

Graduate Theses, Dissertations, and Problem Reports

2006

Stratigraphic and structural analysis of the J1 Sandstone, Scotts Bluff Trend, Scotts Bluff and Morrill counties, Nebraska

Jeremy M. Wolpert West Virginia University

Follow this and additional works at: https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/etd

Recommended Citation

Wolpert, Jeremy M., "Stratigraphic and structural analysis of the J1 Sandstone, Scotts Bluff Trend, Scotts Bluff and Morrill counties, Nebraska" (2006). *Graduate Theses, Dissertations, and Problem Reports.* 2393. https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/etd/2393

This Thesis is protected by copyright and/or related rights. It has been brought to you by the The Research Repository @ WVU with permission from the rights-holder(s). You are free to use this Thesis in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses you must obtain permission from the rights-holder(s) directly, unless additional rights are indicated by a Creative Commons license in the record and/ or on the work itself. This Thesis has been accepted for inclusion in WVU Graduate Theses, Dissertations, and Problem Reports collection by an authorized administrator of The Research Repository @ WVU. For more information, please contact researchrepository@mail.wvu.edu.

STRATIGRAPHIC AND STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS OF THE J1 SANDSTONE, SCOTTS BLUFF TREND, SCOTTS BLUFF AND MORRILL COUNTIES, NEBRASKA

Jeremy M. Wolpert

Thesis Submitted to the College of Arts and Sciences at West Virginia University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

> Master of Science in Geology

Richard Smosna, Ph.D., Chair David Oldham, Ph.D. Thomas Kammer, Ph.D.

Department of Geology and Geography

Morgantown, West Virginia 2006

Keywords: Scotts Bluff Trend, J Sandstone, Lower Cretaceous, Dakota Group, Denver Basin, Nebraska

ABSTRACT

STRATIGRAPHIC AND STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS OF THE J1 SANDSTONE, SCOTTS BLUFF TREND, SCOTTS BLUFF AND MORRILL COUNTIES, NEBRASKA

Jeremy M. Wolpert

Scotts Bluff Trend is a group of 10 oil fields that produce from the Cretaceous J Sandstone. Production was previously thought to be aligned along this trend due to structural influence.

For this study well logs were utilized in analyzing structural and stratigraphic elements, as well as hydrocarbon predictability. The Scotts Bluff Trend appears to sit upon a residual structural high. A comprehensive look reveals that stratigraphic influence is superimposed on the structural high.

J1 Sandstone reservoirs were mapped assuming a NW – SE depositional trend. Deposition was in a shallow-marine bar environment. Central-bar, bar-margin and interbar facies are recognized which interfinger laterally into the surrounding trapping siltstone.

A predictor of hydrocarbons was attempted by way of resistivity mapping. Although unsuccessful, use of these resistivity maps in conjunction with structural and stratigraphic maps has led to the recognition of at least seven prospects.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to extend my sincerest appreciation to my advisor Dr. Richard Smosna. He has provided endless insight and encouragement for this project. Thanks for your belief in me when I didn't have it. Your mentoring will prove useful even outside the world of geology. Also instrumental was Dr. David Oldham. Thank you for the endless conversations, support and wisdom. You have provided me with endless tools for my professional career. Maybe one day we'll share a glass of champagne over a wellhead. To Dr. Thomas Kammer, thank you for your close scrutiny of this project. You truly have made this work extremely better with your review.

Additionally I would like to pay thanks to Equitable Supply and Seneca Resources Corporation for their support and patience throughout this entire process.

Thank you mom for your life-long encouragement and support in all the things I have accomplished. Your guidance and ideals will be with me everywhere I go.

To my supportive wife, Alisha. With out you, I would have failed many times over. You have been my biggest supporter. Thank you for the encouragement and patience over the last two years. And remember, no more late nights with me at work plugging away.

Lastly and most importantly, to my Savior and Lord of My Life, Jesus Christ. Thank you for the blessings you bestow upon me, even though I am unworthy. I am indebted to you for eternity.

"Jesus answered, "I am the way and the truth and the life. No one comes to the Father except through me..."

~ John 14:6

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xi
INTRODUCTION	1
STRATIGRAPHY	
WESTERN NEBRASKA	
NORTHERN COLORADO	
OIL MATURATION AND MIGRATION	
ANALYTICAL APPROACH	
INTERPRETATION OF MAPS AND CROSS-SECTIONS	
STRUCTURE	
J SANDSTONE STRUCTURE 2 nd ORDER RESIDUAL MAP OF THE J SANDSTONE STRUCTURE STRATIGRAPHY	
ISOPACH OF HUNTSMAN SHALE MARKER TO J SANDSTONE ISOPACH OF NET J1 SANDSTONE – NO BIAS ISOPACH OF NET J1 SANDSTONE – GEOLOGIC BIAS CROSS-SECTIONS	
CEDAR VALLEY MINATARE FIELD	
EXPLORATORY PREDICTORS	
MAXIMUM RESISTIVITY – ALL WELLS	
MAXIMUM RESISTIVITY – NON PRODUCERS ONLY	
CONCLUSIONS	

REFERENCES	
APPENDIX	

LIST OF FIGURES

Page
Figure 1: Map showing the 10 oil fields that comprise the Scotts Bluff Trend. Shown for each
field is the production through 1987 (from Silverman, 1988)
Figure 2: Stratigraphic column of the Denver basin. Targeted formations in this study are
shaded gray (modified from Silverman, 1988)4
Figure 3: Geographical distribution of all study areas discussed in the text. Exum and Harms'
(1968) western area is the marine-bar study while the eastern contains the valley-fill.
Graham (2000) completed a more regional sequence stratigraphic look at the J Sandstone 6
Figure 4: Stratigraphic section of J Sandstone in Exum and Harms study areas. Figure shows
the different J1 sections (modified from Exum and Harms, 1968)7
Figure 5: Map showing the northwest – southeast upper J1 trend and the northeast – southwest
lower J1 trend. Cross-section in Figure 7 depicted across Willson Ranch field (modified
from Exum and Harms, 1968)7
Figure 6: Cores showing the three interpreted facies within the marine bar setting. Note the
interfingering of lithologies (from Exum and Harms, 1968).
Figure 7: Cross-section across Willson Ranch field depicting the effect interfingering of shale
and sandstone has on SP log responses. Lower cross-section exhibits the change in clay
content (concentration of fixed negative charges) as calculated from SP and x-ray
diffraction of cores. Note clay content decreases (lighter shading) with proximity to
reservoir axis. Line of section depicted on Figure 5 (from Exum and Harms, 1968) 10
Figure 8: Block diagram depiction of the ribbon-like valley-fill reservoir. Structural relief is
shown on top of the J Sandstone and made visible with east-to-west lines. Oil production is
found where northwest-plunging anticlinal noses intersect the valley-fill deposits (from
Exum and Harms, 1968)
Figure 9: Comparison of reservoir geometries (from Exum and Harms, 1968)12
Figure 10: Nomenclature of Graham (modified from Graham, 2000)
Figure 11: Graham's first and second depositional systems (from Graham, 2000)
Figure 12: Graham's third depositional system (from Graham, 2000)
Figure 13: Composite isopach of MS-6 and MS-5 informal members (from Graham, 2000) 17

Figure 14: Log showing the transition into the MS-4 informal member (modified from Graham,
2000)
Figure 15: Isopach map of MS-3 informal member (from Graham, 2000)21
Figure 16: Isopach map of MS-2 informal member (from Graham, 2000)
Figure 17: Isopach map of MS-1 informal member (from Graham, 2000)
Figure 18: Generalized geology of the study area. Structural top of the Dakota Group with a
1000' contour interval (from Silverman, 1988)
Figure 19: C ₇ hydrocarbon distribution of Denver Basin oils. Oils produced from different
Cretaceous-aged reservoirs from throughout the Denver basin are compositionally similar
(from Clayton and Swetland, 1980)
Figure 20: Vitrinite reflectance (% R_o) values with their respective gas chromatograms of C_{15} +
saturated hydrocarbons from selected shales within the Denver basin. Thermally mature
shales (samples 20 & 36) have $\ensuremath{^{\circ}}\ensuremath{R_o}$ values ranging from 0.6 – 1.3 and a single peak gas
chromatogram distribution. Bimodal gas chromatograms (sample 22 & 32) and lower $\% R_o$
values (<0.60) reflect thermally immature shales. %Ro values from eastern Colorado
(sample 32) are representative of southwest Nebraska R_o values (from Clayton and
Swetland, 1980)
Figure 21: Distribution of vitrinite reflectance values of shales throughout the Denver basin.
Along the basin axis, thermally mature shales have vitrinite reflectance values ranging from
0.62 - 0.85 %R _o , while the basin flanks (eastern Colorado, western Nebraska) have
immature shales below the critical 0.60 $\%$ R _o value (modified from Clayton and Swetland,
1980)
Figure 22: C ₇ hydrocarbon distributions of shale cuttings and oil samples taken from two wells
in southwestern Nebraska. Included is a stained J Sandstone cutting (6Δ) from one of these
wells. The oil stained J sample and produced oils from the area are compositionally the
same. Note, there is a disconnect between the J & oil sample compositions and the shale
compositions. Produced oils had to migrate laterally into the area (modified from Clayton
and Swetland, 1980)
Figure 23: Map showing the areal extents of 500 data points with well logs
Figure 24: Sample well log (26157051290000, Section 28 - T21N - R55W, NENE) showing the
three picks

Figure 25: Structural contour map of the top of the J Sandstone. 10 known oil fields are labeled.
Note the structural "nosing" that extends across the trend. Contour interval 50 feet. Each
section is approximately one square mile in area
Figure 26: High-resolution structural contour map of Minatare field. Red squares indicate
producing wells while blue diamonds show dry holes. Contour interval 5 feet. Each section
is approximately one square mile in area
Figure 27: High-resolution structural contour map of Highline and Mustang Canyon field. Red
squares indicate producing wells while blue diamonds show dry holes. Contour interval 5
feet. Each section is approximately one square mile in area
Figure 28: High-resolution structural contour map of Cedar Valley field. Red squares indicate
producing wells while blue diamonds show dry holes. Contour interval 5 feet. Each section
is approximately one square mile in area
Figure 29: Second Order Trend map of the J Sandstone structure. Contour interval 50 feet 43
Figure 30: Second Order Residual map of the J Sandstone structure. Note the residual high that
corresponds to Silverman's trend. Contour interval 10 feet
Figure 31: Isopach map from the Huntsman Shale marker to the top of the J Sandstone. Contour
interval 2 feet
Figure 32: Isopach map of the J1 net Sandstone – no bias. Although contours obey data points,
the isopach lacks depositional grain. Contour interval 2 feet
Figure 33: Isopach map of the J1 net Sandstone – northeast bias parallel to Exum and Harms'
(1968) northeast trend for their lower J1 Sandstone. Contour interval 2 feet
Figure 34: Isopach map of the J1 net Sandstone – north bias parallel to Exum and Harms' (1968)
north trending valley-fill. Contour interval 2 feet
Figure 35: Highline field showing the northwest trends of the reservoirs. Red squares indicate
producing wells while blue diamonds show dry holes
Figure 36: Isopach map of the J1 net Sandstone – northwest bias parallel to Exum and Harms'
(1968) northwest trend for their upper J1 Sandstone. Contour interval 2 feet
Figure 37: Base map showing locations of cross-sections in the study area
Figure 38: Structural cross-section parallel to regional dip across Cedar Valley Field. Each well
log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker,
J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes

illustrate net JT Sandstone thicks and blue line within the JT interval represents an	
interpreted oil-water contact, where possible	3
Figure 39: Stratigraphic cross-section parallel to regional dip across Cedar Valley Field. Each	
well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale	
marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green	
boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an	
interpreted oil-water contact, where possible	4
Figure 40: Structural cross-section parallel to regional strike across Cedar Valley Field. Each	
well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale	
marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green	
boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an	
interpreted oil-water contact, where possible	6
Figure 41: Stratigraphic cross-section parallel to regional strike across Cedar Valley Field. Eac	h
well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale	
marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green	
boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an	
interpreted oil-water contact, where possible 6	7
interpreted oil-water contact, where possible	;7
interpreted oil-water contact, where possible	;7
 interpreted oil-water contact, where possible. Figure 42: Structural cross-section parallel to regional dip across Minatare Field. Each well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes 	57 ;
 interpreted oil-water contact, where possible. Figure 42: Structural cross-section parallel to regional dip across Minatare Field. Each well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an 	57 ;
 interpreted oil-water contact, where possible. Figure 42: Structural cross-section parallel to regional dip across Minatare Field. Each well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an interpreted oil-water contact, where possible. 	57 ; 9
 interpreted oil-water contact, where possible. Figure 42: Structural cross-section parallel to regional dip across Minatare Field. Each well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an interpreted oil-water contact, where possible. Figure 43: Stratigraphic cross-section parallel to regional dip across Minatare Field. Each well 	9
 interpreted oil-water contact, where possible	9
 interpreted oil-water contact, where possible. Figure 42: Structural cross-section parallel to regional dip across Minatare Field. Each well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an interpreted oil-water contact, where possible. Figure 43: Stratigraphic cross-section parallel to regional dip across Minatare Field. Each well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes 	9
 interpreted oil-water contact, where possible	9
 interpreted oil-water contact, where possible	9 0
 interpreted oil-water contact, where possible	57 59 0
 interpreted oil-water contact, where possible	57 5 9
 interpreted oil-water contact, where possible. Figure 42: Structural cross-section parallel to regional dip across Minatare Field. Each well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an interpreted oil-water contact, where possible. Figure 43: Stratigraphic cross-section parallel to regional dip across Minatare Field. Each well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an interpreted oil-water contact, where possible. Figure 43: Stratigraphic cross-section parallel to regional dip across Minatare Field. Each well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an interpreted oil-water contact, where possible. Figure 44: Structural cross-section parallel to regional strike across Minatare Field. Each well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes 	9 0

illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an
interpreted oil-water contact, where possible
Figure 45: Stratigraphic cross-section parallel to regional strike across Minatare Field. Each
well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale
marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green
boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an
interpreted oil-water contact, where possible
Figure 46: Isopach map of the maximum resistivity with all wells. Note that resistivities
diminish on the updip side of oil fields. Contour interval 5 feet
Figure 47: Isopach map of the maximum resistivity with non-productive wells only. Contour
interval 5 feet
Figure 48: Highline field's net J1 Sandstone without the producers. Contour Interval 1 foot81
Figure 49: Isopach map of the maximum resistivity with non-productive wells only (Contour
Interval 5 feet). It is overlain by the net J1 Sandstone isopach with geologic bias (Contour
interval 2 feet). Circles show prospective areas of exploration
Figure 50: Isopach map of the maximum resistivity with non-productive wells only (Contour
Interval 5 feet). It is overlain by the net J1 Sandstone isopach with geologic bias (Contour
interval 2 feet). Circles show prospective areas of exploration and the residual high is
outlined in orange

LIST OF TABLES

Page	è
i uge	•

Table 1: Geological data from the presented cross-sections.	59
---	----

INTRODUCTION

Petroleum exploration in the northeastern Denver basin has been continual for over 60 years. Lower Cretaceous D & J sandstone reservoirs are credited with 90% of the Denver basin's oil and gas production (Clayton and Swetland, 1980). Today's high energy prices, coupled with our ever-declining known reserves, make the mature Denver basin an ideal candidate to reevaluate its petroleum potential. Many times we think that all discoveries have been found in an area, only to later discover that, with a little innovation, there are still untapped reserves (Blakey, 1985).

The main focus of this study is to reexamine the Scotts Bluff Trend for potential reserves and reservoirs (Silverman, 1988). This study is centered on Scotts Bluff County, Nebraska and the western limits of Morrill County (Figure 1). Located here are 10 oil fields that produce from the Cretaceous J1 Sandstone. These fields are aligned along a N60°E trend that Silverman (1988) termed the Scotts Bluff Trend. The trend spans the following townships and ranges: T21 – 23N & R52 – 56W.

In order to revisit Scotts Bluff Trend's potential; a three-fold program is followed. First, the identification of any structural controls on the trend is assessed. Silverman (1988) suggested that oil accumulation is restricted to this trend, because of recurrent movement during the Laramide Orogeny on older Paleozoic basement faults (Tweto, 1980). Determination of structural controls will lead to lower risk in exploration.

The second focus is to document the size and geometry of the J1 Sandstone reservoirs within the Scotts Bluff Trend. This focus also will aid in reducing risk during future hydrocarbon exploration. Understanding the size and geometry of the reservoirs will further assist in understanding the depositional environment of the J1 Sandstone.

Lastly, this research tries to establish a predictor of hydrocarbons based on current knowledge of electrical resistivities, for the study area. With large portions of the study area sparsely drilled, knowledge of where oil is possibly situated, coupled with other potential reservoirs, can lead to additional major fields of interest.



Figure 1: Map showing the 10 oil fields that comprise the Scotts Bluff Trend. Shown for each field is the production through 1987 (from Silverman, 1988).

STRATIGRAPHY

The J Sandstone is part of the Lower Cretaceous Dakota Group (Figure 2). Although there are different stratigraphic nomenclatures for the group (Sonnenberg & Weimer, 1981; Silverman, 1988; Graham, 2000), the following description follows that of Silverman (1988). The Dakota Group is comprised of four sandstones, three of which are reservoirs, and two shale units, which are both potential source beds. From the oldest to the youngest these are: Lakota Sandstone, Plainview Sandstone, Skull Creek Shale, J Sandstone, Huntsman-Mowry Shale, and D Sandstone. The Plainview is the only non-reservoir sandstone in the Dakota Group.

Sedimentation during the Early Cretaceous was the result of cyclic transgression and regression of the Western Interior seaway (Silverman, 1988). The J Sandstone was deposited near the middle of this sequence (Clark, 1978). As this sea transgressed southward, the Skull Creek Shale was deposited. When the sea regressed to the north, the J Sandstone was deposited in shallow-marine environments. Transgressive sediments of the Huntsman-Mowry Shale then covered this sandstone. The group was complete with deposition of the D Sandstone during a final regression of the Dakota Group in the Western Interior seaway (Silverman, 1988).

WESTERN NEBRASKA

The J Sandstone in the study area of western Nebraska can be divided into three units from top to base: J1, J2, and J3. The J1 and J2 sandstones are productive units in the area (mostly J1), whereas the J3 is productive elsewhere in the basin. The J1

ranges from 0 – 40 feet (0 – 12.2 m) thick, and the average oil pay is 10 - 12 feet (3 – 3.7m) thick. The J2 is around 40 feet (12.2 m) thick, and the average oil pay is 8 feet (2.4 m)(Silverman, 1988).



Figure 2: Stratigraphic column of the Denver basin. Targeted formations in this study are shaded gray (modified from Silverman, 1988).

Exum and Harms (1968) conducted a study on J1 reservoirs in eastern Banner County, western Morrill and Cheyenne Counties, Nebraska (Figure 3). The majority of their study was analysis of the J1 Sandstone centered on the Willson Ranch field in eastern Banner County (marine-bar). This investigation was then compared to work previously done by Harms in 1966 (valley-fill). The marine bars are located in a 72square-mile (18,000 hectares) area southeast of the Scotts Bluff Trend. Here they used 20 cores and 270 geophysical logs to make interpretations about the geometry of the reservoirs and their depositional environment. Figure 4 depicts how they divided the J1 Sandstone into different mappable intervals and its comparison to the valley-fill J1 reservoir (Exum and Harms, 1968).

The two stratigraphic intervals of the J1 Sandstone are lenticular sand bodies with a maximum thickness of approximately 25 feet (7.6 m) (Figure 5). In map view they are about 0.3 to 1.5 miles (0.5 - 2.4 km) wide by 1.5 to 5 miles (2.4 - 8.1 km) in length. The axis of the upper J1 bars is oriented in a northwest direction, while the lower J1 bars are normal to these in a northeast direction. Laterally these bars interfinger into shale or siltstone, suggesting that at the time of deposition these bars were bathometric highs on the sea floor (Exum and Harms, 1968).

Figure 6 shows three cores that represent the facies that comprise these marine bars. These cores illustrate the character of the marine bars and their lateral transition into shale. On the left is a core representing the central-bar facies. This facies is a finegrained sandstone with very few shale partings and low-angle cross-bedding. The main reservoirs in the study area are comprised of the central-bar facies (Exum and Harms, 1968).



Figure 3: Geographical distribution of all study areas discussed in the text. Exum and Harms' (1968) western area is the marine-bar study while the eastern contains the valley-fill. Graham (2000) completed a more regional sequence stratigraphic look at the J Sandstone.



Figure 4: Stratigraphic section of J Sandstone in Exum and Harms study areas. Figure shows the different J1 sections (modified from Exum and Harms, 1968).



Figure 5: Map showing the northwest – southeast upper J1 trend and the northeast – southwest lower J1 trend. Cross-section in Figure 7 depicted across Willson Ranch field (modified from Exum and Harms, 1968).



Figure 6: Cores showing the three interpreted facies within the marine bar setting. Note the interfingering of lithologies (from Exum and Harms, 1968).

The bar-margin facies lies in the middle of Figure 6. This facies is comprised of a mottled mixture of fine-grained sandstone and shale. Sedimentary structures are indistinguishable due to the amount of burrowing that has occurred after deposition. The sandstone pods found throughout this facies are similar in composition to the sandstone of the central-bar facies. The bar-margin facies areally surrounds the central-bar facies. Typically this facies contains approximately 5 - 10 feet (1.5 - 3 m) of net sandstone within the interval (Exum and Harms, 1968).

On the right of Figure 6 is a core of the inter-bar facies. Compositionally, these rocks consist mostly of shale. Thin layers of sandstone are present which, like the bar-margin facies, is texturally identical to the main reservoir central-bar facies. This outer most facies is typical of the non-productive rock that encases the reservoirs (Exum and Harms, 1968).

This interfingering of facies can also be seen on electric logs. Figure 7 depicts a cross-section, shown on Figure 5, in a northwest to southeast direction across the Willson Ranch field. The upper cross-section shows how the SP and resistivity signatures, of the lower J1 Sandstone, progressively change from shale to sandstone and then back to shale across the field. Accompanying this cross-section is a depiction of how the clay content (concentration of fixed negative charges) changes with the log patterns. Clay content was determined by x-ray diffraction on the available cores and decreases (lighter shading) with proximity to the reservoir axis (Exum and Harms, 1968).

The study of valley-fill sandstone was conducted approximately 20 miles (32 km) east of its marine-bar counterpart in southern Morrill and northern Cheyenne Counties,



Figure 7: Cross-section across Willson Ranch field depicting the effect interfingering of shale and sandstone has on SP log responses. Lower crosssection exhibits the change in clay content (concentration of fixed negative charges) as calculated from SP and x-ray diffraction of cores. Note clay content decreases (lighter shading) with proximity to reservoir axis. Line of section depicted on Figure 5 (from Exum and Harms, 1968). Nebraska (Figure 3). Here, marine bars of the J1 and J2 Sandstones are relatively thin which make them unsuitable as producing reservoirs. Instead, after deposition, these marine bar deposits were aerially exposed, eroded, and replaced by stream deposits. Some sedimentary structures of the valley-fill deposits include: siderite nodules, small-and large- scale cross-bedding, current-laid ripple marks, and soft-sediment deformation.

Figure 8 is an isometric block diagram representing the deposition of this stream deposit in the J Sandstone. This north-to-south valley-fill measures 20 miles (32 km) long and 2,000 feet (610 m) wide. The fluvial sandstone ranges in thickness from 40 – 80 feet (12 – 24 m). Lateral boundaries, unlike the marine-bar area to the west, are abrupt and erosional (Exum and Harms, 1968).

Figure 9 summarizes the differences in these two reservoirs (Exum and Harms, 1968). Of notable difference is the areal extent of each facies. In map view, the marine bars are wide and short compared to the thin and long valley-fill reservoirs. The channel shape of the valley-fill deposits is more conducive for exploratory prediction, whereas there is no extrapolative nature to the marine bar lenses. Lastly, and most importantly, the nature of the lateral and basal contacts of the two facies creates differing and distinct facies assemblages and different log responses (Exum and Harms, 1968).



Figure 8: Block diagram depiction of the ribbon-like valley-fill reservoir. Structural relief is shown on top of the J Sandstone and made visible with eastto-west lines. Oil production is found where northwest-plunging anticlinal noses intersect the valley-fill deposits (from Exum and Harms, 1968).

RESERVOIR GEOMETRY

	MARINE BAR	VALLEY FILL
WIDTH	~ 0.5 -1.5 MILES	~0.3-0.4 MILES
LENGTH	< 6 MILES	> 20 MILES
THICKNESS	25 FEET MAXIMUM	80 FEET MAXIMUM
FORM	SCATTERED ELLIPTICAL LENSES	SINGLE LONG PRISM
CHANGE	DEPOSITIONAL, GRADATIONAL	EROSIONAL, ABRUPT
BASAL CONTACT	TRANSITIONAL OR SHARP	EROSIONAL

Figure 9: Comparison of reservoir geometries (from Exum and Harms, 1968).

NORTHERN COLORADO

Graham (2000) studied the J Sandstone in northern Colorado. Figure 3 depicts a map of the area that encompasses Graham's (2000) work in relation to the study areas of Exum and Harms (1968) and this paper. Over time, operators in the basin developed a generic naming system of the different J Sandstone intervals (Graham, 2000). As with any newly developed area, naming occurs as drillers penetrate the intervals. Thus, the first sandstone in the sandstone package below the D Sandstone is labeled the J1, the second is the J2 and the third is the J3. The problem of this nomenclature is that it fails to consider unconformities and lateral facies changes. Thus rocks placed in the same interval may not be time synchronous. Unfortunately, this terminology has continued for 60 years.

Understanding the inconsistencies in J Sandstone terminology, Graham presented his own nomenclature as shown in Figure 10. Here the J Sandstone is divided into six informal members, MS-1 – MS-6. Also shown are the associated formal names and drilling terminology from both Colorado and western Nebraska. By applying sequence stratigraphic principles, Graham (2000) was able to differentiate between his informal members and place them into regional depositional systems. Once in these systems, specific environments were recognized (Graham, 2000).

Figures 11 and 12 are schematic diagrams of the three regional depositional systems interpreted by Graham (2000). Depositional system 1 (DS-1) incorporates the J Sandstone informal members: MS-5 and MS-6. The MS-4 informal member is the lone interval that comprises the second depositional system (DS-2). Lastly, depositional

Surface	Subsurface	Subsurface	
	Colorado	W. Nebraska	
Formal	Informal	Informal	Informal
Members	Members	Members**	Members
Horsetooth	J1 Sandstone		MS-1
Horsetooth	J2 Sandstone		MS-2
Horsetooth	J2 Sandstone	Unnamed	MS-3
		valley fill	
		J1	MS-4
		J2	MS-5
Fort Collins	J3	?	MS-6
Skull Creek	Skull Creek	Skull Creek	Skull Cree
Shale	Shale	Shale	Shale

Figure 10: Nomenclature of Graham (modified from Graham, 2000).







Figure 12: Graham's third depositional system (from Graham, 2000).

system 3 (DS-3) is broken down into three phases in which the MS-3, MS-2 and MS-1 informal units were deposited separately (Graham, 2000).

DS-1 (Figure 11) marks the deposition of sediments during a relative drop in sea level, after deposition of the marine Skull Creek Shale. The base of this system is marked by a lowstand surface of erosion (LSE) and is capped by a flooding surface (FS) or transgressive surface of erosion (TSE). Included in this depositional system (DS-1) are the informal members MS-5 and MS-6 (Graham, 2000).

Graham's (2000) MS-6 marks the change from a deep-marine environment (Skull Creek Shale) into a shallow-marine environment. The principle evidence of this is the vertical transition from *Cruziana* to *Skolithos* ichnofacies. *Cruziana* are indicative of low to moderate energy settings like that of a subtidal environment, whereas the *Skolithos* thrive in high flow regimes like that of a shoreface setting. The MS-6 geometry (Figure 13) suggests that this unit is a wave-dominated delta (Graham, 2000).

The top of the MS-6 is marked by a sudden termination of bioturbation and a distinct textural change to relatively coarser grained sandstones in the MS-5 interval. The MS-5 interval of Graham's nomenclature is correlative with the J2 member of Nebraska (Figure 10).

As seas regressed and accommodation space diminished, the MS-5 sandstones began prograding seaward, producing elongate northeast – southwest trending lobes. Graham (2000) interpreted this interval as an accumulation of lowstand sandstone bars that stacked on top of the previously deposited wave-dominated delta (Graham, 2000).

Both the MS-5 and MS-6 are sharply overlain by MS-4 interval (Figure 14). This sharp break is the TSE of FS that marks the upper boundary of the DS-1 and the base



Figure 13: Composite isopach of MS-6 and MS-5 informal members (from Graham, 2000).



Figure 14: Log showing the transition into the MS-4 informal member (modified from Graham, 2000).

of the DS-2 (Figure 11). Of special note is that the upper bounding LSE for DS-2 has in some places eroded away this FS (Graham, 2000).

Texturally the MS-4 interval coarsens upward with the basal part being composed of fissile shales. These shales mark an abrupt change with the underlying formations and are interpreted to be a result of a rise in relative sea level. Capping the unit are root casts and plant debris, which indicates subaerial exposure and thus another regression. The sandstones within this unit are too thin regionally to map (Graham, 2000).

The MS-4 informal member corresponds with both of Exum and Harms' (1968) J1 Sandstone intervals in western Nebraska and the J1 Sandstone mapped by the author later in this paper. This facies contains swamp-derived pollen and arenaceous foraminifera, which point toward an environment that is open-marine (Graham, 2000).

The DS-3 (Figure 12) of Graham (2000) is bounded at its base by the same LSE that caps the DS-2. In some locales this LSE has eroded down into both of the lower depositional systems (MS-5, MS-6, MS-4). Over time this LSE was covered with fluvial sediments (MS-3), much like the incised valleys of Exum and Harms (1968), as the sea began to rise (Figure 15). A bayline surface (BL) is what differentiates phase 1 and phase 2. The BL is defined as the change from non-marine to marine deposition. As the sea transgressed over the area, sediments (MS-2) were reworked and topped by a ravinement surface (RS). The MS-2 (Figure 16) is interpreted to be an estuary that has backfilled over the incised valleys of MS-3. Ultimately another sequence of sand (MS-1) was deposited before a transgressive surface of erosion (TSE) capped the system. Figure 17 shows elongate sandstone pods of the MS-1 in a northeast to southwest

orientation. These are interpreted to be marine bars indicative of a nearshore deposit. Eventually, these sands were completely buried by the encroaching seaway and covered by the marine Mowry Shale and its equivalents (Graham, 2000).



Figure 15: Isopach map of MS-3 informal member (from Graham, 2000).



Figure 16: Isopach map of MS-2 informal member (from Graham, 2000).



Figure 17: Isopach map of MS-1 informal member (from Graham, 2000).

OIL MATURATION AND MIGRATION

The western panhandle of Nebraska marks the northeastern extent of the Denver basin (Figure 18). The Late Cretaceous to Tertiary Laramide Orogeny created most of the present-day features of the Denver basin. Deformation was basement-involved thrust faulting caused by a low-angle subduction zone in the western United States. Faulting and uplift of the Laramide occurred along older Paleozoic faults and uplifts (Tweto, 1980). Recurrent movement along these older faults is thought to be the pathway for hydrocarbon migration into the study area (Silverman, 1980). Knowledge of where hydrocarbons originate and their subsequent migration is helpful in focusing future exploration along these pathways.

Clayton and Swetland (1980) conducted a hydrocarbon study on Denver basin oils and source beds. This was a three-fold study in which they looked at the geochemistry of produced oils throughout the basin, performed vitrinite reflectance evaluations on potential source beds and correlated the two (Clayton and Swetland, 1980).

Figure 19 depicts the C₇ hydrocarbon distribution of 77 oil samples from the Denver basin. The samples are categorized based on their molecular structures and plotted in a triangle, much like that of a sediment composition ternary diagram. Of special note is that all of the Cretaceous oils plot within the same area. This shows that all oils produced from Cretaceous-aged reservoirs are of the same origin (Clayton and Swetland, 1980).

Assessment of potential source rocks was completed with the use of 68 shale samples from throughout the Denver basin. The shales of interest are all Cretaceous in


Figure 18: Generalized geology of the study area. Structural top of the Dakota Group with a 1000' contour interval (from Silverman, 1988).



Figure 19: C₇ hydrocarbon distribution of Denver Basin oils. Oils produced from different Cretaceous-aged reservoirs from throughout the Denver basin are compositionally similar (from Clayton and Swetland, 1980).

age, from youngest to oldest in age: Pierre Shale, Niobrara Formation, Carlile Shale, Greenhorn Limestone, Graneros Shale, Huntsman-Mowry Shale interval, and the Skull Creek Shale (Clayton and Swetland, 1980).

Vitrinite reflectance (%R_o) values in Figure 20 illustrate the various levels of thermal maturity found in these Cretaceous shales. These graphs are gas chromatograms of C₁₅+ saturated hydrocarbon fractions for their respective formation at these different levels of maturity. Samples 32 and 22, which are from eastern Colorado and Wyoming, have %R_o below that of thermally mature shale (< 0.60 %R_o). Whereas, samples 20 and 36, from the same two formations, are from locations closer to the basin's axis and have thermally mature %R_o values (0.60 – 1.3). Figure 21 is a geographic distribution of average vitrinite reflectance values calculated in the study. On the outer margins of the Denver basin, such as Scotts Bluff Trend, the potential source beds are thermally immature (<0.60 %R_o) (Clayton and Swetland, 1980).

Clayton and Swetland (1980) also looked at cuttings from two wells, from the same formations listed above, in southwestern Nebraska and compared them to several crude oils from the producing formations in the area. Figure 22 shows the comparison of the C_7 hydrocarbon compositions of these cuttings to those of the oils. Oil stained cuttings (6Δ) from the J Sandstone interval of the 1-1 Gadeken well is also plotted. The essence of this diagram is that the shale cuttings, above and below the J Sandstone, have different C_7 distributions than the J sample and crude oils. Thus it is concluded that the oils produced in the western panhandle of Nebraska have laterally migrated into the area from thermally mature source beds near the basin axis (Clayton and Swetland, 1980).

Knowing that the hydrocarbons produced in Scotts Bluff Trend are from a deeper, more thermally mature portion of the basin gives credit to Silverman's (1988) hypothesis that faults acted as a migration route for oil and thus oil accumulation is limited to the trend. The author will address this issue later in the structural analysis portion. Again, knowledge of the oil migration mechanism will lead to a further reduction in exploration risk when searching for reservoirs.



Figure 20: Vitrinite reflectance (%R_o) values with their respective gas chromatograms of C₁₅+ saturated hydrocarbons from selected shales within the Denver basin. Thermally mature shales (samples 20 & 36) have %R_o values ranging from 0.6 – 1.3 and a single peak gas chromatogram distribution. Bimodal gas chromatograms (sample 22 & 32) and lower %R_o values (<0.60) reflect thermally immature shales. %R_o values from eastern Colorado (sample 32) are representative of southwest Nebraska R_o values (from Clayton and Swetland, 1980).



Figure 21: Distribution of vitrinite reflectance values of shales throughout the Denver basin. Along the basin axis, thermally mature shales have vitrinite reflectance values ranging from 0.62 – 0.85 %R_o, while the basin flanks (eastern Colorado, western Nebraska) have immature shales below the critical 0.60 %R_o value (modified from Clayton and Swetland, 1980).



Figure 22: C_7 hydrocarbon distributions of shale cuttings and oil samples taken from two wells in southwestern Nebraska. Included is a stained J Sandstone cutting (6Δ) from one of these wells. The oil stained J sample and produced oils from the area are compositionally the same. Note, there is a disconnect between the J & oil sample compositions and the shale compositions. Produced oils had to migrate laterally into the area (modified from Clayton and Swetland, 1980).

ANALYTICAL APPROACH

Reexamination of the Scotts Bluff Trend (Silverman, 1988) for potential reservoirs was carried out by structural, stratigraphic and exploratory means. The database for this project comprised well header information in spreadsheet form, abridged geophysical well logs, and data derived from hand-contoured structure maps. The spreadsheet data, obtained from Dr. Dave Oldham, included the API well number, operator, well name, latitude, longitude, well status, well class, total depth of well, datum elevation and reference, ground elevation, county, spud date, completion date, township, range, section and footage information for approximately 3000 wells. Also obtained from Dr. Oldham were approximately 500 abridged geophysical well logs that only included the prospective D and J Sandstone intervals. The last part of the database was collected from hand-contoured structure maps produced by Mr. Dick Oleson. The Oleson maps contain 2692 subsea depths for the top of the J Sandstone.

When this data were first acquired, the Oleson maps had API numbers attached to each well. In contrast, the well card appended to each geophysical well log lacked this data but had quarter / quarter information for the appropriate section, township and range. In order to combine these data sets, I pulled each well log, located it on the Oleson maps and transposed the API number onto each well log.

A geographic grid was selected larger than the intended study area so that future expansion on the study was possible, if so desired. *GeoGraphix Discovery*TM was used in the creation of a grid that extends from 42.25°N -103°W (southwest corner) to 41.5°N -104.185°W (northeast corner) (T18N - T25N and R50W - R58W). The project was

created so that all the information imported was in latitude and longitude coordinates and the output maps, in UTM. Once this grid was established, all the well-header information and J Sandstone subsea tops collected from the Oleson maps were imported into *GeoGraphix Discovery*TM. Figure 23 depicts a map with the available data points collected in this study.

At this point I was ready to start correlating the approximately 500 geophysical well logs. To aid in the interpretation of structural controls on and stratigraphic geometry of the J1 Sandstone, a marker bed (a consistent resistivity and conductivity kick) within the overlying Huntsman Shale was correlated. Figure 24 shows the three correlations made during the course of this research, as well as the maximum resistivity (from the deep induction curve) within the interpreted J1 zone, and the net J1 sand.

Because a diverse log suite has been collected over the years, net J1 Sandstone thickness is based on a variety of well logs. The main source of this information came from the microlog if it was run in the hole. The function of the microlog is to identify presumed permeable zones within a formation. Where separation on this log was seen, the thickness of this separation was measured as net J1 Sandstone. However, only a portion of the wells had micrologs. Because the microlog, SP and deep-induction curves are all a function of the resistivity of the formation fluid, a correspondence exists between their respective deflections. Hence, a permeable zone on the microlog could be correlated to the SP and deep-induction log signatures. Where there is a more pronounced deflection in either of these logs, permeability was interpreted.

Drill stem tests (DST) and core information (from well cards) were also used when available. For instance, without a microlog, a small deflection on the SP and deep

	H. !!	+	<u> </u>			_		<u> </u>	ŧ	1	_	_	-+		-						1	1	
	++ + +	13	24	+ y	°+	۹ 4	-	12	13	24	25		36	-	H ¹²	13		*	25	4		1	
┝╴╪╪ ╋╴╦		14	2	, ²	97 -	32	₽#	Ŧ	+*	Þ	36		8	2	11	14	₹	3	26	35	4	1	
е	†	15	3	, I	77	*	~ +	9	15	$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{Z}}$	20	-	34	~	9	15	ц С	3	27	34	+		
4		16		<u>}</u> ;	87	33	₹ <mark>4</mark>	-9+	16	₹₹	+	+	33	4	ന	6	<u>z</u>	→	²	33			
s.		17	с С	- ג ו	╞┼╡ ╗	33	3	8	1	N ^R	ę	° +	32	ب		17	ς Ν		29	+ €	Ľ		
G	+	8	⁵				.9	7	18	19		8	ñ	9	~) ;	•	6 +	₩	31		4	
+	12	13	24	++ ;	32	36 +	1	12	13	74	+	S	36	-	12	+	13	-24	25	98		7	
+~	Ę	4	2	3	26	35	2	÷	14	Հഃ	+	26	35	-	F	-	*≯	33	36	35		<i>+</i>	
+	ę	15	22		21	₩ ³	ten	ę .	15	ម្លាំ	: #	4	34	+			ന്റ വ	₩± 1	- 1	34		2	
+	0	16	31	R R	28	₩ 8	•		16	Į.		28	33	+-	0	++	Ž	5	28	 ₩			Ŧ
ς.	~ 7	+	30.		29	32			+11	ςų.		29	╞╝	╞╌╓╴		╇	⊧γ	aµ -	T P		F		8
9	-	18	19	23	8	Ξ		+					5	₽ 	~	╨	°	₽∔	÷.	- 		~	22000
-	12	13	24	;	25	Be	+	12	H		╡ ╡ ┥╋	25		-	↓ ²	- P	13	<u>+</u> +	25	│-╄╕ ╋╋	<u> </u>	ļ	2000
4	=	14	33		26	35	2	÷+		┰╫╴┊ ┱╫ ╺╫	3	26	35	5	5	-	Ŧ	+ ₽	╫╴ _ᅇ	: <mark>ا</mark>	ନ 	000	0 1500
e.	¥	15	2	4	51	134	F	⊢₽ ,	+ 15		+	≈+	34	+ ~	ę	2	لم لالم			-	34	1:2	0
4	1/5	16	21		8	33	++	F	9	/54	-	28	33	4	c		L ^a	₽₽	R a	+	E		8
	ଟ୍ଟି	17	20	+	- 3	32	5	8	17	3	707	29	32	₩.	↓ -	•	Ŧ	1 =	59		32		8
			6	<u>n</u>	8	31	e و	1	9	A	5	30	31	9		ŧ	°+	19	8	+ 1	5		8_
╋╴	1	1 0		24	25	98		12	÷	2	24	25	36	-	+	<u>-</u> ++	13	-24-	22 H	# '	е <mark>-</mark>		
5	-+	+=		-	26	₩	2	=		>	23	26	35	+	+	=	4	53	20	-	32		
	-+ , _=	÷		ŝ	27	- <mark>-</mark>	~ ~	2	14	22	22	27	34		+5	<u>}</u>	15	1 23	1	-			
	₋┼╪ ╷│ ╘	9 9	╞╴┼╴ ╴╷	Ž		- ⁸	4	5		Į	21	28	33	4	Ļ	б +	°-t				8		
		- - - -	-# : 4	<u>6</u> 6 1 0		# # 33		0		ŝ	20	29	32	- -	1			Ē			₽ 		
- -	+		<u> </u>	19	8	3	<mark>ه</mark>	-		8	19	8	ع	+ "			18	19	30	\downarrow	÷		
۰ ۵	- + - =	4 Ç	2	24	25	36	-	12		÷	24	25	38	-		2	2 	╡	25			-	
<i>स</i> ्र	N 1	= ;	->	53 4	26	35	5	÷		₹ V	33	2 <mark>8</mark>	+ ⁺	+	· +	=		₽	╶╁╴╋	┡╄┼╺ ╊═┼╴			
34		+ ;			27	34	۳	=	2	ۍ ۲	52	27	34	-	,#		1 ⁵	Ba	;	-	33		
33	4		Į.	21	28	33	4		,	P ^a	21	28	+e		+	6	16	2 2	+	s -∔-	33		
32	2	+	3) I a_	29	32	ب م		•	¢β	2 ²	29	32	4			+=0	V 8	-₩ 		- <mark>-</mark>		
_	• L	~	10		30	31	G		-	18	19	8		, 	₀ ++	2	9	1 0		<u> </u>	₩ E		
én						1						_		_			1	1	11				

Figure 23: Map showing the areal extents of 500 data points with well logs.

induction curves would not usually be interpreted as the net J1 Sandstone. However, a core description of sandstone with good porosity and an oil stain that coincides with these same small deflections would be indicative of net J1 Sandstone.



Figure 24: Sample well log (26157051290000, Section 28 - T21N - R55W, NENE) showing the three picks.

INTERPRETATION OF MAPS AND CROSS-SECTIONS

STRUCTURE

The J1 Sandstone mapped in the following sections is equivalent to Exum and Harms' (1968) upper J1 facies and Graham's (2000) MS-4 informal member. Structurally, Silverman (1988) inferred that the Scotts Bluff Trend is related to recurrent movement along northeast-trending Paleozoic fault/shear zones. Based on source rock and oil geochemistry, it is believed that hydrocarbon accumulations in western Nebraska are allochthonous (Clayton and Swetland, 1980). If recurrent movement along older faults has occurred, one is left to assume that these fault zones are the potential pathways for hydrocarbon migration into the area. Thus oil accumulations would only be situated within this trend. This chapter intends to further analyze this idea.

J SANDSTONE STRUCTURE

Figure 25 is a structure map based on the top of the J Sandstone with a contour interval of 50 feet (15 m). This map shows the regional dip at the northeast extension of the Denver basin. The structure here is moderately monoclinal in nature, with an overall dip to the southwest of approximately 1.2 degrees.

Structural "nosing" is associated with most of the oil fields that lie within Silverman's (1988) Scotts Bluff Trend fairway. One of the most pronounced is located at Minatare field, which is positioned around Sections 19 & 30 of T22N - R53W. Figure 26 shows a zoomed in view of Minatare field, a higher resolution structure map on top of the J Sandstone. Also shown are Power Plant field (section 14 of T22N – R54W) and



Figure 25: Structural contour map of the top of the J Sandstone. 10 known oil fields are labeled. Note the structural "nosing" that extends across the trend. Contour interval 50 feet. Each section is approximately one square mile in area.



Figure 26: High-resolution structural contour map of Minatare field. Red squares indicate producing wells while blue diamonds show dry holes. Contour interval 5 feet. Each section is approximately one square mile in area.

Oregon Trail field (section 31 of T22N – R53W). With this view, it can be seen that these three fields have structural closures on the updip edge of the reservoir. Coincidently, these updip structural closures have a corresponding downdip structural "nose".

These nosing features can be followed in a northeast direction where the study area extends into Morrill County, Nebraska (Figure 25). Here resides the eastern-most fields within the study. Highline (section 31 of T23N – R52W) and Mustang Canyon fields (section 11 of T22N – R52W) also have this same updip structural closure associated with a downdip structural nose (Figure 27). Production from the Highline field can also be seen on the flanks of the structural "nosing".

There are two other areas with structural nosing within the study area (Figure 25), however, they lack any known reservoirs on their updip edges. One such structure is located north of Minatare field, around section 1 of T22N – R54W. The other locale is in the southwest sector on T23N – R55W. Again, there is a structural nose that extends to the southwest that lacks an associated reservoir.

Southwest of Minatare field, this structural nosing continues (Figure 25). Here lies the largest oil field in the study, Cedar Valley field (sections 20, 21 & 28 of T21N – R55W). Also present are small two- to five- well oil fields (Figure 28): Fort Laramie (sections 13 &14 of T21N – R56W), Roubadeau (section 26 of T21N – R56W), Vessels (sections 35 & 36 of T21N – R56W), and Canal (section 34 of T21N – R55W) fields. In this part of the study area, only Canal field exhibits the updip structural closure seen in the other fields.



Figure 27: High-resolution structural contour map of Highline and Mustang Canyon field. Red squares indicate producing wells while blue diamonds show dry holes. Contour interval 5 feet. Each section is approximately one square mile in area.



Figure 28: High-resolution structural contour map of Cedar Valley field. Red squares indicate producing wells while blue diamonds show dry holes. Contour interval 5 feet. Each section is approximately one square mile in area.

Well data at Cedar Valley field reveals there is no structural closure; stratigraphy must be the major factor in oil entrapment. Although well control is poor surrounding the three fields west and south of Cedar Valley, apparently structural closure is absent there, as well. Because of poor well control, this issue remains unresolved.

2nd ORDER RESIDUAL MAP OF THE J SANDSTONE STRUCTURE

Figure 29 depicts the second order trend surface that *GeoGraphix Discovery^{IM}* created by applying algorithms. These algorithms remove local irregularities within the study area, resulting in a structure map that is smoothed. Residual mapping of the J Sandstone structure map was completed by subtracting the regional trend of the data points from the original structure map (Figure 25), resulting in the identification of highs and lows between the trend and the structure.

Figure 30 displays a second order residual map based on the J Sandstone structure, shown at a 10-foot (3 m) contour interval. Revealed in the middle of the study area is a large positive feature (> 50 feet, 15.2 m) that extends from southwest of Cedar Valley field to northeast of Minatare field. This residual high is oriented with a N60°E trend that coincides with Silverman's (1988) Scotts Bluff Trend (Figure 1). Immediately to the southwest of Minatare and Power Plant fields lies the most pronounced residual high in the study area. Here a northwest-to-southeast trending pod is situated with approximate dimensions of 7 miles (11km) in length, 2 miles (3 km) in width and maximum relief of 80 feet (24 m).

To the northeast of Minatare field, residual mapping shows a relative residual low (section 17 & 20 of T22N – R53W) as compared to the southwest of the field. This low coincides with the previously discussed structural closures associated with the three oil



Figure 29: Second Order Trend map of the J Sandstone structure. Contour interval 50 feet.



Figure 30: Second Order Residual map of the J Sandstone structure. Note the residual high that corresponds to Silverman's trend. Contour interval 10 feet.

fields in the area (Figure 26). Between Minatare, Highline and Mustang Canyon fields there is another residual high. This high is oriented in an east – west trend with dimensions approximately being 11.5 miles (18.5 km) in length, 4 miles (6 km) in width and maximum relief of 50 feet (15 m).

Northeast of Highline and Mustang Canyon fields the residual high of Scotts Bluff Trend apparently ends. However, the data here are sparse and contouring may be a reflection of this.

In the southwestern portion of the study area, the main residual high extends to Cedar Valley field. This residual high is observed at Fort Laramie, Roubadeau and Vessels fields although structural relief is smaller, 20 - 30 feet (6.1 – 9.1 m). A sag (low) separates Cedar Valley field from Fort Laramie, Roubadeau and Vessels fields, similar to that located east of Minatare field. South of Cedar Valley field, Canal field is located on the edge of this main high.

In summary, all of the fields are in some way associated with the highs located on this residual structure map (Figure 30). Power Plant, Cedar Valley, Fort Laramie, Roubadeau and Vessels fields all lay upon this high, while Minatare, Oregon Trail, Highline, Mustang Canyon and Canal fields lay on the edges of it. The fields that lay on the edge of this main residual high all have an updip structural closure associated with them (Figures 26, 27 & 28).

STRATIGRAPHY

Understanding the geometry of reservoirs and their adjacent strata gives helpful insight into interpreting depositional environments. Once the environment of deposition

is known, predictions can be made as to where additional reservoirs exist. The following section aims to do just that.

ISOPACH OF HUNTSMAN SHALE MARKER TO J SANDSTONE

Figure 31 is an isopach map of the interval between the top of the J Sandstone and a marker bed within the overlying Huntsman Shale. The map is shown with a twofoot (0.6 m) contour interval. In general, this overlying shale thickens to the north, with a maximum thickness of approximately 100 feet (30 m).

To the northeast of Minatare field and west of Highline field is the thickest zone of this interval of Huntsman Shale. At approximately 10 miles (16 km) in length, 5 miles (8 km) in width, and 20 feet (6 m) in relief, this trend is interpreted to be a marine embayment between these oil fields: a thick section of Huntsman Shale filled in the south-trending topographic low. North of Highline and Mustang Canyon fields, a smaller marine embayment extends to the east. These embayments in conjunction surround the J1 Sandstone in these 2 fields on three sides. In the center of the study area (northeast sector of T21N – R55W) is another relative thickening of the Huntsman Shale interpreted to be marine embayments extending southward.

In the central portion of the study areas, Minatare, Power Plant and Oregon Trail fields are situated along the edge of the northern terminus of a Huntsman Shale thin. At approximately 10 miles (16 km) in length, 5 miles (8 km) in width, this thin has 20 feet (6 m) in relief. Highline and Mustang Canyon fields sit atop a shale thin that extends north from the southeast corner of the study area. This trend is bordered by marine embayments previously discussed. Directly north of Cedar Valley lies another north



Figure 31: Isopach map from the Huntsman Shale marker to the top of the J Sandstone. Contour interval 2 feet.

trending shale thin. These shale thins are interpreted to be bathymetric highs at the time of Huntsman Shale deposition.

All of the producing oil fields in the study area are situated around the relative thins of Huntsman Shale (Figure 31). If correspondence were seen between the net J1 Sandstone map and this isopach, an exploration tool would have been found. The build-up of J1 Sandstone in these fields, during a regression of the Western Interior Seaway, would have later provided less accommodation space during the following transgression, which deposited the overlying Huntsman Shale. Hence the areas of thin Huntsman Shale could be an indication of J1 Sandstone deposition.

ISOPACH OF NET J1 SANDSTONE – NO BIAS

Figure 32 shows the thickness and geographic distribution of the net J1 Sandstone throughout the Scotts Bluff Trend study area. This map is contoured at a two-foot (0.6 m) interval and displays maximum thicknesses around Minatare and Cedar Valley fields of approximately 30 feet (9 m). A complete detailed explanation of the distribution of net J1 Sandstone deposition will be discussed in the next section.

When this map is compared to the same areas on the isopach between the Huntsman Shale marker and the J Sandstone, the two maps show a contradiction in some areas and a correlation in others. Located around the oil field are thicker accumulations of net J1 Sandstone (Figure 32) and thinner accumulations of Huntsman Shale (Figure 31). This correspondence gives evidence to the interpretation of bathymetric highs at the time of shale deposition.

On the contrary, the large Huntsman Shale thick that extends to the northeast of Minatare field (Figure 31) corresponds to a net J1 sandstone accumulation (Figure 32).



Figure 32: Isopach map of the J1 net Sandstone – no bias. Although contours obey data points, the isopach lacks depositional grain. Contour interval 2 feet.

North of Cedar Valley field, the shale thin on Figure 31 matches sandstone thinning on Figure 32. This discrepancy may be the result of poor well control in the area because where there is good well control (aforementioned); an inverse relationship exists between the overlying shale and net J1 Sandstone thicknesses.

ISOPACH OF NET J1 SANDSTONE – GEOLOGIC BIAS

Although the original, previously discussed net J1 Sandstone isopachs are contoured correctly, they might not be geologically realistic. This difference is due to a lack of consideration of depositional grain when using any contouring software package. Consideration of depositional grain is useful when data are sparse or the geology is unknown. These packages contour only data points, unless told otherwise. On the contrary, geologists hand-contour with an understanding of the geology.

A map using a bias trend parallel to Exum and Harms' (1968) northeast trend for their lower J1 Sandstone is depicted in Figure 33. Shown here are northeast-trending reservoirs within the oil fields that widen or expand in the northwest-to-southeast directions. These pods are contrary to Exum and Harms' elongated reservoirs as previously seen in Figure 5.

Mapping in a northerly orientation, like Exum and Harms' (1968) valley-fill study, is shown in Figure 34. Exum and Harms' (1968) valley-fill (Figure 8) is a thin ribbon-like trend that is long and narrow. The Scotts Bluff Trend J1 Sandstone, when mapped in a north bias, does not fit this character.

Figure 35 illustrates a northwest-to-southeast reservoir trend within the Highline Field reservoir. Due to the placement of the dry holes (blue diamonds), it is concluded



Figure 33: Isopach map of the J1 net Sandstone – northeast bias parallel to Exum and Harms' (1968) northeast trend for their lower J1 Sandstone. Contour interval 2 feet.



Figure 34: Isopach map of the J1 net Sandstone – north bias parallel to Exum and Harms' (1968) north trending valley-fill. Contour interval 2 feet.



Figure 35: Highline field showing the northwest trends of the reservoirs. Red squares indicate producing wells while blue diamonds show dry holes.

that the J1 Sandstones within the Scotts Bluff Trend developed in a northwest-tosoutheast orientation.

Figure 36 shows a more geologically realistic interpretation of the distribution of net J1 Sandstone throughout the Scotts Bluff Trend study area. This map is contoured at a two-foot (0.6 m) interval, with a geologic bias of 330° and a magnitude of 5 (based on a scale of 10 for preferential weighting). This is based on the understanding of the geology like that displayed in Figure 32. This biasing is done mathematically through *GeoGraphix Discovery*TM. Once a deposition fabric is construed, a direction (0° – 360°) and magnitude (0 – 10) are applied to the unbiased contour map. Depicted in Figure 36 are the same net J1 Sandstone pods displayed in Figure 32 but with the geologic bias applied. This interpretation places the net J1 Sandstone bodies parallel to regional strike.

Overall, the net J1 Sandstone bodies exhibit a northwest to southeast trend. In map view, these pods range from 0.5 - 1 mile (0.8 - 1.6 km) wide and 1 - 2 miles (1.6 - 3.2 km) in length. The producing pods range in thickness from 6 - 28 feet (1.8 - 8.5 m). Spacing between the pods has a two-fold frequency. The larger ones are spaced at about 12 miles (19.3 km) while the smaller ones exhibit a 2-mile (3.2 km) spacing.

Around Minatare field, an overall northwest to southeast trending of the net J1 Sandstone is displayed. This pod of J1 Sandstone is irregular in shape. Northeast and southwest of this pod net sandstone deposition pinches out into siltstone. To the southeast this pod pinches out also, but another pod exists on trend around section 22 of T21N – R53W.



Figure 36: Isopach map of the J1 net Sandstone – northwest bias parallel to Exum and Harms' (1968) northwest trend for their upper J1 Sandstone. Contour interval 2 feet.

Northeast of Minatare are two more pods of net J1 Sandstone with production. These two are smaller in size compared to the Minatare pod, but reach thicknesses of 10 - 12 feet (3 - 3.6 m). Highline and Mustang Canyon pods offset each other by approximately 3 miles (5 km) with dimensions of two miles (3 km) in length and one mile (1.6 km) in width. North of Mustang Canyon net J1 Sandstone pinches out into a siltstone, then sandstone deposition occurs again around section 10 of T23N – R52W. No production has been reported in conjunction with this accumulation of net J1 Sandstone deposition. Northwest of Highline field is another net J1 Sandstone accumulation located centrally in T23N – R53W. Like that of the area to the north of Mustang Canyon, no production has been reported here as well.

Cedar Valley field exhibits the same northwest to southeast trending pod, as does Minatare. To the northeast the net J1 Sandstone laterally thins into a much siltier section before thickening between Cedar Valley and Minatare fields to approximately 6 – 10 feet (2 –3 m) of net J1 Sandstone. This thickening J1 area between Cedar Valley and Minatare fields does not correspond with the previously discussed isopach of the Huntsman Shale (Figure 31). Again, this is attributed to poor well control.

To the southwest of Cedar Valley, net J1 Sandstone deposition exhibits a local thinning. Sandstone deposition thickens farther west around Vessels, Roubadeau, and Fort Laramie fields. Each field has a smaller net J1 Sandstone pod more representative of those found in the northeast part of the study area around Highline and Mustang Canyon fields. These are individual pods of sandstone that laterally grade into a siltier zone and do not have communication.

In the northwest portion of the study area, a thick net J1 Sandstone pod extends to the southeast. This trend stretches from T23N – R56W to section 29 of T22N – R55W. Northeast of this trend, in T23N – R55W, net J1 Sandstone accumulation pinches out into a siltstone. Again, comparison of this area to the shale isopach (Figure 31) shows discrepancy. This inconsistency is again attributed to poor well control.

CROSS-SECTIONS

Figure 37 shows a base map of the 10 oil fields in the Scotts Bluff Trend study area. Noted on this map are the two largest oil fields by production volume, the Cedar Valley and Minatare fields. Depicted are four cross-sections; two for each field, two parallel to the southwest regional dip (A and C) and two parallel to the northwest regional strike (B and D). Each well has a SP, resistivity and conductivity log (from left to right), except for the Schaneman #23-41 (API # 26-157-21242-0000) which lacks a conductivity log

Table 1 summarizes the geological information for each well in the following cross-sections. On each of these cross-sections the formation picks (from top to bottom: Huntsman Shale marker bed, J Sandstone top, and J1 Sandstone base) are designated by the red lines, the selected green portions of each well are the net J1 Sandstone intervals and the blue fill indicates the oil – water contact where interpretation is possible. Interpretation is based on oil and water shows in the available core data and resistivity log responses.

Exum and Harms (1968) made facies interpretations based upon core data and concentrations of fixed negative charges (calculated from the SP curve). In essence, these fixed negative charges represent the quantity of clay present. Based upon SP

	1	•				1	ų.			*	-	-		_	_		-			-				
201	* - 	12. 12.	13	24	<u>*</u> 25	.▲ 36	•		12	13	24	25		36	5	▲ 12	3	10	•	25	97	3		
*	۳ ۴ ۲		4 1	R	26	<u>+_</u> 35_∓	1.			14	23	36	3	35	2	Ę	14	₹ °	3	26	35	4	2	
	с	10	15	20	27	34	*	. ?	10) 1 ⁵	2 Z Z	70	7	• 34	m	10	15	SP-	1	27	34	54		
1	4		16	Z	28	3	•	+ +	•	16		ţ	841	33	শ	o	<u></u> =	₹;	2 + +	28	33	9	0	
	s.	<u>«</u>	17	8 B B	29.+	32.+		P		=0	Na	ę	₹	33	ا• ي		17			29	32	1		
	٩		8	19	30			٥	2	18	19		8	31	g	~	/ ŝ	2	•	te l	Я	2		
	50 5758	12	13	24	25	all all		-	12	13	24		25	36	•	12		2	-24	25	36	به ۲۰		
	ч †	Ŧ	14	23	26	36	2	2	F	4		•	26	35	*	5	:	\mathbf{x}	8,	26	35	4		
	~ •	10	15	22	27	5		Г М	1	15	53	4	17	34	•		1	ů Ú		27	34	5		
	4	۳.	16	210		0	5 •		5	9	₹.	ā ,	1 28		* 4	•!! 	* *	₽Ž	3	28	33	9		ŧ
	ς,		1/21	10/1		a	75	Q		11		K	0	1 2		0	•	Ξ N	24	1 20	32	+ +		800
Ī	G	7	18	22	3	ž	5 (U	pr-	Har.			7.1	N.		×	•	₽ •	6+ +	÷ 30	• 31	- -		25000
T	-	12	13	24	35	č	ę] ,	ې ۲		- atr	4	25	36	100 10	• 6			1	25	36	••	Q	0 2000
t	C#	11	14	23			35	8	=(.		• • •		26		2	÷	-	¥ [™]	23	* 50	35	_	0000	0 1500
t	~	\$	15	22		7	×4		1 0	. - -	ţV.	*	27	34	C	ę	2	<u>1</u> Д		27.4	34		1:2	0 1000
t	4	1/5	16	21	+ _28		_m 1	k., •	5	16	1154	17	28	33	4		n •	įŽ	រដៅ	28	∛ 8			8
1	5	281	17	20	29	•	32	5	00	17	NA N	702	29	32	م	Ļ	•	-n	 ≈	29	32	_		8
-	-) [®]	6	1	3	ਲ	6	7	18		>	§	• 31	۵	2.0	4	• 18	19	30	l le	*		8
	-	12 +	÷	40		25	36	-	÷ 12	13		Ø	25	36	F	ľ		13	24-	25	* '98		-	
200 2 305	2	=	1			26	35*	2	Ξ	14	N.		26	35	۵ ۲	•	Ŧ	4	3	26	35		2	
	e		+		20	27	34	с.	10	15	22	>			m		S ·	15	1	24	4			
	4	<u>ι</u> [α	, 4		Ž	28	33 •	4	5	4	¥	Ē	28	Q							17r		1	
:	5	18			<u>う</u>	29	32	ç	0		3	3	29	ļ.	1 4	4		17	m		י יאן ער גר			
	9	٩		2	<u>_</u> [30	31	9	7	1	2	9	8	<u>ه</u>	•			• 19 •	19	e e	e la construction de la construc			
3	-	÷ ÷	2 3		24	25	_36	÷	12		۱. ۲	Đ	25	98	-		2		24	25				
	0	4 1 7		₹>	33	26	35 *	5	F		₹ >	S2	26	35	40	7 7	5 1					₹ <u></u>		
34	i e			0	22	27	34	с С	Ę		្លដ្ឋី		27	34	, ۱		₽. ₽.	10 10	BR	1 5		40 e		
33	•	.		ľľ Ř	21	28	33	4	0	6	^a	21	28	fs		4	ත 	16	51	ľ				
32	ų			32	2	29	32	ب ب	~	2	50	18	29	6	;] .	ю. 1	0	* ⊵ €	N R	*	R	77		
31	¢	<u>ه</u> ا «		18	19	8	ы	g	+	-	18 •	19	30	5	ā İ		7	8	19	Ģ	R :	5		
and.	1	20	_				-	1	10			-					2	10	4	+ 4		8		

Figure 37: Base map showing locations of cross-sections in the study area.

Table 1: Geological data from the presented cross-sections.

WELL	GROSS J1	NET J1	MAX RES	INITIAL PROD	CORE DATA	DST
Buckley #1 26-157-05235-0000	24 feet (7.3 m)	23 feet (7 m)	17 ohm-m	150 BO 13 BWPD	NONE RAN IN HOLE	gas in 69 minutes - 1,850 feet (563.9 m) of oil - 60 feet (18.3 m) of water-cut mud
Burkey #1 26-157-05107-0000	15 feet (4.5 m)	8 feet (2.4 m)	12 ohm-m	DRY HOLE	sandstone with shale partings capped by 6 feet (1.8 m) of reworked shale and tight sandstone	NONE RAN IN HOLE
Coleman #1 26-157-05219-0000	18 feet (5.5 m)	3 feet (0.9 m)	10 ohm-m	DRY HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE
Everett #1 26-157-05208-0000	8 feet (2.4 m)	0 feet (0 m)	3 ohm-m	DRY HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE
Groskopf #1 26-157-05129-0000	26 feet (7.9 m)	22 feet (6.7 m)	60 ohm-m	528 BO	17-foot (5.2 m) fine- grain sandstone with a good stain overlain by reworked sandstone and shale	gas in 70 minutes - 2,614 feet (796.7 m) of oil - 146 feet (44.5 m) of mud- & oil-cut water
Groskopf #2 26-157-05124-0000	27 feet (8.2 m)	25 feet (7.6 m)	37 ohm-m	284 BO 7 BWPD	fine-grained sandstone with a good stain	gas in 65 min - 2285 feet (696.5 m) of oil
Hanlon #1 26-157-05116-0000	24 feet (7.3 m)	21 feet (6.4 m)	62 ohm-m	208 BO 26% WATER	3.5 feet (1.1 m) of slightly reworked (top) sandstone with a stain, 4 feet (1.2 m) tight, reworked shale and sandstone, 10.5 feet (3.2 m) of fine- grained slightly friable sandstone with a stain, 2 feet (0.6 m) reworked sandstone with a fair stain, 2 feet (0.6 m) shaley sandstone with a trace stain, 2 feet (0.6 m) shale	gas in 130 minutes - 400 feet (121.9 m) of oil - 230 feet (70.1 m) of oil- cut, muddy water

WELL	GROSS J1	NET J1	MAX RES	INITIAL PROD	CORE DATA	DST
Hessler #1 26-157-05240-0000	16 feet (4.9 m)	2 feet (0.6 m)	5 ohm-m	75 BO* 26.5 BWPD* * from J2	NONE RAN IN HOLE	75 feet (22.9 m) of mud-cut water
Hickey #1 26-157-05158-0000	12 feet (3.7 m)	6 feet (1.8 m)	40 ohm-m	NONE NOTED	NONE RAN IN HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE
Juergens #1 26-157-21087-0000	18 feet (5.5 m)	14 feet (4.3 m)	9 ohm-m	DRY HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE
Kawaguchi #1 26-157-21287-0000	19 feet (5.8 m)	8 feet (2.4 m)	8 ohm-m	DRY HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE	1,170 feet (356.6 m) of water
Krumenacher #1 26-157-21034-0000	20 feet (6.1 m)	12 feet (3.7 m)	10 ohm-m	DRY HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE
McGerr #2 26-157-05156-0000	20 feet (6.1 m)	16 feet (4.9 m)	68 ohm-m	28 BO	6 feet (1.8 m) of stained friable sandstone	gas in 120 minutes - 880 feet (268.2 m) of oil - 110 feet (33.5 m) of water
Pickering #1 26-157-05239-0000	28 feet (8.5 m)	24 feet (7.3 m)	48 ohm-m	18.75 mcf 150 BO 9.5 BWPD	tight sandstone with good shows of oil	gas in 57 minutes - 1,778 feet (541.9 m) of oil - 100 feet (30.5 m) of water
Pickering/Stallboris #4 26-157-21066-0001	24 feet (7.3 m)	17 feet (5.2 m)	27 ohm-m	2 BO 90 BWPD	NONE RAN IN HOLE	450 feet (137.2 m) of oil - 30 feet (9.1 m) mud-cut oil - 30 feet (9.1 m) oil and gas-cut mud - 60 feet (18.3 m) gas-cut water - 120 feet (36.6 m) of water
Quindt #1 26-157-21032-0000	27 feet (8.2 m)	21 feet (6.4 m)	27 ohm-m	33 BO 48 BWPD	NONE RAN IN HOLE	1,117 feet (340.5 m) of oil - 25 feet (7.6 m) of oil-cut mud
Rau #2 26-157-05234-0000	26 feet (7.9 m)	21 feet (6.4 m)	35 ohm-m	162 BO 162 BWPD	NONE RAN IN HOLE	gas in 40 minutes - 2,345 feet (714.8 m) of oil
Rau #3 26-157-05237-0000	20 feet (6.1 m)	18 feet (5.5 m)	10 ohm-m	DRY HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE
Schaneman #23-41 26-157-21242-0000	17 feet (5.2 m)	3 feet (0.9 m)	7 ohm-m	DRY HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE
WELL	GROSS J1	NET J1	MAX RES	INITIAL PROD	CORE DATA	DST
--	--------------------	--------------------	----------	-------------------	--	--
Schmidt - Kaufman #42-22 26-157-21251-0000	8 feet (2.4 m)	2 feet (0.6 m)	8 ohm-m	DRY HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE	NONE RAN IN INTERVAL
Schubert #1 26-157-05140-0000	18 feet (5.5 m)	8 feet (2.4 m)	72 ohm-m	76 BO 5% WATER	0.25 feet (0.08 m) sandstone with a stain,1.25 feet (0.4 m) reworked shale and sandstone, 1 foot (0.3 m) bleeding oil sandstone, 0.25 feet (0.08 m) reworked shale and sandstone, 0.75 feet (0.23 m) sandstone, 2.5 feet (0.76 m) stained sandstone with poor p&p, 5 feet (1.5 m) sandstone with fair p&p, 1 foot (0.3 m) hard sandstone, 1 foot (0.3 m) tight reworked sandstone, 1 foot (0.3 m) shale	gas in 72 minutes - 1,270 feet (387 m) of "live, gassy, greenish brown" oil - 920 feet (585.2 m) of water
Weiss #1 26-157-05104-0000	5 feet (1.5 m)	3 feet (0.9 m)	23 ohm-m	DRY HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE
Weiss #1 26-157-21103-0000	23 feet (7 m)	6 feet (1.8 m)	13 ohm-m	DRY HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE
Wilson #1 26-157-05226-0000	24 feet (7.3 m)	14 feet (4.3 m)	12 ohm-m	DRY HOLE	7 feet (2.1 m) of tight sandstone, 0.5 feet (0.15 m) of shale, 4.5 feet (1.4 m) of sandstone with good porosity and permeability and a good stain, 0.25 feet (0.076 m) of shale, and 7 feet (2.1 m) of wet sandstone	90 feet (27.4 m) of oil - 90 feet (27.4 m) of hard oil-cut mud - 1,000 feet (304.8 m) of oil- cut water - 1,700 feet (518.2 m) of slightly oil-cut water
Yount #5 26-157-05138-0000	28 feet (8.5 m)	25 feet (7.6 m)	47 ohm-m	NONE NOTED	NONE RAN IN HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE
Yount #8 26-157-21290-0000	22 feet (6.7 m)	14 feet (4.3 m)	63 ohm-m	75 BO	NONE RAN IN HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE
Yount #9 26-157-21297-0000	26 feet (7.9 m)	16 feet (4.9 m)	35 ohm-m	33 BO	NONE RAN IN HOLE	NONE RAN IN HOLE

curve signatures and core data, the author used this previous work to make facies interpretations within Scotts Bluff Trend.

CEDAR VALLEY

Figures 38 and 39 show the southwest-to-northeast structural and stratigraphic cross-sections within the Cedar Valley field, respectively. Structurally, the field dips to the southwest. Stratigraphically, the reservoir pinches out in both an updip and downdip directions. Lack of production in these updip wells is related to changes in lithology while the downdip wells lack hydrocarbons because of both lithology and oil column placement.

Moving updip across the Cedar Valley field, all three of Exum and Harms' (1968) facies are observed. The Burkey #1 (API # 26-157-05107-0000) is interpreted to be the bar-margin facies due to the number of feet of sandstone in relation to the gross interval. Core data also shows how the J1 Sandstone unit is a mix of sandstone and shale much like described by Exum and Harms (1968).

The Groskopf #2 (API # 26-157-05124-0000), Groskopf #1(API # 26-157-05129-0000), Yount #9 (API # 26-157-21297-0000) and Yount #8 (API # 26-157-21290-0000) wells are indicative of the central-bar facies. This interpretation is again based upon the net sandstone to gross J1 unit relationship. The SP signatures in these wells tend to have a more "blocky" look to them. Also, the Groskopf wells have core data that supports this interpretation (Table 1).

On the northeastern fringes of Cedar Valley field lays the Schubert #1 (API # 26-157-05140-0000). This well is interpreted to lie within the updip portions of the barmargin facies that surrounds the field. Net sandstone comprises 44% of the total gross



Figure 38: Structural cross-section parallel to regional dip across Cedar Valley Field. Each well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an interpreted oil-water contact, where possible.



Figure 39: Stratigraphic cross-section parallel to regional dip across Cedar Valley Field. Each well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an interpreted oil-water contact, where possible.

interval, and core data shows a highly intermixed sandstone and shale lithology (Table 1).

Lastly the Schmidt – Kaufman Farms # 42-22 (API # 26-157-21251-0000) well is a representation of the inter-bar facies. Presence of sandstone has diminished to the point that shale is the overwhelming rock type. This is based upon interpretation of SP response.

Figures 40 and 41 show northwest to southeast structural and stratigraphic cross-sections within the Cedar Valley field, respectively. Structurally, these cross-sections are dome-like. The northwest and southeast ends are structurally lower by approximately 10 feet (3 m). Stratigraphically, the net J1 Sandstone pinches out in both directions.

Moving in a southeastern direction across Cedar Valley field, the same sequence of facies is interpreted. The Hickey #1 (API # 26-157-05158-0000) represents the beginnings of the northern bar-margin facies away from the central-bar facies. Based upon SP response, the net sandstone portion of the gross unit is approximately 50%. This response is correlative to Graham's (2000) MS-4 unit, such that the basal portion of the gross unit represents shale and coarsens upward into sandstone.

The McGerr #2 (API # 26-157-05156-0000), Yount #5 (API # 26-157-05138-0000), Groskopf #1 (API # 26-157-05129-0000) and Hanlon #1 (API # 26-157-05116-0000) wells are indicative of the central-bar facies. Like the central-bar facies in the southwest-to-northeast cross-section, these wells have "blocky" SP responses coupled with net sandstone being approximately equivalent to the gross thickness. Core data



Figure 40: Structural cross-section parallel to regional strike across Cedar Valley Field. Each well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an interpreted oil-water contact, where possible.



Figure 41: Stratigraphic cross-section parallel to regional strike across Cedar Valley Field. Each well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an interpreted oil-water contact, where possible.

from the Hanlon #1 well indicates that this is the approximate southern facies boundary between the central-bar facies and the southern bar-margin facies.

On the southeastern fringes of Cedar Valley field lays the Weiss #1 (API # 26-157-21103-0000). This well represents the southern bar-margin facies that surrounds the Cedar Valley field. Net sandstone has diminished to approximately 26% of the gross interval.

The southeastern inter-bar facies is represented in the Weiss #1 well (API # 26-157-05104-0000). Interpretation of this facies is based upon SP log response, although the proportion of net sandstone to the gross interval is greater than the typical inter-bar facies. This is attributed to the extreme thinning in the southeast direction of the gross interval.

MINATARE FIELD

Figures 42 and 43 show the southwest to northeast structural and stratigraphic cross-sections within the Minatare field, respectively. Structurally, there is approximately 8 feet (2.4 m) of structural closure, based upon the top of the J sandstone, on the updip side of this cross-section. Again, in both the updip and downdip directions net J1 Sandstone laterally pinches out into siltstone/shale.

Across the Minatare field, in the structural dip direction, all three facies are seen again. The Everett #1 (API # 26-157-5208-0000) represents the inter-bar facies. SP and resistivity responses indicate that this well is comprised of a shale lithology. Unfortunately, no core data are available to support this interpretation. Due to spacing of the wells, no bar-margin facies is seen on the downdip side of Minatare.



Figure 42: Structural cross-section parallel to regional dip across Minatare Field. Each well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an interpreted oil-water contact, where possible.



Figure 43: Stratigraphic cross-section parallel to regional dip across Minatare Field. Each well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an interpreted oil-water contact, where possible.

The Juergens #1 (API # 26-157-21087-0000), Wilson #1 (API # 26-157-05226-0000), Rau #2 (API # 26-157-05234-0000) Pickering #1 (API # 26-157-05239-0000) wells are interpreted to be the central-bar facies. Due to structural positioning the Juergens #1 and Wilson #1 are wet. Again, the SP responses are "blocky" in nature and net sandstone to gross interval proportions are relatively high.

On the updip edge of Minatare field lays the Pickering/Stallboris #4 (API # 26-157-21066-0001). The northeastern bar-margin facies is interpreted to begin at this point. Although, the net sandstone portions are high, the SP response shows a transition into a mixed lithology.

The Hessler #1 (API # 26-157-05240-0000) well is indicative of the northeastern inter-bar facies that surrounds Minatare field. Net sandstone percentage drops to 12.5% of the gross interval. This well does produce but is attributed to the stratigraphically deeper J2 Sandstone.

Figures 44 and 45 show the northwest to southeast structural and stratigraphic cross-sections within the Minatare field, respectively. Structurally, there is an undulating nature to Minatare field, which has more relief (approximately 20 feet, 3 m) than previously seen in the Cedar Valley northwest to southeast cross-sections. Like that of the dip cross-sections for Minatare field, net J1 Sandstone laterally interfingers into siltstone.

On the northwestern side of Minatare field, the Schaneman #23-41 (API # 26-157-21242-0000) is interpreted to represent the inter-bar facies. No core data is available but the interpretation is based upon SP response and net sandstone to gross



Figure 44: Structural cross-section parallel to regional strike across Minatare Field. Each well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an interpreted oil-water contact, where possible.



Figure 45: Stratigraphic cross-section parallel to regional strike across Minatare Field. Each well log displays a SP, resistivity & conductivity (left to right) curve. Huntsman Shale marker, J1 Sandstone top and base picks are shown by thin lines with arrow heads. Green boxes illustrate net J1 Sandstone thicks and blue line within the J1 interval represents an interpreted oil-water contact, where possible. interval ratio. Net sandstone comprises 18% of the unit, with the remainder construed to be shale.

The Kawaguchi #1 (API # 26-157-21287-0000), Rau #3 (API # 26-157-05237-0000) symbolize the bar-margin facies on the northwestern extents of Minatare. Net sandstone to gross interval ratio is 42% within the Kawaguchi #1 well, whereas 90% of the Rau #3 well is net sandstone. Interpretation of the Rau #3 to be bar-margin instead of central-bar facies is based upon the fact that the net sandstone is comprised of two intervals. This well is probably the very southern edge of this interpreted facies. Lack of production in these two wells is due to structural positioning and placement of the oil column.

The Buckley #1 (API # 26-157-05235-0000), Pickering #1 (API # 26-157-05239-0000) and Quindt #1 (API # 26-157-21032-0000) represent the central-bar facies within Minatare field. Once again, interpretation is based upon the "blocky" SP log responses and high net sandstone to gross interval ratios observed (Table 1).

Krumenacher #1 (API # 26-157-21034-0000) indicates the start of the southern bar-margin facies that surrounds Minatare. Like the Rau #3 well, net sandstone is comprised of two intervals. Net sandstone to gross interval ratio is approximately 60% and SP response shows a fining upward sequence.

The Coleman #1 (API # 26-157-05219-0000) is indicative of the inter-bar facies on the southern extent of Minatare field. Net sandstone comprises 17% of the gross interval, while SP log response is interpreted to be mostly shale.

EXPLORATORY PREDICTORS

Due to the thickness of the J1 Sandstone, use of seismic technology could possibly not be able to discriminate these beds. Thus some sort of exploration tool is needed in conjunction with isopach and structure mapping to evaluate potential new reservoirs. This chapter of the study tries to establish a predictor to where hydrocarbons could be potentially located.

MAXIMUM RESISTIVITY – ALL WELLS

Figure 46 portrays the map of maximum resistivity with approximately 500 wells, productive and non-productive alike. This map in essence gives a sense as to where hydrocarbons are known to be present (higher values of resistivity). All 10 of the oil fields in the study area have wells with resistivities in excess of 20 ohm-m while there are some producing wells with resistivities as low as 15 ohm-m.

At Minatare there is a north trend of high resistivities with a maximum of 48 ohmm. On the updip side of this trend is an irregular fingering effect that coincides with the updip pinch out of J1 Sandstone (Figure 36). Between Minatare field and Highline and Mustang Canyon fields, resistivities reach a minimum of zero on the southwest border of Highline field. Resistivities rise on the downdip edges of these two fields. Although both of these fields are smaller, the gradual increase in resistivity is observed leading updip to the producing wells.

In the heart of Cedar Valley field, resistivities have been documented at greater than 100 ohm-m. Updip from these wells, resistivities diminish. Like at Minatare, this



Figure 46: Isopach map of the maximum resistivity with all wells. Note that resistivities diminish on the updip side of oil fields. Contour interval 5 feet.

drop in resistivity corresponds to the updip pinch out of net J1 Sandstone into siltstone (Figure 36).

South of Cedar Valley, resistivities plunge to near zero then begin to pick back up at Canal field. This change in resistivities between fields is a good indication that the two net J1 Sandstone bodies are not in lateral communication. This drop in resistivity also occurs to the west of Cedar Valley towards Fort Laramie, Roubadeau and Vessels fields. Between these three southwesternmost oil fields, resistivities plummet to zero, again indicating that they are separate producing sandstone bodies.

Comparison of maximum resistivities (Figure 46) to the 2nd order residual map of the J structure (Figure 30) shows that most of the higher resistivities lie within the residual structural high. However there is one locale in the western sector of the study area that has higher resistivities located on the residual structural low. Section 5 of T21N – R56W has a well with 19 ohm-m of resistivity. Compared with producing wells in the study, this occurrence shows the potential for hydrocarbons on the residual low. Hence, not all hydrocarbons are restricted to this major central trend.

Comparison of these maximum resistivities (Figure 46) to the Huntsman Shale marker to J Sandstone isopach (Figure 31) depicts that most of the resistivities high enough to indicate hydrocarbon presence (approximately > 15 ohm-m), are located within the Huntsman Shale thins. Nevertheless, there are two areas that contradict this. Within the large marine embayment that extends to the south (T23N – R53W) there are two wells with resistivities reaching 11 ohm-m. Although they do not meet the approximate threshold, one is led to believe that there would be higher resistivities in the area due to mapping. The second inconsistency is located northeast of Cedar

Valley field where the smaller marine embayment protrudes to the southwest of the previously discussed main embayment. Located in section 2 of T21N – R55W, the Clark #1 well (API# 26-157-05198-0000) has a resistivity that reaches 20 ohm-m. These discrepancies are again attributed to the lack of stratigraphic well control in the two areas.

MAXIMUM RESISTIVITY – NON PRODUCERS ONLY

Mapping resistivities with all wells produces a map (Figure 46) that one would expect: high resistivity where the known oil fields are geographically situated and low resistivities elsewhere. Although this map shows where known hydrocarbons reside and can be compared to other maps, it does not give an indication as to where else in the study area hydrocarbons may be present and thus has little or no prediction value. By mapping resistivities without the producing wells (theoretically excluding the highest resistivities), one might expect to discover a relationship between the higher resistive dry holes (on the verge of being completable wells) and the known oil fields. Knowing this relationship would further reduce exploration risk.

Figure 47 is an isopach map of the maximum resistivity within the J1 zone excluding the producing wells. The purpose of this map is to see if there is any correlation with the placement of the oil fields and whether or not they could be identified if only dry holes had been drilled.

As for Minatare, Power Plant and Oregon Trail fields, only Minatare would be found today if just dry holes were drilled; however, not all of the wells would be established from the mapping presented. Some of the producing oil wells in Minatare field lie within a lower resistivity zone that straddles a high on this map. Most likely the.



Figure 47: Isopach map of the maximum resistivity with non-productive wells only. Contour interval 5 feet.

high between the wells would have been drilled. The northern portion of Minatare, sometimes referred to as North Minatare field (section 18 of T22N – R53W), would be the easiest to locate. Here the producing wells are downdip from dry holes with higher resistivity. Producing wells in Power Plant and Oregon Trail fields are located in fairways of lower resistivity.

The producing wells in the Highline field lie directly between two northwest-tosoutheast trends of dry holes. This field could possibly be found today with an optimistic exploration program. The problem would be that the producing wells are those with higher net sandstone values, and thus a contour of the net J1 Sandstone without the producers would look different (Figure 48). The thickness trend would not be present where the producing wells are situated, but rather to the northwest and southeast. Oil fields of this nature give hope for future exploratory drilling in the area. In the southwest portion of the study area, Cedar Valley would be the only oil field of the five to be located. Again noticeable changes in resistivity separate the oil fields. Fort Laramie is positioned updip of a higher-resistivity trend. The two producing wells of Roubadeau field are surrounded by dry holes on three of four sides, only one offset away, and a zero trend in resistivity on the fourth. As for Vessels and Canal fields, they both lie in lower-resistivity trends.

A composite of the net J1 Sandstone (biased) and maximum resistivity (nonproducers only) is shown in Figure 49. This map exhibits a few areas with nonproductive wells, with elevated deep-induction readings, in close proximity to J1 Sandstone development. Of special note on this map are the high resistivities



Figure 48: Highline field's net J1 Sandstone without the producers. Contour Interval 1 foot.



Figure 49: Isopach map of the maximum resistivity with non-productive wells only (Contour Interval 5 feet). It is overlain by the net J1 Sandstone isopach with geologic bias (Contour interval 2 feet). Circles show prospective areas of exploration.

straddling the T21N - R54 & R55W division. Located here is an area with greater than 20 ohm-m, but no known producing wells.

Located in section 25 of T21N - R55W are the Jerger #1 (26-157-05126-0000, NENE) and the Stricker #25-34 (26-157-21245-0000, SWSE) wells. The Jerger #1 has 6 feet (1.8 m) of net J1 Sandstone and a maximum resistivity reading of 36 ohm-m. A slight spotted stain was noted in a core description. The Stricker #25-34 well has 4 feet (1.2 m) of net J1 Sandstone present with a resistivity reading of 40 ohm-m. To the northeast of these wells the net J1 Sandstone reaches a maximum thickness of 8 feet (2.4 m). Areas such as this have a high potential of future development.

A similar area of high potential is located in the northeast sector of T21N – R55W. Situated in section 2, is the Clark #1 well (26-157-05198-0000, SWSW). Just updip from an area with no net J1 Sandstone, this well has 3 feet (0.9 m) of net J1 Sandstone accompanied by 20 ohm-m of resistivity. This interval was cored and shows fine-grained sandstone with fair porosity and permeability. A well site located updip would perhaps discover thicker, producible J1 Sandstone.

A third possible area for future exploration is downdip of the Fort Laramie field. Like Highline field, Fort Laramie (section 15 of T21N – R56W) seems to be enclosed by dry holes. Northwest expansion of the net J1 Sandstone pod extending from the Ewing #1 well (26-157-05383-0000, NWSW section 23, T21N – R56W) could give rise to further development. This would be updip from the Dwight Ewing #1 well (26-157-05175-0000, SESW, section 15, T T21N – R56W), which has a higher resistivity of 21 ohm-m.

Other areas of interest include the northeast sector of T22N – R56W where a net J1 Sandstone pod extends to the southeast in the study area. This area is surrounded by mid-range resistivity values and is downdip of a locale lacking J1 Sandstone development. Another area similar to this is west of the Power Plant field, located around section 20 of T22N – R54W. North of this point is a sixth area, between two regions with no net J1 Sandstone accumulation, with mid-range resistivities and a southeastward trending net J1 Sandstone pod. Finally, to the south of Highline field around section 20 of T22N – R52W is an additional possible area of interest, similar to those discussed above.

CONCLUSIONS

The J Sandstone has long been known as a reservoir rock in the Denver basin. Oil production within the Scotts Bluff Trend has been limited to mostly the J1 Sandstone interval. Drilling in the study area has continued intermittently from 1945 until as recently as 1998, and 10 oil fields with associated gas production have been discovered to date.

The purpose of this study was three-fold. First it was to be determined if there is any structural control upon the distribution of oil fields in the study area. Secondly, a more concise picture of the J1 sand geometry and depositional environment was to be established. Lastly, an exploration tool using resistivity data was to be evaluated. These objectives were achieved through careful examination of approximately 500 geophysical well logs and the subsequent interpretation of this data by way of generated maps and cross-sections.

Structural analysis of the Scotts Bluff Trend was completed through structure and residual mapping along with field-scale cross-sections. Structurally this area is relatively monoclinal in nature and regional dip is to the southwest at approximately 1.2 degrees (Figure 25). Structural downdip "nosing" is associated with most of the known oil fields and extends across the study area. Updip structural closures were observed in six of the 10 fields (Figures 26-28).

Residual mapping was implemented in order to remove present-day local structural elements. From the second-order residual map of the J Sandstone structure, the Scotts Bluff Trend is interpreted to be situated on a residual high. Silverman (1988)

inferred that oil production is restricted to this trend and this residual map demonstrates the geographical extent of the Scotts Bluff structural Trend.

All of the fields are in some way associated with the residual high. Some lie directly on the high, whereas others are situated along the edges. Oil fields with structural closure on their updip edges are situated near the boundaries of this apparent residual high. For instance, the structural closure of Minatare field is positioned to the southwest of the relative residual low, northeast of the field. Alternatively, Cedar Valley has no updip structural closure and its location coincides with the main portion of the residual high in the study area.

A structural cross-section across the Minatare field in the regional dip direction gives insight into what creates this residual high. This cross-section shows eight-foot updip structural closure on the field. The net sand thickness reveals that the sandstone thins updip, while the shale thickens. Due to compaction contrasts between sandstone and shale, differential compaction has created a drape effect here. This drape effect coincides with the relative residual low on the updip side of Minatare field.

Stratigraphic analysis of the J1 Sandstone was completed through isopach mapping the overlying Huntsman Shale and the J1 deposits and understanding their relationship. The overlying Huntsman Shale isopach serves as a proxy for basin bathymetry at the time of deposition of J1 sand. The shale is relatively thicker to the north and thinner to the south. Comparison of relative shale thins and thicks to known oil fields reveal an inverse relationship. Around the oil fields, the Huntsman Shale is relatively thin, is interpreted to be a result of the lack of accommodation space due to

sand deposition below and shallow waters above. Between fields, shale thickening represents the marine embayments present between the J1 Sandstone accumulations.

Based on previous studies by other authors and the present distribution of producing oil wells, a northwest-to-southeast orientation was used to bias contouring of the J1 isopach. These J1 Sandstone deposits are interpreted as marine bars because of their elongated orientation, and because these bars laterally interfinger with less permeable siltstone in all directions. This interfingering is evident by the facies changes observed in the field-scale cross-sections. Oil production from the J1 Sandstone is limited to the central-bar and bar-margin facies. Structurally low facies, however, will tend to be wet.

Assessment of a hydrocarbon predictor was completed through resistivity mapping. It was hoped that mapping with and without producing wells could show a relationship between known oil fields and updip dry-hole resistivity highs. Unfortunately, updip resistivities diminish with J1 Sandstone thinning. Hence this hypothesis is null. No relationship was found between the known oil fields and distribution of elevated resistivities in dry holes. Nevertheless, these resistivity maps can still be used in a more straight-forward manner with regards to prospecting. Comparison to the 2nd order resistivities are located within this residual high and where the shale thins (underlying sand thick).

J1 isopach mapping coupled with the maximum resistivity (without producing wells) identifies seven potential locations of interest for future drilling. These areas tend to be positioned where resistivities are slightly elevated coupled with J1 Sandstone

thicks. Figure 50 reveals this same map with the residual structural high outlined in orange. Using this map to optimally pick prospects, the two northwesterly-most prospects would have the highest risk due to residing outside of the residual high. The southwest-most prospect is situated on the edge of the residual high and would have a higher associated risk than the prospects within the residual high. The four prospect areas that reside within the residual high have the lowest risk because the residual high is the zone where oil has migrated through the area updip. The prospect to the northeast has the least well control of the four, and would have the greatest risk of the group. The other three prospects that lie on the residual high are between Minatare and Cedar Valley fields and have suitable well control. Therefore, these would be the best candidates for further evaluation and possible drilling.



Figure 50: Isopach map of the maximum resistivity with non-productive wells only (Contour Interval 5 feet). It is overlain by the net J1 Sandstone isopach with geologic bias (Contour interval 2 feet). Circles show prospective areas of exploration and the residual high is outlined in orange.

REFERENCES

- Blakey, E.S., 1985, Oil on Their Shoes Petroleum Geology to 1918: AAPG, Tulsa, 192p.
- Clark, B.A., 1978, Stratigraphy of the Lower Cretaceous J Sandstone, Boulder County, Colorado: A Deltaic Model, in Pruit, J.D., and Coffin, P.E., eds., field Conference: Rocky Mtn. Assoc. Geologists, p. 237-244
- Clayton, J.L., and P.J. Swetland, 1980, Petroleum Generation and Migration in Denver Basin: AAPG Bulletin, v. 64, p. 1613-1633
- Exum, F.A., and J.C. Harms, 1968, Comparison of Marine-bar with Valley-fill Stratigraphic Traps, Western Nebraska: AAPG Bulletin, v. 52, p. 1851-1868
- Graham, J.P., 2000, Revised Stratigraphy, Depositional Systems, and Hydrocarbon Exploration Potential for the Lower Cretaceous Muddy Sandstone, Northern Denver Basin: AAPG Bulletin, v. 84, p. 183-209
- Silverman, M.R., 1988, Petroleum Geology, Paleotectonics, and Sedimentation of the Scotts Bluff Trend, Northeastern Denver Basin, The Mountain Geologist, v. 25, no. 3, p. 87-101
- Sonnenberg, S.A., and Weimer, R.J., 1981, Tectonics, Sedimentation, and Petroleum Potential, Northern Denver Basin, Colorado, Wyoming, and Nebraska: Colorado School of Mines Quarterly, v. 76, p. 1-45
- Tweto, O., 1980, Summary of Laramide Orogeny in Colorado, in Kent, H.C., and Porter, K.W., eds., Colorado geology: Rocky Mtn. Assoc. Geologists, p. 129-134

APPENDIX

WELL ID	OPERATOR	KB ELEV	TOP J SUBSEA	BASE J1 SUBSEA	J1 NET THICKNESS	INTERVAL HUNTSMAN SHALE MARKER to TOP OF J	MAX RESISTIVITY WITHIN J1 INTERVAL	TD
26123054680000	VESSELS JR	3847	-415	-431	5	58	12	4379
26123054720000	TOLTEK DRLG CO	3944	-327	-343	4	63	11	4390
26123054760000	VESSELS JR	3949	-337	-351	3	59	8	4373
26123054860000	VESSELS JR	4216	-168	-180	5	65	9	4491
26123054890000	VESSELS JR	4376	-128	-144	5	62	11	4590
26123054910000	VESSELS JR	4191	-194	-209	5	63	13	4460
26123054920000	VESSELS JR	4374	-116	-129	9	67	13	4561
26123054930000	VESSELS JR	4281	-108	-124	10	63	13	4501
26123054940000	SUN OIL CO	4295	-115	-132	12		16	4490
26123054960000	THOMPSON	4370	-130	-139	8	64	15	4584
26123054990000	REGAL DRLG CO	4265	-101	-106	2	90	5	4418
26123055000000	SINCLAIR OIL & GAS C	4470	-98	-115	10	72	11	4642
26123055060000	TOLTEK DRLG CO	4469	-79	-93	8	74	7	4653
26123055120000	REGAL DRLG CO	4400	48	41	3	77	7	4433
26123055150000	VESSELS JR	4412	48	36	6	74	12	4461
26123055160000	VESSELS JR	4436	12	-4	9	74	8	4510
26123055180000	JORDAN JR	4442	85	69	9	83	17	4412
26123055230000	JORDAN JR	4453	86	73	8	77	14	4435
26123055240000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4461	83	74	4	77	3	4425
26123055250000	BALDERSON DRLG CO	4401	108	103	2	84	8	4404
26123055260000	TEXOTA OIL CO	4410	92	86	3	82	2	4400
26123055270000	JORDAN JR	4386	87	78	3	79	10	4352
26123055780000	VESSELS JR	4215	-111	-128	13	62	12	4400
26123055870000	VESSELS JR	4375	-111	-123	4	62	8	4557
26123210030000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4393	-107	-115	3	83	9	4571
26123210100000	VESSELS JR	4424	43	27	8	71	13	4447
26123210430000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4458	70	58	5	79	11	4450
26123210590000	TOLTEK DRLG CO	4410	-112	-124	6	70	15	4577
26123210600000	BANDER & COUCH	4159	-158	-165	2	67	7	4407
26123210740000	BANDER & COUCH	4401	81	63	8	80	12	4402
26123210940000	GEAR DRILLING CO	4471	-64	-69	4	70	5	4576
26123211330000	PUBCO DEVELOPMENT IN	4420	-48	-56	2	71	7	4600
26123211730000	POLUMBUS CORP	4428	23	4	4	77	10	4492
26123211740000	POLUMBUS CORP	4429	75	65	4	80	8	4400
26123211750000	BREW	4400	-140	-150	6	68	7	5279
26123211840000	SNYDER JIM	4307	-92	-105	7	76	11	4477
26123212650000	TERRA RESOURCES INC	3996	-284	-298	8	62	13	4397

WELL ID	OPERATOR	KB ELEV	TOP J SUBSEA	BASE J1 SUBSEA	J1 NET THICKNESS	INTERVAL HUNTSMAN SHALE MARKER to TOP OF J	MAX RESISTIVITY WITHIN J1 INTERVAL	TD
26123212660000	GEAR DRILLING CO	3979	-318	-331	3	61	11	4397
26123212760000	GEAR DRILLING CO	4378	-128	-138	6	68	7	4599
26123212870000	SUNDANCE OIL CO	3786	-446	-462	0	64	6	4325
26123212890000	SUNDANCE OIL CO	4069						4373
26123212940000	SUNDANCE OIL CO	3810	-496	-513	4	60	7	4395
26123212970000	SUNDANCE OIL CO	3845	-438	-453	5	64	8	4373
26123213200000	SUNDANCE OIL CO	3808	-472	-492	2	49	9	4370
26123213350000	WEBB RESOURCES INC	4055	-249	-262	8	59	7	4427
26123213360000	SUNDANCE OIL CO	3810	-469	-483	1	57	6	4373
26123213370000	WEBB RESOURCES INC	3968	-282	-297	6	62	10	4328
26123213580000	GEAR DRILLING CO	4378	-110	-123	6	67	10	4595
26123213600000	ACKMAN	4343	-106	-117	5	73	8	4504
26123213740000	GEAR DRILLING CO	4424	-118	-129	3	66	10	4636
26123213830000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	4137	-215	-232	5	62	7	4451
26123213840000	GEAR DRILLING CO	4382	-107	-118	4	73	15	4588
26123213860000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	4155	-203	-223	8	63	11	4457
26123214490000	SOHIO PETROLEUM CO	4438	-24					6955
26123214800000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	4395	-107					6932
26123215070000	SNYDER JIM	4058	-182	-191	3	64	8	4332
26153053100000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4056	-893			76		5037
26157050750000	GARY	4141	-1057	-1063	3	56	23	5275
26157050770000	DAVIS OIL CO (EDWARD	4709	-1343	-1343	0	56		6092
26157050780000	SHELL OIL CO	4441	-1337	-1359	22	57	30	5849
26157050780001	STUARCO OIL CO INC	4441						2720
26157050790000	CANNON DALE	4138	-1136	-1142	0	52	8	5375
26157050800000	CORAL PRODUCTION COR	4353	-1329	-1341	10	57	68	5707
26157050810000	DAVIS	4821	-1407	-1425	14	58	12	6306
26157050820000	GARY	4091	-1151	-1156	1	50	7	5300
26157050830000	GARY	4127	-1141	-1147	0	55	7	5333
26157050840000	GARY	4122	-1162	-1166	0	54	12	5321
26157050850000	GARY	4226	-1214	-1219	3	52	20	5509
26157050860000	BANNER OIL CO INC	4229	-1047	-1058	6	54	12	5350
26157050870000	GARY	4082	-1140	-1150	5	52	42	5293
26157050880000	VESSELS JR	4376	-1339	-1350	2		3	5750
26157050890000	BANNER OIL CO INC	4272	-1286	-1298	4	51	10	5640
26157050900000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4285	-1295	-1305	2	56	5	5650
26157050910000	BRINKERHOFF DRLG CO	4129	-1210	-1223	13	53	22	5398
26157050920000	CHIEF DRLG CO	4089	-1159	-1177	15	52	15	5340
26157050930000	GARY	4063	-1151	-1159	3	56	4	5236
26157050940000	SUN OIL CO	4198	-1026	-1044	15	58	13	5267
26157050950000	GARY	4063	-1073	-1083	7	58	15	5208

WELL ID	OPERATOR	KB ELEV	TOP J SUBSEA	BASE J1 SUBSEA	J1 NET THICKNESS	INTERVAL HUNTSMAN SHALE MARKER to TOP OF J	MAX RESISTIVITY WITHIN J1 INTERVAL	TD
26157050960000	EXETER DRLG & EXPL	3901	-778	-786	1	61	10	4755
26157050970000	GARY	4051	-1156			53		5333
26157050980000	SHELL OIL CO	4399	-1297	-1297	0	57		5762
26157050990000	BANNER OIL CO INC	4133	-1251	-1265	8	56	15	5424
26157051000000	EXETER DRLG & EXPL	4085	-913	-931	11	64	11	5080
26157051010000	BEL-AIR OIL CO	4804	-1468	-1474	0	60	2	6343
26157051030000	GARY	4400	-1274	-1287	5	56	3	5740
26157051040000	GARY	4034	-1150	-1155	3	54	23	5239
26157051060000	MORWELL CO	4406	-1286	-1299	5	56	25	5730
26157051070000	WHITE FEATHER PETROL	4168	-1276	-1291	8	56	12	5495
26157051080000	GARY	4350	-1266	-1277	5	54	7	5669
26157051090000	GARY	4032	-1136	-1168	26	54	32	5208
26157051100000	BANNER OIL CO INC	3799	-668	-684	13	73	12	4740
26157051110000	S & B OIL CO	4387	-1275	-1288	10	57	35	5718
26157051120000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3965	-869	-885	14	66	13	4910
26157051130000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3902	-826	-850	17	64	12	4785
26157051140000	SHELL OIL CO	4425	-1285	-1293	1	58	5	5770
26157051150000	GARY	4085	-1221					5355
26157051160000	CANNON DALE	4026	-1119	-1142	21	56	62	5210
26157051180000	WYOMING-WESTERN OIL	4012	-1086	-1094	4	60	15	5167
26157051190000	SUN OIL CO	4020	-1102	-1122	8	53	15	5180
26157051200000	SKAER	4051	-1131					5185
26157051230000	YOUNT FOUNDATION INC	4015	-1105	-1121	7	57	33	5175
26157051240000	RED FEATHER PETROLEU	4044	-1128	-1155	25	53	37	5240
26157051250000	AK-SAR-BEN PETROLEUM	4020	-1102	-1127	18	54	62	5190
26157051260000	DAVIS OIL CO (EDWARD	3993	-979	-985	6	68	36	5028
26157051270000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3799	-641	-657	14		2	4502
26157051280000	GARY	3936	-838	-852	9	62	16	4840
26157051290000	AK-SAR-BEN PETROLEUM	4031	-1115	-1141	22	54	60	5208
26157051300000	STRATA OIL CO INC	3831	-718	-725	3	65	7	4645
26157051310000	GARY	3938	-802	-823	19	59	18	4803
26157051330000	U S SMELTING REFININ	3802	-626	-646	16		5	4505
26157051350000	SUN OIL CO	4052	-1141	-1172	28	54	17	5250
26157051370000	DAVIS	4876	-1443	-1458	10	61	18	6370
26157051380000	YOUNT FOUNDATION INC	4029	-1117	-1145	25	56	47	5210
26157051390000	SUN OIL CO	4005	-1087	-1105	13	60	70	5135
26157051400000	SUN OIL CO	4005	-1083	-1101	8	59	72	5170
26157051410000	YOUNT FOUNDATION INC	4020	-1096	-1116	12	57	65	5161
26157051420000	ALLISON	4001	-864	-873	6	69	14	5002
26157051430000	GARY	4029	-863	-872	6	60	15	4960
26157051440000	VESSELS JR	3805	-653	-665	10	69	10	4505

WELL ID	OPERATOR	KB ELEV	TOP J SUBSEA	BASE J1 SUBSEA	J1 NET THICKNESS	INTERVAL HUNTSMAN SHALE MARKER to TOP OF J	MAX RESISTIVITY WITHIN J1 INTERVAL	TD
26157051450000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	4400	-1336	-1343	5	61	8	5800
26157051460000	BANNER OIL CO INC	3860	-773	-794	6	65	16	4705
26157051470000	STRATA OIL CO INC	3839	-737	-751	4	63	13	4675
26157051480000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	4002	-1075	-1088	8	61	60	5150
26157051490000	YOUNT FOUNDATION INC	4013	-1083	-1097	13	58	100	5170
26157051500000	VESSELS JR	4015	-919	-929	7	62	18	4990
26157051510000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	4002	-1076	-1088	8	58	60	5151
26157051520000	YOUNT FOUNDATION INC	4024	-1106	-1128	19	59	72	5185
26157051530000	DAVIS OIL CO (EDWARD	3957	-985	-996	7	66	27	5016
26157051540000	U S SMELTING REFININ	3804	-622	-641	12		3	4486
26157051550000	BRINKERHOFF DRLG CO	3995	-813	-833	16	65	12	4874
26157051560000	SUN OIL CO	4024	-1124	-1144	16	58	68	5200
26157051570000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3798	-586	-598	3		4	4475
26157051580000	SKAER	4026	-1140	-1152	6	62	40	5230
26157051590000	GARY	4020	-1120	-1132	12	60	50	5215
26157051600000	NEBRASKA DEVELOPMENT	4005	-1072	-1084	7	58	60	5140
26157051620000	YOUNT FOUNDATION INC	4011	-1083	-1099	12	62	69	5151
26157051630000	YOUNT FOUNDATION INC	4016	-1104	-1118	12	59	48	5200
26157051640000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3804	-624	-642	14	73	3	4500
26157051650000	GARY	4056	-853	-860	6	63	10	4970
26157051660000	BANNER OIL CO INC	3840	-754	-772	7	67	13	4684
26157051690000	CRAMER	4206	-1279	-1286	2	57	19	5533
26157051700000	UNION TEXAS PETROLEU	3972	-877	-894	6	60	15	4919
26157051710000	REGAL DRLG CO	3836	-766	-766				4684
26157051720000	REGAL DRLG CO	3828	-753	-771	10	66	12	4675
26157051730000	WILLIAMSON	3809	-639	-653	8	67	3	4524
26157051740000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	4034	-1164	-1178	8		15	5250
26157051750000	DAVIS OIL CO (EDWARD	4322	-1344	-1352	5	63	21	5720
26157051760000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	4100	-1098	-1106	0	62	8	5258
26157051770000	BRINKERHOFF DRLG CO	4079	-1217	-1227	4	64	4	5392
26157051780000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	4725	-1502	-1515	1	63	6	6301
26157051800000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3932	-808	-817	2	62	5	4594
26157051810000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4777	-1427	-1435	2	62	3	6280
26157051820000	GARY	3925	-933	-949	5	70	8	4923
26157051840000	WHITE FEATHER PETROL	4123	-1177	-1192	5	64	9	5343
26157051850000	GARY	4127	-1222	-1230	6	63	2	5400
26157051870000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3910	-896	-904	4	70	22	4878
26157051880000	SHELL OIL CO	3890	-846	-854	2	72	17	4816
26157051890000	DAVIS OIL CO (EDWARD	4204	-1166	-1181	10	64	13	5440
26157051930000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3859	-541	-551	4	78	15	4505
26157051940000	MCDANIEL DRLG CO	4251	-1123	-1137	7	63	5	5433

WELL ID	OPERATOR	KB ELEV	TOP J SUBSEA	BASE J1 SUBSEA	J1 NET THICKNESS	INTERVAL HUNTSMAN SHALE MARKER to TOP OF J	MAX RESISTIVITY WITHIN J1 INTERVAL	TD
26157051960000	VESSELS JR	4236	-1246	-1260	12	62	17	5590
26157051970000	BANNER OIL CO INC	3888	-488	-497	6	86	8	4417
26157051980000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	3960	-962	-976	3	71	20	4987
26157052000000	REGAL DRLG CO	4379	-1292	-1307	6	66	13	5725
26157052010000	BANNER OIL CO INC	3829	-529	-541	8	72	14	4468
26157052030000	VESSELS JR	3888	-534	-550	11	79	7	4508
26157052040000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3942	-788	-796	4		3	4843
26157052050000	VESSELS JR	4532	-1474	-1488	6	66	19	6084
26157052070000	CHAIN OIL INC	3903	-585	-604	8		10	4567
26157052080000	TOLTEK DRLG CO	3845	-731	-739	0	68	3	4680
26157052090000	WHITE FEATHER PETROL	4475	-1521	-1530	2	66	10	6050
26157052100000	STRATA OIL CO INC	3912	-591	-614	5	77	8	4560
26157052110000	BRINKERHOFF DRLG CO	3844	-656	-666	6	63	6	4602
26157052120000	GARY	3861	-617	-639	14	70	10	4575
26157052130000	PETROLEUM INC	3844	-538	-554	7	76	11	4480
26157052140000	STANOLIND OIL & GAS	3876	-853	-861	4		3	5000
26157052150000	DAVIS	4236	-1246	-1266	14	68	7	5576
26157052170000	WYOMING-WESTERN OIL	4328	-1352	-1369	6	67	13	5776
26157052180000	CHAIN	3919	-581	-595	3	72	7	4600
26157052190000	BANNER OIL CO INC	3912	-546	-564	3	78	10	4560
26157052200000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4024	-1127	-1140	1	66	10	5201
26157052210000	DAVIS OIL CO (EDWARD	4071	-1173	-1189	1	67	6	5343
26157052220000	VESSELS JR	3857	-703	-709	2	64	11	4737
26157052230000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	3930	-552	-576	20	72	15	4554
26157052240000	SINCLAIR OIL & GAS C	3934	-539	-562	14	73	21	4594
26157052260000	BANNER OIL CO INC	3936	-544	-568	14	70	12	4580
26157052270000	SINCLAIR OIL & GAS C	3953	-546	-573	22	75	27	4570
26157052280000	BANNER OIL CO INC	3939	-545	-571	17	71	12	4550
26157052290000	AK-SAR-BEN PETROLEUM	3957	-531	-557	15	75	18	4580
26157052310000	SHELL OIL CO	3971	-1075	-1088	8	65	16	5152
26157052320000	GARY	3955	-533	-555	12	76	18	4581
26157052320001	GARY	3955						4581
26157052330000	VESSELS JR	3960	-550	-575	19	76	11	4587
26157052340000	AK-SAR-BEN PETROLEUM	3958	-538	-564	21	71	35	4585
26157052350000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	3963	-537	-561	23	76	17	4580
26157052360000	BANNER OIL CO INC	3991	-407	-412	3	83	5	4471
26157052370000	AK-SAR-BEN PETROLEUM	3965	-557	-577	18	76	10	4617
26157052380000	REGAL DRLG CO	3948	-570	-588	9	71	2	4678
26157052390000	AK-SAR-BEN PETROLEUM	3961	-525	-553	24	73	48	4578
26157052400000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	3957	-533	-549	2	79	5	4570
26157052410000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	3960	-550	-572	18	74	18	4580

WELL ID	OPERATOR	KB ELEV	TOP J SUBSEA	BASE J1 SUBSEA	J1 NET THICKNESS	INTERVAL HUNTSMAN SHALE MARKER to TOP OF J	MAX RESISTIVITY WITHIN J1 INTERVAL	TD
26157052420000	AK-SAR-BEN PETROLEUM	3962	-538	-562	15	75	44	4579
26157052440000	ALLISON	3954	-538	-562	4	78	17	4556
26157052450000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	3962	-538					4561
26157052460000	WHITE FEATHER PETROL	3967	-537	-562	6	78	15	4615
26157052470000	BANNER OIL CO INC	3971	-559	-581	4	76	9	4580
26157052490000	WYOMING-WESTERN OIL	4029	-1240	-1257	2	69	10	5365
26157052500000	WHITE FEATHER PETROL	3981	-535	-561	12	78	17	4575
26157052510000	VANSON PRODUCTION CO	3973	-564	-588	18	76	10	4610
26157052520000	EVERTSON	3974	-534	-557	17		33	4583
26157052520001	EVERTSON	3974						4577
26157052540000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3931	-1075	-1087	10	68	13	5085
26157052550000	BANNER OIL CO INC	3989	-536	-558	11		5	4544
26157052560000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3994	-576	-594	10	70	12	4850
26157052570000	C & M OIL INC	3987	-523	-545	18	76	17	4570
26157052580000	WHITE FEATHER PETROL	3973	-523	-546	15	75	27	4640
26157052600000	MURFIN DRLG CO INC	3973	-518	-535	3	76	5	4557
26157052610000	GARY	4100	-1418	-1434	4	68	12	5620
26157052620000	SHELL OIL CO	3903	-929	-934	0	67	5	4928
26157052630000	SUN OIL CO	3986	-528	-546	8	79	11	4580
26157052650000	SUN OIL CO	3986	-556	-573	7	73	13	4642
26157052660000	BANNER OIL CO INC	3993	-537	-560	17	82	23	4575
26157052670000	ALLISON BROS DRLG CO	3997	-518	-531	2	77	7	4588
26157052680000	ALLISON	4003	-524	-537	3	76	11	4568
26157052690000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4003	-551	-561	1	70	4	4805
26157052700000	BANNER OIL CO INC	3992	-516	-538	10	80	11	4565
26157052710000	BANNER OIL CO INC	4007	-529	-549	4	80	18	4593
26157052720000	BANNER OIL CO INC	4056	-507	-528	1	83	8	4614
26157052740000	ALLISON	4030	-518	-530	0	78	5	4626
26157052750000	SUN OIL CO	4011	-575	-587	2	79	5	4704
26157052760000	ANSCHUTZ DRLG CO INC	4061	-554	-576	22	77	7	4814
26157052780000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4065	-549	-565	6	78	5	4735
26157052790000	BANNER OIL CO INC	4077	-505	-523	6	74	10	4644
26157052800000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3975	-795	-803	3	72	13	4841
26157052810000	SHELL OIL CO	3950	-1130	-1139	8	70	15	5248
26157052820000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	4077	-579	-589	0	82	5	4750
26157052830000	GARY	3970	-868	-874	2	66	7	4939
26157052840000	TOLTEK DRLG CO	4138	-406	-415	3	96	9	4640
26157052860000	WYOMING-WESTERN OIL	3985	-1258	-1268	5	73	7	5325
26157052900000	ROYSTER	4014	-697	-706	3	73	7	4865
26157052920000	SHELL OIL CO	4003	-962	-965	0	65	5	5088
26157052930000	BRINKERHOFF DRLG CO	4035	-596	-601	1		2	4725
WELL ID	OPERATOR	KB ELEV	TOP J SUBSEA	BASE J1 SUBSEA	J1 NET THICKNESS	INTERVAL HUNTSMAN SHALE MARKER to TOP OF J	MAX RESISTIVITY WITHIN J1 INTERVAL	TD
----------------	----------------------	------------	-----------------	-------------------	---------------------	--	---	------
26157052940000	WYOMING-WESTERN OIL	4045	-797	-809	0	77	8	4978
26157052950000	CHANDLER & ASSOC INC	4019	-847	-863	0	73	4	4970
26157052960000	REGAL DRLG CO	3936	-1046	-1063	12	72	11	5065
26157052970000	SHELL OIL CO	3997	-875	-879	2	66	5	5000
26157052980000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4023	-857	-861	2	72	5	4991
26157052990000	BANNER OIL CO INC	4059	-621	-626	0	83	4	4780
26157053000000	ROUNDS CO	4370	-93	-101	6			4530
26157053010000	TOLTEK DRLG CO	4068	-842	-842	0	75		4978
26157053020000	HLM DRLG CO	4042	-887	-890	0	135	3	5004
26157053030000	SHELL OIL CO	4059	-643	-650	2	80	12	4851
26157053050000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4161	-545	-557	0	89	5	4789
26157053060000	DAVIS OIL CO (EDWARD	4219	-705	-717	3		10	5087
26157053080000	SHELL OIL CO	4010	-954	-957	0	69	5	5084
26157053090000	WYOMING-WESTERN OIL	3958	-1246	-1260	10	73	10	5306
26157053110000	SHELL OIL CO	4243	-777	-781	3	73	10	5140
26157053120000	DAVIS OIL CO (EDWARD	4107	-725	-728	2	77	3	4946
26157053130000	STRATA OIL CO INC	4125	-689	-705	8	77	11	4920
26157053140000	GARY	4079	-893	-907	4	70	3	5080
26157053150000	DEKALB ENERGY CO	4396	-128	-140	3		6	4960
26157053160000	WILLIAMSON	4414	-251	-260	8		11	4800
26157053180000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4140	-624	-631	1	76	7	4873
26157053190000	WYOMING-WESTERN OIL	4074	-1060	-1066	4		7	5198
26157053200000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4156	-780	-791	5	75	12	5057
26157053220000	SHELL OIL CO	4085	-1285	-1303	12	82	17	5488
26157053230000	DEKALB ENERGY CO	4378	-154	-161	5		12	4937
26157053240000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4153	-859	-867	4		12	5087
26157053250000	SHELL OIL CO	4321	-383	-395	10	104	10	4803
26157053260000	DAVIS OIL CO (EDWARD	4063	-1054	-1066	11		10	5227
26157053800000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	3917	-802	-825	16	62	15	4780
26157053810000	GEAR DRILLING CO	3835	-740					4650
26157053820000	STRATA OIL CO INC	3965	-957	-970	10	66	15	4982
26157053830000	REGAL DRLG CO	4263	-1301	-1324	14	59	17	5640
26157053840000	BANNER OIL CO INC	3978	-518	-542	16	78	35	4570
26157053850000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	4105	-859	-864	0	73	3	5096
26157053900000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	4426	-1336	-1361	23	57	18	5810
26157053910000	BANNER OIL CO INC	4038	-472	-472	0	89		4587
26157053920000	REGAL DRLG CO	3814	-610	-622	8		8	4527
26157053930000	WHITE FEATHER PETROL	4004	-1070	-1078	3		64	5192
26157053940000	WHITE FEATHER PETROL	3997	-1060					5108
26157053950000	BANNER DRLG CORP	4116	-367	-372	1		7	4800
26157053960000	WHITE FEATHER PETROL	3995	-531	-543	3	80	8	4588

WELL ID	OPERATOR	KB ELEV	TOP J SUBSEA	BASE J1 SUBSEA	J1 NET THICKNESS	INTERVAL HUNTSMAN SHALE MARKER to TOP OF J	MAX RESISTIVITY WITHIN J1 INTERVAL	TD
26157053970000	BANNER DRLG CORP	3946	-513	-522	2		6	4788
26157053980000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4113	-632	-639	2	80	3	4810
26157053990000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	4144	-809	-819	5		9	5005
26157054000000	STRATA OIL CO INC	3988	-988	-996	6	64	18	5031
26157054010000	REGAL DRLG CO	4293	-1219	-1235	5	65	14	5591
26157054020000	REGAL DRLG CO	4502	-1508	-1528	10	62	13	6100
26157054060000	GARY	4042	-1023	-1028	0	67	3	5120
26157054090000	SHELL OIL CO	4265	-1297	-1309	7	66	20	5640
26157054090001	SHELL OIL CO	4265						5640
26157082850000	BANNER OIL CO INC	4052	-424	-436	5	88	13	4625
26157190010000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3895	-799	-807	5		3	4704
26157190020000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3910						
26157190050000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3875						253
26157190060000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3880						834
26157190070000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4495						4660
26157210010000	REGAL DRLG CO	4054	-1195	-1210	11	78	10	5328
26157210020000	REGAL DRLG CO	4134	-980	-986	5		7	5194
26157210040000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4495	-85	-93	3	73	13	4662
26157210060000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3894	-870	-884	6	70	15	4840
26157210080000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3901	-551	-565	10	75	12	4542
26157210090000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	3891	-831	-839	2	62	11	4769
26157210100000	AK-SAR-BEN PETROLEUM	3978	-860	-866	3	72	8	4915
26157210110000	AK-SAR-BEN PETROLEUM	3953	-551	-569	3	76	5	4578
26157210120000	BANNER DRLG CORP	4278	-1280	-1286	1	58	8	5620
26157210130000	KING RESOURCES CO	4141	-1225	-1241	4	66	15	5517
26157210200000	YOUNT FOUNDATION INC	4012	-1096	-1105	7	58	50	5123
26157210220000	TRIANGLE J OIL CO	4290	-1294	-1304	2	58	9	5628
26157210230000	KING RESOURCES CO	3874	-768	-784	8	62	13	4775
26157210240000	MONTANA PETROLEUM CO	4077	-994	-1001	3	59	20	5134
26157210250000	KING RESOURCES CO	4007	-1059	-1064	1	60	6	5195
26157210260000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	4097	-651	-660	4	88	8	4817
26157210270000	KING RESOURCES CO	4354	-1323	-1332	6	61	15	5780
26157210310000	TRIANGLE J OIL CO	3884	-868	-878	2	72	6	4825
26157210320000	SKAER	3955	-545	-572	21	74	27	4575
26157210330000	SUN OIL CO	4007						5088
26157210340000	CHAIN	3954	-544	-564	12	70	10	4576
26157210350000	SMETHERS	3950	-536	-554	4	76	6	4562
26157210360000	BOEKEL	3957	-551	-579	22	74	17	4578
26157210370000	B W DRLG INC	3883	-809	-830	2	62	9	4760
26157210400000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3941	-658	-672	3	70	13	4706
26157210410000	AK-SAR-BEN PETROLEUM	3960	-536					4570

WELL ID	OPERATOR	KB ELEV	TOP J SUBSEA	BASE J1 SUBSEA	J1 NET THICKNESS	INTERVAL HUNTSMAN SHALE MARKER to TOP OF J	MAX RESISTIVITY WITHIN J1 INTERVAL	TD
26157210430000	WEBB RESOURCES INC	4021	-1119	-1133	11	59	33	5200
26157210450000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	3835	-711	-721	6	72	15	4627
26157210500000	SMETHERS	3951	-552	-570	2	80	6	4548
26157210510000	B W DRLG INC	4758	-1316	-1326	3	58	15	6100
26157210520000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3898	-396	-406	0	62	7	4365
26157210530000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	3930	-351	-362	7	59	16	4359
26157210540000	CHEMCO INC	3876	-595	-618	14	69	24	4575
26157210550000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	3897	-505	-529	13	81	14	4509
26157210560000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	3907	-535	-549	9	78	14	4530
26157210570000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3902						4550
26157210580000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	3915	-579	-597	4	74	10	4591
26157210610000	CAYMAN CORP	3852	-612	-634	18	70	14	4534
26157210620000	BOEKEL	3955	-546	-568	4	74	16	4552
26157210630000	CAYMAN CORP	3912	-596	-623	9	80	10	4549
26157210640000	CAYMAN CORP	3908	-601	-618	6	70	15	4570
26157210650000	BOEKEL	3920	-590	-612	5	75	13	4567
26157210660000	BRADEN-GEAR DRLG CO	3959						4561
26157210660001	AK-SAR-BEN PETROLEUM	3961	-527	-551	17	76	27	4555
26157210670000	BRADEN-GEAR DRLG CO	3996	-599	-612	4	73	14	4670
26157210690000	AK-SAR-BEN PETROLEUM	3950	-528	-546		76	8	4588
26157210700000	BREW	3974	-570	-589	12	72	10	4592
26157210730000	ELDER OIL CO	3960	-532	-560	14	74	35	4603
26157210750000	ELDER OIL CO	3826	-603	-633	4	73	13	4465
26157210760000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3831	-645	-665	4	68	19	4500
26157210770000	ELDER OIL CO	3948	-546	-574	6	78	12	4570
26157210800000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3857	-762	-768	2	64	5	4671
26157210810000	BANDER & COUCH	4197	-1270			59		5526
26157210820000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4151	-1153	-1168	4	67	10	5363
26157210830000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4094	-506	-506	0	76		4686
26157210840000	AK-SAR-BEN PETROLEUM	3954	-536	-554	6	74	13	4576
26157210860000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3909	-581	-600	4	76	11	4600
26157210870000	ELDER OIL CO	3865	-641	-659	14	68	9	4575
26157210880000	ELDER OIL CO	3885	-487	-495	6	82	8	4472
26157210890000	ELDER OIL CO	3894	-461	-473	4	76	5	4480
26157210900000	STUARCO OIL CO INC	3816	-694	-702	6	65	7	4581
26157210910000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3843	-743	-752	7	67	13	4650
26157210920000	GEAR DRILLING CO	4073	-466	-481	8	93	8	4601
26157210930000	EXETER DRLG & EXPL	4171	-1229	-1236	3	66	16	5473
26157210940000	EXETER DRLG & EXPL	4043	-1217	-1230	2	68	7	5350
26157210950000	SKAER	4157	-1254	-1273	16	61	18	5463
26157210960000	VANSON PRODUCTION CO	4872	-1497	-1503	0	61	5	6421

WELL ID	OPERATOR	KB ELEV	TOP J SUBSEA	BASE J1 SUBSEA	J1 NET THICKNESS	INTERVAL HUNTSMAN SHALE MARKER to TOP OF J	MAX RESISTIVITY WITHIN J1 INTERVAL	TD
26157210970000	SKAER	4147	-1249	-1257	5	64	32	5423
26157210980000	BANDER & COUCH	4159	-1265	-1288	14	62	13	5450
26157211000000	GEAR DRILLING CO	4126	-311	-322	2		10	4531
26157211020000	BANNER DRLG CORP	3895						4809
26157211030000	GEAR DRILLING CO	4024	-1127	-1150	6	51	13	5214
26157211040000	MOUNTAIN PETROLEUM C	4050	-330	-338	0	103	8	4492
26157211050000	PETRO-LEWIS CORP	4174	-1186	-1200	4	62	9	5463
26157211080000	BANNER DRLG CORP	4046	-481	-494	6	94	10	4619
26157211090000	CHAIN OIL INC	4171	-1262	-1271	6	60	11	5472
26157211110000	EXETER DRLG & EXPL	3988	-852	-862	1	75	4	4901
26157211120000	GEAR DRILLING CO	4008	-1082	-1097	10	60	60	5050
26157211130000	BANNER DRLG CORP	4144	-1249	-1256	1	63	17	5431
26157211150000	EXETER DRLG & EXPL	4154	-1236	-1246	6	62	8	5432
26157211160000	SAGE OIL CO INC	3926	-894	-903	0		7	4892
26157211170000	BANNER DRLG CORP	4160	-1269			65		5470
26157211180000	BANNER DRLG CORP	4056	-266	-270	1		3	4451
26157211190000	BREW	3882	-590	-614	6	71	9	4527
26157211220000	B W DRLG INC	3985	-612	-617	0	73	5	4709
26157211230000	BREW	4074	-1148	-1155	3	55	8	5280
26157211250000	DEVON CORP	4177	-1499	-1499	0	73		5699
26157211260000	CENTRAL OPERATING IN	4154	-1242	-1252	6	60	14	5448
26157211290000	BANDER	4374	-1298	-1306	3	58	12	5724
26157211300000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4100	-483	-500	9	87	9	4670
26157211320000	DEVON CORP	4083	-316	-327	0		7	4557
26157211330000	S & B OIL CO	4316	-1274	-1284	6	56	21	5680
26157211350000	Z & S CONSTRUCTION I	3950	-551	-566	4	74	8	4555
26157211370000	SKAER	4167	-1258	-1268	6	62	12	5480
26157211380000	DEVON CORP	4152	-1098	-1109	4	65	13	5295
26157211390000	VANSON PRODUCTION CO	4852	-1438	-1452	8	60	6	6338
26157211400000	BREW	4162	-1248	-1255	3	60	15	5450
26157211410000	BREW	4247	-1349	-1358	2	60	11	5645
26157211420000	KIMBARK OIL & GAS CO	3894	-546	-555	6	78	8	4508
26157211430000	AK-SAR-BEN PETROLEUM	3967	-533	-559	10	76	15	4556
26157211440000	WECO DEVELOPMENT COR	3803	-606	-620	8	76	10	4480
26157211450000	B W DRLG INC	3970	-546	-560	0	84	5	4558
26157211470000	Z & S CONSTRUCTION I	4031	-327	-327	0	100		4436
26157211480000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	4427	-147	-155	4	86	7	4671
26157211490000	BEREN CORP	4005	-565	-576	2	77	13	4650
26157211500000	SUNDANCE OIL CO	4019	-873	-893	16	66	12	4927
26157211520000	BANNER DRLG CORP	3842	-762	-775	1	66	15	4712
26157211530000	BANNER DRLG CORP	4395	-161	-171	4		9	4942

WELL ID	OPERATOR	KB ELEV	TOP J SUBSEA	BASE J1 SUBSEA	J1 NET THICKNESS	INTERVAL HUNTSMAN SHALE MARKER to TOP OF J	MAX RESISTIVITY WITHIN J1 INTERVAL	TD
26157211540000	Z & S CONSTRUCTION I	4040	-367	-375	4		7	4517
26157211550000	EXETER DRLG & EXPL	3818	-614	-624	2		3	4495
26157211590000	DIETRICH EXPL CO INC	3991	-991	-999	3	61	10	5055
26157211610000	GIBSON WELL SERVICE	4231	-1285	-1297	4	60	11	5559
26157211630000	ALCOIL EXPL INC	4191	-1025	-1032	4	52	16	5300
26157211640000	SUNDANCE OIL CO	3931	-828	-845	5	65	9	4802
26157211650000	TERMO CO	3880	-614			70		4565
26157211670000	KNIGGE-SOPER OPERATI	4164	-208	-230	14			4529
26157211680000	KNIGGE-SOPER OPERATI	4183	-211	-233	9	61	13	4503
26157211690000	EXETER DRLG & EXPL	4241	-211	-237	22		12	4560
26157211700000	SUNDANCE OIL CO	3783	-553	-568	6	54	12	4410
26157211710000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	4193	-197	-213	5	64	12	4490
26157211720000	KNIGGE-SOPER OPERATI	4177	-209	-223	6	70	23	4501
26157211740000	SUNDANCE OIL CO	3762	-556	-570	2	60	5	4396
26157211750000	KNIGGE-SOPER OPERATI	4121	-201	-219	10	60	18	4434
26157211760000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	4166	-224	-244	8	72	9	4490
26157211770000	SUNDANCE OIL CO	3841	-521	-534	2	70	10	4446
26157211780000	DUNCAN	3881	-555	-561	2	76	5	4502
26157211790000	SOPER PRODUCTION	4000	-552	-566	1	74	7	4680
26157211800000	EXETER DRLG & EXPL	3851	-599	-623	8	70	9	4500
26157211810000	SUNDANCE OIL CO	3891	-803	-816	2	60	8	4778
26157211820000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	3822	-545	-555	6	72	8	4439
26157211830000	BANNER DRLG CORP	3902	-754	-770	10		12	4762
26157211850000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	4119	-223	-231	5		10	4432
26157211870000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	4190	-200	-216	9	60	8	4475
26157211880000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	4099	-221	-235	7		8	4411
26157211890000	KNIGGE-SOPER OPERATI	4146	-214	-234	13		15	4462
26157211900000	ALCOIL EXPL INC	4734	-1283	-1296	6		20	6070
26157211910000	EXETER DRLG & EXPL	4396	-1306	-1312	2	58	3	5750
26157211930000	GEAR DRILLING CO	3824	-734	-746	6	64	10	4636
26157211940000	GEAR DRILLING CO	3861	-748	-753	0	64	3	4700
26157211960000	GEAR DRILLING CO	3857	-729	-740	5	64	11	4678
26157211980000	EXAL INC	3941	-551	-569	5	70	10	4556
26157211990000	EXAL INC	3930	-550	-570	14		12	4559
26157212010000	MILLER-CHRISTENSEN	4114	-502	-513	4	86	8	4685
26157212020000	CLAYTON CORP	4104	-1138	-1146	3	46	6	5330
26157212030000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	4170	-208	-218	4		9	4460
26157212040000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	4219	-212	-229	12	73	10	4500
26157212050000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	4161	-211	-235	9		11	4475
26157212090000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	4233	-199	-217	13	87	10	4504
26157212110000	EXAL INC	3941	-553	-577	7	74	17	4557

WELL ID	OPERATOR	KB ELEV	TOP J SUBSEA	BASE J1 SUBSEA	J1 NET THICKNESS	INTERVAL HUNTSMAN SHALE MARKER to TOP OF J	MAX RESISTIVITY WITHIN J1 INTERVAL	TD
26157212120000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	4231	-194	-206	7	79	9	4510
26157212130000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	4485	-188	-213	16		10	4750
26157212140000	ALCOIL EXPL INC	3997	-569	-580	4	76	8	4680
26157212150000	ALCOIL EXPL INC	4019	-561	-578	3	77	8	4695
26157212170000	LECLAIR-WESTWOOD INC	3805	-627	-645	13	74	8	4500
26157212180000	LECLAIR-WESTWOOD INC	3905	-831	-855	6	64	12	4796
26157212190000	LECLAIR-WESTWOOD INC	3951	-999	-1011	4	69	10	5008
26157212200000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	4514	-166	-179	6		7	4754
26157212220000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	4009	-827	-845	12	39	12	4842
26157212230000	EVANS ENERGY INC	4125	-925	-934	4	64	11	5108
26157212240000	EVANS ENERGY INC	4027	-703	-711	2	78	5	4855
26157212250000	EVANS ENERGY INC	4010	-582	-588	2	84	4	4705
26157212260000	EVANS ENERGY INC	3877	-843	-850	3		5	4804
26157212270000	EVANS ENERGY INC	4006	-364	-378	6	84	10	4453
26157212280000	EVANS ENERGY INC	3951	-700	-718	5	71	13	4770
26157212290000	GEAR DRILLING CO	3934	-920	-932	3		8	4953
26157212300000	STRATA OIL CO INC	4339	-279	-301	12		11	4694
26157212310000	BWAB INC	3915	-830	-859	14	67	12	4845
26157212330000	BEARTOOTH OIL & GAS	3840	-529	-548	10	74	12	4427
26157212340000	BWAB INC	3989	-565	-582	9	80	7	4633
26157212350000	ALCOIL EXPL INC	3992	-562	-582	7	74	6	4676
26157212360000	GEAR DRILLING CO	3930	-560	-582	7	68	9	4570
26157212370000	GEAR DRILLING CO	4014	-903	-907	0		3	4971
26157212380000	BWAB INC	3990	-570	-588	7	78	10	4650
26157212390000	BWAB INC	3945	-809	-819	2	62	10	4830
26157212400000	TOLTEK DRLG CO	4155	-1261	-1267	4		10	5500
26157212410000	BWAB INC	3990	-848	-852	1		4	4937
26157212420000	BWAB INC	3980	-561	-578	3	70	7	4575
26157212430000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4001	-415	-424	6	80	7	4530
26157212440000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3920	-550	-570	4		10	4580
26157212450000	BWAB INC	4072	-1035	-1055	4		40	5180
26157212460000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3898	-551	-558	4		10	4520
26157212470000	BANNER DRLG CORP	3831	-710	-723	4	62	15	4618
26157212470001	BANNER DRLG CORP	3831						630
26157212480000	GEAR DRILLING CO	3969	-901	-904	2		3	4967
26157212490000	BARFIELD OIL CORP	4332	-1324	-1335	2	56	8	5765
26157212500000	BANNER DRLG CORP	3833	-707	-726	11	64	13	4618
26157212510000	BANNER DRLG CORP	3977	-1031	-1039	2	62	8	5100
26157212520000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4098	-1020	-1034	4		11	5172
26157212530000	SCHOLL & RATLIFF COR	3800	-630	-647	14	72	13	4506
26157212540000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3828	-675	-679	1	68	3	4566

WELL ID	OPERATOR	KB ELEV	TOP J SUBSEA	BASE J1 SUBSEA	J1 NET THICKNESS	INTERVAL HUNTSMAN SHALE MARKER to TOP OF J	MAX RESISTIVITY WITHIN J1 INTERVAL	TD
26157212550000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4036	-618	-622	0	80	3	4760
26157212570000	C & M OIL INC	3825	-715	-730	6		9	4613
26157212570001	C & M OIL INC	3825						4614
26157212580000	H & R EXPL & PRODUCT	4465	-1319	-1343	22	55	26	5855
26157212590000	BANNER DRLG CORP	3824	-706	-721	0	64	5	4512
26157212600000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4114	-1102	-1114	2	62	13	5295
26157212610000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3821	-631	-639	4	68	8	4520
26157212620000	RATLIFF CORP	3917	-561	-582	6	68	20	4600
26157212630000	GEAR DRILLING CO	3988	-818	-832	6	62	13	4894
26157212640000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4165	-819	-833	9		10	5100
26157212650000	GEAR DRILLING CO	3910	-832	-852	12	65	12	4810
26157212660000	TYLER ROCKIES EXPL L	4007	-585	-592	2	77	5	4697
26157212670000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	4086	-1036	-1048	4	60	12	5200
26157212680000	BANNER DRLG CORP	3827	-711	-717	2	66	9	4615
26157212690000	BANNER DRLG CORP	3832	-610	-626	2	70	7	4510
26157212700000	GEAR DRILLING CO	3984	-834	-848	10	61	15	4900
26157212710000	SCHOLL & RATLIFF COR	3926	-598	-620	8	72	18	4586
26157212720000	SUNRAY DX OIL CO	3802	-599	-612	7	71	9	4470
26157212730000	BANNER DRLG CORP	3836	-674	-685	0	65	5	4586
26157212740000	GREGORY	4061	-1149					5296
26157212760000	CURRENTLY UNASSIGNED	3830	-682	-689	2	64	11	4624
26157212780000	SCHOLL & RATLIFF COR	3926						4522
26157212780001	SCHOLL & RATLIFF COR	3926	-574			72		4520
26157212790000	SCHOLL & RATLIFF COR	3910	-602	-634	14	68	13	4569
26157212820000	MALLON PRODUCTION CO	4145	-205	-220	5	66	10	4460
26157212840000	GEAR DRILLING CO	4510	-1320	-1332	8	56	13	5871
26157212850000	GEAR DRILLING CO	3978	-873	-886	6	64	15	4947
26157212860000	RATLIFF CORP	4034	-862	-880	13	63	15	5005
26157212870000	RATLIFF CORP	3972	-570	-589	8	72	8	4635
26157212890000	GEAR DRILLING CO	4530	-1469	-1477	2	111	9	6020
26157212900000	YOUNT FOUNDATION INC	4020	-1098	-1120	14	56	63	5222
26157212910000	CANNON DALE	3833	-715	-720	8		9	4621
26157212920000	GEAR DRILLING CO	3826	-715	-729	4	61	10	4600
26157212940000	GEAR DRILLING CO	4010	-1068	-1074	2	60	10	5150
26157212970000	YOUNT FOUNDATION INC	4026	-1102	-1128	16	54	35	5225
26157212980000	GEAR DRILLING CO	4113	-1029	-1034	2		4	5200
26157212990000	YOUNT FOUNDATION INC	4016						5205
26157213000000	ASHBY ANDREW M	4007	-605					4680
26157213010000	ASHBY ANDREW M	3971	-609					4711