

West Virginia Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station Bulletins

Davis College of Agriculture, Natural Resources And Design

1-1-1936

Color of lean of beef as affected by grass and grain feeding

J. H. Longwell

Follow this and additional works at: https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/ wv_agricultural_and_forestry_experiment_station_bulletins

Digital Commons Citation

Longwell, J. H., "Color of lean of beef as affected by grass and grain feeding" (1936). *West Virginia Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station Bulletins*. 274. https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/wv_agricultural_and_forestry_experiment_station_bulletins/275

This Bulletin is brought to you for free and open access by the Davis College of Agriculture, Natural Resources And Design at The Research Repository @ WVU. It has been accepted for inclusion in West Virginia Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station Bulletins by an authorized administrator of The Research Repository @ WVU. For more information, please contact ian.harmon@mail.wvu.edu.



-

.

June 1936

COLOR OF LEAN OF BEEF as Affected by GRASS AND GRAIN FEEDING

by J. H. LONGWELL

AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE, WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY F. D. FROMME, Director MORGANTOWN

Color of Lean of Beef as Affected by Grass and Grain Feeding

by J. H. LONGWELL

C ONSUMERS OF BEEF generally object to dark-colored lean, preferring a bright-red color. This objection to dark beef probably is based on the impression that the beef is dark because it was produced by an inferior animal, or because it was handled improperly at the time of or subsequent to slaughter, and that as a result of one or both of these factors the beef will be less desirable. For this reason the retailer usually is forced to sell dark beef at a lower price than bright beef. The retailer in turn is unwilling to pay the meat packer as much for dark as for bright beef. No external characteristic of the live animal is known to be an indication of the color of the beef which the animal will produce. Justifiably or not, the packer commonly attributes dark color in beef to the use of grass as a feed.

Grass-finished cattle commonly are penalized on the market because of the widespread opinion among meat packers and retailers that the beef will be dark. Because of this almost universal objection to grassfed cattle, West Virginia cattlemen, as well as those in adjoining states, often are forced to accept a lower price for their cattle than for grainfed cattle of similar grade.

The experimental cattle-feeding work which has been carried on at Morgantown and Lewisburg has provided material which may help to answer the question of the effect of feed on the color of beef.

Color readings were made on beef samples from fat yearlings. 2-year-old, and 3-year-old cattle. The yearlings, all of which were fed at Morgantown, included eight good and choice grade native steers slaughtered in August, 1930, one Angus heifer slaughtered in December, 1929, and one Shorthorn and two Angus steers slaughtered in October, 1930. All these cattle were grain-fed and highly finished.

The two-year-old cattle fed at Morgantown included eight grade native steers slaughtered in November, 1930, and 30 grade native steers slaughtered in October, 1931. The eight steers in 1930 were on pasture alone from May 1st until September 4th, then were full-fed a ration consisting of cracked corn (16.66 parts) and cottonseed oilmeal (one part), with hay, in dry-lot for 56 days. The 30 steers fed in 1931 were divided into three lots of ten head each about May 1st and were fed as follows:

> Lot 1—grass alone, 56 days; grain on grass, 140 days. Lot 2—grass alone, 84 days; grain on grass, 112 days. Lot 3—grass alone, 140 days; grain in dry lot, 56 days.

Lots 1 and 2 received the same total amount of grain until September 4th. After this date all three lots received all the grain they would clean up readily for the last 56 days of the experiment. The grain mixture consisted of six parts cracked corn and one part cottonseed meal. The two-year-old cattle fed at Lewisburg included 50 steers in each of the three years, 1929, 1930, and 1931. With the exception of 12 western steers, all these cattle were grade native steers purchased in the fall and carried through the winter on corn silage, straw or hay, and cottonseed oilmeal.

About May 1st each year these steers were divided into five lots of ten head each and fed as follows:

> Lot 1-A—grass alone, 140 days. Lot 2—grass alone, 56 days; grain on grass, 54 days. Lot 3—grain on grass, 140 days. Lot 1-B—grass alone, 140 days; grain on grass, 56 days. Lot 1-C—grass alone, 140 days; grain in dry lot, 56 days.

The grain consisted of shelled corn (eight parts) and cottonsced meal (one part).

In 1929 all ten steers in Lot 1-A were slaughtered and color readings made on the beef, but beef from only five steers from each of the other four lots was available for color determinations. In 1930 and 1931 all ten steers in each lot were slaughtered and color readings made on the beef.

The three-year-old steers at Morgantown were purchased as calves and received no grain at any time except the small amounts of oilmeal fed during the winter. They were fattened on grass and sold at the end of the grazing period.

The carcasses from all these cattle were inspected, and samples were obtained from the rib eye for color determinations. Nearly all samples were taken from the right rib eye near the twelfth rib. In a few cases it was necessary to take the sample near the eighth rib.

The color values of each sample of lean beef were determined from data obtained with a spectrophotometer or color analyzer. This instrument is used to measure the amount of a standard light reflected from the sample at scleeted intervals through the entire visible spectrum. From these data the color values are calculated. The values so calculated constitute a complete description of the color of a sample of beef. These values are absolute, the errors being eliminated that would be introduced by estimating the color with the eye. Once obtained, the values may be compared with values of samples taken at another time, thus making it possible to compare the colors of meat produced at widely separated times.

The color values are expressed in terms of dominant hue, purity or intensity, and relative brightness. Most naturally occurring colors consist of mixtures of two or more of the seven primary hues, violet, blue, blue-green, green, yellow, orange, and red. Usually one of these hues will dominate all the others and will be the principal hue affecting the color. This is the dominant hue, and it is expressed in millimicrons wave length. This dominant hue may be present in varying amounts, the amount determining the intensity or purity of the color. The intensity is expressed in percentage of this hue. The relative brightness refers to the brightness of the color compared with noon-day sunlight.

\$

It is expressed in percentage. In beef color the relative brightness is related more closely to the color of beef as the eye sees it than is either hue or intensity. Brightness values of 11 or above represent bright beef, between 9 and 11, medium bright, and below 9, dark beef. Extremely dark beef, or beef from what commonly are called "black cutters," has brightness values of 7 or less.

TABLE 1-Color values of the beef from fat yearlings grain-fed at Morgantown

Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Brightness
21	596	27	12.24
22	593	32	11.50
23	603	24	10.93
24	605	20	9.92
25	602	29	13.03
26	604	20	12.06
27	597	26	13.13
28	590	44	14.04
Angus heifer	603	23	11.40
Shorthorn steer	605	32	12.97
Angus steer	607	25	12.57
Angus steer	600	27	12.18
Average	600.4	27.4	12.16

TABLE 2—Color values of the beef from 2-year-old steers fed at Morgantown on grass alone (127 days) and grain and hay in dry lot (56 days), 1930

Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Brightness
9	599	26	10.65
10	625	14	11.34
11	610	20	10.84
12	625	13	11.13
13	604	20	10.88
14	607	16	10.66
15	603	24	12.14
16	606	23	10.91
Average	610	20	11.07

In some dark samples not only is the brightness value low, but there is an excess of blue and a deficiency of red, the resulting color being purple. The hue of such a purple is expressed as the blue complement of the color. For example steer 1, Lot 1-B, 1931, (Table 5) from the Lewisburg cattle had a hue value expressed as 491-C. This means that wave length 491, in the blue part of the spectrum, is one of two dominant hues, the other being red, and the resulting color being purple. The hue values for those samples having a purple color are not included in the averages because this color is a combination of two hues which cannot be averaged.

The color values for all the beef samples used are given in Tables 1 to 5.

The numbers of yearlings (Table 1) and three-year-old steers (Table 4) are not large enough to warrant definite conclusions. None of the three-year-old steers killed dark even though nearly all their growth and finish were produced on grass.

Comparison of the two-year-old steers fed at Morgantown (Tables 2 and 3) shows no appreciable differences in brightness, which is the

	Lot 2 Lot 3	Grass alone, 84 days Grain on grass, 112 days Grain on grass, 56 days	Hue Intensity Bright Animal Hue Intensity Bright ness	23 9.82 51	18 10.96 55 619 18	15 10.70 58 640 12	19 10.31 57 603 27	22 11.26 61 605 19	18 10.80 63 610 17	19 9.21 65 491C 20	21 10.92 67 638 13	598 26 11.52 77 597 28 11.26	10 11.19 78 620 14	Average 624.1 18.5
	I.c	Grass alo Grain on gr	Bright- Animal Hue ness No.	50	53	54	62	68	69	71	72	10.64 75 598	62	0.92 Average 615.4
a close mic make well loop out to pour a toto	Lot 1	Grass alone, 56 days Grain on grass, 140 days	Hue Intensity Brine	647 13 16	599 21 12	13	24	18	13	26		19	15	620.3 18.0 10
		Gra	Animal No.	52	99	69	60	64	99	20	73	74	92	Average

1931
Morgantown,
at .
old steers
old
2-year
и
fron
beef from
the
of
· volues of
3-Color
TABLE

TABLE 4-Color values of the beef from 3-year-old steers fed on grass alone at Mor-gantown, 1930

Brightness	11.24 10.56 10.56 10.57 10.56 10.56 11.63 11.65
Intensity	17 184 2022 233 223
Hue	602 603 591 595 595 595 595
Animal No.	1 20 4 4 7 6 5 7 4 7 6 5 7 4 7 6 7 7 4 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7

ł

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		19	1929			16	1930				1931	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Bright- ness	Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Bright- ness	Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Bright- ness
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							1 .	1.1.1				
	ž	608	7 99	10.01	-	606	20	11.20	16	598	2.9	11.24
	44	600	22.0	8.96	4 00	605	20	12.39	18	619	17	7.97
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	F 6'	F02	0.26	9.85	1- 1-	600	26	12.06	29	599	30	9.23
	60	508	0.86	6 77	51	596	26	12.95	36	606	20	11.11
	00	610	0.60	11.36	101	604	19	10.63	11	606	25	10.94
	61 61	604	0.00	80.0	10	596	26	13.86	410	613	17	9.95
	163	169	19.0	10.63	19	605	20	11.33	46	607	20	10.04
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11.	601	945	10.17	48	596	26	13.16	48	600	20	9.74
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		100	0.7.0	11 00	26	605	19	10.13	53	601	28	9.99
	0 612	200	506	8 43	61	610	21	11.07	59	597	30	11.44
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Average	604	23.6	10.02	1	602	22.3	11,88		605	23.6	10.17
						140	AYS; GRAIN	-	56	ø		
	6.6	507		9.0.6	6	606	24	11.89	T	491C	22	9.72
	104	102	10.0	8 46	100	596	30	13.98	1-	614	27	10.49
	012	600	0.02	19.53	26	601	27	12.29	15	492C	21	9.39
		296	23.5	8.32	59	598	28	12.72	19	609	21	10.18
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	÷	596	2.56	10.39	40		lied		20	622	15	10.21
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$:	2			41	605	25	15.02	23	640	14	9.11
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					46	605	22	13.53	28	640	13	9.98
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					1-10	602	27	13.25	32	620	18	10.72
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					58	602	31	12.25	34	494C	26	8.65
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					59	598	31	14.47	43	639	18	10.29
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Average	597	23.2	9.75		601	27.2	13.67		626	18.0	10.14
			LOI		1 '				56	AYS		
	40	600	9.9.5		1-	601	22	13.13	9	647	25	10.58
	0 L F G	200	25.0	10.01	. 01	603	25	13.44	10	640	15	10.30
		619	19.0	7 59	1	602	30	13.73	12	625	15	9.32
	01	601	26.0	11.89	12	606	63	13.30	13	614	21	11.24
	0.6	605	2 6 6	12.6		601	25	12.18	14	492C	27	9.75
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4	200	1		16	608	21	12.18	22	492C	24	9.34
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					18	598	33	13.18	37	616	13	8.81
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					34	610	19	11.98	40	640	21	10.00
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					50	596	34	13.30	55	622	21	10.86
603 23.8 10.01 603 26 12.96 627					51	604	23	13.16	28	615	20	11.29
	Average	603	23.8	10.01		603	26	12.96		627	18.9	T0.30

TABLE 5-Color values of the beef from 2-year-old steers at Lewisburg

TABLE 5-C	olor valu	TABLE 5-Color values of the beef from 2-year-old steers at Lewisburg (Continued)	ef from 2-y	year-old ste	ers at Lei	visburg (Cor	itinued)				
	1	1929			1	1930				1931	
Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Bright- ness	Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Bright- ness	Animal No.	Hue	Intensity	Bright. ness
		I	LOT 2: GRASS ALONE,	ASS ALONI	E, 56 DAYS;	ZS; GRAIN	ON GRASS,	, 84 DAYS			
12	605	29.0	9.98	9	604	24	10.32	ଦୀ	600	27	13.44
~	615	15.0	7.87	13	606	25	11.42	60	603	2.0	8.76
54	599	32.0	11.45	15	599	27	11.00	4	596	28	12.96
34	009	30.5	11.46	. 28	620	14	11.98	10	606	28	11.51
41	603	32.0	10.21	20 50	604	21	10.83	6	604	26	11.17
				38		lost		25	606	26	10.39
				43	615	20	10.19	38	606	24	11.10
				45	596	27	11.51	45	601	32	11.40
				49	262	33	12.13	49	604	10	11.88
				60	491C	Ļ	12.10	59	604	23	11.29
Average	604	27.7	10.19		607	23.9	11.17		603	26.1	11.39
				LOT 3: G	GRAIN ON	GRASS,	140 DAYS				
18	596	31.0	7.61	ro.	605	29	11.08	11	599	22	11.21
16	601	26.0	10.00	6	606	25	10.38	17	598	25	10.26
57	599	28.5	12.29	26	600	21	10.51	21	602	29	11.32
22	603	25.5	12.49	31	597	30	11.28	24	615	16	10.24
23	598	29.5	12.51	32	605	27	13.07	26	602	8 1 8	10.15
				96	596	28	11.25	21	599	31	11.42
				2.5	604	24	11.52	39	602	24	10.67
				39	613	17	11.45	44	599	32	11.06
				44	599	31	12.79	52	605	26	11.97
				55	601	18	10.70	56	594	5 1	13.16
Average	599	28.1	10.98		603	25	11.40		602	26.7	11.14

color value most closely related to the color as seen with the eye. None of these steers killed dark enough to be objectionable.

Among the Lewisburg cattle (Table 5) no differences attributable to feed appeared in 1929, although there were one or two dark carcasses in each lot. In 1930 all the cattle from Lewisburg killed bright. The beef from Lots 1-B and 1-C was noticeably brighter than that from the other three lots. The cattle in Lots 1-B and 1-C were fed grain after the grazing season and had more finish when killed than did those in the other lots. In 1931 the beef from Lots 2 and 3 appeared to be slightly brighter, but this difference was so small as to be negligible. The high values for hue and the appearance of several purple carcasses in Lots 1-B and 1-C constituted the main differences between the lots for this year. The available information provides no satisfactory explanation for the color of the beef from these two lots.

The color values of beef from the two-year-old steers, 186 in all, give no indications of any effect of feed on the color of lean. A few of these cattle killed dark, but these dark cutters occurred in different lots without any apparent relation to the feed consumed. There were but a few of these dark cutters, most of the beef being bright, regardless of the amount of grass or grain eaten. There was some indication of a relationship between brightness of lean and degree of finish, those cattle showing the higher degree of finish having the brightest lean. The differences in degree of finish and in brightness of lean were so small in the two-year-old steers that this relationship was not very pronounced. The fat yearlings carried considerably more finish than any of the other cattle and they showed a brighter lean than the two- or three-year-old cattle. Young cattle commonly show brighter lean than older cattle of the same degree of finish, for the older the cattle, the darker the color. A part of this greater degree of brightness in the yearlings probably is attributable to their youth.

Work done at other stations, especially Illinois (1) and Kansas (2), shows these same relationships between age, degree of finish, and brightness of beef.

The experimental evidence obtained does not substantiate the idea that grass as a feed produces dark lean in becf. Well-finished grass-fed cattle can be expected to kill as bright as grain-fed cattle of the same degree of finish.

SUMMARY

Grass as a feed was not found to produce dark lean in beef.

The brightness of the lean of beef appears to be related directly to the degree of finish of the beef.

Beef from grass-finished cattle can be expected to be as bright as beef from grain-finished cattle which show a comparable degree of finish.

(1) Bull, Sleeter. An. Report, Ill. Agr. Expt. Sta. 1928-29.

(2) Mackintosh, D. L. Bien. Report, Kans. Agr. Expt. Sta. 1928-30.

NOTE: The two-year-old steers fed at Lewisburg, from which beef samples were obtained, were included in Project P-7, a cooperative project between the Federal Bureau of Animal Industry and the W. Va. Agricultural Experiment Station. The author wishes to express his appreciation to those members of the Bureau whose cooperation made possible the collection of these data at Beltsville, Md.

.

•

