

## HEART FAILURE UPDATE

## Cardiac Contractility Modulation for Patients with Heart Failure

Konstantinos P. Letsas, MD, FESC

Laboratory of Cardiac  
Electrophysiology, Department  
of Cardiology, Evagelismos  
General Hospital of Athens,  
Athens, Greece

**KEY WORDS:** heart failure; device  
therapy; cardiac contractility  
modulation

## ABBREVIATIONS

CCM = cardiac contractility modulation  
LV = left ventric-le(-ular)  
SERCA2a = sarco-endoplasmic reticulum  
calcium ATPase type 2a

A substantial proportion of patients with heart failure remain either not eligible for cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) or do not respond to this therapy. CRT is indicated in patients with prolonged QRS duration ( $>120$  ms).<sup>1</sup> However, up to 60% of patients with heart failure have a normal QRS duration and are not appropriate candidates for CRT. In addition, a significant number of patients (25-30%) who meet the current indications to CRT therapy are non-responders.<sup>2</sup> New device-based therapies including cardiac contractility modulation (CCM) have been developed over the last decade.

Cardiac contractility modulation signals are non-excitatory signals which, when applied during the absolute refractory period, enhance the strength of left ventricular (LV) contraction. CCM signals are electrical impulses delivered during the absolute refractory period.<sup>3,4</sup> CCM signals used in clinical practice are delivered 30 ms after detection of the QRS complex onset and consist of two biphasic +7 V pulses spanning a total duration of 20 ms. These signals do not elicit a new action potential or contraction.<sup>3,4</sup>

Preliminary data have shown that CCM improves LV cellular and biochemical remodelling. There is an increase in phosphorylation of phospholamban, a key protein that modulates the activity of sarco-endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase type 2a (SERCA2a), which in turn modulates calcium handling by the sarcoplasmic reticulum.<sup>5</sup>

Within several minutes of acute CCM signal application, a mild increase in ventricular contractile strength can be detected as indexed by increases in LV pressure and the rate of rise of LV pressure (LV dP/dtmax). The acute change dP/dtmax is independent of QRS duration.<sup>6</sup> Acute CCM was associated with an increase in dP/dtmax from 630 to 800 mmHg/s (20% increase). Despite an acute increase in contractility, there was no detectable increase in myocardial oxygen consumption.<sup>7</sup> In a previous study, LV ejection fraction increased by  $4.8 \pm 3.6\%$  and LV end-systolic volumes decreased by  $11.5 \pm 10.5\%$  at 3 months after CCM treatment.<sup>8</sup> In the multicenter studies FIX-HF-4 and FIX-HF-5, CCM increased peak oxygen consumption and improved quality of life in patients with heart failure.<sup>9,10</sup> These findings indicate that LV reverse remodelling can be achieved by CCM in the background of optimum medical therapy.

## REFERENCES

1. European Society of Cardiology (ESC); European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), Brignole M, Auricchio A, Baron-Esquivias G, et al. 2013 ESC guidelines on cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy: the task force on cardiac pacing and resynchronization therapy of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed in collaboration with the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA). *Europace* 2013; 15:1070-1118.

Corresponding author:  
Konstantinos P. Letsas, MD, FESC  
E-mail: k.letsas@mail.gr

2. Auricchio A, Prinzen FW. Non-responders to cardiac resynchronization therapy: the magnitude of the problem and the issues. *Circ J* 2011; 75:521-527.
3. Lawo T, Borggreffe M, Butter C, et al. Electrical signals applied during the absolute refractory period: an investigational treatment for advanced heart failure in patients with normal QRS duration. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005; 46:2229-2236.
4. Kuck KH, Bordachar P, Borggreffe M, et al. New devices in heart failure: an European Heart Rhythm Association report: developed by the European Heart Rhythm Association; endorsed by the Heart Failure Association. *Europace* 2014; 16:109-128.
5. Imai M, Rastogi S, Gupta RC, et al. Therapy with cardiac contractility modulation electrical signals improves left ventricular function and remodeling in dogs with chronic heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007; 49:2120-2128.
6. Pappone C, Rosanio S, Burkhoff D, et al. Cardiac contractility modulation by electric currents applied during the refractory period in patients with heart failure secondary to ischemic or idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol* 2002; 90:1307-1313.
7. Butter C, Wellnhofer E, Schlegl M, Winbeck G, Fleck E, Sabbah HN. Enhanced inotropic state of the failing left ventricle by cardiac contractility modulation electrical signals is not associated with increased myocardial oxygen consumption. *J Card Fail* 2007; 13:137-142.
8. Yu CM, Chan JY, Zhang Q, et al. Impact of cardiac contractility modulation on left ventricular global and regional function and remodeling. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2009; 2:1341-1349.
9. Borggreffe MM, Lawo T, Butter C, et al. Randomized, double blind study of non-excitatory, cardiac contractility modulation electrical impulses for symptomatic heart failure. *Eur Heart J* 2008; 29:1019-1028.
10. Kadish A, Nademanee K, Volosin K, et al. A randomized controlled trial evaluating the safety and efficacy of cardiac contractility modulation in advanced heart failure. *Am Heart J* 2011; 161:329-337.e1-2.