

## University of Massachusetts Boston ScholarWorks at UMass Boston

---

Data Note Series, Institute for Community  
Inclusion

Institute for Community Inclusion

---

11-1-2006

# Data Note: Relationship Between Integrated Employment and State Unemployment Rates for MR/DD Consumers

Katherine Fichthorn  
*University of Massachusetts Boston*

Dana Scott Gilmore  
*University of Massachusetts Boston*

Follow this and additional works at: [http://scholarworks.umb.edu/ici\\_datanote](http://scholarworks.umb.edu/ici_datanote)

 Part of the [Public Policy Commons](#), and the [Work, Economy and Organizations Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Fichthorn, Katherine and Gilmore, Dana Scott, "Data Note: Relationship Between Integrated Employment and State Unemployment Rates for MR/DD Consumers" (2006). *Data Note Series, Institute for Community Inclusion*. Paper 39.  
[http://scholarworks.umb.edu/ici\\_datanote/39](http://scholarworks.umb.edu/ici_datanote/39)

This Occasional Paper is brought to you for free and open access by the Institute for Community Inclusion at ScholarWorks at UMass Boston. It has been accepted for inclusion in Data Note Series, Institute for Community Inclusion by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at UMass Boston. For more information, please contact [library.uasc@umb.edu](mailto:library.uasc@umb.edu).

# Data Note

No. 8, 2006

Data set: MR/DD

## Relationship between integrated employment and state unemployment rates for MR/DD consumers

State mental retardation/developmental disability (MR/DD) agencies provided day and employment supports to over 466,500 people in 2001. Approximately 23% of individuals supported by MR/DD agencies nationwide were employed in integrated employment settings, with individual state outcomes ranging from 2% to 56%.


Researchers explored state unemployment rates to determine whether a state's MR/DD agency employment outcomes were related to the state's economy. The following table and maps compare the percentage of consumers working in integrated employment with state unemployment rates (UR), rounded to the nearest percentage point.

There was no significant correlation between the percentage of consumers in integrated employment and unemployment rate. This finding suggests that in 2001, a state's unemployment rate was not related to its integrated employment outcomes for individuals supported by state MR/DD agencies.

State	Integrated %	UR %	State	Integrated %	UR %	State	Integrated %	UR %
AK	48	6	KY	29	5	NY	20	5
AL	2	5	LA	41	6	OH	24	4
AR	3	5	MA	27	4	OK	39	4
AZ	---	5	MD	42	4	OR	38	6
CA	19	5	ME	31	4	PA	35	5
CO	34	4	MI	38	5	RI	---	5
CT	52	3	MN	47	4	SC	33	5
DC	4	6	MO	12	5	SD	42	3
DE	33	3	MS	8	6	TN	14	4
FL	---	5	MT	---	5	TX	20	5
GA	39	4	NC	9	6	UT	40	4
HI	---	5	ND	---	3	VA	26	3
IA	---	3	NE	23	3	VT	39	4
ID	10	5	NH	51	4	WA	56	6
IL	12	5	NJ	20	4	WI	14	5
IN	19	4	NM	31	5	WV	---	5
KS	24	4	NV	---	5	WY	26	4

**Data sources:** U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics ([www.bls.gov](http://www.bls.gov)) and the ICI National Survey of Day and Employment Services for People with Developmental Disabilities



This is a publication of StateData.info, funded in part by the Administration on Developmental Disabilities, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (#90DN0204). This Data Note was written by Katherine Fichthorn and Dana S. Gilmore.



**StateData.info**  
A project of the Institute for Community Inclusion at UMass Boston



## Percentage in Integrated Employment, 2001



## State Unemployment Rates (%), 2001

