

University of Massachusetts Boston ScholarWorks at UMass Boston

Data Note Series, Institute for Community
Inclusion

Institute for Community Inclusion

11-1-2011

Data Note: Decline in the Provision of Facility- Based Work Services for People with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities


Jean E. Winsor

University of Massachusetts Boston, jean.winsor@umb.edu

Alberto Migliore

University of Massachusetts Boston, alberto.migliore@umb.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarworks.umb.edu/ici_datanote

 Part of the [Disability Law Commons](#), [Labor and Employment Law Commons](#), and the [Work, Economy and Organizations Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Winsor, Jean E. and Migliore, Alberto, "Data Note: Decline in the Provision of Facility-Based Work Services for People with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities" (2011). *Data Note Series, Institute for Community Inclusion*. Paper 4.
http://scholarworks.umb.edu/ici_datanote/4

This Occasional Paper is brought to you for free and open access by the Institute for Community Inclusion at ScholarWorks at UMass Boston. It has been accepted for inclusion in Data Note Series, Institute for Community Inclusion by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at UMass Boston. For more information, please contact library.uasc@umb.edu.

Decline in the Provision of Facility-Based Work Services for People with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities

By Jean E. Winsor and Alberto Migliore

Facility-based work services are vocational services provided in settings where the majority of people have a disability and receive continuous job-related supports and supervision. Facility-based work services are also referred to as sheltered work, work activity services, or extended employment programs.

In 2001, the Rehabilitation Services Administration formally eliminated sheltered employment as a successful outcome for clients of state vocational rehabilitation agencies. Since then, IDD agencies have been among the few state-level agencies that have continued to authorize and fund facility-based work outcomes. Several factors may influence participation in facility-based work for individuals with IDD, including state IDD agency funding and policy priorities, growth in community integration service options, selection of services at transition by individuals and their families, and the priority placed on integrated employment outcomes by state systems.

Data over the past ten years from 17 states¹ demonstrate that the percentage of individuals reported by state IDD agencies that have received facility-based work services declined from 36.0% in FY1999 to 26.4% in FY2009. The number of individuals reported in facility-based work also declined during this period (Table 1). However, there was not a corresponding increase in integrated employment outcomes in these states, and the percentage of people reported in integrated employment declined slightly, from 26.1% to 24.2%.² During this same time period, non-work services have continued to grow at the expense of integrated employment. The percentage of individuals receiving non-work services increased from 41.8% in FY1999 to 50.4% in FY2009, an increase of more than 30,000 individuals in these 17 states. These data demonstrate that despite the decrease in facility-based work services, state IDD agencies need to place a greater emphasis on integrated employment outcomes.


The National Survey of State Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities Day and Employment Services is a longitudinal study commissioned by the Administration on Developmental Disabilities to analyze trends in community-based day and employment services between FY1988 and FY2009 for individuals with IDD and closely related conditions.

Table 1. Distribution of individuals by service

Year	Number Served				Percentage by Service		
	Total served	Integrated employment	Facility-based work	Non-work services	Integrated employment	Facility-based work	Non-work services
1999	168,419	43,999	60,660	70,396	26.1%	36.0%	41.8%
2001	169,511	42,445	57,069	74,812	25.0%	33.7%	44.1%
2004	183,583	41,484	53,864	90,993	22.6%	29.3%	49.6%
2007	186,023	43,483	53,626	93,285	23.4%	28.8%	50.1%
2008	187,370	48,999	54,089	95,679	26.2%	28.9%	51.1%
2009	200,345	48,405	52,951	101,002	24.2%	26.4%	50.4%

¹ States were included in this analysis if they provided data on the number served in integrated and facility-based work and non-work services between 1999 and 2009. The states were: AL, CT, IN, MA, NC, NH, NV, NY, OH, OK, OR, SC, SD, VA, VT, WA, and WY.

² Beginning in 2007, the number and percentage of people in integrated employment is inflated slightly by the inclusion of the state of Washington. Because of the implementation of the Working Age Adult Policy in 2006, approximately 2,000 individuals are included in integrated employment who are on a pathway to employment but are not yet working for pay. The authors assume that in all states a small percentage of individuals who are reported in integrated employment services are looking for work or otherwise between jobs and not working for pay, but this gap is larger in Washington.



Suggested Citation

Winsor, J. E., & Migliore, A. (2011). *Decline in the provision of facility-based work services for people with intellectual and developmental disabilities*. Data Note Series, Data Note 35. Boston, MA: University of Massachusetts Boston, Institute for Community Inclusion.