and	Invite participants from 29 Oct to share their feedback on														
Modifying the	the session														
Ideas	Gather feedback on the concept plan and refine training														
	package to simulate a PBL lesson with all stages on 11 th														
	Full day workshop (11 th Nov)														
	Post-workshop online discussion														
	*Trialing of tools for students in Boys Brigade camp				1	1				1					
	$(13^{th} \& 14^{th} Nov)$		-		l	1				1	1				
	Continue on Draft for Chap 4-6 for submission on 17 th				1	1									
	Nov														

Phase	Detailed tasks			Sept				Oct				Nov			
	dates	1	8	15	22	29	6	13	20	27	3	10	17	24	1
4:	Create Tools in PBL blog entry on														
Communication	ion www.think-learn-grow.blogspot.com														
of Results Refine and finalise complete project paper															
	Initiate discussion with two schools who may be keen to pilot action research with Thinking Skills in PBL/PW														
	Submit Completed Project and Celebrate on 8 th Dec 08														

SECTION FOUR: OUTCOMES

Introduction

The purpose of the presentation on 29th October as well as the full-day workshop on 11th November was to provide teachers with opportunities to inquiry, explore and reflect on the use of Thinking Tools in PBL. Just as students are regularly engaged in metacognition in a PBL environment, the sessions aim to expose and see if teachers are able to transfer the learning within a new setting. Since PBL is considered a pedagogy that brings about higher-order thinking such as problem solving skills, this rationale thus accords not only with the ethos of Singapore's *Teach Less, Learn More* initiative but also with the thinking expressed by UNESCO in its seminal reports on education:

Education must include activities and processes that encourage awareness of, and commitment to, the solutions of global problems. This should be done in such ways that people learn solutions are possible through cooperation at all levels- at the levels of individuals, organizations and nations.

UNESCO (quoted in IGCSE Global Perspectives Syllabus Document in <u>www.cie.org.uk/docs/dynamic/22453.pdf</u>)

The simplicity of the idea in using Thinking Tools for PBL may not seem to abound with much finesse. Yet, as long as there are problems and learning to be done, a strong facilitative teacher can impact the richness of learning with deeper reflective practice. Thus, my experience of conceptualizing, designing, testing and reflecting all encompass the above elements with a single purpose: that Thinking Tools can be great catalysts to reach out and make a difference at all possible levels- the Person(s) (both teachers and students), the Process, the Press and the non-negotiable learning as the Product.

Sharing Session- Project Work Sharing on 29th October

The Process Skills Unit in Curriculum Planning and Development Division in MOE invited the Project Work coordinators of Primary and Secondary schools in Singapore to attend the Annual Project Work Sharing. This year, the Unit organised the event with participants selecting four concurrent sessions that are of 45 minutes each, presented by a total of 21 schools (including myself). A total of thirty two Singapore teachers attended my sharing session, of which 12 teachers were from Primary schools and 20 others from the Secondary schools. Although in my programme outline, I highlighted that this session would be more useful to teachers with PBL experience, a quick reality check at the start indicated that only 18 teachers have had such relevant experience. See Appendix C for presentation slides.

Time	Activity	Resources
3.30 – 3.40 pm	Intro: PBL and Thinking Tools Welcome!	Distribute hand-out 1: slides Slide 1
	Activity 1: PBL <chart 1=""> Q: Vote- which stage does students and teachers struggle most and why (in 2 different columns)</chart>	 large chart of PBL 5 stage 2 different colour stickers
3.40 – 3.45 pm	Tools	Slide 2-3
	Q: what are tools to you? Activity 2: Object teaching- participants to 'play' with different items on table Reflecting on your use of PPCO – how did it help you 1. channel and organise your thoughts 2. visual means to collect different points of views	 toy / stationary items on table Make reference to the prework on PPCO slide 7

 Table 4: Presentation Plan for Sharing Session on 29th October

	Thinking Tools Q: What are Thinking tools to you? Metacognition : thinking about thinking Q: How can we meaningfully assess if our students are thinking? Do they know what they are thinking?	
3.45 – 3.50 pm	PBL with Thinking skills Introduce the stages of PBL mapped	Slide 4 - 6
		Distribute handout 2:
	I ninking- Critical, Creative, etc	Ininking Skills to PBL
	Q: How are these skills taught/	chart
	learnt in a PBL curriculum?	
	Q: Are they explicitly taught?	* due to limitation of time,
		slide 7-8 not covered
3.50 – 3.55pm	Explain Diagnostic Thinking and	Slide 12-15
	Tool: Affinity Diagram	
3.55 – 4pm	Activity 3: Video	Video (4min 44s)
	'A vision of Students Today'	
4 – 4.15 pm	Activity 4: Hands-on-	• Instruction sheets for
	Affinity Diagram (facilitated in 3	affinity diagram
	groups by 3 facilitators)	• Butcher sheets
	Facilitators to share outcomes	• Post its
		• Markers
4.15 - 4.20pm	Conclusion and evaluation	Evaluation forms

Table 5: Collated Evaluation for 29th October October

Section A : Overall								
5 poin	t Likert scale-							
(1) St	rongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree,	Agree, Strongly						
Agree(5)								
Q1.	I have learnt useful skills	3.5 / 5						
Q2. There were sufficient hands-on activities 4.2 / 5								
Q3.	Presenter was engaging	3.5 / 5						
	Section B : Free-Response Comments							
-	It was a fun learning experience.							
-	- Session highlighted to me need to look beyond current tools in PBL- thank you!							
-	- The affinity diagram was really an eve-opener.							

- PBL seemed to be an interesting teaching method.
- changed my views on how I can gather students' response differently.
- John had a unique way to 'market' his theory due to his strong and assertive facilitation style.
- I am keen to learn more about the tools and how I can use them for my lessons. Can you conduct school-based training?
- Most enlightening and rewarding session.
- There were no thinking skills taught. It was a waste of time!
- Session should have been longer. But it was useful.
- There is value in establishing work system with use of tools rather than spotting and intervening with students behaviour all the time.



"Is your curiosity piqued?"

Group discussion

Figure 5: Photographs of Sharing Session on 29th October

Post-Sharing Evaluation by Some of the Participants

Some of the participants were very generous in sharing their feedback on the session as well as their insights on their learning. Many of these thoughts also further helped to shape the discussion and activities for the session on 11th November. As there was so much food for thought in their comments, it made much sense to share these with the participants on 11th November as part of *Heightening Anticipation*. This was done so as we might jointly experience more by benefiting from the comments as prior knowledge. I

would like to thank the teachers below for giving their verbal consent to share within the education fraternity their insights and experiences in writing below.

Mdm Chua Sheau Horng, Dunman High School.

Very often when we mentor students on projects, we come across students complaining that they have not been taught the skills to do research, be it in Creative Problem Solving or other necessary skills. I think this is due to the fact that they lack the tools/ methods to reflect on their own thinking. As a result, they cannot master and internalise the skills. Thinking tools will definitely help in this aspect, at least making students aware that they can engage different types of thinking to serve different needs.

Ms Lim Mui Mui, Adeline, St Anthony's Canossian Secondary School.

As a starter, I believe that thinking skills and tools to develop such skills should be overtly taught in class and used in all areas of teaching and learning. I am always glad when anyone mentions thinking skills and happier when they can suggest tools to aid teachers in this. What troubles me is always the measurement of success i.e. how can one effectively measure if students have picked up the skills? This question is one of those that keep me awake at night!

Mr Roland Khor, Telok Kurau Primary School.

I am glad that I attended your session. It suddenly made a lot of sense why I took so long before experiencing some success in PBL. In fact, I thought that PBL is not

really suited for Primary school children as they may not be able to handle the massiveness of non-structured components such as information gathering. I always ended up 'spoon-feeding them. You were very right when you pointed that perhaps the language of the thinking skills may be a problem with primary school students. I think, in real class situation, some students (and parents) may even ask, "why should we care about the different thinking skills?" The big challenge would be to see how these will impact students' learning and thus improve their academic achievements."

Ms Elaine Jee, Westwood Secondary School.

How would you suggest an effective debrief after the whole activity? I personally feel that the teacher/facilitator must be very sharp and competent to do a debrief so that the activity will be meaningful and fruitful to the students. The PPCO tool that you exemplified as an evaluation tool used in lesson observation showed that tools can be very useful to bring about change in mindset. The way you used PPCO was really very affirming, even the negative pointers phrased in an empowering manner. It goes to show that tools can really make a lot of differences, if used correctly.

Aims of the Full-day Workshop on 11th November

Based on the first sharing session, it was *not* realistic to aim for the participants to gain mastery of the Thinking Tools within the one-day workshop. A more realistic expectation would be for the participants to become more aware of the potential of Thinking Tools as well as developing dispositions through a shared immersive experience

in this workshop. The aims were thus divided into *ends*- understandings, and *means*- habits of mind.

The aims are to:

Ends

- become aware of a range of Thinking skills and the complexities of facilitating PBL and of the connections between them;
- 2. develop insights into the causes of the complexities of PBL, and the possible advantages of how Thinking Tools can mediate some of the challenges;
- 3. develop first-hand insights into the student's learning experience by working through a PBL problem scenario collaboratively,

and to:

Means

- develop the disposition to engage in inquiry, i.e., cognition, metacognition, affective dispositions, that draw out very different perspectives on each of the PBL stages;
- develop the disposition to engage in dialogue, collaboration and action, and to build shared knowledge;
- develop the dispositions of reflection and evaluation, i.e. thinking about experiences, observations, data, feelings, ideas, and practices – their own and others';
- develop the disposition to seek deeper understanding of how Thinking Tools function in the context of PBL.

Time	Duration	Programme	Details	Resources
8.30		Registration		Attendance
				Music
				Coffee/tea
8.45	15 min	Setting	✤ Welcome	Mahjong
		Expectations	 Setting Expectations- 'what you 	paper
			give/ what you like to take'	
9.00	10 min	Welcome &	• Warm up with 'How PBL are you'	Masking tape
		Activity 1:	floor targeting	on ground
		Big group		
0.10	20 '	introduction	• • • · · · • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
9.10	30 min	Activity 2:	* Activity 2: VIR Visual Identified	Photo cards
		Small group	<i>Relationship</i>	PowerPoint
		with VIP	Workshop outling	silue
			• workshop outline	
9 40	35 min	PRL Stage 1	✤ Introduce Tool: Affinity Diagram	PowerPoint
2.10	55 mm	Encounter	 Meeting the Problem 	slides
		with Problem	• Global warming & water	✤ TSPBL
		Statement and	pollution has led to water crisis	✤ THIRST
		dissemination	in many parts of the world.	
		of task	• You are part of a team of	
			environmental ambassadors in	
			your school. Your task is to	
		Activity 3:	design a proposal for water	
		Meeting the	conservation.	
		Problem with	 Problem trigger: THIRST 	
		THIRST	slideshow	
		slideshow		
			 Introduce Tool: Fish bone 	
			diagram	~ .
10.15	15 min	Activity 4:	 Doing-Thinking on tools 	Groups'
		TaD Tool I	• Affinity Diagram	Incubating
			• Fish bone Diagram	Corner
10.20	20 min	DDI Stage 2	A Problem Analysis & Learning	
10.50	50 1111	1 DL Stage 2	• I robeni Anarysis & Learning Issnes	
		Activity 5:	• Introduce templates- FILA &	
		PBL templates	KWL (different groups)	
		r	• Invite participants to analyse	
			problem scenario using the	
			template	
			• Invite groups to share their	
			templates	

Table 6: Programme and Facilitation Plan of 11th November Workshop

Time	Duration	Programme	Details	Resources
11.00	15 min	PBL Stage 3	* Discovery & reporting (Part I)	PowerPoint
		Activity 6:	✤ Tool: Excursion	slide
		Excursion for	 Information Gathering- Research 	
		ideation	@ Library	
12.00	(0 min			
12.00	00 min	Walaama 9	LUNCH	Maalin a tana
1.00	10 mm	Λ ativity 7:	Warm up with Are you	masking tape
		Equilitators	Consciously Unskilled/	On ground,
		Fucilitators	Consciously Cliskilled/	four levels of
		ussessmeni	Unconsciously Skilled' floor	skille
			targeting	581115
1.00	20 min	Activity 9:	• Game: What can you do with a	Rolled up
1.00		'Tooling with	tool	paper 'tool'
		tools' Game	Tool: Targeting 1	pupui toor
			 'Affinity Diagram was great 	Target
		Activity 10:	for Meeting the Problem'	boards
		Targeting 1	 'Fish Bone Diagram was 	
		0 0	great for Meeting the Problem'	
1.20	20 min	Activity 11:	Discovery & reporting (Part II)	PowerPoint
		Sharing	Invite participants to share their	slide
			discussions	
			Excursion	
			 Learnings from 	
			excursion & info gathering	
			 Propose 3-5 action plans 	
			for conservation	
			 Record their discussions on 	
			mahjong sheets	
			Paste their completed discussion	
1.40	20 '	A 10	on the wall	
1.40	30 min	Activity 12:	* Discovery & reporting (Part III)	PowerPoint
		Using Card	✤ Tool: Card Sort (whole group)	slide
		Sort and	✤ 1001: Success Zone (whole	white board
		Success Zone	group)	
2 10	20 min	Activity 12.	Kecolu liteli discussions Discovery & concreting (1,111)	Groups'
2.10	20 11111	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Activity 15:} \\ T_a D T_{acl} 2 \end{array}$	• Discovery & reporting (1-111)	Incubating
		10012	o Card Sort	Corpor
			o Search for Success Zone	COINCI
2 30	30 min	Activities 14.	Discovery & reporting (Part IV)	PowerPoint
2.50	50 1111	PMI and	\circ Tool · PMI (Group A)	slide
			$= \operatorname{Tesl}(\operatorname{SWOT}(\operatorname{Cream} \mathbb{R}))$	SILUC

Time	Duration	Programme	Details	Resources
		peer teaching	 Record their discussions on 	
			mahjong paper	
			 World café sharing 	
3.00	30 min	Break		Refreshments
2.20	20	A 17		Music
3.30	30 min	Activity 15:	 Tool: Targeting 2 Cond Sort was great for 	Target
		Turgeting 2	- Cald Soft was great for Discovery & Reporting:	boards
			• 'Searching for Success Zone	
			was great for Discovery &	
			Reporting':	
			• 'PMI was great for Discovery	
			& Reporting';	
			 'SWOT was great for 	
			Discovery & Reporting'.	
			 Identify 1 to 2 push factors 	
			and 1 to 2 pull factors	
1.00	45 .			
4.00	45 min	PBL Stage 4	* Solution Presentation & Deflection	Presentation
		Activity 10: Parformance	A Tool: Performance Dashboard	
		Dashboard	✤ Tool. Ferrormance	
		Dushbouru	Dashboard	
4.45	30 min	PBL Stage 5	* Overview. Integration &	
		Activity 17:	Evaluation	
		Reflections	Summarise the day's activities	
		and	 Highlight the stages of PBL linked 	
		Conclusion	to each thinking skill	
			 Meeting the Problem 	
			• Problem Analysis & Learning	
			Issues	
			• Independent Learning -	
			Discovery & Reporting, Solution	
			• Overview Integration &	
			Evaluation	
5.15	15 min	Activity 18:	✤ Invite participants to fill in 3-2-1	Reflection
		Evaluation	Reflection Sheet	Sheet
			✤ Farewell	

The following figures showed the outcome of our experiences during the workshop based on the problem scenario crafted in Activity 3. The Water Conservation scenario was

one of the core learning units for lower Secondary Social Studies students. The design of this collaborative inquiry experience as a strategy for adult learning had facilitated our understanding of the use of Thinking Tools within the same curriculum context, which paralleled that of students.



Getting it all warmed up



Classroom set up different areas serving different purposes



Visually Identified Relationship Activity



Collaborative learning: "What can you GIVE to the group? What do you want to TAKE from the group?"



Parking Lot for facilitation



Ample resources for individuals to 'fool around'



Affinity Diagram at work



Two sides to a Fish- Creative representation of Fish bone diagram



Whose Fish is *Fishi-er*?



Fiddling with FILA



Where do you stand on the linefrom unconsciously unskilled to unconsciously skilled?



Having FUN with Card Sort followed by Searching for Success Zone



Thinking in the Doing is found in *TaD* Tool (*Or is it Tattoo?*)

Imple-Proposel -1 putral ectruly 5- easy to imprement - real solution to get clean H,O quickly 0 - sell to thirsty countries - opparty to invent cheap alterative allanent

Sorting out SWOT

Figure 6: Series of photographs of the teachers' collaborative learning on 11th November

Boys' Brigade Life Skills Workshop on 13th and 14th November

Module 4: Values, Self-Image, Self-Esteem

In terms of content, the boys learnt how to identify and clarify their core values and express them in their daily life, understand the difference between self-image and selfesteem as well as make plans for success. The learning outcomes are: (1) learn that communicating one's values allows one to add value to the people around; and (2) reflect on the importance of these values by applying them in one's relationship with friends, parents, teachers and the community.

As this was a group of fourteen to fifteen year old boys that I was teaching for the first time, I was careful to 'test-waters' to observe their reactions. Personally, I felt that the boys enjoyed the process of using Thinking Tools allowed the boys some constructs to be engaged in more structured dialogue that focused more on inquiry. One observable merit of using the tools is that the boys were more mutually responsive to the different views expressed and were more disposed to be influenced by opinions based on some criteria as they merit acceptance or approval of ideas. Even when disagreement surfaced, the boys were able to reach some resolution together after debating and rebutting based on their original opinions. With increased understanding from working with three thinking strategies (i.e., brainstorming, hits, and card-sort), I was confident that the boys would be more receptive to be engaged with more tools for the following lesson.

Table 7: Programme and Facilitation Plan of Module 4: Values, Self-Image, Self-Esteem

Time	Duration	Programme	Details
7.30	10 min	Introduction	Share personal anecdote on what I treasured
			as a teenager

Time	Duration	Programme	Details
7.40	20 min	Activity 1:	What are the core values that guide your
		Brainstorming	life?
8.00	10 min	Activity 2:	Select your top 10 values that mean the
		Hits	most to you
8.20	20 min	Activity 3:	✤ Based on your top 10 values, rank them
		Card Sort	based on what teenagers value most
8.40	20 min	Discussion	 Self-image and Self-esteem
			Differentiating self-image and self-esteem
9.00	20 min	Activity 4:	Case Study discussion and problem
		Case Study	resolution
			• Think-pair share
9.20	10 min	Conclusion	✤ Reflection



Get real... we are going to be THINKING ??!@+#



Brainstorming on Values and Top 10 Hits



Card Sort on "What do teenagers value most today?"

Figure 7: Series of photographs of Life Skill lesson on Values, Self-Image, Self-Esteem (See Appendix G for approval to use the above images of Zhonghua Secondary School's Boys Brigade students)

Module 5: Passion

In terms of content, the boys learnt how to identify their passion in life, understand how to live life based on their passion, and set effective goals for themselves and visualize these goals into reality. The boys acquired some skills to achieve their goals they have set for themselves.

As the boys were more psychologically receptive to the use of Thinking Tools, I felt more confident to facilitate the learning with more tools and had the whole session designed with tools to facilitate their own self-discovery. This is aligned to the research findings in educational psychology that informs educators of the importance of appropriate scaffolding to bring about feelings of competence, motivation, and self-efficacy (Tan, 2004b, cited in Tan, Parsons, Hinson & Sardo-Brown, 2003).

One of the key process considerations was to provide more opportunities for the boys to report their observations and thinking to the whole class. Based on the earlier lesson, I was also aware that the boys tend to not take the ideas and learning processes seriously as it may risks them breaking with the "*culture of cool*" which mandated that extrinsic motivation was fine. For example, the boys were quick to vote for *money* as one of their top ten values (13th November) as the unspoken lingo that exhibited their extrinsic motivation was "I'm in it for the money". When one boy indicated his displeasure of their choice, the outpouring response from the rest indicated the unspoken group betrayal in the intrinsic importance of "serious" intellectual exchange.

Table 8: Programme and Facilitation Plan of Module 5: Passion

Time	Duration	Programme	Details
7.30	10 min	Warm up and	Summarise key learning areas on
		recall	Values and Self-esteem

Time	Duration	Programme	Details
7.40	30 min	Activity 1: Self Introduction with VIR	 Introduce new tool: VIR Visual Identified Relationship Choose a picture that best described your interest and passion in life
8.10	20 min	Activity 3: Webbing	 Case Study Identify the best problem statement to describe Jimmy's dilemma
8.30	30 min	Activity 2: SWOT	 Propose course of action with SMART acronym S- specific (clear & direct) M- Measurable (quality & quantity) A- Achievable (realistic) R- Relevant (motivation) T- Time-based (deadline) Analyze proposal using SWOT analysis
9.00	20 min	Activity 3: Cartoon Storyboarding	 Goal setting by describing the future vividly
9.20	10 min	Activity 4: PPCO	 Reflection on experience and future directions

Ser. H2 have diama di 0.0

Webbing: of abstraction to concretization



Sorting out SWOT



I have a dream.... Here's my Cartoon Story Board

Figure 8: Series of photographs of Life Skill lesson on Passion (See Appendix G for approval to use the above images of Zhonghua Secondary School's Boys Brigade students)

SECTION FIVE: KEY LEARNINGS

Introduction

This section reviews some of the key learnings derived from each phase of this project. Firstly, I would highlight some of challenges faced during facilitation in the two pertinent areas of content and process the earlier discussions with teachers who implemented PBL. Next, I would share on my thinking behind the design of the workshopphysical classroom setup and the modelling of facilitation skills. I would briefly provide an explanation on why the proposed operationalisation of thinking skills to the PBL stages (Figure 4) needed further thinking and testing for more meaningful implications. Finally a review on the feedback of the Thinking Tools would be presented based on the experiences of the teachers during the various sharing platforms.

Examination of the Challenges Teachers Faced During PBL

To provide a more complete perspective of the different levels of challenges that teachers struggle, I thought it was also useful to gather some primary source of information from the teachers themselves to supplement the literature review. As part of preparing participants for the Sharing Session on 29th October, I emailed an article that on Praise First with PPCO for two purposes: to give them a sense of working independently through an evaluative Thinking Tool as well as gathering their responses on their individual PBL experience (Appendix E). In addition, I invited some of the more expressive participants to fill-in a more detailed and focused worksheet (Appendix F) to consolidate their thoughts on areas of challenges during facilitation in PBL.

All the teacher-participants found that the most difficult challenges were the need to change the teachers' own mindset towards facilitation as well as the evident lack of skillsets to bring about what Tan (2004b) highlighted as the pedagogical transition from content coverage to problem engagement. Other frequently raised concerns can be broadly clustered below in terms of content and process:

1. Content:

- Designing and dealing with unstructured problems (either real-world or simulated complex problems);
- Ensuring students' learn the specific content knowledge as specified in the subject syllabus;
- Scaffolding learning to drive students towards becoming better independent learners.

2. Process:

- Managing multiple perspectives and transferring of crossdisciplinary knowledge;
- Challenging students' current knowledge, attitudes, and competencies;
- Ensuring collaborative, communicative and cooperative learning;
- Acquiring inquiry and problem solving skills.

The workshop on the 11th Novembers was designed with an iterative structure of repeated practice of different tools. To help overcome some of the above challenges, participants also had to collaborate to problem solve and work through experientially the above concerns to gain a deeper understanding from the students' perspective.

Optimal and Creative Use of Space to Influence Behaviour

A skilled facilitator needs to remember that since humans are creatures of habit and so if we want a change in behaviour or habit, something must change in the environment to trigger that change. With consideration of how the participants can gain the most of this immersive environment for learning, I intentionally design for the classroom to bring about more space for interaction in order to foster the kinds of behaviour that will enhance the PBL learning experience. Details such as dividing the room into different work areas were planned before hand. For example, the group areas are for convergent work such as decision-making, small group spaces to facilitate collaborative behaviours and whole group space for exploring new ideas and experiential learning.



Figure 9: Classroom re-designed

Teacher Modelling

Bandura(1986) suggests that modeling good attitudes and behaviours, such as problem solving, can be a good way to foster the invitational environment. This is especially useful since Irene and myself are both experience PBL practitioners thus as we set the expectation for complete honesty during the interaction, we openly shared our successful and less successful experience in the hope to establish a higher of trust in the facilitative process as well as setting the climate for partnership. In the beginning, I explained the use of a dedicated flip chart intended to capture observed facilitative behaviours as well as learning points based on the on-going discussions.

Another three Parking Lot charts (one for each group and one for the Facilitator) were created to help participants develop a trusting relationship with three intentions: (1) establish trust between participants by affirming the freedom to think- for all to freely raise any questions, concerns or ideas and that the teacher may respond publicly to foster open communications, (2) establish trust in the process where participants have the space to park their thoughts for the right time to address; and (3) for peer-to-peer interaction so that participants learn the value of listening, focusing on the topic, questioning each other, responding positively, building on each others' ideas, develop consensus and so forth.

One of the feedbacks from the participants was the effectiveness of how we behaved as facilitators of the process. The participant remarked that the high level of assertiveness on the process was note-worthy. As part of modelling positive behaviours that fostered an open participation, we were mindful in ensuring that we constantly stay on track, providing feedback and collecting ideas by inviting participants to scribe their thoughts and post them in the parking lots at situated periods during the sessions.



Figure 10: Getting participants to switch roles to debrief

Tools for Formative Assessment

For the workshop on 11th November, I intentionally designed some activities to model different ways of assessing students' learning, beyond the commonly practiced penand-paper modes. The three Tools described below, though seemingly open-ended, allowed participants to demonstrate competence in a variety of ways. More importantly, I thought that such assessments may reflect higher-order thinking skills along with the use of a broad range of knowledge. The workshop was a platform for the participants to experience these adapted authentic assessments to help students take more responsibility for their learning and thinking.

Floor Targeting Tool

For starters, participants warmed up to assess their levels of facilitation skills in the beginning of the workshop by simply standing along a masking tape line on the floor. Deceptively a simple tool, participants reflected that much of the work prior to taking a stand required them to actively process their prior experience before engaging in a conversation with others to self-evaluate their own level of competency. One of the comments that were posted in the parking lot stated that this activity was fun as it was

kinesthetic in nature and allowed the students to focus their own thinking before comparing with others. As I reviewed this remark during the reflection, participants also noted that this activity may be less feasible and manageable in a classroom setting of 40 students.

After lunch, this activity was repeated with a new twist. Earlier in the session, I shared the 4 levels of facilitation skills articulated by Puccio et al. (2007), i.e., unconsciously unskilled, consciously unskilled, consciously skilled and unconsciously skilled. With a card indicating each of the levels, participants were invited now to re-evaluate their position on the line. This activity was designed to reinforce the earlier concept of the four levels as well as to demonstrate that spiral progression of learning can take place by building systematically on participants' previous experience. In facilitating the discussion around this tool, participants reviewed that this could be a more kinesthetic mode of exemplifying rubrics markers as students could benefit by appreciating the benchmarks. Another participant expressed that this activity allowed the 'freedom' to change her position as she was free to change her mind and took a stand based on her personal evaluation. This invited a comment that this tool may not be appropriate for young children as they may not be ready to "have a personal voice" and would have a tendency to adopt a herd mentality for fear of sticking out from the rest.

3-2-1-1 Feedback Sheet

As a summative activity for the workshop on 11th November, we requested the participants to pen down their preferences on the various tools (Appendix G). For the row of *3 tools I prefer*, the responses were varied with all the tools that were shared indicated. The top four tools in order of preference (in terms of number of indications) were as

follows: Affinity Diagram, FILA, Card Sort/ Searching for Success Zone, Excursion and Targeting. For the column on 2 tools I would use in my PBL lessons as well as 1 tool I would like to share with my colleague received varied responses from participants. However, eight out of ten participants indicated Affinity Diagram as a preference in both columns. Interestingly, besides the Thinking Tools that were shared, two teachers indicated Parking Lots, one teacher noted use of post-its as well as another teacher who wrote How-How/Why-why for ideation. Finally, for 1 tool I would like to know about six teachers indicated the use of Performance dashboard while two wrote Excursion and another teacher indicated Socratic question strategies.

Targeting on Tools

As a quick method to gather quick feedback of the Thinking Tools, participants were provided with cartoon stickers for all to use as 'darts' on the target in relation to the ideal state. For the purpose of assessing the compatibility of each of the Thinking Tools to stages of PBL, all the ideal states were similarly framed as the following examples- *Affinity Diagram was GREAT for Meeting the Problem, Searching for Success Zones was GREAT for Searching and Discovery*, etc. After darting their on the board, participants were next invited to write on different coloured post-its considerations in terms of Pull and Push factors. For example, with the ideal statement: *Fishbone Diagram was GREAT for Meeting the Problem*, the Pull factors posted include the following- *neat way to categorise the facts, allow collaboration, good way to extend thinking, structurally appealing and organised, easy to categorise*. The Push factors for the same ideal statement included the following- *not easy to write headings and sub-headings, don't know how to group facts*,

need to generate ideas before categorizing, and too tedious to keep branching out. Participants were also informed that Targeting may also take on a problem solving approach by extending the thinking from the Push and Pull factors by either strengthening the pull factors or converting the push factors into "how to..." statements to brainstorm on possible solutions.



Figure 11: Targeting as a formative assessment tool

Thinking Tools Exposed

For the purpose of this project submission, I would only highlight the key learnings gathered from the use of 'traditional' PBL tools (i.e., FILA and KWL template) as well as that of Affinity Diagram. These two tools were selected to provide a comparison of how the 'real-world' Thinking Tools can either complement the traditional tools or serve as a stand-alone tool to enhance the PBL process. Other key learnings are consolidated for further refinement for the development of the training package. I conclude with discussion on a new Thinking Tool- TaD Tool (Thinking about Doing) that was created out of a comment that negatively slanted the earlier sharing session.

FILA and KWL Templates

Both templates are strategies that form the core of the inquiry process being used in a PBL. The templates- FILA (i.e., Facts, Ideas, Learning issues, Action plan) and KWL (i.e., what you Know, what you Want to know, what are the Learning Issues) are of a more overarching nature that may be a good long-term strategizing tool which provide a guided structure for the entire unit.

However teachers have shared that it may be an uphill task to get students to trust in the process and even more difficult at times to help students become active participants in their own learning. Some of the teachers share that it is also mentally exhausting to get the templates completed as it tends to be time consuming especially with the time spent on planning, monitoring progress and evaluation. One of the main resisters to PBL is that it is far more difficult to shed the "old hat" of being a disseminator of information then to guide students toward discovering some of their own answers. This is especially so since most teachers fear that students may have missed some of the important content knowledge through PBL. Barell (2003) noted that for a successful implementation of PBL, the teacher needs to invest more time in structuring the process, monitoring progress and guide students to figure out the learning.

OTO- A Simpler Strategy for Younger Students

In response to the earlier concerns on FILA and KWL, one of the Master Teacher who served as a critical friend during the workshop, suggested the use of another simpler tool to replace FILA and KWL for younger children. Due to the extensiveness of the earlier templates, many students may find the information gathering and organizing process

too overwhelming for younger students. An alternative strategy that teachers can use in class to get younger kids to "tune-in" to the inquiry process could be Observe-Think-Question (O-T-O). The O-T-O strategy is a simpler three-step process that helps students become more sensitive to the need to get the facts first and then drawing some preliminary conclusions. The three steps as proposed and described in Barrell (2007, p. 89):

- 1. **Observe:** What do you notice about any specific object or situation? Make close observations, not inferences. Observations can be verified firsthand; they are what everyone can agree on. Inferences are conclusions based on data or evidence.
- 2. Think: Relate what you observe to what you already know about the subject. What similarities and differences do you notice between what you experience and what you have stored in memory? Are you noticing different aspects or elements? Did you recall experiences in a different way?
- 3. **Question:** What curiosities arise from your investigations? What questions emerge from your comparisons between present or more recent experience and what you assumed to be true? Use these to propel the inquiry project.

Affinity Diagram Thinking Tool

Most participants found that the Affinity Diagram was a fast and simple way to gather a large amount of data and ideas and then organise them into groups. All the participants agreed that in terms of facilitation, this tool was a useful strategy to allow everybody to participate and contribute. Of all the tools, almost all wrote that they would be using this tool to provide a *voice* to students who are very quiet.
Participants were of the same opinion that Affinity Diagram was a great way to engage students independently to observe, think, and shift their ideas and facts while collaboratively organizing them. However, some participants were concerned that students may not have the language proficiency or more specifically, lack the linguistic vocabulary to do the following tasks: select the items pertinent to the problem and/ or classify the facts into discrete categories. On a higher level, some may grapple with a common understanding of appropriate headings. Others raised the concern that for some studentsespecially the younger ones, a high level of conceptual thinking may be the biggest challenge students' face during the classification and selection of appropriate heading for each cluster. Also, since the tool is *voiceless*, the lack of explanation on what is written may also be subject to many different interpretations.

A New Tool is Born- TaD Tool (Thinking about Doing)

As mentioned earlier, the written comment from the sharing session on 29th October, "There were no thinking skills taught. It was a waste of time!" inspired me to incubate on how best possible to make the thinking even more explicit during the experiential learning process. Deliberating on the proposed mapping of each Thinking Skill to the PBL stages (refer to Figure 4), the insights proved that it may be a futile effort to force the connections between the skills to the PBL stages as more time was needed to ensure the clear relevance for each linkage. However, this was not to refute or disprove the fundamental thinking of the prior proposition of the various thinking skill/s to each PBL stage. With further thinking into the rationale of the earlier remarks, I realized that participants expected more *tangible* outcomes from the session. Most would expect that the immediate takeaways

63

could be directly applied back in the classroom, rather than merely acquiring a conceptual understanding of thinking skills. Therefore, the above reasons led me to facilitate the fullday workshop with an approach geared towards creating a more hands-on experience by working through the Thinking Tools to experience the facilitation component of PBL.

More importantly, I also wanted to harness the value of the metacognitive processes behind the *doing* element while manipulating the tools. It therefore made sense for the participants to differentiate the two aspects of *thinking* and *acting* after working through each of the tools. A list of adjectives were compiled from different frameworks and models of thinking skills, eg., Revised Bloom's Taxonomy, Four Frames of Knowledge, and Durrell's list of mental activities (1943). Some of the adjectives prepared for this activity include the following: comparing, categorising, eliminating, connecting, evaluating, choosing, processing, prioritising, differentiating, organising, summarising, inferring, interpreting, recognising, critiquing, writing, listening, debating, researching, feeling, demonstrating, experimenting, manipulating, remembering, understanding, applying, listing, tabulating, predicting, classifying, concluding, and actualising. Although the participants remarked that the exercise was mentally exhausting with the repetition of differentiating the various adjectives to the *Doing* and *Thinking* columns. However, they recognised none-the-less, that the purpose of making thinking "visible" by working through each tools was very valuable.

TaD could be further developed as a Thinking Tool with the potential to explore how it can be used to "right-size" the type, breath and depth of thinking skills as a curriculum design model. Broadly, it could be an integrative tool that shows how different aspects of thinking are related and how they can be effectively orchestrated by providing a

64

"common context" to differentiate between operations in doing and thinking. Specifically, TaD could provide a visual representation of the thinking skills used in a wide variety of teaching and learning activities. Another level of integration could also be to leverage the different thinking skills to align various disciplinary outcomes for each subject. For example, a teacher may require students to write a proposal as part of a PBL unit plan. The teacher may choose to focus on the skills of analysis and evaluation for this proposal writing task. With identifying the various arguments, the teacher could still evaluate the quality of the arguments and respond to views and ideas in a more critical and informed manner.



Figure 12: TaD Tool (Thinking about Tools)

SECTION 6: CONCLUSION

What Have We Been Thinking about Thinking?

"To be proficient in a thinking skill or strategy means to be able to use that operation effectively and efficiently on one's own in a variety of appropriate contexts." (Beyer, 1987, p.163)

The 1980s saw an emphasis on the "teaching of thinking" as a relatively new concept (Resnick, 1987; Costa & Lowery, 1989). Tan (2004b, p.208) noted that "two decades or so of research on teaching thinking points to a confluence of greater understanding of the individual as thinker and the importance of thinking about thinking (i.e., metacognition)." In the spirit of *Teach Less, Learn More*, I am arguing that before teachers study how various pedagogic interventions can increase the "visibility" of their students' cognition, teachers themselves need to be also mindful of their own thinking.

Lee and Tan (2004) noted that recent research on constructivist models of teaching and learning showed evidence that student learn more effectively and achieve better when challenged to construct meaning, process relationships among ideas, solve problems, and make decisions. On fostering a learning culture with critical openess, they rightly pointed out that:

The paradox of collaborative learning is that, through the process of interacting with others, individuals rediscover themselves and their perspectives expands. If collaboration is to provide a way for participants to negotiate multiple positions, it must involve two recursive moves: a dialectical encounter with an "other" (person or idea) and a reflexive engagement with self. (2004, p.135)

This is especially important for PBL since students learn intrinsically from how their teachers model the process of learning by make their own thinking "visible" (Tan, 2004b, p.1).

Personally, one of the biggest takeaway from the workshops was to repeatedly witness how *thinking* was enhanced through the use of Thinking Tools to bring about collaborative learning. A participant commented that when she was placed in a group setting, she was able to appreciate how she was learning better as a learner with the others walking alongside her. Elaborating on, she admitted that she struggled initially with the tension arising from interacting with diverse personalities in her group. However she recognised that it was precisely this difference in perspectives that made her learning more insightful. A more experienced teacher echoed the same sentiments in the context of the many learning styles of his students in the classroom. He acknowledged that though it was difficult, he found it most rewarding when he was able to tap on the students' individual strengths to motivate them to stay on task. He further shared his reflections on how the older generation of teachers struggled with PBL primarily because of the distinct shift in the "locus of authority" in a PBL classroom to become more consultative and facilitative. However, he learnt that it was most fulfilling when he learn to "let go" and as a result he begin to observe how some students became more articulated with higher level of social and intellectual maturity.

From the feedback gathered from participants, many felt that the Thinking Tools had helped them to level up their own cognitive coaching skills. All participants concurred that the cognitive process could also be strengthened and made more accessible if the students themselves are equipped with the Tools for greater self-directed learning in PBL. Collaboratively, an awareness of the different thinking skills may better bring about higherorder thinking through mutual exploration, meaning-making and feedback.

67

Steps Forward

Using Thinking Tools to Build Teacher Learning Communities

As Thinking Tools in PBL is still a concept in the germination phase, I am thankful to begin working with a group of teachers who are also passionate about cognitive facilitation. Together, we would like to strengthen our partnership with more regular exchanges. Our mutual agreement is to design and share resources on how we can implement thinking strategies in the curriculum with the tools. We hope that though our collaboration, the long term value would be to provide a forum to build the tools and knowledge base.

The advent of information technology opens up a myriad of new possibilities to learning. Although Chen & Tan (2002) reviewed that these technologies remain largely untapped in advancing PBL, I am keen to explore how best to leverage on some Web 2.0 online tools as a start for casual connections. Hopefully, this may start to direct richer online discussions and information sharing. This may be done in partnership with friends from the Oracle Foundation (Singapore) that supports the use of technology in Projectbased learning.

Building Programme Coherence

I plan to partner some Singapore schools to study how Thinking Tools as instructional strategies, can be integrated as part of their PBL unit plans. Through these curriculum partnerships, it would be meaningful to examine the extent to which these tools may be effectively infused as part of their PBL curriculum.

68

Future Opportunities

Tan (2004b) opined that the best way forward for PBL practices would be through the "psychological perspective" (p.208). He argued that PBL models should be developed and rooted in research on understanding of cognitive functions, metacognition, cognitive coaching, and problem solving (Gijselaers, 1996; Tan, 2003). My current thoughts would be to carry out two action researches by partnering with schools. Firstly, I wish to research on the effects of Thinking Tools on student's level of engagement during PBL lessons. In addition, I would like to carry out a qualitative study on how training workshops for teachers on *Thinking Tools for Facilitation* would enhance their facilitation skills.

Finally, to advance the concept further, I hope to share the findings through paper presentations in conferences. Two of the conferences worth considering would be the Singapore Republic Polytechnic's International PBL Symposium (refer to http://www.rp.sg/symposium/2009/) and the 14th International Conference on Thinking (refer to http://www.14ththinkingconference.com/) in 2009.

REFERENCES

- Albanese, M. A. (2000). Problem-based learning: Why curricula are likely to show little effect on knowledge and clinical skills. *Medical Education*, *34*, 729-738.
- Barbero-Switalski, L. (2003). Evaluating and organizing thinking tools in relationship to the CPS framework. Unpublished master's project, State University of New York, Buffalo.
- Barell, J. (2003). Developing more curious minds. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Barell, J. (2007). Problem-based learning- An inquiry approach (2nd ed.). California: Corwin Press, Sage Publication.
- Beyer, B. K. (1987). Practical strategies for teaching of thinking. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Beyer, B. K. (2001). What research says about teaching thinking skills. In A. Costa (Ed.), *Developing minds* (pp. 275 - 284). Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Chen, A. Y., & Tan, O. S. (2002). Towards a blended design for e-learning. *Centre for Development of Teaching and Learning Brief*, *5*, 6-8.

- Costa, A. L., & Lowery, L. F. (1989). *Techniques for teaching thinking*. Pacific Grove, CA: Midwest.
- Cohen, J. (1971). *Thinking*. Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Davis, G. A. (2004). Creativity is forever. Iowa: Kendall / Hunt Publishing Company.
- Delisle, R. (1997). *How to use problem-based learning in the classroom*. Alexandria, Virginia: ASCD.
- Dressel, P. L., & Mayhew, L. B. (1954). *General education: Exploration in evaluation*.Final report of the Cooperative Study of Evaluation in General Education.Washington, DC: American Council on Education.
- Duffy, T. M., & Cunningham, D. J. (1996). Constructivism: Implications for the design and delivery of instruction. In D. H. Jonassen (Ed.), *Handbook of research for educational communications and technology* (pp. 170-198). New York: Macmillan.
- Durrell, D. D. (1943). Language and higher mental processess. *Review of Educational Research*, *13*(2), 110-114.
- Finkle, S. L., & Torp, L. L. (1995). *Introductory Documents*. Illinois: Center for Problem-Based Learning, Illinois Math and Science Academy.

- Fisher, R. (1998). *Teaching thinking: Philosophical enquiry in the classroom*. London, New York: Continuum.
- Fogarty, R. (1997). *Problem-based learning and other curriculum models for the multiple intelligences classroom*. Melbourne: Hawker Brownlow Education.
- Forrester, V., & Wu, Y. W. (2004). Exploring the cognitive processes of Problem-based learning. In O. S. Tan (Ed.), *Enhancing thinking through Problem-based learning* approaches: International perspectives (pp. 64 - 77). Singapore: Thomson Learning.
- Fredericks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). School engagement: potential of the concept, state of the evidence. *Review of Educational Research*, 74(1), 59-109.
- Feurstein, R., & Feuerstein, S. (1991). Mediated learning experience: A theoretical review.
 In P. S. K. R. Feurstein, & A.J.Tannenbaum (Ed.), *Mediated learning experience* (*MLE*): Theoretical, psychosocial and learning implications (pp. 3-51). London: Freund.
- Gardner, H. (1983). *Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences*. New York: Basic Books.

- Gijselaers, W. H. (1996). Connecting Problem-based practices with educational theory. In
 L. Wilkerson & W. H. Gijselaers (Eds.), *Bringing Problem-based learning to higher education: Theory and practice* (Vol. 68, pp. 13-21). San Francisco: JosseyBass.
- Grabinger, R. (1996). Rich environments for active learning. In D. H. Jonassen (Ed.), *Handbook of research for educational communications and technology* (pp. 665-692). New York: Macmillan.
- Hmelo-Silver, C. E., Chernobilsky, E., & DaCosta, M. C. (2004). Psychological tools in Problem-based Learning. In O. S. Tan (Ed.), *Enhancing thinking through Problembased learning approaches* (pp. 15-37). Singapore.
- Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Smith, K. A. (1998). Cooperative learning returns to college: What evidence is there that it works? *Change*, 30, 26-35.
- Kaufmann, G. (1998). Problem solving and creativity. In K. Gronhaug & G. Kaufmann (Eds.), *Innovation: A cross disciplinary perspective* (pp. 87 - 137). Oslo, Norway: Norwegian University Press.
- Lee, M. G. C., & Tan, O. S. (2004). Collaboration, dialogue, and critical openness through Poblem-based learning processes. In O. S. Tan (Ed.), *Enhancing Thinking through*

Incorporating Thinking Tools to Enhance Facilitation of Problem-Based Learning *Problem-based learning approaches: International perspectives*. Singapore: Thomson Learning.

- Marincovich, M. (2000). Problems and promises in problem-based learning. In O. S. Tan, P. Little, S. Y. Hee & J. Conway (Eds.), *Problem-based learning: Educational innovation across disciplines* (pp. 3-11). Singapore: Temasek Centre for Problem-Based Learning.
- Marzano, R. J. et al. (1988). *Dimensions of thinking: A framework for curriculum and instruction*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Murdock, M., & Keller-Mathers, S. (2008). Teaching and learning creatively with the Torrance Incubation Model: A research and practice update. *The International Journal of Creativity and Problem solving 18*(2), 11-33.

Perkins, D. N. (1992). Smart schools. London: The Free Press.

Presseisen, B. Z. (2001). Thinking skills: Meanings and models revisited. In A. L. Costa (Ed.), *Developing minds: A resource book for teaching thinking* (pp. 47-57).
Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

- Puccio, G., Murdock, M. C., & Mance, M. (2005). Current developments in creative problem solving for organisations: A focus on thinking skills and styles. *The Korean Journal of Creative Behaviour, 34*, 227-247.
- Puccio, G. J., Murdock, M. C., & Mance, M. (2007). Creative leadership: Skills that drive change. Three Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Resnick, L. B. (1987). *Education and learning to think*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Rhodes, M. (1961). An analysis of creativity. Phi Delta Kapan, 42, 305-310.

Russell, J., Mackay, A., & Jane, G. (2003). *Mesages from the myrad: Improving the middle years of schooling*. Jolimont, Melbourne: IARTV.

Samford University (n.d.). Problem-based learning. http://www.samford.edu/pbl.what.html.

- Savin-Baden, M., & Wilkie, K. (2006). *Problem-based learning online*: McGraw-Hill International.
- Simonton, D. K. (1988). Creativity, .leadership, and chance. In R. J. Sternberg (Ed.), *The nature of creativity*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

- Simonton, D. K. (1990). History, chemistry, psychology, and genius: An intellectual autobiography of histrionomy. In M. A. Runco & R. S. Albert (Eds.), *Theories of creativity*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Stepien, W. J., & Gallagher, S. A. (1993). Problem-based learning: As authentic as it gets. *Educational Leadership*, 50, 25-28.
- Suthers, D., & Hundhausen, C. (2001). Learning by constructing collaborative representations: An empirical comparison of three alternatives. In P. Dillenbourg, A. Eurelings & K. Hakkarainen (Eds.), *Proceedings of the First European Conference on Computer-supported Collaborative Learning* (pp. 577-584). Maastricht: Universiteit Maastricht.

Swartz, R. J. (2008). Energizing learning. Educational Leadership, 65, 26-31.

- Tan, O. S. (2001). PBL innovation: An institution-wide implementation and students' experiences. Paper presented at the On problem based learning: Experience, empowerment and evidence, 3rd Asia Pacific Conference on Problem Based Learning.
- Tan, O. S. (2000). Problem-based learning: Educational innovation across disciplines: A collection of selected papers. Singapore: Temasek Centre for Problem-Based Learning, Temasek Polytechnic.

- Tan, O. S. (2003). Problem-based learning innovation: Using problems to power learning in the 21st century. Singapore: Thomson Learning.
- Tan, O. S. (2004a). Cognition, metacognition, and Problem-based learning. In O. S. Tan (Ed.), *Enhancing thinking through Problem-based learning approaches: International perspectives* (pp. 1-16). Singapore: Thomson Learning.
- Tan, O. S. (2004b). Looking ahead: The best way forward for Problem-based learning approaches. In O. S. Tan (Ed.), *Enhancing thinking through Problem-based learning approaches: International perspectives* (pp. 203-210). Singapore: Thomson Learning.
- Tan, O. S. (2008). PBL Across Disciplines: State-of-the-art, Challenges and Future Developments [Electronic Version]. Retrieved 18 Sep 2008, from <u>http://www.cmu.edu.cn/2008pbl/upl_files/20087181575999.pdf</u>
- Tan, O.S., Parsons, R.D., Hinson, S.L., & Sardo-Brown, D. (2003). Educational psychology: A practitioner-researcher approach (An Asian edition). Singapore: Thomson Learning.
- Treffinger, D. J. (2002). The future of creativity: The University of Georgia Dr. E. Paul Torrance Annual Lectures on Creativity. In A. G. Aleinikov (Ed.). Athens, GA: Torrance Center, University of Georgia.

Torrance, E. P. (1995). Why fly: A philosophy of creativity. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.

Torrance, E., & Safter, H. T. (1990). *The incubation model of teaching*. Buffalo, NY: Bearly Limited.

Wallas, G. (1926). The art of thought. New York: Franklin Watts.

Wee, K. N. L. (2002). Authentic Problem-Based Learning: Pearson Prentice Hall.

- Wessinger, P. A. (2004). Critical thinking, metacognition, and Problem-based learning. In
 O. S. Tan (Ed.), *Enhancing thinking through Problem-based learning approaches: International perspectives* (pp. 39-61). Singapore: Thomson Learning.
- Wood, P. K. (1997). Assessment measures: Critical thinking assessment at the University of Missouri, Columbia. *Assessment Update*, *9*, 11-13.
- Wu, Y. W., & Forrester, V. (2004). Exploring the cognitive processes of Problem-based Learning and their relationship to talent development. In O. S. Tan (Ed.), *Enhancing thinking through Problem-based learning approaches: International perspectives* (pp. 63-77). Singapore: Thomson Learning.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Concept Paper

Designing a Training Package Incorporating Thinking Tools in Problem-based Learning

Name: John Yeo

Date Submitted: 9 September 08

Project Type Use a Skill to Improve the Quality of Life for Others

What Is This Project About?

This project is about designing a training package that incorporates some of the Thinking Tools from CRS 614 to enhance effectiveness of facilitating Problem-based learning (PBL) for teachers and students. In the spirit of learning to be a reflective practitioner, I am synthesising an approach to increase flexibility of the teacher-facilitator by equipping them with a wider range of tools. Through the use of thinking tools, this package aims to foster new relationships between teacher-facilitator and students through the development of student-led enquiry-based learning activities. The overarching goal is to support young people's development of thinking skills through PBL to foster greater responsibility and autonomy in their learning.

Rationale for Choice:

I strive to utilize the rich learning from the Masters program in Creativity and Change Leadership to apply within a pertinent professional area. I am designing this project with direct relevance to my role as curriculum partner for the TLLM Research Activist scheme. My partnership with the RAs who have selected Problem-based Learning as curriculum innovation has led to opportunities to help them design and implement their research. Classroom observations of teachers facilitating the PBL process had also further deepen my understanding of the intricacies of 'operationalising' PBL in different classroom context.

Problem-Based Learning

Tan (2008) in his keynote speech address at the 7th Asia-Pacific Conference on PBL in Shenyang, China, noted that PBL is a highly learner-centred pedagogy. In his slides, he highlighted that PBL integrate advances in cognitive, psychoneurological and learning sciences. He also shared that PBL is able to demonstrated efficacy in knowledge construction and application with deeper awareness of the range of cognitive functions and allows students to apply them within rich and meaningful problem solving situation.

The use of PBL allows teachers to 'design new learning milieus and curricula that really encourage motivation and independence so as to equip students with learning, thinking and problem solving skills' through contextualization of content knowledge (Tan, 2003).

In a typical PBL process (Tan, 2002c) PBL schema will include the following stages:

- Meeting the problem
- Problem analysis and learning issues
- Discovery and reporting
- Solution presentation and reflection
- Overview, integration and evaluation

Most PBL practitioners introduce tools (usually as a template format) to map students' planning and guide for discussion. This is evidently, and generally very useful, to direct focus on the learning objectives during the second stage of problem analysis and learning issues. Working independently, students are encouraged to ideate and analyze the problem, followed by identifying the learning issues and formulating the learning objectives before coming together as a group to establish consensuses on their learning issues. The collective effort to think together, with appropriate peer feedback and evaluation, would further enhance their ideational and analytical ability.

Common tools/templates used in a typical PBL lessons include

- **KNL:** What we **KNOW**, what we **NEED** to know, **LEARNING** issues
- > *IFL*: List of **IDEAS**, list of **FACTS**, **LEARNING** issues
- > *SINI:* What is the **situation in need of improvement**?
 - Formulating hypotheses, list of learning needs
- **KIND:** We KNOW, our IDEAS, we NEED to know, our to DO list
- FILA: Facts, Ideas, Issues, Actions
- *KWHLAQ:* What do we think we KNOW, what do we WANT to find out, HOW will we go about finding out, what do we expect to LEARN, how will we APPLY what we learn, what new QUESTIONS do we have following our inquiry.

Ineffective Facilitation

Earlier workshops to train the RAs on the PBL pedagogy were useful- evident in their confidence to design PBL lesson plans incorporating some of the above tools. However, the workshops did not equip them with sufficient experience to effectively manage the thinking process. Lesson observations indicated strongly that many of these first time PBL teacher-facilitators exhibited behaviours of a 'consciously skilled' facilitator (Puccio et al., 2007). Many of the RAs were challenged in areas such as effective questioning skills, providing quality responses, managing students' ability to 'flow' in the process of interaction and reflection.

Though they had well prepared lesson plans, some of the lessons were clearly unable to reap the intended outcomes of engaged learning in the students. This is especially detrimental as PBL as an inquiry process places students as active learners with the role of "problem solvers confronted with an ill-structured problem that mirrors real-world problems" (Finkle and Torp, 1995). This poses potential problems of the perception of PBL as a pedagogy being ineffective if it is unable to help students attain the learning objectives,

and consequently affects the motivation and thus engagement to use PBL. Taking a broader perspective, Russell et al. (2005) listed the following factors that may enhance student engagement: nature of tasks, context, teacher-student relationships, pedagogy, classroom climate and support from school leadership – all critically affecting the success of a well designed and effectively implemented PBL lesson. This project aims to improve the problem of insufficient awareness of different thinking skills to meet the needs of different stages of PBL.

Many of the above factors could be addressed further by with more creative facilitation by raising the facilitation skills of the teachers, I am of the thinking that the problem could be co-owned by the students if they too are equipped with the 'know-how' for greater self-directed learning within the PBL process. This self direction could be driven if both teachers and students are able to identify the necessary thinking skill to match the appropriate corresponding stages during problem solving. I addition, if students are well equipped with the range of thinking skills that can be developed with teacher guidance or peer collaboration, the learning may well exceed what can be attained alone.

Differentiating Types of Thinking

This project on Thinking Skills into PBL is a direct application of the Masters program as it aligns with Treffinger's (2002, p19) areas for 'research and development that will continue to "advance creativity in education". One of the areas he highlighted was the need for studies on the effectiveness of contemporary CPS with young people. He noted that recent research on constructivist models of teaching and learning showed evidence that studentns learn more effectively and achieve better when challenged to construct meaning, process relationships among ideas, solve problems, and make decisions. He also cited the encouraging works of Puccio and Keller-Mathers done with primary grades (Puccio, 1994; Puccio, Keller-Mathers, & Treffinger, 2000).

According to Puccio et al. (2007), a Thinking Tool can be defined as "a structured strategy to focus, organise, and guide an individual or group's thinking. (p.95) Switalski, L.B. (2003) organised and categorised a set of thinking tools reviewed from a range of management and decision making processes in her Masters Project on 'Evaluating and Organising Thinking Tools in Relationship to the CPS Framework'. The table below list her definition of each of the Thinking Skills.

Thinking Skills	Definitions
Visionary thinking	Describing a vivid and concrete picture of the desired future
Diagnostic thinking	Examining situation closely by using this analysis to decide
	what process step to take next
Strategic thinking	Identifying the critical gaps and the pathways that need to be
	followed to attain the desired outcomes
Ideational thinking	Producing original mental images & thoughts that respond to
	challenges or opportunities
Evaluative thinking	Assessing the reasonableness and quality of ideas in order to
	develop workable solutions

Contextual thinking	Understanding the interrelated conditions & circumstances	
	that will support or hinder success	
Tactical thinking	Devising a plan & measurable steps for attaining end & monitoring its effectiveness	

By explicitly highlighting these thinking skills in the PBL process, the team could further collaborate for developing cognitive interactions and iterations pertaining to scanning the environment, understanding the problem, gathering key data and analysing them, and elaborating on solutions. These thinking skills may more meaningfully frame group dialogues to ensure that the students are not locked into their own limited or prejudiced perspectives, thus useful for developing creative and critical thinking, and purposeful reflection.

Project Design

Based on the Creative Problem Solving-Thinking Skills Model, I would like to tentatively propose the following operationalisation of this concept:

Stage of Problem-based Learning	Thinking Skills	
Meeting the problem	Visionary thinking & Diagnostic thinking	
Problem analysis and learning issues	Strategic thinking	
Discovery and reporting	Ideational & Evaluative thinking	
Solution presentation	Contextual thinking	
Overview, integration and evaluation	Tactical thinking	

Challenges

One of the key challenges identified would be to assess and ensure the age appropriateness of the language of each of the thinking types. This would require further thinking of whether there lies a need to simplify the terms for comprehension of upper secondary school students (15-16 year olds) and how scaffolding needs be considered for the child to relate and grasp meaning of each term.

Another challenge would be the change in interaction – ie. Teacher with tools, teachers communication to students, and students to tools, with the introduction of new tools. It is understood that although tools in itself are neutral, yet, the tools would change expectations of what the tools should deliver as well as how it should improve the learning process. Thus the conceptualization behind the package needs to lead to a clear framework to provide a meaningful learning experience for all.

Opportunities

This Masters project lends itself nicely to inform the educational practice with research on how the Thinking Skills will impact students ability to think better. Many have written that crtical thinking involves reasoning about ill-structured problems, whereas problem solving is perceived to be narrower in scope. The argument may persist, but in the interest of this project, the intended outcome aims to improve Trenffinger's idea of productive thinkingwhich would encompass critical thinking, problem solving and also decision making. A case in point is that some students have a tendency to jump quickly into solution finding once presented with the problem scenario in a typical PBL class. With a better awareness of the types of thinking, a student would better probably choose to assess the situation first based on the Thinking Skill Model of Creative Problem Solving (CPS). Thereafter, the person has a choice of deciding what thinking skill is most appropriate and plan appropriate interventions in their PBL learning cycle.

On the other hand, this project designed intentionally to introduce the CPS model briefly as the basis for a recommended sequence for the thinking skills as well as with short reference of how each of the Thinking Skills fit into a broader framework of CPS. The purpose of introducing Thinking Skills within PBL as the "building blocks" is to allow teachers and students to experience in depth what each of the tools can structure greater focus and organization of thoughts, thus allowing 'space' to scale up to higher levels of interactions between the Thinking Skills within CPS at a later stage. At the same time, this would permit teachers and students to clarify some misconceptions on creativity. For example, it is well known that people outside the creativity fraternity tends to associate creativity as just divergent thinking or even simply brainstorming. With proper introduction of Ideational Thinking, it would seem fitting then to explain that Alex Osborn's concept of brainstorming was more than a tool for divergent thinking- which entailed a conscious creative effort to bring about successful results.

A likely future development would be to integrate the tools into an elearning platform to enhance peer-to-peer teaching and supplemented through discussions over learning management systems. Reflection tools could also be further reinforced through sharing of synthesised information presented online thus extending the dialogue through online collaboration.

What Will be the Tangible Product(s) or Outcomes?

This project aims to deliver the following:

- A) A resource package
 - one set of training slides for teachers
 - Lesson plans for teachers
 - Each thinking skills would be provided with at least two thinking tools drawn from several areas of theory and practice within the CPS : Thinking Skills Model
 - Each to include the purpose and motivation in part by making explicit the skill set/attitude necessary for success

- B) Conduct a sharing on Teaching Thinking Skills in PBL on 29 Oct 08 during the National Project Work Sharing Session.
- C) Provide training to a group of teachers who have experience with PBL and to gain feedback for refinement.

What Criteria Will You Use To Measure The Effectiveness Of Your Achievement?

Content

- Literature review of PBL and other related curriculum design frameworks and post reflections in blog (<u>http://think-learn-grow.blogspot.com</u>)
- Trial out the model of Thinking Skills in PBL with at least 3 groups of teacher

Process

- Engage experts to provide feedback on model
- Develop lesson plans by using real case examples from Primary schools to JC
- Apply Thinking Tools in my other areas eg. work related areas in CPDD, parenting, bible study

Quality

- Gather timely feedback to show evidence of refinement in revised model
- To coach and assess how effective teachers are able to use the tools through case-based practice

Result

- Conduct a train-the-trainer sharing session with PW coordinators in Nov and integrate some of the tools in their lesson planning for 2009
- Training package to be trialed with RAs for feedback gathering.

Who Will Be Involved or Influenced; What Will Your Role Be?

With my experience as a curriculum partner to the TLLM Ignite 1 schools, I hope to gather more feedback to identify critical gaps they faced during their PBL implementation. Thereafter, I hope to source a selection of Thinking Tools that are used in organizations for knowledge management purposes and select those that are appropriate in terms of enhancing each PBL stage with considerations on appropriateness for classroom use.

I hope to tap into Dr Keller Mather's rich experience with research on the impact of CPS taught in the US schools. At the same time, I hope that the collective wisdom of the class, especially that of Ami Henriques, my sounding board partner, would shape the project to be of greater value to that of the creativity community.

I would be working alongside Mdm Irene Tan, Master Teacher to gather her feedback on the design of the lesson package for implementation in Jurong Secondary School in 2009 as well as to co-write the research paper for next year's PBL symposium. At the same time, feedback would be sought from my Assistant Director on the relevance and impact of the project as well as support to provide time and space to design and investigate the intended effects.

It would be great to collaborate with experts in the field- i.e. National Institute of Education (Prof Tan Oon-Seng), Temasek Polytechnic Learning Academy (Dr Moira Lee), Republic Polytechnic.

When Will This Project Take Place?

The design phase (Phase 1) of the project should be completed by end September; and phase 2 will feature a full-day workshop that will test the use of some the Thinking Tools for teachers with some PBL experience, thereafter active gathering of feedback and refinement to the product. As part of the larger initiative (beyond the scope of this project) a more complete workshop package will be ready to use in workshops by January. The entire initiative will be completed by June 2009 so that it can be presented at the International PBL Symposium in Republic Polytechnic, Singapore.

Where Will This Project Occur?

This project will culminate into deliverable resource package to be considered for workshop materials for Process Skills unit in the Curriculum Planning and Development Division, MOE Singapore. Focus group discussion with the RAs and their team will be school-based during post lesson evaluation sessions.

Why Is It Important to Do This?

This Masters project would deepen my capacity as a curriculum partner to better support schools implementing PBL as their school-based innovation a part of their RA scheme.

The aims of the proposed Masters project are

- To see myself as a co-researcher with the PBL RAs;
- To further develop the theory and practice of PBL in ways which reflect lessons learnt from the PBL community of practitioners.

Personal Learning Goals:

- To be proficient with PBL and leverage it as a pedagogical approach to help students internalize learning through self-discovery;
- With the completion of the package and training sessions to taste the joys of becoming 'unconsciously skilled' so that I may be able to work within, and without the Thinking Skills so that I can better impact others with creative thinking in every interaction;
- To model research and share their own research with other teachers.

How Do You Plan to Achieve Your Goals and Outcomes?

Design criteria to be assessed through rubrics:

I aim to develop performance rubrics to measure development of learning in PBL, Thinking Skills, and facilitation. The rubrics would serve to enable myself to gauge my own levels of achievement for particular skills or tasks and thereafter to be incorporated as part of the resource package.

This is especially useful since the development of resource package can only serve as instructional guides. Yet, as a practitioner, we understand that thinking cannot be formulated naively as a lesson objective that can be taught, learnt and evaluated. Noddings (2008, p.12) noted that since "thinking involves planning, ordering, creating structural outlines, deciding what is important, and reflecting on one's own activity", then a full disclosure of the specific learning outcomes may possibly lead to a foreclosure of the learning process. Thus, the use of criteria-based rubrics would be helpful to address the limitations of evaluating learning by means of specifying the outcomes. The implicit advantage of using the rubrics would be that some of the observables should be exemplified during facilitation by the teachers. The rubrics will also take into consideration the different levels of the revised Bloom's taxonomy to help teachers better reflect upon their practice and enhance their competence.

With refinement, these rubrics should be incorporated to help teachers apply them as formative assessment to promote better conversation as ongoing feedback to promote learning while using the tools. The rubrics would allow personalization of the criteria with explicit descriptors to guide students in different domains of learning. For example, in ideational thinking, two criteria to assess different skills may include "Generation of ideas" which requires students to demonstrate their creativity in coming with novel ideas while "Substantiation of ideas" will require students to support their claims, views and conclusion with the information that they have gathered.

Focus Group Discussions:

I intend to organise focus group discussions (FGD) with teachers in Sep to Oct 08 to gather feedback on the challenges they faced during their own cycle of PBL implementation. These sessions would serve as a useful platform to consult these teachers on the applicability and relevance of the tools to circumvent some of the challenges they faced. The discussion will examine issues such as teacher-facilitator interaction with students, teachers' capacity as a facilitator, teachers' readiness to adapt the PBL model, etc.

Two critical concerns I hope to address during the FGDs are training to 'open' teachers' attitudes to such curriculum innovations as well as training on assessment to measure impact students' learning. I recognize that the subjectivity that is inherent in any attitudinal form of study, particularly when the observable behaviour that serves as evidence is ephemeral in nature. In consultation with Dr Keller-Mathers, I hope to particularly focus on drawing up a list of common observable behaviours for engaged thinking which the teachers could use as a guide when assessing.

Evaluation:

My plan to evaluate this project is twofold. First, I will gather feedback on the quality of the resource package developed. This package would be shared with the cohort of RAs that I am working with, who may be keen to consider further developing PBL lessons next year. I aim to produce a coherent package that may be accepted by the community of PBL practitioners.

The second part of the evaluation is with regard to my performance as a facilitator of the Thinking Skills Model and to be able to further refine the project to integrate CPS Thinking Skills Model as a pedagogical approach that can complement the PBL model effectively in terms of teaching and learning.

	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3
Dates	Sep – Oct 08	30 Oct – 15 Nov 08	17 Nov- 30 Dec 08
Project	Scoping & project	Concept Development:	Communication of Results:
planning	design:	- Test package with PBL	- Evaluate and identify new
	- Research on the	teachers with teachers who	possibilities
	principles of PBL	are keen to explore (collate 10	- Contract with schools who are
	and Thinking Skills;	names for PW sharing on 29	keen to do action research
	- Complete teaching	Oct)	with Thinking Skills in
	slides;	- Trial in phases the lesson	PBL/PW
	- Prepare draft of	plans of each thinking skills	- Present paper to TLLM Ignite
	training package;	- Gather feedback on their the	committee to evaluate
	- Research Activists	concept plan and training	processes necessary (i.e.
	to provide feedback	package (19 Oct)	support, value, capacity) for

Prepare Project Timeline:

	on their implementation challenges (1-19 Sep) Write-up deadline: - Chap 1 by 30 Sep - Chap 2 by 11 Oct - Chap 3 by 25 Oct	Write up deadline: - Chap 4 by 1 Nov - Chap 5 by 8 Nov - Chap 6 by 15 Nov	scaling up the project
Milestones	29 Oct – PW coordinators Sharing 27 Oct – Submission of draft of Chap 1-3	5 Nov- Test package with interested teachers 17 Nov – Submission of draft of Chap 4-6	30 Nov – Send by courier Project Write up

Pertinent Literature or Resources:

- Barbero-Switalski, L. (2003). *Evaluating and organising thinking tools in relation to the CPS framework*. Unpublished masters project. State University of New York, Buffalo.
- Barell, J. (2007). *Problem-based learning- An inquiry approach* (2nd ed.). California: Corwin Press, Sage Publication.
- Beyer, B. K. (2001). What research says about teaching thinking skills. In A. Costa (Ed.), *Developing minds* (pp. 275 284). Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Finkle, S. L., & Torp, L. L. (1995). *Introductory Documents*. Illinois: Center for Problem-Based Learning, Illinois Math and Science Academy.
- Fredericks, J. A., BLumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). School engagement: potential of the concept, state of the evidence. *Review of Educational Research*, 74(1), 59-109.
- Puccio, G. J., Murdock, M. C., & Mance, M. (2007). *Creative leadership: Skills that drive change*. Three Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Delisle, R. (1997). *How to use problem-based learning in the classroom*. Alexandria, Virginia: ASCD.
- Noddings, N. (2008). All our students thinking. Educational Leadership, 65, 9-13.
- Tan, O. S. (2000). Problem-based learning: Educational innovation across disciplines : a collection of selected papers. Singapore: Temasek Centre for Problem-Based Learning, Temasek Polytechnic.

- Tan, O. S. (2003). *Problem-based learning innovation: Using problems to power learning in the 21st century.* Singapore: Thomson Learning.
- Tan, O. S. (2004). Cognition, metacognition, and Problem-based learning. In O.-S. Tan (Ed.), *Enhancing Thinking through Problem-based Learning Approaches* (pp. 1-16). Singapore: Thomson Learning.
- Tan, O. S. (2008). PBL Across Disciplines: State-of-the-art, Challenges and Future Developments. Retrieved 18 Sep 2008, from <u>http://www.cmu.edu.cn/2008pbl/upl_files/20087181575999.pdf</u>
- Treffinger, D. J. (2002). The future of creativity: The University of Georgia Dr. E. Paul Torrance Annual Lectures on Creativity. In A. G. Aleinikov (Ed.). Athens, GA: Torrance Center, University of Georgia.
- Treffinger, D. J., Feldhusen, J. F., Isaksen, S. G., Cross, J. A., Remle, R. C., & Sortore, M. R. (1994). *Productive thinking: Handbook 1*, 35 53. Sarasota, FL: Center for Creative Learning.

APPENDIX B

21st Century Skills Comparison

NCREL 'enGauge' 21st Century	Partnership for 21st Century	OECD's Definition and	Singapore's Desired Outcomes
Learning	Skills	Selection of Competencies	of Education
 Digital-Age Literacy Basic, Scientific, Economic and Technological Literacies Visual and Information Literacies 	 Core Subjects and 21st Century Themes Learning and Innovation Skills 	 Using Tools Interactively Use language, symbols and text interactively Use knowledge and information 	 All post-secondary and tertiary students should: Be morally upright, be culturally rooted yet understanding and respecting differences,
 Multicultural Literacy and Global Awareness 	 Creativity and Innovation Critical Thinking and Problem Solving 	 interactively Use technology interactively 	be responsible to family, community and country
 Inventive Trinking Adaptability, Managing Complexity, and Self-Direction Curiosity, Creativity, and Risk 	Collaboration Information Media and	 Interacting in Homogeneous Groups Relate well to others Co-operate, work in teams 	 Believe in our principles of multi-factalism and meritocracy, appreciate the national constraints but see the opportunities
 Taking Higher-Order Thinking and Sound Reasoning 	 Technology Skills Information Literacy Media Literacy 	Manage and resolve conflicts	Be constituents of a gracious society Be willing to strive, take pride in work
Effective Communication	o ICT Literacy	 Act within the big picture Form and conduct life plans and 	value working with others
 Teaming, Collaboration, and Interpersonal Skills Personal, Social, and Civic Responsibility 	 Life and Career Skills Flexibility and Adaptability Initiative and Self-Direction Social and Cross-Cultural Skills 	 personal projects Defend and assert rights, interests, limits and needs 	Be able to think, reason and deal confidently with the future, have courage and conviction in facing adversity
 Interactive Communication 	 Productivity & Accountability Leadership & Responsibility 		 Be able to seek, process and apply knowledge
 High Productivity Prioritising, Planning, and Managing for Results Effective Use of Real-World Tools Ability to Produce Relevant, High- Quality Products 			 Be innovative – have a spirit of continual improvement, a lifelong habit of learning and an enterprising spirit in undertakings Think global, but be rooted to Singapore

- The above bullets are colour coded to match similar skills across the different models.
- The documents can be downloaded from the following weblinks –

WREL 'enGauge' 21st Century Learning:

http://www.unctv.org/education/teachers_childcare/nco/documents /skillsbrochure.pdf

4 Partnership for 21st Century Skills:

http://www.21stcenturyskills.org/index.php?Itemid=120&id=254& option=com_content&task=view

4 OECD's Definition and Selection of Competencies:

http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/47/61/35070367.pdf

4 Singapore's Desired Outcomes of Education

http://www.moe.gov.sg/education/desired-outcomes/

APPENDIX C

Presentation slides for Project Work Sharing on 29th October 2008

Presentation slides for Project Work Sharing on 29th October 2008

Slide 1



PBL <Chart 1>

Vote- which stage does students and teachers struggle most and why (in 2 column)

<personal note: improvised targeting
tool>

Post workshop chart: Most participants tagged <u>Stage 1:</u> <u>Meeting the problem</u> for highest level of challenge for **teachers** And <u>Stage 2: Problem analysis &</u> <u>Learning Issues</u> highest level of challenge for **students**

Slide 2



Q: What are tools to you? Participants to name some of the tools they are familiar with

Slide 3



The earliest and asiest tool of all - **Acheulian** hand axe

Design is not accidental-

It was the dominant technology for the vast majority of human history and more than one million years ago it was Acheulean tool users who left Africa to first successfully colonize Eurasia. (Wikipedia)

<u>Q: WHAT DO YOU THINK ARE</u> <u>THINKING TOOLS?</u>

Helps in understanding & organizing thinking; technique to isolate each thinking; unleashing about potential





A pedagogy of participation (from New structures and spaces of learning: The systemic impact of connective knowledge, connectivism, and networked learning).

Explain rationale of project design- use of real-world tools to enhance the realworld context.

Need to emphasize the learning of problem solving through facts and rationality- building upon prior knowledge.

PBL process and coaching help develop flexibility and helicopter views by enhancing connectivity.

<Where the thinking comes in creativity- show Creative Leadership book>



Qn: Why assoc thinking skill to each of the steps?

allows flexibility to open to variety of tools

no two problems are alike so tailor to situation

Skill development- need to connect creative thinking to leadership development

Framework to develop creative thinking - sharpen, reinforce, targeted tools, strengthen


cast a wide net to gather thinking broadly about the content data

Common- 5 Ws and an H- provide fundamental questions for data identification and management

Typical PBL templates used to diagnose problem- FILA, KND, etc

Ability to bypass mental traps (prejudices, biases) : looking at a situation from multiple perspectives, shifting perspectives, avoiding stereotypes, and checking assumptions

Formulating relevant questions: an ability to formulate questions that go to heart of the situation, using questions to draw out information; asking the questions in the moment *Learning when to dive deep*- seize opportunities to find new info

*Drawing out what they don't know*customization for consumers; asking sufficient questions that draw out "what is going on" that is not told.

Identify structures and patterns that underlie a complex situation: Seeing trends in data, seeing patterns, understanding the meaning associated with identified patterns

Slide 15



Incorporating Thinking Tools to Enhance Facilitation of Problem-Based Learning



Lesson activity begins: provide context, & instructions

Give participants 3 minutes to glimpse through KJ Diagram handouts

Slide 21

Summary of Purpose	
 Organise large volume of data 	
 Collective exploration of opinions, perspecti and insights 	ve
 New patterns of thoughts Non-traditional connections Stimulate 'gut-level' reactions Natural emergence of breakthrough data Overcome team paralysis Reduce endless verbal discussion Encourage ownership of results 	2
Diagnostic: Affinit	y Diagram



The learner is **motivated** to use visual thinking tools because he or she **enjoys the intellectual challenge of active visual construction of ideas**, both as an individual and as a participant in group activity.

The chances for **successful problem solving** are enhanced by application of visual thinking tools, and increase the learner's satisfaction as a result.

Slide 23

Next Steps ???

- Facilitative inquiry for deeper learning
- Tools to mediate self-directed learning metacognition & self-regulation
- Collaboration- ensuring higher level of interaction for peer learning, peer teaching and group presentations
- Language of the Thinking Tools to PBL

My thoughts: process liken brainwriting then hits, clusters Good warm-up then enter into process

Facilitator to drill down- make clarity of language "What does this mean?" Silence allows task to faster and less debate from the start Engaging different energy Kinesthetic Students to create own meaning Facilitator to extract and take to next level Further refinement: Converge within the clusters Could start with "What do we know

about ... "

Connecting MINDS to HEARTScognitive and affective skills. Constant exploring- continuous discovery- dismayed by anomaly, attracted to novelty, compelled to mastery, intrigued by mystery, curious about discrepancy Derive personal and concrete feedback

from own tactile/ kinesthetic adventure

Shift in Teaching Paradigm

Facilitative inquiry for deeper learning- creative application of our thoughts especially if the problem scenarios are roots in reality Need then to cultivate these qualities of mind Selection of task- meaningful only when both

authentic experience and curriculum content meet the task design and <u>student interest</u> are addressed.

Tools to mediate self-directed learning -

metacognition & self-regulation Formative assessment as self-assessment: spiralling process of continuous renewal: Self-managing, selfmonitoring, self-modifying Evaluation: highest level in Bloom's taxonomymeans generating, holding in head and applying set

means generating, holding in head and applying set of internal and external criteria

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{PBL}}\xspace / \ensuremath{\mathsf{PW}}\xspace$ gives students capacity for self-analysis, self-referencing, and self-modifying.

APPENDIX D

Presentation slides for Thinking Skills in PBL Workshop on 11th November 2008



At the the mai	end of the reasons for ke use of vi	workshop, participants s rintegrating thinking too isual tools to facilitate le	should be able to understand: ols in PBL arming	
Time	Duration	Programme	Details	Resources
8.30		Registration	*	Attendance sheet
9.00	10 min	Welcome	 Invite all participants to sit in groups according to schools 	Notes Photos
9.10	30 min	Introduction	Activity 1: VIR Visual Identified Relationship Grouping Workshop outline	Photo cards PowerPoint slide
9.40	35 min	Activity 2 Problem Statement: THIRST Activity 3	 Tool: Affinity Diagram Meeting the Problem Global warming & water pollution has led to water crisis in many parts of the world. You are part of a team of environmental ambassadors in your school. Your task is to design a proposal for water conservation. Problem tigger. THIRST Tool: Fish hone diagram 	PowerPoint slide
10.15	15 min	Tools breakout 1	Meeting the Problem Affinity Diagram Fish bone Diagram	Groups' Incubating Corn
10.30	30 min	Activity 4: PBL templates	 Problem Analysis & Learning Issues Introduce templates- FILA & KWL (different groups) Invite participants to analyse problem scenario using the template Invite groups to share their templates 	
11.00	15 min	Activity 5		PowerPoint slide

11.00Activity 5 Discovery & reporting (Part I) Tool: EXCUISION Information Gathering- Research @ Library 0060 min LUNCH 1.00 Activity 6 GameGameGame Tool: Targeting Identify 1/2 pushes and 1/2 pulls Target boards 1.20 20 min Activity 7:SharingDiscovery & reporting (Part II) Invite participants to share their discussions Excursion Learnings from excursion & info gathering propose 3.5 action plans for conservation Record their discussions on mahjong sheets 1.40 Activities 8 & 9 Discovery & reporting (Part III) Tool : Card Sort (whole group)Tool : Success Zone (whole group) Record their discussions on White board 2.10 Tools breakout 2 Discovery & reporting (I-III) ExcursionCard SortSearch for Success ZoneGroups' Incubating Corner2.30 Activities 10 & 11 Discovery & reporting (Part IV) Tool : Diff (small group) Tool : SWOT (small g r o u p) W o r I d c a f é

	Students		"Teacher-facilitator"
5 Es	Physically	Mentally	
Engage			
Explore			
Explain			
Elaborate			
Evaluate			

















Stages of PBL	Tools	What were you doing?	Where was the thinking?
Meeting the problem	Affinity Diagram		
	Fishbone		
Problem Analysis		FILA & KWL	
	Excursion		
	Card sort		
Discovery and	Searching for		
Reporting	Success Zone		
	£МІ		
	SWOT		
	Performance		
Presentation and Reflection	dashboard		
and Reflection	Targeting		







Websites on Water Conservation

- http://www.eartheasy.com/live_water_savin g.htm
- http://www.h2ouse.org/tour/index.cfm
- http://www.wateruseitwisely.com/
- http://www.sahra.arizona.edu/programs/wat er_cons/home/home2.htm



18

19

21

Step 1. Diagnostically

Lets gather your thoughts, facts, opinions, issues, insights

Post-IT! onto the charts on the wall

Step 2: SORT IT...quietly

- Sort the post-its into related groups
- NO TALKING please ...
- Go by your GUT feel

Step 3: Connections!

- Create Headers for each group
 - Concise statement that captures central theme
 - Divide large groups into subgroups if necessary
 - Draw meaningful connections

Summary of Purpose

- Organise large volume of data
- Collective exploration of opinions, perspective and insights
- New patterns of thoughts
 Non-traditional connections
 Stimulate 'gut-level' reactions
- Natural emergence of breakthrough data
- Overcome team paralysis
 - Reduce endless verbal discussion
 - Encourage ownership of results











		Chart		
Facts	Ideas	Learning issues	Action plan	
<u> </u>	1	1		2

What we Know	What we Want know	What we Learnt





How-How Diagram: Directions

- 1. Place the solution on the left side of a piece of paper or flip chart
- 2. Identify the initial steps needed to implement the solution and write them in the appropriate blanks to the right of the solution
- Consider each step individually breaking it down into its detailed stages, by <u>repeatedly asking "How"</u> it might be achieved. Record each stage in the appropriate blanks to the right of the diagram.
- 4. Continue the process until each step has been drawn out to its logical limit
- 5. Examine the complete diagram for recurring elements which tend to indicate the most crucial stages in the process of implementation.

31 Tactical: How-How Diagra











37

Field Trips - Directions

- Give participants 'broad yet specific' instructions about the task: where, how long, what to do (i.e., observe, take notes, etc.) as well as what is expected from them (making connections, sharing reflections and insights, etc.)
- Provide each participant with a small potable journal that can be used to record observations, thoughts, reflections, insights,...
- In some cases, it might be productive to give an assignment: for example, taking pictures with a disposable camera or buying an 'object' that is considered intriguing and inspiring.

Excursion to New York Theatre







Card Sort - Purpose

- Card Sort is a convergent tool that helps you compare, rank or prioritize options
- · It can be used
 - When you have a number of options (up to 15 options)
 - To <u>compare and rank</u> ideas, solutions, problem statements or criteria.
 - To generate group consensus, by comparing each individual ranking and discussing the results

Card Sort: Directions for Individual Ranking

- 1. Write options on cards (or Post-its TM), one per card
- 2. Count the number of options (e.g., 12)
- 3. Select least liked option and write a '12' in the upper right corner of the card, set aside
- 4. Select most liked option from those that remain and write a '1', set aside
- 5. Select least liked option and write a '11', set aside
- 6. Repeat alternating between least and most liked options until all options have been ranked

42

109





Searching for Success Zones

• Tool designed to formulate a clear picture of the future state and offers the most promising action to pursue



45

Searching for Success Zones

- Define two judging criteria with reference to situation (i.e. *degree of importance & probability of success*)
- Evaluate each option, typically future states against the selected criteria by using scale ranging from 1 (*low*) to 9 (*high*)

- Locate options on Searching for Success Zones matrix
- **Identify** option(s) most important and promising to pursue































SWOT Analysis

"How might we" statements examples

- HMW leverage our cultural competency to increase work with global clients?
- HMW develop projects that can be marketed to new clients?
- HMW leverage the international partnership in a direction we want to work in?
- HMW develop a 'bench strength' through our network of colleagues?

62
Diagnostic Thinking: SWOT Analys

STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES
Internal factors (example): a new innovative product or service • resources (financial, inteflectual, location) • quality processes and procedures • any other aspace of your business that adds value to your product or service	External factors (example): - lack of expertise - poor quality - damaged reputation - regulation regarding the business - competitive capabilities
OPPORTUNITIES External factors (example):	THREATS External factors (example):
strategic alliances moving into new market segments economic condition market trends any other aspect of external factors that adds value	competitors and competitive actions poor public relations development criticism price wars any other environmental conditions that are
to your business	unfavorable to your business

Performance Dashboard

• Tool designed to visually monitor the progress and success of a plan by providing a 'dynamic feedback mechanism'



• Designed to :

 Offer visual representation of progress and potential trouble spots in a plan

Performance Dashboard

- Develop a multiple-gauge format dashboard that can function as key-feedback mechanism
- Include "soft measures" which allow to monitor qualititative parameters such as motivation, commitment, etc

Performance Dashboard



66

- Develop <u>set of indicators / criteria</u> that can be effective for monitoring progress of plan
- Select & combine <u>most important indicators</u>
- Create a <u>visual dashboard</u> and make it visible
- Meet regularly with team to <u>review the</u> <u>measurement and update</u> the dashboard















consensus on the critical gaps you want to address first. You might want to convert the selected gaps into "How to/ How might we..." questions (as a springboard for ideation).





Force Field Analysis Force Field Analysis Tool used to evaluate and determine the feasibility of the All driving forces for change is drawn on the • attainment of a goal or plan of action. left, and the resisting on the right. · This is done through listing all driving and resisting factors The more driving forces, affecting the goal or 'change'. the more feasible it is. Further evaluation of · By doing this, it is possible to clearly see the viability of factors into degree of such a plan of action and improve on reducing or solving the strength - 1(weak) to 5 resisting factors and increasing or improving the possible (strong), is also possible driving factors to bring about a tangible change. and optional. 75 http://home.att.net/~nickols/changing_b







<u>Multiple applications</u> (from single options to competing options, individual to group use, etc)





Personal Example: Improving Your Health



- 1. Describe your ideal physical state
 - Locate on the target where you **current physical condition** in relationship to your ideal
 - Create a **list of forces** that– a) move you in direction of the ideal state (**pulls**) and

b) prevent you from being on target with the ideal (**pushes**)





WEBLINKS

PMI

http://www.mindtools.com/pages/article/newTED_05.htm http://wwwfp.education.tas.gov.au/english/pmi.htm

SWOT

http://www.mindtools.com/pages/article/newTMC_05.htm http://www.quickmba.com/strategy/swot/

Card Sort http://www.infodesign.com.au/ftp/CardSort.pdf http://www.boxesandarrows.com/view/card_sorting_a_definitive_guide

Webbing http://olc.spsd.sk.ca/DE/PD/instr/strats/webbing/index.html http://virtualinquiry.com/inquiry/webbing.htm

Tool Weblinks	
ïshbone Diagram: ttp://web2.concordia.ca/Quality/tools/12fishbone.pdf ttp://www.mycoted.com/Fishbone_Diagram	
Cartoon Storyboard ttp://www.mycoted.com/Cartoon_Story_Board	
tflinity Diagram ttp://mot.vuse.vanderbilt.edu/mt322/Affinity.htm ttp://www.balancedscorecard.org/Portals/0/PDF/affinity.pd ttp://www.mindtools.com/pages/article/newTMC_86.htm	f
WL ttp://www.ncrel.org/sdrs/areas/issues/students/learning/lr2k ttp://www.eduplace.com/graphicorganizer/pdf/kwl.pdf	wl.htm
force-field Analysis ttp://www.mftrou.com/Lewins-force-field-analysis.html	



APPENDIX E

Praise First with PPCO Thinking Tool

Praise First

Thinking is an art, with its own purposes, standards, principles, rules, strategies, and precautions. And it is an art well worth learning, for every important thing we do is affected by our habits of mind. – *Vincent Ryan Ruggiero*

After a recent workshop, a teacher asked me in private, *"Are we SERIOUSLY serious about fostering creative thinking in our curriculum?"* A student jokingly commented that teachers are '2 fast 2 furious' to point out flaws and judge ideas. A colleague felt proud that she has a brilliant idea to present to her team leader but at the same time felt a sense of vulnerability.

Transformational leadership (Downton, 1973) focuses on developing others to their fullest potential. In recent developments, Northouse's (2004) listed intellectual stimulation as one of the main characteristics of transformational leadership. Based on his description that transformational leaders "stimulate followers to be creative and innovative", are we - leaders shaping Singapore's education landscape - providing sufficient room to "try new approaches and develop innovative ways of dealing with organizational issues" (p.177)?

What's wrong with MY ideas ?!@#!

Do you know that you have a *reptile* living in your brainstem? Our *"gator brain"*, like that of the entire brain of an alligator is solely concerned with survival - food, protection, turf guarding , and yes.... reproduction. Animals comfortable in their natural environment will regard any change as a threat. Thus, their natural instinct in dealing with "newness" will include the following: kill it, eat it, and run from it (or mate with it).

If you want to think creatively, then you had better break away from old mental habits - think 'out of the box'! Many people automatically kill new ideas when they start thinking "*Mine-is-better*" and it does not help that our tendency to over-rationalize (even at the expense of compounding a mistake), or simply to give in to irrational prejudice by way of stereotyping. Unfortunately, they also destroy the possibility of innovation, creativity, or improving morale with such early closures.

Back to our *'gator brain'*: Thankfully, we are blessed with a neo-cortex (the "innovative brain") which has the capacity to override the primitive instincts of the brainstem. That means, MAN can be creative by treating "newness" with curiosity, defer judgment and identify possibilities

in new ideas. In short, we can be smarter than gators- *if we choose to be.* Instead of dampening the spirit of creativity, if you spot the "holes" in the ideas first- ideas that seem too "fresh" or dangerously too risky, use **Praise First** to evaluate and strengthen an idea to give it extra bite!

Praise First- Pluses, Potentials, Concerns, Overcome Concerns (PPCO)

Praise First is founded on the principle of affirmative judgment. The technique allows the expression of both positive and negative responses to an idea without crushing its potential – or its originator. Instead of jumping in to critique an idea, seek first the value in it. Express what you like about it. Think about what might be possible if it worked. THEN, present your concerns as questions to invite further thinking. **Praise First** is a great way to strengthen the idea (and the originator) by identifying key shortcomings and highlighting key advantages by laying the cards on the table. It is also a powerful tool for the team to brainstorm on how to overcome concerns together.

Praise First is not only a great tool to analyze and improve ideas by transforming them into workable solutions, it is also very useful to provide feedback on behaviour products or proposals. Thomas Edison once said, "Opportunity is missed by most people because it is dressed in overalls and looks like work." The deliberate work to affirm new ideas is such an opportunity.

The Future is in Your Mind

I bought a shapes chunky puzzle for my 10 months old daughter. While she was toying with the pieces, my wife with all good intentions commented, "Darling, you cannot fit a round block into a square hole." Shortly after, the baby amazingly took some scrap cloths to pad the base of the block and managed to make that supposedly impossible fit. The point is, to envision the possibility of change, evaluate ideas in an affirmative and developmental approach. As the former UCLA basketball coach John Wooden said, "Don't let what you cannot do interfere with what you can do."

References:

Downton, J. V. (1973). *Rebel leadership: Commitment and charisma in a revolutionary process.* New York: Free Press. Miller, B. J., Vehar, J. R.; Firestien, R. L. (2001). *CPS facilitation: A door to creative leadership* (3rd Ed.) . Williamsville, NY: Innovation Systems Group.

Northouse, P. G. (2004). *Leadership: Theory and practice* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Puccio, G. J., Murdock, M. C., & Mance, M. (2007). *Creative leadership: Skills that drive change*. Three Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.

PRAISE FIRST with the following steps:

1. PLUSES:

- Consciously examine ideas positively;
- What are the strengths, good points, positives, pluses, about the idea, work, proposal, and performance?
- Be direct, honest and specific.

2. POTENTIAL:

- Look for the opportunities, positive implications, possibilities in the future;
- Be aware that spin-offs may prove even more rewarding.

3. CONCERNS:

- What are your concerns- weaknesses, trouble spots, minuses?
- Express your concerns as open-ended questions to offer a possible direction for future development;
- Use statement such as "How to...," "How might ..." or "In what ways might..."

4. OVERCOME concerns:

- Prioritize concerns- choose the most important one/s and brainstorm some ideas to overcome them;
- Germinate the idea and identify solutions to be executed through an Action Plan.

APPENDIX F

Feedback Form for Teachers

Incorporating Thinking Tools to Enhance Facilitation of Problem-Based Learning

INCORPORATING THINKING TOOLS TO ENHANCE THE FACILITATION OF PROBLEM-BASED LEARNING

Feedback Form for Teachers

We are seeking your feedback about your teaching experience on PBL. Your thoughts will help us to strengthen our concept framework on the use of Thinking Tools to enhance students' thinking skills.

Thank you for your time and for your comments.

Name/ School : ______

Please reflect on the most recent PBL lesson you conducted and answer the following questions.

- 1. Describe an aspect of the lesson which has been MOST useful/ easy in terms of how you facilitated the session.
- 2. Describe an aspect of the lesson which has been LEAST useful/ easy in terms of how you facilitated the session.
- 3. Indicate (on the scale) how confident you would feel in terms of facilitating PBL (*prior to attending the workshop conducted by CPDD*)

Not very confident

Very confident

What leads you to mark your level of confidence where you have on the above scale?

4. Any other comments?

Please note that you are not obliged to complete the form; however, we are grateful to those who do. Once completed, please email your completed form to john_yeo@moe.gov.sg

APPENDIX G

Permission to Use Images of Zhonghua Secondary School's

Boys Brigade Students for Figures 7 and 8

From:	Dolly ONG/MOE/SINGOV
	03 Dec, 2008 02:24 PM
To:	John YEO/MOE/SINGOV@SINGOV
Cc:	davidwws_98@yahoo.com, keong1111@hotmail.com,
	lawrence tang kok onn@moe edu sg

Dear John

Thank you for your email as well as your years of service as a BB volunteer. It's fine and good that you have captured the processes of our boys in action. You may proceed to use the photographs for your masters project.

Regards *Mrs Dolly Ong* Principal Zhonghua Secondary School 13 Serangoon Avenue 3, Singapore 556123 Tel: 62824339 Fax: 62823472

John YEO/MOE/SINGOV

 12/03/2008 02:10 PM

 To:
 Dolly ONG/MOE/SINGOV@SINGOV

 Cc:
 davidwws_98@yahoo.com, keong1111@hotmail.com, lawrence_tang_kok_onn@moe.edu.sg

Dear Mrs Ong

Thank you for the quick check in earlier. As mentioned, I am writing a project report on a proposed training package 'Thinking Skills to Enhance the Facilitation of Problem-based Learning' and would like to include some photographs of students using the Thinking Tools during the Life Skills workshop that I conducted for the Boys Brigade in their Leadership Development Camp on the 13th and 14th November. As the US university needs clearance for the use of images of students under 18 and as far as I know, any written permission from the Principal would suffice, I am writing for your permission to accede this request via an email reply.

I have attached the two page write up on the Life Skills lessons I conducted as well as the photos that I would be attaching as part of the report. If you are keen to read the complete report, I will be most happy to share further.

[attachment "BB 13&14 Nov.doc" deleted by Dolly ONG/MOE/SINGOV] Looking forward to your favorable reply. Please feel free to contact me at 9846 0880 for further clarification.

cc. Teachers in charge- David and Lawrence; 48th BB Coy captain- Kok Keong

Regards

John Yeo

Curriculum Planning Officer/ Process Skills, Curriculum Planning and Development Division • Tel: +65 6879 6683 • Fax: +65 6775 8752

Ministry of Education • 1 North Buona Vista Drive, Singapore 138675 • http://www.moe.gov.sg

APPENDIX H

3-2-1-1 Reflection Sheet

