

Differential subordinations and superordinations for a comprehensive class of analytic functions

N. Magesh and G. Murugusundaramoorthy

(Received April 5, 2008; Revised June 12, 2008)

Abstract. In the present investigation, we obtain some subordination and superordination results involving Hadamard product for certain normalized analytic functions in the open unit disk. Our results extend corresponding previously known results.

AMS 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45; 30C80.

Key words and phrases. Univalent functions, starlike functions, convex functions, differential subordination, differential superordination, Hadamard product (convolution), Dziok-Srivastava linear operator.

§1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be the class of analytic functions in $U := \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathcal{H}(a, n)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots .$$

Let \mathcal{A} be the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n .$$

Let $p, h \in \mathcal{H}$ and let $\phi(r, s, t; z) : \mathbb{C}^3 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If p and $\phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z)$ are univalent and if p satisfies the second order superordination

$$(1.1) \quad h(z) \prec \phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z),$$

then p is a solution of the differential superordination (1.1). (If f is subordinate to F , then F is superordinate to f .) An analytic function q is called a

subordinant if $q \prec p$ for all p satisfying (1.1). A univalent subordinant \tilde{q} that satisfies $q \prec \tilde{q}$ for all subordinants q of (1.1) is said to be the best subordinant. Recently Miller and Mocanu[12] obtained conditions on h , q and ϕ for which the following implication holds:

$$h(z) \prec \phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z); z) \Rightarrow q(z) \prec p(z).$$

For two functions $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$, the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n =: (g * f)(z).$$

For $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, l$) and $\beta_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$), the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_lF_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z)$ is defined by the infinite series

$${}_lF_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n \dots (\alpha_l)_n z^n}{(\beta_1)_n \dots (\beta_m)_n n!}$$

$$(l \leq m + 1; l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}),$$

where $(a)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(a)_n := \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} = \begin{cases} 1, & (n=0); \\ a(a+1)(a+2)\dots(a+n-1), & (n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}). \end{cases}$$

Corresponding to the function

$$h(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z) := z {}_lF_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z),$$

the Dziok-Srivastava operator [6] (see also [7, 20]) $H_m^l(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$ is defined by the Hadamard product

$$\begin{aligned} H_m^l(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)f(z) &:= h(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z) * f(z) \\ (1.2) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_l)_{n-1} a_n z^n}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_m)_{n-1} (n-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

For brevity, we write

$$H_m^l[\alpha_1]f(z) := H_m^l(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)f(z).$$

It is easy to verify from (1.2) that

$$(1.3) \qquad z(H_m^l[\alpha_1]f(z))' = \alpha_1 H_m^l[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z) - (\alpha_1 - 1)H_m^l[\alpha_1]f(z).$$

Special cases of the Dziok-Srivastava linear operator includes the Hohlov linear operator [8], the Carlson-Shaffer linear operator $L(a, c)$ [5], the Ruscheweyh derivative operator D^n [18], the generalized Bernardi-Libera-Livingston linear integral operator (*cf.* [2], [9], [10]) and the Srivastava-Owa fractional derivative operators (*cf.* [16], [17]).

Using the results of Miller and Mocanu [12], Bulboacă [4] considered certain classes of first order differential subordinations as well as superordination-preserving integral operators (see [3]). Recently many authors [1, 13, 14, 19] have used the results of Bulboacă [4] and shown some sufficient conditions applying first order differential subordinations and superordinations.

The main object of the present paper is to find sufficient condition for certain normalized analytic functions $f(z)$ in U such that $(f * \Psi)(z) \neq 0$ and f to satisfy

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{(f * \Phi)(z)}{(f * \Psi)(z)} \prec q_2(z),$$

where q_1, q_2 are given univalent functions in U and $\Phi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n z^n$, $\Psi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n z^n$ are analytic functions in U with $\lambda_n \geq 0, \mu_n \geq 0$ and $\lambda_n \geq \mu_n$. Further the results are extended to Dziok-Srivastava linear operator. Also we obtain number of known results as special cases.

§2. Subordination and Superordination Results

For our present investigation, we shall need the following:

Definition 2.1. [12] Denote by Q , the set of all functions f that are analytic and injective on $\bar{U} - E(f)$, where

$$E(f) = \{\zeta \in \partial U : \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z) = \infty\}$$

and are such that $f'(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial U - E(f)$.

Lemma 2.2. [11] Let q be univalent in the unit disk U and θ and ϕ be analytic in a domain D containing $q(U)$ with $\phi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(U)$. Set

$$\psi(z) := zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) \quad \text{and} \quad h(z) := \theta(q(z)) + \psi(z).$$

Suppose that

1. $\psi(z)$ is starlike univalent in U and
2. $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zh'(z)}{\psi(z)} \right\} > 0$ for $z \in U$.

If p is analytic with $p(0) = q(0)$, $p(U) \subseteq D$ and

$$(2.1) \quad \theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)) \prec \theta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z)),$$

then

$$p(z) \prec q(z)$$

and q is the best dominant.

Lemma 2.3. [4] *Let q be convex univalent in the unit disk U and ϑ and φ be analytic in a domain D containing $q(U)$. Suppose that*

1. $\operatorname{Re}\{\vartheta'(q(z))/\varphi(q(z))\} > 0$ for $z \in U$ and
2. $\psi(z) = zq'(z)\varphi(q(z))$ is starlike univalent in U .

If $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, with $p(U) \subseteq D$, and $\vartheta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\varphi(p(z))$ is univalent in U and

$$(2.2) \quad \vartheta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)) \prec \vartheta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\varphi(p(z)),$$

then $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and q is the best subdominant.

Using Lemma 2.2, we first prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$, $\gamma \neq 0$ and α, β be the complex numbers and $q(z)$ be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$. Further assume that*

$$(2.3) \quad \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\beta q(z)}{\gamma} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} + \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right)\right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U).$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad \Upsilon_1(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \prec \alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)},$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \Upsilon_1(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) := & \alpha + \beta \frac{(f * \Phi)(z)}{(f * \Psi)(z)} \\ & + \gamma \left[\frac{z(f * \Phi)'(z)}{(f * \Phi)(z)} - \frac{z(f * \Psi)'(z)}{(f * \Psi)(z)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$(f * \Phi)(z) \neq 0$ and $(f * \Psi)(z) \neq 0$, then

$$\frac{(f * \Phi)(z)}{(f * \Psi)(z)} \prec q(z)$$

and q is the best dominant.

Proof. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$(2.6) \quad p(z) := \frac{(f * \Phi)(z)}{(f * \Psi)(z)} \quad (z \in U).$$

Then the function $p(z)$ is analytic in U and $p(0) = 1$. Therefore, by making use of (2.6), we obtain

$$\alpha + \beta \frac{(f * \Phi)(z)}{(f * \Psi)(z)} + \gamma \left[\frac{z(f * \Phi)'(z)}{(f * \Phi)(z)} - \frac{z(f * \Psi)'(z)}{(f * \Psi)(z)} \right] = \alpha + \beta p(z) + \gamma \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}.$$

By using (2.7) in (2.4), we have

$$(2.7) \quad \alpha + \beta p(z) + \gamma \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \prec \alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}.$$

By setting

$$\theta(w) := \alpha + \beta w \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(w) := \frac{\gamma}{w},$$

it can be easily observed that $\theta(w)$ and $\phi(w)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ and that $\phi(w) \neq 0$. Hence the result now follows by an application of Lemma 2.2. \square

Taking $p(z) = \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1+1](f*\Phi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f*\Psi)(z)}$ and $q(z) = \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f*\Phi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1+1](f*\Psi)(z)}$ respectively we obtain the following two theorems.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$, $\gamma \neq 0$ and α, β be the complex numbers and $q(z)$ be convex univalent in Δ with $q(0) = 1$. Further assume that (2.3) holds true. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$(2.8) \quad \Upsilon_2(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \prec \alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)},$$

where

$$(2.9) \quad \Upsilon_2(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) := \begin{cases} \alpha + \beta \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1+1](f*\Phi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f*\Psi)(z)} \\ + \gamma \left[(\alpha_1 + 1) \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1+2](f*\Phi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f*\Phi)(z)} - \alpha_1 \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1+1](f*\Psi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f*\Psi)(z)} - 1 \right], \end{cases}$$

then

$$\frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1 + 1](f * \Phi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f * \Psi)(z)} \prec q(z)$$

and q is the best dominant.

Theorem 2.6. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$, $\gamma \neq 0$ and α, β be the complex numbers and $q(z)$ be convex univalent in Δ with $q(0) = 1$. Further assume that (2.3) holds true. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$(2.10) \quad \Upsilon_3(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \prec \alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)},$$

where

$$(2.11) \quad \Upsilon_3(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) := \begin{cases} \alpha + \beta \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f * \Phi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1+1](f * \Psi)(z)} \\ + \gamma \left[\alpha_1 \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1+1](f * \Phi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f * \Phi)(z)} - (\alpha_1 + 1) \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1+2](f * \Psi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1+1](f * \Psi)(z)} + 1 \right], \end{cases}$$

then

$$\frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f * \Phi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1 + 1](f * \Psi)(z)} \prec q(z)$$

and q is the best dominant.

When $l = 2$, $m = 1$, $\alpha_1 = a$, $\alpha_2 = 1$ and $\beta_1 = c$ in Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.6, we state the following corollaries for Carlson-Shaffer linear operator $L(a, c)$ [5].

Corollary 2.7. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$, $\gamma \neq 0$ and α, β be the complex numbers and $q(z)$ be convex univalent in Δ with $q(0) = 1$. Further assume that (2.3) holds true. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$(2.12) \quad \Upsilon_4(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \prec \alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)},$$

where

$$(2.13) \quad \Upsilon_4(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) := \begin{cases} \alpha + \beta \frac{L(a+1, c)(f * \Phi)(z)}{L(a, c)(f * \Psi)(z)} \\ + \gamma \left[(a + 1) \frac{L(a+2, c)(f * \Phi)(z)}{L(a, c)(f * \Phi)(z)} - a \frac{L(a+1, c)(f * \Psi)(z)}{L(a, c)(f * \Psi)(z)} - 1 \right], \end{cases}$$

then

$$\frac{L(a + 1, c)(f * \Phi)(z)}{L(a, c)(f * \Psi)(z)} \prec q(z)$$

and q is the best dominant.

Corollary 2.8. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$, $\gamma \neq 0$ and α, β be the complex numbers and $q(z)$ be convex univalent in Δ with $q(0) = 1$. Further assume that (2.3) holds true. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$(2.14) \quad \Upsilon_5(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \prec \alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)},$$

where

$$(2.15) \quad \Upsilon_5(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) := \begin{cases} \alpha + \beta \frac{L(a,c)(f*\Phi)(z)}{L(a+1,c)(f*\Psi)(z)} \\ + \gamma \left[a \frac{L(a+1,c)(f*\Phi)(z)}{L(a,c)(f*\Phi)(z)} - (a+1) \frac{L(a+2,c)(f*\Psi)(z)}{L(a+1,c)(f*\Psi)(z)} + 1 \right], \end{cases}$$

then

$$\frac{L(a,c)(f*\Phi)(z)}{L(a+1,c)(f*\Psi)(z)} \prec q(z)$$

and q is the best dominant.

By fixing $\Phi(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$ and $\Psi(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 2.4, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.9. Let $\gamma \neq 0$, α, β be the complex numbers and q be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$ and (2.3) holds true. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\alpha + (\beta - \gamma) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \gamma \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \prec \alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)},$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q(z)$$

and q is the best dominant.

Specializing the values of $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$, $q(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2b}}$ ($b \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$), $\gamma = \frac{1}{b}$, $\Phi(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ and $\Psi(z) = z$ in Theorem 2.4, we have the following corollary as stated in [21].

Corollary 2.10. Let b be a non zero complex number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right] \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z},$$

then

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2b}}$$

and $\frac{1}{(1-z)^{2b}}$ is the best dominant.

Similarly for $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$, $\gamma = \frac{1}{b}$, $q(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2b}}$ ($b \in C - \{0\}$), $\Phi(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$ and $\Psi(z) = z$ in Theorem 2.4, we have the following corollary as stated in [21].

Corollary 2.11. *Let b be a non zero complex number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and*

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z},$$

then

$$f'(z) \prec \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2b}}$$

and $\frac{1}{(1-z)^{2b}}$ is the best dominant.

Remark 2.12. For the choices $\Phi(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$, $\Psi(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)}$, $\alpha = 0$, $\beta > -1$, $\gamma = 1$ and $q(z) = \frac{k}{k+z}$ ($k > 1$) in Theorem 2.4, we get the result obtained by Obradovic et.al., [15].

By taking $l = 2$, $m = 1$, $\alpha_1 = 1$, $\alpha_2 = 1$ and $\beta_1 = 1$ in Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.6, we state the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.13. *Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$, $\gamma \neq 0$, α, β be the complex numbers and q be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$ and (2.3) holds true. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha + \gamma) + \beta \frac{z(f * \Phi)'(z)}{(f * \Psi)(z)} + \gamma \left[\frac{z(f * \Phi)''(z)}{(f * \Phi)'(z)} - \frac{z(f * \Psi)'(z)}{(f * \Psi)(z)} \right] \\ \prec \alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \end{aligned}$$

with $(f * \Psi)(z) \neq 0$ and $(f * \Phi)'(z) \neq 0$, then

$$\frac{z(f * \Phi)'(z)}{(f * \Psi)(z)} \prec q(z)$$

and q is the best dominant.

Corollary 2.14. *Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\gamma \neq 0$, α, β be the complex numbers. Let q be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$ and (2.3) holds true. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha - \gamma) + \beta \frac{(f * \Phi)(z)}{z(f * \Psi)'(z)} + \gamma \left[\frac{z(f * \Phi)'(z)}{(f * \Phi)(z)} - \frac{z(f * \Psi)''(z)}{(f * \Psi)'(z)} \right] \\ \prec \alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \end{aligned}$$

with $(f * \Phi)(z) \neq 0$ and $(f * \Psi)'(z) \neq 0$, then

$$\frac{(f * \Phi)(z)}{z(f * \Psi)'(z)} \prec q(z)$$

and q is the best dominant.

By fixing $\Phi(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ and $\Psi(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.6 we obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.15. *Let $\gamma \neq 0$, α, β be the complex numbers and q be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$ and (2.3) holds true. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha + \beta \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1]f(z)} \\ + \gamma \left[(\alpha_1 + 1) \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1 + 2]f(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1]f(z)} - \alpha_1 \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1]f(z)} - 1 \right] \\ \prec \alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1]f(z)} \prec q(z)$$

and q is the best dominant.

Corollary 2.16. *Let $\gamma \neq 0$, α, β be the complex numbers and q be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$ and (2.3) holds true. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha + \beta \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1]f(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z)} \\ + \gamma \left[\alpha_1 \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1]f(z)} - (\alpha_1 + 1) \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1 + 2]f(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z)} + 1 \right] \\ \prec \alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1]f(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1 + 1]f(z)} \prec q(z)$$

and q is the best dominant.

By fixing $\Phi(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ and $\Psi(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ in Corollary 2.13, Corollary 2.14 and also $l = 2, m = 1, \alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = 1$ and $\beta_1 = 1$ in Corollary 2.15, Corollary 2.16 we obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.17. *Let $\gamma \neq 0$, α, β be the complex numbers and q be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$ and (2.3) holds true. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$(\alpha + \gamma) + \beta \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \gamma \left[\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right] \prec \alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)},$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q(z)$$

and q is the best dominant.

Corollary 2.18. Let $\gamma \neq 0$, α, β be the complex numbers and q be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$ and (2.3) holds true. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\alpha + \beta \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} - \gamma \left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right] \prec \alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)},$$

then

$$\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \prec q(z)$$

and q is the best dominant.

Theorem 2.19. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\gamma \neq 0$, α, β be the complex numbers. Let q be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$. Assume that

$$(2.16) \quad \operatorname{Re} \{ \bar{\gamma} \beta q(z) \} > 0.$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\frac{(f*\Phi)(z)}{(f*\Psi)(z)} \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$. Let $\Upsilon_1(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ be univalent in U and

$$(2.17) \quad \alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec \Upsilon_1(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma),$$

where $\Upsilon_1(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is given by (2.5) with $(f*\Phi)(z) \neq 0$ and $(f*\Psi)(z) \neq 0$, then

$$q(z) \prec \frac{(f*\Phi)(z)}{(f*\Psi)(z)}$$

and q is the best subordinant.

Proof. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$(2.18) \quad p(z) := \frac{(f*\Phi)(z)}{(f*\Psi)(z)}.$$

Simple computation from (2.18), we get,

$$\Upsilon_1(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \alpha + \beta p(z) + \gamma \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)},$$

then

$$\alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec \alpha + \beta p(z) + \gamma \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}.$$

By setting $\vartheta(\omega) = \alpha + \beta\omega$ and $\phi(\omega) = \frac{\gamma}{\omega}$, it is easily observed that $\vartheta(\omega)$ is analytic in \mathbb{C} . Also, $\phi(\omega)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ and that $\phi(\omega) \neq 0$.

Since $q(z)$ is convex univalent function, it follows that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\vartheta'(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))} \right\} = \Re \{ \bar{\gamma}\beta q(z) \} > 0, \quad z \in U.$$

Now Theorem 2.19 follows by applying Lemma 2.3. □

Theorem 2.20. *Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\gamma \neq 0$, α and β be the complex numbers. Let q be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$. Assume that (2.16) holds true. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1+1](f*\Phi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f*\Psi)(z)} \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$. Let $\Upsilon_2(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ be univalent in U and*

$$(2.19) \quad \alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec \Upsilon_2(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma),$$

where $\Upsilon_2(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is given by (2.9), then

$$q(z) \prec \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1 + 1](f * \Phi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f * \Psi)(z)}$$

and q is the best subordinant.

Theorem 2.21. *Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\gamma \neq 0$, α and β be the complex numbers. Let q be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$. Assume that (2.16) holds true. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f*\Phi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1+1](f*\Psi)(z)} \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$. Let $\Upsilon_3(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ be univalent in U and*

$$(2.20) \quad \alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec \Upsilon_3(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma),$$

where $\Upsilon_3(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is given by (2.11), then

$$q(z) \prec \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f * \Phi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1 + 1](f * \Psi)(z)}$$

and q is the best subordinant.

For the Choices of $p(z) = \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1+1](f*\Phi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f*\Psi)(z)}$ and $p(z) = \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f*\Phi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1+1](f*\Psi)(z)}$, the proofs of Theorem 2.20 and Theorem 2.21 are lines similar to the proof of Theorem 2.19, so we omitted the proofs of Theorems 2.20 and 2.21.

When $l = 2$, $m = 1$, $\alpha_1 = a$, $\alpha_2 = 1$ and $\beta_1 = c$ in Theorem 2.20 and Theorem 2.21, we state the following corollary.

Corollary 2.22. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\gamma \neq 0$, α and β be the complex numbers. Let q be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$ and (2.16) holds true. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$\alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec \Upsilon_4(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma),$$

where $\Upsilon_4(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is given by (2.13), then

$$q(z) \prec \frac{L(a+1, c)(f * \Phi)(z)}{L(a, c)(f * \Psi)(z)}$$

and q is the best subdominant.

Corollary 2.23. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\gamma \neq 0$, α and β be the complex numbers. Let q be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$ and (2.16) holds true. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$\alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec \Upsilon_5(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma),$$

where $\Upsilon_5(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is given by (2.15), then

$$q(z) \prec \frac{L(a, c)(f * \Phi)(z)}{L(a+1, c)(f * \Psi)(z)}$$

and q is the best subdominant.

When $l = 2$, $m = 1$, $\alpha_1 = 1$, $\alpha_2 = 1$ and $\beta_1 = 1$ in Theorem 2.20 and Theorem 2.21, we derive the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.24. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\gamma \neq 0$, α and β be the complex numbers. Let q be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$ and (2.16) holds true. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$\alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec (\alpha + \gamma) + \beta \frac{z(f * \Phi)'(z)}{(f * \Psi)(z)} + \gamma \left[\frac{z(f * \Phi)''(z)}{(f * \Phi)'(z)} - \frac{z(f * \Psi)'(z)}{(f * \Psi)(z)} \right]$$

with $(f * \Psi)(z) \neq 0$ and $(f * \Phi)'(z) \neq 0$, then

$$q(z) \prec \frac{z(f * \Phi)'(z)}{(f * \Psi)(z)}$$

and q is the best subdominant.

Corollary 2.25. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\gamma \neq 0$, α and β be the complex numbers. Let q be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$ and (2.16) holds true. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$\alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec (\alpha - \gamma) + \beta \frac{(f * \Phi)(z)}{z(f * \Psi)'(z)} + \gamma \left[\frac{z(f * \Phi)'(z)}{(f * \Phi)(z)} - \frac{z(f * \Psi)''(z)}{(f * \Psi)'(z)} \right]$$

with $(f * \Phi)(z) \neq 0$ and $(f * \Psi)'(z) \neq 0$, then

$$q(z) \prec \frac{(f * \Phi)(z)}{z(f * \Psi)'(z)}$$

and q is the best subdominant.

By Taking $l = 2$, $m = 1$, $\alpha_1 = 1$, $\alpha_2 = 1$ and $\beta_1 = 1$ in Theorem 2.20 and Theorem 2.21 and by fixing $\Phi(z) = \Psi(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ in Corollary 2.24 and 2.25, we obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.26. *Let $\gamma \neq 0$, α and β be the complex numbers. Let q be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$ and (2.16) holds true. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and*

$$\alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec (\alpha + \gamma) + \beta \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \gamma \left[\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right],$$

then

$$q(z) \prec \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$$

and q is the best subdominant.

Corollary 2.27. *Let $\gamma \neq 0$, α and β be the complex numbers. Let q be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$ and (2.16) holds true. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and*

$$\alpha + \beta q(z) + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec \alpha + \beta \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} - \gamma \left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right],$$

then

$$q(z) \prec \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)}$$

and q is the best subdominant.

We Conclude this paper by stating the following sandwich results.

§3. Sandwich Results

Theorem 3.1. *Let q_1 and q_2 be convex univalent in U , $\gamma \neq 0$ and α, β be the complex numbers. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose q_2 satisfies (2.3) and q_1 satisfies (2.16). Moreover suppose $\frac{(f * \Phi)(z)}{(f * \Psi)(z)} \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1] \cap \mathcal{Q}$ and $\Upsilon_1(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is univalent in U . If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\alpha + \beta q_1(z) + \gamma \frac{zq_1'(z)}{q_1(z)} \prec \Upsilon_1(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \prec \alpha + \beta q_2(z) + \gamma \frac{zq_2'(z)}{q_2(z)},$$

where $\Upsilon_1(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is given by (2.5) with $(f * \Phi)(z) \neq 0$ and $(f * \Psi)(z) \neq 0$, then

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{(f * \Phi)(z)}{(f * \Psi)(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

and q_1, q_2 are respectively the best subordinant and best dominant.

By taking $q_1(z) = \frac{1+A_1z}{1+B_1z}$ ($-1 \leq B_1 < A_1 \leq 1$) and $q_2(z) = \frac{1+A_2z}{1+B_2z}$ ($-1 \leq B_2 < A_2 \leq 1$) in Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.2. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\frac{(f * \Phi)(z)}{(f * \Psi)(z)} \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1] \cap Q$ and $\Upsilon_1(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is univalent in U . Further

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha + \beta \left(\frac{1 + A_1z}{1 + B_1z} \right) + \frac{\gamma(A_1 - B_1)z}{(1 + A_1z)(1 + B_1z)} \\ \prec \Upsilon_1(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \\ \prec \alpha + \beta \left(\frac{1 + A_2z}{1 + B_2z} \right) + \frac{\gamma(A_2 - B_2)z}{(1 + A_2z)(1 + B_2z)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\Upsilon_1(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is given by (2.5) with $(f * \Phi)(z) \neq 0$ and $(f * \Psi)(z) \neq 0$, then

$$\frac{1 + A_1z}{1 + B_1z} \prec \frac{(f * \Phi)(z)}{(f * \Psi)(z)} \prec \frac{1 + A_2z}{1 + B_2z}$$

and $\frac{1+A_1z}{1+B_1z}, \frac{1+A_2z}{1+B_2z}$ are respectively the best subordinant and best dominant.

Theorem 3.3. Let q_1 and q_2 be convex univalent in U , $\gamma \neq 0$ and α, β be the complex numbers. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose q_2 satisfies (2.3) and q_1 satisfies (2.16). Moreover suppose $\frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1+1](f * \Phi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f * \Psi)(z)} \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1] \cap Q$ and $\Upsilon_2(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is univalent in U . If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\alpha + \beta q_1(z) + \gamma \frac{z q_1'(z)}{q_1(z)} \prec \Upsilon_2(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \prec \alpha + \beta q_2(z) + \gamma \frac{z q_2'(z)}{q_2(z)},$$

where $\Upsilon_2(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is given by (2.9), then

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1 + 1](f * \Phi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f * \Psi)(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

and q_1, q_2 are respectively the best subordinant and best dominant.

Theorem 3.4. Let q_1 and q_2 be convex univalent in U , $\gamma \neq 0$ and α, β be the complex numbers. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose q_2 satisfies (2.3) and q_1 satisfies (2.16). Moreover suppose $\frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f * \Phi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1+1](f * \Psi)(z)} \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1] \cap Q$ and $\Upsilon_3(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is univalent in U . If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\alpha + \beta q_1(z) + \gamma \frac{z q_1'(z)}{q_1(z)} \prec \Upsilon_3(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \prec \alpha + \beta q_2(z) + \gamma \frac{z q_2'(z)}{q_2(z)},$$

where $\Upsilon_3(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is given by (2.11), then

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{H_m^l[\alpha_1](f * \Phi)(z)}{H_m^l[\alpha_1 + 1](f * \Psi)(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

and q_1, q_2 are respectively the best subdominant and best dominant.

By making use of Corollaries 2.7 and 2.22, we state the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. *Let q_1 and q_2 be convex univalent in U , $\gamma \neq 0$ and α, β be the complex numbers. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose q_2 satisfies (2.3) and q_1 satisfies (2.16). Moreover suppose $\frac{L(a+1, c)(f * \Phi)(z)}{L(a, c)(f * \Psi)(z)} \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1] \cap Q$ and $\Upsilon_4(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is univalent in U . If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\alpha + \beta q_1(z) + \gamma \frac{z q_1'(z)}{q_1(z)} \prec \Upsilon_4(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \prec \alpha + \beta q_2(z) + \gamma \frac{z q_2'(z)}{q_2(z)},$$

where $\Upsilon_4(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is given by (2.13), then

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{L(a+1, c)(f * \Phi)(z)}{L(a, c)(f * \Psi)(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

and q_1, q_2 are respectively the best subdominant and best dominant.

By making use of Corollaries 2.8 and 2.23, we state the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *Let q_1 and q_2 be convex univalent in U , $\gamma \neq 0$ and α, β be the complex numbers. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose q_2 satisfies (2.3) and q_1 satisfies (2.16). Moreover suppose $\frac{L(a, c)(f * \Phi)(z)}{L(a+1, c)(f * \Psi)(z)} \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1] \cap Q$ and $\Upsilon_5(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is univalent in U . If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\alpha + \beta q_1(z) + \gamma \frac{z q_1'(z)}{q_1(z)} \prec \Upsilon_5(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \prec \alpha + \beta q_2(z) + \gamma \frac{z q_2'(z)}{q_2(z)},$$

where $\Upsilon_5(f, \Phi, \Psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is given by (2.15), then

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{L(a, c)(f * \Phi)(z)}{L(a+1, c)(f * \Psi)(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

and q_1, q_2 are respectively the best subdominant and best dominant.

By making use of Corollaries 2.13 and 2.24, we state the following corollary.

Corollary 3.7. *Let q_1 and q_2 be convex univalent in U , $\gamma \neq 0$ and α, β be the complex numbers. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose q_2 satisfies (2.3) and q_1 satisfies*

(2.16). Moreover suppose $\frac{z(f*\Phi)'(z)}{(f*\Psi)(z)} \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1] \cap Q$ and $(\alpha + \gamma) + \beta \frac{z(f*\Phi)'(z)}{(f*\Psi)(z)} + \gamma \left[\frac{z(f*\Phi)''(z)}{(f*\Phi)'(z)} - \frac{z(f*\Psi)''(z)}{(f*\Psi)(z)} \right]$ is univalent in U . If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha + \beta q_1(z) + \gamma \frac{zq_1'(z)}{q_1(z)} \\ & \prec (\alpha + \gamma) + \beta \frac{z(f*\Phi)'(z)}{(f*\Psi)(z)} + \gamma \left[\frac{z(f*\Phi)''(z)}{(f*\Phi)'(z)} - \frac{z(f*\Psi)''(z)}{(f*\Psi)(z)} \right] \\ & \prec \alpha + \beta q_2(z) + \gamma \frac{zq_2'(z)}{q_2(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

with $(f*\Psi)(z) \neq 0$ and $(f*\Phi)'(z) \neq 0$, then

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{z(f*\Phi)'(z)}{(f*\Psi)(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

and q_1, q_2 are respectively the best subordinant and best dominant.

By making use of Corollaries 2.14 and 2.25, we state the following corollary.

Corollary 3.8. Let q_1 and q_2 be convex univalent in U , $\gamma \neq 0$ and α, β be the complex numbers. Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose q_2 satisfies (2.3) and q_1 satisfies (2.16). Moreover suppose $\frac{(f*\Phi)(z)}{z(f*\Psi)'(z)} \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1] \cap Q$ and $(\alpha - \gamma) + \beta \frac{(f*\Phi)(z)}{z(f*\Psi)'(z)} + \gamma \left[\frac{z(f*\Phi)'(z)}{(f*\Phi)(z)} - \frac{z(f*\Psi)''(z)}{(f*\Psi)'(z)} \right]$ is univalent in U . If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha + \beta q_1(z) + \gamma \frac{zq_1'(z)}{q_1(z)} \\ & \prec (\alpha - \gamma) + \beta \frac{(f*\Phi)(z)}{z(f*\Psi)'(z)} + \gamma \left[\frac{z(f*\Phi)'(z)}{(f*\Phi)(z)} - \frac{z(f*\Psi)''(z)}{(f*\Psi)'(z)} \right] \\ & \prec \alpha + \beta q_2(z) + \gamma \frac{zq_2'(z)}{q_2(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

with $(f*\Phi)(z) \neq 0$ and $(f*\Psi)'(z) \neq 0$, then

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{(f*\Phi)(z)}{z(f*\Psi)'(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

and q_1, q_2 are respectively the best subordinant and best dominant.

By making use of Corollaries 2.17 and 2.26, we state the following corollary.

Corollary 3.9. Let q_1 and q_2 be convex univalent in U , $\gamma \neq 0$ and α, β be the complex numbers. Suppose q_2 satisfies (2.3) and q_1 satisfies (2.16). Moreover

suppose $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1] \cap Q$ and $(\alpha + \gamma) + \beta \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \gamma \left[\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right]$ is univalent in U . If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha + \beta q_1(z) + \gamma \frac{zq_1'(z)}{q_1(z)} &< (\alpha + \gamma) + \beta \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \gamma \left[\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right] \\ &< \alpha + \beta q_2(z) + \gamma \frac{zq_2'(z)}{q_2(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$q_1(z) < \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < q_2(z)$$

and q_1, q_2 are respectively the best subdominant and best dominant.

By making use of Corollaries 2.18 and 2.27, we state the following corollary.

Corollary 3.10. Let q_1 and q_2 be convex univalent in U , $\gamma \neq 0$ and α, β be the complex numbers. Suppose q_2 satisfies (2.3) and q_1 satisfies (2.16). Moreover suppose $\frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1] \cap Q$ and $\alpha + \beta \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} - \gamma \left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right]$ is univalent in U . If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha + \beta q_1(z) + \gamma \frac{zq_1'(z)}{q_1(z)} &< \alpha + \beta \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} - \gamma \left[1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right] \\ &< \alpha + \beta q_2(z) + \gamma \frac{zq_2'(z)}{q_2(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$q_1(z) < \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} < q_2(z)$$

and q_1, q_2 are respectively the best subdominant and best dominant.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran, M. Hussain Khan and K. G. Subramanian, *Differential sandwich theorems for certain analytic functions*, Far East J. Math. Sci., **15** (1) (2005), 87–94.
- [2] S. D. Bernardi, *Convex and starlike univalent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **135** (1969), 429–446.

- [3] T. Bulboacă, *A class of superordination-preserving integral operators*, Indag. Math., New Ser., **13** (3) (2002), 301–311.
- [4] T. Bulboacă, *Classes of first order differential subordinations*, Demonstr. Math., **35** (2002), no. 2, 287–292.
- [5] B. C. Carlson and D. B. Shaffer, *Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **15** (4) (1984), 737–745.
- [6] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, *Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Appl. Math. Comput., **103** (1) (1999), 1–13.
- [7] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, *Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., **14** (1) (2003), 7–18.
- [8] JU. E. Hohlov, *Operators and operations on the class of univalent functions*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., **10** (197) (1978) 83–89.
- [9] R. J. Libera, *Some classes of regular univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **16** (1965), 755–758.
- [10] A. E. Livingston, *On the radius of univalence of certain analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **17** (1966), 352–357.
- [11] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 2000.
- [12] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Subordinants of differential subordinations*, Complex Variables, **48** (10) (2003), 815–826.
- [13] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, *Differential subordinations and superordinations for analytic functions defined by Dziok-Srivastava linear operator*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **7** (2006), no. 4, Article 152, 1–9. (<http://jipam.uv.edu.au>)
- [14] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, *Differential Sandwich theorems for analytic functions defined by Hadamard product*, Annales Universitatis M. Curie-Sklodowska, **Vol. LXI**, Sec.A (2007), 117–127.
- [15] M. Obradovic, S. Ponnusamy, V. Singh and P. Vasundhara, *Differential inequalities and criteria for starlike and univalent functions*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., **36** (1) (2006), 303–317.
- [16] S. Owa, *On the distortion theorems I*, Kyungpook Math. J., **18** (1) (1978), 53–59.
- [17] S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, *Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions*, Canad. J. Math., **39** (5) (1987), 1057–1077.

- [18] S. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **49** (1975), 109–115.
- [19] T. N. Shanmugam, V. Ravichandran and S. Sivasubramanian, *Differential sandwich theorems for some subclasses of analytic functions*, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **3** (2006), Issue 1, Article 8, 1–11.
- [20] H. M. Srivastava, *Some families of fractional derivative and other linear operators associated with analytic, univalent and multivalent functions*, Proc. International Conf. Analysis and its Applications, Allied Publishers Ltd, New Delhi (2001), 209–243.
- [21] H. M. Srivastava, and A. Y. Lashin, *Some applications of the Briot-Bouquet differential subordination*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **6** (2005), no. 2, Article 41, 1–7.

N.Magesh

Department of Mathematics, Adhiyamaan College of Engineering (Autonomous),
Hosur - 635 109, India.

E-mail: nmagi_2000@yahoo.co.in

G.Murugusundaramoorthy

School of Science and Humanities, VIT University,
Vellore - 632 014, India.

E-mail: gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com, gms@vit.ac.in