



AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE

Journal Of Agricultural Science And Agriculture Engineering

ISSN : 2597-8713 (Online) - 2598-5167 (Print)

Available on :

<http://agris.cience.scientific-work.org/index.php/agris.cience>

This is Under CC BY SA Licence



Cooking and Eating Quality Profiling of Some Popular Rice Cultivars In Bangladesh

Saika Anne¹, Md. Anwarul Haque², and Sharif Ar Raffi^{1*}¹Plant Stress Breeding Lab, Dept. of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202²Grain Quality and Nutrition Division, Bangladesh Rice Research Institute, Gazipur-1701, Bangladesh

*Corresponding author: Prof. Sharif Ar Raffi, saraffi@bau.edu.bd

ABSTRACT

Eating and cooking quality of rice plays major role in consumer's preference for any cultivar. In the present investigation 21 popular rice cultivars were analyzed for their cooking and eating quality traits. Moderate variations were observed for all the traits studied except solid in cooking water. Among the cultivars, cooking time ranged from 14.02 to 21.37 minutes, water uptake ratio from 2.24 to 3.324 %, solid in cooking water from 1.027 to 1.049 gm, volume expansion ratio from 2.8 to 4.28 %, % amylose content from 17.367 (low) to 27.387(intermediate), protein content from 6.28 to 8.96 (%), and most of the cultivars were found with intermediate gelatinization temperature. Solid in cooking water was found positively correlated with cooking time, but negatively with water uptake ratio. Considering the preferences for cooked rice, high amylose content and low to intermediate gelatinization temperature of *BRR1 rice29*, *BRR1 rice49* and *Binarice-11* justified their popularity among the farmers and consumers.

Keywords: Rice, cooking quality, eating quality

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice is one of the most important sources of calories consumed by 3 billion Asians (Dogara and Jumare, 2014). It is mainly eaten as whole cooked grains (Hossain et al., 2009). However, for rice, grain quality is as important as yield, which is usually processed as food or feed. Accordingly, consumer's inclination for grain quality has taken as the major objective for rice quality breeding (Anne et al., 2018).

Eating quality indicates to the sensory sensitivity of consumers for the cooked rice which is associated to glossiness, flavor, and stickiness (Champagne, E. T., Bett-Garber, K. L., Fitzgerald, M. A., Grimm, C. C., Lea, J., Ohtsubo, K., Jongdee, S., Xie, L., Bassinello, P. Z., Resurreccion, A., Ahmad, R., Habibi, F., Reinke, 2010). These quality traits indicate the chemical reaction that occurs during cooking of the rice grain, affected by cooking time, volume expansion ratio and gelatinization (*Bhattacharya and Sowbhagya, 1971*; *Juliano and Perez, 1983*). The gelatinization temperature (GT), and amylose content (AC) are another set of traits, which are directly related to cooking and eating quality (Little et al., 1958). It has been asserted that higher the value of gelatinization temperature, the longer time it takes to cook rice (Frei and Becker, 2003; Dipti et al.,



2003), though Bhattacharya and Sowbhagya (1971) concluded that cooking time is primarily related to the surface area of the milled rice and unrelated to other grain properties. Furthermore, variation in cooking time may be due to genotypic difference and it has been reported that rice grain with high protein content or a high gelatinization temperature requires more water and longer time to cook (Juliano, 1971). Besides, amylose content (AC) is the most important chemical characteristics determining eating quality and affecting some physical traits (Balindong et al., 2018).

Rice is a major source of food protein in Asia and other countries, though it contains only 6-8% protein (Jayaprakash et al., 2017). Its value as a protein source is enhanced by its high lysine content relative to other cereal grains (Mosse et al., 1988). Although, eaten rice contains about 7% protein and do not fluctuate widely from this level (Chen et al., 1999), but still considered important because the daily intake of rice is higher than other cereals in Asian countries.

As a prime staple, there is a consistent demand for improved quality rice which varies by cultivars and its environment, as determined in terms of the cooking and eating quality properties. Bangladesh is very near to attain self-sufficiency in rice production (Chen & Lu, 2018), therefore, breeding focus should be given more on qualitative improvement of rice. The present investigation was undertaken to evaluate cooking and eating quality of selected rice cultivars which will assist in enlightening the consumer's preferences for rice in Bangladesh as well as scope of improvement by future breeding program.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out at the Grain Quality and Nutrition Division of the Bangladesh rice research institute (BRR), Joydebpur, Gazipur and Plant Stress Breeding Lab, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh. Twenty-one rice cultivars were studied for cooking and eating quality traits (Table 1).

Table 1: List of 21 rice cultivars used in the study

| Cultivars | Sources / Courtesy | Status |
|--|---|----------------------|
| <i>BR 26, BRR Rice29, BRR Rice35, BRR Rice38, BRR Rice46, BRR Rice49, BRR Rice50, BRR Rice59, BRR Rice61, BRR Rice64, BRR Rice66, BRR Rice67, BRR Rice69, BRR Rice72, BRR Hybrid Rice2, BRR Hybrid Rice4</i> | Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRR) | Released variety |
| <i>Kalizira, Tulsimala</i> | Dept. of Genetics and Plant Breeding, BAU | Landraces (aromatic) |



AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE

Journal Of Agricultural Science And Agriculture Engineering

ISSN : 2597-8713 (Online) - 2598-5167 (Print)

Available on :

<http://agris.cience.scientific-work.org/index.php/agris.cience>

This is Under CC BY SA Licence



| | | |
|--|--|------------------|
| <i>Binarice-11, Binarice-13, Binarice-16</i> | Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture (BINA) | Released variety |
|--|--|------------------|

2.1. Preparation for recording data:

Cooking time

The cooking time was determined by the standard procedure described by Ranghino (1966). Here, 5 gm of milled rice was taken in a wire case. Then it was kept in 50 ml vigorously boiled water in 100 ml beaker. Starting after 10 minutes of cooking in excess boiling water, At least 10 grains were pressed between two petri-dish in every minute. The grains were considered cooked when at least 90% of the pressed grains no longer show an opaque center. Optimum cooking time was taken until no white core was left.

Water uptake ratio

Water uptake ratio was determined according to the method used in Oko et al. (2012) by cooking 2.0 g of whole rice kernels from each cultivar in 20 ml distilled water for a minimum cooking time in a boiling water bath. The superficial water from the cooked rice was then drained out. Cooked samples were then weighed accurately and water uptake ratio was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Water uptake ratio} = \frac{\text{Weight of cooked rice}}{\text{Weight of uncooked rice}}$$

Solids in cooking water

Solid in cooking water was determined by drying an aliquot of the cooking water in a tarred evaporating dish to steam out the water. Before that, the weight of the empty petri dish was measured and recorded as W_1 . The weight of the petri dish and dry aliquot was measured as W_2 . The amount of solid in cooking water was now calculated as: $W_2 - W_1$, where W_1 = weight of empty petri dish, W_2 = weight of empty dish + dry aliquot.

Volume expansion ratio (VER)

The volume expansion ratio was calculated with the method by Sidhu et al. (1975). To measure VER, 50 ml water was taken in 100 ml measuring cylinder and 5 gm raw milled rice sample was added. Initially, increase in the volume of water after adding 5 g of raw milled rice was measured and noted. Raw milled rice sample was soaked for 30 minutes and cooked for 10 minutes in a water bath. Then cooked rice was transferred into the petridish and allowed to stand for 15 minutes before analysis. Again 50 ml of water was taken in 100 ml measuring cylinder and cooked



rice was added. Finally, increase in the volume of water after adding cooked rice was measured and recorded. The volume expansion ratio was calculated by using following equation:

$$\text{Volume expansion ratio} = \frac{(x-5)}{(y-1)} \quad \text{Where,}$$

(x – 50) is the volume of cooked rice (ml); and (y-15) is the volume of raw rice (ml).

Amylose content (%)

Amylose in rice is released by the treatment with dilute alkali. By the addition of Tri-iodide ion, amylose produces a blue color. The absorbance of blue color produced in aqueous solution was measured by UV-spectrometer at 620 nm as described by Williams et al. (1958) and modified by Juliano (1971).

Samples are categorized for amylose content based on the following grouping (Choudhury, 1979).

| Category | % Amylose Content |
|--------------|-------------------|
| Waxy | 1-2 |
| Non-waxy | >2 |
| Very low | 3-9 |
| Low | 10-20 |
| Intermediate | 20-25 |
| High | 25-33 |

Protein content (%)

Micro Kjeldahl procedure was used for the determination of rice grain protein content (Ma & Zuazaga, 1942).

Gelatinization Temperature (GT)

Gelatinization temperature (GT) was indexed by alkali spreading value test (Little et al., 1958). The degree of spreading of individual milled rice kernel in a weak alkali solution (1.7% KOH) at room temperature (32±2°C) was evaluated on a 7-point numerical scale (Jennings et al., 1979; Khush et al., 1979).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the cooking and eating quality traits considered for the study were presented in Table 2, 3 & 5.



Cooking time

Cooking time is critical as it plays major role to determine tenderness and stickiness of cooked rice (Asghar et al., 2012). The time required for cooking of the rice samples was shown in Table 2 and ranged from 14.02 minutes to 21.37 minutes. The cultivar with lowest cooking time was found in *Tulsimala* and longest was *BRR1 Rice69*. Less cooking time is preferable for consumer demand (Custodio et al., 2016); therefore, *Tulsimala* was considered as the best. Besides, rice differ in optimum cooking time in excess water between 15 to 25 minutes without pre-soaking (Juliano & Perez, 1983), whereas, presoaking helps rice to cook in shorter time (Hirannaiah et al., 2001). Furthermore, gelatinization temperature (GT) reported to have positive direct relationship with cooking time (Frei et al., 2003), although, no such pattern was visible in the present study. Probably, differences in cooking time in the present study could be due the varietal difference prevail among the cultivars (Chukwuemeka et al., 2015).

Table 2: Performances of 21 rice cultivars based on cooking quality traits

| Sl No. | Cultivar | Cooking time (min) | Water uptake Ratio | Solid in cooking water (gm) | Volume expansion ratio |
|--------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | <i>BR 26</i> | 19.35 | 2.251 | 1.049 | 4.03 |
| 2 | <i>BRR1 Rice29</i> | 18.43 | 2.24 | 1.045 | 4.28 |
| 3 | <i>BRR1 Rice35</i> | 18.52 | 3.312 | 1.045 | 4.08 |
| 4 | <i>BRR1 Rice38</i> | 19.16 | 2.84 | 1.040 | 3.81 |
| 5 | <i>BRR1 Rice46</i> | 15.51 | 3.136 | 1.028 | 3.36 |
| 6 | <i>BRR1 Rice49</i> | 19.46 | 3.104 | 1.043 | 3.60 |
| 7 | <i>BRR1 Rice50</i> | 17.09 | 3.314 | 1.027 | 3.47 |
| 8 | <i>BRR1 Rice59</i> | 16.49 | 3.252 | 1.028 | 3.19 |
| 9 | <i>BRR1 Rice61</i> | 15.2 | 3.295 | 1.030 | 3.40 |
| 10 | <i>BRR1 Rice64</i> | 20.57 | 3.245 | 1.044 | 3.12 |
| 11 | <i>BRR1 Rice66</i> | 15.50 | 3.204 | 1.030 | 4.00 |
| 12 | <i>BRR1 Rice67</i> | 16.30 | 3.146 | 1.029 | 4.00 |
| 13 | <i>BRR1 Rice69</i> | 21.37 | 2.946 | 1.031 | 3.50 |
| 14 | <i>BRR1 Rice72</i> | 17.47 | 3.324 | 1.042 | 3.37 |
| 15 | <i>BRR1 Hybrid Rice2</i> | 14.49 | 2.408 | 1.037 | 3.85 |
| 16 | <i>BRR1 Hybrid Rice4</i> | 14.08 | 2.62 | 1.035 | 3.37 |
| 17 | <i>Kalizira</i> | 14.40 | 3.312 | 1.031 | 4.00 |
| 18 | <i>Tulsimala</i> | 13.53 | 2.712 | 1.028 | 2.80 |
| 19 | <i>Binarice-11</i> | 16.58 | 3.084 | 1.033 | 3.11 |
| 20 | <i>Binarice-13</i> | 15.01 | 2.602 | 1.037 | 3.00 |
| 21 | <i>Binarice-16</i> | 18.25 | 2.54 | 1.046 | 4.28 |

Water uptake ratio

According to Hogan and Plank (1958), the hydration characteristics of rice is influenced by variety and drying method, where, short and medium grain varieties have higher water absorption than long grain types. In the present study, water uptake ratio ranged from 2.24% to 3.324 % (Table 2). The highest water uptake capacity was found in *BRR1 Rice 35*, *BRR1 Rice 72* and



Kalizira, which are graded as short to medium grain size category. Furthermore, inverse relationship between water uptake rate and amylose content was found by Metcalf & Lund (1985) and *BRR1 Rice29* demonstrated similar performances in the present study with lower water uptake ratio but higher amylose content. By contrast, *BRR1 Rice72* demonstrated both higher water uptake ratio and amylose content same as Juliano (1972), but overall, no correlation was found between water uptake ratio and amylose content (Table 3) as suggested by (Bhattacharya and Sowbhagya, 1971). This could be, therefore, concluded that, grain water uptake ratio not solely depends on grain morphology or physiology but resulted as a complex interaction between grain chemical composition with water as suggested by (Bergman et al., 2004).

Table 3: Correlations coefficient between cooking quality traits

| | CT | WUR | SCW | VER | PC |
|-----|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| WUR | 0.002 ^{NS} | | | | |
| SCW | 0.570** | -0.446* | | | |
| VER | 0.255 ^{NS} | -0.255 ^{NS} | 0.428 ^{NS} | | |
| PC | -0.347 ^{NS} | -0.152 ^{NS} | 0.149 ^{NS} | -0.020 ^{NS} | |
| AC | 0.051 ^{NS} | 0.036 ^{NS} | 0.259 ^{NS} | 0.277 ^{NS} | 0.221 ^{NS} |

Legends, CT= Cooking time, WUR= Water uptake ratio, SCW= Solid in cooking water and VER= Volume expansion ratio, AC= Amylose content and PC= Protein content

** and ** indicate significant at 5% and 1% level of probability, respectively*

NS indicates non-significant.

Solid in cooking water

Solid in cooking water indicated loss of solids from grain, which is not desirable because in South Asia, rice is cooked in excess water and water is poured off after cooking (Choudhury, 1979), which eventually caused nutrient loss. Saleh & Meullenet (2013) have found that continuous heating increases the solubilization of more starch molecules which eventually leached out in to water. In the present study, positive correlation was found between solid in cooking water and cooking time (Table 3) as suggested. During cooking, the starch of the cooking rice grain usually absorbs water and swells due to its gelatinization. In the present study, solid in the cooking water of the rice samples ranged between 1.027gm to 1.049 gm (Table 2) with *BR 26* having the highest values and *BRR1 Rice50* having the lowest (Table 2). The irregular pattern of variation in respect of cultivars observed was due to effect of cultivars as suggested by Borasio (1965). As solid in cooking water indicates loss of solids, therefore, *BRR1 Rice46*, *BRR1 Rice50*, *BRR1 Rice59* and *Tulsimala* are better among all. Besides, similar to Ruan and Mao (2004) and Ke-xin et al.



(2014), positive correlation was found between solid in cooking water and water uptake ratio which indicates that if more rice get cooked, more solid is released in water (Table 3).

Table 4: Performances of 21 rice cultivars based on protein content and amylose content

| SL No. | Cultivar | Protein Content (%) | Amylose Content (%) and grade | |
|--------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| 1 | <i>BR 26</i> | 8.57B | 22.61F-H | Intermediate |
| 2 | <i>BRRRI Rice29</i> | 7.05G | 27.38A | High |
| 3 | <i>BRRRI Rice35</i> | 7.86CD | 26.12BC | High |
| 4 | <i>BRRRI Rice38</i> | 8.81AB | 21.69H | Intermediate |
| 5 | <i>BRRRI Rice46</i> | 8.02CD | 24.50DE | Intermediate |
| 6 | <i>BRRRI Rice49</i> | 8.81AB | 25.50CD | High |
| 7 | <i>BRRRI Rice50</i> | 8.16C | 26.69AB | High |
| 8 | <i>BRRRI Rice59</i> | 7.27FG | 24.48DE | Intermediate |
| 9 | <i>BRRRI Rice61</i> | 7.03G | 19.30I | Low |
| 10 | <i>BRRRI Rice64</i> | 7.05G | 22.35F-H | Intermediate |
| 11 | <i>BRRRI Rice66</i> | 7.20FG | 23.05FG | Intermediate |
| 12 | <i>BRRRI Rice67</i> | 7.68DE | 24.60DE | Intermediate |
| 13 | <i>BRRRI Rice69</i> | 6.28H | 17.36J | Low |
| 14 | <i>BRRRI Rice72</i> | 8.82AB | 26.50A-C | High |
| 15 | <i>BRRRI Hybrid Rice2</i> | 8.96A | 23.00FG | Intermediate |
| 16 | <i>BRRRI Hybrid Rice4</i> | 8.72AB | 22.20GH | Intermediate |
| 17 | <i>Kalizira</i> | 8.64AB | 21.49J | Intermediate |
| 18 | <i>Tulsimala</i> | 8.62B | 23.50EF | High |
| 19 | <i>Binarice-11</i> | 7.73DE | 25.83BC | High |
| 20 | <i>Binarice-13</i> | 7.79D | 19.50I | Low |
| 21 | <i>Binarice-16</i> | 7.43EF | 26.87AB | High |

Legends, Cultivars with same letter are statistically similar.

Volume expansion ratio

High volume expansion of rice is a positive quality factor for low-income group of people (Choudhury, 1979) which indicates higher volume of the rice after cooking either lengthwise or crosswise (Chukwuemeka et al., 2015). Furthermore, length-wise expansion without a corresponding increase in girth is considered highly desirable for fine rice quality (Sood and Sadiq,



1979; Choudhury, 1979). In the present study, volume expansion ratio of cooked rice was ranged from 2.8 to 4.28 % among 21 rice varieties. The cultivar with highest volume expansion ratio was recorded in *BRRRI rice29* and *BINA rice16* (Table 2). It should be mentioned here that, *BRRRI rice29* is well known for its medium slender grain quality, and popular among middle income group consumers.

Table 5: Performances of 21 rice cultivars based on Gelatinization Temperature (GT)

| SI No. | Cultivar | Gelatinization temperature (GT) |
|--------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1 | <i>BR 26</i> | Intermediate |
| 2 | <i>BRRRI Rice29</i> | Intermediate |
| 3 | <i>BRRRI Rice35</i> | Intermediate |
| 4 | <i>BRRRI Rice38</i> | Low |
| 5 | <i>BRRRI Rice46</i> | Intermediate |
| 6 | <i>BRRRI Rice49</i> | Low |
| 7 | <i>BRRRI Rice50</i> | Low |
| 8 | <i>BRRRI Rice59</i> | Intermediate |
| 9 | <i>BRRRI Rice61</i> | Intermediate |
| 10 | <i>BRRRI Rice64</i> | Intermediate |
| 11 | <i>BRRRI Rice66</i> | Low |
| 12 | <i>BRRRI Rice67</i> | Intermediate |
| 13 | <i>BRRRI Rice69</i> | Intermediate |
| 14 | <i>BRRRI Rice72</i> | Low |
| 15 | <i>BRRRI Hybrid Rice2</i> | Intermediate |
| 16 | <i>BRRRI Hybrid Rice4</i> | Low |
| 17 | <i>Kalizira</i> | Intermediate |
| 18 | <i>Tulsimala</i> | Intermediate |
| 19 | <i>Binarice-11</i> | Low |
| 20 | <i>Binarice-13</i> | Low |
| 21 | <i>Binarice-16</i> | Intermediate |

Amylose content

Amylose content is considered as the most important factors to determine the cooking quality of rice (Balindong et al., 2018) along with gelatinization temperature (Hettiarachchy et al., 1997), Cooked rice become harder with increasing amylose contents, whereas, low amylose content makes rice sticky, and intermediate amylose makes rice firm and fluffy (Bao et al., 2006; Pandarinathan, 2015). Therefore, intermediate level of amylose rice are the preferred types in most of the rice growing areas of the world, except where low amylose *japonica* are cultivated. Hence, development of improved cultivar with intermediate amylose content should be always in the consideration in the grain quality improvement program. In this study, 11 cultivars were found as



intermediate, 7 were high and 3 cultivars were with low amylose content (Table 4). The cultivars with the highest amylose were found in *BRRi rice29* (27.38) and the lowest amylose content was found in *BRRi rice69* (17.36). Varieties with higher amylose content can be used for diabetes treatment (Ohtsubo, 2016).

Protein content

Rice grain protein content is related to nutritional quality (Balindong et al., 2018) as well as taste (Lee et al., 2014). Rice with good taste generally bears less than 7% protein after cooking (Lee et al., 2014). Rice with high protein content is hard, less elastic, and less viscous (Lee et al., 2014) and low sticky (Primo et al., 1962). Besides proteins seemed to influence the flavour and colour of cooked rice (Juliano, 1972) and found to have inverse relationship to viscographic breakdown of rice grain (Yanase et al., 1984). In the present study, 20 cultivars were found to have intermediate (7%-9%) and one cultivar has low (<7%) protein content (Table 4). The cultivars with the highest protein was found in *BRRi hybrid rice2* (8.96%) and the lowest protein content was found in *BRRi rice69* (6.28%). Unconsciously, people in major rice eating area prefer intermediate protein rice as in Bangladesh (Choudhury, 1979).

Gelatinization temperature

Gelatinization temperature (GT) is also closely related to the eating and cooking quality of rice (Juliano, 1972) through association with cooking time, texture of cooked rice and cool cooked rice (Maniñgat & Juliano, 1979) and molecular size of starch function (Li et al., 2008). Rice varieties with high GT require more water and cooking time than those possessing low or intermediate GT, therefore, intermediate GT is preferred in most rice-producing country as high quality cultivars (Pang et al., 2016). In the present observation, 13 cultivars exhibited intermediate gelatinization temperature and rest of the cultivars exhibited low GT (Table 5). In this context, the best performers were *BR 26*, *BRRi rice29*, *BRRi rice35*, *BRRi rice46*, *BRRi rice59*, *BRRi rice61*, *BRRi rice64*, *BRRi rice67*, *BRRi rice69*, *BRRi hybrid rice2*, *Kalizira*, *Tulsimala* and *BINA rice16*.

Considering the preferences for rice to consumers of Bangladesh, rice with high amylose content with low to intermediate gelatinization temperature are more popular (Choudhury, 1979). All the rice varieties studied have low to intermediate GT, but only eight varieties have high amylose content. Among them, varieties which developed later, have lower GT. *BRRi rice29*, *BRRi rice49*, *Binarice-11* are very much popular among the farmers and consumers, which have the preferred amylose content and GT. Although high amylose content makes cooked rice hard, but parboiling decreases amylose content of rice grain as well as hardness of cooked rice (Alary et al.,



1977), which justifies the popularity of *BRR1 Rice29* in spite of having highest amylose content. Average protein content of the rice varieties is ~7%, which should be taken in to consideration to increase up to 10% in newly developed varieties as qualitative improvement.

4. CONCLUSION

Rice is consumed as whole grain; therefore, cooking and eating qualities are very much crucial in consumer's perspective for rice-dependent business. The profiling of varieties for different quality traits shall be of great help the consumers to choose the desired one, as well as for the breeders to choose parents for creating variations for future qualitative improvement program.

REFERENCES

- Alary, R., Laignelet, B., & Feillet, P. (1977). Effects of Amylose Content on Some Characteristics of Parboiled Rice. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf60210a040>
- Anne, S., Islam, S., Haque, M. A., Raffi, S. A. (2018). Evaluation of Physical and Cooking Property Based Grain Quality Traits in Popular Rice (*Oryza sativa*L) Cultivars of Bangladesh. *Sch. J. Agric. Vet. Sci*, 5(3), 172–178.
- Asghar, S., Anjum, F.M., Amir, R.M., Khan, M. A. (2012). Cooking and eating characteristics of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Pakistan Journal of Food Sciences*, 22, 28–132.
- Balindong, J. L., Ward, R. M., Liu, L., Rose, T. J., Pallas, L. A., Ovenden, B. W., ... Waters, D. L. E. (2018). Rice grain protein composition influences instrumental measures of rice cooking and eating quality. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 79, 35–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2017.09.008>
- Bao, J., Shen, S., Sun, M., & Corke, H. (2006). Analysis of genotypic diversity in the starch physicochemical properties of nonwaxy rice: Apparent amylose content, pasting viscosity and gel texture. *Starch/Staerke*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/star.200500469>
- Bergman, C. J., Bhattacharya, K. R., & Ohtsubo, K. (2004). Chapter 15: Rice end-use quality analysis. In *Grain Science References. RICE: Chemistry and Technology* (pp. 415–472). <https://doi.org/doi:10.1094/1891127349.015>
- Bhattacharya, K. R. and Sowbhagya, C. M. (1971). Water uptake by rice during cooking. *Cereal Science Today*, 16(12), 420-424.
- Borasio, L. (1965). Aspect actual de l'analyse et de l'appréciation des riz. *Riso*, 14, 41-65.
- Champagne, E. T., Bett-Garber, K. L., Fitzgerald, M. A., Grimm, C. C., Lea, J., Ohtsubo, K., Jongdee, S., Xie, L., Bassinello, P. Z., Resurreccion, A., Ahmad, R., Habibi, F., Reinke, R. (2010). Important sensory properties differentiating premium rice varieties. *Rice*, 3(4), 270–281. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12284-010-9057-4>
- Chen, J. J., Lu, S., & Lii, C. Y. (1999). Effects of milling on the physicochemical characteristics of waxy rice in Taiwan. *Cereal Chemistry*, 76(5), 796–799. <https://doi.org/10.1094/CCHEM.1999.76.5.796>
- Chen, Y., & Lu, C. (2018). A comparative analysis on food security in Bangladesh, India and Myanmar. *Sustainability*, Vol. 10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10020405>
- Choudhury, N. H. (1979). Studies on quality of rice in Bangladesh. In *Proceeding of workshop on chemical aspect of rice grain quality* (pp. 23–127). Los Banos, Philippines: International Rice Research Institute.



- Chukwuemeka, I. A., Kelechi, A. J., Bernard, A. (2015). Cooking and physico-chemical properties of five rice varieties produced in Ohaukwu local government area. *European Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 3, 1–10.
- Custodio, M. C., Demont, M., Laborte, A., & Ynion, J. (2016). Improving food security in Asia through consumer-focused rice breeding. *Global Food Security*, 9, 19–28. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2016.05.005>
- Dipti, S.S., Bari, M.N., Kabir, K. A. (2009). Grain quality characteristics of some Beruin rice varieties of Bangladesh. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, Vol. 2, pp. 242–245. <https://doi.org/10.3923/pjn.2003.242.245>
- Dogara, A.M., Jumare, A. I. (2014). Origin, distribution and heading date in cultivated Rice. *International Journal of Plant Biology and Research*, 2(1), 1008.
- Frei, M., Siddhuraju, P., & Becker, K. (2003). Studies on the in vitro starch digestibility and the glycemic index of six different indigenous rice cultivars from the Philippines. *Food Chemistry*, 83(3), 395–402. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146\(03\)00101-8](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(03)00101-8)
- Hettiarachchy, N. S., Griffin, V. K., Gnanasambandam, R., Moldenhaver, K. and Siebenmorgen, T. (1997). Physicochemical properties of three rice varieties. *Journal of Food Quality*, 20, 279–290.
- Hirannaiah, B. V, Bhashyam, M. K., & Ali, S. Z. (2001). An improved cooking quality test for Basmati rice. In *Journal of Food Science and Technology* (Vol. 38).
- Hogan, J. T., Plank, R. W. (1958). Hydration characteristics of rice as influenced by variety and drying method. *Cereal Chemistry*, 35, 469–482.
- Hossain, M. S., Singh, A. K. F.-Z. (2009). Cooking and eating characteristics of some newly identified inter sub-specific (indica/japonica) rice hybrids. *Science Asia*, 35, 320–325.
- Jayaprakash, T., Dayakar Reddy, T., Babu, V., & Bhave, M. H. V. (2017). Association analysis of protein and yield related traits in F3 population of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) crosses. In *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* (Vol. 6). <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.608.293>
- Jennings, P. R., Coffman, W. R., Kauffman, H. E. (1979). Grain quality. In *Rice improvement* (pp. 101–120). Los Banos, Philippines: International Rice Research Institute.
- Juliano, B.O., Perez, C. M. (1983). Major factors affecting cooked milled rice hardness and cooking time. *Journal of Texture Studies*, 14(3), 235–243. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4603.1983.tb00346.x>
- Juliano, B. O. (1971). A simplified assay for milled rice amylose. *Cereal Science Today*, 16, 334–360.
- Juliano, B. O. (1972). *Physicochemical properties of starch and protein in relation to grain quality and nutritional value of rice* *Rice Breeding*. Los Banos, Manilla, Philippines: International Rice Research Institute.
- Ke-xin, Q., Lin-lin, L., Tian-yi, L., Wen-hong, C., & Yan-guo, S. (2014). Correlation between physicochemical properties and eating qualities of rice. *Journal of Northeast Agricultural University (English Edition)*, 21(3), 60–67. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S1006-8104\(14\)60070-2](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S1006-8104(14)60070-2)
- Khush, G. S., Paule, M., Delacruz, N. M. (1979). Grain quality evaluation and improvement at IRRI. *Proceeding of Workshop on Chemical Aspect of Rice Grain Quality*, 21–31. Los Banos, Manilla, Philippines: International Rice Research Institute (IRRI).
- Lee, G.-H., Yun, B.-W., & Kim, K.-M. (2014). Analysis of QTLs associated with the rice quality related gene by double haploid populations. *International Journal of Genomics*, 2014, 781832. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/781832>
- Li, L., Jiang, H., Campbell, M., Blanco, M., & Jane, J. (2008). Characterization of maize amylose-extender (ae) mutant starches. Part I: Relationship between resistant starch contents and molecular structures. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 74(3), 396–404.



- <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2008.03.012>
- Little, R.R., Hilder, G.B., Dawson, E. H. (1958). Differential effect of dilute alkali on 25 varieties of milled white rice. *Cereal Chem*, 35(2), 111–126. Retrieved from <https://eurekamag.com/research/014/011/014011962.php>
- Ma, T., & Zuazaga, G. (1942). Micro-Kjeldahl determination of Nitrogen. A new indicator and an improved rapid method. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Analytical Edition*, 14(3), 280–282. <https://doi.org/10.1021/i560103a035>
- Maniñgat, C. C., & Juliano, B. O. (1979). Properties of Lintnerized starch granules from Rices differing in amylose content and gelatinization temperature. *Starch - Stärke*, 31(1), 5–10. <https://doi.org/10.1002/star.19790310103>
- Metcalf, S.L., Lund, D. B. (1985). Factors affecting water uptake in milled rice. *Journal of Food Science*, 50(6), 1676–1679. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1985.tb10563.x>
- Mossé, J., Huet, J.-C., & Baudet, J. (1988). The amino acid composition of rice grain as a function of nitrogen content as compared with other cereals: A reappraisal of rice chemical scores. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 8(2), 165–175. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0733-5210\(88\)80027-4](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0733-5210(88)80027-4)
- Ohtsubo, K. (2016). Possibility of diabetes prevention by high-amylose rice and super hard rice. In *Journal of Diabetes and Obesity* (Vol. 3). <https://doi.org/10.15436/2376-0494.16.728>
- Oko, A. O., Ubi, B. E., Dambaba, N. (2012). Rice cooking quality and physico-chemical characteristics: a comparative analysis of selected local and newly introduced rice varieties in Ebonyi state, Nigeria. *Food and Public Health*, 2(1), 43–49.
- Pandarínathan, S. (2015). Determination of Amylose content in different rice varieties. *AE International Journal of Science and Technology*, 3(12), 1–5.
- Pang, Y., Ali, J., Wang, X., Franje, N. J., Revilla, J. E., Xu, J., & Li, Z. (2016). Relationship of rice grain amylose, gelatinization temperature and pasting properties for breeding better eating and cooking quality of rice varieties. *PLOS ONE*, 11(12), e0168483. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0168483>
- Primo, E., Casas, A., Barber, S., Barber, C. B. (1962). Factores de calidad del arroz. VI. Influencia de las proteínas sobre la calidad de cocción. *Revista De Agroquímica Y Tecnología De Alimentos*, 2, 135.
- Ranghino, F. (1966). Evaluation of the resistance of rice to cooking as a function of the time of gelatinization of the grains. *Riso*, 15, 117–127.
- Ruan, S. L., Mao, G. Q. (2004). Study on rice cooking properties. *Cereal & Feed Industry*, 10, 25–26.
- Saleh, M., & Meullenet, J. (2013). Contour presentation of long grain rice degree of milling and instrumental texture during cooking. In *International Food Research Journal* (Vol. 20).
- Sidhu, J. S., Gill, M. S., & Bains, G. S. (1975). Milling of paddy in relation to yield and quality of rice of different Indian varieties. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 23(6), 1183–1185. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf60202a035>
- Sood, G. B., Sadiq, E. A. (1979). Geographical distribution of kernel elongation gene(s) in rice. *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding*, 40(2), 439–442.
- Williams, V. R., Wu, W.-T., Tsai, H. Y., & Bates, H. G. (1958). Rice starch, varietal differences in amylose content of rice starch. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 6(1), 47–48. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf60083a009>
- Yanase, H., Ohtsubo, K., Hashimoto, K., Sato, H., Teranishi, T. (1984). *Correlation between protein contents of brown rice and textural parameters of cooked rice and cooking quality of rice.*