Convenient Preparation of 3,3-Diaryl-2-propen-1-ols for Synthesis of Cibenzoline and the Analogs

Nobuyuki IMAI, Takuya NOGUCHI, Junzo NOKAMI, and Junzo OTERA

Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering,

Okayama University of Science,

1-1 Ridai-cho, Okayama 700-0005, Japan

imai@dac.ous.ac.jp

(Received November 7, 2003)

Abstract: Various 3,3-diaryl-2-propen-1-ols (4) were synthesized from the corresponding aryl bromides (5) and arylaldehydes (6) in four steps. The yields were good to excellent in all steps. In particular, 3,3-diphenyl-2-propen-1-ol (4a) is the important starting material for synthesis of chiral cibenzoline by the catalytic enantioselective Simmon-Smith cyclopropanation using α-amino acid-derived disulfonamide, which we have recently reported. The secondary alcohols (7) were prepared from aryl bromides 5, butyllithium, and TMEDA, followed by addition of substituted benzaldehydes 6. The alcohols 7 were converted to 3,3-diaryl-2-propen-1-ols 4 by oxidation of 7 with IBX, by Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction of the ketones (8) with (EtO)₂POCH₂CO₂Et and sodium hydride, then by reduction of the esters (9) with DIBAL-H.

We have recently developed α -amino acid-derived disulfonamide (1) for a catalytic enantioselective Simmons-Smith reaction 1a,1b) and alkylation. 2a) Cibenzoline is commercially an available medicine as a class I antiarrhythmic agent. We will apply the catalytic enantioselective cyclopropanation using α -amino acid-derived disulfonamide to synthesis of chiral cibenzoline and the analogs, in which a key compound is 3,3-diphenyl-2-propen-1-ol (4a) as shown in Scheme 1. In this paper, we describe a convenient route for synthesis of 3,3-diaryl-2-propen-1-ols (4) from the corresponding aryl bromides (5) and arylaldehydes (6) in four steps. The outline for preparation of 3,3-diaryl-2-propen-1-ols 4 is summarized in Scheme 2.

Scheme 2.

Initially, the various secondary alcohols (7) substituted on the aromatic rings by electron-donating or electron-withdrawing groups were prepared in 68~91% yields from the corresponding aryl bromides (5), butyllithium, and N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA) in tetrahydrofuran (THF), followed by addition of the corresponding arylaldehydes (6). Even chlorinated alcohols on the aromatic rings were easily synthsized in good yields as described in entries 4 and 5. The alcohols 7 were oxidized with 2-iodoxybenzoic acid (IBX) in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) to afford the corresponding substituted benzophenones 8 in 75~99% yields. The results of preparation of various benzophenone derivatives 8 are collected in Table 1.

Table 1. Preparation of Various Benzophenone Derivatives 8

Entry	R	7	Yield (%) ^{a)}	8	Yield (%) ^{b)}
1	4-MeO	7b	89	8b	96
2	3-MeO	7c	73	8c	90
3	4-Me	7d	91	8d	99
4	4-C1	7e	86	8e	87
5	3-C1	7 f	68	8f	84
6	4-CF ₃	7g	80	8g	75

a) All reactions were carried out with 13 mmol of an aryl bromide 5, 12 mmol of butyllithium, 13 mmol of TMEDA, and 10 mmol of an arylaldehyde 6 in 50 mL of anhydrous THF. b) All reactions were carried out with 1 equivalent of the diarylmethanol 7 and 1.2 equivalents of IBX in anhydrous DMSO.

Next, Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction of benzophenone (8a) as a steric hindered ketone with (EtO)₂POCH₂CO₂Et and sodium hydride was studied and the results are collected in Table 2. When we ran the reaction of benzophenone 8a with (EtO)₂POCH₂CO₂Et and sodium hydride in THF at 25 °C for 2 h, a mixture of 8a and ethyl 3,3-diphenyl-2-propenate (9a) was obtained as an inseparable solid after silica gel column chromatograpy. The mixture of 8a and 9a was reduced with 2 equivalents of diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL-H) in THF at 25 °C for 3 h to afford 3,3-diphenyl-2-propen-1-ol 4a in 4% yield (entry 1).

The relationship between temparature and yield in Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction was examined (entries 1~4) and the reaction at 50 °C yielded 28% (entry 3). Effect of concentration of 8a on the yield was evaluated at 50 °C and it is noted that better yields (40% and 34 %) were afforded in higher concentration (entries 5 and 6). When DMSO was used as a co-solvent, much better yields (47% and 59%) were given with 1.2 and 1.5 equivalents of sodium hydride, respectively (entries 7 and 8). The reaction was run at 60 °C with 1.5 equivalents of sodium hydride in a 3: 1 mixture of THF-DMSO to afford 4a in 66% yield (entry 9).

Table 2. Preparation of 3,3-Diphenyl-2-propen-1-ol 4a from Benzophenone 8aa)

Entry	Temp. (°C)	NaH (equiv.)	Solvent (mL)	Yield (%)
1	25	1.2	THF (15)	4
2	35	1.2	THF (15)	9
3	50	1.2	THF (15)	28
4	65	1.2	THF (15)	20
5	50	1.2	THF (1.2)	40
6	50	1.5	THF (1.2)	34
7	50	1.2	THF-DMSO (1.2-0.4)	47
8	50	1.5	THF-DMSO (1.2-0.4)	59
9	60	1.5	THF-DMSO (1.2-0.4)	66

a) 1) All reactions were carried out with 3.8 mmol of benzophenone 8a, 5.0 mmol of (EtO)₂POCH₂CO₂Et, and the indicated equivalents of sodium hydride in the indicated anhydrous solvents. 2) After silica gel column chromatograpy, the mixture of 8a and ethyl 3,3-diphenyl-2-propenate 9a was reduced with 7.6 mmol of DIBAL-H in 66 mL of anhydrous THF.

Finally, Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reactions of various substituted benzophenones 8 on the aromatic rings by electron-donating or electron-withdrawing groups were carried out. In all cases, separation of the products were performed after reduction of the mixture of ethyl 3,3-diaryl-2-propenates 9 and the recovered ketones 8 with DIBAL-H

in THF at 25 °C for 3 h to afford 3,3-diaryl-2-propen-1-ols 4 and diarylmethanols 7. All results are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Preparation of Various 3,3-Diaryl-2-propen-1-ols 4a)

Yield (%)	4	Solvent (mL)	Temp. (°C) for 1)	R	Entry
63	4a	THF-DMSO (0.3-0.1)	60	Н	1
52	4a	1,4-dioxane-DMSO (0.3-0.1)	100	Н	2
23	4b	1,4-dioxane-DMSO (0.3-0.1)	100	4-MeO	3
95	4d	1,4-dioxane-DMSO (0.3-0.1)	100	4-Me	4
30	4e	THF-DMSO (0.3-0.1)	60	4-C1	5
75	4e	1,4-dioxane-DMSO (0.3-0.1)	100	4-C1	6
16	4e	1,4-dioxane-DMSO (0.2-0.2)	100	4-Cl	7
53	4e	1,4-dioxane-DMSO (0.1-0.3)	100	4-Cl	8
51	4e	-dimethoxyethane-DMSO (0.3-0.1)	80 1	4-Cl	9
48	4e	toluene-DMSO (0.3-0.1)	110	4-Cl	10
0	4e	DMSO (0.4)	60	4-C1	11
38	4g	1,4-dioxane-DMSO (0.3-0.1)	100	4-CF ₃	12

a) 1) All reactions were carried out with 1.0 mmol of a benzophenone derivative 8, 1.3 mmol of (EtO)₂POCH₂CO₂Et, and 1.5 mmol of sodium hydride at the indicated temparature for 2 h in 0.4 mL of the indicated anhydrous solvents.

2) After silica gel column chromatograpy, the mixture of 8 and the ethyl 3,3-diaryl-2-propenate 9 was reduced with 2.0 mmol of DIBAL-H in 3.3 mL of anhydrous THF at 25 °C for 3 h.

Interestingly, the reaction of benzophenone 8a gave 63% yield in THF-DMSO (3:1) and 52% yield in 1,4-dioxane-DMSO (3:1) as shown in entries 1 and 2. On the other hand, the reaction of 4,4'-dichlorobenzophenone (8e) afforded 30% yield in THF-DMSO (3:1) and 75% yield in 1,4-dioxane-DMSO (3:1) as indicated in entries 5 and 6. Then 3,3-bis(4-methylphenyl)-2-propen-1-ol (4d) was obtained in 95% of an excellent yield. Unfortunately, Horner-

Wadsworth-Emmons reactions of methoxy- or trifluoromethyl-substituted ketones (8b or 8g) on the aromatic rings yielded low (23% and 38%, respectively in entries 3 and 12).

In summary, the steric hindered 3,3-diary-2-propen-1-ols 4 were easily prepared from the corresponding benzophenone derivatives 8 in moderate to excellent yields. The substituted benzophenones 8 on the aromatic rings by electron-donating or electron-withdrawing groups were obtained from the corresponding aryl bromides 5 and arylaldehydes 6 in good to excellent yields. It is found that the yields of Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reactions of the ketones 8 extremely depend on concentration and solvent.

We are currently working on the enantioselective Simmons-Smith cyclopropanation of these steric hindered 3,3-diaryl-2-propen-1-ols 4 with Et_2Zn and CH_2I_2 in the presence of a catalytic amount of α -amino acid-derived disulfonamide 1. Further investigations on synthesis of cibenzoline and the analogs using 3,3-diaryl-2-propen-1-ols 4 are also in progress.

Experimental Section

 1 H NMR and 13 C NMR spectra were measured with a JEOL JNM-GSX400 (400MHz and 100MHz, respectively). The chemical shifts are expressed in ppm downfield from tetramethylsilane, using tetramethylsilane (δ = 0) and/or residual chloroform (δ = 7.25) as an internal standard. Splitting patterns are indicated as s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; br, broad signal. For thin layer chromatographic (TLC) analyses, Merck precoated TLC aluminum plates (silica gel 60 F254, Art 5554) were used.

Typical Procedure for Preparation of Diarylmethanols 7:

To a colorless clear solution of 2.43 g (13 mmol, 1.3 equiv.) of 4-bromoanisole (5b) and 1.99 mL (13 mmol, 1.3 equiv.) of TMEDA in 50 mL of anhydrous THF was added dropwise at -78 °C 7.50 mL (12 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) of a 1.59M solution of butyllithium in hexane. After stirring for 1 h at -78 °C, 1.22 mL (10 mmol, 1 equiv.) of 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (6b) was added dropwise at -78 °C to the colorless clear solution. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at -30 °C, quenched at the temparature with 20 mL of 2M aq. NH4Cl, extracted with 50 mL of EtOAc, washed with 10 mL of brine, and dried over MgSO4. The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel with a 1 : 4 mixture of EtOAc and hexane to afford 2.82 g (89% yield) of bis(4-methoxyphenyl)methanol (7b). 7b: 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.36 (1H, brs, OH), 3.76 (6H, s, CH₃ x 2), 5.72 (1H, s, CH), 6.84, 7.25 (4H, 4H, d, d, J = 8.6, 8.6 Hz, C₆H₄ x 2). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 55.2 (CH₃ x 2), 75.3 (CH), 113.7, 127.6, 136.3, 158.8 (C₆H₄ x 2).

Bis(3-methoxyphenyl)methanol (7c): 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.24 (1H, brs, OH), 3.79 (6H, s, CH₃ x 2), 5.78 (1H, d, J = 3.2 Hz, CH), 6.80, 6.95, 6.96, 7.24 (2H, 2H, 2H, d, d, s, t, J = 7.6, 7.6, 7.6 Hz, C₆H₄ x 2). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 55.2 (CH₃ x 2), 76.1 (CH), 112.0, 113.0, 118.8, 129.4, 145.2, 159.6 (C₆H₄ x 2).

Bis(4-methylphenyl)methanol (7d): 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.16 (1H, brs, OH), 2.32 (6H, s, CH₃ x 2), 5.78 (1H, s, CH), 7.13, 7.25 (4H, 4H, d, d, J = 8.0, 8.0 Hz, C₆H₄ x 2). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 21.2 (CH₃ x 2), 75.9 (CH), 126.4, 129.1, 137.0, 141.0 (C₆H₄ x 2).

Bis(4-chlorophenyl)methanol (7e): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.26 (1H, brs, OH), 5.79 (1H, s, CH), 7.26-7.32 (8H, m, C₆H₄ x 2). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 75.0 (CH), 127.8, 128.7, 133.5, 141.7 (C₆H₄ x 2).

Bis(3-chlorophenyl)methanol (7f): 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.32 (1H, d, J = 2.8 Hz, OH), 5.77 (1H, d, J = 2.8 Hz, CH), 7.22-7.31, 7.38 (6H, 2H, m, s, C₆H₄ x 2). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 75.1 (CH), 124.6, 126.6, 127.9, 129.8, 134.5, 145.0 (C₆H₄ x 2).

Bis[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methanol (7g): 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.46 (1H, brs, OH), 5.94 (1H, s, CH), 7.50, 7.61 (4H, 4H, d, d, J= 8.2, 8.2 Hz, C₆H₄ x 2). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 75.2 (CH), 125.6, 125.6, 125.7, 126.7, 146.7 (CF₃ x 2, C₆H₄ x 2).

Typical Procedure for Preparation of Substituted Benzophenones 8:

To a solution of 1.71 g (7.65 mmol, 1 equiv.) of 7b in 15 mL of anhydrous DMSO was added 2.57 g (9.17 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) of IBX After stirring for 24 h at 25 °C, the reaction mixture was diluted with 200 mL of EtOAc, washed with 20 mL of 2M aq. NaHCO₃, 20 mL of half brine, 10 mL of brine, and dried over MgSO₄. The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel with a 1 : 3 mixture of EtOAc and hexane to afford 1.63 g (96% yield) of 4,4'-dimethoxybenzophenone (8b). 8b: 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.89 (6H, s, CH₃ x 2), 6.96, 7.79 (4H, 4H, d, d, J= 8.8, 8.8 Hz, C₆H₄ x 2). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 55.5 (CH₃ x 2), 113.4, 130.7, 132.1, 162.7 (C₆H₄ x 2), 194.3 (C=O).

- **3,3'-Dimethoxybenzophenone (8c)**: 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.67 (6H, s, CH₃ x 2), 6.92, 6.99, 7.43, 7.51 (2H, 2H, 2H, 2H, d, t, t, d, J = 8.0, 7.2, 8.0, 7.6 Hz, C₆H₄ x 2). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 55.7 (CH₃ x 2), 111.4, 120.3, 130.2, 130.4, 132.5, 158.2 (C₆H₄ x 2), 195.2 (C=O).
- **4,4'-Dimethylbenzophenone (8d)**: 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.44 (6H, s, CH₃ x 2), 7.27, 7.70 (4H, 4H, d, d, J = 8.4, 8.4 Hz, C₆H₄ x 2). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 21.7 (CH₃ x 2), 128.8, 130.1, 135.1, 142.8 (C₆H₄ x 2), 196.1 (C=O).
- **4,4'-Dichlorobenzophenone (8e)**: 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.47, 7.73 (4H, 4H, d, d, J = 8.8, 8.8 Hz, C₆H₄ x 2). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 128.7, 131.2, 135.4, 139.1 (C₆H₄ x 2), 194.1 (C=O).
- 3,3'-Dichlorobenzophenone (8f): 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.45, 7.59, 7.65, 7.78 (2H, 2H, 2H, 2H, t, d, d, s, J= 8.0, 8.0, 8.0 Hz, C₆H₄ x 2). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 128.0, 129.7, 129.7, 132.7, 134.7, 138.5 (C₆H₄ x 2), 193.7 (C=O).
- **4,4'-Bis(trifluoromethyl)benzophenone (8g)**: 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.79, 7.91 (4H, 4H, d, d, J = 8.4, 8.4 Hz, C₆H₄ x 2). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 125.5, 125.6, 125.6, 130.1, 139.7 (CF₃ x 2, C₆H₄ x 2), 194.2 (C=O).

Typical Procedure for Preparation of 3,3-Diaryl-2-propen-1-ols 4:

To a suspension of a washed sodium hydride (1.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) in 0.3 mL of anhydrous THF was added dropwise at 60 °C for 40 min 260 μL (1.3 mmol, 1.3 equiv.) of (EtO)₂POCH₂CO₂Et. After stirring for 1 h at 25 °C, the yellow clear solution was added dropwise at 60 °C for 30 min to a solution of 182 mg (1 mmol, 1 equiv.) of benzophenone 8a in 0.1 mL of anhydrous DMSO. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at the temparature, quenched at 0 °C

with 15 mL of sat. aq. NH₄Cl, extracted with 100 mL of EtOAc, washed with 5 mL of brine, and dried over MgSO₄. The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel with a 1:5 mixture of EtOAc and hexane to afford 182 mg of a mixture of ethyl 3,3-diphenyl-2-propenate 9a and benzophenone 8a.

The solution of 182 mg of the mixture in 3.3 mL of anhydrous THF was added dropwise at -78 °C 2.11 mL (2 mmol) of a 0.95M solution of DIBAL-H in hexane. After stirring for 3 h at 25 °C, the reaction solution was quenched with 10 mL of EtOAc and 3 mL of water, and diluted with 50 mL of EtOAc. The resulted suspension was filtered and the filtrate was washed with 5 mL of brine, and dried over MgSO4. The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel with a 1:5 mixture of EtOAc and hexane to afford 132 mg (63% yield) of 3,3-diphenyl-2-propen-1-ol 4a. 4a: 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.38 (1H, t, J = 6.0 Hz, OH), 4.22 (2H, dd, J = 6.0, 6.8 Hz, CH₂), 6.25 (1H, t, J = 6.8 Hz, CH), 7.16-7.40 (10H, m, C₆H₅ x 2). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 60.7 (CH₂), 126.4, 127.4, 127.5, 128.1, 128.1, 128.4, 129.6, 138.9, 141.7, 144.1 (>C=CH, C₆H₅ x 2).

- **3,3-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-propene-1-ol (4b)**: 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.80 (1H, brs, OH), 3.79, 3.82 (3H, 3H, s, s, CH₃ x 2), 4.19 (2H, d, J= 6.8 Hz, CH₂), 6.10 (1H, t, J= 6.8 Hz, CH), 6.81, 6.89, 7.08, 7.18 (2H, 2H, 2H, 2H, d, d, d, d, d, J= 8.8, 8.8, 8.8 Hz, C₆H₄ x 2). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 55.3, 55.3 (CH₃ x 2), 60.8 (CH₂), 113.4, 113.5, 125.3, 128.8, 130.9, 131.5, 134.7, 143.4, 158.9, 159.1 (>C=CH, C₆H₄ x 2).
- 3,3-Bis(4-methylphenyl)-2-propene-1-ol (4d): 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.40 (1H, brs, OH), 2.33, 2.38 (3H, 3H, s, s, CH₃ x 2), 4.21 (2H, d, J= 6.8 Hz, CH₂), 6.18 (1H, t, J= 6.8 Hz, CH), 7.05, 7.08, 7.15, 7.17 (2H, 2H, 2H, 2H, d, d, d, d, d, J= 8.0, 8.0, 8.0, 8.0 Hz, C₆H₄ x 2). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 21.2, 21.3 (CH₃ x 2), 60.8 (CH₂), 126.3, 127.5, 128.8, 129.1, 129.6, 136.1, 137.1, 137.3, 139.1, 144.1 (>C=CH, C₆H₄ x 2).
- 3,3-Bis(4-chlorophenyl)-2-propene-1-ol (4e): 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.45 (1H, t, J = 5.0 Hz, OH), 4.20 (2H, dd, J = 5.0, 7.0 Hz, CH₂), 6.23 (1H, t, J = 7.0 Hz, CH), 7.09, 7.16, 7.26, 7.36 (2H, 2H, 2H, 2H, d, d, d, d, J = 8.4, 8.4, 8.4 Hz, C₆H₄ x 2). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 60.5 (CH₂), 128.3, 128.4, 128.5, 128.8, 131.0, 133.7, 133.8, 136.9, 139.8, 142.0 (>C=CH, C₆H₄ x 2).
- 3,3-Bis[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-propene-1-ol (4g): 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.64 (1H, brs, OH), 4.22 (2H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, CH₂), 6.38 (1H, t, J = 6.8 Hz, CH), 7.29, 7.33, 7.56, 7.66 (2H, 2H, 2H, 2H, d, d, d, d, J = 8.0, 8.0, 8.0 Hz, C₆H₄ × 2). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 60.4 (CH₂), 125.3, 125.3, 125.4, 125.4, 125.4, 127.7, 130.0, 130.5, 141.6, 141.9, 144.4 (CF₃ × 2, >C=CH, C₆H₄ × 2).

Acknowlegements

This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid (Shorei-Kenkyu (A)) for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan and by Ajinomoto Award in Synthetic Organic Chemistry, Japan.

References

a) Imai, N.; Nomura, T.; Yamamoto, S.; Ninomiya, Y.; Nokami, J. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, **2002**, *13*, 2433-2438; b) Imai, N.; Sakamoto, K.; Maeda, M.; Kouge, K.; Yoshizane, K.; Nokami, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1997**, *38*, 1423-1426. For the other catalytic enantioselective Simmons-Smith cyclopropanation: c) Denmark, A. E.;

- O'Connor, S. P. J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 3390-3401; d) Takahashi, H.; Yoshioka, M.; Shibasaki, M.; Ohno, M.; Imai, N.; Kobayashi, S. Tetrahedron, 1995, 51, 12013-12026; e) Denmark, S. E.; Christensen, B. L.; Coe, D. M.; O'Connor, S. P. Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 2215-2218; f) Denmark, S. E.; Christensen, B. L.; O'Connor, S. P. Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 2219-2222; g) Imai, N.; Sakamoto, K.; Takahashi, H.; Kobayashi, S. Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 7045-7048; h) Imai, N.; Takahashi, H.; Kobayashi, S. Chem. Lett., 1994, 177-180; i) Takahashi, H.; Yoshioka, M.; Ohno, M.; Kobayashi, S. Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 2575-2578.
- 2) a) Imai, N.; Nokami, J.; Nomura, T.; Ninomiya, Y.; Sinobe, A.; Matsushiro, S. The Bulletin of Okayama University of Science, 2002, 38A, 47-52. For the other catalytic enantioselective alkylation with Et₂Zn in the presence of disulfonamide: b) Takahashi, H.; Kawakita, T.; Ohno, M.; Yoshioka, M.; Kobayashi, S. Tetrahedron, 1992, 48, 5691-5700; c) Takahashi, H.; Kawakita, T.; Yoshioka, M.; Ohno, M. Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 7095-7098; d) Yoshioka, M.; Kawakita, T.; Ohno, M. Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 1657-1660.
- a) Martoin, E.; Quinke, K.; Spahn, H.; Mutschler, E. Chirality, 1989, 1, 223-234 and the references cited therein; b) Tilley, J. W.; Clader, J. W.; Wirkus, M.; Blount, J. F. J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 2220-2224.