

<http://journal.unila.ac.id/index.php/tropicalsoil>
DOI: 10.5400/jts.2016.21.2.79

N₂O Emission from Managed Soil Under Different Crops in Rainfed Area, Central Java

Miranti Ariani, Anggri Hervani and Prihasto Setyanto

Indonesian Agricultural Environment Research Institute, Jl. Raya Jakenan-Jaken km 5 PO Box 5, Jaken, Pati 59182, e-mail: miranti_ariani@yahoo.com

Received 21 March 2016/ accepted 29 April 2016

ABSTRACT

N₂O emission from agriculture has been assumed to increase by 30-35% until 2030. This gas has a major contribute to the emission from agriculture. N₂O emission from managed soils is the 2nd contributor to green house gas (GHG) emission from agriculture in Indonesia. Rainfed area requested high management input. This research aimed to examine N₂O emission from different crops in the rainfed area and its affecting factors, also to identify things that need to be considered in conducting N₂O measurement from managed soil. Research conducted in Pati and Blora District, Central Java Province. Four (4) different experimental sites with 4 different crops were chosen. Those were mung bean, rubber plantation and sugarcane which located within Pati District, and maize crop which located in Blora District. No treatment was applied. Gas samples were taken following the day after fertilizing. Daily N₂O fluxes from managed soil in tropical land of Indonesia determine by several factors, which are: days after fertilizing, fertilizer type and dosage, previous land use, growth phase of crops, sampling point and soil characteristic. The peak time was mostly influenced by crop type. Maize has the highest N₂O daily fluxes with the range of 311.9 - 9651.6 ugN₂O m⁻²day⁻¹ and rubber plantation has the lowest with the range of 16.1 - 2270.7 ugN₂O m⁻²day⁻¹. Measurement of N₂O from managed soil to determine annual emissions should be done at all crop types, soil types, considering crops growth phase and also high sampling frequency to prevent an over or under estimation.

Keywords: Crop type, managed soil, N₂O, rainfed

ABSTRAK

Emisi N₂O dari lahan pertanian diasumsikan akan terus meningkat sebesar 30-35% hingga tahun 2030. Emisi N₂O dari tanah yang dikelola adalah penyumbang terbesar kedua emisi gas rumah kaca dari pertanian di Indonesia. Gas ini berkontribusi besar terhadap emisi dari pertanian karena praktik budidaya terutama dari pemupukan. N₂O dihasilkan dari proses kompleks yang dipengaruhi oleh berbagai kondisi, karena itu variabilitas data sangat tinggi. Daerah tadah hujan memerlukan input yang tinggi dari pupuk sintesis. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mempelajari emisi N₂O dari tanaman yang berbeda dan faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhinya, juga untuk mengidentifikasi hal-hal yang perlu diperhatikan dalam melakukan pengukuran N₂O dari tanah yang dikelola. Penelitian dilakukan di Kabupaten Pati dan Blora, Provinsi Jawa Tengah. Empat (4) lahan untuk penelitian dengan 4 tanaman yang berbeda telah dipilih. Pertanian kacang hijau, perkebunan karet dan tebu yang terletak di Kabupaten Pati, dan tanaman jagung yang terletak di Kabupaten Blora. Tidak ada perlakuan yang diterapkan dalam penelitian ini. Sampel gas diambil mengikuti hari setelah pemupukan. Fluks N₂O harian dari tanah yang dikelola di daerah tropis Indonesia ditentukan oleh beberapa faktor, yaitu: hari setelah pemupukan, jenis pupuk dan dosis, penggunaan lahan sebelumnya, fase pertumbuhan tanaman, titik sampling serta karakteristik tanah. Waktu puncak sebagian besar dipengaruhi oleh jenis tanaman. Jagung memiliki fluks harian N₂O tertinggi dengan kisaran 311,9-9651,6 ug N₂O m⁻² hari⁻¹ dan perkebunan karet memiliki fluks harian terendah dengan kisaran 16,1-2270,7 ug N₂O m⁻² hari⁻¹. Pengukuran N₂O dari berbagai penggunaan lahan dengan tanaman tertentu untuk menentukan emisi tahunan sebaiknya dilakukan harian atau mingguan selama periode tumbuh tanaman, semua jenis tanah dan juga fase pertumbuhan untuk mencegah *over* atau *under-estimate*.

Kata Kunci: Jenis tanaman, N₂O, tanah yang dikelola, tadah hujan

INTRODUCTION

N₂O has an important role in the climatic system as well as in the atmospheric ozone layer. N₂O is a greenhouse gas (GHG) which potentially resulting from microbial activity in the process of denitrification and nitrification in the soil, therefore, the agricultural system is a major source of anthropogenic N₂O emissions (Davidson *et al.* 1996; Wrage *et al.* 2001; Barton *et al.* 2015). Asia consumed 58.6% of the total world fertilizer consumption (FAO 2010). The needs for food and energy raises along with the raise of human population, this causes an increase in inorganic N fertilizer (to improve yield), which in turn led to an increase of N₂O emission. N₂O emissions resulting from human activities, has increased by 150 Tg N yr⁻¹ (Mosier 2002), with global N₂O concentration in the atmosphere is 320 ppbv, while in the pre industrialization was only by 270 ppbv (IPCC 2007), and this emission from agriculture has been assumed to increase by 35-60% until 2030 (IPCC 2007). Stehfest and Bouwman (2006) estimated that the global annual emissions from fertilized cropland are 3.3 Tg N₂O-N yr⁻¹.

The emissions depend on the amount and chemical composition of fertilizer (Baggs *et al.* 2002; Vallejo *et al.* 2006), which both affect denitrification and nitrification. But, the effect of fertilizer also depends on type of crops, water regimes, temperature, soil moisture, etc. Commonly, nitrogen is a limiting nutrients in intensive cropping systems which applied to rice crops, maize and perennial crops. However, the relationship between agronomic management and N₂O emissions depends on more than just the amount of N input, it depends on a complex interaction between climatic factors, soil properties and soil management (Buchkina *et al.* 2013). For both intensive, conventional and low-input, organic cropping systems, N₂O emissions are a dominant factor in the GWP (Robertson *et al.* 2000; Adviento-Borbe *et al.* 2007).

Agriculture accounted for about 10-12 % to global GHG emission, of which 60% are nitrous oxide (N₂O) and the rest are methane (CH₄). Indonesian Second National Communication (2010) stated that agriculture as a managed soil contributed for about 79% of the N₂O emission nationally. Managed soils as describe in IPCC's guideline (IPCC 2007) are soils where human interventions and practices have been applied to perform production, ecological or social functions and are mostly in aerobic condition.

Indonesia is an agricultural country, of the 200 million ha of land territory, about 50 million ha are devoted to various agricultural activities (Statistics

Indonesia 2014). There is nearly 20 million ha of arable land, of which about 40% is wetland (*e.g.*, rice fields), 40% is dry land, and 15 % is shifting cultivation. Depending on the source of water and the provision of irrigation facilities, land is classified as technical irrigation areas, semi - technical irrigation areas, simple irrigation areas, village irrigation areas, inland and tidal swamp and rainfed areas. Over 50% of rainfed areas exist in Java Island. 180.952 ha in West Java, 268.970 ha in Central Java and 240.273 ha in East Java. Rainfed area is vulnerable to drought (total annual rainfall < 1,500 mm yr⁻¹), has a very low productivity, mostly because of low quality of soil (low CEC, low C-content, low N and K) therefore the use of synthetic fertilizer to improve yield are a must, and sometime becomes excessive. N₂O emission from agriculture is the 6th contributor to GHG emission in Indonesia (Indonesian Biennial Update Report 2015). There is still lack of N₂O emission data from Indonesian managed soils in rain-fed area.

Therefore, the research of N₂O measurement from different crops, different management and also different sampling time were needed to be done. The aims of this research were to investigate N₂O emission from different crops and factors that affecting, it also to identify things that were needed to be consider in conducting N₂O measurement from managed soil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site Description

The research was conducted at farmer's field in Pati and Blora District from March to November 2013. The selected sites were represents various crops and cultivated in a large scale. The soil was classified as Vertisol and Inceptisol according to The Soil Taxonomy System of USA (Soil Taxonomy 2014). Altitude in Pati ranges from 10 to 40 m above sea level, annual mean temperature is 30 °C, and annual rainfall is in the average of 1503 mm, of which nearly 70% falls in rainy season (October-March). As a rainfed region, 100% water supplies are provided by the rainfall, because irrigation is not practiced in the region. Meanwhile for the site in Blora, altitude is 35 m above sea level, the annual mean temperature is 28 °C, and annual rainfall is in the average of 1700 mm.

There were 4 different experimental sites with 4 different crops. Those were mungbean, rubber plantation and sugarcane which was located within Pati District, and maize crop which was located in Blora District. Pati and Blora are side by side. The

selected crops were representing the priority commodities in Indonesia. The mung bean site was only cultivated once in a year because it followed the cropping pattern in the area, which was rice-mung bean-rice. The sugarcane site was cultivated in a whole growing season for the last 5 years. Those were two age type of the rubber plantation: matured rubber (age above 4 year) and young rubber (age 0-4 year). For the matured rubber, they were on their fifth growing year when the research was conducted and for the young rubber, since they were not yielding yet, the farmer also cultivated cassava in between the young rubber. The maize site was cultivated twice in a year. Organic and inorganic fertilizers were used for all the sites. The description of fertilizer applied, and the relevant chemical and physical soil properties are listed in Table 1.

Experimental Designs

In each sampling site, there were no special treatment, gas sampling were conducted in the existing farmer site. Before the gas sampling, we planted an anchor to placing the chamber on each sampling points. These anchor intended to minimize the gas leakage. We were using 60 × 20 × 30 cm polycarbonate chambers, and the anchors were 60 × 30 cm. For the sugarcane, mung bean and maize sites, the gas sampling followed the time of fertilization. Those were 2, 5, 9, 29 and 50 days after fertilizing for sugarcane site. Sampling points followed the sugarcane rows, there were 3 points and then replicated in 4 points backwards. Gas sampling in mung bean site, were taken at 4 point, and considered as replication. The sampling time also followed the time of fertilization, which were applied once in a week, so the gas sampling was taken in 2 and 5 days after fertilization in three weeks in a row, so there were 6 measurements. There were 8 sampling points for the maize site which were taken at two different types of soil, vertisol and inceptisol, so there was 4 sampling points each soil types considered as replication. Sampling time also followed the time of fertilization, that were 2, 5, 9, 14, 28, and 42 days after fertilization.

Sampling time at rubber plantation was a bit different than the other site. The gases sampling did not follow the fertilization time, because when we conduct the research, there were still no rain, even if it should be the rainy season, that was why the farmers had not applied any fertilizer yet. So, we decided to take the gas samples in every week for about 5 weeks, only as a baseline emission. The sampling points were also different. In rubber site, we took the samples on the plate under the rubber

Table 1. N-fertilizer and soil properties at 4 different sites

Crops	Source of fertilizer applied	Amount of N (kg ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)	Soil properties				Particle size distribution (%)		
			Water content	N	C	pH	sand	silt	clay
Sugarcane	Ammonium sulfat	132	2.74 ± 0.3	0.05 ± 0.01	0.86 ± 0.1	5.8 ± 0.2	42.2	33.2	21.6
Maize (vertisol)	Urea	147.2	4.27 ± 0.3	0.12 ± 0	0.75 ± 0.2	7.37 ± 0.1	6.8	25.2	68.1
Maize (Inceptisol)	Urea	184	3.02 ± 0.1	0.08 ± 0	0.77 ± 0.2	6.26 ± 0.2	10.9	38.8	50.3
Mung bean	Urea	30	2	0.08	1.17	6.7	7.54	41.64	50.8
Mature rubber	Urea	120	±	0.11 ± 0.02	1.12 ± 0.01	5.8 ± 0.1	3.8	46.3	49.9
Immature rubber	Urea and inorganic compound	35.58	±	0.08 ± 0.01	1.42 ± 0.36	6.32 ± 0.1	9.3	14	76.7

and in between the rubbers. We were taking into account that the fluxes from those two different points were significantly different, considering there were any effect from root respiration (but the effect of root respiration itself, were not our concern in this research) at the plate under the rubber and also this place was where the fertilizers were applied. For the young rubber, since it was not yielding yet, as mention previously, farmer also cultivated cassava in between the plant. The sampling points were replicated 4 times.

Measurement of N₂O Fluxes

N₂O fluxes were measured using static chamber and gas chromatography techniques (Wang and Wang 2003). The closed chamber was made from 4 mm thick acrylic materials consisted of two parts, a square box (without a bottom, length × width × height = 60 cm x 20 cm x 30 cm) and an anchor (length × width = 60 cm × 20 cm). There were two holes in the top of the box, one hole for placing the thermometer and the other one was for gas sampling which was equipped with rubber septum. The anchor was inserted directly 10 cm into the soil, and the square box was placed on top during sampling and it was removed afterwards. Samples were taken with 20 ml plastic syringes were attached to a three-way stopcock at 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 min following chamber closure, respectively, and then injected into 10 ml evacuated glass vial. N₂O concentrations in the samples were analyzed in the laboratory within 24 hours following sampling using a gas chromatography (Varian GHG 450 Series, a GC System, Varian, Netherlands). The gas chromatography was equipped with an electron capture detector (ECD) for N₂O analysis. The gas chromatography configurations for analyzing N₂O concentration were at 50°C column temperature,

350 °C ECD temperature and 100 °C injector temperature. The methods for calculating the gas flux were the same as those described by IAEA (1992):

$$E = \frac{Bm}{Vm} \times \frac{\Delta C}{\Delta t} \times \frac{V}{A} \times \frac{273.2}{T + 273.2}$$

where E is N₂O flux (mg m⁻² min⁻¹), Bm is molecular weight of N₂O (g), Vm is molecular volume of N₂O at standard temperature and pressure (22,411), Δc/Δt is changes of N₂O concentration over time (ppm per min), V is chamber volume (m³), A is chamber area (m²) and T is mean air temperature inside the chamber during gas sampling (°C).

N₂O flux was calculated based on the rate of change in N₂O concentration within the chamber, which was estimated as the slope of linear regression between concentration and time. All the coefficients of determination (R²) of the linear regression were greater than 0.80 in our study.

Soil Sampling and Analyses

Fresh soil samples (0-20 cm) were taken from each field, but it was only taken once at all of measurements time. It was taken at the first gas sampling. Three sub samples were collected from each sampling point and composited into one soil sample, mixed and placed in plastic bags after manual removal of visible plant residue and roots. Soil samples were analyzed for soil water content (oven-drying method), total N (Kjeldahl method), total C (spectrofotography), particle size distribution and pH.

Statistical Analysis

The effect of different sampling time, soil types, growth phase and sampling point were analyzed with Minitab version 16 Software, the significant effects




Figure 1. Daily N₂O fluxes from sugarcane site.

of the treatment were examined by using a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). When significant differences were detected at $P = 0.01$, the mean values were compared by using Tukey's pairwise comparison test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Daily fluxes from sugarcane plantation were likely to have a trend following the days after fertilization (DAF). There were small fluxes at 2 and 5 DAF amounted to 485 and 362 $\mu\text{g N}_2\text{O m}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$. It led to a very high increasing at 9 and 29 DAF amounted to 1955 and 2236 $\mu\text{g N}_2\text{O m}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$, and slowly decreasing at 50 DAF with the amount of 1582 $\mu\text{g N}_2\text{O m}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ (Figure 1). The fluxes began to soar after a week of fertilizer application. This is lower than what Den mead *et al.* (2010) has discovered from Australian sugarcane soils.

N_2O daily fluxes measured from mung-bean site are presented in Figure 2. The measurements were conducted at 2 and 5 days following fertilizing in a growing season. Mean fluxes at 2 DAF were ranged from 778 – 1488 $\mu\text{g N}_2\text{O m}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$, while at 5 DAF were ranged from 1,370 – 1,906 $\mu\text{g N}_2\text{O m}^{-2}\text{day}^{-1}$ (Figure 2). This resulted that N_2O fluxes at 2 DAF were always smaller than those at 5 DAF measurements. The farmer applied N fertilizer in liquid form once in a week. The results of the soil analysis showed the dominant fraction was clay. At

the research site, C/N ratio was more than 10, which means that the soil organic matter decomposition is still experiencing. That soil organic matter in question might be residual roots of rice plants from the previous crop.

The N inputs for mung bean were very small actually, it was only 30 $\text{kg N ha}^{-1}\text{yr}^{-1}$, but what we have shown in Figure 2 there was a high N_2O emission from the site. What we could presume is that the emission occurred, due to embedded biomass from previous season, which was rice. In aerobic conditions at the root zone, there will be nitrification forming N_2O . Increasing soil C contents in the surface soil appears to increase the risk of N_2O emissions from a cropped soil (Barton *et al.* 2015; Corsi *et al.* 2012).

As mentioned on methodology, our measurement at maize site, covered two different type of soil, inceptisol and vertisol. Apparently, the emissions from these two soil type were constantly different. N_2O emissions from maize at inceptisol soil tended to be lower than those at vertisol soil. This was in accordance with our previous research at rice field (Susilawati *et al.* 2015). It was likely that N_2O production not only determined from water regimes condition in the farm, but also by soil characteristic as there were no flooding in maize. What we could presumed is that vertisol soil with its characteristic, which physically has a high clay content led to high N_2O emission. Clayey soils tend




Figure 2. Daily fluxes from mung bean site.




Figure 3. Daily N₂O emission from maize site. —◆—: vertisol, —■—: inceptisol.




Figure 4. Weekly N₂O fluxes from mature and young rubber plantation sites.

to show greater N₂O emissions than sandy soils (Brentrup *et al.* 2000), due to the small amount of macropores which would increase anaerobic microsites, that led to increasing N₂O emissions.

Gas measurement following days after fertilizing at maize site are presented in Figure 3. It was high at 2 DAF and continued to decrease until 42 DAF at vertisol soil. This is showed that as fertilizer applied, the processes involved in denitrification and nitrification running soon after (Dobbie *et al.* 1999). Whilst at inceptisol soil, the denitrification-nitrification were running slowly until peaks at 5 DAF and decreased afterwards. Maize crops only absorbed about 50-60% N input, almost 2 % lost as N₂O emission (Stevens and Laughlin 1998; Stevens *et al.* 1997).

The measurement of N₂O emission at rubber plantation were determined weekly, without considering DAF as there was no fertilizer applied during our measurement. After 5 times measurement, it resulted that N₂O flux was fluctuated for each week, either on the young or mature rubber. The following figure shows that the value of the flux on the young rubber is always higher than the mature. Measurement of N₂O on 2 October at both locations showed a peak, this was occurred after rainfall (data not shown). After the irrigation or rainfall, WFPS increased, making the conditions conducive for N₂O production (Ray *et al.* 2013), which resulted in high N₂O emissions. Many workers have also found that with the increase in WFPS, soil redox potential becomes




Figure 5. N₂O fluxes from different rubber growth phase.




Figure 6. N₂O emission from different sampling points at rubber plantation site.

favorable for denitrification, and soil microbial activity increases with a rise in temperature and soil moisture (Gödde and Conrad 2000; Ding *et al.* 2007; Davidson 2009). Many studies have reported that soil water content expressed as water-filled pore space above 60% (Dobbie and Smith 2003a; Sehy, 2003) and soil temperature above 10°C (Horváth *et al.* 2010; Ma *et al.* 2010) were conducive to enhancing N₂O emissions. Lessard *et al.* (1996) noted that a rise in N₂O fluxes coincided with high soil NO₃-N content and high water content following rainfall.

N₂O fluxes based on cropping phase difference are shown in the following figure. The young rubber turns out produced N₂O fluxes higher than the mature rubber, it is very possible because of the influence of

fertilization and also the growth stage itself. In young rubber, there was intercropping with cassava plant, so the influence of fertilization from cassava which were likely to affect N₂O flux. While at mature rubber, the last fertilization were conducted in February.

As mentioned earlier at methodology, each sampling site consisted of two points, which were on the plate under the rubber and in between the rubbers. Figure 6 shows that N₂O fluxes on the plate under the rubber as well as on the side line of rubber plant on young phase were greater than the mature one. The fluxes in each phase on the plate were greater than those between the rubber plants (Figure 6.). This is due to the effect of the fertilizer applied location, which is usually performed at around the rubber plate.

As a whole, N₂O emissions were low for all crops in Indonesian lowland rain-fed area compared to boreal agricultural mineral soils in Finland which were ranged from 0,12 to 12 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹year⁻¹ (Regina *et al.* 2013) and from tropical peatlands in Kalimantan, annual N₂O emissions were higher, ranged from 2,98 to 18,96 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹year⁻¹ for five secondary forest and six agriculture land uses (Hadi *et al.* 2002). Fluxes from rubber plantation were relatively small compare to other measure crops in this study due to N fertilizer. Application of mineral N-fertilizers into agricultural soils usually results in increasing N₂O emissions (MacKenzie *et al.* 1998; Dobbie and Smith *et al.* 2003b; Jones *et al.* 2007; Rizhiya *et al.* 2011). However, there is contradictory information on linearity between applied N rates and N₂O emissions from soils. According to results reported by Gregorich *et al.* (2005), N₂O emission from agricultural soils increased linearly with the applied amount of mineral N fertilizer. At N rates not exceeding or equal to those required for maximum yields, N rates tended to create a linear response in N₂O emissions, with approximately 1% of applied mineral N lost as N₂O (Bouwman 1996; Halvorson *et al.* 2008). The emission from maize were highest among other crops, due to highest N fertilizer (Table 1), this coincide with any other study. As for rubber, there were no fertilizer added prior to the measurement.

Measurement of N₂O fluxes following days after fertilizing showed a very different pattern

among crops. Generally, the highest N₂O fluxes occurred in the first or second week after application of N fertilizers to the soil (Liu *et al.* 2005, 2006; Schils *et al.* 2008). According to Zhang and Han (2008), the effect of fertilization disappears approximately two months after the application of N. At sugarcane site, the peak started to increase in 9 and 29 DAF then decreased afterwards. While at mungbean and maize, the fluxes showed a peak at 5 DAF. One form of N loss that is not absorbed by plants is N₂O emissions (Granli and Bockman 1994). After the application of fertilizer and the absorption ineffective, it will appear on soaring N₂O flux and the effect of fertilization disappears approximately two months after the application of N. The application of urea will cause a delay time of N₂O fluxes compare to ammonium nitrate fertilizer, as mentioned by Signor and Cerri (2013). This delay time might be attributed to a reduced availability of N at the beginning of the experimental periods, since the N in urea has to be hydrolyzed before being available for nitrification and denitrification processes.

N₂O flux from agricultural soils depends on a complex interaction between climatic factors, soil properties and soil management (Henault *et al.* 1998). The proportion of N₂O in the total flux of N gases emitted from soils is also influenced by soil type (Stevens and Laughlin 1998). Clayey soils tend to show greater N₂O emissions than sandy soils (Brentrup *et al.* 2000), and N management may

Table 2. Significance of the impacts of sampling time, soil type, growth phase and sampling point on N₂O emission from different crops in Central Java.

Crops	Sampling time (DAF)	Soil type	Growth phase	Sampling point
Sugarcane	**	no	no	ns
Maize	**	**		ns
Mung bean	*	no	no	ns
Rubber plantation	ns	no	**	ns

Table 3. Mean and range of N₂O emission among different crops in Central Java.

Crops	(ug N ₂ O m ⁻² day ⁻¹)	
	Mean	Range
Sugarcane	1371.4b	90.9 - 8919.5
Maize	3107.6a	311.9 - 9651.6
Mung bean	1326.4bc	227.0 - 3638.9
Rubber plantation	519.1c	16.1 - 2270.7

increase the emission of N₂O, particularly in soils of fine texture and without mobilization before seeding (Chen *et al.* 2008, Tan *et al.* 2009). N₂O emissions induced by soil management practices and by rain were four times greater in a clay loam soil than in a loamy sand (Tan *et al.* 2009). This occurred in our measurement at maize with two different type of soil. Apparently, the emission from vertisol (high clay soil) was bigger than that from inceptisol (a sandy loam soil). Neill *et al.* (2005) reported that emissions in sandy soils occur with greater soil moisture than that necessary for similar emissions in a clayey soil. The fluxes from mung bean site were quite high due to previous crop residue. The higher soil moisture, due to the crop residue in (Baggs *et al.* 2006), can increase microbial activity near the soil surface, consuming the available O₂ and creating anaerobic microsites. Liu *et al.* (2011) studied N₂O emissions in a crop rotation system, in China, and showed that the incorporation of maize and wheat straw significantly increased the soil temperature, due to their heat-retaining property. The biochemical composition of plant residues added to the soil is responsible for higher or lower N₂O emissions (Gomes *et al.* 2009), because the maintenance of straw on the soil surface affects the N mobilization and immobilization and, consequently, the N availability in the soil, and also the nitrification and denitrification processes.

Growth stage also led to significantly different emissions, as we found out in measurement at rubber plantation. Earlier studies have established that higher amount of photosynthesized carbon is allocated to roots during the vegetative growth stages (Fu *et al.* 2002; Meng *et al.* 2013). Increase in available carbon leads to higher activity of denitrifying soil microbes, which causes higher N₂O emissions (Qian *et al.* 1997; Sey *et al.* 2010).

CONCLUSIONS

Nitrous oxide measurements at different site of crops, showed a very different value. Different crops resulted in different N₂O emission due to differences in management, agronomical and environmental factors. Measurement following the days after fertilizer application showed different pattern among different crops. What we could be concluded that daily N₂O fluxes from managed soil of rain-fed lowland in Indonesia determine by several factors, which were days after fertilizing, fertilizer type and dosage, previous land use, growth phase of crops, sampling point and soil characteristic. The peak time mostly influenced by crop types. Maize

has the highest N₂O daily fluxes with the range of 311.9 - 9651.6 ugN₂O m⁻²day⁻¹ and rubber plantation has the lowest with the range of 16.1 - 2270.7 ug N₂O m⁻²day⁻¹. This showed that GHG emissions were having a very high variability in spatial and temporal. Measurement of N₂O from managed soil to determine annual emissions should be done at all crop types, soil types, considering crops growth phase and also high sampling frequency to prevent an over- or under estimation.

REFERENCES

- Adviento-Borbe MAA, ML Haddix, DL Binder, DT Walters and A Dobermann. 2007. Soil greenhouse gas fluxes and global warming potential in four high-yielding maize systems. *Glob Change Biol* 13: 1972-1988
- Baggs EM, RM Rees, K Castle, A Scott, KA Smith and AJ Vinten. 2002. Nitrous oxide release from soils receiving N-rich crop residues and paper mill sludge in eastern Scotland. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 90: 109-113.
- Barton L, B Wolf, D Rowlings, C Scheer, R Kiese, P Grace, K Stefanova and K Butterbach-Bahl. 2015. Sampling frequency affects estimates of annual nitrous oxide fluxes. *Scientific Report* 5: 15912. doi: 10.1038/srep15912.
- Brentrup, F. 2000. Methods to estimate on-field nitrogen emissions from crop production as an input to LCA studies in the agricultural sector. *Int J Life Cycle Assess* 5: 349-357.
- Buchkina NP, YE Rizhiya, VP Sergey and EV Balashov. 2013. Soil Physical Properties and Nitrous Oxide Emission from Agricultural Soils. *Advances in Agrophysical Research*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/53061>.
- Bouwman AF. 1996. Direct emission of nitrous oxide from agricultural soils. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst* 46: 53-70. doi: 10.1007/BF00210224.
- Chen ST, Y Huang and JW Zou. 2008. Relationship between nitrous oxide emission and winter wheat production. *Biol Fertil Soils* 44: 985-989.
- Cheng W, DW Johnson, and S Fu. 2003. Rhizosphere effect on decomposition: Controls of plant species, phenology, and fertilization. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 67:1418-27. doi:10.2136/sssaj2003.1418.
- Corsi A, T Friedrich, A Kassam, M. Pisante and J de Moraes Sa. 2012. Soil Organic Carbon Accumulation and Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions from Conservation Agriculture: A literature review. *Integrated Crop Management* Vol. 16. FAO, Rome, 89 p.
- Davidson EA, PA Matson and PD Brooks. 1996. Nitrous oxide emission controls and inorganic nitrogen dynamics in fertilized tropical agricultural soils. *Soil Sci Soc Am J*. 60: 1145-52
- Davidson E. 2009. The contribution of manure and fertilizer nitrogen to atmospheric nitrous oxide since 1860. *Nature Geosci* 2: 659-62. doi:10.1038/ngeo608.

- Ding W, Y Cai, Z Cai, K Yagi, and X Zheng. 2007. Soil Respiration under Maize Crops: Effects of Water, Temperature, and Nitrogen Fertilization. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 71: 944-951. doi:10.2136/sssaj2006.0160.
- Denmead OT, BCT Macdonald, G Bryant, T Naylor, S Wilson, DWT Griffith, WJ Wang, B Salter, I White and PW Moody. 2010. Emissions of Methane and Nitrous Oxide From Australian Sugarcane Soils. *Agric Forest Meteorol* 150: 748-756.
- Dobbie KE and KA Smith. 2003a. Nitrous oxide emission factor for agricultural soils in Great Britain: in impact of eater filled pore space and other controlling variable. *Glob Change Biol* 9: 204-218.
- Dobbie KE and KA Smith. 2003b. Impact of different forms of N fertilizer on N₂O emissions from intensive grassland. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst* 67: 37-46.
- Dobbie KE, IP McTaggart and KA Smith. 1999. Nitrous oxide emissions from intensive agricultural systems: variations between crops and seasons; key driving variables; and mean emission factors. *J Geophys Res* 104: 26891-26899.
- FAO. 2010. The State of Food Insecurity in The World : Addressing in food insecurity in protracted crisis. FAO Publishing Branch, Rome, Italy, 62 p.
- Fu S, W Cheng and R Susfalk. 2002. Rhizosphere respiration varies with plant species and phenology: A greenhouse pot experiment. *Plant Soil* 239: 133-40. doi:10.1023/A:1014959701396.
- Granli T and OC Bøckman. 1994. Nitrous oxide from agriculture. *Norwegian J Agric Sci* 12: 7-128.
- Gregorich EG, P Rochette, AJ VandenBygaart and DA Angers. 2005. Greenhouse gas contributions of agricultural soils and potential mitigations practices in Eastern Canada. *Soil Till Res* 83: 53-72.
- Gödde M, and R Conrad. 2000. Influence of soil properties on the turnover of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide by nitrification and denitrification at constant temperature and moisture. *Biol Fertil Soils* 32: 120-28. doi:10.1007/s003740000247.
- Gomes J. 2009. Soil nitrous oxide emissions in long-term cover crops-based rotations under subtropical climate. *Soil Till Res* 106: 36-44.
- Halvorson AD, SJ Del Grosso and CA Reule. 2008. Nitrogen, tillage, and crop rotation effects on nitrous oxide emissions from irrigated cropping systems. *J Environ Qual* 37: 1337-1344.
- Henault C, X Devis, S Page, E Justes, R Reau and JC Germon. 1998. Nitrous oxide emissions from different soil and land management conditions. *Biol Fertil Soils* 26: 199-207.
- Hadi A, K Inubushi, E Purnomo, F Razie, K Yamakawa and H Tsuruta. 2002. Effect of land use changes on nitrous oxide emission from tropical peatlands. *Chemosphere-Global Change Sci* 2: 347-358.
- Horváth L, B Grosz, A Machon, Z Tuba, Z Nagy, SZ Czóbel, J Balogh, E Péli, SZ Fóti, T Weidinger, K Pintér and E Fűrér. 2010. Estimation of nitrous oxide emission from Hungarian semi-arid sandy and loess grasslands; effect of soil parameters, grazing, irrigation and use of fertilizer. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 139: 255-263. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2010.08.011.
- Hu XK, F Su, XT Ju, B Gao, O Oenema, P Christie, BX Huang, RF Jiang and FS Zhang. 2013. Greenhouse gas emissions from a wheat-maize double cropping system with different nitrogen fertilization regimes. *Environ Pollut* 176: 198-207.
- Indonesia First Biennial Update Report Under The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). 2015. Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan.
- Indonesia Second National Communication Under The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). 2010. *Present and Future Generation*. Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup.
- IAEA [International Atomic Energy Agency]. 1992. Manual on measurement of methane and nitrous oxide emission from agricultural, 91p. IAEA-TECDOC-674 Vienna: IAEA
- IPCC [Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change]. *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Historical Overview of Climate Change Sciences*. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Geneva.
- Jones SK, RM Rees, UM Skiba and BC Ball. 2007. Influence of organic and mineral N fertilizer on N₂O fluxes from a temperate grassland. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 121: 74-83.
- Jones SK, D Famulari, CF Di Marco, E Nemitz UM Skiba, RM Rees and MA Sutton. 2011. Nitrous oxide emissions from managed grassland: a comparison of eddy covariance and static chamber measurements *Atmos Meas Tech* 4: 1079-1112.
- Lessard R, P Rochette, EG Gregorich, E Pattey, and RL Desjardin. 1996. Nitrous oxide fluxes from manure-amended soil under maize. *J Environ Qual* 25: 1371-1377. doi:10.2134/jeq1996.00472425002500060029x.
- Liu XJ, AR Mosier, AD Halvorson and FS Zhang. 2005. Tillage and nitrogen application effects on nitrous and nitric oxide emissions from irrigated corn fields. *Plant Soil* 276: 235-249.
- Liu XJ, AR Mosier, AD Halvorson and FS Zhang. 2006. The impact of nitrogen placement and tillage on NO, N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes from a clay loam soil. *Plant Soil* 280: 177-188.
- Liu C. 2011. Effects of irrigation, fertilization and crop straw management on nitrous oxide and nitric oxide emissions from a wheat-maize rotation field in northern China. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 140: 226-233.
- Ma BL, TY Wu, N Tremblay, W Deen, MJ Morrison, NB McLaughlin, EG Gregorich and G Stewart. 2010. Nitrous oxide fluxes from corn fields: On-farm assessment of the amount and timing of nitrogen fertilizer. *Glob Change Biol* 16: 156-70. doi:10.1111/(ISSN)1365-2486.
- MacKenzie AF, MX Fan and F Cadrin. 1998. Nitrous oxide emission in three years as affected by tillage, corn-soybean-alfalfa rotations, and nitrogen fertilization. *J Environ Qual* 27: 698-703.

- Meng F, JAJ Dungait, X Zhang, M He, Y Guo and W Wu. 2013. Investigation of photosynthate-C allocation 27 days after ¹³C-pulse labeling of *Zea mays* L. at different growth stages. *Plant Soil* 373: 755-764. doi:10.1007/s11104-013-1841-7.
- Mosier AR. 2002. Environmental challenges associated with needed increases in global nitrogen fixation. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst* 63: 101-116.
- Neill C, PA Steudler, DC Garcia-Montiel, JM Melillo, BJ Feigl, MC Piccolo and CC Cerri. 2005. Rates and controls of nitrous oxide and nitric oxide emissions following conversion of forest to pasture in Rondônia. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst* 71: 1-15.
- Qian JH, JW Doran and DT Walters. 1997. Maize plant contributions to root zone available carbon and microbial transformations of nitrogen. *Soil Biol Biochem* 29: 1451-1462. doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(97)00043-6.
- Regina, K., J Kaseva, M Esala. 2013. Emissions of nitrous oxide from boreal agricultural mineral soils—Statistical models based on measurements. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 164: 131-136.
- Ray S, A Mohanty, TS Ramulu and GR Chaudhury. 2013. Emission of nitrous oxide and methane from alluvial soil through incubation. *J Environ Eng Landscape Manage* 21: 224-32. doi:10.3846/16486897.2012.745414.
- Rizhiya EY, LV Boitsova, NP Buchkina and GG Panova. 2011. The influence of plant residues with different C/N ratio on nitrous oxide emission from Chernozem loamy-sand soil. *Eurasian J Soil Sci* 10: 1251-1259.
- Robertson GP, EA Paul and RR Harwood. 2000. Greenhouse gases in intensive agriculture: contributions of individual gases to the radiative forcing of the atmosphere. *Science* 289: 1922-1925.
- Schils RLM, JW Van Groenigen, GL Velthof and PJ Kuikman. 2008. Nitrous oxide emissions from multiple combined applications of fertilizer and cattle slurry to grassland. *Plant Soil* 310: 89-101.
- Sey BK, AM Manceur, JK Whalen, EG Gregorich and P Rochette. 2010. Root-derived respiration and nitrous oxide production as affected by crop phenology and nitrogen fertilization. *Plant Soil* 326: 369-79. doi:10.1007/s11104-009-0018-x
- Sehy U, R Ruser and JC. Munch. 2003. Nitrous oxide fluxes from maize fields: Relationship to yield, site-specific fertilization, and soil conditions. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 99: 97-111. doi:10.1016/S0167-8809(03)00139-7.
- Signor D and CEP Cerri. 2013. Nitrous oxide emission in agricultural soil: a review. *Pesq Agropec Trop Goiânia* 43: 322-338.
- Soil Taxonomy. 2014. Keys to Soil Taxonomy. United State Department of Agriculture (USDA), Natural Resources Conservation Service. Twelfth Edition.
- Statistic Indonesia. 2014. Table of Harvested Area-Productivity-Production Paddy in All Provinces. http://www.bps.go.id/eng/tmn_n_pgn.php?kat=3&id_subyek=53¬ab=0, Accessed on December, 2014.
- Stehfest E and AF Bouwman. 2006. N₂O and NO emission from agricultural fields and soils under natural vegetation: summarizing available measurement data and modelling of global annual emissions. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst* 74: 207-288.
- Susilawati HL, P Setyanto, AK Makarim, M Ariani, K Ito, K Inubushi. 2015. Effects of steel slag applications on CH₄, N₂O and the yields of Indonesian rice fields: a case study during 2 consecutive rice-growing seasons at 2 sites. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 16: 704-718.
- Tan IYS. 2009. Single-event nitrous oxide losses under maize production as affected by soil type, tillage, rotation, and fertilization. *Soil Till Res* 102: 19-26.
- Vallejo A, U Skiba, L Garcia-Torres, A Acre, S Kopeznernandez and L Sanchez-Martin. 2006. Nitrous oxide emission from soils bearing a potato crop as influenced by fertilization with treated pig slurry and compost. *Soil Biol Biochem* 38: 2782-2793.
- Wrage N, Velthof GL van Beusichem ML and O Oenema. 2001. Role of nitrification-denitrification in the production of nitrous oxide. *Soil Biol Biochem* 33: 1723-1732.
- Zhang J and X Han. 2008. N₂O emission from the semi-arid ecosystem under mineral fertilizer (urea and superphosphate) and increased precipitation in northern China. *Atmos Environ* 42: 291-302.