

# Control Factors Optimization on Threshold Voltage and Leakage Current in 22 nm NMOS Transistor Using Taguchi Method

Afifah Maheran A.H.<sup>2</sup>, Menon P.S.<sup>1</sup>, I. Ahmad<sup>3</sup>, F. Salehuddin<sup>2</sup>, A.S. Mohd Zain<sup>2</sup>, Noor Faizah Z. A.<sup>3</sup>, H.A. Elgomati<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>IMEN, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), 43600 Bangi, Selangor.

<sup>2</sup>CeTRI, Faculty of Electronics & Computer Engineering (FKEKK), Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), Hang Tuah Jaya, 76100 Durian Tunggal, Melaka.

<sup>3</sup>CeMNE, College of Engineering, Universiti Tenaga Nasional (UNITEN), 43009 Kajang, Selangor.

<sup>4</sup>Faculty of Engineering Technology, 34000 Janzour, Libya.

afifah@utem.edu.my

**Abstract**— In this article, Taguchi method was used to optimize the control factor in obtaining the optimal value which is also known as response characteristics, where the threshold voltage ( $V_{th}$ ) and leakage current ( $I_{leak}$ ) for NMOS transistor with a gate length of 22 nm is taken into account. The NMOS transistor design includes a high permittivity material (high-k) as a dielectric layer and a metal gate which is Titanium Dioxide ( $TiO_2$ ) and Tungsten Silicide ( $WSi_x$ ) respectively. The control factor was optimized in designing the NMOS device using the Taguchi Orthogonal Array Method where the Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) analysis uses the Nominal-the-Best (NTB) SNR for  $V_{th}$ , while for  $I_{leak}$  analysis, a Smaller-the-Better (STB) SNR was used. Four manufacturing control factors and two noise factor are used to optimize the response characteristics and find the best combination of design parameters. The results show that the Halo implantation tilting angle is the dominant factor where it has the greatest factor effect on the SNR of the  $I_{leak}$  with 55.52%. It is also shown that the values of  $V_{th}$  have the least variance and the mean value can be set to  $0.289 V \pm 12.7\%$  and  $I_{leak}$  is less than  $100 \text{ nA}/\mu\text{m}$  which is in line with the projections made by the International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors (ITRS).

**Index Terms**—22 Nm NMOS  $TiO_2/WSi_x$ ; High-K/Metal Gate; Threshold Voltage; Leakage Current; Taguchi Method.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Advances in research and development in the complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) technology caused a huge increase in the semiconductor technology growth. Since silicon dioxide ( $SiO_2$ ) was used as an effective gate dielectric layer over the decades, the downscaling CMOS dimension into nanoscale regime causes the decreasing of gate length dimension and the thickness of the  $SiO_2$  gate layer also effected. This can cause many disadvantages in CMOS characteristics such as the gate leakage current increase leading to short channel effects (SCEs) phenomenon [1]. Therefore, a lot of researchers switch to the high permittivity (high-k) dielectric to replace  $SiO_2$  as a CMOS dielectric gate since it offers many advantages than  $SiO_2$  layer [1, 2]. The International Roadmap for Semiconductor Technology (ITRS) provides a list of informative device characteristics for researchers as a guide to reduce the MOSFET dimension.

In our previous work, only control factors on the  $V_{th}$  of the 22 nm gate length NMOS was successful studied as the  $V_{th}$  is

one of the physical parameters that influence the functionality of the CMOS device [3]. In this paper, the research continued using Taguchi Method by studying the  $V_{th}$  and  $I_{leak}$  of the device. Since  $V_{th}$  and  $I_{leak}$  are one of the important physical parameters, there are several methods to obtain the good profile and characteristic of the device by changing the fabrication's parameter of the device [4].  $V_{th}$  is the minimum gate voltage required to create a channel between source and drain. When the CMOS device becomes smaller, it also makes the channel length between source and drain become short and it will lead current leaks through the source and drain channel even the transistor is in off position. To increase the  $V_{th}$ , the  $I_{leak}$  should also be kept as low as possible in order to maximize the device performance [5]. Each technique in order to reduce the  $I_{leak}$  can cause short channel effect, so the designer must scale the device very carefully in obtaining the optimized device.

This paper will explain the optimization process on control factors of NMOS transistor using  $L_9$  Taguchi method which uses an orthogonal array to evaluate the entire control factor with only a small number of experiments [6]. This method consists of SNR in order to analyze the experimental data in identifying the optimal parametric combinations in a simple way [7]. In this experiment, the SNR that involved are SNR (NTB) and SNR (STB). SNR (NTB) is to find and optimize the control factors so that the final value could be the same or as close as the target value which is also identified as the nominal value. While SNR (STB) is analysis to achieve the value to be smaller or minimum as could. SNR (NTB) belongs to  $V_{th}$  where we want to optimize the control factor to be as close to the target value, while SNR (STB) belongs to  $I_{leak}$  where our target is to achieve minimum  $I_{leak}$  [7, 8]. The research objective is to meet the ITRS prediction specification for 22 nm gate length NMOS transistor with the  $V_{th}$  value of  $0.289V \pm 12.7\%$  and the lowest  $I_{leak}$  value as could with the maximum value of  $100 \text{ nA}/\mu\text{m}$  [10].

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### A. Fabrication using TCAD Simulation Tools

NMOS device was virtually designed with the ATHENA module. The sample was initiated by the production of a P-well based wafer with the dose of boron ions for  $3.75 \times 10^{12}$ .

The next step was to produce a thickness of 130 Å Shallow Trench Insulator (STI) consist of Boron Difluorite (BF<sub>2</sub>) that functioned as the threshold-adjustment implantation. The TiO<sub>2</sub> was then deposited as the high-k material with 2 nm thickness followed by an etching process to produce a gate length of 22 nm. WSi<sub>x</sub> which is the metal gate material in this research was produced to form metal gate structure [8].

Then, the device was annealed at a temperature of 850 °C while Halo implantation was carried out to obtain optimum performance which indium is implanted with a dose of 20.45x10<sup>12</sup> ions / cm<sup>2</sup> and 35° tilting angle and was varied to obtain the best target value. Spacer structure which located at source and drain regions is then formed. The source-drain implantation process with doses of arsenic and phosphorus ion took place. The following process has been the development of the Borophosphosilicate glass layer (BPSG). Then, the wafer was being annealed at 850 °C. The process of compensation implantation by phosphorus dose in order to improve electrical profile then took place.

To complete the device structure, the metal gate contact is formed by the aluminum layer. At this stage, the simulation design of the 22 nm NMOS device is completed, as illustrated in Figure 1 and Figure 2 which shows the list of material and the doping profile of the device respectively. Finally, the transistor went through to the analysis process on the electrical characteristics using ATLAS simulation tools in term of V<sub>th</sub> and I<sub>leak</sub> with referring to the prediction of ITRS.




Figure 1: The NMOS transistor with TiO<sub>2</sub>/WSi<sub>x</sub> gate structure




Figure 2: The doping profile of the NMOS transistor

**B. The L<sub>9</sub> Taguchi Orthogonal Array Method**

The optimizations of the NMOS device can be successful implemented by changing control factor individual. The list of all control factors and noise factors with their levels that involved in the experiment are listed in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively. The L<sub>9</sub> Taguchi orthogonal array experimental layout can be referred to [11].

Table 1  
Control Factors

Factor	Control Factor	Unit	Level		
			1	2	3
A	Halo Implantation Dose (10 <sup>12</sup> )	Atom/cm <sup>3</sup>	20.40 (A <sub>1</sub> )	20.45 (A <sub>2</sub> )	20.50 (A <sub>3</sub> )
	Halo Implantation Tilting Angle	Degree	33 (B <sub>1</sub> )	35 (B <sub>2</sub> )	37 (B <sub>3</sub> )
C	Oxide Growth Annealing Temperature	°C	808 (C <sub>1</sub> )	810 (C <sub>2</sub> )	812 (C <sub>3</sub> )
	Metal Gate Annealing Temperature	°C	848 (D <sub>1</sub> )	850 (D <sub>2</sub> )	852 (D <sub>3</sub> )

Table 2  
Noise Factors

Factor	Noise Factor	Unit	Level 1	Level 2
X	PSG Annealing Temperature	°C	900 (X <sub>1</sub> )	902 (X <sub>2</sub> )
	BPSG Annealing Temperature	°C	850 (Y <sub>1</sub> )	852 (Y <sub>2</sub> )

**III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

The control factors were optimized using Taguchi Method and best design will be predicted and verified. The results of V<sub>th</sub> and I<sub>leak</sub> obtained were analyzed in order to determine the optimum control factors for the device.

**C. Electrical Characteristics of NMOS Transistor**

The electrical characteristic of the designed NMOS transistor is shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4 respectively. Figure 3 shows the graph of Id versus Vd and Figure 4 shows the graph of Id versus Vg.




Figure 3: Id versus Vd




Figure 4: Id versus Vg

#### D. $V_{th}$ and $I_{leak}$ Analysis using Taguchi Method

The  $L_9$  Taguchi method analysis for  $V_{th}$  and  $I_{leak}$  in this experiment consist of a total 36 simulation runs respectively. The completed simulation results for both  $V_{th}$  and  $I_{leak}$  are shown in Table 3 and Table 4 respectively.

Table 3  
 $V_{th}$  Value for NMOS Device

Exp.	Threshold Voltage (Volts)			
	$X_1Y_1$	$X_1Y_2$	$X_2Y_1$	$X_2Y_2$
1	0.27584	0.27452	0.27594	0.27462
2	0.24246	0.24132	0.24249	0.24133
3	0.30813	0.30713	0.30855	0.30756
4	0.23408	0.23341	0.23409	0.23343
5	0.28755	0.28620	0.28801	0.28666
6	0.43549	0.43380	0.43568	0.43399
7	0.33013	0.32890	0.33080	0.32958
8	0.30311	0.30185	0.30320	0.30193
9	0.40376	0.40229	0.40380	0.40233

Table 4  
 $I_{leak}$  Values for NMOS Device

Exp.	Leakage Current (nA/ $\mu$ m)			
	$X_1Y_1$	$X_1Y_2$	$X_2Y_1$	$X_2Y_2$
1	3.74140	3.78127	3.73861	3.77841
2	5.08231	5.13054	5.08083	5.12903
3	2.72900	2.75393	2.71674	2.74158
4	5.97259	6.03354	5.97091	6.03182
5	3.27597	3.31484	3.26188	3.30061
6	0.96864	0.97895	0.96759	0.97788
7	2.21109	2.22659	2.20086	2.21631
8	2.76319	2.79723	2.76131	2.7953
9	1.24103	1.25096	1.24068	1.2506

After completed the experiment, the next step was to analyze the control factors that give the most contribution on the device characteristics. One of the processes is to determine the SNR analysis of the experiment. As mention before, the  $V_{th}$  analysis in this experiment is referred to SNR (NTB) where the aim of the analysis is to discover the level of control factors that gives the final result value with closely or same to a target value. In this case, the target value for  $V_{th}$  is 0.289V. While for the  $I_{leak}$  analysis, the SNR of Smaller-the-Better (STB) is best uses where in the best device performance practice, the better device is the device with less  $I_{leak}$  and the best device is 100% performed with zero  $I_{leak}$ . But on a real device, it is impossible to achieve zero  $I_{leak}$ . Therefore, there is a limit value for the working device where the maximum acceptance of  $I_{leak}$  value is 100 nA/ $\mu$ m.

For The SNR (NTB),  $\eta_{NTB}$  can be expressed as [11]:

$$\eta_{NTB} = 10 \text{Log}_{10} \left[ \frac{\mu^2}{\sigma^2} \right] \quad (1)$$

where:  $\mu_{NTB}$  = the mean  
 $\sigma_{NTB}$  = the variance.

While the  $I_{leak}$  of the device is optimized using SNR (STB),  $\eta_{STB}$  can be expressed as:

$$\eta_{STB} = -10 \text{Log}_{10} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \right] \quad (2)$$

where:  $n$  = number of tests  
 $Y_i$  = the experimental value of the  $I_{leak}$ .

By applying the formula given in Equation (1) and Equation (2), the  $\eta_{STB}$  and  $\eta_{NTB}$  were calculated and given as in Table 5.

In the SNR analysis, the best performance of control factor combinations is indicating by the experiment that resulted with higher SNR value. Referring to Table 5, the SNR analysis for  $V_{th}$  value shows that row 3 and row 4 gives the highest SNR values of 53.87 dB and 55.71 dB respectively. While for  $I_{leak}$ , the highest SNR values of 180.24 dB and 178.09 dB were obtained for row 6 and row 9 respectively. These values indicate that the control factor combinations give the best insensitivity for the response characteristics. As a reminder, for the orthogonal experiment, the effect of each control factor on the SNR at each experiment can be separated out. The SNR for each level of the control factors is summarized in Table 6 and Table 7, both for the  $V_{th}$  and the  $I_{leak}$ .

#### E. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

In the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), the priority of the control factors with respect to the  $V_{th}$  and  $I_{leak}$  were examined to define the accuracy of the optimum combinations. It also can be used to investigate the percentage of contribution in the performance characteristics influenced by control factors. The result of ANOVA for SNR (NTB) and SNR (STB) can be obtained in Table 8. The percentage of factor effect on SNR indicates the priority of a control factor to minimize variation. The high percentage of a factor effect on both characteristics means it has the most influence on the stability of the device [5, 12].

Based on Table 8, it shows that for Factor A which is Halo implantation dose, the factor effect percentage for  $I_{leak}$  is higher (21.50%) than that obtained for the  $V_{th}$  (8.97%) analysis. While the Halo implantation tilting angle (Factor B) has the greatest effect on the  $I_{leak}$  with 55.52% compared to the  $V_{th}$  (32.75%) and can be considered as the dominant factor. Factor C (oxide growth annealing temperature) affects the  $V_{th}$  with a value of 17.41% more than the  $I_{leak}$  (10.15%). Last but not least, for the Factor D (metal gate annealing temperature), the control factor influenced more in  $V_{th}$  compare to  $I_{leak}$ . Therefore, referring to Table 6 and Table 7, the level values with the highest SNR are selected to be the best settings for the 22 nm NMOS device which is  $A_3 B_2 C_2 D_3$ . Their best setting of control factor values is shown in Table 9.

Table 5  
SNR Analysis

Exp No.	SNR (dB)	
	Threshold Voltage	Leakage Current
1	51.12	168.50
2	51.27	165.84
3	53.87	171.26
4	55.71	164.43
5	50.86	169.66
6	52.92	180.24
7	52.21	173.10
8	52.31	171.12
9	53.54	178.09

Table 6  
SNR (NTB) for  $V_{TH}$ 

Factor	SNR NTB (Mean), dB		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
A	52.09	53.17	52.69
B	53.01	51.48	53.44
C	52.12	53.51	52.31
D	51.84	52.14	53.97

Table 7  
SNR (STB) for  $I_{LEAK}$ 

Factor	SNR STB (Mean), dB		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
A	168.53	171.44	174.10
B	168.68	176.53	168.87
C	173.28	169.45	171.34
D	172.08	173.06	168.94

Table 8  
Result of ANOVA

Factor	Factor Effect on SNR (NTB) (%)	Factor Effect on SNR (STB) (%)
A	8.97	21.50
B	32.75	55.52
C	17.41	10.15
D	40.87	12.83

Table 9  
Best Setting of the Control Factors

Factor	Level	Best Value
A	3	20.5x10 <sup>12</sup>
B	2	35
C	2	810
D	3	852

Table 10  
Final Simulation with Added Noise

Noise (°C)	$V_{th}$ (V)	$I_{leak}$ (nA/ $\mu$ m)
(X <sub>1</sub> , Y <sub>1</sub> )	0.30266	2.77693
(X <sub>1</sub> , Y <sub>2</sub> )	0.30140	2.81094
(X <sub>2</sub> , Y <sub>1</sub> )	0.30271	2.77537
(X <sub>2</sub> , Y <sub>2</sub> )	0.30144	2.80936

These final control factors were then simulated with different parametric values of the noise factors to get the optimal result of  $V_{th}$  and  $I_{leak}$  as noted in Table 10. Finally, the control factor combination of A<sub>3</sub> B<sub>2</sub> C<sub>2</sub> D<sub>3</sub> X<sub>1</sub> Y<sub>2</sub> shows the best combination where the  $V_{th}$  value of 0.3014V resulted in the closest value to the ITRS prediction by 4.29% (ITRS range:  $\pm 12.7\%$ ), and in the same time  $I_{leak}$  resulted with almost 97% away and lower from maximum value as predicted from ITRS. Well said, both these values are in line with the ITRS predictions. As a result, Taguchi Method is proven to be a capable method to predict the optimum solution in obtaining

the optimal fabrication recipe for a 22 nm TiO<sub>2</sub>/WSi<sub>x</sub> planar NMOS with compliant  $V_{th}$  and  $I_{leak}$  values.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

As a conclusion, the best fabrication control factor settings for a 22nm TiO<sub>2</sub>/WSi<sub>x</sub> planar NMOS transistor using Taguchi method was successfully achieved. The Halo tilting angle has been identified to be the dominant factor in determining the value of both the  $V_{th}$  and the  $I_{leak}$ . The parametric combination of the process factors which include noise factors have resulted in the successful development of the nanoscale NMOS device with the combination of control factor A<sub>3</sub> B<sub>2</sub> C<sub>2</sub> D<sub>3</sub> X<sub>1</sub> Y<sub>2</sub> was the best combination in order to achieve the best  $V_{th}$  which closest to the nominal value and minimum  $I_{leak}$  where these values comply with the specifications given in ITRS and these values also reported by another researcher [11, 12].

Our future work involves developing the device using more fabrication's process parameters. We will also optimize both the  $V_{th}$  and the turn-on/turn-off current for the 22nm gate length NMOS device using extended Taguchi's method in the future.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank FKEKK of UTeM, the CRIM of UTeM, the IMEN of UKM, the CeMNE of UNITEN and the Ministry of Education Malaysia. The publication of this work is using allocation from the Short Term Grant no PJP/2015/FKEKK(3B)/S01412.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Salmani-Jelodar, H. Ilatikhameneh, S. Kim, K. Ng, and G. Klimeck, "Optimum High-k Oxide for the Best Performance of Ultra-scaled Double-Gate MOSFETs," *IEEE Trans. Nanotechnol.*, vol. 13, pp. 1–5, 2015.
- [2] H. Wong and H. Iwai, "On the scaling of subnanometer EOT gate dielectrics for ultimate nano CMOS technology," *Microelectron. Eng.*, vol. 138, pp. 57–76, Apr. 2015.
- [3] A. H. Afifah Maharan, P. S. Menon, I. Ahmad, and S. Shaari, "Application of Taguchi Method in Designing a 22nm High-k/Metal Gate NMOS Transistor," *Adv. Mater. Res.*, vol. 925, pp. 514–518, 2014.
- [4] N. Mohammad, F. Salehuddin, H. A. Elgomati, I. Ahmad, N. A. A. Rahman, M. Mansor, Z. Mansor, K. E. Kaharudin, A. S. M. Zain, and N. Z. Haron, "Characterization & Optimization of 32nm P-Channel MOSFET Device," *J. Telecommun. Electron. Comput. Eng.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 49–54, 2013.
- [5] H. A. Elgomati, B. Y. Majlis, F. Salehuddin, I. Ahmad, and A. Zaharim, "Optimizing 35nm NMOS devices  $V_{th}$  and  $I_{leak}$  by controlling active area and Halo implantation dosage," in *IEEE Regional Symposium on Micro and Nano Electronics (RSM2011)*, 2011, pp. 286–290.
- [6] N. F. Z. A. I. Ahmad, P. J. Ker, S. M. Y. M. F. R. S. K. Mah, and P. S. Menon, "Process Parameters Optimization of 14nm p-Type MOSFET using 2-D Analytical Modeling," *J. Telecommun. Electron. Comput. Eng.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 97–100, 2013.
- [7] F. Salehuddin, I. Ahmad, F. A. Hamid, and A. Zaharim, "Impact of different dose and angle in HALO structure for 45nm NMOS device," *Adv. Mater. Res.*, vol. 383–390, pp. 6827–6833, Nov. 2012.
- [8] A. H. Afifah Maharan, P. S. Menon, I. Ahmad, and S. Shaari, "Effect of Halo structure variations on the threshold voltage of a 22nm gate length NMOS transistor," *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.*, vol. 17, pp. 155–161, Jan. 2014.
- [9] A. H. Afifah Maharan, P. S. Menon, I. Ahmad, F. Salehuddin, and A. S. M. Zain, "Process Parameter Optimisation for Minimum Leakage Current in a 22nm p-type MOSFET using Taguchi Method," *J. Telecommun. Electron. Comput. Eng.*, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 19–23, 2016.
- [10] ITRS, "ITRS Report," [www.ITRS2012.net](http://www.ITRS2012.net), 2012.
- [11] M. S. Phadke, *Quality engineering using robust design*. Pearson Education Inc. And Dorling Kindersley Publishing Inc. India., 2008.

- [12] S. Ghosh, P. Sahoo, and Goutam Sutradhar, "Friction performance of Al-10%SiCp reinforce metal matrix composite using Taguchi method," *ISRN Tribol.*, vol. 2013, pp. 1–9, 2013.
- [13] A. Khakifrooz and D. A. Antoniadis, "MOSFET performance scaling - Part II: Future directions," *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 1401–1408, 2008.
- [14] T. Baldauf, A. Wei, R. Illgen, S. Flachowsky, T. Herrmann, T. Feudel, Ho, x, J. Ntschel, M. Horstmann, W. Klix, and R. Stenzel, "Simulation and optimization of Tri-gates in a 22 nm hybrid Tri-gate/planar process," in *12th International Conference on Ultimate Integration on Silicon*, 2011, pp. 1–4.