HOSPITAL CHRONICLES 2014, VOLUME 9, SUPPLEMENT 1: 144-146

HYPERLIPIDEMIA & HYPERTENSION UPDATE

Hypertension: Renal Denervation: Hype After Initial Hope/Lessons to be Learned From its Fall/Other Methods Influencing the Autonomic Nervous System

Costas Tsioufis, MD, Kyriakos Dimitriadis, MD, Christodoulos Stefanadis, MD

The unexpected announcement of Symplicity HTN-3 trial by the sponsor company that renal sympathetic denervation (RDN) failed to meet its primary efficacy end-point at 6 months raised concerns regarding the extent of blood pressure (BP) lowering results of the procedure.¹ But the answer to the "burning" question of whether we should stop research and clinical implementation of RDN based on the results of one trial needs a cautious approach.²

The revolutionary therapy of RDN has resulted in significant office BP reduction in all previous studies.³⁻¹⁰ The mean office systolic BP reduction across trials is on average 20-30 mmHg and shows sustainability up to 3 years.³⁻¹⁰ In some patients there is delay in the BP response to RDN and there is a gradual drop of BP post-denervation suggesting that the adverse vascular and cardiac remodeling characterizing resistant hypertension cannot be reversed in all patients in relatively short period of time.¹⁰ Thus, differences in BP modulation by RDN over time could not be excluded by Symplicity HTN 3 that was focused on the 6 months end-point.¹¹

Symplicity HTN-3 is the first to include a sham intervention arm^{11} mimicking the Rhcos Pivotal Trial designed to assess the efficacy of baroreflex activation therapy.¹² Patients with the device inactivated exhibited a mean decrease in systolic BP of 9±29 mmHg that did not differ with the reduction of 16±29 mmHg in the active group from month 0 to 6 months (p=0.08).¹² However, in this study the 12 month follow-up revealed greater reduction in BP in both active (25±32 mmHg) and cross-over group (25±31 mmHg).¹² Due to the lack of studies with placebo groups in resistant hypertension the sham-effect should be interpreted with caution. Moreover, controls in the randomized Symplicity HTN-2 trial had no systolic BP change but there was no placebo provided.⁶ Pooled data from intentional BP lowering trials reveal that there is 12.8 mmHg difference in systolic BP levels from baseline in the placebo group and the achieved systolic BP difference between active therapy and placebo is 11.8 mmHg.² The lowest prespecified systolic BP deviation from baseline in RDN patients was 15 mmHg that is close to expected placebo-sham-effect; it reveals the inherent weakness of the trial design in terms of assessing the true differential to sham RDN efficacy.^{2,11}

The procedure per se could have an adverse impact on RDN-induced BP changes. There is large operator variability and a steep learning curve with the use of the single tip ablation catheter which in addition to the small average number of procedures in the 87 medical centers involved could result in ineffective ablation of the renal artery.^{1,2} Having no marker of RDN success the interventionalist's experience is needed for

First Department of Cardiology, University of Athens, Hippokration Hospital, Athens, Greece

KEY WORDS: renal sympathetic denervation; resistant hypertension; sympathetic nervous system

Abbreviations BP = blood pressure RDN = renal denervation

Corresponding author: Costas Tsioufis, MD E-mail: ktsioufis@hippocratio.gr maximizing effective ablation.

One of the acknowledged drawbacks of RDN trials is the considerable discrepancy between average office BP and average 24-h BP at baseline and the marked difference in the magnitude of BP reduction during follow up.¹³ In the series of Symplicity, ambulatory BP was performed only in a small subset of patients: (12/45 patients in Symplicity HTN-I and 20/106 patients in Symplicity HTN-II) and BP reduction was only 41% and 34% of office BP reduction in the two studies.^{6,8} Contrariwise, by antihypertensive drug therapy ambulatory BP reduction is at least 65% of the reduction seen in office BP.14 Similar disparities have also been noted in the EnligHTN I trial in which office BP was reduced significantly and substantially in the first month (-28/-10 mmHg) and remained at the same levels for up to 6 months.9 Ambulatory BP measurement was performed in all patients and demonstrated a-10/-5 mmHg reduction in average 24-h BP, which also remained the same until the 6 month follow-up.9 Recently a larger study specifically examined the BP response to RDN as measured by ambulatory BP monitoring.¹⁵ In patients with true treatment resistant hypertension (n=303) there was a significant reduction in 24-h systolic BP (-10/-10/-12 mmHg, p<0.001) and diastolic BP (-5/-5/-7 mmHg, p<0.001), at 3, 6 and 12 months, respectively.15 There was no effect in pseudo-resistant patients (n=43), while office BP was reduced to a similar extent.¹⁵ In a study including the experience of 10 European expert centers revealed a more modest decrease in office systolic/diastolic BP after RDN (-17.6/-7.1 mm Hg) that was accompanied by -5.9/-3.5 mmHg drop in 24-h BP.¹⁶ Moreover, although criticizing the RDN method, a very recent work comparing RDN versus impedance cardiography adjusted-drug treatment revealed an ambulatory BP reduction of -10/-7 mmHg in the RDN group that could not by any means be considered clinically unmeaningful.17

Based on the above, knowing the exact difference of office and ambulatory BP in the Symplicity HTN-3 inclusion phase as well as the drops of these BP parameters is essential in order to assess RDN efficacy. Moreover, due to that reduction of 24-h hemodynamic load is more closely related to target organ damage and cardiovascular prognosis compared to office BP,¹⁴ future RDN studies should focus on the reduction of ambulatory BP and hard-end points instead of plain office BP.

However, apart from the efficacy BP lowering issues, Symplicity HTN-III fulfilled the ethical precept of medicine *'primum non nocere'* by successfully meeting the primary safety end-point.¹ RDN according to all trials is overall a safe procedure³⁻¹⁰ and regarding renal function it is preserved even in patients with baseline renal failure.^{18,19} Vascular complications are rather rare, while renal stenoses are infrequent and related to energy delivery in sites of previous atherosclerosis.³⁻¹⁰ Moreover, the neural cardiovascular reflexes remain intact during exercise²⁰ and upright posture²¹ after RDN.

Importantly, the most promising aspect of this method

of sympathetic neuromodulation is its pleiotropic nature.²² Several reports have shown favorable impact of RDN on cardiac mass assessed by echocardiography and magnetic resonance.^{23,24} Additionally RDN seems to improve glucose status,^{25,26} arrhythmias,^{27,28} obstructive sleep apnea syndrome²⁶ by reducing insulin resistance, end-diastolic left ventricular and atrial pressures as well as by causing better natriuresis.²²⁻²⁸ Most interestingly, in certain models of experimental hypertension not accompanied by excess sympathetic activation, RDN causes BP drop not by reducing sympathetic outflow but mostly due to left shift of pressure-natriuresis curve.^{22,29} These pathophysiological considerations for fundamental co-impact of RDN on sodium balance should be assessed in future clinical trials. The use of different catheters and energy modalities (i.e. radiofrequency, ultrasound, cryotherapy or combination)^{3,30-34} ensuring more complete ablation of renal nerves would perhaps influence BP, metabolic and cardiovascular parameters up to a higher degree.

In this context, we strongly believe that there is an emerging need to discuss on the appropriate design/methodology of randomized trials for the true role of RDN in the therapy of hypertension and cardiovascular diseases. The key point is that RDN is a method that has substantial pathophysiological background with experimental and clinical studies supporting its effects.^{22,34} Finally, the lesson to be learned is that when a "negative" trial like Symplicity HTN-3 is published (as it will be when you read these lines) one should not only be skeptical to RDN but also to the trial itself.

REFERENCES

- Medtronic. Medtronic announces U.S. renal denervation pivotal trial fails to meet primary efficacy endpoint while meeting primary safety endpoint [online], http://newsroom.medtronic. com/phoenix.zhtml?c=251324&p=irol-newsArticle&ID= 1889335&highlight (2014)
- Tsioufis C. Hypertension: Is the sham procedure 'toxic' for renal denervation? *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2014 Mar 4. doi: 10.1038/ nrneph.2014.28. [Epub ahead of print]
- Tsioufis C, Mahfoud F, Mancia G, et al. What the interventionalist should know about renal denervation in hypertensive patients: a position paper by the ESH WG on the interventional treatment of hypertension. *EuroIntervention* 2014;9:1027-1035.
- Schlaich MP, Schmieder RE, Bakris G, et al. International expert consensus statement: Percutaneous transluminal renal denervation for the treatment of resistant hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013; 62:2031-2045.
- Schmieder RE, Redon J, Grassi G, et al. ESH position paper: renal denervation - an interventional therapy of resistant hypertension. *J Hypertens* 2012; 30:837-841.
- Symplicity HTN-2 Investigators, Esler MD, Krum H, Sobotka PA, Schlaich MP, Schmieder RE, Bohm M. Renal sympathetic denervation in patients with treatment-resistant hypertension (the Symplicity HTN-2 trial): a randomised controlled trial.

Lancet 2010;376:1903-1909.

- Krum H, Schlaich M, Whitbourn R, et al. Catheter-based renal sympathetic denervation for resistant hypertension: a multicentre safety and proof-of-principle cohort study. *Lancet* 2009; 373:1275–1281.
- Symplicity HTN-1 Investigators, Krum H, Barman N, Schlaich M, et al. Catheter-based renal sympathetic denervation for resistant hypertension: durability of blood pressure reduction out to 24 months. *J Hypertens* 2011; 57:911–917.
- 9. Worthley SG, Tsioufis CP, Worthley MI, et al. Safety and efficacy of a multi-electrode renal sympathetic denervation system in resistant hypertension. *Eur Heart J* 2013; 34:2132-2140.
- Krum H, Schlaich MP, Sobotka PA, et al. Percutaneous renal denervation in patients with treatment-resistant hypertension: final 3-year report of the Symplicity HTN-1 study. *Lancet* 2014; 383(9917):622-629.
- Kandzari DE, Bhatt DL, Sobotka PA, et al. Catheter-based renal denervation: rationale and design of the SYMPLICITY HTN-3 trial. *Clin Cardiol* 2012; 39:528-535.
- Bisognano JD, Bakris G, Nadim MK, et al. Baroreflex activation therapy lowers blood pressure in patients with resistant hypertension: results from the double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled rheos pivotal trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011; 58:765-773.
- Doumas M, Anyfanti P, Bakris G. Should ambulatory blood pressure monitoring be mandatory for future studies in resistant hypertension: a perspective. *J Hypertens* 2012; 30:874-876.
- 14. Mancia G, Parati G. Office compared with ambulatory blood pressure in assessing response to antihypertensive treatment: a meta-analysis. *J Hypertens* 2004; 22:435-445.
- 15. Mahfoud F, Ukena C, Schmieder R, et al. Ambulatory blood pressure changes after renal sympathetic denervation in patients with resistant hypertension: clinical perspective. *Circulation* 2013; 128:132-140.
- Persu A, Jin Y, Azizi M, et al. Blood pressure changes after renal denervation at 10 European expert centers. *J Hum Hypertens* 2014; 28:150-156.
- 17. Fadl Elmula FE, Hoffmann P, Larstorp AC, et al. Adjusted drug treatment is superior to renal sympathetic denervation in patients with true treatment-resistant hypertension. *Hypertension* 2014 Mar 3. [Epub ahead of print]
- Schlaich MP, Bart B, Hering D, et al. Feasibility of catheterbased renal nerve ablation and effects on sympathetic nerve activity and blood pressure in patients with end-stage renal disease. *Int J Cardiol* 2013; 168:2214-2220.
- Hering D, Mahfoud F, Walton AS, et al. Renal denervation in moderate to severe CKD. JAm Soc Nephrol 2012; 23:1250-1257.
- Ukena C, Mahfoud F, Kindermann I, et al. Cardiorespiratory response to exercise after renal sympathetic denervation in patients with resistant hypertension. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011; 58:1176-1182.

- 21. Lenski M, Mahfoud F, Razouk A, et al. Orthostatic function after renal sympathetic denervation in patients with resistant hypertension. *Int J Cardiol* 2013; 169:418-424.
- 22. Esler M. Renal denervation for hypertension: observations and predictions of a founder. *Eur Heart J* 2014 Mar 4. [Epub ahead of print]
- Schirmer SH, Sayed MM, Reil JC, et al. Improvements of leftventricular hypertrophy and diastolic function following renal denervation- Effects beyond blood pressure and heart rate reduction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013 Nov 25. pii: S0735-1097(13) 06233-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.10.073. [Epub ahead of print]
- 24. Mahfoud F, Urban D, Teller D, et al. Effect of renal denervation on left ventricular mass and function in patients with resistant hypertension: data from a multi-centre cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging trial. *Eur Heart J* 2014 Mar 6. [Epub ahead of print]
- 25. Mahfoud F, Schlaich M., Kinderman I, et al. Effect of renal sympathetic denervation on glucose metabolism in patients with resistant hypertension: A pilot study. *Circulation* 2011; 123: 1940-1946.
- 26. Witkofski A, Prejbisz A, Florczak E, et al. Effects of renal sympathetic denervation on blood pressure, sleep apnea course, and glycemic control in patients with resistant hypertension and sleep apnea. *Hypertension* 2011; 58:559-565.
- Ukena C, Bauer A, Mahfoud F, et al. Renal sympathetic denervation for treatment of electrical storm: first-in-man experience. *Clin Res Cardiol* 2012; 101:63-67.
- Tsioufis C, Papademetriou V, Tsiachris D, et al. Drug-resistant hypertensive patients responding to multielectrode renal denervation exhibit improved heart rate dynamics and reduced arrhythmia burden. *J Hum Hypertens* 2014 Mar 13. doi: 10.1038/ jhh.2014.14. [Epub ahead of print]
- DiBona GF, Kopp UC. Neural control of renal function. *Physiol Rev* 1997; 77:75-197
- Ormiston JA, Watson T, van Pelt N, et al. First-in-human use of the ONeShot renal denervation system from Covidien. *Euro Intervention* 2013; 8:1090-1094.
- Ahmed H, Neuzil P, Skoda J, et al. Renal sympathetic denervation using an irrigated radiofrequency catheter for the management of drug-resistant hypertension. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2012; 7:758-765.
- Bunte MC, Infante de Oliveira E, Shishehbor MH. Endovascular treatment of resistant and uncontrolled hypertension. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2013; 6:1-9.
- Stefanadis C, Toutouzas K, Synetos A, et al. Chemical denervation of the renal artery by vincristine in swine. A new catheter based technique. *Int J Cardiol* 2013; 167:421-425.
- 34. Tsioufis C, Dimitriadis K, Thomopoulos C, Doumas M, Papademetriou V, Stefanadis C. Renal and cardiac effects of renal sympathetic denervation and carotid baroreceptor stimulation. *Currr Vasc Pharmacol* 2013 Jul 31. [Epub ahead of print]