REMARK ON "A NOTE ON CONVERGENCE OF NEWTON'S METHOD"

M. Majedur Rahman*1 and Md. Zulfikar Ali*2

ABSTRACT

In our paper, we are giving a new proof of L.B.Rall's theorem [1]. In our proof we assume the open ball $U^* = \{x : ||x-x^*|| < (r-\sqrt{r})/(rB^*K)\}$, (where r is positive real number), where we generalize the radius by $(r-\sqrt{r})/(rB^*K)$, we will show that for the best possible bound for h, r=2.

Introduction. Let X and Y be Banach spaces and P an operator $P: X \to Y$. If there exists a bounded linear operator L from X into Y such that at some point $x \in D_p$

||P(x+h)-P(x)-Lh|| = o(||h||), $h \in X$, then Lh is called the Fréchet differential of P(x) at x and the operator L is called the Fréchet drivative of P(x) at x and we write L = P'(x).

Let $F: X \to X$ be a Fréchet differentiable operator. Newton's method is an attach to find a solution $x = x^*$ of the equation F(x) = 0, which consists of the construction of the sequence $\{x_m\}$ defined by,

$$x_{m+1} = x_m - [F'(x_m)]^{-1} F(x_m), \qquad m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
 (1)

starting from some suitable chosen $x_0 \in X$. Sufficient conditions for the success of this procedure are given by the famous theorem of L. V. Kantorovich [4], [6]. Let us assume that the Fréchet derivative F' of F is Lipschitz continuous with constant K in some region U: that is

$$||F'(x) - F'(y)|| \le K ||x - y||, \qquad x, y \in U.$$
 (2)

Then the hypotheses of the Kantorovich theorem are as follows:

(a) $[F'(x_0)]^{-1}$ exists and for constants B, η such that

$$||[F'(x_0)]^{-1}|| \le B$$
 , $||[F'(x_0)]^{-1}F(x_0)|| \le \eta$. (3)

one has

$$h = BK\eta \le \frac{1}{2} , \tag{4}$$

(b)
$$U_0 \subset U$$
 where $U_0 = \{x : ||x - x_0|| \le (1 - \sqrt{1 - 2h})(\eta/h) \}$ (5)

If these hypotheses are satisfied then the Newton sequence $\{x_m\}$ exists and converges to $x^* \in U_0$ such that $F(x^*) = 0$. The value of the constant h defined by (4) is significant for the study of the convergence of Newton's method.

We are giving the statement and proof of L. B. Rall's theorem [1].

Theorem.

If x^{\bullet} is a simple zero of F,

Received 12 October 2001

Communicated by Yoshitane Shinohara

$$||[F'(x_0)]^{-1}|| \le B^*$$

and

$$U_* = \left\{ x : \| x - x^* \| < \frac{1}{(B^* K)} \right\} \subset U, \tag{6}$$

then the hypotheses (a) with $h < \frac{1}{2}$ and (b) of the Kantorovich theorem are satisfied at each $x_0 \in U^*$, where $U^* = \{x : ||x - x^*|| < (2 - \sqrt{2})/(2B^*K)\}$. (7)

Proof.

Let
$$U^* = \left\{ x : || x - x^* || < (r - \sqrt{r}) / (rB^*K) \right\}$$
.
For $x_0 \in U^*$

$$\frac{r - \sqrt{r}}{rB^*K} = \frac{r - \sqrt{r}}{r\frac{1}{Kx^*}K} = (1 - \frac{\sqrt{r}}{r})x^*,$$

$$x_0 = x^* - (1 - \frac{\sqrt{r}}{r})x^* = \frac{x^*}{\sqrt{r}}, \text{ where } B^* = \frac{1}{Kx^*}.$$

$$||F'(x_0) - F'(x^*)|| \le K ||x_0 - x^*|| < K || - (1 - \frac{\sqrt{r}}{r})x^*||$$

$$< \left\{ (r - \sqrt{r})(\frac{Kx^*}{r}) \right\}$$

$$< (r - \sqrt{r})/(rB^*)$$

$$< ||[F'(x^*)]^{-1}||^{-1}$$
(8)

so that $[F'(x_0)]^{-1}$ exists and

$$B = \frac{B^*}{1 - B^* K \parallel x_0 - x^* \parallel} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{r} K x^*}{r}\right)^{-1} \ge \| [F'(x_0)]^{-1} \| . \tag{9}$$

Using the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$F(x^*) - F(x_0) = \int_0^1 F'(x_0 + \theta(x^* - x_0))(x^* - x_0)d\theta$$

$$= F'(x_0)(x^* - x_0) + \int_0^1 [F'(x_0 + \theta(x^* - x_0)) - F'(x_0)](x^* - x_0)d\theta.$$
(10)

As
$$F(x^*)=0$$
,

$$-[F'(x_0)]^{-1}F(x_0) = (x^* - x_0) + [F'(x_0)]^{-1} \int_0^1 [F'(x_0 + \theta(x^* - x_0)) - F'(x_0)](x^* - x_0)d\theta$$
 (11)

and thus

$$\|F'[(x_0)]^{-1}F(x_0)\| \le \left\{1 + BK \|x^* - x_0\| \int_0^1 \Theta d\Theta\right\} \|x^* - x_0\|$$
(12)

from which (9) may be used to obtain

$$\eta = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}B^*K \parallel x^* - x_0 \parallel}{1 - B^*K \parallel x^* - x_0 \parallel} \parallel x^* - x_0 \parallel = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{r})x^*}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}} \ge \parallel [F'(x_0)]^{-1}F(x_0) \parallel.$$
 (13)

It follows that from $x_0 \in U^{\bullet}$

$$h = BK\eta = \left(\frac{Kx^*}{\sqrt{r}}\right)^{-1} K^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{r})x^*} = \left(\frac{r}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right). \tag{14}$$

From (14) it is eveident that for r > 2 the bounds for h are very crude but for r = 2 the bound becomes the best bound which satisfies Kantorovich theorem.

From the above proof we can conclude that the generalization of the radius of U^* by $(r-\sqrt{r})/(rB^*K)$, (r is a positive real number) is not possible.

Example(1) Consider the quadratic operator

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2}K(x^2 - x^{*2}),$$

where K > 0. Therefore,

$$U^* = \left\{ x : \|x - x^*\| < (r - \sqrt{r})/(rB^*K) \right\}$$
 and r is a positive real number.

We have
$$\frac{r-\sqrt{r}}{rB^*K} = \frac{r-\sqrt{r}}{r\frac{1}{Kr^*}K} = (1-\frac{\sqrt{r}}{r})x^*$$
 and for

$$x_0 = x^* - (1 - \frac{\sqrt{r}}{r})x^* = \frac{x^*}{\sqrt{r}}, \text{ where } B^* = \frac{1}{kx^*},$$

$$B = \frac{B^*}{1 - B^* K \parallel x_0 - x^* \parallel} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{r} K x^*}{r}\right)^{-1} \ge \parallel [F'(x_0)]^{-1} \parallel,$$

and

$$\eta = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}B^*K \parallel x_0 - x^* \parallel}{1 - B^*K \parallel x_0 - x^* \parallel} \parallel x_0 - x^* \parallel = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{r})x^*}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}} \ge \parallel [F'(x_0)]^{-1}F(x_0) \parallel.$$

From which
$$h = BK\eta = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{r})}{\frac{1}{r}} = (\frac{r}{2} - \frac{1}{2})$$

Hence
$$h = \frac{1}{2}$$
 if $r = 2$.

References

- [1] L. B. Rall, "A note on the convergence of Newton's method", SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 21(1) 1974.
- [2] M. Z. Ali & Y. Shinohara, "Remark on Kantorovich Theorem and Urabe's Theorem", Annual Bulletin of the Research Institute of Management and Information science, Shikoku University, 6 (2000), pp27-30.
- [3] L. V. K ntorovich & G. P. Akilov, "Funtional Analysis", 1982 Pergamon Press Ltd. & 'Nauka' Publishers.
- [4] J. M. Ortega, "The Newton-Kantorovich theorem", Amer. Math. Monthly 75 (1968), pp. 658 660.
- [5] L. B. Rall, "Computational Solution of Nonlinear Operator Equations", John Wiley, New York, 1969.
- [6] R. A. Tapia, "The Kantorovich theorem for Newton's method", Amer. Math. Monthly, 78 (1971) pp. 389-392.