HOSPITAL CHRONICLES 2009, 4(2): 63–66

REVIEW

Dyslipidemia: The Role of Non-HDL Cholesterol, Apolipoprotein B and Small, Dense LDL

Elektra Papadopoulou, MD, Polixeni Stathopoulou,MD,
Antonis S. Manolis, MD

First Department of Cardiology, Evagelismos General Hospital of Athens, Athens, Greece

KEY WORDS: *LDL-cholesterol; HDL-cholesterol; non-HDL cholesterol; triglycerides; apo-B lipoprotein; coronary artery disease; cardiovascular events*

ABBREVIATIONS
ATP = Adult Treatment Panel
CAD = coronary artery disease
CETP = cholesteryl ester transfer protein
HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol
IDL = intermediate density lipoprotein
LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol
NCEP = National Cholesterol Education Program
VLDL = very-low density lipoproteins

Correspondence to:
Antonis S. Manolis, MD
Professor & Director of Cardiology
First Department of Cardiology
Evagelismos General Hospital
Athens, Greece
Tel.: +30-210-720 1493
E-mail: asm@otenet.gr

ABSTRACT

Elevated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) has traditionally been considered an independent risk factor for coronary artery disease (CAD). A level of LDL-C< 70 mg/dl is recommended for very high risk individuals. However, it has recently been suggested that the threshold for atherosclerosis may be much lower and it is widely accepted that even with the intense use of statins, not all cardiovascular adverse events are prevented. Consequently, new indexes have emerged that could outperform LDL-C especially in the highest risk populations, such as patients with diabetes type II or the metabolic syndrome. Non- high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol is defined as all of the cholesterol that is not HDL (total cholesterol- HDL cholesterol). It has been shown that for each LDL-C category, an increase in non-HDL cholesterol increased the risk for cardiovascular disease. Prospective trials have also shown that total apo-B level reflects the total number of apo- B lipoproteins and measures the total atherogenic particle number. It seems that apo-B levels are much more closely related to the risk of vascular events than LDL-C or non-HDL cholesterol. Finally, there are at least 7 distinct subclasses of LDL of different particle sizes and several recent studies have suggested that LDL subfraction distribution, especially the presence of increased levels of small, dense LDL particles, aid in the prediction of cardiac heart disease risk. Further studies will clarify the clinical circumstances that justify lipoprotein analysis and how to best use the information taken from these new indices in the management of our patients.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of death in the developed countries and in a few decades it will become the leading cause of death in the developing world as well.[1] Therefore, the early identification of individuals at increased risk is of pivotal importance in order to modify the factors contributing to this high risk profile.

Elevated low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol (LDL-C) has traditionally been considered as an independent risk factor for coronary artery disease (CAD). A number of trials have suggested that lowering LDL-cholesterol leads to a decrease in

Presented in part at "**Athens Cardiology Update 2008**", International Cardiology Symposium of Evagelismos General Hospital of Athens, Athens, Greece, April 17-19, 2008

the incidence of CAD.[2-5] Moreover recent randomized statin trials have found progressively lower risk with progressively lower LDL-C levels.[6,7] The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) /Adult Treatment Panel (ATP) endorses LDL-C as the basis for risk stratification and treatment goal.[8] A level of LDL-C< 70 mg/dl is recommended for very high risk individuals. However, it has recently been suggested that the threshold for atherosclerosis may be much lower[3] and it is widely accepted that even with the intense use of statins in order to achieve the aforementioned goals, not all cardiovascular adverse events are prevented.[9] Consequently, researchers are trying to identify new indexes that would outperform LDL-C especially in the highest risk populations such as patients with diabetes type II or the metabolic syndrome. Therefore, the role of non-HDL cholesterol, apolipoprotein B levels and small dense LDL particles as independent predictors of cardiovascular disease is currently under evaluation.

NON- HDL CHOLESTEROL

Non-HDL cholesterol is defined as all of the cholesterol that is not HDL (total cholesterol- HDL cholesterol) and comprises the cholesterol concentration of all the apoB-lipoproteins, i.e. VLDL, LDL, IDL and lipoprotein(a). If the triglyceride level is more than 200 mg/dl, the increase of non- HDL cholesterol reflects the increase of triglyceride rich lipoproteins, i.e. VLDL. Recent data suggest that non-HDL-C is a good predictor of initial CAD and independent of the levels of LDL.[11] Conducting analysis of the Framingham database, Liu et al. demonstrated that the risk for CAD associated with high non-HDL cholesterol was independent of LDL- C concentration. Moreover, for each LDL-C category, according to NCEP- ATP III, an increase in non-HDL cholesterol increased the risk. It seems that the combination of a high concentration of triglyceride- rich particles and LDL-C carries a particularly high risk and increasing VLDL and IDL concentrations add to the risk at any LDL concentration. Moreover, non-HDL-C has been associated with recurrent episodes of angina pectoris and nonfatal myocardial infarction in patients with multivessel CAD.[12] Data from the Lipid Research Clinic Program cohort study[13] were used to compare the predictive value of non-HDL-C as a risk factor for cardiovascular mortality with LDL-C. The follow-up period was 19 years. In men as well as in women, an increase in non-HDL-C level was associated with an increase in cardiovascular mortality and the relative risk was 2.14 in men with non-HDL-C >220 mg/dl compared to those with non-HDL-C < 160 mg/dl. In patients with hypertriglyceridemia or the metabolic syndrome, the NCEP- ATP III introduced non-HDL-C as a treatment target and many authors have supported the suggestion to estimate non-HDL C when assessing the risk in patients with low to normal LDL- C and treating targets are calculated by adding 30 mg/dl to the standard NCEP-ATP III target LDL-C.

APOLIPOPROTEIN B

Each one of the atherogenic lipoproteins, i.e. each chylomicron, VLDL, IDL, LDL, and lipoprotein(a) contain only 1 molecule of apo-B. Therefore, the total apo-B level reflects the total number of apo- B lipoproteins and measures the total atherogenic particle number. Thus, in a patient with normal LDL-C, high apo-B levels may reflect higher number of small, dense, highly atherogenic LDL particles. Apo-B binds the atherogenic lipoproteins to proteoglycans on the arterial wall, thus facilitating the integration of cholesterol in the macrophages of the subendothelial space which transform into foam cells. Moreover, the oxidation of apo-B creates pro-inflammatory products that propagate atherosclerosis in the arterial wall.[14] Apo-B is more closely related to inflammatory markers than total cholesterol, LDL-C or non-HDL-C.[15] This relation was superior to many other risk factors including body mass index, abdominal obesity, systolic blood pressure, fasting glucose, etc. Finally, the value of apo-B levels in the prediction of metabolic syndrome and diabetes, particularly in women has been shown.[16] It seems that apo-B levels are much more closely related to the risk of vascular events than LDL-C or non-HDL-C as presented in many large prospective trials such as the AMORIS[17] study, the Health Professionals Follow-up Study[18] and the Quebec Cardiovascular Study.[19] In the latter study, non-HDL-C was strongly correlated with apo-B across all cardiac heart disease categories (r=0.9) and this correlation was much stronger than the correlation between LDL-C and apo-B, which became weaker with increasing triglyceride levels. Moreover, this study showed that in patients with CAD, both non-HDL cholesterol and apo-B levels were significantly elevated compared to LDL- C, so greater reduction in non-HDL cholesterol and apo-B than LDL-C would be required for optimal risk management. Finally, apo-B appears to be a better predictor of subsequent CAD events in patients on treatment with statins.[20] However, despite the clinical importance of apo-B levels, the cost and difficulties in the measurement due to lack of standardization across centers precludes its widespread clinical use.

SMALL DENSE LOW-DENSITY LIPOPROTEIN

There are at least seven distinct subclasses of LDL of different particle sizes. Several recent studies have suggested that LDL subfraction distribution aids in the prediction of cardiac heart disease risk. The Quebec Cardiovascular Study[19] confirmed a strong association in men of the cholesterol content in small dense LDL (LDLc <255E) with the risk for ischemic

heart disease compared with the relationship of large LDL (>260 E) to the risk that was weak. This strong association was independent of factors such as HDL-C, triglyceride and apolipoprotein B. In an analysis of subjects with the metabolic syndrome in the Framingham Heart Study,[21] small LDL particle level was increasing with an increasing number of metabolic syndrome traits and those with the syndrome had higher risk for cardiac heart disease. Other studies have confirmed that subjects with increased small, dense LDL levels exhibit also increased VLDL, small, dense HDL and low total HDL levels.[22] It seems that central obesity leads to an increase content of fat in the liver with subsequent increased production and secretion of VLDL. Insulin resistance disturbs the correct regulation of VLDL production and low adiponectin is associated with low VLDL clearance rate. Increased VLDL levels is the key feature of a dyslipidemic syndrome, which initiates a sequence of events that generates the atherogenic small, dense LDL and HDL particles and it is common in diabetes, in the metabolic syndrome, in familial combined hyperlipidemia, in preeclampsia, etc.[22,23] However, small, dense LDL levels failed to predict the onset of frank diabetes in prediabetic subjects whereas VLDL and small HDL concentration appeared to be related to future onset of diabetes.[24]

The role of small, dense LDL cholesterol in the pathogenesis and progression of the atheromatous plaque has recently been elucidated. Small, dense LDL is more easily oxidized and is subject to a higher degree of retention in the arterial wall. Small, dense LDL also exhibits reduced binding capacity to the LDL-receptor, thus is staying longer in the circulation and is subject to more structural changes which can increase its atherogenic potential. Small, dense LDL promotes endothelial dysfunction, inducing greater production of plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI)-I and thromboxane A_2.[25] Interventions that would modify small, dense LDL level include administration of statins that reduce all LDL subfractions, administration of fibrates in which case the benefits are greater in individuals with a predominance of small, dense LDL particles and apparently peroxisome- activated receptor γ agonists seem to be able to alter particle size in diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and in hypertension without a change in plasma VLDL or triglyceride concentration.[26]

CONCLUSION

Increasing number of studies are supporting the incremental value of measuring non-LDL and especially apolipoprotein-B. Patients already on statins with high apo-B plasma level may still have too many small, dense LDL particles which are highly atherogenic and may warrant more aggressive approach and management may be in the form of combination of lipid-lowering drugs. Current guidelines clearly set LDL-C as the primary target of lipid lowering therapy and introduce non-HDL as a secondary target of therapy in patients with elevated triglycerides level (≥200 mg/dl).[8] As for the therapeutic strategies, statins remain the mainstay of treatment for increased LDL as well as non-HDL cholesterol even as monotherapy. When LDL cholesterol is extremely high, a combination of drug therapy is advised, e.g. statins and bile acid sequestrant in order to reach the therapeutic goal.

Ezetimibe has complementary action to the statins adding an extra 20% LDL reduction and its clinical significance was studied in the ENHANCE trial[27] that was recently published. The results were disappointing and raised much speculation since the study failed to show any benefit in the intima media thickness in the carotid arteries from the treatment with the combination of simvastatin and ezetimibe compared to simvastatin alone. Fibrates are considered for monotherapy only when triglycerides are over 500 mg/dl due to high risk of acute pancreatitis. However, when triglycerides fall below 500 mg/dl, LDL becomes again the primary target of therapy and statins are usually combined with a fibrate or nicotinic acid. The latter two drugs also increase HDL. However, ATP III does not specify a certain goal for HDL in patients with decreased levels as in the metabolic syndrome and outline the importance of LDL lowering as a primary target. Adding a drug such as a fibrate in a patient with low HDL after reaching LDL target may be considered in high risk populations. Torcertapib, a cholesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP) inhibitor was a promising drug that could substantially increase HDL cholesterol. However, a phase III trial, the ILLUMINATE trial,[28] was terminated early because an interim analysis showed an increased rate of mortality in patients receiving the combination of atorvastatin and torcetrapib compared to those receiving atorvastatin alone.

Finally, it is very important to note that a majority of high risk patients even on a statin have very high levels of LDL cholesterol according to the ASPIRE[29] and EUROASPIRE[30] registries. Therefore, all possible interventions should be implemented in patients according to the existing guidelines in order to reach the therapeutic targets and consequently reduce mortality and risk for cardiovascular events. Further large scale prospective clinical trials will clarify the clinical circumstances that justify further lipoprotein analysis and how to best use the information taken from new indices such as non-HDL, apolipoprotein B or small dense LDL particles, in the management of our patients.

REFERENCES

1. Levenson JW, Skerrett PJ, Gazianno JM. Reducing the global burden of cardiovascular disease: the role of risk factors. *Prev Cardiol* 2002; 5:188-199.
2. Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Merz CN, et al. Implications of recent clinical trials for the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III guidelines. *Circulation* 2004; 110:227–239.

3. O'Keefe JH Jr, Cordain L, Harris WH, et al. Optimal low-density lipoprotein is 50 to 70 mg/dl. Lower is better and physiologically normal. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004; 43:2142– 2146.
4. Robinson JG, Smith B, Maheshwari N, Schrott H. Pleiotrophic effects of statins: benefit beyond cholesterol reduction? A meta-regression analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005; 46:1855– 1862.
5. Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. MRC/BHF heart protection study of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin in 20,536 high-risk individuals: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2002; 360:7–22.
6. PROVE-IT Investigators. Intensive versus moderate lipid lowering with statins after acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 350:1495–1504.
7. REVERSAL Investigators. Effect of intensive compared with moderate lipid-lowering therapy on progression of coronary atherosclerosis: a randomized control study. *JAMA* 2004; 291:1071–1080.
8. Adult Treatment Panel III. Executive summary of the third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults. *JAMA* 2001; 285:2486 –2497.
9. Pedersen TR, Faergeman O, Kastelein JJ, et al. High-dose atorvastatin vs usual-dose simvastatin for secondary prevention after myocardial infarction. The IDEAL study: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2005; 294:2437– 2445.
10. Sniderman AD. Apolipoprotein B versus Non-HDL cholesterol. And the winner is… *Circulation* 2005; 112:3336-3367.
11. Liu J, Sempos CT, Donahue RP, Dorn J, et al. Non-HDL lipoprotein and VLDL cholesterol and their risk predictive values in coronary heart disease. *Am J Cardiol* 2006; 98:1363-1368.
12. Bittner V, Hardison R, Kelsey SF, et al. Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation (BARI). *Circulation* 2002; 106:2537-2542.
13. Ciu Y, Blumenthal RS, Flaws JA et al. Non- HDL cholesterol level as a predictor of cardiovascular disease mortality. *Arch Intern Med*. 2001; 161:1413-1419.
14. Sniderman DA, Faraj M. Apolipoprotein B, apolipoprotein A-I, insulin resistance and the metabolic syndrome. *Curr Opin Lipid* 2007; 18: 633-637.
15. Sattar N, Williams K, Sniderman AD, et al. Comparison of the associations of apolipoprotein B and non-HDL cholesterol with other cardiovascular risk factors in patients with the metabolic syndrome in the Insulin Resistance Study. *Circulation* 2004; 108: 2687-2693.
16. Onat A, Can G, Hergenc G, et al. Serum apolipoprotein B predicts dyslipidaemia, metabolic syndrome and, in women, hypertension and diabetes, independent of markers of central obesity- and inflammation. *Int J Obes* 2007; 31: 1119-1125.
17. Walldius G, Junger I, Holmes I, et al. High apolipoprotein B, low apolipoprotein A-I, and improvement in the prediction of fatal myocardial infarction (AMORIS study): a prospective study. *Lancet* 2001; 358: 2026-2033.
18. Pischon T, Girman CJ, Sacks FM, et al. Non-HDL cholesterol and apolipoprotein-B in the prediction of coronary heart disease in men. *Circulation* 2005; 112: 3375-3383.
19. St-Pierre A, Cantin B, Dagenais GR, et al. Low- Density lipoprotein subfractions and the long-term risk of ischaemic heart disease in men. 13-year follow-up data from the Quebec Cardiovascular Study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2005; 25:553-559.
20. Barter PJ, Ballantyne CM, Carmera R, et al. Apo-B versus cholesterol in estimating cardiovascular risk and in guiding therapy: report of the Thirty- Person/ Ten- country Panel. *J Int Med* 2006; 259: 247-258.
21. Kathiresan S, Otvos JD, Sullivan LM, et al. Increased small low-density lipoprotein particle number: a prominent feature of the metabolic syndrome in the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 2006; 113: 20-29.
22. Packard CJ. Small, dense low-density lipoprotein and its role as an independent predictor of cardiovascular disease. *Curr Opin Lipid* 2006; 17: 412-417.
23. Berneis KK, Krauss RM. Metabolic origins and clinical significance of LDL heterogeneity. *J Lipid Res* 2002; 43:1363-1379.
24. Festa A, Williams K, Hanley AJ, et al. Nuclear magnetic resonance lipoprotein abnormalities in prediabetic subjects in the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. *Circulation* 2005; 111:3465-3472.
25. Hurt-Camejo E, Camejo G, Sartipy P. Phospholipase A2 and small, dense low-density lipoprotein. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 2000; 11: 465-471.
26. Mudd JO, Borlaug BA, Johnston PV, et al. Beyond low- density lipoprotein cholesterol: defining the role of LDL heterogeneity in coronary artery disease. *JACC* 2007; 50: 1735-1741.
27. Greenland P, Lloyd-Jones D. Critical lessons from the ENHANCE trial. *JAMA* 2008; 299:953-955.
28. Barter PJ, Caulfield M, Eriksson M, et al; ILLUMINATE Investigators. Effects of torcetrapib in patients at high risk for coronary events. *N Engl J Med* 2007; 357:2109-2122.
29. Bowker TJ, Clayton TC, Ingham J et al. A British Cardiac Society of the potential for the secondary prevention of coronary disease: ASPIRE (Action on Secondary Prevention through Intervention to Reduce Events). *Heart* 1996; 75: 334-342.
30. EUROASPIRE Study Group. A European Society of Cardiology survey of secondary prevention of coronary heart disease: principal results. *Eur Heart J* 1997; 18: 1569-1582.