

Population Council Knowledge Commons

Reproductive Health

Social and Behavioral Science Research (SBSR)

2011

Scaling up the provision of family planning messages in antenatal and postpartum services in Upper Egypt

Nahla G. Abdel-Tawab Population Council

Sally Saher Population Council

Follow this and additional works at: https://knowledgecommons.popcouncil.org/departments_sbsr-rh

Part of the <u>Health Policy Commons</u>, <u>International Public Health Commons</u>, <u>Maternal and Child Health Commons</u>, <u>Obstetrics and Gynecology Commons</u>, <u>Public Health Education and Promotion Commons</u>, and the Women's Health Commons

Recommended Citation

Abdel-Tawab, Nahla G. and Sally Saher. 2011. "Scaling up the provision of family planning messages in antenatal and postpartum services in Upper Egypt," Extending Service Delivery Final Report. Cairo: Population Council.

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Population Council.









FINAL REPORT

Scaling up the provision of family planning messages in antenatal and postpartum services in Upper Egypt

Dr. Nahla Abdel-Tawab

Dr. Sally Saher

June 2011

CONTENTS

xecutive Summary	i
Context	1
Objectives	2
ntervention components	3
Project results	8
ustainability and scaling up	LO
Challenges	L2
Recommendations and lessons learned2	L3
nnexes <u>2</u>	15

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

An OR study by Population Council's FRONTIERS program, in 20 facilities in Assiut and Sohag governorates, showed integration of birth spacing messages into antenatal and postpartum care was feasible, acceptable to women and their husbands, and was associated with increased postpartum contraception. This intervention was scaled up into the entire governorates of Assiut and Sohag with funds from ESD/USAID. Scaling up activities occurred in two phases (June 2009 through November 2010, and December 2010 through April 2011). Phase I involved scaling up in eight districts in Assiut and Sohag, while phase II scaled up the intervention into remaining districts and built MoHP capacity for scaling up in other governorates. This report describes these phases, their outcomes, challenges, and recommendations for improvement.

Scaling up activities included: (a) revising and updating birth spacing messages protocol for pregnant and postpartum women; (b) training of trainers (TOTs) for FP and MCH managers and supervisors; (c) on the job training (OJT) of clinic staff (doctors, nurses and RRs); (d) providing IEC materials for clients and job aids for providers; (e) monitoring and supervision by MoHP and PC staff; (f) seminars for husbands; (g) steering committee meetings; and (h) a national orientation and dissemination workshop. Implementing activities under phase I proceeded as planned, while those in phase II were delayed or modified as a result of the Egyptian revolution and subsequent strikes by health care providers.

This project was successful in scaling up the intervention in a total of eight districts in Assiut and Sohag and created conditions for scaling up in remaining 16 districts within those two governorates, as well as other governorates. Activities involved a total of 543 facilities in Assiut and Sohag, exceeding the initial target of 394. More than three quarters of managers and supervisors, and two thirds of pregnant and postpartum women, are aware of Healthy Timing and Spacing of Pregnancy and the three LAM criteria. In addition, there has been an increase of 25 to 47 percent in FP service utilization in the eight districts of Sohag and Assiut.

Our main achievement, however, is securing support and investment of senior MoHP officials at the central level, as well as support and commitment of managers and supervisors at governorate and district levels. Moreover, partnerships with other CAs (e.g. Takamol and CHL projects) and with USAID mission have been instrumental in influencing service delivery guidelines in favor of HTSP and standardizing messages across projects.

It is expected MoHP will take this intervention to a national level. With the current political situation and concern over negative reactions against FP, adopting the HTSP approach for providing FP messages may prove more useful and politically acceptable. While this project has set the stage for scaling up in other governorates, more needs to be done in assisting MoHP's adoption and integration of HTSP messages in different programs (e.g. pre service training, ANC, postpartum care and others).

CONTEXT

A substantial proportion of women in Egypt experience unplanned pregnancies. According to the 2005 Egypt Demographic and Health Survey (EDHS), in the preceding five year period, about one in five births (19 percent) were not wanted at conception, while about half of births in Egypt occurred less than three years after previous birth (El-Zanaty and Way, 2006).

Postpartum use of contraception could prevent unplanned and closely spaced pregnancies. Many women, however, do not use contraception after birth because they (or their families) are not aware of health risks of short birth intervals; they use LAM incorrectly; or they lack correct information on FP methods or have concerns and misconceptions about contraceptive side effects.

Antenatal and postpartum periods are crucial times for information and counseling about birth spacing and postpartum use of contraception, since most women are in contact with the health care system during those periods. Provision of this type of information, however, often does not take place because MCH and FP services are segregated in the Egyptian health care system.

To address this need, Population Council's Frontiers in Reproductive Health Program (FRONTIERS), with funds from USAID, conducted an operations research study testing feasibility, acceptability, and effectiveness of providing birth spacing and FP messages to low parity women in Assiut and Sohag governorates, which are among the most conservative governorates in Egypt, wherein large families, son preference, and short birth intervals prevail.

The FRONTIERS OR study tested two models of integrating birth spacing messages in antenatal and postpartum care. The first model involved providing birth spacing and FP messages to low parity pregnant and postpartum women during antenatal and postpartum care services, while the second model comprised the same messaging plus an awareness raising IEC component targeting husbands and community leaders. This intervention was implemented in four districts in Assiut and Sohag governorates, with five facilities per district, thus in a total of 20 health facilities and surrounding villages. Additionally, one district per governorate served as a control (i.e. provided standard antenatal and postpartum care).

This first intervention was associated with increased use of postpartum contraception at 10 to 12 months postpartum (48 percent under Model I, 42 percent under Model II, compared to 31 percent in the control group). The intervention was also associated with increased utilization of FP services by low parity women (36 percent increase for Model I, 47 percent increase for Model II, versus three percent increase in control clinics; Abdel-Tawab et al., 2008).

Based those results and requests from senior Assiut and Sohag health officials, Population Council scaled up a modified version of Model II into additional districts in Assiut and Sohag

governorates. Scaling up, implemented in two phases, was implemented by MoHP staff from central office, health directorates, and health districts with technical assistance from Population Council and funds from Extending Service Delivery Project (ESD/USAID). Phase I was implemented between June 2009 and November 2010 and involved four districts in each of the two governorates, with six clinics per district (i.e. a total of 48 clinics). Phase II involved scaling up into remaining districts in Assiut and Sohag (9 and 7 districts respectively) and creating conditions for scaling up to a national level. Phase II activities, which were planned to be implemented between December 2010 and April 2011, however, were delayed due to the revolution in Egypt and subsequent strikes among different occupational groups including health care providers. This report provides an overview of scaling up activities in phases I and II, challenges, lessons, and recommendations for sustainability and scaling up to national level.

OBJECTIVES

The overall objective of this activity was assisting the Government of Egypt in integrating birth spacing and FP messages into antenatal and postpartum services, with ultimate goal of helping Egyptian women achieve healthy birth intervals and avoid unplanned or closely spaced pregnancies.

Specific objectives

- Assisting officials in Assiut and Sohag governorates in introducing the birth spacing intervention package into more districts and health facilities within Sohag and Assiut governorates;
- Building capacity of managers and supervisors and health care providers in Assiut and Sohag governorates in counseling of pregnant and postpartum women on birth spacing, correct LAM use, and postpartum contraception;
- 3. Improving postpartum women's knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding birth spacing, breastfeeding, and postpartum use of contraception, including LAM;
- 4. Mainstreaming birth spacing and postpartum FP messages into antenatal and postpartum care services nationwide.

¹ Although phase I was initially planned to cover a total of 48 clinics, managers and supervisors in those districts trained staff in more facilities, hence the intervention was scaled up to a total of 197 facilities during that phase.

INTERVENTION COMPONENTS

A modified version of the initial intervention was implemented in the governorates of Assiut and Sohag. The modified intervention included:

Birth spacing message protocol

The new intervention targeted all pregnant and postpartum women (as opposed to low parity women) in Assiut and Sohag. Messages included healthy timing and spacing of pregnancy, birth spacing advantages, effective LAM use, postpartum contraception, and time of fertility return after delivery (for breastfeeding and non-breastfeeding mothers), and importance of Day 30 visit to clinics. These messages were delivered to pregnant women during third trimester ANC visits to clinics, to postpartum women during



home visits, and at Day 30 postpartum visits to clinics.

The home visit protocol included a total of four postpartum home visits (day 2 and day 4 by MCH nurse alone, day 7 by nurse and RR together, day 21 by RR alone).² This protocol has one less visit than the one tested in the pilot study (day 1, day 2, day 7, day 14, and day 21): The day

14 visit was dropped as it was found redundant. During PP home visits, nurses check on health of mothers and newborns and looks for warning signs, while RRs provide health education and information on HTSP. In addition, nurses and RRs exchange findings of visits and inform doctors of any warning signs requiring follow up. It is worth mentioning existing MoHP guidelines required more home visits (5 home visits by MCH nurse and one visit by the RR) and did not require coordination between the two providers.



One of the participants at Sohag ToT workshop receiving a certificate from Sohag Health

_

² RR (Raeda Rifya) is an FP outreach worker.

The Day 30 visit component involved comprehensive services to mother and newborn at the first postpartum visit to the clinic.³ Services provided on that visit include physical assessment of mother and newborn, ascertaining newborn immunization status, health education about breastfeeding, nutrition, hygiene, as well as FP services and counseling about HTSP.

Training of trainers (TOT)

FP and MCH managers and supervisors in all Assiut and Sohag districts received ToT on intervention components and implementation monitoring. A total of seven three-day workshops in phases I and II (2 in phase I and 5 in phase II) included a total of 192 participants (65 in phase I and 127 in phase II). Workshop participants included district manager, FP manager, MCH manager, FP nurse supervisor, MCH nurse supervisor, RR supervisor, and IEC officer, while trainers were governorate level managers and supervisors and central office staff trained under the pilot project. Training covered: (a) definition of HTSP and advantages of birth spacing; (b) antenatal care; (c) postpartum home visits; (c) postpartum FP methods; (d) Day 30 postpartum visit; (e) principles of informed and voluntary FP choice; (e) on the job training; and (f) monitoring and supervision. These workshops combined oral presentations, case studies, role plays, and brainstorming exercises. A copy of the workshop training agenda is attached in the Appendix.

Existing training materials developed in the FRONTIERS Birth Spacing intervention were updated and used. Additional updates in phase II used material from the HTSP training manual, HTSP Counseling Pathways (ESD), and PP FP manual (ACCESS FP project). Hand outs for some sessions were updated, as well as case studies, scenarios for role plays, and pre- and post tests.

On the job training (OJT)

The initial pilot project included off-site training for all clinic staff, but it was found cost ineffective due to rapid staff turnover, so was replaced with on the job training (OJT) during scaling up, for enhancing sustainability. District managers and supervisors attending ToT conducted OJT for staff in clinics within their districts. OJT covered the same topics as ToT (i.e. HTSP, advantages of birth spacing, integrating birth spacing messages into antenatal and postpartum care, postpartum FP methods, and principles of informed and voluntary choice in FP). The district team provided clinic staff with service delivery protocols for antenatal postpartum care as well as checklists assisting their counseling of clients during antenatal and postpartum care. In a few districts, the district team chose to bring in staff from several clinics to the district conference room for training. A total of 1,931 providers received OJT in Assiut and Sohag in phase I, and 2,170 in phase II.

³ Traditionally this visit was recommended to take place at six weeks postpartum but as it was noted that some women were already pregnant by that time, hence it was agreed with MOHP officials to move it up to day 30.

IEC materials

IEC materials and job aids from previous Population Council projects were used in scaling up activities, besides new IEC materials specifically designed for the scaling up project. CHL provided Population Council with reprints of materials developed under the pilot project, while material developed specifically for this project was printed by Population Council with ESD/ USAID funds. A copy of each IEC material is attached in the Annexes.

- Birth spacing flier: This flier was developed collaboratively with CHL project in the pilot phase. Key messages highlighted in the flier include healthy birth interval (minimum of three years), criteria for effective LAM use, postpartum methods of contraception, and what to do in case of side-effects. This flier was given to mothers during ANC, postpartum home visits, and to husbands during community seminars.
- LAM Frequently Asked Questions sheet: This sheet had been developed collaboratively by Population Council and CHL project under the FRONTIERS project to assist providers in counseling clients about breastfeeding and LAM use. The FAQ sheet was distributed to all clinics in Assiut and Sohag, with each provider receiving one copy for his/her reference. Reprints for phase I were made by CHL, while those for phase II were by PC.
- Breastfeeding /LAM flier: This flier, which was developed collaboratively by FRONTIERS
 and CHL, provides information to clients on adequate breastfeeding practices and
 correct LAM use. Copies of this flier were given to mothers during ANC and postpartum
 home visits, and to husbands during seminars.
- Day 40 visit wall chart: This job aid was developed by Population Council in the pilot project for reminding clinic staff of services to be offered to mothers and newborns during the day 40 postpartum visit. The chart also helps clients know what services to expect during that visit, and to demand those services. The chart illustrates different providers seeing mothers during the Day 40 visit, and the role of each one. A copy of this wall chart is displayed in the waiting area of all facilities in Assiut and Sohag.
- Postpartum visits manual for nurses and RRs: This manual provides rationale for each postpartum home visit (day 2, 4, 7 and 21) and outlines tasks (including health education messages) of nurses and RRs on each home visit. It was developed in phase II for combating inadequate home visits noted in phase I. Copies were sent to Assiut and Sohag facilities, as well as district and governorate managers and supervisors. Additional copies will be sent to MoHP central office for distributing to priority governorates

in Upper Egypt (Minya, Qena and Beni Suef) and to selected governorates in Lower Egypt (Qalubeya and Dakahleya).

Poster for counseling clients about birth spacing: This poster was developed by Population Council in phase II because, in earlier phases, birth spacing counseling was given as a fixed script to all clients, regardless of their intentions or needs. This poster, based on the HTSP Counseling Pathways manual, is designed to assist nurses in counseling women who pregnant, postpartum, or with a child less than two years, about birth spacing. Copies of this poster



Monitoring visit by MoHP and PC staff to clinic in Assiut

have been sent to all facilities in Assiut and Sohag, while additional copies will be sent to MoHP central office for distributing to other governorates.

Monitoring and supervision

District managers and supervisors monitored scaling up activities by conducting monthly visits to scaling up clinics as well as governorate managers and supervisors, who conducted quarterly visits. Each visit included both a manager or supervisor from MCH and FP who used monitoring checklists specifically designed for this project. PC and MoHP staff (central office) conducted additional visits. On each monitoring visit the team visited three to four facilities, conducting interviews with staff, reviewing log books, conducting home interviews with pregnant or postpartum women, and holding briefing meetings with district and governorate managers and supervisors. In briefing meetings, districts managers and supervisors discussed plans for staff OJT with governorate managers and proposed solutions for overcoming scaling up obstacles within districts. The governorate undersecretary also indicated plans for mobilizing resources for supporting further scaling up within the governorate. A total of 10 monitoring visits was conducted under phases I and II (9 and 1, respectively). Monitoring visits by PC staff were reduced in the scaling up project, for MoHP to take more responsibility for those activities.

Home interviews each month with a sample of pregnant or postpartum women monitored quality of counseling services for women who visited clinics for antenatal care or received postpartum home visits. In addition, those interviews assessed women's knowledge, attitudes,

and practices regarding birth spacing and PP contraceptive use (including LAM). Data collectors were recruited health from Sohag and Assiut directorates, but they were not affiliated with **MCH** departments. 1,440 interviews were conducted in phase I. No interviews were conducted in phase II, for security reasons.

Finally, data on FP service utilization was collected from all 48 clinics participating in phase I, for measuring



Seminar for husbands in Assiut

percent increase in FP service utilization during intervention compared to six months before intervention. No such data was collected in phase II, as we did not expect any change in service utilization, given the phase's short duration and the political circumstances, which affected implementation of scaling up activities.

Seminars for men

In phase I, seminars for men in each scaling up village were assisted by the district IEC officer. In these seminars, clinic doctors talked about birth spacing benefits for mothers, children and families, importance of postpartum contraception, and types of FP methods, including LAM. The religious leader discussed benefits of birth spacing from a religious point of view, as well as men's responsibility for their families' health. The two speakers then answered questions. A total of 48 seminars were held in phase I, with an average of 30 to 40 participants in each one. Security concerns precluded holding seminars in phase II.

Steering committee meetings

A project steering committee of senior MoHP officials and PC staff met every quarter for reviewing project progress and agreeing on possible changes in policies or guidelines as well as a strategy for scaling up to other governorates. The committee was composed of FP and MCH undersecretaries, MCH Director, Principal Investigator (PC), and Project Coordinator (PC), with 10 meetings over the life of the project, eight during phase I and two during phase II. The steering committee met more often during the scaling up project compared to the pilot phase, for setting strategies for institutionalization.

National orientation / dissemination workshop

This workshop in phase II (April 16-18, 2011) introduced officials in other governorates, as well as MoHP central office staff, to the components and intervention encouraged replication intervention in other governorates (workshop agenda is attached). The workshop was attended by 74 participants representing 29 governorates, as well as senior central office staff (MCH



Opening session at dissemination/orientation workshop
April 6-8, 2011

and FP). Participants from Assiut and Sohag presented challenges and lessons from implementing the intervention. At the end of the workshop, MCH and FP directors from each governorate developed a work plan for scaling up within their governorates. Each participant received a folder with copies of power point presentations, reading materials, IEC materials, two CDs with the updated training curriculum (trainee and trainer manuals), as well as manuals for nurses and *RR*s for enabling reprints of those materials within their governorates.

PROJECT RESULTS

This project was successful in scaling up the intervention into a total of eight districts in Assiut and Sohag and created conditions for scaling up in remaining districts within those two governorates, as well as other governorates in Egypt. Table 1 shows quantitative achievements, namely number of staff receiving ToT, OJT, and knowledge acquired among managers, and supervisors, and women in phases I and II. As previously mentioned, the short duration of phase II, combined with political circumstances, did not allow enough time for monitoring implementation of scaling up activities in phase II. According to governorate and district managers, OJT was provided to all staff in 16 scaling up districts; however, we could not ascertain if HTSP messages were actually provided to clients served by those providers.

Table 1: Quantitative achievements (Phase I & II)

		Phase o	one		Phase two)
Indicators	Target	Achiev.	% Achiev.	Target	Achiev.	% Achiev.
# of villages / clinics covered with intervention	48	197	410	346 ⁴	346	100
# of managers / supervisors who received ToT	56	63	112	128	127	100
# of providers who received OJT	288	1,951	677	2,170 ⁵	2,170	100
Percent managers / supervisors who knew about HTSP	100	100	100	100	85	85
Percent managers / supervisors who knew criteria for LAM	100	87	87	100	81	81
Percent of ANC clients who received information on birth spacing	100	89	89	90 ⁶	-	-
Percent of ANC clients who know three criteria for LAM	100	60	60	65	-	-
Percent of PP women who know three criteria for LAM	100	70	70	65	-	-
Percent of postpartum women who received 4 PP home visits	100	38	38	50	-	-
Percent of managers and supervisors in additional four governorates who received HTSP	-	-	-	100 ⁷		
Mainstreaming HTSP into national service delivery guidelines	-	-	-	headqu	or officials in arters appro g/PPFP pro national us	oved birth tocol for
Percent increase in utilization of FP services ⁸	50%		Assiut 46% Sohag 25%	-	-	-

It is noteworthy that, despite political circumstances, implementing OJT activities in phase II proceeded at a faster rate than phase I, as supervisors gained more experience and confidence. Also, publicity given to this intervention through the dissemination/orientation workshop and local media made officials in Assiut and Sohag more committed to implementing the intervention in the best possible manner and demonstrating good results.

.

⁴ This figure pertains to the clinics in the 16 scaling up districts.

⁵ This figure is smaller than the one mentioned in the proposal because the latter assumed that each clinic has 6 providers. The actual number of providers in the 16 scaling up districts is 2,170.

⁶ Home interviews with a random sample of pregnant/postpartum women were not conducted in phase II for security reasons

⁷ MoHP did not receive funds for scaling in four governorates, hence this activity was replaced by a dissemination/orientation workshop for FP&MCH managers from 29 governorates.

⁸ Clinic utilization data were not planned to be collected in phase II due to short duration of that phase.

Our main achievement during this project is securing support and investment by senior MoHP officials at the central level. Through regular meetings and information from field visits, we have increased their interest and engagement. With their support, we were able to address problems at district level (e.g. actions against managers and supervisors not making monitoring visits). The FP undersecretary, who is very supportive of the intervention, presented this project as a "Best Practice" at the Implementing Best Practices workshop organized by the WHO regional office, in June 2010 in Rabat. She also applied for funds from the Government of Egypt and WHO/EMRO for scaling up this intervention in four additional governorates.

We worked with MoHP central office and cooperating agencies (CAs) to introduce changes in service protocols, aiding integration of services. For example, we convinced MoHP officials to move the first PP clinic visit up to Day 30. This way, a woman's baby can be immunized against TB (BCG vaccine) and she can receive PP FP services on the same visit. This also protects non-breastfeeding women from unplanned pregnancy, as some are reported to ovulate as early as fourth week postpartum. We have also requested the Day 30 visit include services for newborns (growth monitoring and immunization) and mother, even if she will not receive FP services. We were also successful in integrating birth spacing messages into the Integrated Standards of Practice (ISoP). We also managed to add RR visits to the PP home visit schedule.

At governorate and district levels, we succeeded in eliciting support and commitment from the majority of managers and supervisors. District managers and supervisors during phase I not only covered OJT costs for providers in 48 clinics (e.g. transportation, staff time), but also many mangers in Assiut and Sohag expanded OJT activities to cover other clinics within their districts. During phase II all clinics in both Assiut and Sohag received OJT using local resources.

SUSTAINABILITY AND SCALING UP

These project activities enhanced sustainability and potential for national scale up:

- The modified intervention package is simple, suitable for resource-poor settings, and can be implemented with existing government resources. It did not add a significant burden on health care providers. It is in keeping with MoHP policies and is based on local and international best practices.
- 2. Postpartum home visits were kept to a minimum to prevent overburdening MCH nurses and *RRs*.

- 3. MCH nurses and RRs were not paid any incentives, nor transportation costs, for home visits ensuring scale up and sustainability. Neither MCH nurses nor RRs received any monetary incentive for conducting these visits. In fact, the initial birth spacing study showed proper training, clear delineation of roles and responsibilities, and adequate supervision ensures nurses and RRs can make the visits.
- 4. ToT was conducted by MoHP staff from central office, as well as health directorates. We concluded training by MoHP staff would ensure sustainability and scale up. Today we have a cadre of qualified trainers in all districts of Assiut and Sohag as well as MoHP central office.
- 5. Senior MoHP staff was involved in the conceptualization as well as implementation of the scaling up process, including monitoring of the intervention. In fact, the entire scaling up activity was implemented by MoHP staff in Assiut and Sohag with supervision and guidance from MoHP central office.
- 6. MoHP is covering some costs of scaling up (e.g. staff time, facilities, vehicles, and other support).
- 7. Partnering with other organizations (e.g. CHL and TAKAMOL) helped mainstream the intervention into national guidelines.
- 8. The dissemination/orientation workshop helped introduce FP and MCH managers in other governorates to the intervention components. Providing copies of the training manual as well as nurse and RR home visit manual enables reprinting those materials based on immediate need.
- 9. Our long-term goal is assisting MoHP central office in integrating intervention components into the pre-service training course offered to newly graduating doctors before they join primary health care clinics.
- 10. We plan to work with the contractor of the upcoming USAID project and MoHP (central office) in scaling up the intervention into the governorates of Minia, Beni Suef and Qena, Qalyubeya and Dakahleya. We are currently coordinating with MoHP central office, ensuring delivery project IEC materials to those governorates.

CHALLENGES

- The main challenge for this project was delay of phase II activity implementation as a result of the Egyptian Revolution and subsequent strikes by health care providers. Of greater concern, however, is revolution's potential effect on future FP/RH activities in Egypt. With the rise of Islamist groups and anti-Mubarak sentiment, FP may be losing priority on the national agenda. Ministry of Family and Population has been abolished, and the National Population Council is now under supervision of the Minister of Health, which, to some extent, reflects reduced interest in population issues. Adopting the HTSP approach in providing FP messages may prove useful, as using a demographic approach and emphasizing negative impact of population growth might be unacceptable.
- Some FP officials were concerned integrating birth spacing messages within MCH services would mean loss of territory for the FP sector, while some MCH officials were concerned this would overburden staff and divert attention from MCH services. Moreover, senior MoHP officials were reluctant to add a line item in clinic log books for newborn assessment and women's physical examination results from Day 30 (regardless of whether receiving an FP method). We reassured both officials that providing birth spacing or FP messages within antenatal or postpartum services would help, and strengthen, both sectors. We also explained that discussing birth spacing during antenatal care would not add more than five minutes to consultations but could help reduce maternal mortality by 30 percent, and infant mortality by 10 percent.
- High turnover among senior officials posed another challenge. Throughout the life of the
 project, the Assiut Undersecretary changed three times, while the FP Undersecretary
 changed once. Involvement of less senior MoHP officials (e.g. FP and MCH directors) in all
 phases enhanced our credibility and helped solicit support of incoming undersecretaries.
- Some MoHP managers and supervisors at governorate level had difficulty changing their mindsets and roles from intervention recipients to active implementers, hence they expected Population Council to conduct frequent monitoring visits and provide training for scaling up to additional sites. We repeatedly emphasized that in the scaling up phase, Population Council only provides TA and that primary responsibility for implementation and scaling up rests with MoHP. Support we received from central office staff helped convey this message.

RECOMMENDATIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED

- This project succeeded in scaling up the intervention into eight districts in Assiut and Sohag, serving more than 70,000 pregnant and postpartum women. One key factor contributing to this project's success was high sense of ownership by MoHP staff at central office, health directorates, and health districts. This sense of ownership was built through regular meetings, continued feedback, and involvement of MoHP officials in planning and implementing all activities. As a result, they mobilized resources and pushed for scaling up in additional clinics within their districts.
- Building partnerships and coalitions is very important for policy change. We partnered with Takamol project and USAID mission to have services for mother and newborn on Day 30 included in updated service delivery guidelines, and we partnered with CHL for producing IEC materials. Besides saving costs, the CHL partnership helped standardize IEC messages in different projects.
- Scaling up an intervention often requires continued adaptation for emerging needs or unique features of each community. Examples of adaptations made in the project include reducing numbers of home visits, developing a home visit manual for nurses and RRs, adding a ToT session on informed and voluntary FP choice. These interventions may need further adaptation if implemented in urban areas without RRs or in governorates like Qena, with a shortage of RRs. Continuous dialog between central and governorate officials help make right adaptations and ensure proper implementation.
- Finally, we need to acknowledge scaling up an intervention to a national level needs enough time and technical support. This project has created conditions for scaling up the intervention into other governorates in Upper and Lower Egypt by involving senior MoHP officials from central office, holding a dissemination/orientation workshop with managers and supervisors from 29 governorates, and making electronic copies of training and IEC materials available. Scaling up into other governorates demands continued follow-up by MoHP staff from central office, along with technical support from local and international organizations assisting adaption and integration of HTSP into different MoHP programs. We also recommend that the upcoming USAID-funded project, in Upper Egypt, includes a component for scaling up this intervention into those governorates.

ANNEXES

to the clinic

Annex 1: Integration of family planning services with maternal and child health services in Assiut and Sohag

Training schedule Training of Trainers, Assuit and Sohag, 2011

Coffee Break Second Coffee Break Third session Day First session 9:00-10:45 10:45-11:00 session 1:00-1:30 1:30-3:30 11:00-1:00 Day 1 Pre test, Health Postpartum Home visits Opening education in remarks antenatal Healthy care timing and spacing of pregnancy Day 2 Providing an Post-partum Informed integrated family choice in FP service during planning Day 30 visit methods

Day	First session	Coffee Break	Second	Coffee Break	Third session
	9:00-10:00	10:00-10:15	session	1:00-1:30	1:30-3:30
			10:15-1:00		
Day 3	Pilot study in		On the job		Working
	Assiut and		training		groups
	Sohag				
			Monitoring		Group
	Sharing		and		presentations
	experience of		supervision		Post test
	pilot districts				Course
					evaluation
					Closing
					remarks

Annex 2: Linking family planning services with maternal and child health to achieve healthy timing and spacing of pregnancy

Antenatal Care Checklist (third trimester)

Governorate:	District:	Health unit:	Pregnancy month:

Serial	Health Service	Done	Not done	Not applicable
1	Hemoglobin test			
2	Urine sugar test			
3	Albumin test			
4	Rh for primiparas or those who have one child			
5	Asking about the medical history			
6	Measuring blood pressure correctly			
7	Measuring weight correctly			
8	Giving Tetanus vaccination / completing			
9	Medical examination (by the doctor)			
10	Health education on danger signs			
11	Health education on nutrition			
12	Health education on the benefits of birth spacing for mother &			
	infant in the 3 rd trimester			
13	Health education on breast feeding in the 3 rd trimester			
14	Health education on three criteria for LAM			
15	Health education on return of fertility after delivery			
16	Advice on how to get prepared for delivery			
17	Postpartum family planning health education			
18	Giving supplements as iron			
19	Recording data in the health card			
20	Confirming the next follow up date and giving IEC materials			
21	Personal treatment of client	Poor	Moderate	Good
22	Source of data			
	 Observation Client / provider interview Review of medical records 			

1.	
2	
۷.	

Supervisor's remarks

Supervisor's name:	Doctor's /nurse name:
Occupation:	Date of visit:

Linking family planning services with maternal and child health to achieve healthy timing and spacing of pregnancy

Postpartum home visit checklist

Govern	orate	ealth unit	Date of Visit			District	
Visit nu	mber	1	2	3	4		
Total nu	umber of visits	received by th	e mother				
Who m	ade the visit?			Number	of visits		
1.	Nurse alone						
2.	Raeda alone						
3.	Nurse and Rae	eda together					

Serial	Performance	Done	Not done	Not Applicable
Mother	Examination:			
1	Measuring Temperature			
2	Measuring blood pressure			
3	Asking about vaginal discharge (amount-color-smell)			
4	Breast examination			
5	Fundus examination (above umbilicus-between umbilicus and symphysis)			
6	Perineal examination (normal-wounds-pus)			
7	Leg veins examination			
Infant E	xamination:			
1	Height-Weight			
2	Umbilicus examination (normal-inflammation)			
3	Neonatal Jaundice examination			
4	Asking about: difficulty in breathing-cyanosis-convulsions			
5	Making sure of the absence of obvious congenital defects			
6	Asking about breast feeding			
Health	Education:			
1	Nutrition education			
2	Education about personal hygiene			
3	Education about physical exercise			
4	Education about HTSP			

5	Education about breast feeding			
5	<u>-</u>			
	Education about Thyroid test			
<u>'</u>	Education about vaccination schedule			
3	Education on time of return of fertility after delivery			
)	Education on the three criteria of LAM			
LO	Family planning counseling			
l1	Using of IEC materials			
.2	Giving supplements: vitamin A			
.3	Letting the woman know the date of the next visit			
L4	Importance of day 30 visit			
L5	Recording data in the mother and the child health card			
ersona	I treatment of client	Poor	Moderate	Good
ource	of data			
1.	Client interview			
2.	Observation			
3.	Medical records			
Supe	ervisor's remarks:			-
Supe	ervisor's name: Nurse/ F	<i>Raeda</i> 's nam	ne:	
	ipation:			

Linking family planning services with maternal and child health to achieve healthy timing and spacing of pregnancy

Day 30 visit checklist

	Governorate:	District:	Health unit:		Date of d	elivery:
	Visit date:					
Sei	rial Performance			Done	Not done	Not applicable
Mo	other's health services:					
1	Medical examination by th	ne doctor				
2	Health education on physi	cal exercise				
3	Health education on birth	spacing				
4	Health education on breas	st feeding				
5	Health education on the tl	nree criteria for LAI	M			
6	Health education on time	of return of fertility	after delivery			
7	Health education on nutri	tion				
Inf	ant's health services:					
1	Height					
2	Weight					
3	Taking the necessary vacci	ination(BCG)				
4	Making sure of the absence	e of obvious conge	nital defects			
Far	mily planning Services:					
1	Family planning counseling	g				
2	Provided family planning r	nethods				
3	Type of method:					
4	Directions on how to use t	he method				
5	Directions on the method'	s side effects				
6	Ensuring date of follow up	visit in case of ob	otaining method			
7	Giving the client IEC mater	rials				
Re	cording data in health card					
Pe	rsonal treatment of client			Poor	Moderate	Good
Soi	urce of data					
	1. Observation					
	2. Client / provider inter					
	3. Review of medical rec	ords				
	Supervisors' remarks:					
	1					
	2					
	Supervisor's name:		Docto	r's /nur	se name	
				. o , mais		
	Occupation:					

Annex 3: Linking family planning services with maternal and child health to achieve healthy timing and spacing of pregnancy

	Home Interview w	vith pregnant won	nen (7-9 months)	
			Seria	ıl#
nterviewee Na	ame:			
lome Address	:			
lealth Unit / C	enter:			
Governorate:	1- Assiut ()	2- Sohag ()		
District:	1- Abo Teeg()	2 – ElBadary ()	3 - ElFateh()	4 - Manfalout ()
	5- El Monshaa())	6- Gerga())	7- Sohag ()	8- Sakolta ()
nterview date	: / /2010			
	ame:			
nterviewer's n				

of Population Council team

Personal Data:

1-	How many months are you pregnant?	months				
2-	How many children do you have?	1-Girl () numb 2-Boy () numb 0-No children			Gir Bo	
3-	What are the three criteria that should be fulfilled for breast feeding (LAM) to prevent		Mentioned	Not mentioned	t k	
	pregnancy?	1- During 6 months from delivery	1	2		
		2- No menses	1	2		
		4- Not giving any external food or fluids except breast milk	1	2		

I. Health Services:

101	How many prenatal care visits did you make?	0- None () times			
	When was your last antenatal care visit?	Date of last visit:			
103	What was done to you on that visit?		Mentioned	Not mentioned	
103		1- Measuring weight	1	2	
	2- Measuring height	1	2		
		3- Measuring blood	1	2	
		pressure			
		4- Hearing baby's pulse	1	2	
		5- Urine analysis	1	2	
		6- Blood analysis	1	2	
		7- Tetanus injection	1	2	
		0- Other mention	1	2	

104	Did they talk with you about birth spacing	1- Yes ()			
	during that visit?	2- No ()—	→ go to Q 106		
	l		mentioned	Not mentioned	
105	What did they say to you?	1- Birth spacing for	1	2	
		3-5 years			
		2- Birth spacing	1	2	
		importance and			
		benefits to mother			
		and infant		_	-
		3- Breastfeeding	1	2	
		criteria as FP method		_	-
		4- Take FP method by	1	2	
		30 th day		_	-
		5- Suitable FP method	1	2	
		for breastfeeding			
		6- Time of return of	1	2	
		fertility after delivery			
100		4 1/			
106	Did they talk with you during the visit about	1- Yes ()			
	warning signs before labor?	2- No ()—	→ go to Q 108	1	
			mentioned	Not mentioned	
107	What warning signs did they mention to you?	1- Bleeding	1	2	1
		2- Abdominal pain	1	2	1
		3- Convulsions	1	2	1
		4- Headache	1	2	1
		5- Blurring of vision	1	2	1
		6- Fever	1	2	
		7- Water from vagina	1	2	1
108	Did they tell you how to get prepared for	1-Yes ()			
	delivery?	2- No ()			
109	Did they tell you about suitable family	1-Yes ()			
	planning methods after delivery?	2- No ()			
			Mentioned	Not	
110	What methods they tell you about?			Mentioned	
110	what methods they ten you about:	1- IUD	1	1	1 🔛
		2- Breast feeding pills	1	1	1
		3- Injections	1	1	1 🔲
		4- Condoms	1	1	1
		5- Breast feeding	1	1	1 🔲
		0- Other mention			1
			1		1

Do you accept to stay for at least 3 years before becoming pregnant again?	1- Yes () go to Q 113 2- No ()			
Would you accept to use a family planning method by the 30 th day?	1- Accept () 2- Not accept ()			
In general what's your opinion about the service you received at the clinic?	1- Good () 2- Average () 3- Not good ()			
Thank her and wish her a safe delivery.				
ewer's comments:				
	before becoming pregnant again? Would you accept to use a family planning method by the 30 th day? In general what's your opinion about the service you received at the clinic?	before becoming pregnant again? 2- No () Would you accept to use a family planning method by the 30 th day? In general what's your opinion about the service you received at the clinic? 1- Good () 2- Average () 3- Not good () Thank her and wish her a safe delivery.		

Annex 4: Linking family planning services with maternal and child health to achieve healthy timing and spacing of pregnancy

Home Interview for postpartum women (1 month after delivery)

		Serial #			
Mother's Name	e:				
Home Address	:				
Health Unit / G	Group name:				
Governerate :	1- Assiut ()	2- Sohag ()			
District:	1- Abo Teeg()	2 – ElBadary ()	3 - ElFateh()	4 - Manfalout ()	
	5- El Monshaa()	6- Gerga())	7- Sohag()	8- Sakolta ()	
Interview date	: / / 2010				
Interviewer's n	ame:				

Data on this questionnaire is confidential and should not be shared with anyone outside the Population Council team

I .Services received:

1	When did you give birth?	day Month			day M
2	Is the baby a boy or a girl?	1-Male 2-Female 3-Twins			
3	Is the baby's health good?	1-Yes () 2-No () 3-Died () Days after delivery			
4	From the day of delivery till now Did any nurse or <i>Raeda</i> visit you?	1-A nurse 2-A Raeda go to Q 7 3-No one visited her go to Q 11			
5	How many times did the nurse visit you?	times			
6	What did the nurse do exactly on those		Mentioned	Not mentior	
	visits?	1-Mother examination	1	2	
		2-Baby examination	1	2	
		3-Instructions on immunization for baby	1	2	
		4-Instruction on the baby's Thyroid test	1	2	
		5-Gave health education on birth spacing and benefits to both mother and child	1	2	
		6-Gave health education on personal hygiene and nutrition	1	2	
		7-Health education on breastfeeding	1	2	
		8- Education on FP methods	1	2	
		9-Health education on three criteria of LAM as FP method	1	2	
		10-Health education on return of fertility after delivery	1	2	
		11-Giving the mother vitamin A capsule	1	2	
		12-Advised the mother to go to health unit by the 30 th day	4	2	
		·	1	2	
		12-1 To check her health and baby's health	1	2	
		12-2 To immunize baby	1	2	
		12-3 To take a suitable FP method	1	2	
		13-Other mention			

7	How many times did the <i>Raeda</i> visit you?		times			
8	And what did she exactly do?			Ment	Not mentio	r
		1-Edu	ication on birth spacing and its	1	2	1 —
		bene	fits to both mother and child			
		2- Ed	ucation on personal hygiene	1	2	
		3- Ed	ucation on breast feeding	1	2	1
		4-Me	ntiond FP methods suitable	1	2	1 🔲
		for b	reastfeeding			
		5-Me	ntioned three criteria for LAM	1	2	1
		as FP	method.			
		6- He	alth education on return of fertility	1	2	
		after	delivery			
			vised mother to go to health unit	1	2	1
		•	th day]
		7-1	. Check her health	1	2	
			and baby's health			4 📖
		7-2	Immunize baby	1	2	
		7-3	3 To take a suitable FP method	1	2]
		8- Otl	her mention			
9	Did the nurse and Raeda visit you				•	
	together?	1-Yes	S () how many times?			
		2-No	()			
10	Did you find the nurse/Raeda's visits	1-Us	eful ()			_
	useful?	2-No	t useful ()			
		3-No	t sure ()			
11	Did you go to health unit/center on 30 th day?		1-Yes ()			
			2-No () — Go to Q 101			
12	Did you feel that the service you took on		1-Good ()			
	the 30 th day was good or not good?		2-Average ()			
			3-Not good ()			
(In ca	ase the baby died before the 30^{th} day, go to Q 2	101)				
13	Has the baby taken any vaccines		1-Yes ()			
	since its birth?		2-No ()			
14	Was the sample for thyroid test taken					
	from the baby?			1	2	

II. Birth spacing:

101	How long are you planning to wait before you get pregnant again?	monthS				7
102	Does/would your husband agree on this interval?	1-Agree 2-Disagree 3-Not sure / I don't know				
103	Did you use any family planning methods since delivery?	1-Yes () 2-No () go to Q106				
104	How long after delivery did you start using a family planning method?	day month		Day	י [Month
105	What did you use?	1-Clean breast feeding 2-IUD 3-Breast feeding pills 4-Other mention	go to Q 1	.06		
106	What are the conditions necessary for breast feeding to protect against pregnancy?		Mentio ned	Not mentio ned		
		1-First 6 month after delivery	1	2		7
		2-No menses	1	2		-
		3-Day and night breast feeding	1	2		-
		4-Not to use any external food or fluids	1	2	 	
		5-Doesn't know	1	2		
		0-Other mention				
		Thank you.	Thank you.			

Data collector's comments	П
	. LJ - M
	_
	- □

Annex 5: Personal evaluation checklist list for monitoring of family planning compliance

Governorate:	Name of Health Clinic:
District:	Date:
Supervisor:	Name of doctor/nurse:

Mark selected answer:

No.	Statements to be answered	Yes	No
1.	Presence of target or quota for FP clients that should be achieved		
	each month		
2.	The presence of financial incentive for service provider to encourage		
	FP clients to use a specific FP method		
3.	The presence of financial incentive for FP clients to encourage them		
	to use a specific FP method		
4.	Depriving the client from receiving health services for not accepting a		
	specific family planning method		
5.	The health clinic has a poster on different choices of FP methods		
6.	The nurse acquainted the client with all the FP methods available		
7.	The nurse informed the client about FP methods side effects and how		
	to deal with them		
8.	The service provider imposed/convinced the client of using a		
	particular FP method		
9.	All FP methods are available at the health clinic		

Annex 6: Integration of family planning services into maternal and child health services to achieve healthy timing and spacing of pregnancies

Workshop schedule

April 16-18, 2011

Air Defense House

Day 1: Saturday, 16th of April, 2011

9:00 - 9:30		Registration
9:30 – 10:00		Opening ceremony
10:00 – 11:30		Healthy timing and spacing of pregnancy
		Discussion
11:30-11:45		Coffee break
11:45 – 1:00		Scaling up of integration of family planning services with maternal and child health services to achieve healthy timing and spacing of pregnancies: sharing the experience of Assiut and Sohag
1:00 – 1:15		Coffee break
1:15 – 3:15		Health education in antenatal care visits
		Lessons learned from Assiut and Sohag
3:30	Lunch	

Day 2: Sunday, 17th of April, 2011

9:00 – 11:00	Postpartum Home visits
	Lessons learned from Assiut and Sohag
	Discussion
11:00-11:15	Coffee break
11:15 – 1:15	Providing integrated services at Day 30 visit to the clinic
	Lessons learned from Assiut and Sohag

Discussion

1:15– 1:30 Coffee break

1:30 – 3:30 Post-partum contraception

3:30 Lunch

Day 3: Monday 18th of April, 2011

9:00 – 10:30 Informed choice in family planning

10:30 - 10:45 Introduction to working groups

10:45 – 11:00 Coffee break

11:00– 1:45 Working groups to develop On the job training & Monitoring and

supervision plans

Group presentations

1:45 – 2:00 Closing remarks

2:00 Lunch

Annex 7:

Birth spacing flier



LAM Frequently Asked Questions sheet



• Breastfeeding / LAM flier



• Day 40 visit wall chart

1 Population Council

USAID من الشعب الأمريك

Postpartum visits manual for nurses and RRs



• Poster for counseling clients about birth spacing

