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#### Abstract

Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs) are one of the today's most successful technologies for developing systems that require real time operation and providing additional flexibility to the designer. This research is focused on developing a control board for a permanent magnet synchronous machine (PMSM) using an FPGA module. The board is configured for individual use of an FPGA, digital signal processor (DSP) or in combination to control the PMSM by generating the required Pulse Width Modulator (PWM) to the inverter in order to drive and control the speed of the PMSM. Since, the exact rotor position and speed are required to control the motor; a useful method is developed digitally and implemented in the FPGA hardware module. The speed observer (SO), in which the Hall effect signals were used to calculate the speed and the angle of the rotor.

In this thesis, three different techniques of PWM generation were developed and combined with rotor position and speed method. The project is implemented in Altera FPGA using Quartus II software V11.0 with VHDL as the supporting language. The design achieved high performance and accuracy of the detection estimation and control scheme for the Permanent Magnet Synchronous Machine. Error and design analysis has been done also.
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## NOMENCLATURE

| Symbol | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| HEVs | Hybrid Electric Vehicles |
| EMs | Electric Motors |
| CAN | Computer Automotive Network |
| RTDC | Resolver-to-Digital Converter |
| SO | Speed Observer |
| PMSM | Permanent Magnet Synchronous Machine |
| FPGA | Field Programmable Gate Array |
| $Q$ | Quality factor |
| $f_{c}$ | Cutoff frequency |
| $\hat{i}$ | Observed current |
| $\hat{v}$ | Observed voltage |
| $h_{a}, h_{b}, h_{c}$ | Hall effect sensor signals |
| $\omega_{r}$ | Rotor angular speed |
| $\hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | Rotor estimated speed |
| $\theta_{r}$ | Rotor electrical position |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. BACKGROUND

Electric motors (EMs) and generators are the primary workhorses in hybridelectric vehicles (HEVs). The generators convert mechanical power from the engine electrical power in order to charge the batteries and operate the motors. Then motors produce the required torque to drive the wheels. There are many types of motors and generators used in HEVs: induction, switched reluctance, and permanent magnet. Each type requires the occurrence of a magnetic field. Reluctance and induction motors use an external source to provide the magnetic field, while the permanent magnet motors use permanent magnets for this purpose. The critical factors for these components are power, efficiency, controllability, cost, and durability [1-3].

PMSMs have been generally used in the HEV over the last two decades because of their high efficiency, high-power density and lack of a dc field winding in the rotor; however, they are more expensive than alternatives [2]. It is usually accepted that precise control of a PMSM requires exact rotor position and speed information. The methods to detect the rotor position are basically divided into two categories. One is the rotor position detection by a position sensor mounted on the machine rotor, and the other is a sensor-less method based on indirect rotor position estimation techniques [3].

In this thesis, a control board for a PMSM is constructed with advanced electronic techniques in order to offer the best type of control for a PMSM in a HEV application. The board contains many different signal processing circuits in addition to the flexibility of using microcontroller of FPGA module to apply different control applications. Rotor speed and position scheme is implemented in the FPGA using VHDL language. The method used is the Hall effect sensors to estimate the rotor speed and position [4].

### 1.2. PROPOSED APPROACH

In order to estimate the rotor position and control the PMSM, a signal processing control board is necessary to study, investigate and apply many different control techniques. The circuits in the board have been simulated using MATLAB SIMULINK and SPICE for the sake of accuracy and stability of the system. Then CADSOFT EAGLE

PCB design software was used to layout the board. The board uses two different technologies to control the motor: a digital signal processor (DSP) and a FPGA. The Altera Cyclone II FPGA module delivers high performance and low power consumption at a cost that rivals that of ASICs. Altera's modules are supported by the easy-to-use and Quartus II licensed or free Edition design software.

The control board has the capability of working in three modes, the DSP only, the FPGA only, or the DSP-FPGA share mode. In the DSP mode only, all the signals are forwarded towards the DSP for either generating a special signal such as PWM or reading an external signal such as dc bus voltage, current sensors, or Hall effect signals to make other control decisions. The FPGA is being developed to have the same functionality as the DSP. Furthermore, these two devices can share all the control operation if needed, due to the high performance of the FPGA which runs at 24 MHz in this particular module or even up to 400 MHz in some other families.

Signal conditioning circuits are added to the board in order to offer the compatibility for the DSP to read external signals from the inverter (Semikron Box), current sensors or the dc bus. Another differential input circuit has been implemented in case applications require different ground reference. Also, level shifter circuits from +3 V to +15 V and +15 V to +3 V are needed to condition the PWM signals to be compatible with the Inverter gates threshold or receive fault signals respectively.

Sallen-Key Filters were added to the board for converting signals from digital to analog for monitoring some interesting parameters. Communication is very important in this type of applications, thus, Computer Automotive Network (CAN) transceivers were added to the board. For extra design flexibility, digital Input/Outputs and relay contractors were added to the board for future uses. External Analog to Digital Converters (ADCs) were added in case of the DSP ADCs failure and to speed up the DSP's processing by passing them through the FPGA. Another 16 channel serial ADC was added to offer digital signal to the FPGA.

Figures 1.1 and 1.2 illustrate the control board block diagram and the real board attached to the inverter screen shot.


Figure 1.1. PCB0021 Control Board.


Figure 1.2. The Control Board and the Inverter.

### 1.3. LITERATURE REVIEW

The existing literatures on the rotor speed and position estimation are studied in this section along with literature that focuses in type of observing methods to estimate these characteristics. A common type of motor that has been used in HEVs is the PMSM [2]. Therefore, this literature will be around this type of a motor.

A proposal of self-sensing technique is proposed in [4]. The technique functions in a manner similar to a resolver and resolver-to-digital converter (RTDC) sensing system, in this technique the motor acts as the electromagnetic resolver and the power converter applies carrier-frequency voltages to the stator which produce high-frequency currents that proportional with position. The sensed currents are processed with a heterodyning technique that provides a signal that is nearly proportional to the difference between the actual rotor position and an estimated rotor position.

Another proposal of a self-sensing technique and high-frequency injection in [5] is based on tracking observer to correct the limitation in [4]. This method uses a rotating vector, a carrier signal, and a tracking observer. In this method, high-frequency injection makes low and zero speed detection possible.

The authors in [6] proposed a FPGA based scheme in which the rotor position can be estimated by using three symmetrical locked Hall effect position sensors. This scheme is implemented using the Altera FPGA, by assuming the time interval between adjacent changes Hall effect sensor signal is $\Delta t$ and calculating the average electric angular velocity $\omega_{r}$ is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{r}=\pi /(3 \Delta t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimated dynamic error is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \theta_{D \max }=\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{p}{2 a}\left(\omega_{2}+\sqrt{\omega_{2}^{2}-\frac{\mathrm{a} \pi}{\mathrm{p}}}\right)\left(-\omega_{2}+\sqrt{\omega_{2}^{2}+\frac{a \pi}{p}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $a$ is the motor's acceleration, $p$ is the number of pole pair, and $\omega_{2}$ is the angular velocity that corresponds to the switching point of the Hall interval.

A scheme of modeling and cosimulation of the PMSM control system using an FPGA is proposed in [7], This method uses the Space Vector Pulse Width Modulator (SVPWM), $D-Q$ transformation and PI controller as a control scheme. During the design, the cosimulation between the function model and the VHDL model has been implemented. The cosimulation between the function model and VHDL model realizes the timing and control simulation by using Link-for Modelsim ${ }^{\circledR}$ in MATLAB.

The high frequency signal injection method proposed in [8] to improve the detection accuracy. A DSP (TMS320F2812) is used to implement this method and 4-pole PMSM is selected as experiment subject. The position detection scheme shows high accuracy and reduces the error.

Mathematical modeling of a PMSM and the flux-linkage observer is proposed in [9]. The mathematical model is used to approximate the nonlinear system of PMSM. Due to the present modification scheme with error-correction, the rotor position and machine speed can be estimated; even in the transient state the system has the capability of the smooth starting and reversing. In addition, the system with the flux-linkage is very robust and can tolerate variations in PMSM parameters.

The Hall effect method proposed in [10]; uses a simple algorithm that combines the measurements of a Hall effect sensor with a "sensorless" method. In this method, the Hall effect position sensor is used instead of a resolver as the mechanical sensor, to allow vector control of a PMSM with a sinusoidal back-EMF. As a Hall effect sensor provides only six measurements per electrical turn, the position error between the actual rotor position and the measured position can potentially reach $30^{\circ}$ electrically. This error affects the control performances and causes significant torque oscillations and over currents, which are not suitable in transportation systems applications. This method is easy to implement, but it can not offer continuous precise information of the rotor position.

A Flux linkage method is proposed in [11] and uses the flux linkage signals to calculate the rotor position based on trigonometric approximations. The flux linkage signals can be measured by accessing the neutral point of the PMSM. Regarding the
software implementation, the PMSM is modeled in Maxwell, where the nonlinear magnetic flux density $B$ and the magnetic flux intensity curves are also considered.

Multiple geometric and saturation induced saliencies based on a stator-oriented magnetic circuit approach is introduced in [12], it introduces a new modeling approach of a nonlinear machine model. The advantage of this method is that all kinds of saliencies that occur in the electrical machine can be considered simultaneously. Moreover, modeling in the stator coordinates leads to a physically motivated explanation when the cross saturation effect can occur in a PMSM.

The vector-tracking position observer is proposed [13], it is an improved approach for estimating the rotor positions in PMSM drives with low-resolution Hall effect sensors. A vector-tracking position observer in conjunction with a discrete Hall effect sensor's output signal has been proposed, which is similar to a phase-locked loop structure. It consists of a position error detector, based on the vector cross product of the unit back-electromotive-force vectors obtained from a stator electrical model, and a proportional-integral type controller, to make the position error rapidly converge to zero. This structure does not only compensate the misalignment effect of the Hall effect sensors, but also enhance their transient operating capability. This structure allows the proposed approach to provide useful position information even at and around zero speeds where the vector-tracking correction loop cannot correctly operate. Above zero speeds, the proposed approach provides the high-resolution position information, where the position estimation error rapidly converges to zero regardless of the misalignment of Hall's sensors and the excessive average-speed error, particularly speed transient operation. Through the experiments during the steady-state, start-up, speed transient, and load transient operations, the effectiveness and the dynamic performance of the proposed approach have been evaluated and verified.

Most of the literature focuses on how to implement different techniques using mathematical modeling through MATLAB SIMULINK and implement it into a microcontroller. However, a few authors had tried to implement the algorithms in an FPGA. Moreover, all the previous studies did not discuss the error analysis of the hybrid speed observer in which the rotor speed and positions can be determine from the Hall effect sensors' signals.

### 1.4. THESIS OUTLINE

This section deals with general introduction for the HEV and the importance of the PMSM in the implementation also brief detail of the existing observation and estimation of the rotor speed and angle and how these techniques can help on the control of the motor.

Section 2 describes the control board implementation and the electronic related circuits design configurations and calculations.

Section 3 provides an introduction to the Pulse Width Modulator and different approaches of PWM signal generation by using the FPGA or Microcontroller.

Section 4 describes the Hybrid Speed Observer technique for detecting the rotor speed and position using the Hall effect signals and the physical implementation in the FPGA using the Quartus II software and the error analysis.

Section 5 presents the system validations after the implementation in the FPGA and connecting the board to the PMSM. The results are presented in this section to show the possibility of substituting the Microcontroller with an FPGA or sharing the operations.

Section 6 presents the conclusions. Design problems and recommendations for future research are also discussed in this chapter.

## 2. SIGNAL PROCESSING AND CONTROL BOARD

### 2.1. INTRODUCTION

The control board consists of 15 different circuit blocks. Each bock has been developed and simulated using SIMULINK or PLECS before the physical implementation to ensure desired results. Numerical calculations were used to determine the right values for the components. All components were chosen based on cost, efficiency, and minimum losses. This section of the thesis will explain the purpose of each circuit associated with a step by step design calculation and circuit configuration.

### 2.2. SIGNAL CONDITIONING CIRCUIT

Most of the digital devices are compatible with an interface of 0-3V as standard I/O. Both the DSP and the FPGA in this design are compatible with 0V as the OFF state and +3.3 V as the ON state. On the other hand, analog signals that need to be processed can be at any range. In this specific design, the analog signal from current sensors (LA $100-\mathrm{p}$ ) is $\pm 10 \mathrm{~V}$. An operational amplifier (Op-Amp) TLE-2082 from Texas Instruments (TI) will be used to offer the desired gain and offset. The signals are scaled from $\pm 10 \mathrm{~V}$ to $0-3 \mathrm{~V}$ in order to be compatible with DSP and The FPGA. Figure 2.1 illustrates the circuit diagram of non-inverting gain offset amplifier. The value of the resistors $\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ can be determined by the following equations [14], and Table 2.1 illustrates the amplifier desired parameter to achieve the targeted voltage scale while Table 2.2 showing the selected value of these resistors.


Figure 2.1. Gains and Offset Circuit.

Table 2.1. Maximum and Minimum Voltage Range.

| Vout,min | 0 V |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vout,max | 3 V |
| Vin,min | -10 V |
| Vin,max | +10 V |
| Vref | +10 V |
| m | 0.15 |
| b | 1.5 |

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}=\mathrm{m} \times \mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}+\mathrm{b} \\
\mathrm{~m}=\frac{\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{1}}}{\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{1}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{2}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{3}}} \\
\mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {ref }} \times \frac{\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{3}}}{\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{1}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{2}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{3}}} \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

Table 2.2. The Resistor Values for Fig. 2.1.

| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $49.9 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $10.7 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | $49.9 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ |

### 2.3. FILTER CIRCUIT

Filter circuits usually are crucial and require precision. The Sallen Key filter is very popular active filter topology. It is used to implement second order filters or higher with different gain factor, high-quality factor, and simple configuration for the secondorder type and can be constructed with following equations from [15, 16]. Figure 2.2 [16] illustrates the generic Sallen Key filter configuration.


Figure 2.2. Generic Sallen Key Filter Topology.

The cutoff frequency $f_{c}$ and the quality factor $Q$ are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}}}  \tag{6}\\
\mathrm{Q}=\frac{\sqrt{\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}}}{\mathrm{C}_{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}+\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)} \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

For simplicity of the design, a good assumption is to equalize all the resistors.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This assumption simplifies the equations of the quality factor and the cutoff frequency as follows.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{Q}=\frac{\sqrt{\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}}}{2 \mathrm{C}_{2}}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1}}{\mathrm{C}_{2}}}  \tag{9}\\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{R} \sqrt{\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}}}  \tag{10}\\
\mathrm{C}_{1}=\frac{2 \mathrm{Q}}{\mathrm{R} \omega_{\mathrm{o}}}  \tag{11}\\
\mathrm{C}_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{RQ} \omega_{\mathrm{o}}} \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

In this design, the desired cutoff frequency is $f_{c}=3 \mathrm{KHz}$ and quality factor is $Q=$ 0.6 for a better response. After using equations (9), (10), (11), and (12) the values of the design are shown in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3. Capacitor and Resistor Values.

| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 142 pF |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 100 pF |
| R | $2.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ |

### 2.4. LEVEL SHIFTERS

The microcontroller and FPGA outputs are limited to +3.3 V logic signal while the inverter module needs about +15 V to turn the gate of the insolated gate bipolar transistors
(IGBTs) on and also the fault signals that need to be interfaced to the DSP or FPGA are +15 V . A logic level shifting circuit is needed therefore; the CD4504B voltage levelshifter from Texas Instruments consists of six circuits, which shift the input signals from the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CC}}$ logic level to the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{DD}}$ logic level. For example, to shift from TTL logic signals to CMOS logic scale, the SELECT pin-13 input is at the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CC}}$ high logic state. And when the SELECT pin is at low logic state "GND", each circuit translates the signals from one CMOS to another [17]. Figure 2.3 [17] illustrates the internal block diagram and the pin out for CD4504B.


Figure 2.3. CMOS Hex Voltage -Level Shifter.

### 2.5. ANALOG TO DIGITAL CONVERTERS

The outputs of the current, voltage, and temperature sensors are analog. In order to process these signals by using DSP, analog to digital converters are needed. Eight AD7276 12-bit, high speed, and low power ADCs from Analog Devices are used to convert the sensors' analog signals to digital signals in order to interface it to the DSP or the FPGA [19]. Figure 2.4 [18] shows the block diagram of AD7276. Another serial analog to digital converter AD7329 has been added to the design because of extra
flexibility to process $\pm 10 \mathrm{~V}$ analog signals without any signal conditioning. Figure 2.5 [19] shows the block diagram of the 8 -channel AD7329 serial ADC.


Figure 2.4. AD7276 Block Diagram [18].


Figure 2.5. AD7329 Block Diagram [19].

### 2.6. ISOLATOR CIRCUIT

Isolator circuits are usually used when a certain signal needs to be transferred from one circuit to another without any direct coupling, because these circuits may operate at different voltage levels. This technique is used to decouple the board and the Computer Automotive Network (CAN) a bus signal, ADUM1412 quad-channel digital isolator from Analog Devices is used for this purpose. Figure 2.6 displays the block diagram and the pin out of the ADUM1412.


Figure 2.6. ADUM1412 Block Diagram [20].

### 2.7. CAN TRANSCEIVER CIRCUIT

A controller area network (CAN) transceiver circuit is used to communicate between the board and the CAN controller through a CAN bus. It is useful to send or receive CAN messages to the control board such as the dc bus voltage, the current at any phase, or any other signal condition. Figure 2.7 [21] illustrates the pin out and the functional diagram of 65HVD251 CAN transceiver from TI.


Figure 2.7. 65HVD251Funcional Diagram [21].

### 2.8. DIFFERENTIAL INPUT CIRCUIT

A differential amplifier amplifies the difference between two voltages and rejects the average or common mode value of these voltages. Figure 2.8 shows the differential amplifier configuration. The equation for this type of amplifier is presented in [22].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}=\mathrm{V}_{2}\left(\frac{\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}+\mathrm{R}_{1}\right) \mathrm{R}_{4}}{\left(\mathrm{R}_{4}+\mathrm{R}_{2}\right) \mathrm{R}_{1}}\right)-\mathrm{V}_{1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{R}_{3}}{\mathrm{R}_{1}}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $R_{1}=R_{2}$ and $R_{3}=R_{4}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{R}_{3}}{\mathrm{R}_{1}}\right)\left(\mathrm{V}_{2}-\mathrm{V}_{1}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The simple case is used to obtain a unity gain, when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{R}_{4}=10 \mathrm{~K} \Omega \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{out}}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{2}-\mathrm{V}_{1}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2.8. Differential Amplifier Circuit.

### 2.9. DSP CIRCUIT

The Digital Signal Processor is chosen to be TI (TMS320F28335) [23], recommended by TI for motor control applications. The computing unit of this DSP consists of a 32-bit CPU and a single precision 32-bit floating-point unit (FPU), which enables the floating-point operations to be accomplished in hardware. The CPU has 8channels, which allows the CPU to execute 8 different instructions simultaneously in one clock cycle. The DSP has a system clock of 150 MHz generated by an on-chip oscillator and Phase Locked Loop (PLL). The oscillator generates only 50 MHz clock that is tripled by the PLL to achieve 150 MHz . The DSP also has a physical memory of $34 \mathrm{~K} \times 16$ single-access random-access memory (SARAM), 8K x 16 read-only memory (ROM), a 1K x 16 one-time programmable memory (OTP) and registers. The DSP has a capability of five types of an interface: serial communication interface (SCI), controller area
network (CAN), inter-integrated circuit (I2C), serial peripheral interface (SPI), and multichannel buffered serial port (McBSP). This allows flexibility in the interfacing between the DSP to any other devices such as motors or communication peripherals. The DSP board also allows for JTAG interface to program the DSP chip.

Figure 2.9 shows, the TMS320F28335 DSP and its control dock. TI offers a friendly user interface Code Composer V4.0 (CCV4.0) to program this type of the microcontroller.


Figure 2.9. TMS320F28335.

### 2.10. FPGA CIRCUIT

The FPGA is an integrated circuit that contains many duplicate logic cells that can be seen as standard components. Each logic cell can individually take on any one of a limited set of personalities. The individual cells are interrelated by a matrix of wires and programmable switches as it shown in Figure 2.10.


Figure 2.10. Structure of the FPGA.

A user's design can be implemented by specifying the simple logic function for each cell and selectively closing the switches in the interconnection matrix. The array of logic cells and interconnects form a fabric of basic building blocks for logic circuits. Complex designs are created by combining these basic. The FPGA's functions are defined by a user's program rather than by the manufacturer of the device.

A typical integrated circuit performs a particular function defined at the time of manufacture. In contrast, the FPGA's function is defined by a program written by someone other than the device manufacturer. Depending on the particular device, the program is either burned in permanently or semi-permanently as part of a board assembly process, or is loaded from an external memory each time the device is powered up.

Figure 2.11 illustrates a typical flow chart for Altera's FPGA design process, which has been used in this design.


Figure 2.11. Altera Design Flow Chart [24].

This programmability gives the user access to complex integrated designs without the high engineering costs associated with application specific integrated circuits.

### 2.11. POWER SUPPLY BOARD

The power supply is designed in a different printed board (PCB0025) and attached to the main board through male and female six position power terminals (PES type and PET type) from SAMTEC Inc. as shown in Figure 2.12 [25], respectively.


Figure 2.12. PES and PET Type Connectors [25].

Power modules are used in order to generate seven different voltages as in Table 2.4. Figure 2.13 shows PCB0025 and the next table shows the voltages and the corresponding parts. The board layout and schematic are attached in appendix A.


Figure 2.13. PCB0025.

Table 2.4. Power Modules and Corresponding Voltages.

| Voltage | Power Module |
| :---: | :---: |
| +1.2 V | PTH04000W |
| +3.3 V | PTH04000W |
| +3.3 V ANA | TPS79333DBV |
| +5.0 V | PTN78060W |
| +5.0 V ISO. | TMH2405S |
| +15.0 V | PTN78060H |
| -15.0 V | PTN78000A |

### 2.12. PULL-UP RESISTOR CIRCUIT

Pull-up resistors are used for the open collector encoder in order to read the Hall effect signals. The configuration of this circuit is shown in Figure 2.14.


Figure 2.14. Pull-up Resistor for Open Collector Configuration.

### 2.13. ERRATA

After testing the board, there were some errors, defects, and considerations for new version of the board.

- The gate signal socket package was inverted in eagle. It has been corrected in the Lib_DOE_transportation_electrification.lbr in the server.
- The DSP package needs to be implemented in the Board as one unit to minimize the electromagnetic interference (EMI).
- $\mathrm{R}_{25}$ has been changed to $2.2 \mathrm{k} \Omega$.
- $\mathrm{R}_{65}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{66}$ values need to be swapped.
- $\mathrm{U}_{36}$ pin 2 and 3 need to be swapped.
- $\mathrm{U}_{41}$ pin 1 should be connected to +5 V (board defect). Pull-up resistors of $1 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ need to be added to the Hall effect signals input terminal $\mathrm{J}_{21}$.
- Ground terminal needs to be added to $\mathrm{J}_{21}$.
- GPIO34 and GPIO 28 need to be swapped.
- In PCB0025, pin 1 at $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{U}_{4}$ needs to be connected to the ground.


## 3. PULSE WIDTH MODULATOR

### 3.1. INTRODUCTION

Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) is a technique for controlling analog devices with digital signal format. This signal can be generated using a simple analog circuit or a Microcontroller Unit (MCU). This technique is widely used in communication and power electronics circuits for control purposes such as controlling the motor speed, by increasing or decreasing the duty cycle of this digital signal. The traditional way to generate a PWM signal is to compare an analog or dc signal as reference to a carrier signal. Usually, the carrier signal is a triangular or a sawtooth signal. Figure 3.1 illustrates the PWM block diagram.


Figure 3.1. PWM Block Diagram.

### 3.2. DIGITAL PWM GENRATION TYPES

The PWM signals can be generated digitally inside the MCU or FPGA by substituting the carrier signal with a counter. The counter determines when to turn the

PWM signal ON or OFF based on a certain value or reference signal. The counter can be used at any of the following modes:

- Up Mode Counter.
- Down Mode Counter.
- Up/Down Mode Counter. This results in center-aligned PWM.
3.2.1. Up-Mode Counter. In this mode, the counter starts from zero and increments until it reach the desired final value. When the counts reach the maximum, the program will reset the counter to zero and repeat the same procedure again. Figure 3.2 illustrates the up mode counter.


Figure 3.2. Up Mode Counter.
3.2.2. Down-Mode Counter. In this mode, the counter will start to count from the maximum value and decrements until it reaches zero. When it reaches the zero, the counter will be reset to the maximum value and repeats the pattern. Figure 3.3 illustrates the down mode counter.


Figure 3.3. Down Mode Counter.
3.2.3. Up-Down Mode Counter. In this mode, the counter will start counting from zero and increments until it reaches the maximum value, then it will start to decrements until it reaches the zero value and keeps repeating the pattern. Figure 3.4 illustrate the Up-Down counter.


Figure 3.4. Up-Down Mode Counter.
3.2.4. PWM with Dead-Band. In power control applications, it is necessary to have complementary PWM signals with a dead time between them in order to control individual power switches such as MOSFETs or IGBTs or modules like Inverters. Two extra counters are used to create the dead-band. The first counter is the Rising Edge counter (Red_ Count), and the second counter is the Falling Edge counter (Fed_Count). These counters create the signal delay in both edges of the PWM signal. Figure 3.5 shows the main Up/Down mode counter and other two up counters representing the Rising and the Falling edges counters. RED and FED are the reference values for rising edge counter and falling edge counter respectively, and they determine the time delays in both edges. The desired duty ratio (D) is used to set and reset the Up-Down mode counter and produce the ON time of the PWM signal.


Figure 3.5. Signals of Interest.

Figure 3.6 illustrates the schematic diagram of the up-down counter PWM that has been implemented using Altera's Quartus II software. The block diagram composed of five main blocks and an OR gate.

The first block is the phase locked loop (PLL). The PLL has one input pin (CLKIN) and two output pins: clock out and LOCKED, a flag indicating that the PLL has locked to the input. The clock pin is used as clock reference to all blocks while the locked pin is used to synchronize all blocks in the design. This block is triggered by an external crystal oscillator ( 24 MHz ) on the module and connected to PLL through pin A12. This PLL can either increase the frequency in this module up to 48 MHz or decrease it down to 2.4 MHz in this particular module, in this experiment the clock is chosen to be 24 MHz.

The second block is the PWM constants. This block has no inputs and provides five constants with different word lengths. The constants are iRed (3 bits), iFed, iPulseWidth ( 3 bits), iD (12 bits), and iClkdiv ( 3 bits). The iRed and iFed is used to configure the delay in the rising edge and the falling edge respectively. The iPulseWidth is used to configure the start pulse width in the next block. The iD can be connected to the PWM block as known data for testing purposes while iClkdiv is connected to ADC block as clock divider signal in order to slow down the clock inside the block.

The third block generates a start pulse. This block has three inputs and only one output. The inputs are the clock and locked signals from PLL in block one and the iPulseWidth signal from the second block. The output is a single pulse used to trigger the ADC block for the first time through an OR gate. The iPulseWidth signal determines the length of the start pulse.

The fourth block is the ADC block. This block has five inputs and four outputs. The inputs are iClk, iStart, iClkdiv, iReset, and iSDO (12 bits). The block starts running as soon as it receives the iStart pulse from the previous block. This block is a software written in VHDL for AD7276 [18] to read the serial digital data output through the iSDO input from the external chip and process it and generate the four output signals which are the output value (oValOut, 12 bits), serial clock (oSCK), chip select (oLD), and the done pulse (oDone).


Figure 3.6. PWM Schematic Diagram.

The last one is the main block which the PWM block. This block has six inputs which are iStart, iD, iReset, iRed, and iFed. Also, it has thirteen outputs: oQ through oQ4, oQ_inv through oQ4_inv, oDone, oDone_red, and oDone_fed. The oQx signals are as shown in Figure 3.5 (with or without inversion). The oDone signals indicate that the cycle is complete and the next cycle can begin.

The signal flow through these blocks starts with the clock generated from the PLL to start pulse. The start pulse block generate a single pulse to trigger the ADC block at (iStart) input to start read the input digital signal oSDO from the real chip. When this block finishes its process, it generates the serial clock (oSCK), chip select (oLD), output value (oValOut), and (oDone) which is used to trigger the next block (PWM) at the (iStart) input to receive the (oValOut) from the ADC through (iD) serial input data terminal. The PWM block process that data and generate the desired duty ratio. Also, it uses the constants (iRed) and (iFed) to determine the delay in the rising and falling edges. After the block finishes the process the generated done pulse is rerouted to the OR gate so that the process runs continuously.

## 4. PMSM ROTOR POSITION MEASUREMENT

### 4.1. INTRODUCTION

PMSM control requires the continuous sensing of the rotor position and speed in order to apply the control algorithms. There are four common methods for measuring the PMSM rotor position [26].
4.1.1. Optical Encoder. It is the most popular type, which consists of a rotating disk, a light source, and a photo detector. The disk, which mounted on the drive shaft of the motor, has coded patterns of opaque and transparent sectors. When the shaft is rotated, eventually it will rotate the disk and these patterns will interrupt the light emitted onto the photo-detector and generating a pulse signal output that can determine the rotor position and the speed. Figure 4.1 [20] displays the optical encoder.


## Rotatina disk

Figure 4.1. Optical Encoder [20].
4.1.2. Resolver. The common type is the Transmitter Resolver; it looks like a small electric motor with a stator and a rotor. The stator consists of three winding configurations: an exciter and a two two-phase winding ( X and Y ), in which there is $90^{\circ}$ phase shift. The output of the Resolver is sine and cosine of an angle $\theta$ that corresponds to the shaft position and direction [27]. Figure 4.2 [28] shows the resolver schematic.


Figure 4.2. Resolver Schematic [28].
4.1.3. Full Observer. The Full Observer uses the characteristics of the Back Electromotive Force and the voltage generated on the windings due to the BEMF. The polarity of the current induced in the winding due to the BEMF is determined by the motor rotating direction. The amplitude of the induced current is proportional to the speed of the rotors. Many manufacturers have implemented this type of observer into electronic chips.

Figure 4.3 illustrates a diagram of a commonly used Full Observer that uses the current $i$, and voltage $v$, as references through a Proportional Integral (PI) controller
associated with State Space Matrix analysis in order produce an observed current $\hat{i}$ and voltage $\hat{v}$ to detect the error $[29,30]$.


Figure 4.3. Full Adaptive Observer [29].
4.1.4. Hall Effect Sensors. The theory behind this type of sensors is that when a conductor that carrying current is placed into a magnetic field, a voltage will be generated due to the effect of the magnetic field and the direction of that voltage is perpendicular to the current [31]. These sensors are inexpensive, small, and have low resolution. Figure
4.4 shows 2-pole 3-phase PMSM and three Hall effect sensors accompanied by their $120^{\circ}$ shifted signals.


Figure 4.4. Three Hall Effect Sensors and Their Related Signals [26].

### 4.2. HYBRID SPEED OBSERVER

It is very common in the Brushless dc motor (BLDC) does not have a resolver or optical encoder for couple reasons. One reason is to minimize the motor price and size. Another reason is to offer the flexibility of coupling. The PMSM has different parameters and characteristics and to control two motors will require some sort of harmony. Thus, it will be easier to eliminate the mechanical detection parts.

The Hall effect sensors discussed in section 4.1.4 are a successful solution for sensorless problem due to their low-cost and light weight. Hybrid Observer [32], uses the Hall effect sensors to estimate the rotor position and speed, with accuracy and low cost. The setup in Figure 4.5 illustrates an example of a high-performance BLDC. The system also includes the inverter, observer, speed control and delta-modulated current control [32].


Figure 4.5. High-Performance BLDC [32].

The hybrid observer can be represented by the following differential equation.

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\cos \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rr}}\right)  \tag{17}\\
\sin \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\omega_{\mathrm{r}} \\
\omega_{\mathrm{r}} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\cos \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right) \\
\sin \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

If the initial position and the electrical speed are known and equation (17) has a solution with no error, the sine and cosine of the rotor relevant to the Hall effect sponsors, then $\sin \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right)$ and $\cos \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right)$ could be determined using trigonometric identities. Table 4.1 and Figure 5.2 shows the bounding which are maximum and minimum values of $\sin \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right)$ and $\cos \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right)$ for the current Hall effect sensor states $\left(h_{a}, h_{b}, h_{c}\right)$.

Table 4.1. Bounding Functions vs. Hall States [32].

| Hall State |  |  | Bounding Functions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h_{a}$ | $h_{b}$ | $h_{c}$ | $\operatorname{maxsin}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$ | $\operatorname{minsin}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$ | $\max \cos \left(\theta_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$ | $\operatorname{mincos}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$ |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | $-1 / 2$ | -1 | 0 | $-\sqrt{3} / 2$ |  |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 0 | $-\sqrt{3} / 2$ |  |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | $-1 / 2$ | $-\sqrt{3} / 2$ | -1 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | $-1 / 2$ | 1 | $\sqrt{3} / 2$ |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | $-1 / 2$ | -1 | $\sqrt{3} / 2$ | 0 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | $\sqrt{3} / 2$ | 0 |  |

The values $\sin \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right)$ and $\cos \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right)$ can found based on the state transition points.
Table 4.2 shows these values based on the signal states.

Table 4.2. State Transition Points [32].

| Sensor Transition | $h_{a}$ | $h_{b}$ | $h_{c}$ | $\sin \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right)$ | $\cos \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h_{a}$ | x | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 |
| $h_{a}$ | x | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $h_{b}$ | 0 | x | 1 | $-1 / 2$ | $-\sqrt{3} / 2$ |
| $h_{b}$ | 1 | x | 0 | $1 / 2$ | $\sqrt{3} / 2$ |
| $h_{c}$ | 0 | 1 | x | $1 / 2$ | $-\sqrt{3} / 2$ |
| $h_{c}$ | 1 | 0 | x | $-1 / 2$ |  |
| $\mathrm{x}=$ don't care |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 4.6 illustrates how the sine and cosine the angle could be estimated based on known initial conditions and the bounding conditions of that angle. It also shows the three different three Hall effect signals are shifted by $120^{\circ}$. At any transition point, the rotor speed can be estimated based on the time between the transition occurrences,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{\Delta \theta_{\mathrm{rh}}}{\Delta \mathrm{t}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The final calculations to implement the hybrid observer to determine the sine and cosine of the electrical rotor position are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\mathrm{r}}=\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}+\phi_{\mathrm{h}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4.6. Hybrid Observer Bounding Functions [26].

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sin \left(\theta_{\mathrm{r}}\right)=\sin \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right) \cos \left(\phi_{\mathrm{h}}\right)+\cos \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right) \sin \left(\phi_{\mathrm{h}}\right)  \tag{20}\\
& \cos \left(\theta_{\mathrm{r}}\right)=\cos \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right) \cos \left(\phi_{\mathrm{h}}\right)-\sin \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right) \sin \left(\phi_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

The estimated values of $\sin \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right)$ and $\cos \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right)$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sin \left(\theta_{\mathrm{r}}\right)=\mathrm{s}_{\theta \mathrm{h}} \cos \left(\phi_{\mathrm{h}}\right)+\mathrm{c}_{\theta \mathrm{h}} \sin \left(\phi_{\mathrm{h}}\right)  \tag{22}\\
& \cos \left(\theta_{\mathrm{r}}\right)=\mathrm{c}_{\theta \mathrm{h}} \cos \left(\phi_{\mathrm{h}}\right)-\mathrm{s}_{\theta \mathrm{h}} \sin \left(\phi_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

To determine the initial condition for the rotor, the speed is assumed to be zero. Then $s_{\theta h}$ and $c_{\theta h}$ can be determined from the initial readings of the Hall effect sensor. Table 4.3 gives these initial conditions. Figure 4.7 illustrates the block diagram of the hybrid observer.

Table 4.3. Initial Conditions [32].

| Initial Hall State |  |  | Initial Conditions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h_{a}$ | $h_{c}$ | $h_{c}$ | $\theta_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{s}_{\theta \mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{\theta \mathrm{h}}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | $4 \pi / 3$ | $-\sqrt{3} / 2$ | $-1 / 2$ |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | $2 \pi / 3$ | $\sqrt{3} / 2$ | $-1 / 2$ |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | $\pi$ | 0 | -1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | $5 \pi / 3$ | $-\sqrt{3} / 2$ | $1 / 2$ |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | $\pi / 3$ | $\sqrt{3} / 2$ | $1 / 2$ |

All the above equations, initial conditions table, and the transitional table have been converted into VHDL language in appendix B to estimate the rotor speed and position. Some of these parameters are treated as signals type while the other signals treated as variables. A fixed point package [33] is used to add more precision to the inputs and the estimated outputs. The integration operations are replaced by accumulated addition operations to estimate the sine and cosine of the electrical angle. The Hall effect signals have six possible transitions per period. Each transition is used to trigger a counter to start counting at each transition state and reset itself at the next transition state. The local estimated rotor speed (lWr) is equal to the length of the period $(2 \pi / 6)$ divided by the time between any two $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}\right)$ transitions which is equal to the counter value (lCount) multiplied by the sampling frequency $\left(T_{s}\right)$ in 34 bits precision. The final value of the rotor speed has only 12 bit precision due to the lack of precision on the digital to analog converter (DAC). The error that occurs due to the change of the precision on the fraction part of the ( lWr ) is reported and analyzed in chapter five.

Also, the program can estimate the rotor position at any time based on the initial and the bounding conditions that has been translated into VHDL statements. When the program starts it looks at initial conditions first and estimates the sine and cosine of $\theta_{r}$ and store those values. The sine and cosine $\theta_{r}$ can be calculated from equations (20) and (21) respectively.

The new sine and cosine values can be estimated by integrating the equations. This integration can be replaced by accumulated addition operation as follows,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Sin}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{r}, \text { new }}\right)=\operatorname{Cos}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{r}, \text { int }}\right)-\omega_{\mathrm{r}} \times \operatorname{Sin}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{r}, \text { int }}\right) \times \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}}  \tag{24}\\
& \operatorname{Cos}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{r}, \text { new }}\right)=\operatorname{Sin}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{r}, \text { int }}\right)+\omega_{\mathrm{r}} \times \operatorname{Cos}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{r}, \text { int }}\right) \times \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

On the next clock cycle the program will load the new Hall effect states and the new values of sine and cosine $\theta_{r}$ will become initial values for the next clock cycle. The
program will also check the bounding conditions in Table 4.1 in order not to exceed the maximum or goes below the maximum and minimum bounding conditions.


Figure 4.7. Hybrid Observer Block Diagram [26].

This speed observer is built in Quartus II software and VHDL language then simulated at ModelSim, and also implemented and tested in Altera FPGA cyclone II; appendix B shows the program structure. Figure 4.8 shows the schematic view of the hybrid speed observer. Figure 4.9 displays the FPGA experiments board PCB0006 that has been used for the implementation of the hybrid speed observer for testing purposes.


Figure 4.8. Speed Observer Schematic in VHDL.

The signal flow in 4.8 uses the same start procedure in the PWM program in section 3.2.4. Then a single start pulse is used to trigger the speed observer VHDL program in appendix B. The program will start to execute as soon as it receives the start pulse and will provide the results. After the program is finished, it will generate a done pulse that is connected to an OR gate with the start pulse to keep the program continuously working. The Hall effect signals are connected to pins Y22, Y21, and V22. A 24 MHz clock is used to run this experiment.


Figure 4.9. PCB0006 Experiments Board.

Figure 4.10 shows the dc motor and the Hall effect sensors encoder type 24A0FEPM from Bodine electric motors that have been involved in this experiment.


Figure 4.10. The Experiment dc Motor.

Table 4.4 illustrates the dc motor parameters and characteristics.

Table 4.4. The Motor Parameters.

| Serial number | 4440MYEAZ0001 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Type | 24A0FEPM |
| Volts | 24 VDC |
| F.F | 1.0 |
| Amperes | 1.2 |
| HP | $1 / 50$ |
| INS | B8 |
| RPM | 2500 |

## 5. RESULTS AND SYSTEM VALIDATION

### 5.1. MODELSIM RESULTS

The model of the PWM generator has been tested and developed in ModelSim. The next figures show the simulation results for three different techniques. Figure 5.1 illustrates the simulation results of up-mode counter.


Figure 5.1. The Simulation Results of the Up-Mode Counter.

Figure 5.2 illustrates the ModelSim simulation results of down-mode counter.


Figure 5.2. The Simulation Results of the Down-Mode Counter.

The simulation test is also applied to the Up-Down mode counter and Figure 5.3 displays the simulation results of this type of counter.


Figure 5.3. The Simulation Results of the Up-Down Counter.

Figures 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, 5.7 illustrate the simulation results of the hybrid speed observer in ModelSim and its related parameters.


Figure 5.4. Screen Shot (1) for Parameters Results of the SO.


Figure 5.5. Screen Shot (2) for Parameters Results of the SO.

| S. | NSSPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1957 | -1.. 19498 | - 1950 | - 1951 | - 1952 | 1.1954 | 1955 | [1957] |  | [1958 | - 1959 | 1.1961 |
| $\pm \rightarrow$ /preed thacose thr | 607 | $6.680 \cdot 634$ | $\sqrt{629}$ | 1625 | 6621 | $\sqrt{6} 616$ | $\sqrt{6} \cdot 12$ | 1607 |  | $\sqrt{603}$ | /599 | 1599 |
|  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$...jur/pre.tbrea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -1.95566 | -0..0.0.95172 | P0,952148 | 0.0 .95637 | P0.953125 | 0.0 .954102 | 0.095459 | -0,959 | 566 | 0.09505 | 0.0 .95643 | 0.0 .9575 |
| $\pm-1 .$. UT/Cos that.t.. | -0,26688 | - $0 . .40 .80957$ | P0, 030712 | 0.0 .35176 | 0:0.303223 | 0.030781 | $\bigcirc 0.288828$ | 10.2938 | 387 | -0.29433 | 10.29288 | V0.29039 |
| $\pm-$. .tiluTMr temp | 3743,3 | 3744.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $t-1$ preed_tululien | 5959,28 | 5959.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\pm-$...bluf/ricatemp | 511.75 | 511.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\pm \searrow$..ed_ $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{UT} / \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{Sin}$ |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\pm-$...bluTMaxCos |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\pm\rangle$...d \#UUT/Vicos | 10.86025 | 0.886025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ /ppeed_tul/Pome |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\pm->$ speed $\mathrm{H} \\|$ UT\||s | 5.96046e-108 | 5.96046e:088 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2400000 | 2840000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 FO | 531153ns | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11111111 \\ & 14430 \mathrm{~ns} \end{aligned}$ | $\\|1\\| \\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1111111 \\ 144000 \end{array}$ | $1111111$ | $111111$ | $1 \\| 111111$ 4500ns |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 111111 \\ 144600 \end{gathered}$ | $111111$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1111111111 \\ 14470 \text { ns } \end{array}$ |
| $\mathrm{EfO}^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14455 | 59ns |  |  |  |

Figure 5.6. Screen Shot (3) for Parameters Results of the SO.


Figure 5.7. Screen Shot (4) for Parameters Results of the SO.

### 5.2. HARDWARE RESULTS

The PWMs programs are implemented in the FPGA and have been tested to drive synchronous buck converter. The required dead time is about 180 nanosecond which is about 4 clock cycles delay. Figures 5.8 and 5.9 display screen shots for the rising and falling edge of the up-down counter PWM.


Figure 5.8. The Rising-Edge Counter Delay.


Figure 5.9. The Falling-Edge of the Fed Counter.

Figure 5.10 shows the Hall effect signals from the dc motor in section 5.2.


Figure 5.10. Hall Effect Signals.

Figure 5.11 illustrates the output of an experiment that has been prepared for Hall effect signals of 59.86 Hz . The result of $\omega_{r}$ shown in 12 bit binary bus which included 2 bit of fraction that makes it equivalent to 376 in decimals which is.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{r}}}{2 \pi}=\frac{376}{2 \pi}=59.84 \mathrm{~Hz} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 5.11. The SO Output in 59.86 Hz Hall Effect Signals Input.

The setup has been tested under many different rotating speeds and it always gives excellent results with negligible error due to the approximation of the program and the number of bits $m$ that has been used to calculate $\omega_{r}$. This error has been detected and analyzed in average form due to the unstable Hall effect signal from the dc motor in addition to the inaccuracy of the scope. The next chart in Figure 5.12 illustrates the absolute average error versus the $m$ bits. Figure 5.13 also illustrates the number of the total logic elements used versus $m$.


Figure 5.12. The Absolute Error vs. $m$ Bits.


Figure 5.13. The Total Logic Elements vs. $m$ Bits.

Figures 5.14 and 5.15 illustrate the $\sin \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right)$ output signal from the SO program.


Figure 5.14. The $\sin \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right)$ Output.


Figure 5.15. Zoom in Results of $\sin \left(\theta_{\mathrm{rh}}\right)$.

## 6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

A signal processing board has been designed to control the PMSM and monitor the external parameters. This thesis developed the FPGA to generate special signals and techniques the same as the TI320F28335 does. The PWM signals were tested under different topologies and conditions. These signals include the dead time capability in order to be used in synchronous switching devices that require delay time between the rising and falling edges such as synchronous buck, boost, or buck-boost converters or the modules like a three phase inverters. The hybrid speed observer method is chosen as the rotor position and speed detection technique to control the PMSM. This program has been successfully developed and implemented inside the FPGA and all results are documented and compared to the microcontroller results. The FPGA implementation gives high accuracy and better flexibility in the mathematical operations. Also the resulting error has been analyzed. The system is scalable, so it can control more than one motor due to the better flexibility of FPGA implementation. The future work would be applying some other control methods such as all digital phase lock loop (ADPLL), which is composed of phase and frequency detector, low pass filter (LPF), digital controlled oscillator (DCO), and a divider. Then a useful comparison can be done to illustrate the pros and cons of each method.

APPENDIX A.
PRINTED CIRCUIT BOARDS DESIGN

This appendix includes the signal processing and power supply boards schematics and layouts of the printed circuit boards discussed in Section 2.1 and 2.11 respectively. The board schematic layout for the signal processing is shown in Figure A. 1 through A. 15 and the schematic and layout of the power supply board is shown in Figure A. 16 through A. 17.


Figure A.1. The CAN Transceiver Circuit.


Figure A.2. Digital Output Contactors.


Figure A.3. The 8-channel AD7329 Serial ADC Circuit.


Figure A.4. The ADC AD7276 Circuit.


Figure A.5. Level Shifters Circuit.


Figure A.6. The FPGA Pin Out.


Figure A.7. 0-3V Gain-Offset Circuit.


Figure A.8. Differential Input Gain-Offset Circuit.


Figure A.9. Digital Inputs Circuit.


Figure A.10. Differential Amplifiers Circuit.


Figure A.11. The DSP Pin Out.


Figure A.12. The Sallen Key LPF.


Figure A.13. The Connectors Pin Out.


Figure A.14. The Hall effect Signals Level Shitter Sircuit.


Figure A.15. The Signal Processing Board Top Layer.


Figure A.16. The Power Supply Schematic.


Figure A.17. The Power Supply Board Top Layer.

APPENDIX B.
VHDL CODES OF THE DESIGN

This appendix contains the VHDL programs of the up mode, down mode, and updown mode PWMs, also it includes the speed observer program. The comments are denoted by text that starts with double hyphen (--) and green font.

## This is a program for up mode counter PWM.

--This program has been written in VHDL for PWM using up mode counter. The program has been developed by Amir Saad at - Missouri University of Science and Technology in Aug. 2011 . Copy right (c) For Amir Saad. All rights reserved 2011.

Library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
use ieee.std_logic_unsigned.all;
use ieee.std_logic_arith.all;
ENTITY aes_pwm_up is
generic ( n : natural := 12);-- n can be changed as needed port(
--input signales
iStart : in std_logic; -- pulse at start
iD : in std_logic_vector(n-1 downto 0 ); --used for 12 bit input data value
iClk : in std logic;
iReset : in std_logic;
iRed : in std_logic_vector (3 downto 0); -- rising edge counter input data
iFed : in std_logic_vector (3 downto 0); -- falling edge counter output data
--Output signals
oQ : out std_logic;
oQ1 $\quad: \quad$ out std_logic;
oQ2 : out std_logic;
oQ3 : out std_logic;
oQ4 : out std_logic;
oQ_inv : out std_logic;
oQ1_inv : out std_logic;
oQ2_inv : out std_logic;
oQ3_inv : out std_logic;
oQ4_inv : out std_logic;
oDone : out std_logic;
oDone_fed : out std_logic;
oDone_red : out std_logic);
Constant C_min : std_logic_vector $(\mathrm{n}-1$ downto 0$):=($ others=>'0');
Constant C_max : std_logic_vector(n-1 downto 0):=(others=>'1');
end aes_pwm_up ;
Architecture Behavioral of aes_pwm_up is

| signal lDreg | : std_logic_vector(n-1 downto 0); ;- register output |
| :--- | :--- |
| signal lCount | : std_logic_vector(n-1 downto 0); -- counter output |
| signal lFed | : std_logic_vector(3 downto 0); ;- falling edge register output |
| signal lRed | : std_logic_vector(3 downto 0); ;- rising edge register output |
| signal lCount_red | : std_logic_vector(3 downto 0); -- rising edge counter output |
| signal lCount_fed | : std_logic_vector(3 downto 0); -- falling edge counter output |
| signal lQint | : std_logic; |
| signal lPreQint | : std_logic; |
| signal lQ1 | : std_logic; |
| signal lQ2 | : std_logic; |
| signal lQ3 | : std_logic; |
| signal lQ4 | : std_logic; |
| signal lRedEn | : std_logic; |
| signal lFedEn | :std_logic; |

Begin
process (iClk,iReset,iStart,1Qint,lDreg,1Count,1Count_fed,lCount_red,1Q1,1Q2,1Q3,1Q4,1Fed,1Red,1RedEn,lFedEn,1PreQint)
Begin
-- Initializations
if (iReset = ' 0 ') then



End if;

| if $(1$ lCount_red $>=1$ Red $)$ then |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Else | $1 Q 2$ | $<=' 1 ' ;$ |
|  | $1 Q 2$ | $<=' 0 ' ;$ |

End if;

| 1Q3 | $<=1 \mathrm{Q} 1$ and 1Q2; |
| :---: | :---: |
| if ( lCount_fed $>=1 \mathrm{Fed}$ ) then |  |
|  | 1Q4 <= '1'; |
| Else |  |
|  | 1Q4 <='0'; |
| End if; |  |
| if ( iStart ='1') then |  |
|  | 1Dreg <= iD; |
|  | 1 Fed <= iFed; |
|  | 1 Red <= iRed; |
| End if; |  |

End process ;

| oQ | $<=$ lQint; |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| oQ1 | $<=$ | lQ1; |
| oQ2 | $<=$ | lQ2; |
| oQ3 | $<=$ | lQ3; |
| oQ4 | $<=$ | lQ4; |
| oQ_inv | $<=$ | not |
| (lQint $) ;$ |  |  |
| oQ1_inv | $<=$ | not (lQ1); |
| oQ2_inv | $<=$ | not (lQ2); |
| oQ3_inv | $<=$ | not (lQ3); |
| oQ4_inv | $<=$ | not (lQ4); |

End Behavioral ;

## This is a program for down mode counter PWM.

```
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
This program has been written in VHDL for PWM using down mode counter. The program has
been developed by Amir
Saad at Missouri University of Science and Technology in Aug. 2011. Copy right (c) For
Amir Saad. All rights reserved 2011.
---------------------------------------------------------------------------
Library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
use ieee.std_logic_unsigned.all;
use ieee.std_logic_arith.all;
ENTITY aes_pwm_dn is
    generic ( n: natural := 12);-- n can be changed as needed
    port(
        --input signales
        iStart : in std_logic; -- pulse at start
        iD : in std_\overline{logic_vector(n-1 downto 0 ); --used for 12 bit input}
data value
        iClk : in std_logic;
        iReset : in std logic;
        iRed : in std_logic_vector (3 downto 0); -- rising edge counter
input data
    iFed : in std_logic_vector (3 downto 0); -- falling edge
counter output data
    --Output signals
    OQ : out std_logic;
    OQ1 : out std logic;
    OQ2 : out std_logic;
    OQ3 : out std_logic;
    OQ4 : out std_logic;
    OQ_inv : out std_logic;
    oQ1_inv : out std_logic;
    OQ2_inv : out std_logic;
    oQ3_inv : out std_logic;
    OQ4_inv : out std_logic;
    oDone : out std_logic;
    oDone_fed : Out std_logic;
    oDone_red : out std_logic);
    Constānt C_min : std_logic_vector(n-1 downto
0):=(others=>'0');
0):=(others=>'1');
end aes_pwm_dn ;
    Constant C_max : std_logic_vector(n-1 downto
Architecture Behavioral of aes_pwm_dn is
    signal lDreg : std_logic_vector(n-1 downto 0); -- register output
    signal lCount : std_logic_vector(n-1 downto 0); -- counter output
    signal lFed : std_logic_vector(3 downto 0); -- falling edge register
output
    signal lRed : std_logic_vector(3 downto 0); -- rising edge register output
    signal lCount_red - : std_logic_vector(3 downto 0); -- rising edge counter
output
    signal lCount_fed : std_logic_vector(3 downto 0); -- falling edge counter
output
    signal lQint : std_logic;
    signal lPreQint - : std_logic;
    signal lQ1 : std_logic;
    signal lQ2 : std_logic;
    signal lQ3 : std_logic;
    signal lQ4 : std_logic;
    signal lRedEn : std_logic;
    signal lFedEn : std_logic;
```

Begin

```
process
(iClk,iReset,iStart,lQint,lDreg,lCount,lCount_fed,lCount_red,lQ1,lQ2,lQ3,1Q4,lFed,lRed,lR
edEn,lFedEn,lPreQint)
Begin
-- Initializations
    if (iReset = '0' ) then
                        lCount <= C_max;
                        lDreg <= C min;
    lQint <='0';
    elsif (rising edge(iClk)) then
    if (lCount=C_min) then
        oDone <= '1';
        lCount<= C_max;
    Else
        lCount<=1Count-1;
        oDone <= '0';
    End if ;
    --rising edge counter
    if (lRedEn='1') then
        lCount_red<=lCount_red +1;
        oDone_red<='0';
    Else
        lRedEn<='0';
        oDone red<='1';
    End if;
    --falling edge counter
        if (lFedEn='1') then
                            lCount_fed<=lCount_fed +1;
                            oDone_\overline{fed<='0';}
        Else
            lFedEn<='0';
            oDone_fed<='1';
        End if;
    if (lQint='1' and lPreQint='0') then
            lRedEn <='1';
            lFedEn <='0';
            lCount red <="0000";
            lCount_fed <="0000";
    Else
            if (lCount_red>=lRed) then
                        lRēdEn <='0';
                        lCount_red <=lCount_red;
            End if;
    End if;
    if (lQint='0' and lPreQint='1') then
            lFedEn <='1';
            lRedEn <='0';
            lCount_fed <="0000";
            lCount_red <="0000";
    Else
            if (lCount_fed>=lFed) then
            lFedEn <='0';
            End if;
    End if;
        if (lCount >= lDreg) then
                        lQint<='1';
            Else
                        lQint <='0';
            End if;
    if ( lCount >=lDreg or lCount_fed<= lFed ) then
                        lQ1 <= '1';
        Else
```

```
    1Q1 <='0';
End if;
    if ( lCount_red >= lRed ) then
        Else
        lQ2 <='0';
    End if;
lQ3 <= lQ1 and lQ2;
    if ( lCount_fed >= lFed) then
    Else
        <= '';
    lQ4 <='0';
    End if;
    if ( iStart ='1') then
        lDreg <= iD;
            lFed <= iFed;
            lRed <= iRed;
        End if;
End if;
End process ;
OQ <= lQint;
OQ1 <= lQ1;
OQ2 <= 1Q2;
oQ3 <= lQ3;
OQ4 <= lQ4;
oQ_inv <= not (lQint);
OQİ_inv<= not (lQ1);
OQ2_inv <= not (lQ2);
OQ3_inv <= not (lQ3);
OQ4_inv <= not (lQ4);
End Behavioral ;
```


## This is a program for up/down mode counter PWM.

```
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
-- This program has been written in VHDL for PWM using up/dn mode counter. The program
has been developed by Amir
-- Saad at Missouri University of Science and Technology in Aug. 2011. Copy right (c) For
Amir Saad. All rights reserved 2011.
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
use ieee.std logic unsigned.all;
use ieee.std_logic_arith.all;
ENTITY aes_pwm_updn is
    generic ( n: natural :=12);-- n can be changed as needed
    port(
                --input signales
                iStart : in std logic; -- pulse at start
                iD : in std_logic_vector(n-1 downto 0 ); --used for 12 bit
input data value
                        iClk : in std_logic;
                        iReset : in std_logic;
                        iRed : in std_logic_vector (3 downto 0); -- rising edge counter
input data
counter output data
    iClkDiv : in std logic vector(7 downto 0); -- clock divider for PWM
    --Output signals- - out std logic;
    OQ1 :
    oQ2 : out std_logic;
    OQ3 : out std_logic;
    OQ4 : out std_logic;
    oQ_inv : out std_logic;
    OQ1_inv : out std_logic;
    OQ2 inv : out std-logic;
    OQ3_inv : out std_logic;
    oQ4 inv : out std logic;
    oDon̄e : out std_logic;
    oDone_fed : out std_logic;
    oDone_red : out std_logic);
    Constānt C_min : std_logic_vectōr(n-1 downto
    Constant C_max : std_logic_vector(n-1 downto
0):=(others=>'1');
end aes_pwm_updn;
Architecture Behavioral of aes_pwm_updn is
    signal lDIR : std_logic; --Direction of the of the PWM
    signal lDreg : std_logic_vector(n-1 downto 0); -- register output
    signal lCount : std_logic_vector(n-1 downto 0); -- counter output
    signal lFed : std_logic_vector(3 downto 0); -- falling edge register
output
    signal lRed : std_logic_vector(3 downto 0); -- rising edge register output
    signal lCount_red - : std_logic_vector(3 downto 0); -- rising edge counter
output
    signal lCount_fed : std_logic_vector(3 downto 0); -- falling edge counter
output
    signal lCount_div : std_logic_vector(7 downto 0); -- counter for clock
divider
    signal lQint : std_logic;
    signal lPreQint : std_logic;
    signal lQ1 : std logic;
    signal lQ2 : std_logic;
    signal lQ3 : std_logic;
    signal lQ4 : std_logic;
    signal lRedEn : std_logic;
    signal lFedEn : std_logic;
```

```
Begin
process
(iClk,iReset,iStart,lDIR,lQint,lDreg,lCount,lCount_fed,lCount_red,lQ1,lQ2,lQ3,lQ4,lFed,lR
ed,lRedEn,lFedEn,lPreQint)
Begin
-- Initializations
    if (iReset = '0') then
            lCount <= C_min;
            lDIR <= '0';
            lDreg <= C_min;
            lFed <= - "0000";
            lRed <= "0000";
            lQint <='0';
            lQ1 <='0';
            lQ2 <='0';
            lQ3 <='0';
            lQ4 <='0';
            lRedEn <='0';
            lFedEn <='0';
            lCount red <="0000";
            lCount fed <="0000";
            lCount_div <= "00000000";
    elsif ( rising_edge(iClk) ) then
            if (lCount_div = iClkDiv) then
                        lCount div <= "00000000";
                if (lDIR = '1') then
                    if (lCount= C_max) then
                                    lDIR <= '0';
                                    oDone<= '0';
                                    lCount<=lCount-1;
                        Else
                            lCount<=lCount+1;
                            oDone<= '0';
                    End if;
            Else
                        if (lCount= C_min) then
                                    lDIR<='1';
                                    oDone<= '1';
                                    lCount<=lCount + 1;
                                    Else
                                    oDone<= '0';
                                    lCount<=lCount - 1;
                                    End if ;
                            End if ;
    Else
        lCount_div <= lCount_div + 1;
    end if;
        lPreQint<=lQint;
        --rising edge counter
            if (lRedEn='1') then
                        lCount_red<=lCount_red +1;
                        oDone_red<='0';
                        lRedEn<='0';
                        oDone_red<='1';
        End if;
    --falling edge counter
                                    if (lFedEn='1') then
                                    lCount_fed<=lCount_fed +1;
                                    oDone_fed<='0';
            Else
                lFedEn<='0';
                oDone_fed<='1';
                End if;
```

```
    if (lQint='1' and lPreQint='0') then
            lRedEn <='1';
            lFedEn <='0';
            lCount_red <="0000";
            lCount_fed <="0000";
            Else
            if (lCount_red>=lRed) then
                lRēdEn <='0';
                        lCount_red <=lCount_red;
            End if;
    End if;
    if (lQint='0' and lPreQint='1') then
            lFedEn <='1';
            lRedEn <='0';
            lCount_fed <="0000";
            lCount red <="0000";
    Else
            if (lCount_fed>=lFed) then
            lFedEn <='0';
            End if;
    End if;
            if (lCount >= lDreg) then
                lQint<='1';
            Else
                lQint <='0';
            End if;
    if ( lCount >=lDreg or lCount_fed<= lFed ) then
                                    lQ1 - <= '1';
            Else
                        lQ1 <='0';
    End if;
    if ( lCount_red >= lRed ) then
                                    1Q2 <= '1';
                Else
                        1Q2 <='0';
    End if;
    1Q3 <= lQ1 and lQ2;
    if ( lCount_fed >= lFed) then
            lQ4 <= '1';
    Else
            1Q4 <='0';
    End if;
    if ( iStart ='1') then
                lDreg <= iD;
                lFed <= iFed;
                        lRed <= iRed;
            End if;
End if;
End process ;
oQ <= lQint;
OQ1 <= 1Q1;
OQ2 <= lQ2;
OQ3 <= lQ3;
OQ4 <= lQ4;
OQ_inv <= not (lQint);
OQ1_inv<= not (lQ1);
oQ2_inv <= not (lQ2);
```

oQ3 inv $<=\quad$ not (lQ3);
oQ4_inv <= not (lQ4);
End Behavioral ;

## This is a program for speed observer.

```
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
-- This program has been written in VHDL for hybrid speed observer to estimate the rotor
positions on continuous basis using the -- information available through the Hall-
effect sensors. The program has been developed by Amir Saad at Missouri University of
-- Science and Technology in Aug. 2011. Copy right (c) For Amir Saad. All rights reserved
2011.
---------------------------------
Library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
use ieee.std_logic_unsigned.all;
use ieee.std_logic_arith.all;
library floātfixli\overline{b}
use floatfixlib.fixed_pkg.all;
use floatfixlib.float_pkg.all;
ENTITY aes_speed_observer is
    generic ( n: natural := 24;-- n&m can be change depending on the precision of
the angles
                    m: natural := 24;-- the fraction part of Wr
                        r: natural := 52;-- the precision of Cos phi
                                s: natural := 5;-- the integer part of the time
            x: natural := 32;-- the integer part of Wr
            F: natural := 12;
            y: natural := 24;-- the number of the bits in the counter
            a: real := 0.898794;
            b: real := 0.438371;
                c: real := 0.0000000417;
                d: real := 1.0472;
                e: real := 0.1591549431;
                    z: natural :=8;
                q : natural := 12 );
    port(
                --input signals
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
iStart \(:\) in std_logic; & -- pulse at start \\
iHa & : in std_logic; & -- Hall effect sensor signal \\
iHb & : in std_logic; & -- Hall effect sensor signal \\
iHc & : in std_logic; & -- Hall effect sensor signal \\
iClk & : in std_logic; & -- the clock signal \\
iReset \(:\) in std_logic; & -- reset signal
\end{tabular}
                    --Output signals
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
oDone \(:\) & out std_logic; \\
oT1 & \(:\) & out std_logic; \\
oT2 & \(:\) & out std_logic;
\end{tabular}
oT3 : out std \({ }^{-}\)logic;
                                    oWr : out sfi\overline{xed(F-1 downto 0); -- pulse done when end}
the operation
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
oSin_th_r & \(:\) & out sfixed \((F-1\) & downto & \(0) ;\) & -- \\
ocos_th_r & \(:\) & out sfixed \((F-1\) & downto & \(0) ;\) & \\
ofreq & \(:\) & out sfixed \((F-1\) downto & \(0)\) &
\end{tabular}
                oFreq : out sfixed(F-1 downto 0)
                );
                    Constant C_min : std_logic_vector(y-1 downto
0):=(others=>'0');
                                    Constant C_max : std_logic_vector(y-1 downto
0):=(others=>'1');
    end aes_speed_observer;
Architecture Behavioral of aes_speed_observer is
    signal lCount : std_logic_vector(y-1 downto 0); -- counter output
    signal lCount_div - : std_logic_vector(7 downto 0); -- counter for
clock divider
    signal lSin_th_r_temp : sfixed (0 downto-11);
```

```
    signal lCos_th_r_temp : sfixed (0 downto-11);
    signal lWr_\overline{temp}
    signal lFrēq temp : sfixed (9 downto -2);
    signal Cos_phi : sfixed (0 downto -n);
    signal Sin_phi : sfixed (0 downto -n);
    signal lDone : std_logic;
    signal T : sfixed (s downto -x);--Time between the two
transitions
    signal Ts : sfixed (0 downto -x);--sampling time
    signal fs : sfixed (26 downto 0);--sampling frequency
    signal lT1_temp : std_logic;-- transition in Ha
    signal lT2_temp : std_logic;-- transition in Hb
    signal lT3_temp : std_logic;-- transition in Hc
    signal tCou}nt : sfixed (y-1 downto 0)
Begin
    process
(iClk,iReset,iStart,lCount,lDone,lSin_th_r_temp,lCos_th_r_temp,lFreq_temp,lT1_temp,lT2_te
mp,lT3_temp)
    variable lFreq : sfixed (q-1 downto -m);
    variable lSin_th_r_int : sfixed (0 downto -n);
    variable lCos_th_r_int : sfixed (0 downto -n);
    variable lSin_th_r_new : sfixed (0 downto -n);
    variable lCos th r new : sfixed (0 downto -n);
    variable lSin_th_r- : sfixed (0 downto -n);
    variable lCos th_r : sfixed (0 downto -n);
    variable lMax\overline{Sin}}\mp@subsup{}{-}{\mathrm{ ( : sfixed (0 downto -n);}
    variable lMinSin : sfixed (0 downto -n);
    variable lMaxCos : sfixed (0 downto -n);
    variable lMinCos : sfixed (0 downto -n);
    variable lT1 : std logic; -- variable transition in Ha
    variable lT2 : std_logic; -- variable transition in Hb
    variable lT3 : std logic; -- variable transition in HC
    variable lWr : sfi\overline{xed (10 downto -m); variable register A}
output
    variable lHb_reg : std_logic; -- variable register
B output
    variable lHc_reg : std_logic; -- variable register C
output
    variable lPre_Ha_reg : std_logic; -- variable register A pre-
output
    variable lPre_Hb_reg : std_logic; -- variable register B pre-
output
    variable lPre_Hc_reg : std_logic; -- variable register C pre-
output
    Begin
-- Initializations ,
if (iReset = '0') then
    lWr := to_sfixed(0,lWr'high,lWr'low);
    lFreq := to_sfixed(0,lFreq'high,lFreq'low);
    lCount <= C min;
    lHa_reg := 0';
    lHb_reg :='0';
    lHc_reg :='0';
    lDone <='0';
    -- intitial conditions
    If (lHa_reg='0' and lHb_reg='0' and lHc_reg='1' ) then
        lSin_th_r_int := to_sfixed(-
0.8660254,lSin_th_r_int'high,lSin_th_r_int'low);
        lCos_th_r_int := to_sfixed(-0.5,lCos_th_r_int'high,lCos_th_r_int'low);
    Elsif (l\overline{Ha_}\overline{reg}='0' and lHb_reg='1' and lHc_reg='品) then
        lSin th r int :=
to_sfixed(0.866025\overline{4},\overline{lSin_th_r_int'high,lSin_th_r_int'low);}
        lCos th_r_int := to sfixed(-0.5,lCos th r int'high,lCos th r int'low);
    Elsif (\overline{l}Ha_reg='0' and lHb_reg='1' and lHc_reg=' '1'`) then
        lSin_th_r_int := to_sfixed(0,lSin th_r int'high,lSin th r int'low);
        lCos_th_r_int := to_sfixed(-1,lCos_th_r__int'high,lCos_t\overline{h_r}_int'low);
```

```
    Elsif (lHa_reg='1' and lHb_reg='0' and lHc_reg='0' ) then
        lSin_th_r_int := to_sfixed(0,lSin_th_r_int'high,lSin_th_r_int'low);
        lCos_th_r_int := to__sfixed(1,lCos_th_r_int'high,lCos_th_r_int'low);
    Elsif (lHa_reg='1' and lHb_reg='0' and lHc_reg='1' ) then
        lSin_th_r_int := - - to_sfixed(-
0.8660254,lSin_th_r_int'high,lSin_th_r_int'low);
        lCos_th_r_int := \overline{to_s}f\overline{i}xed(0.5,lCos_th_r_int'high,lCos_th_r_int'low);
```



```
        lSin_th_r_int :=
to sfixed(0.86\overline{60254,-1Sin th r int'high,lSin th r int'low);}
        lCos_th_r_int }\mp@subsup{}{}{-}\mathrm{ - = to_sfixed(0. 5, l]
    Elsif(l\overline{Ha_}\overline{reg}='1' and lHb_reg='1' and lHc_reg='\overline{1'') then}
        lSin_th_r_int := to_sfixed(0,lSin_th_r_int'high,lSin_th_r_int'low);
        lCos_\overleftarrow{th_r_int := to_sfixed(0,lCos_th_r__int'high,lCos_th__r_int'low);}
    End if;
    if (lHa_reg='0' and lHb_reg='0' and lHc_reg='1' ) then
            lMaxSin:= to_sfixed(-0.5,lMaxSin'high,lMaxSin'low);
            lMinSin:= to_sfixed(-1,lMaxSin'high,lMaxSin'low);
            lMaxCos:= to_sfixed(0,lMaxCos'high,lMaxCos'low);
            lMinCos:= to_sfixed(-0.8660254,lMaxCos'high,lMaxCos'low);
    Elsif (lHa_reg='0' and lHb_reg='1' and lHc_reg='0' ) then
            lMäSin:= to_sfixed(1,lMaxSin'high,lMaxSin'low);
            lMinSin:= to-sfixed(0.5,lMaxSin'high,lMaxSin'low);
            lMaxCos:= to_sfixed(0,lMaxCos'high,lMaxCos'low);
            lMinCos:= to_sfixed(-0.8660254,lMaxCos'high,lMaxCos'low);
    Elsif (lHa_reg='0' and lHb_reg='1' and lHc_reg='1' ) then
            lMaxSin := to_sfixed(-0.5,lMaxSin'high,lMaxSin'low);
            lMaxCos:= to_sfixed(-0.8660254,lMaxCos'high,lMaxCos'low);
            lMinCos:= to_sfixed(-1,lMaxCos'high,lMaxCos'low);
    Elsif (lHa_reg='1' and lHb_reg='0' and lHc_reg='0' ) then
            lMäSin := to_sfixed(0.5,lMaxSin'high,lMaxSin'low);
            lMinSin := to_sfixed(-0.5,lMaxSin'high,lMaxSin'low);
            lMaxCos:= to_sfixed(1,lMaxCos'high,lMaxCos'low);
            lMinCos := to_sfixed(0.8660254,lMaxCos'high,lMaxCos'low);
    Elsif (lHa_reg='1' and lHb_reg='0' and lHc_reg='1' ) then
            lMaxSin := to_sfixed(-0.5,lMaxSin'high,lMaxSin'low);
            lMinSin := to sfixed(-1,lMaxSin'high,lMaxSin'low);
            lMaxCos:= to_sfixed(0.8660254,lMaxCos'high,lMaxCos'low);
            lMinCos:= to sfixed(0,lMaxCos'high,lMaxCos'low);
    Elsif (lHa_reg='1' and l\overline{Hb_reg='1' and lHc_reg='0' ) then}
            lMaxSin := to_sfixed(1,lMaxSin'high,lMaxSin'low);
            lMinSin := to_sfixed(0.5,lMaxSin'high,lMaxSin'low);
            lMaxCos:= to_sfixed(0.8660254,lMaxCos'high,lMaxCos'low);
            lMinCos:= to_sfixed(0,lMaxCos'high,lMaxCos'low);
    End if;
    -- Now we can calculate sine and cosine theata_r in the initial conditions mode
```




| Elsif | lMinCos:= | 8660254, lMaxCos'high, lMaxCos'low); |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (lHa_reg=' | and lHb_reg='0' and lHc_reg='1' ) then |
|  | lMaxSin : = | to_sfixed (-0.5,lMaxSin'high, lMaxSin'low); |
|  | lMinSin : = | to_sfixed(-1, lMaxSin'high, lMaxSin'low) ; |
|  | lMaxCos : = | to_sfixed (0.8660254,1MaxCos'high, lMaxCos'low) |
|  | lMinCos : = | to_sfixed(0,lMaxCos'high, lMaxCos'low) ; |
| Elsif | (lHa_reg=' | and lHb_reg='1' and lHc_reg='0' ) then |
|  | lMaxSin:= | to_sfixed (1, lMaxSin'high, lMaxSin'low) ; |
|  | lMinSin : = | to_sfixed(0.5,lMaxSin'high, lMaxSin'low) ; |
|  | lMaxCos : = | to_sfixed (0.8660254, lMaxCos'high, lMaxCos'low); |
|  | lMinCos : = | to_sfixed(0,1MaxCos'high, lMaxCos'low) ; |

lCos_th_r_new := resize(lCos_th_r_int
(lWr*lSin_th_r_int)*Ts,lCos_th_r'High, ICos_th_r'Low); --intigration
lSin th r new := resize(lSin th rint


| lT1_temp | $<=1 T 1 ;$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| lT2_temp | $<=1 T 2 ;$ |
| lT3_temp | $<=1 T 3 ;$ |

-- integral limitations

-- Because Quartus II don't recognize the fraction part we need to change them to real
part

| lFreq_temp | $<=$ resize(lFreq | ,lFreq_temp'high,lFreq_temp'low); |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| lWr_temp | $<=$ resize(lWr | ,lWr_temp'high ,lWr_temp'low $) ;$ |
| lFreq_temp | $<=$ resize(lFreq | ,lFreq_temp'high,lFreq_temp'low); |

    lSin th r temp <=
    resize(lSin_th_r, lSin_th_r_temp'high,lSin_th_r_temp'low);
lCos_th_r_temp ${ }^{-}-\quad<=$
resize(lCos_th_r, lCos_th_r_temp'high,lCos_th_r_temp'low);
End if;
oDone <= lDone;
End process ;
oSin_th_r $<=\quad$ lSin_th_r_temp;
oCos_th_r $<=\quad$ lCos_th_r_temp;
oWr - $<=\quad$ lWr_temp;
oFreq $<=\quad$ lFreq_temp;
○T1 <= lT1_temp;
○T2 <= lT2_temp;
○T3 <= lT3_temp;

End Behavioral;
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