

Document downloaded from the institutional repository of the University of Alcala: <u>http://dspace.uah.es/dspace/</u>

This is a preprint version of the following published document:

Uscola, M. et al., 2017. Root uptake of inorganic and organic N chemical forms in two coexisting Mediterranean forest trees. *Plant and Soil*, 415(1), pp.387–392.

Available at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3172-6

© 2017 Springer Verlag International Content of the second secon



This work is licensed under a

Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

1	Root uptake of inorganic and organic N chemical forms in two
2	coexisting Mediterranean forest trees
3	
4	Uscola, M. ^{1,2*} , Villar-Salvador, P. ¹ , Oliet, J. ³ & Warren, C.R. ⁴
5	
6	¹ Forest Ecology and Restoration Group, Departamento de Ciencias de la Vida, U.D.
7	Ecología, Universidad de Alcalá, Apdo. 20, E-28805, Alcalá de Henares, Madrid, Spain.
8	
9	² current address: Hardwood Tree Improvement and Regeneration Center, Department of
10	Forestry and Natural Resources, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907-2061, USA.
11	* author for contact: <u>muscolaf@purdue.edu</u>
12	
13	³ E.T.S. Ingenieros de Montes, Departamento de Sistemas y Recursos Naturales, Universidad
14	Politécnica de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain
15	
16	⁴ School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Heydon-Laurence Building A08, The University
17	of Sydney, 2006, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Submitted to Plant and Soil on 17 June 2016
23	10 Text pages and 2 Figures

24 Abstract

Background and aims: Plants differ in their ability to use different nitrogen (N) forms and
these differences can be related to their ecology and drive community structure. The
capacity to uptake intact organic N has been observed in plants of several ecosystems.
However, soil organic N uptake by Mediterranean plants is unknown despite organic N
being abundant in Mediterranean ecosystems. We compare the uptake of different N
forms in two widespread coexisting Mediterranean forest trees with contrasting
ecophysiological characteristics: *Quercus ilex* and *Pinus halepensis*.

Methods: To estimate root uptake rate of each N form we used equimolar solutions (1
mM N) of ¹⁵NO₃⁻, ¹⁵NH₄⁺ and ¹⁵N-¹³C glycine.

Results: NH_4^+ and glycine were taken up at a similar rate, but faster than NO_3^- in both species. Intact dual labeled glycine was found in both species, demonstrating that both species can absorb intact organic N.

Conclusions: Despite their ecological differences, both species had similar preference for N forms suggesting no niche complementarity for N uptake. The higher preference for NH₄⁺ and glycine over NO_3^- possibly reflects adaptation to the differing proportions of N forms in Mediterranean soils.

Key words: Amino acid; ammonium; nitrate; *Pinus halepensis*; *Quercus ilex*; root uptake
preferences

43 Introduction

Nitrogen (N) is the most limiting nutrient in many terrestrial ecosystems (LeBauer and 44 Treseder 2008). The capacity of plants to use organic N as a source of N has been 45 46 demonstrated for cold-climate (Kielland 1994; Näsholm et al. 1998; McKane et al. 2002) and wet temperate ecosystems (Warren 2006; Schulz et al. 2011), and among some crop 47 plants (Näsholm et al. 2000). In cold-climate ecosystems, where soil inorganic N is low, 48 uptake of organic N leads to complementarity in soil N use permitting the coexistence of 49 a higher number of plant species (Kielland 1994; Näsholm et al. 1998; McKane et al. 50 2002). Soils in Mediterranean ecosystems are poor in N but have large amounts of organic 51 N relative to inorganic N (Delgado-Baquerizo et al. 2011). Uptake of organic N may be 52 significant in Mediterranean ecosystems, despite the absolute concentration of organic N 53 54 often being lower than in other ecosystems (Delgado-Baquerizo et al. 2011). Recently, Uscola et al. (2014b) showed that two Mediterranean forest trees are able to absorb 55 organic N through their leaves. However, it is still unknown if Mediterranean plants are 56 also able to take up intact organic N through the roots. 57

Species vary in their ability to take up different N forms (Kronzucker et al. 1997; 58 Aidar et al. 2003; Kielland et al. 2006). Preference differences for N forms among plants 59 could reflect adaptation and/or acclimation to the most abundant N forms in their habitat 60 (Kielland et al. 2006; Song et al. 2015). The proportion of soil NH_4^+ relative to NO_3^- 61 increases through ecological succession (Kronzucker et al. 1997; Aidar et al. 2003). Thus, 62 pioneer species frequently have higher preference for NO₃⁻ than late successional species, 63 which prefer NH₄⁺ and amino acids (Kronzucker et al. 2003; Aidar et al. 2003; Metcalfe 64 et al. 2011). Coexisting species may also vary in their preference for N forms (Miller and 65 Bowman 2003; Song et al. 2015), which allows for ecological niche differentiation that 66 can in turn affect community structure (McKane et al. 2002; Boudsocq et al. 2012; Li et 67 al. 2015). Water stress is considered the main driver of plant community structure in 68

3

Mediterranean ecosystems (Zavala et al. 2000; Sánchez-Gómez et al. 2006). However, Mediterranean ecosystems are not dry year-round and for many months water is not a limiting resource. Other soil resources such as N and their different forms may also play a significant role in plant performance and community structure (Kahmen et al. 2006; Sardans et al. 2006; Delgado-Baquerizo et al. 2011). However, knowledge of the preferences for different N forms in Mediterranean forest trees is very limited (Cruz et al. 1993; Warren and Adams 2002; Dias et al. 2014).

The objectives of this study are 1) to assess whether the seedlings of two major 76 77 Mediterranean forest trees, Pinus halepensis Mill. (Aleppo pine) and Quercus ilex L. (holm oak), are able to uptake intact organic N from soil, and 2) to compare the capacity 78 of both species to take up organic and inorganic N forms. Both species are widely 79 distributed in the Mediterranean basin, coexist in the mid-successional stages but they 80 have contrasting ecological and morpho-physiological characteristics. Pinus halepensis 81 82 is a fast growing shade-intolerant pioneer tree, while Q. ilex is a slow growing shadetolerant late-successional species (Zavala et al. 2000; Baquedano and Castillo 2006). 83

84 Material and methods

85 **Plant material and** ¹⁵N pulse into the soil

One-year-old container-grown seedlings of P. halepensis and Q. ilex from an inland 86 Spain provenance were used. Detailed information on seedling cultivation can be found 87 in Supplementary material. Trace of N from previous fertilization were removed from 88 roots before labeling experiments began by immersing roots in a 0.5 mM KCl solution 89 for 15 s and then repeatedly washing in deionized water. Seedlings were transplanted into 90 2.5 L containers filled with vermiculite (pH 7.2) and placed in a greenhouse for 3.5 91 months until new roots protruded the plug and colonized most of the transplanting 92 substrate. To allow acclimation to the different N sources, 28 days prior to labeling 93

seedlings were watered three times per week with 200 ml of a fertilizer solution (pH=6.8)
that included 1 mM N as equimolar amounts of nitrate, ammonium and glycine (i.e. 0.33
mM KNO₃, 0.165 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄ and 0.33 mM glycine). Inorganic N concentration was
similar to that reported for *Q. ilex* forest soils (Bonilla and Rodá 1992) and soils in other
Mediterranean ecosystems (Delgado-Baquerizo et al. 2011).

To determine uptake of N-forms, plants were supplied with 200 ml of one of four 99 isotope-labeled solutions. The four fertilizer solutions all contained 1 mM of N with the 100 three N sources in equimolar proportions, but differed from one another in which N source 101 was labeled (either ¹⁵NH₄⁺, ¹⁵NO₃⁻, ¹⁵N-¹³C glycine or no N source labeled). Full details 102 of labeling compounds and procedure, and the ¹⁵N and ¹³C abundance of unlabeled 103 samples can be found in the Supplementary material and Table S1. Labeled solutions 104 were applied individually to each of eight or six replicate seedlings per species, for 105 glycine or NO_3^- and NH_4^+ respectively. The different N forms of unlabeled solutions were 106 107 applied individually to four replicate seedlings per species. After 6 h seedlings were harvested. New roots that protruded out of the root plug were cut and washed in 0.5 mM 108 109 KCl to remove traces of fertilizer, twice with tap water and once with distilled water 110 (Figure S1, supplementary material). The roots remaining in the plug were washed to eliminate peat. Finally, all fractions including the shoot were oven-dried at 60 °C for 48 111 h and weighed. New roots were ground in a ball mill (PM100, Retsch, Haan, Germany) 112 and the concentration of N and C and the abundance of ¹⁵N and ¹³C were determined by 113 isotope ratio mass spectrometry (EF-IRMS Isochrom, Micromass, UK) at the UC Davis 114 Stable Isotopes Laboratory (Sharp 2005). 115

116 Intact glycine absorption estimation

117 We followed two methods to assess whether glycine was taken up intact in new roots. 118 The first method calculates the proportion of glycine absorbed intact by comparing how 119 much the slope of the regression line between $C_{absorbed}$ against $N_{absorbed}$ determined by 120 IRMS in new roots deviates from the regression line of slope = 1 predicted from the 121 stoichiometry of intact dual labeled glycine uptake (i.e., 1 mol of ¹³C per mol of ¹⁵N) 122 (Näsholm et al. 1998; Warren 2012). The second method analyzed the amount of 2-123 $^{13}C^{15}N$ -glycine in root samples by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (see 124 methodological and calculation details in the Supplementary material).

125 Statistical analysis

Uptake of N by roots was assessed by two-way ANOVA, with species and N forms as fixed factors. Differences between species in N absorption rate of intact glycine, dual labeled glycine and organ mass were assessed by a t-test. Differences between species in the slope of the linear regressions between $C_{absorbed}$ *vs*. N_{absorbed} were conducted by checking the significance of covariate ($C_{absorbed}$) × Species interaction on N_{absorbed} as a dependent variable (Sokal and Rohlf 2012, page 494). Statistical analyses were conducted with R version 3.1.0 (Spring Dance).

133 **Results**

Quercus ilex seedlings had higher total plant mass than P. halepensis (5.34±0.09 and 134 2.12 \pm 0.04g respectively, t₃₈=-32.17; P=0.002) but P. halepensis had 2.7 times more new 135 136 roots than Q. ilex (108 \pm 9 and 39 \pm 5mg, respectively; t₃₈=-7.73; P=0.029). Both species had similar total N uptake rate and glycine and NH4⁺ were taken up the fastest, while 137 uptake of NO_3^- was three to four times slower (Figure 1). The slope of the Cabsorbed vs. 138 N_{absorbed} regression line was steeper in *P. halepensis* than in *Q. ilex* (F_{1.14}=5.48; *P*=0.034). 139 Based on the slope of fitted lines, estimation of intact glycine absorption was 92% in P. 140 halepensis and 86% in Q. ilex (Figure 2a). Dual labeled glycine was detected by GC-MS 141 142 in roots of both species. Dual labeled glycine absorption rate in roots was higher in Q. ilex than in P. halepensis (t₁₄=2.31; P=0.037; Figure 2b). The absorption rate of intact 143 glycine through roots as estimated by GC-MS in *Q. ilex* and *P. halepensis* seedlings was 144

145 1.37 and 0.67%, respectively of the absorption rate estimated from the regression slopes146 method.

147 **Discussion**

148 Uptake of intact glycine by roots.

Intact dual labeled glycine was detected by GC-MS indicating that both species were able to absorb intact glycine (Näsholm et al. 1998). This is the first study demonstrating that Mediterranean trees can take up intact amino acids from soil. The small amount of intact dual labeled glycine detected within roots can be explained by the quick metabolization of the glycine and/or transport to other parts of the plant (Warren 2012).

According to the ¹³C-¹⁵N molar ratio method, the estimated proportion of glycine 154 taken up intact was high for both species. Notably, this result is similar to those reported 155 156 for species from other ecosystems (Nasholm and Persson 2001; Persson et al. 2003; Metcalfe et al. 2011). However, intact uptake may be overestimated if labeled amino acids 157 are mineralized in the soil and ¹³C and ¹⁵N are taken up independently (Persson and 158 Näsholm 2001; Warren 2012). The ¹³C-¹⁵N molar ratio of labeled roots can also be 159 affected by post uptake losses of ¹³CO₂ via respiration and transfer of ¹⁵N and/or ¹³C 160 161 throughout the plant (Persson and Näsholm 2001; Warren 2012). As these metabolic changes can be species-specific, this could explain the apparent disparities in intact 162 glycine uptake from both methods. Results of this study, taken together with the fact that 163 164 transporters in root epidermis have broad affinity for many amino acids (Svennerstam et al. 2011) and that organic N accounts for a high proportion of soil N in Mediterranean 165 ecosystems (Delgado-Baquerizo et al. 2011) suggest that amino acids may be a major N 166 167 source for the studied trees.

168 **D**

Differences in N acquisition and N forms preferences between species.

Fast growing species usually have higher inherent N uptake capacity than slow growing species (Osone and Tateno 2005; Schulz et al. 2011). In our study both species had similar rates of N uptake, despite *P. halepensis* being faster growing than *Q. ilex* (Uscola et al. 2015). However, because *P. halepensis* had higher new root growth than *Q. ilex* it can be expected that *P. halepensis* will have higher N uptake per plant than *O. ilex*.

Despite their ecological and functional differences, P. halepensis and Q. ilex did 174 not differ in relative uptake rates of N forms. Preference differences for N forms among 175 plants could reflect adaptation to the most abundant N forms in their habitat (Kielland et 176 177 al. 2006; Song et al. 2015). Mature forest soils often contain more NH_4^+ than NO_3^- , thus forest species might preferentially take up NH₄⁺ and grow better when NH₄⁺ is the main 178 N form (Metcalfe et al. 2011). The dominant N forms in Mediterranean forest soils are 179 organic N and NH₄⁺ (Bonilla and Rodá 1992; Delgado-Baquerizo et al. 2011). Plant 180 species that coexist can also show different N-form preferences, with dominant species 181 182 having higher NH₄⁺ preference than subordinate ones (McKane et al. 2002; Boudsocq et al. 2012). Consistent with these ideas, both studied trees are structural species in many 183 184 forests across the Mediterranean basin and present a strong preference for NH₄⁺ and 185 glycine.

We believe that relative differences in N-form uptake results were not biased by soil pH in our experiment. Soil pH can shift preferences for N forms, which could be important for competitive relationships because both species coexist and thrive in a broad range of soil pH values (Pemán García et al. 2014). The pH of the peat and vermiculite mixtures such as the used in this study usually ranges from moderately acid to neutral (Pemán García et al. 2014), which is in the range of soil pH values in which these species live (Pemán García et al. 2014).

193 The potential benefit of greater preference for NH_4^+ is that it has lower metabolic 194 costs than NO_3^- metabolism, which might increase plant growth. Boudsocq et al. (2012) suggested that greater preference for NH_4^+ may maximize primary productivity of plant communities. Consistent with the model of Boudsocq et al. (2012), *Q. ilex* and especially *P. halepensis* seedlings have slightly higher growth, photosynthesis and leaf nutrient concentration when cultivated with NH_4^+ than with NO_3^- at the same N concentration used in this experiment (Uscola et al. 2014a).

Plants show plasticity in N forms preference in response to changes in N form 200 availability and the presence of competitors (Houlton et al. 2007; Ashton et al. 2010). 201 Consequently, assessment of the ecological implications of N forms preferences for both 202 203 species needs to be addressed under different plant-plant interaction scenarios. Additionally, mycorrhiza can modify plant N uptake and N form preferences (Chalot and 204 Brun 1998). We did not inoculate our plants with any mycorrhiza or measure 205 mycorrhization in our seedlings. Therefore, future experiments should address the 206 importance of mycorrhiza on the uptake of N forms in both species. 207

208 Acknowledgments

209 We are grateful to C.N. R.G. F. "El Serranillo" (MAGRAMA) for cultivating the plants.

- 210 This study was supported by a FPU-MEC grant to MU, and by projects AGL2006-12609-
- 211 C02-01/FOR (ENCINUT), AGL2011-24296 ECOLPIN (MICIIN), CGL2014-53308-P
- 212 SERAVI (MINECO) and Remedinal 3 (S2013/MAE-2719, CAM). CW was supported
- by a Future Fellowship from the Australian Research Council (FT100100024).

214 **References**

- Aidar MPM, Schmidt S, Moss G, et al (2003) Nitrogen use strategies of neotropical
 rainforest trees in threatened Atlantic Forest. Plant, Cell Environ 26:389–399.
- Ashton IW, Miller AE, Bowman WD, Suding KN (2010) Niche complementarity due to
 plasticity in resource use: plant partitioning of chemical N forms. Ecology 91:3252–
 3260.
- Baquedano FJ, Castillo FJ (2006) Comparative ecophysiological effects of drought on
 seedling of the Mediterranean water-saver *Pinus halepensis* and water-spenders
 Quercus coccifera and *Quercus ilex*. Trees 20:689–700.
- Bonilla D, Rodá F (1992) Soil nitrogen dynamics in a holm oak forest. Vegetatio 99:247–

- 224 257.
- Boudsocq S, Niboyet A, Lata JC, et al (2012) Plant preference for ammonium versus nitrate: a neglected determinant of ecosystem functioning? Am Nat 180:60–9.
- Chalot M, Brun A (1998) Physiology of organic nitrogen acquisition by ectomycorrhizal
 fungi and ectomycorrhizas. FEMS Microbiol Rev 22:21–44.Cruz C, Lips SH,
 Martins-Loução MA (1993) Interactions between nitrate and ammonium during
 uptake by carob seedlings and the effect of the form of earlier nitrogen nutrition.
 Physiol Plant 89:544–551.
- Delgado-Baquerizo M, Covelo F, Gallardo A (2011) Dissolved organic nitrogen in
 Mediterranean ecosystems. Pedosphere 21:309–318.
- Dias T, Clemente A, Martins-Loução MA, et al (2014) Ammonium as a driving force of
 plant diversity and ecosystem functioning: observations based on 5years'
 manipulation of N dose and form in a Mediterranean ecosystem. PLoS One
 9:e92517.
- Houlton BZ, Sigman DM, Schuur EAG, Hedin LO (2007) A climate-driven switch in
 plant nitrogen acquisition within tropical forest communities. PNAS 104:8902–6.
- Kahmen A, Renker C, Unsicker SB, Buchmann N (2006) Niche complementarity for nitrogen: an explanation for the biodiversity and ecosystem functioning relationship.
 Ecology 87:1244–1255. Kielland K (1994) Amino acid absorption by arctic plants: implications for plant nutrition and nitrogen cycling. Ecology 75:2373–2383.
- Kielland K, McFarland J, Olson K (2006) Amino acid uptake in deciduous and coniferous
 taiga ecosystems. Plant Soil 288:297–307.
- Kronzucker HJ, Siddiqi MY, Glass ADM (1997) Conifer root discrimination against soil
 nitrate and the ecology of forest succession. Nature 385:59–61.
- Kronzucker HJ, Siddiqi MY, Glass ADM, Britto DT (2003) Root ammonium transport
 efficiency as a determinant in forest colonization patterns: an hypothesis. Physiol
 Plant 117:164–170.
- LeBauer DS, Treseder KK (2008) Nitrogen limitation of net primary productivity in
 terrestrial ecosystems is globally distributed. Ecology 89:371–379.
- Li X, Rennenberg H, Simon J (2015) Competition for nitrogen between *Fagus sylvatica* and *Acer pseudoplatanus* seedlings depends on soil nitrogen availability. Front Plant
 Sci 6:1–11.
- McKane RB, Johnson LC, Shaver GR, et al (2002) Resource-based niches provide a basis
 for plant species diversity and dominance in arctic tundra. Nature 415:68–71.
- Metcalfe RJ, Nault J, Hawkins BJ (2011) Adaptations to nitrogen form: comparing
 inorganic nitrogen and amino acid availability and uptake by four temperate forest
 plants. Can J For Res 1637:1626–1637.
- Miller AE, Bowman WD (2003) Alpine plants show species-level differences in the
 uptake of organic and inorganic nitrogen. Plant Soil 283–292.
- Näsholm T, Ekblad A, Nordin A, et al (1998) Boreal forest plants take up organic
 nitrogen. Nature 392:914–916.
- Näsholm T, Huss-Danell K, Högberg P, Hogberg P (2000) Uptake of organic nitrogen in
 the field by four agriculturally important plant species. Ecology 81:1155–1161.
- 267 Nasholm T, Persson J (2001) Plant acquisition of organic nitrogen in boreal forests.

- 268 Physiol Plant 111:419–426.
- Osone Y, Tateno M (2005) Nitrogen absorption by roots as a cause of interspecific
 variations in leaf nitrogen concentration and photosynthetic capacity. Funct Ecol
 19:460–470.
- Pemán J, Navarro RM, Nicolás JL, et al (2014) Producción y manejo de semillas y plantas
 forestales. OAPN. Ministerio de Agricultura, Alimentación y Medio Ambiente.
 Madrid.
- Persson J, Högberg P, Ekblad A, et al (2003) Nitrogen acquisition from inorganic and organic sources by boreal forest plants in the field. Oecologia 137:252–257.
- Persson J, Näsholm T (2001) A GC-MS method for determination of amino acid uptake
 by plants. Physiol Plant 113:352–358.
- Sánchez-Gómez D, Valladares F, Zavala MA (2006) Performance of seedlings of
 Mediterranean woody species under experimental gradients of irradiance and water
 availability: trade-offs and evidence for niche differentiation. New Phytol 170:795–
 806.
- Sardans J, Rodà F, Peñuelas J (2006) Effects of a nutrient pulse supply on nutrient status
 of the Mediterranean trees *Quercus ilex* subsp. *ballota* and *Pinus halepensis* on
 different soils and under different competitive pressure. Trees 20:619–632.
- Schulz H, Härtling S, Stange CF (2011) Species-specific differences in nitrogen uptake
 and utilization by six European tree species. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 174:28–37.
- Sharp Z (2005) Principles of Stable Isotope Geochemistry. Pearson/Prentice Hall, 2007
- Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ (2012) Biometry: The principles and practice of statistics in biological
 research, 4th edn. W. H. Freeman, New York
- Song M, Zheng L, Suding KN, et al (2015) Plasticity in nitrogen form uptake and
 preference in response to long-term nitrogen fertilization. Plant Soil 394:215–224.
- Svennerstam H, Jämtgård S, Ahmad I, et al (2011) Transporters in Arabidopsis roots
 mediating uptake of amino acids at naturally occurring concentrations. New Phytol
 191:459–67.
- Uscola M, Oliet JA, Villar-Salvador P, et al (2014a) Nitrogen form and concentration
 interactively affect the performance of two ecologically distinct Mediterranean
 forest trees. Eur J For Res 133:235–246.
- Uscola M, Villar-Salvador P, Oliet J, Warren CR (2014b) Foliar absorption and root
 translocation of nitrogen from different chemical forms in seedlings of two
 Mediterranean trees. Environ Exp Bot 104:34–43.
- Uscola M, Villar-Salvador P, Gross P, Maillard P (2015) Fast growth involves high
 dependence on stored resources in seedlings of Mediterranean evergreen trees. Ann
 Bot 115:1001–1013.
- Warren CR (2006) Potential organic and inorganic N uptake by six Eucalyptus species.
 Funct Plant Biol 33:653.
- Warren CR (2012) Post-uptake metabolism affects quantification of amino acid uptake.
 New Phytol 193:522–31.
- Warren CR, Adams MA (2002) Possible causes of slow growth of nitrate-supplied *Pinus pinaster*. Can J For Res 32:569–580.
- 311 Zavala MA, Espelta JM, Retana J (2000) Constraints and trade-Offs in Mediterranean

plant communities: the case of holm oak-Aleppo pine forests. Bot Rev 66:119–149.

Figure captions.

Figure 1. Root N uptake rate of three N chemical forms (glycine, NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺) by intact new roots in one-year-old seedlings of *Quercus ilex* and *Pinus halepensis*. Data are means \pm 1 SE. Means followed by different capital letters denote significant differences at α =0.05. Estimated percentages of the N from fertilizers that were taken up by the roots during the uptake experiment were: for NH₄⁺ and glycine about 2.3% in *P. halpensis* and about 0.6% in *Q. ilex*; for NO₃⁻ it was about 0.6% in *P. halpensis* and 0.2% in *Q. ilex*.

Figure 2. a) Regressions between $C_{absorbed}$ and $N_{absorbed}$ in intact new roots of *Quercus ilex* (r²=0.85; F_{1.8}=725; P<0.0001; y=0.8593x) and *Pinus halepensis* (r²=0.84; F_{1.8}=496; P<0.0001; y=0.9213x) seedlings after fertilizing with dual ¹³C and ¹⁵N labeled glycine. Each point represents an individual. b) N taken up from dual labeled glycine detected by GC-MS in roots in both species and total N taken up from glycine estimated by IRMS after correcting for natural abundance of ¹⁵N in samples and the enrichment labeling by fertilizers (see Isotope analyses and calculations in Supplementary material). N from intact glycine by IRMS was estimated by multiplying root N content by the line slope in the regression analysis (2a). The remaining N taken up was assumed to be glycine absorption after de-amination. Means followed by different capital letters denote significant differences for total N taken up from glycine at α =0.05. Within a fraction, different lower-case letters indicate statistical differences between species. Numbers indicate statistical differences in dual labeled glycine detected by GC-MS.

Figure 1.







Supplementary material.

Details on Material and methods.

First year cultivation in the nursery.

One-year-old seedlings of P. halepensis and Q. ilex from an inland Spain provenance, ES-9 Alcarria for P. halepensis and ES-12 La Mancha for Q. ilex (Pemán García et al. 2014), were cultivated at the Centro Nacional de Recursos Genéticos Forestales "El Serranillo" (MAGRAMA). One-year-old plants were chosen because seedlings are the most limiting life-stage for tree recruitment (Pulido and Díaz 2005). Additionally, functional traits measured at the seedling stage usually corresponds with functional characteristics of adults (Cornelissen et al. 2003). Plants were grown in Forest Pot 300 ® trays (Nuevos Sistemas de Cultivo S.L., Girona, Spain) that has 50 cavities of 300 ml, which were filled with fertilized (1kg m⁻³ of 16:4:17 N-P-K fertilizer) peat moss, pH 4.5 (Kekkilä F6, Kekkilä Oyi, Finland). Seeding was done in January 2007 and until mid-May, seedlings were cultivated in a greenhouse to avoid spring frosts. Greenhouse temperature ranged from 4 to 25 °C and radiation was approximately 50% of that outside. Seedlings were moved outdoors in mid-May under full sun conditions, fertilized weekly with a 17:5:19, N-P-K + micronutrients water-soluble fertilizer (Hakaphos yellow, BASF, Germany) and irrigated every 2-4 days. Fertilization was accomplished through the overhead sprinkling irrigation system, from the beginning of June to mid-October (20 fertilization events). By the end of nursery culture each seedling had received 129 mg N, 35 mg P, and 134 mg K.

Seedling cultivation during the experiment in the greenhouse

The experiment was carried out in a greenhouse of the Royal Botanic Garden Juan Carlos I at the University of Alcalá. Air temperature varied from 15.3 to 32.0°C and seedlings were watered with tap water twice a week. In addition to N, the acclimation fertilizer solution also contained 0.33 mM KH₂PO₄, 0.33 mM MgSO₄, 0.58 mM CaCl₂*2H₂O and 0.34 mM KCl following recommendation by Ingestad (1979) and Landis et al (1989). Micronutrients were supplied using a commercial fertilizer (Hortrilon, Compo, Barcelona, Spain) at a 0.1g l⁻¹ concentration.

Soil ¹⁵N labeling pulse and calculation of N absorption

Uptake rates of different N source are different when the N forms are applied individually or in mixtures (Näsholm et al. 2009). Thus, "preferences" among N sources should be measured applying all N forms in equimolar amounts. We used the amino acid glycine because it has been

widely used in studies on organic N uptake (Harrison et al. 2008; Warren 2009; Kahmen et al. 2009; Ashton et al. 2010), furthermore it is an abundant amino acid in forest soils (Yu et al. 2002; Andresen et al. 2008) including the Mediterranean soils (Uscola unpublished data). ¹⁵NO₃⁻ and ¹⁵NH₄⁺ fertilizers were in the form of K¹⁵NO₃ and SO₄(¹⁵NH₄)₂, respectively (Sigma Aldrich Co, Milwaukee, USA). The amino acid was in the form of $2^{-13}C^{15}N$ glycine (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, London, UK). Abundance of labeled and unlabeled fertilizers is shown in Table S1.

The pots were introduced in plastic bags to avoid liquid losses, and 200 ml of the described solutions were applied. Half of the solution was applied to the soil surface and the other half to the plastic bag to allow the bottom of the pot to be in contact with the solution. Plastic bags were sealed around the pot to minimize the amount of solution that drained out of the pot. Application of the solution took from 07:00 to 08:00 h. Both time of application and time of harvesting were recorded for each seedling and the difference was considered as labeling time.

The amount of N taken up ($N_{absorbed}$) by new roots from a specific labeled N form was calculated for each seedling with isotopic dilution equations (Deléens et al. 1994) as:

$$\mathbf{N}_{absorbed} = \frac{\mathbf{X}_{N} \times [\mathbf{N}_{root}] \times \mathbf{DM}}{\mathbf{N} \text{ atomic mass}} \times 1000 \quad (\mu \text{mol}) \tag{3}$$

where $[N_{root}]$ is the N concentration in new roots (mg g DM⁻¹); DM is the mass of the new roots (g); and X_N is the proportion of N in the new roots that came from the specific labeled N form (either NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻ or glycine), which was calculated as:

$$X_{N} = \frac{(A_{LO}) - (A_{UO})}{(A_{LF}) - (A_{UF})}$$
(4)

where $A_{\rm LO}$ is the ¹⁵N abundance (atom%) in new roots in labeled seedling and $A_{\rm UO}$ is the average ¹⁵N abundance of new roots in the unlabeled seedlings (Table S1). $A_{\rm LF}$ and $A_{\rm UF}$ are the ¹⁵N abundance of the labeled and unlabeled fertilizer, respectively. The amount of C absorbed from the dual labeled ¹⁵N-¹³C glycine ($C_{\rm absorbed}$) was calculated using the same equations but substituting $X_{\rm N}$, $N_{\rm root}$ and ¹⁵N abundance with $X_{\rm C}$, $C_{\rm root}$ and ¹³C abundance, respectively.

N absorption rate of each N form was calculated as:

$$N_{absorptionate} = \frac{N_{absorbed}}{DM \times time} \times 1000 \qquad (\mu g g^{-1} h^{-1})$$
(5)

Labeling time and new roots dry mass of each single seedling were used to standardize calculations and avoid differences among seedlings due to small differences in labeling duration and root development, respectively.

GCMS dual labeled glycine methodology

The second method analyzed the amount of $2^{-13}C^{15}N$ -glycine in a new root sample by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC–MS) of *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl derivatives (Warren 2012). 20 mg (±1 mg) of freeze dried and ground root material was extracted with 700 µL of hot methanol by shaking for 30 min at 60 °C. Aqueous and organic phases were separated by addition of 400 µL of chloroform and 800 µL of water. 100 µL of the aqueous phase and 5 µL of internal standard (0.1 mg mL⁻¹ norleucine) were dried and taken up in 100 µL of N,N-dimethylformamide. 50 µL of dimethylformamide was added and samples were derivatised by heating at 80 °C for 45 min. Amino acid derivatives were separated by capillary gas chromatography (30 m Long × 0.25 mm ID × 0.25 µm film thickness; Rtx-5SilMS, Restek, Bellfonte, USA). The column eluent was ionised by electron impact (70 eV) and mass spectra were collected from 100 to 600 amu (GCMS-QP2010Plus, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). ¹⁴N, ¹²C glycine was quantified from mass 248 from the natural isotopes of ¹²C, ¹⁴N glycine.

References

- Andresen LC, Jonasson S, Ström L, Michelsen A (2008) Uptake of pulse injected nitrogen by soil microbes and mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal plants in a species-diverse subarctic heath ecosystem. Plant Soil 313:283–295.
- Ashton IW, Miller AE, Bowman WD, Suding KN (2010) Niche complementarity due to plasticity in resource use: plant partitioning of chemical N forms. Ecology 91:3252–3260.
- Cornelissen JHC, Cerabolini B, Castro-Díez P, et al (2003) Functional traits of woody plants : correspondence of species rankings between field adults and laboratory-grown seedlings ? J Veg Sci 14:311–322.
- Deléens E, Cliquet JB, Prioul JL. 1994. Use of ¹³C and ¹⁵N plant label near natural abundance for monitoring carbon and nitrogen partitioning. Aust J Plant Physiol 21: 133–46
- Harrison KA, Bol R, Bardgett RD (2008) Do plant species with different growth strategies vary in their ability to compete with soil microbes for chemical forms of nitrogen? Soil Biol Biochem 40:228–237.
- Ingestad T (1979) Mineral nutrient requirements of *Pinus silvestris* and *Picea abies* seedlings. Physiol Plant 45:373–380.

- Kahmen A, Livesley SJ, Arndt SK (2009) High potential, but low actual, glycine uptake of dominant plant species in three Australian land-use types with intermediate N availability. Plant Soil 325:109–121.
- Landis T, Tinus R, McDonald AJS, Barnett JP (1989) Mineral nutrients and fertilization. In: Landis TD, Tinus RW, McDonald SE, Barnett JP (eds) The Container Tree Nursery Manual. Vol. 4.
 Seedling nutrition and irrigation. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Washington, DC, p 67
- Näsholm T, Kielland K, Ganeteg U (2009) Uptake of organic nitrogen by plants. New Phytol 182:31–48.
- Pemán García J, Navarro Cerrillo RM, Nicolás Peragón JL, et al (2014) Producción y manejo de semillas y plantas forestales. Tomo 2. Organismo Autónomo Parques Nacionales Ministerio de Agricultura, Alimentación y Medio Ambiente
- Pulido FJ, Díaz M (2005) Regeneration of a Mediterranean oak: A whole-cycle approach. Ecoscience 12:92–102. doi: 10.2980/i1195-6860-12-1-92.1
- Warren CR (2009b) Uptake of inorganic and amino acid nitrogen from soil by *Eucalyptus regnans* and *Eucalyptus pauciflora* seedlings. Tree Physiol 29:401–9.
- Warren CR (2012) Post-uptake metabolism affects quantification of amino acid uptake. New Phytol 193:522–31.
- Yu Z, Zhang Q, Dahlgren RA, et al (2002) Contribution of amino compounds to dissolved organic nitrogen in forest soils. Biogeochemistry 61:173–198.

1	Table S1.	¹⁵ N and	13C abundance	(atom%) in	unlabeled (A	UO) and labeled	$(A_{\rm LO})$ new roots in
---	-----------	---------------------	---------------	------------	--------------	-----------------	-----------------------------

2	one-year-old seedlings of Quercus ilex and Pinus halepensis 6h after of a labeling pulse into
h	the soil Column in the night indicates for the 15 N and 13 C shundeness. Determine the mean 1 SE

3 t	he soil. Column in the right	indicates fertilizer ¹⁵ N	N and ¹³ C abundance	. Data are mean± 1 SI
		Q. ilex	P. halepensis	Fertilizer
	Abundance in unlabel	ed samples (A _{UO})		
	Control (^{13}C)	1.0808 ± 0.0011	1.0803±0.0006	1.082
	Control (¹⁵ N)	0.3682 ± 0.0004	0.3701 ± 0.0006	0.3664
	Abundance in labeled	samples (A _{LO})		
	¹⁵ NO ₃ ⁻	0.5749±0.0631	0.6220±0.0381	60
	$^{15}{ m NH_{4}^{+}}$	1.2018±0.2516	1.2687±0.2395	60
	¹⁵ N-Glycine	1.3829±0.1634	1.6017±0.4316	98
	¹³ C-Glycine	1.1072±0.0043	1.1113±0.0107	99
1				
۰ 5				
5				
7				
, 2				
, ,				
9				
)				
<u> </u>				
2				
3				
1				
5				
5				
7				
3				
)				
)				
)				
-				
L				
,				
) 7				
,				
3				
)				
)				
1				





Figure S1 (supplementary material). a) Image showing the root growth of one year-old seedlings, in container-grown plants the plug is a mass build up with the roots that hold the substrate. In the seedlings used in the experiment a plug was formed the first year when cultivated in 0.3L containers. When the seedling were transplanted to a larger pot (2.5 L pots) for the labeling experiment new fine roots protruded out of the plug and colonized the transplanting substrate. b) Seedling at harvesting, the plug is delimited by the blue line.