SUT Journal of Mathematics Vol. 42, No. 2 (2006), 295–303

Quasi-conformally flat manifolds satisfying certain condition on the Ricci tensor

U. C. De and Yoshio Matsuyama

(Received September 22, 2006)

Abstract. The object of the present paper is to study a non-flat quasiconformally flat Riemannian manifold whose Ricci tensor S satisfies the condition $S(X,Y) = \gamma T(X)T(Y)$, where γ is the scalar curvature and T is a 1-form defined by $T(X) = g(X,\xi), \xi$ is a unit vector field.

AMS 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C25.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Quasi-conformally flat manifold, concircular vector field.

§1. Introduction

The notion of a quasi-conformal curvature tensor was given by Yano and Sawaki [10]. According to them a quasi-conformal curvature tensor C^* is defined by

$$C^{*}(X,Y)Z = aR(X,Y)Z + b[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY] - \frac{\gamma}{n}[\frac{a}{n-1} + 2b][g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y], \quad (1.1)$$

where a and b are constants and R, Q and γ are the Riemannian curvature tensor of type (1, 3), the Ricci operator defined by g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y) and the scalar curvature, respectively. If a = 1 and $b = -\frac{1}{n-2}$, then (1.1) takes the

The work was done when the first author visited Chuo University during 1-30 June, 2006 as a visiting Professor.

$$C^{*}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-2}[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY] + \frac{\gamma}{(n-1)(n-2)}[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y]$$

= $C(X,Y)Z$,

where C is the conformal curvature tensor [4]. Thus the conformal curvature tensor C is a particular case of the tensor C^* . For this reason C^* is called the quasi-conformal curvature tensor. A manifold (M^n, g) (n > 3) shall be called quasi-conformally flat if $C^* = 0$. It is known [1] that a quasi-conformally flat manifold is either conformally flat if $a \neq 0$ or Einstein if a = 0 and $b \neq 0$. Since they give no restrictions for manifolds if a = 0 and b = 0, it is essential for us to consider the case of $a \neq 0$ or $b \neq 0$.

A Riemannian manifold of quasi-constant curvature was given by B. Y. Chen and K. Yano [3] as a conformally flat manifold with the curvature tensor \tilde{R} of type (0, 4) satisfies the condition

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = p[g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)] + q[g(X, W)T(Y)T(Z) + g(Y, Z)T(X)T(W) - g(X, Z)T(Y)T(W) - g(Y, W)T(X)T(Z)],$$
(1.2)

where R(X, Y, Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W), R is the curvature tensor of type (1, 3), p, q are scalar functions and T is a non-zero 1-form defined by

$$g(X,\tilde{\xi}) = T(X), \tag{1.3}$$

where $\tilde{\xi}$ is a unit vector filed. It can be easily seen that if the curvature tensor \tilde{R} is of the form (1.2), then the manifold is conformally flat. On the other hand, G. Vrănceanu [8] defined the notion of almost constant curvature by the same expression (1.2). Later A. L. Mocanu [6] pointed out that the manifold introduced by Chen and Yano and the manifold introduced by Vrănceanu are the same. Hence a Riemannian manifold is said to be of quasi-constant curvature if the curvature tensor \tilde{R} satisfies the relation (1.2). If q = 0, then the manifold reduces to a manifold of constant curvature.

The present paper deals with the quasi-conformally flat manifold (M^n, g) (n > 3) whose Ricci tensor S satisfies

$$S(X,Y) = \gamma T(X)T(Y), \qquad (1.4)$$

where T is a non-zero 1-form defined by $g(X,\xi) = T(X)$, ξ is a unit vector field. For the scalar curvature γ we suppose that $\gamma \neq 0$ for each point of

296

form

M. Under the assumption above we know that *M* is not Einstein. Hence we consider the case of $a \neq 0$ (See §3). We shall prove the following:

Theorem 1. A quasi-conformally flat manifold satisfying the condition (1.4) under the assumption of $\gamma \neq 0$ is a manifold of quasi-constant curvature.

Theorem 2. In a quasi-conformally flat Riemannian manifold satisfying the condition (1.4) under the same assumption as Theorem 1, the integral curves of the vector field ξ are geodesic.

Theorem 3. In a quasi-conformally flat manifold satisfying (1.4) under the same assumption as Theorem 1, the vector field ξ is a proper concircular vector field (See §4).

Theorem 4. If a quasi-conformally flat manifold satisfies (1.4) under the same assumption as Theorem 1, then the manifold is a locally product manifold.

Theorem 5. A quasi-conformally flat manifold satisfying (1.4) under the same assumption as Theorem 1 can be expressed as a locally warped product $I \times_{e^q} M^*$ where M^* is an Einstein manifold (See §4).

§2. Preliminaries

From (1.1) we obtain

$$(\nabla_W C^*)(X, Y)Z = a(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z + b[(\nabla_W S)(Y, Z)X - (\nabla_W S)(X, Z)Y + g(Y, Z)(\nabla_W Q)(X) - g(X, Z)(\nabla_W Q)(Y)] - \frac{d\gamma(W)}{n} [\frac{a}{n-1} + 2b][g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y],$$
(2.1)

where ∇ is the covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian metric g. We know that $(\operatorname{div} R)(X,Y)Z = (\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X,Z)$. Hence contracting (2.1) we obtain

$$(\operatorname{div} C^*)(X,Y)Z = (a+b)((\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X,Z)) + \frac{1}{n} [\frac{(n-4)b}{2} - \frac{a}{n-1}](g(Y,Z)d\gamma(X) - g(X,Z)d\gamma(Y)). \quad (2.2)$$

Here we consider quasi-conformally flat manifold i.e., $C^* = 0$. Hence div $C^* = 0$, where 'div' denotes the divergence. If $a + b \neq 0$, then from (2.2) it follows that

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X,Z) = \frac{1}{n(a+b)} [\frac{a}{n-1} - \frac{(n-4)b}{2}] [g(Y,Z)d\gamma(X) - g(X,Z)d\gamma(Y)].$$

This can be written as

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X,Z) = \alpha [g(Y,Z)d\gamma(X) - g(X,Z)d\gamma(Y)], \quad (2.3)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{n(a+b)} [\frac{a}{n-1} - \frac{(n-4)b}{2}] = \text{constant.}$

§3. Quasi-conformally flat manifold satisfying the condition (1.4) From (1.1) we get

$$\tilde{a}^{*}(u,u,z,w) = \tilde{a}(u,v)$$

$$C^{*}(X, Y, Z, W) = aR(X, Y, Z, W) + b[S(Y, Z)g(X, W) - S(X, Z)g(Y, W) + S(X, W)g(Y, Z) - S(Y, W)g(X, Z)] - \frac{\gamma}{n} [\frac{a}{n-1} + 2b][g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)].$$
(3.1)

If the manifold is quasi-conformally flat under the assumption of $\gamma \neq 0,$ then we get

$$\gamma(a + (n-2)b) = 0.$$

Then we note that $\left[\frac{(n-4)b}{2} - \frac{a}{n-1}\right] = \frac{3na}{2(n-1)(n-2)}$. Since $a \neq 0$ under the assumption of $\gamma \neq 0$, we know that $a + b \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. Moreover, from (1.4) we have

$$\hat{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = \frac{b}{a} [S(X, Z)g(Y, W) - S(Y, Z)g(X, W) + S(Y, W)g(X, Z) - S(X, W)g(Y, Z)]
+ \frac{\gamma}{na} [\frac{a}{n-1} + 2b][g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)]$$
(3.2)

Using (1.4) in (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \tilde{R}(X,Y,Z,W) \\ &= \frac{\gamma b}{a} [g(Y,W)T(X)T(Z) - g(X,W)T(Y)T(Z) + g(X,Z)T(Y)T(W) \\ &- g(Y,Z)T(X)T(W)] + \frac{\gamma}{na} [\frac{a}{n-1} + 2b] [g(Y,Z)g(X,W) - g(X,Z)g(Y,W)], \end{split}$$

which implies that the manifold is a manifold of quasi-constant curvature. Hence we can state that

Theorem 1. A quasi-conformally flat manifold satisfying the condition (1.4) under the assumption of $\gamma \neq 0$ is a manifold of quasi-constant curvature.

298

....

§4. The results concerning the product manifold

From (1.4) we have

$$(\nabla_Z S)(X,Y) = d\gamma(Z)T(X)T(Y) + \gamma[(\nabla_Z T)(X)T(Y) + T(X)(\nabla_Z T)(Y)].$$
(4.1)

Substituting (4.1) in (2.3), we get

$$d\gamma(Z)T(X)T(Y) + \gamma[(\nabla_Z T)(X)T(Y) + T(X)(\nabla_Z T)(Y)] - d\gamma(X)T(Z)T(Y) - \gamma[(\nabla_X T)(Z)T(Y) + T(Z)(\nabla_X T)(Y)] = \alpha[g(X,Y)d\gamma(Z) - g(Z,Y)d\gamma(X)].$$
(4.2)

Putting $Y = Z = e_i$ in the above expression where $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold and taking summation over $i, 1 \le i \le n$, we get

$$\alpha(1-n)d\gamma(X) = d\gamma(\xi)T(X) + \gamma(\nabla_{\xi}T)(X) + \gamma T(X)(\delta T) - d\gamma(X), \quad (4.3)$$

where we put $\delta T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla_{e_i} T)(e_i)$. Again putting $Y = Z = \xi$ in (4.2), it yields

$$\gamma(\nabla_{\xi}T)(X) = (\alpha - 1)[d\gamma(\xi)T(X) - d\gamma(X)].$$
(4.4)

Substituting (4.4) in (4.3), we get

$$\alpha(n-2)d\gamma(X) - \alpha d\gamma(\xi)T(X) + \gamma\delta T = 0.$$
(4.5)

Now putting $X = \xi$ in (4.5), it yields

$$\alpha(n-3)d\gamma(\xi) + \gamma\delta T = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

From (4.5) and (4.6) it follows that

$$\alpha d\gamma(X) = \alpha d\gamma(\xi)T(X).$$

Since $\alpha \neq 0$, we have

$$d\gamma(X) = d\gamma(\xi)T(X). \tag{4.7}$$

Putting $Y = \xi$ in (4.2) and using (4.7), we obtain

$$(\nabla_X T)(Z) - (\nabla_Z T)(X) = 0, \qquad (4.8)$$

since $\gamma \neq 0$. This means that the 1-form T defined by $g(X,\xi) = T(X)$ is closed, i.e., dT(X,Y) = 0. Hence it follows that

$$g(\nabla_X \xi, Y) = g(\nabla_Y \xi, X) \tag{4.9}$$

for all X, Y. Now putting $Y = \xi$ in (4.9), we get

$$g(\nabla_X \xi, \xi) = g(\nabla_\xi \xi, X). \tag{4.10}$$

Since $g(\nabla_X \xi, \xi) = 0$, from (4.10) it follows that $g(\nabla_\xi \xi, X) = 0$ for all X. Hence $\nabla_\xi \xi = 0$. This means that the integral curves of the vector field ξ are geodesic. Therefore we can state the following:

Theorem 2. In a quasi-conformally flat Riemannian manifold satisfying the condition (1.4) under the assumption of $\gamma \neq 0$, the integral curves of the vector field ξ are geodesic.

From (4.4), by virtue of (4.7) we get

$$(\nabla_{\xi}T)(Z) = 0, \tag{4.11}$$

since $\gamma \neq 0$. Now we consider the scalar function

$$f = \alpha \frac{d\gamma(\xi)}{\gamma}.$$

We have

$$\nabla_X f = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma^2} [d\gamma(\xi) T(\nabla_X \xi) \gamma - d\gamma(X) d\gamma(\xi)] + \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} d^2 \gamma(\xi, X), \qquad (4.12)$$

where the Hessian $d^2\gamma$ is defined by $d^2\gamma(X,Y) = X(Y\gamma) - (\nabla_X Y)\gamma$. On the other hand, (4.7) implies that

$$d^{2}\gamma(Y,X) = d^{2}\gamma(\xi,Y)T(X) + d\gamma(\xi)T(\nabla_{Y}\xi)T(X) + d\gamma(\xi)(\nabla_{Y}T)(X),$$

from which we get

$$d^2\gamma(\xi, Y)T(X) = d^2\gamma(\xi, X)T(Y), \qquad (4.13)$$

since $(\nabla_X T)(Y) = (\nabla_Y T)(X)$ and $d^2\gamma(Y, X) = d^2\gamma(X, Y)$. Putting $X = \xi$ in (4.13), it follows that

$$d^2\gamma(\xi, Y) = d^2\gamma(\xi, \xi)T(Y),$$

since $T(\xi) = 1$. Thus

$$\nabla_X f = \mu T(X), \tag{4.14}$$

where $\mu = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} [d^2 \gamma(\xi, \xi) - \frac{d\gamma(\xi)}{\gamma} d\gamma(\xi)]$ and we used (4.7). Using (4.14), it is easy to show that

$$\omega(X) = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} d\gamma(\xi) T(X) = fT(X)$$

300

is closed. In fact,

$$d\omega(X,Y) = 0.$$

Using (4.7) and (4.8) in (4.2), we get

$$\gamma[T(Z)(\nabla_X T)(Y) - T(X)(\nabla_Z T)(Y)]$$

= $\alpha d\gamma(\xi)[g(Y,Z)T(X) - g(X,Y)T(Z)]$

Now putting $Z = \xi$ in the above expression it yields

$$-(\nabla_X T)(Y) = \alpha \frac{d\gamma(\xi)}{\gamma} [T(X)T(Y) - g(X,Y)], \qquad (4.15)$$

by (4.11). Thus (4.15) can be rewritten as follows:

$$(\nabla_X T)(Y) = -fg(X, Y) + \omega(X)T(Y), \qquad (4.16)$$

where ω is closed. But this means that the vector field ξ defined by $g(X,\xi) = T(X)$ is a proper concircular vector field ([7], [9]). Hence we can state the following:

Theorem 3. In a quasi-conformally flat manifold satisfying (1.4) under the assumption of $\gamma \neq 0$, the vector field ξ is a proper concircular vector field.

From (4.16) it follows that

$$\nabla_X \xi = -fX + \omega(X)\xi. \tag{4.17}$$

Let ξ^{\perp} denote the (n-1)-dimensional distribution in a quasi-conformally flat manifold orthogonal to ξ . If X and Y belong to ξ^{\perp} , then

$$g(X,\xi) = 0 \tag{4.18}$$

and

$$g(Y,\xi) = 0. (4.19)$$

Since $(\nabla_X g)(Y,\xi) = 0$, it follows from (4.17) and (4.19) that

$$g(\nabla_X Y, \xi) = g(\nabla_X \xi, Y) = -fg(X, Y).$$

Similarly, we get

$$g(\nabla_Y X, \xi) = g(\nabla_Y \xi, X) = -fg(X, Y).$$

Hence

$$g(\nabla_X Y, \xi) = (\nabla_Y X, \xi). \tag{4.20}$$

Now $[X, Y] = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X$ and therefore by (4.20) we obtain

$$g([X,Y],\xi) = g(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X,\xi) = 0.$$

Hence [X, Y] is orthogonal to ξ . That is, [X, Y] belongs to ξ^{\perp} . Thus the distribution ξ^{\perp} is involutive [2]. Hence from Frobenius' theorem [2] it follows that ξ^{\perp} is integrable. This implies that if a quasi-conformally flat manifold satisfies (1.4), then it is a product manifold. We can therefore state the following theorem:

Theorem 4. If a quasi-conformally flat manifold satisfies (1.4) under the assumption of $\gamma \neq 0$, then the manifold is a locally product manifold.

If a quasi-conformally flat manifold satisfies (1.4) under the assumption of $\gamma \neq 0$, then in view of Theorem 3, ξ is a concircular vector field. Also, M is a quasi-constant curvature manifold and satisfies (1.2) and from Theorem 4 we know that ξ^{\perp} is integrable and it holds

$$g(\nabla_X Y, \xi) = -(\nabla_X T)(Y)$$

for the local vector fields X, Y belonging to ξ^{\perp} . Thus from (4.15) the second fundamental form k for each leaf satisfies

$$k(X,Y) = -\alpha \frac{d\gamma(\xi)}{\gamma} g(X,Y)\xi.$$

Hence we know that each leaf is totally umbilic. Therefore each leaf is a manifold of constant curvature. Hence it must be a warped product $I \times_{e^q} M^*$ where M^* is an Einstein manifold. Thus we can state the following result (See [9], [5]):

Theorem 5. A quasi-conformally flat manifold satisfying (1.4) under the assumption of $\gamma \neq 0$ can be expressed as a locally warped product $I \times_{e^q} M^*$ where M^* is an Einstein manifold.

References

- AMUR, K. and MARALABHAVI, Y. B., On quasi-conformal flat spaces, Tensor, N. S. **31** pp. 194-198 (1977).
- [2] BRICKELL, F and CLARK, R. S., *Differentiable manifold*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Comp. London (1978).
- [3] CHEN, B. Y. and YANO, K., Hypersurfaces of a conformally flat space, Tensor, N. S. 26 pp. 318-322 (1972).

- [4] EISENHART, L. P., *Riemannian Geometry*, Princeton University Press (1949).
- [5] GEBAROWSKI, A., Nearly conformally symmetric warped product manifolds, Bulletin of the Inst. of Math. Academia Sinica 4 pp.359-371 (1992).
- [6] MOCANU, A. L., Les variéés a courbure quasi-constant de type Vrânceanu, Proc. Nat. Conf. Geom. and Top., Tirgovisté (1987).
- [7] SCHOUTEN, J. A., *Ricci-Calculus*, Springer, Berlin (1954).
- [8] VRÂNCEANU, G. Lecons des Geometrie Differential, Vol. 4, Ed. de l'Academie, Bucharest (1968).
- [9] YANO, K., Concircular Geometry I, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo 16 pp. 195-200 (1940).
- [10] YANO, K. and SAWAKI, S., Riemannian manifolds admitting a conformal transformation group, J. Diff. Geom. 2 pp.161-184 (1968).

U. C. De Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani 741235, W. B., India *E-mail*: uc_de@yahoo.com

Yoshio Matsuyama Department of Mathematics, Chuo University, Kasuga, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8551, Japan *E-mail*: matuyama@@math.chuo-u.ac.jp