Critical Tourism Studies Proceedings

Volume 2017

Article 62

2017

Carrying Capacity in Vietnamese National Parks: A Case Study of Phong Nha-Ke Bang

Tuan Phong Ly Institute for Tourism Studies, jack@ift.edu.mo

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.library.tru.ca/cts-proceedings Part of the <u>Tourism and Travel Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Ly, Tuan Phong (2017) "Carrying Capacity in Vietnamese National Parks: A Case Study of Phong Nha-Ke Bang," *Critical Tourism Studies Proceedings*: Vol. 2017, Article 62. Available at: http://digitalcommons.library.tru.ca/cts-proceedings/vol2017/iss1/62

This Abstract is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons @ TRU Library. It has been accepted for inclusion in Critical Tourism Studies Proceedings by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ TRU Library. For more information, please contact kgaynor@tru.ca.

Title: Carrying Capacity in Vietnamese National Parks: A Case Study of Phong Nha-Ke Bang **Author:** Tuan Phong Ly¹ & Thi Hong Hai Nguyen² **Affiliation:** Institute for Tourism Studies, Macao¹; Macau University of Science & Technology² **Contact:** jack@ift.edu.mo **Session Type:** Presentation

Abstract:

Carrying capacity is a potential prerequisite to obtain the three mandates of park management, including recreation, conservation, and economic value. In a developing country such as Vietnam, the issue of carrying capacity is recognized and has been mentioned in the policy and regulation documents, yet without further guidelines for implementation. This study thus attempts to investigate the application of carrying capacity in the Vietnamese park system and assessing its application process for further development of the concept.

This study is based on a case study of Phong Nha-Ke Bang National Park. The qualitative field studies were conducted from 2012 to 2015. The findings show that the park has partly used the carrying capacity concept to control tourist flow. However, its application seems to be rather subjective and not scientific.