

## ON THE CONTINUOUS AND THE COMPACT PSEUDO-OPEN MAPPINGS

Tamezo MASUDA and Yoshitomo MATSUO

(Received October 20, 1981)

### §1. Introduction.

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous mapping onto  $Y$ . If  $\mathcal{D}$  is a discrete collection of  $Y$ , then  $\{f^{-1}(d) : d \in \mathcal{D}\}$  is the discrete collection of  $X$ . (Theorem 1) As a mutually exclusive open collection of a separable space is a countable one, a normal space that can be expressed as a continuous image of a separable collectionwise normal space is the collectionwise normal space. (Theorem 2) Ernest, Michael [3] has proved that each open covering  $G$  of a pointwise paracompact collectionwise normal space  $X$  has a locally finite open covering of  $X$  which refines  $G$ . With this results a pointwise paracompact normal space that can be expressed as a compact pseudo-open image of a separable collectionwise normal  $T_1$  space is a paracompact space. (Theorem 3) A regular screenable space that can be expressed as a continuous image of a separable space is the pointwise paracompact normal space. (Theorem 4) A pointwise paracompact normal screenable  $T_1$  space is paracompact. [4] With this results a normal screenable space that can be expressed as a compact pseudo-open image of a Hausdorff separable space is a paracompact space.

### §2. Definition

1. A mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, if the inverse of each open set is open.
2. A continuous mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be pseudo-open, if for any point  $y$  and any neighbourhood  $U$  of its pre-image  $f^{-1}(y)$ ,  $\text{Int } f(U)$  (the interior of  $f(U)$ ) contains  $y$ .
3. A mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be compact, if for any point  $y$ ,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact.
4. A collection  $D$  of  $X$  is said to be discrete, if for any subcollection  $D_1$  of  $D$ ,  $\{\bar{d} : d \in D_1\}$  is a mutually exclusive collection and  $\cup\{\bar{d} : d \in D_1\}$  is closed.
5. A space  $X$  is said to be pointwise paracompact, if each open covering  $G$  of  $X$  has a point finite open covering of  $X$  which refines  $G$ .

6. A space  $X$  is said to be screenable, if for any open covering  $H$  of  $X$ , there exists a countable mutually exclusive open collections  $H_1, H_2, \dots$ , such that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n$  refines  $H$  and covers  $X$ .
7. The open covering  $G_1, G_2, \dots$ , of  $X$  are said to be developments of  $X$ , if for any integer  $n$ ,  $G_n \supset G_{n+1}$  and for any point  $p$  and any neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$ , there exists an integer  $m$  such as  $G_m(p) \subset U$ . This space  $X$  is said to be a developable space.
8. A space  $X$  is said to be collectionwise normal, if for any discrete collection  $\{d_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ , there exists a mutually exclusive open collection  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  such that for any  $\alpha$  of  $\Lambda$ ,  $d_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ .
9. A space  $X$  is said to be  $F_G$ -screenable, if for any open covering  $G$ , there exists a countable discrete collections  $D_1, D_2, \dots$ , such that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n$  covers  $X$  and  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\bar{d} : d \in D_n\} < G$ .
10. Let  $X$  be a developable space. A compact pseudo-open mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be a developable mapping (metrizable mapping), if for any point  $y$  of  $Y$  and any open set  $V$  containing  $y$ , there exists an integer  $n$  such that if  $p$  is a point of  $Y$  and  $G_n(f^{-1}(p)) \cap f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\text{Int } f(G_n(f^{-1}(p))) \subset V$  ( $f(G_n(f^{-1}(p))) \subset V$ ).

### §3. Theorems

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous mapping onto  $Y$ . If  $\{d_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$  is a discrete collection of  $Y$ , then  $\{f^{-1}(d_i)\}_{i \in \Lambda}$  is a discrete collection of  $X$ .

**PROOF.** As  $f$  is continuous,  $f^{-1}(\bar{d}_i)$  is a closed set. Hence

$f^{-1}(d_i) \subset f^{-1}(\bar{d}_i)$ . For two members  $d_i, d_j$  of  $\{d_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ ,  $\bar{d}_i \cap \bar{d}_j = \emptyset$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(\bar{d}_i) \cap f^{-1}(\bar{d}_j) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(d_i) \cap f^{-1}(d_j) = \emptyset$ . Suppose

$\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} \{f^{-1}(d_i)\}$  ( $\Lambda \subset \Lambda$ ) is not closed set. There exists a point  $x \in X$  such

that  $x \notin \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} \{f^{-1}(d_i)\}$  and each open set  $U$  containing  $x$  intersects

$\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} \{f^{-1}(d_i)\}$ . Let  $f(x) = y$ . If  $y \in \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} \{\bar{d}_i\}$ , there exists an open set  $U$

containing  $y$  and  $U \cap (\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} \{\bar{d}_i\}) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(U)$  containing  $x$  does not

intersect  $\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} \{f^{-1}(d_i)\}$ . If  $y \in \bar{d}_j$  ( $j \in \Lambda$ ), there exists an open set  $V$  such that  $y \in V$  and  $V \cap (\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda - j} \{\bar{d}_i\}) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(V)$  containing  $x$  does

## CONTINUOUS, COMPACT PSEUDO-OPEN MAPPINGS

not intersect  $\cup_{i \in \Lambda} \overline{f^{-1}(d_i)}$ . Let  $W = X \setminus \overline{f^{-1}(d_j)}$ .  $f^{-1}(V) \cap W$  is an open set containing  $x$  and does not intersect  $\cup_{i \in \Lambda} \overline{f^{-1}(d_i)}$ . By this contradiction,  $\cup_{i \in \Lambda} \overline{f^{-1}(d_i)}$  is a closed set. Hence  $\{f^{-1}(d_i)\}_{i \in \Lambda}$  is a discrete collection of  $X$ . ■

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous mapping from  $X$  onto normal space  $Y$ . If  $X$  is a collectionwise normal separable space, then  $Y$  is a collectionwise normal separable space.*

**PROOF.** It is obvious that  $Y$  is separable.

Let  $\{d_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$  is a discrete collection of  $Y$ . By theorem 1,  $\{f^{-1}(d_i)\}_{i \in \Lambda}$  is a discrete collection of  $X$ . As  $X$  is a collectionwise normal space, there exists a mutually exclusive open collection  $\{U_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$  such that for each  $i \in \Lambda$ ,  $f^{-1}(d_i) \subset U_i$ . As  $X$  is separable,  $\{U_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$  is a countable collection. Hence,  $\{d_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$  is a countable collection. Then  $\{\overline{d_i}\}_{i \in \Lambda}$  is a countable closed discrete collection. As  $Y$  is a normal space, there exists mutually exclusive open collection  $\{V_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$  such that for each  $i \in \Lambda$ ,  $d_i \subset \overline{d_i} \subset V_i$ . Hence  $Y$  is a collectionwise normal separable space. ■

Every point-finite open covering  $G$  of a collectionwise normal space  $S$  has a locally finite open covering of  $S$  which refines  $G$ . 2

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a compact pseudo-open mapping onto a pointwise paracompact normal space  $Y$ . If  $X$  is a collectionwise normal separable  $T_1$  space, then  $Y$  is a paracompact separable space.*

**PROOF.** Let  $p$  and  $q$  are points of  $Y$ . As  $X$  is a Hausdorff space and  $f^{-1}(p)$  and  $f^{-1}(q)$  are compact sets,  $f^{-1}(p)$  and  $f^{-1}(q)$  are closed sets and  $f^{-1}(p) \cap f^{-1}(q) = \emptyset$ . Let  $U = X \setminus f^{-1}(q)$ . Then  $p \in \text{Int } f(U)$  and  $q \notin \text{Int } f(U)$ . Hence  $Y$  is a  $T_1$  space. By theorem 2,  $Y$  is a collectionwise normal separable space.

Hence  $Y$  is a pointwise paracompact collectionwise normal separable  $T_1$  space. Hence  $Y$  is a paracompact separable space. ■

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous mapping onto a regular screenable space  $Y$ . If  $X$  is a separable space, then  $Y$  is a pointwise paracompact normal screenable space.*

PROOF. Let  $G$  is an open covering of  $Y$ . As  $Y$  is a regular space, for each point  $y$  of  $Y$  there exists an open set  $U_y$  containing  $y$  and there is an open set  $V$  of  $G$  and  $\overline{U_y} \subset V$ . Let  $H = \{U_y\}_{y \in Y}$ .

As  $Y$  is a screenable space there exist mutually exclusive open collections  $K_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots$ ) each of which refines  $H$  and  $\sum_{i \in N} K_i$  covers  $Y$ . For each integer  $i$  and each  $k_1 \in K_i$ ,  $k_2 \in K_i$ ,  $f^{-1}(k_1) \cap f^{-1}(k_2) = \phi$ . As  $X$  is a separable space, for each integer  $i$   $\{f^{-1}(k) : k \in K_i\}$  is a countable open collection. Hence  $\sum_{i \in N} K_i$  is a countable open collection which covers  $Y$ .  $Y$  is a regular space and each open covering  $G$  of  $Y$  has a countable open covering of  $Y$  which refines  $G$ . Hence  $Y$  is a normal space.

Let  $\sum_{i \in N} K_i = \{W_1, W_2, \dots\}$ . For each  $W_i$ , there is an open set  $G_i \in G$  such as  $\overline{W_i} \in G_i$ .

Let  $M_1 = G_1$ ,  $M_i = G_i \sim \cup_{j=1}^{i-1} \overline{W_j}$  ( $2 \leq i$ ).  $\{M_i\}_{i \in N}$  is an open covering of  $Y$  and each point  $p$  of  $Y$  is contained only finite member of  $\{M_i\}_{i \in N}$ . Hence  $Y$  is a pointwise paracompact normal screenable space. ■

A space  $X$  is a paracompact space if and only if  $X$  is a pointwise paracompact normal screenable  $T_1$  space. [3]

THEOREM 5. Let  $X \rightarrow Y$  be a compact pseudo-open mapping onto a regular screenable space  $Y$ . If  $X$  is a Hausdorff separable space, then  $Y$  is a paracompact space.

PROOF. By theorem 3,  $Y$  is a  $T_1$  space. By theorem 4,  $Y$  is a normal pointwise paracompact space. Hence  $Y$  is a normal screenable pointwise paracompact  $T_1$  space. By [3],  $Y$  is a paracompact space. ■

Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff developable space and  $Y$  is a Topological space. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a developable mapping onto  $Y$ , then  $Y$  is a developable  $T_1$  space.

[4] If  $f$  is a metrizable mapping onto  $Y$ , then  $Y$  is metrizable. [4]

THEOREM 6. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a developable mapping onto a regular screenable space  $Y$ . If  $X$  is a Hausdorff separable developable space, then  $Y$  is metrizable.

## CONTINUOUS, COMPACT PSEUDO-OPEN MAPPINGS

PROOF. By theorem [4],  $Y$  is a developable  $T_1$  space. By theorem 4,  $Y$  is a normal space. Hence  $Y$  is a normal screenable developable  $T_1$  space. Then  $Y$  is metrizable. [1] ■

COROLLARY 1. *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a compact pseudo-open mapping onto a normal  $F_\sigma$ -screenable space  $Y$ . If  $X$  is a separable collectionwise normal  $T_1$  space, then  $Y$  is a paracompact space.*

COROLLARY 2. *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous mapping onto a normal Moore space  $Y$ . If  $X$  is a separable collectionwise normal space, then  $Y$  is metrizable.*

COROLLARY 3. *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous mapping onto a screenable Moore space  $Y$ . If  $X$  is a separable space, then  $Y$  is metrizable.*

COROLLARY 4. *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a developable mapping onto a screenable space  $Y$ . If  $X$  is a separable Hausdorff developable space, then  $Y$  is metrizable.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. H. Bing: Metrization of topological space  $S$ . Can. J. Math. 3(1951). 175-186.
- [2] Ernest Michael: Point-finite and locally finite covering  $S$ . Can. J. Math. 7(1955). 275-279.
- [3] T. Masuda: On the paracompactness of pointwise paracompact, normal and screenable spaces. Mathematics Science University of Tokyo. 17 vol. (1975) 1-3.
- [4] T. Masuda and Y. Matsuo: On the mappings from the developable spaces onto some spaces. To appear.

SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO