WHICH REPRESENTATION IS BEST? HOW STUDENTS USE REPRESENTATIONAL INFORMATION IN PROBLEM SOLVING

Matthew Hill^a, Manjula D. Sharma^a, John Airey^{b,d}, Burkhard Priemer^c, Cedric Linder^d

Presenting Author: Matthew Hill (m.hill@phyiscs.usyd.edu.au)

^aSchool of Physics, University of Sydney, Camperdown NSW 2006, Australia

^bSchool of Language and Literature, Linneaus University, Kalmar and Växjö, Sweden

^cDepartment of Physics, Ruhr University Bochum, Bochum, Germany

^dDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

KEYWORDS: multiple representations, optics, Snell's law, graphs, equations, ray diagrams, university physics

ABSTRACT

We can present information to students, but the representation we use may change the way it is understood. Both diagrams and equations are seen as abstract physics representations, part of the physics disciplinary discourse (Airey & Linder, 2009), and can be notoriously difficult for students to understand (Woolnough, 2000). In this respect, Fredlund, Airey, and Linder (2012) have suggested that different physics representations have different disciplinary affordances, that is, they perform different disciplinary work. In the area of refraction, Snell's Law is often regarded as the essential form of information, but can Snell's Law distract students from understanding simple questions? In this study, a survey with either a ray diagram or Snell's Law as an equation was administered to over 300 first year university physics students. It was found that a ray diagram drawn as an example instead of Snell's Law allows for a better reasoning tool to understand refraction of light for students both novice (219 students who had not studied physics previously) and experienced (98 students who had studied physics at high school). This finding has an impact on the way that optics is taught and understood at a high school and university level. The work suggests that the choice of representation may also be educationally critical in other areas of physics and science where a variety of representations including equations and diagrams are commonly used to share knowledge.

REFERENCES

Airey, J., & Linder, C. (2009). A Disciplinary Discourse Perspective on University Science Learning: Achieving Fluency in a Critical Constellation of Modes. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 46(1), 27-49.

Fredlund, T., Airey, J., & Linder, C. (2012). Exploring the role of physics representations: an illustrative example from students sharing knowledge about refraction. *European Journal of Physics*, 33(3), 657-666.

Woolnough, J. (2000). How do Students Learn to Apply Their Mathematical Knowledge to Interpret Graphs in Physics? Research in Science Education, 30(3), 259-267.

Proceedings of the Australian Conference on Science and Mathematics Education, University of Sydney, Sept 26th to Sept 28th, 2012, page 45, ISBN Number 978-0-9871834-1-5.