

FEEDBACK OR FEEDFORWARD: SUPPORTING STUDENTS WITH ALTERNATE OR MISSING CONCEPTIONS IN CHEMISTRY AS THEY TRANSITION INTO TERTIARY CHEMISTRY

Gwen Lawrie^a, Roy Tasker^b, Anthony Wright^c, Glennys O'Brien^d, Simon Bedford^d, Chantal Bailey^a, Christopher Thompson^e, Hayden Dickson^e, Tim Dargaville^f, Madeleine Schultz^f, Mark Williams^b

Presenting Authors: Gwen Lawrie (g.lawrie@uq.edu.au), Simon Bedford (sbedford@uow.edu.au), Roy Tasker (R.Tasker@uws.edu.au)

^aSchool of Chemistry and Molecular Biosciences, The University of Queensland, St Lucia QLD 4072, Australia

^bSchool of Chemistry, University of Western Sydney, Penrith NSW 2751, Australia

^cSchool of Education, The University of Queensland, St Lucia QLD 4072, Australia

^dSchool of Chemistry, University of Wollongong, Wollongong NSW 2522, Australia

^eSchool of Chemistry, Monash University, Clayton VIC 3800, Australia

^fScience and Engineering Faculty, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane QLD 4001, Australia

KEYWORDS: Formative Feedback; Misconceptions; First-year transition

ABSTRACT

Constructivist learning environments are most effective when the learner and teacher are both aware of the existing conceptual models that learners possess to enable them to extend and apply their understanding rather than resort to rote learning (Taber, 2001). As students transition into, and engage in, the new tertiary learning environment it is important to assist them to maximise the effectiveness of their learning which requires measurement or diagnosis of their existing conceptual understanding. One of the challenges in teaching chemistry is to encourage students to recognise their existing knowledge and conceptual understanding and then apply it in new learning situations (Schraw, Crippen, & Hartley, 2006).

Feedback is particularly important for first-year students because they are coming to terms with the change of environment, expectations, teaching approaches and forms of assessment. In this context, Hattie and Timperley's three questions (Hattie & Timperley, 2007) are particularly relevant: "Where am I going?", "How am I going?" and "Where to next?" Formative assessment is critical to "How am I going?" and the feedback is just as valuable for the instructor as for the students to support student learning.

References

REFERENCES

- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The Power of Feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77, 81-112.
- Schraw, G., Crippen, K. J., & Hartley, K. (2006). Promoting self-regulation in science education: Metacognition as part of a broader perspective on learning. *Research in Science Education*, 36, 111-39.
- Taber, K. S. (2001). The mismatch between assumed prior knowledge and the Learner's conceptions: A typology of learning impediments. *Educational Studies*, 27, 159-71.

Proceedings of the Australian Conference on Science and Mathematics Education, Australian National University, Sept 19th to Sept 21st, 2013, page 80, ISBN Number 978-0-9871834-2-2.