brought to you by

球面内の完備な部分多様体について On complete minimal submanifolds in a sphere

数学専攻 鈴木 翔吾 Shogo Suzuki

Let $S^{n+p}(c)$ be an (n+p)-dimensional Euclidean sphere of constant curvature cand M an n-dimensional minimal submanifold isometrically immersed in $S^{n+p}(c)$. We denote by A_{ξ} the Weingarten endomorphism associated a normal vector field ξ and T the tensor defined by $T(\xi, \eta) = \text{trace} A_{\xi} A_{\eta}$.

Yuan and Matsuyama [13] proved the following: Let M be an n-dimensional compact minimal submanifold isometrically immersed in $S^{n+p}(c)$. Let σ and ψ are the second fundamental form of M in $S^{n+p}(c)$ and the immersion respectively. Then

$$|\sigma|^2 \leq \frac{np(n+2)}{2(n+p+2)}c$$
 and $T = k\langle , \rangle$

if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(A) $|\sigma|^2 \equiv 0$ and M is totally geodesic.

(B) $|\sigma|^2 = \frac{np(n+2)}{2(n+p+2)}c$ and *M* is isotropic and has parallel second fundamental form.

Hence if ψ is full, then ψ is one of the following standard ones: $S^n(c) \rightarrow S^n(c)$; $PR^2(\frac{1}{3}c) \rightarrow S^4(c)$; $S^2(\frac{1}{3}c) \rightarrow S^4(c)$; $CP^2(c) \rightarrow S^7(c)$; $QP^2(\frac{3}{4}c) \rightarrow S^{13}(c)$; $CP^2(\frac{4}{3}c) \rightarrow S^{25}(c)$.

Moreover, they obtain the rescult of the case of M being complete: Let M be an *n*-dimensional complete minimal submanifold isometrically immersed in $S^{n+p}(c)$. Then

$$|\sigma|^2 \le \frac{np(n+2)}{2(n+p+2)}c$$
 and $T = k\langle , \rangle.$

Then if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(A) $|\sigma|^2 \equiv 0$ and M is totally geodesic.

(B) $|\sigma|^2 = \frac{np(n+2)}{2(n+p+2)}c$ and *M* is isotropic and has parallel second fundamental form.

Rerated to these results, Li and Li[2] obtained without assumption of $T = k \langle , \rangle$, the following: Let $A_1, A_2, ..., A_p$ be symmetric $(n \times n)$ -matrices $(p \ge 2)$. Denote $S_{\alpha\beta} = \operatorname{trace} {}^t\!A_{\alpha}A_{\beta}, S_{\alpha} = S_{\alpha\alpha} = N(A_{\alpha}), S = S_1 + \cdots + S_p$. Then we have

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} N(A_{\alpha}A_{\beta} - A_{\beta}A_{\alpha}) + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} S_{\alpha\beta}^2 \le \frac{3}{2}S^2,$$

and the equality holds if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- 1) $A_1 = A_2 = \dots = A_p = 0$,
- 2) only two of the matrices $A_1, A_2, ..., A_p$ are different from zero. Moreover, assuming $A_1 \neq 0, A_2 \neq 0, A_3 = ... = A_p = 0$, then $S_1 = S_2$, and there exists an orthogonal $(n \times n)$ -matrix T such that

$${}^{t}TA_{1}T = \sqrt{\frac{S_{1}}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & | & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$${}^{t}TA_{2}T = \sqrt{\frac{S_{1}}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & | & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the result, they proved the following: Let M be an n-dimensional compact minimal submanifold in S^{n+p} , $p \ge 2$. If $|\sigma|^2 \le \frac{2}{3}n$ everywhere on M, then M is either a totaly geodesic submanifold or a Velonese surface in S^4 .

Now let $v \in UM_x$, $x \in M$. If $e_2, ..., e_n$ are orthonormal vectors in UM_x orthogonal to v, then we can consider $\{e_2, ..., e_n\}$ as an orthonormal basis of $T_v(UM_x)$. We remark that $\{v = e_1, e_2, ..., e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of T_xM . If we denote the Laplacian of $UM_x \cong S^{n-1}$ by Δ , then $\Delta f = e_2e_2f + \cdots + e_ne_nf$, where f is a differentiable function on UM_x .

Define functions $f_1(v), f_2(v), \dots, f_{16}(v)$ on $UM_x, x \in M$, by

$$\begin{split} f_{1}(v) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle A_{\sigma(v,e_{i})}v, A_{\sigma(v,v)}e_{i} \rangle & f_{9}(v) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle A_{\sigma(e_{j},v)}e_{i}, A_{\sigma(e_{j},v)}e_{i} \rangle, \\ f_{2}(v) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle A_{\sigma(e_{j},e_{i})}e_{j}, A_{\sigma(v,v)}e_{i} \rangle, & f_{10}(v) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle A_{\sigma(v,e_{i})}e_{i}, v \rangle, \\ f_{3}(v) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle A_{\sigma(v,v)}v, A_{\sigma(v,e_{i})}e_{i} \rangle, & f_{11}(v) &= |A_{\sigma(v,v)}v|^{2}. \\ f_{4}(v) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle A_{\sigma(e_{j},e_{i})}e_{j}, A_{\sigma(v,e_{i})}v \rangle, & f_{12}(v) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle A_{\sigma(v,e_{i})}v, A_{\sigma(v,e_{i})}v \rangle \\ f_{5}(v) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle A_{\sigma(e_{i},v)}e_{i}, A_{\sigma(v,e_{j})}e_{j} \rangle, & f_{13}(v) &= |\sigma(v,v)|^{4} \\ f_{6}(v) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle A_{\sigma(v,v)}e_{i}, A_{\sigma(v,v)}e_{i} \rangle, & f_{14}(v) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle A_{\sigma(v,e_{i})}e_{i}, v \rangle |\sigma(v,v)|^{2} \\ f_{7}(v) &= |\sigma(v,v)|^{2}, & f_{15}(v) &= (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle A_{\sigma(v,e_{i})}e_{i}, v \rangle)^{2} \\ f_{8}(v) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle A_{\sigma(v,e_{i})}e_{j}, A_{\sigma(e_{j},v)}e_{i} \rangle, & f_{16}(v) &= |\sigma|^{2} |\sigma(v,v)|^{2}, \end{split}$$

The following generalized maximum principle due to Omori [11] and Yau [18] will be used in order to prove our theorem.

Generalized Maximum Principle. (Omori [11] and Yau [18]) Let M^n be a complete Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature is bounded from below and $f \in C^2(M)$ a function bounded from above on M^n . Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a point $p \in M^n$ such that

$$f(p) \ge \sup f - \epsilon, \qquad ||\text{grad } f|| < \epsilon, \qquad \Delta f(p) < \epsilon.$$

We have the following (See [7] and [8])

Lemma. Let M be an n-dimensional minimal submanifold isometrically immersed in $S^{n+p}(c)$. Then for $v \in UM_x$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla^{2} f_{7})(e_{i}, e_{i}, v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |(\nabla \sigma)(e_{i}, v, v)|^{2} + nc|\sigma(v, v)|^{2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle A_{\sigma(v,v)}e_{i}, A_{\sigma(e_{i},v)}v \rangle - 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle A_{\sigma(v,e_{i})}e_{i}, A_{\sigma(v,v)}v \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle A_{\sigma(v,v)}e_{i}, A_{\sigma(v,v)}e_{i} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |(\nabla \sigma)(e_{i}, v, v)|^{2} + nf_{7}(v) + 2f_{1}(v) - 2f_{3}(v) - f_{6}(v)$$

Using this Lemma and the result [2], we obtained: **Theorem 1.** Let M be an ndimensional complete minimal submanifold in S^{n+p} , $p \ge 2$. If $|\sigma|^2 \le \frac{2}{3}n$ everywhere on M, then M is isotropic and either a totally geodesic submanifold or a Veronese surface in S^4

On the other hand, in Yuan and Matsuyama [13], we assume codimension = 2 and

trace
$$A_{\alpha}^2 \leq \frac{n(n+2)}{2(n+4)}c$$
 for $\forall \alpha$

every where on M, we obtained:

Theorem 2. Let M be an n-dimensional complete minimal submanifold in S^{n+2} . If $\operatorname{trace} A^2_{\alpha} \leq \frac{n(n+2)}{2(n+4)}c$ for $\forall \alpha$, then M is isotropic and either a totally geodesic submanifold or isotropic and has parallel second fundamental form. Especially, $n = 2 \Rightarrow S^2(\frac{1}{3}c) \to S^4(c)$ and $n = 5 \Rightarrow S^5 \to S^7(c)$.

References

- S. S. Chern, M. do Carmo, and S. Kobayashi, *Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length*, Functional Analysis and Related Fields (1970), 59-75.
- [2] A. M. Li and J. M. Li, An intrinsic rigidity theorem for minimal submanifolds in a sphere, Arch. Math., 58(1992), 582-594.
- [3] Y. Matsuyama, On some pinchings of minimal submanifolds, Geometry and its applications, edited by Tadashi Nagano et al., World scie ntific, Singapore (1993), 121-134.
- [4] Y. Matsuyama, On submanifolds of a sphere with bounded second fundamental form, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 32 (1995), No. 1, pp. 103-113.
- [5] Y. Matsuyama, Curvature pinching for totally real submanifolds of a complex projective space, J. Math. Soc. Japana Vol. 52, No. 1, 2000.
- [6] S. Montiel, A. Ros and F. Urbano, Curvature pinching and eigenvalue rigidity for minimal submanifolds, Math. Z. 191 (1986), 537-548.
- [7] H. Omori, Isometric immersions of Riemannian manifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 19(1967), 205-214.
- [8] K. Sakamoto, Planar geodesic immersions, Tohoku Math. J. 29 (1977), 25-56.
- [9] Y. Uchida and Y. Matsuyama, Submanifolds with nonzero mean curvature in a euclidean sphere, I. J. Pure and Appl. Math., **29**(2006), 119-130.
- [10] C. Xia, On the minimal submanifolds in $CP^{m}(c)$ and $S^{N}(1)$, Kodai Math. J. 15 (1992), 143-153.
- [11] S. T. Yau, Submanifolds with constant mean curvature, Amer. J. Math., 96(1974), 346-366.
- [12] S. T. Yau, Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math, 28(1975), 201-228.
- [13] L. Yuan and Y. Matsuyama, Curvature pinching for a minimal submanifolds of a sphere, J. Adv. Math. Stud. Vol. 7(2014), No. 1, 45-55.