Baptist Health South Florida Scholarly Commons @ Baptist Health South Florida

All Publications

2015

Is Metabolic Syndrome Predictive of Prevalence, Extent, and Risk of Coronary Artery Disease beyond Its Components? Results from the Multinational Coronary CT Angiography Evaluation for Clinical Outcome: An International Multicenter Registry (CONFIRM)

Ricardo Cury

Baptist Hospital of Miami; Miami Cardiac & Vascular Institute, rcury@baptisthealth.net

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.baptisthealth.net/se-all-publications

Part of the <u>Cardiology Commons</u>, <u>Cardiovascular Diseases Commons</u>, <u>Nutritional and Metabolic Diseases Commons</u>, and the <u>Radiology Commons</u>

Citation

PLoS One. 2015 10(3): e0118998.

This Article -- Open Access is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarly Commons @ Baptist Health South Florida. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons @ Baptist Health South Florida. For more information, please contact Carrief@baptisthealth.net.







Citation: Ahmadi A, Leipsic J, Feuchtner G, Gransar H, Kalra D, Heo R, et al. (2015) Is Metabolic Syndrome Predictive of Prevalence, Extent, and Risk of Coronary Artery Disease beyond Its Components? Results from the Multinational Coronary CT Angiography Evaluation for Clinical Outcome: An International Multicenter Registry (CONFIRM). PLoS ONE 10(3): e0118998. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0118998

Academic Editor: Michael Lipinski, Washington Hospital Center, UNITED STATES

Received: September 19, 2014

Accepted: January 8, 2015

Published: March 3, 2015

Copyright: This is an open access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the CC0 public domain dedication.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper.

Funding: Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute of the National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, Maryland) under Award number R01HL115150 and by Leading Foreign Research Institute Recruitment Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science,

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Is Metabolic Syndrome Predictive of Prevalence, Extent, and Risk of Coronary Artery Disease beyond Its Components? Results from the Multinational Coronary CT Angiography Evaluation for Clinical Outcome: An International Multicenter Registry (CONFIRM)

Amir Ahmadi¹, Jonathon Leipsic^{1,2}, Gudrun Feuchtner³, Heidi Gransar⁴, Dan Kalra⁵, Ran Heo⁵, Stephan Achenbach⁶, Daniele Andreini⁷, Mouaz Al-Mallah⁸, Daniel S. Berman⁴, Matthew Budoff⁹, Filippo Cademartiri¹⁰, Tracy Q. Callister¹¹, Hyuk-Jae Chang¹², Kavitha Chinnaiyan¹³, Benjamin Chow¹⁴, Ricardo C. Cury¹⁵, Augustin Delago¹⁶, Millie J. Gomez⁵, Martin Hadamitzky¹⁷, Joerg Hausleiter¹⁸, Niree Hindoyan⁵, Philipp A. Kaufmann¹⁹, Yong-Jin Kim²⁰, Fay Lin⁵, Erica Maffei²¹, Gianluca Pontone⁷, Gilbert L. Raff¹³, Leslee J. Shaw²², Todd C. Villines²³, Allison Dunning²⁴, James K. Min⁵*

1 Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, 2 Department of Radiology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, 3 Department of Radiology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria, 4 Department of Imaging, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, California, United States of America, 5 Department of Radiology, NewYork-Presbyterian Hospital and the Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, New York, United States of America, 6 Department of Medicine, University of Erlangen, Erlangen, Germany, 7 Centro Cardiologico Monzino, IRCCS, Milan, Italy, 8 King Abdulaziz Cardiac Center, King Abdulaziz Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, 9 Department of Medicine, Harbor UCLA Medical Center, Los Angeles, California, United States of America, 10 Department of Radiology, Giovanni XXIII Hospital, Monastier, Treviso, Italy, 11 Tennessee Heart and Vascular Institute, Hendersonville, Tennessee, United States of America, 12 Division of Cardiology, Severance Cardiovascular Hospital and Severance Biomedical Science Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Yonsei University Health System, Seoul, South Korea, 13 William Beaumont Hospital, Royal Oaks, Michigan, United States of America, 14 Department of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada, 15 Baptist Cardiac and Vascular Institute, Miami, Florida, United States of America, 16 Capitol Cardiology Associates, Albany, New York, United States of America, 17 Division of Cardiology, Deutsches Herzzentrum Munchen, Munich, Germany, 18 Medizinische Klinik I der Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany, 19 University Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland, 20 Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, South Korea, 21 Department of Radiology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, 22 Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, United States of America, 23 Department of Medicine, Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland, United States of America, 24 Duke Clinical Research Institute, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America

* jkm2001@med.cornell.edu

Abstract

Although metabolic syndrome is associated with increased risk of cardiovascular disease and events, its added prognostic value beyond its components remains unknown. This study compared the prevalence, severity of coronary artery disease (CAD), and prognosis



ICT & Future Planning (MSIP) (2012027176). This study was also funded in part, by a generous gift from the Dalio Institute of Cardiovascular Imaging (New York, NY) and the Michael Wolk Foundation (New York, NY). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: Dr. Min receives research support from Medis, serves as a consultant to HeartFlow and Abbott Vascular, is on the scientific advisory board for Arineta, and has ownership in MDDX. There are no patents, products in development or marketed products to declare. This does not alter the authors' adherence to all the PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials, as detailed online in the guide for authors.

of patients with metabolic syndrome to those with individual metabolic syndrome components. The study cohort consisted of 27125 consecutive individuals who underwent >64detector row coronary CT angiography (CCTA) at 12 centers from 2003 to 2009. Metabolic syndrome was defined as per NCEP/ATP III criteria. Metabolic syndrome patients (n=690) were matched 1:1:1 to those with 1 component (n=690) and 2 components (n=690) of metabolic syndrome for age, sex, smoking status, and family history of premature CAD using propensity scoring. Major adverse cardiac events (MACE) were defined by a composite of myocardial infarction (MI), acute coronary syndrome, mortality and late target vessel revascularization. Patients with 1 component of metabolic syndrome manifested lower rates of obstructive 1-, 2-, and 3-vessel/left main disease compared to metabolic syndrome patients (9.4% vs 13.8%, 2.6% vs 4.5%, and 1.0% vs 2.3%, respectively; p<0.05), while those with2 components did not (10.5% vs 13.8%, 2.8% vs 4.5% and 1.3% vs 2.3%, respectively; p>0.05). At 2.5 years, metabolic syndrome patients experienced a higher rate of MACE compared to patients with 1 component (4.4% vs 1.6%; p=0.002), while no difference observed compared to individuals with 2 components (4.4% vs 3.2% p=0.25) of metabolic syndrome. In conclusion, Metabolic syndrome patients have significantly greater prevalence, severity, and prognosis of CAD compared to patients with 1 but not 2 components of metabolic syndrome.

Introduction

By the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP/ATP III), metabolic syndrome (MetS) is defined by the presence of at least 3 components of obesity, dyslipidemia, hypertension or treated hypertension, and elevated fasting plasma glucose levels [1,2]. The presence of MetS is believed to manifest through dysregulation of energy utilization established through insulin resistance. An array of studies has observed worsened cardiovascular prognosis and heightened mortality for individuals with MetS [3–7]. However, the various definitions of MetS, the presence of multiple subtypes of MetS with varying treatment strategies, as well as its pathogenic uncertainty has elicited questions as to whether the presence of MetS confers incremental risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) over the sum of its parts [7–10].

Coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA) is a noninvasive diagnostic tool that has high diagnostic performance both for detection and exclusion of CAD [11]. Multicenter studies have demonstrated a prognostic utility for individuals with CCTA-identified CAD [12–14]. In this present study of individuals undergoing CCTA, we sought to determine whether or not MetS is predictive of CAD prevalence, extent and severity, and incident MACE beyond that of its individual components.

Materials and Methods

The COronary CT Angiography Evaluation For Clinical Outcomes: An InteRnational Multicenter (CONFIRM) Registry is a dynamic, prospective, international, multicenter, observational registry of 27125 consecutive patients who underwent \geq 64 detector row CCTA for suspected CAD at 12 centers from 2003 to 2009. The study rationale and design has been previously described [15].



Each center obtained approval from an ethics or institutional review board when required. Before CCTA, we prospectively collected information on presence of CAD risk factors. Hypertension was defined as history of high blood pressure or active treatment with antihypertensive medications. Diabetes was defined by a previous diagnosis of elevated fasting plasma glucose \geq 126 mg/dl and/or use of insulin or hypoglycemic agents. Dyslipidemia was defined as known but untreated dyslipidemia or treatment with lipid lowering medications, and included elevated levels of low density lipoproteins, elevated triglycerides, or low levels high density lipoproteins. Body mass index (BMI) was utilized as a measure of obesity, and was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by square of height in meters, with value \geq 30 considered obese.

CCTA was performed using multiple scanner platforms (Light speed VCT, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI; Somatom Definition CT, Siemens, Ehrlangen, Germany; Somatom Definition Flash CT, Siemens, Ehrlangen, Germany). Contrast (80 to 140 ml) was injected, and whole-volume image acquisition was completed in a single breath-hold. The scan parameters were $64\times0.625/0.750$ mm collimation and tube voltage 100 or 120 kVp, and the tube current was assigned based on body size and scanner platform.

Helical or axial scan data were obtained with retrospective or prospective electrocardiogram gating, respectively. Acquired image data were initially reconstructed in mid-diastole (always) and end-systole (when available) and the phase with the least amount of coronary artery motion was chosen for analysis. Reconstructed data were evaluated by highly experienced readers (Level III equivalent and/or board certified in CCTA) using all necessary post processing techniques to determine the presence of CAD in any visible segment ≥ 2 mm in diameter. A 16-segment American Heart Association coronary artery tree model was used [16]. In each coronary artery segment, coronary atherosclerosis was defined as tissue structures >1 mm² that existed either within the coronary artery lumen or adjacent to the coronary artery lumen that could be discriminated from surrounding pericardial tissue, epicardial fat, or the vessel lumen itself and identified in ≥ 2 planes. Coronary lesions were quantified for luminal diameter stenosis by visual estimation and were graded as none (0% luminal stenosis), non-obstructive (1–49%) and obstructive ($\geq 50\%$). Plaque composition in each coronary segment was reported as calcified, non-calcified, or partially calcified [17].

Plaque severity was graded at per-vessel and per-patient level. For purposes of classification for per-vessel analyses, we considered four arterial territories: (1) left main (LM) artery, (2) left anterior descending (LAD) artery, (3) left circumflex (LCx) artery, and (4) right coronary artery (RCA). Obstructive CAD in the diagonal branches, obtuse marginal branches, and posterolateral branches was considered to be part of the LAD, LCx, and RCA system, respectively. The posterior descending artery (PDA) was considered as part of the RCA or LCx system, depending upon coronary artery dominance.

MetS was defined as the presence of 3 or more of the following: (1) diabetes mellitus or use of hypoglycemics, (2) dyslipidemia, (3) hypertension or use of anti-hypertensive medications, or (4) elevated BMI \geq 30. We used a history of diabetes or use of insulin/oral hypoglycemic medication as a marker for impaired fasting blood glucose, BMI \geq 30 as a marker for waist circumference, and hypertension or use of anti-hypertensive medications as a marker for elevated blood pressure [18,19].

MACE were defined by a component endpoint of all-cause mortality, non-fatal myocardial infarction (MI) or unstable angina (UA), and late target revascularization \geq 90 days after CCTA in accordance with ACC/AHA guidelines and the ESC/ACCF/AHA/WHF Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction [20–22]. Follow-up for MACE was performed at each institution by a dedicated physician and/or research nurse. Sites within the United States (US) ascertained death by query or by the National Death Index. In non-US sites, ascertainment of



death was determined by direct interview and/or telephone contact, and/or review of medical records. Additional event ascertainment including MI, was performed at certain sites by direct interview, telephone contact, or review of medical records.

Continuous variables are presented as mean \pm SD and were evaluated using a Student unpaired t-test or a Wilcoxon rank-sum test, as appropriate. Categorical variables are presented as frequencies with percentages and evaluated using the Pearson Chi-square test or Fisher Exact test where there were cell counts <6. Comparisons were made between those MetS patients versus patients without MetS. Statistical significance was accepted for two-sided p-values <0.05. All calculations were performed using STATA version 11 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas). For outcome statistics, annualized event rates were calculated by dividing the number of MACE by person years, and with MACE compared using the log-rank test and visualized using Kaplan-Meier graphs. Cox regression was used to evaluate MetS subgroups, adjusting for age, gender, and chest pain type. The assumption of proportion hazards was verified using Schoenfeld residuals.

Propensity scores were derived using logistic regression to match the MetS patients to patients without MetS, both for those with 1 component of MetS as well as for those with 2 components of MetS. Propensity scores accounted for age, gender, smoking, and family history; and consisted of the resulting predicted probabilities of a logistic regression model predicting the presence of MetS versus those with individual components of MetS. The resulting propensity scores were then applied 1:1 to match every patient without MetS to a corresponding patient with MetS using the Mahalanobis nearest-neighbor matching algorithm [23]. This matching resulted in 1:1:1 matching of 690:690:690 patients with 1 or 2 components of MetS and MetS, respectively.

Results

From 27125 consecutive patients undergoing CCTA, 3900 patients with any clinical component of MetS were identified, with 690 patients fulfilling the diagnostic criteria for MetS (Table 1). Propensity score matching resulted in mean caliper differences of 0.0004 ± 0.001 and 0.0005 ± 0.001 for patients with 1 or 2 components of MetS, respectively. Standardized differences were <0.1. Among matched patients with ≥ 1 component of MetS and MetS, no differences were observed for age, sex, family history of CAD, smoking status, dyslipidemia, and presenting symptom type; although hypertension, diabetes mellitus and obesity were more common for patients with MetS (p<0.001) (Table 1).

By CCTA, extent and severity of CAD was significantly different for patients with versus without MetS. For individuals with 1 component of MetS, patients with MetS manifested a lower rate of normal coronaries, and higher rates of non-obstructive and obstructive CAD; as well as those with more 1-vessel, 2-vessel, 3-vessel/left main disease and a higher stenosis score (Table 2). When compared to patients with 2 components of MetS, individuals with MetS demonstrated lower rates of normal coronary arteries and higher rates of obstructive CAD. For patients with 2 components of MetS, the prevalence of non-obstructive plaque, presence of obstructive 1-vessel, 2-vessel and 3-vessel/LM disease were not significantly different when compared to patients with MetS (p>0.05).

At median follow-up of 2.5 years (interquartile range 1.5–3.5 years), 63 MACE events occurred. The presence of MetS was associated with higher rates and risk of mortality and MACE but similar rates and risk of MI (Table 3, Table 4).

Discussion

This study from the CONFIRM registry is the first prospective, multicenter analysis to provide data on the per-patient, per-vessel, and per-segment extent, prevalence and severity of CAD by



Table 1. Baseline characteristics of matched individuals with MetS versus those with individual components of MetS.

Variable	MetS ^a	No MetS, 1 component	p-Value	No MetS, 2 components	p-Value
N	690	690		690	
Age (years)*	57.6±11.2	57.3±11.6	0.58	58.0±11.5	0.57
Male Sex*	52.2%	51.7%	0.87	51.2%	0.71
Family hx of CAD*	27.8%	28.8%	0.68	27.8%	1.00
Smoker*	16.5%	14.1%	0.20	15.7%	0.66
Hyperlipidemia	53.0%	50.7%	0.40	51.4%	0.55
Hypertension	70.4%	47.2%	< 0.001	58.0%	< 0.001
Diabetes Mellitus	33.9%	2.3%	< 0.001	13.5%	< 0.001
BMI ^b (kg/m ²)	30.3±6.1	25.2±3.8	< 0.001	27.6±5.0	< 0.001
Chest Pain Symptoms					
Asymptomatic	39.2%	38.9%	0.92	38.5%	0.80
Non Cardiac	4.7%	3.5%	0.29	3.7%	0.36
Atypical Angina	41.8%	45.0%	0.24	45.5%	0.18
Typical Angina	14.3%	12.5%	0.34	12.3%	0.29

^a MetS = metabolic syndrome

CCTA in individuals with versus without MetS who were similar in age, sex, family history of premature CAD, and smoking history. We observed a higher prevalence, extent and severity of CAD and MACE rates in patients with versus without MetS, a finding that was associated with worsened prognosis for MACE and their individual components. When further stratified, however, the higher prevalence of CAD and worsened prognosis for MetS patients versus those without MetS was limited to patients with a single clinical component of MetS and was no different for those individuals with 2 clinical components of MetS.

The coexistence of clinical CAD risk factors that include impaired fasting glucose, obesity, and atherogenic dyslipidemia has been termed MetS, a condition that is defined as an "aggregate of symptoms and signs associated with any morbid process, and constituting together the picture of the disease" [24]. Within the context of MetS, an underlying unifying pathology of

Table 2. Comparison of prevalence, extent and severity of coronary artery disease in matched individuals with versus without MetS based on number of individual components of MetS.

Variable	MetS ^c	No MetS, 1 component	p-Value	No MetS, 2 components	p-Value	
Normal (%)	43.8%	58.3%	< 0.001	53.3%	< 0.001	
Non Obstructive (%)	35.6%	30.0%	0.03	32.0%	0.16	
Obstructive (%)	20.6%	11.7%	< 0.001	14.6%	0.003	
Segment Stenosis Score	2.5±3.9	1.5±2.6	< 0.001	1.9±3.1	< 0.001	
Obstructive 1 VD (%)	13.8%	8.4%	0.001	10.5%	0.06	
Obstructive 2 VD (%)	4.5%	2.5%	0.04	2.8%	0.08	
Obstructive 3 VD ^a /LM ^b (%)	2.3%	0.7%	0.02	1.3%	0.16	

^a VD = vessel disease

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118998.t002

^b BMI = body mass index

^{*}Matched variables

b LM = left main

^c MetS = metabolic syndrome



Table 3. Incident MACE rates for matched patients with versus without MetS.

Annualized Event Rates	All-Cause Mortality	Myocardial Infarction	MACEb
No MetS ^a (n = 1380)	0.5%	0.2%	0.8%
MetS (n = 690)	1.2%	0.1%	1.9%
p-Value	0.007	0.80	0.002
No MetS, 1 component (n = 690)	0.3%	0.1%	0.6%
No MetS, 2 components (n = 690)	0.7%	0.3%	1.1%
MetS (n = 690)	1.2%	0.1%	1.9%
p-Value (for trend)	0.009	0.22	0.003

^a MetS = metabolic syndrome

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118998.t003

insulin resistance and resultant energy dysregulation has been demonstrated to be associated with increased risk for MACE and death [3-7]. The degree to which MetS confers this risk is variable, with prior investigations suggesting that the components of MetS, when present together, results in a risk that is greater than the sum of its parts [25-28].

Yet little data exists when comparing the prevalence, extent and severity of CAD, as well as risk of downstream adverse clinical events for patients with MetS versus those with underlying individual components of MetS, particularly in individuals who are similar in other traditional CAD risk factors. To our knowledge, these data represent the first to directly compare these findings in patients with versus without MetS in a population similar in age, sex, smoking, and family history of premature CAD. When examined against patients with MetS, we observed a differential CAD profile and risk of downstream clinical adverse events for patients with 1 but not 2 components of MetS.

These present data add to a body of literature that has observed inconsistent observations regarding the concept of MetS as a clinical syndrome confers risk beyond its individual clinical components. As an example, in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study, metabolic syndrome was observed to be associated with risk of 11-year cardiovascular outcomes, a finding that was nevertheless mitigated by traditional risk factor scoring using the Framingham Risk Score [29]. In contrast, patients with the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study and the Air Force/Texas Coronary Atherosclerosis Prevention Study demonstrated increase risk of major coronary events above and beyond Framingham Risk Scores [30]. Our study differs and

Table 4. Hazards ratios for incident MACE for patients with versus without MetS.

Hazard Ratios	Death (95% CI)	p-Value	MI ^b (95% CI ^c)	p-Value	MACE (95% CI)	p-Value
No MetS ^a (n = 1380)	1.0	Reference	1.0	Reference	1.0	Reference
MetS (n = 690)	2.4 (1.2–4.7)	0.009	0.8 (0.2-4.0)	0.80	2.2 (1.3–3.7)	0.003
No MetS, 1 components (n = 690)	1.0	Reference	1.0	Reference	1.0	Reference
No MetS, 2 components, (n = 690)	2.5 (0.9–7.0)	0.09	5.2 (0.6-44.5)	0.13	2.0 (0.9-4.2)	0.08
MetS (n = 690)	4.2 (1.5–11.2)	0.005	2.5 (0.2–27.4)	0.46	3.3 (1.6–6.7)	0.001

^a MetS = metabolic syndrome

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118998.t004

^b MACE = major adverse cardiac events

^bMI = myocardial infarction

^cCI = confidence interval



is directly additive to these prior investigations in that we examined risk for patients undergoing non-invasive angiography, and on a backdrop of CAD risk factor similarity.

This study is not without limitations. First, the study includes patients undergoing clinical indicated CCTA studies and whether the present results can be extrapolated to a population-based cohorts remains unknown. Furthermore, despite the prospective multinational nature of study in this patient cohort, it nevertheless remains observational in nature and the potential biases associated with all such studies cannot be discounted. Third, we employed BMI, diabetes and hypertension as surrogates for components of MetS and whether greater precision would have been observed had measures of waist circumference, triglycerides, low-density lipoproteins, high-density lipoproteins and fasting plasma glucose been available. Fourth, the downstream effects of the presence of MetS and its individual components are unknown, including the use of medical therapies, lifestyle modification, and interventional procedures. Finally, while our study represents the largest one of its kind to date, the number of MACE observed were generally low and therefore our study might be underpowered to show a significant difference between those who presented with 2 MetS risk factors and patients with MetS.

Conclusion

Prevalence, extent, severity of CAD, and risk of MACE rates are significantly increased among patients with MetS compared to those with only 1 component of MetS. This finding is observed for patients with a single clinical component of MetS and not for patients with two components of MetS.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: AA JL GF HG DK RH SA DA MA DB MB FC TQC HJC KC BC RCC A. Delago MJG MH JH NH PAK YJK FL EM GP GLR LJS TCV A. Dunning JKM. Performed the experiments: AA JL GF HG DK RH SA DA MA DB MB FC TQC HJC KC BC RCC A. Delago MJG MH JH NH PAK YJK FL EM GP GLR LJS TCV A. Dunning JKM. Analyzed the data: AA JL HG A. Dunning JKM. Wrote the paper: AA JL GF HG DK RH SA DA MA DB MB FC TQC HJC KC BC RCC A. Delago MJG MH JH NH PAK YJK FL EM GP GLR LJS TCV A. Dunning JKM.

References

- Reaven GM. Banting lecture 1988. Role of insulin resistance in human disease. Diabetes. 1988; 37: 1595–1607. PMID: 3056758
- Alberti KG, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ, Cleeman JI, Donato KA, et al. Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; and International Association for the Study of Obesity. Circulation. 2009; 120: 1640–1645. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.192644 PMID: 19805654
- 3. Kahn R, Buse J, Ferrannini E, Stern M, American Diabetes Association, European Association for the Study of Diabetes. The metabolic syndrome: time for a critical appraisal: joint statement from the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2005; 28: 2289–2304. PMID: 16123508
- Ford ES. Risks for all-cause mortality, cardiovascular disease, and diabetes associated with the metabolic syndrome: a summary of the evidence. Diabetes Care. 2005; 28: 1769–1778. PMID: 15983333
- Galassi A, Reynolds K, He J. Metabolic syndrome and risk of cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis. Am J Med. 2006; 119: 812–819. PMID: 17000207
- Gami AS, Witt BJ, Howard DE, Erwin PJ, Gami LA, Somers VK, et al. Metabolic syndrome and risk of incident cardiovascular events and death: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007; 49: 403–414. PMID: <u>17258085</u>



- Ferrannini E. Metabolic syndrome: a solution in search of a problem. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2007; 92: 396–398. PMID: 17284639
- Lawlor DA, Smith GD, Ebrahim S. Does the new International Diabetes Federation definition of the metabolic syndrome predict CHD any more strongly than older definitions? Findings from the British Women's Heart and Health Study. Diabetologia. 2006; 49: 41–48. PMID: 16378165
- Sundstrom J, Vallhagen E, Riserus U, Byberg L, Zethelius B, Berne C, et al. Risk associated with the metabolic syndrome versus the sum of its individual components. Diabetes Care. 2006; 29: 1673–1674. PMID: 16801601
- Bayturan O, Tuzcu EM, Lavoie A, Hu T, Wolski K, Schoenhagen P, et al. The metabolic syndrome, its component risk factors, and progression of coronary atherosclerosis. Arch Intern Med. 2010; 170: 478–484. doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2009.551 PMID: 20212186
- 11. Budoff MJ, Dowe D, Jollis JG, Gitter M, Sutherland J, Halamert E, et al. Diagnostic performance of 64-multidetector row coronary computed tomographic angiography for evaluation of coronary artery stenosis in individuals without known coronary artery disease: results from the prospective multicenter AC-CURACY (Assessment by Coronary Computed Tomographic Angiography of Individuals Undergoing Invasive Coronary Angiography) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008; 52: 1724–1732. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc. 2008.07.031 PMID: 19007693
- Meijboom WB, Meijs MF, Schuijf JD, Cramer MJ, Mollet NR, van Mieghem CA, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of 64-slice computed tomography coronary angiography: a prospective, multicenter, multivendor study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008; 52: 2135–2144. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2008.08.058 PMID: 19095130
- 13. Min JK, Dunning A, Lin FY, Achenbach S, Al-Mallah M, Budoff MJ, et al. Age- and sex-related differences in all-cause mortality risk based on coronary computed tomography angiography findings results from the International Multicenter CONFIRM (Coronary CT Angiography Evaluation for Clinical Outcomes: An International Multicenter Registry) of 23,854 patients without known coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011; 58: 849–860. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2011.02.074 PMID: 21835321
- Chow BJ, Small G, Yam Y, Chen L, Achenbach S, Al-Mallah M, et al. Incremental prognostic value of cardiac computed tomography in coronary artery disease using CONFIRM: COroNary computed tomography angiography evaluation for clinical outcomes: an InteRnational Multicenter registry. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2011; 4: 463–472. doi: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.111.964155 PMID: 21730027
- Min JK, Dunning A, Lin FY, Achenbach S, Al-Mallah MH, Berman DS, et al. Rationale and design of the CONFIRM (COronary CT Angiography Evaluation For Clinical Outcomes: An InteRnational Multicenter) Registry. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr. 2011; 5: 84–92. doi: 10.1016/j.jcct.2011.01.007 PMID: 21477786
- Austen WG, Edwards JE, Frye RL, Gensini GG, Gott VL, Griffith LS, et al. A reporting system on patients evaluated for coronary artery disease. Report of the Ad Hoc Committee for Grading of Coronary Artery Disease, Council on Cardiovascular Surgery, American Heart Association. Circulation. 1975; 51: 5–40. PMID: 1116248
- Lin F, Shaw LJ, Berman DS, Callister TQ, Weinsaft JW, Wong FJ, et al. Multidetector computed tomography coronary artery plaque predictors of stress-induced myocardial ischemia by SPECT. Atherosclerosis. 2008; 197: 700–709. PMID: 17720167
- Sattar N, Gaw A, Scherbakova O, Ford I, O'Reilly DS, Haffner SM, et al. Metabolic syndrome with and without C-reactive protein as a predictor of coronary heart disease and diabetes in the West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study. Circulation. 2003; 108: 414–419. PMID: 12860911
- Wannamethee SG, Shaper AG, Lennon L, Morris RW. Metabolic syndrome vs Framingham Risk Score for prediction of coronary heart disease, stroke, and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Arch Intern Med. 2005; 165: 2644–2650. PMID: 16344423
- 20. Braunwald E, Antman EM, Beasley JW, Califf RM, Cheitlin MD, Hochman JS, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with unstable angina and non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: executive summary and recommendations. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on practice guidelines (committee on the management of patients with unstable angina). Circulation. 2000; 102: 1193–1209. PMID: 10973852
- American College of Emergency P, Society for Cardiovascular A, Interventions, O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013; 61: e78–140. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.11.019 PMID: 23256914
- Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Simoons ML, Chaitman BR, White HD, et al. Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012; 60: 1581–1598. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.08.001 PMID: 22958960



- Imbens G. The role of the propensity score in estimating dose-response functions. Biometrika. 2000; 87: 706–710.
- 24. Stedman T. Stedman's Medical Dictionary. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. 2000: 1746.
- Stern SE, Williams K, Ferrannini E, DeFronzo RA, Bogardus C, Stern MP. Identification of individuals with insulin resistance using routine clinical measurements. Diabetes. 2005; 54: 333–339. PMID: 15677489
- 26. Grundy SM, Brewer HB Jr., Cleeman JI, Smith SC Jr., Lenfant C, National Heart Lung, and Blood Institute, et al. Definition of metabolic syndrome: report of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute/ American Heart Association conference on scientific issues related to definition. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2004; 24: e13–18. PMID: 14766739
- Lempiainen P, Mykkanen L, Pyorala K, Laakso M, Kuusisto J. Insulin resistance syndrome predicts coronary heart disease events in elderly nondiabetic men. Circulation. 1999; 100: 123–128. PMID: 10402440
- 28. Pyorala M, Miettinen H, Halonen P, Laakso M, Pyorala K. Insulin resistance syndrome predicts the risk of coronary heart disease and stroke in healthy middle-aged men: the 22-year follow-up results of the Helsinki Policemen Study. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2000; 20: 538–544. PMID: 10669654
- McNeill AM, Rosamond WD, Girman CJ, Golden SH, Schmidt MI, East HE, et al. The metabolic syndrome and 11-year risk of incident cardiovascular disease in the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. Diabetes Care. 2005; 28: 385–390. PMID: 15677797
- 30. Girman CJ, Rhodes T, Mercuri M, Pyorala K, Kjekshus J, Pedersen TR, et al. The metabolic syndrome and risk of major coronary events in the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S) and the Air Force/Texas Coronary Atherosclerosis Prevention Study (AFCAPS/TexCAPS). Am J Cardiol. 2004; 93: 136–141. PMID: 14715336



plos.org

create account

sign in



Subject Areas

For Authors

About Us

Search

Q

advanced search

Open-Access License

No Permission Required

PLOS applies the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license to all works we publish (read the human-readable summary or the full license legal code). Under the CC BY license, authors retain ownership of the copyright for their article, but authors allow anyone to download, reuse, reprint, modify, distribute, and/or copy articles in PLOS journals, so long as the original authors and source are cited. **No permission is required from the authors or the publishers.**



In most cases, appropriate attribution can be provided by simply citing the original article (e.g., Kaltenbach LS et al. (2007) Huntingtin Interacting Proteins Are Genetic Modifiers of Neurodegeneration. *PLOS Genet* 3(5): e82. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0030082). If the item you plan to reuse is not part of a published article (e.g., a featured issue image), then please indicate the originator of the work, and the volume, issue, and date of the journal in which the item appeared. For any reuse or redistribution of a work, you must also make clear the license terms under which the work was published.

This broad license was developed to facilitate open access to, and free use of, original works of all types. Applying this standard license to your own work will ensure your right to make your work freely and openly available. Learn more about open access. For queries about the license, please contact us.

Ambra 2.10.11 Managed Colocation provided by Internet Systems Consortium.

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Advertise | Media Inquiries

Publications

PLOS Biology

PLOS Medicine

PLOS Computational Biology

PLOS Currents

PLOS Genetics

PLOS Pathogens

PLOS ONE

PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases

plos.org

Blogs

Collections

Send us feedback