

# Scholars' Mine

#### Masters Theses

Student Theses and Dissertations

1968

# The modeling, fabrication, and testing of an instantaneous torque measuring system

**Thomas Phillip Hertel** 

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/masters\_theses

Part of the Electrical and Computer Engineering Commons Department:

#### **Recommended Citation**

Hertel, Thomas Phillip, "The modeling, fabrication, and testing of an instantaneous torque measuring system" (1968). *Masters Theses*. 7016. https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/masters\_theses/7016

This thesis is brought to you by Scholars' Mine, a service of the Missouri S&T Library and Learning Resources. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact scholarsmine@mst.edu.

## THE MODELING, FABRICATION, AND TESTING OF AN INSTANTANEOUS TORQUE MEASURING SYSTEM

BY

#### THOMAS PHILLIP HERTEL

ZL.

THESIS

submitted to the faculty of

THE UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI - ROLLA

in partial fullfillment of the requirement for the

Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Rolla, Missouri

134526

Approved by Palph& Carson (advisor) <u>El. Bertnolli</u> <u>R.E. Office</u>

#### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this work was to obtain a measuring system to experimentally determine the instantaneous magnitude and frequency of the torques from zero to 2400 Hertz produced by a single phase motor. This paper explains the methods employed in designing and fabricating a measuring system and shows the results from tests using this equipment. It was found that a measuring system based on the determination of the instantaneous value of the stator's reaction torques could be realized. Also, it was found that some simple methods of computation could be used to find the magnitudes of the frequency components comprising these instantaneous torques.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Preface		iv
List of Fig	gures	v
I.	Introduction	1
11.	Discussion of the Model of the Measuring System and Experimental Methods	3
III.	Experimental Results	11
IV.	Conclusions	15
Appendix A	- Description of Equipment	17
Appendix B	- Methods of Data Reduction used with the Baldwin-Lima-Hamilton Strain Gage and Strain Sensitivity Tables	19
Appendix C	- Methods and Procedures Used in the Experimental Determination of the Constants	26
Appendix D	- Computer Program for Inverse Transfer Function and Tables of Magnitude and Phase for Ten-Hertz Increments	31
Bibliograph	ıy	46
Vita		47

#### PREFACE

The main objective of the work required in performing this research was the physical construction of a test stand to enable measurement and comparison of the magnitudes of the torque components present within the instantaneous torque produced by a single phase machine.

I wish to thank Mr. Richard Pohl, Mr. Charles Gross, Mr. Frank Huskey, Mr. Edward Hornsey, and Dr. Balph Carson for their assistance in the design and building of this system.

#### LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.	Picture of Complete Test Stand	38
Figure 2.	Drawing of Measuring Elements	39
Figure 3.	Graph of Friction Force vs. Angular Velocity	40
Figure 4.	Plot of Inverse Transfer Function vs. Frequency	41
Figure 5.	Picture of Responses to a Serie <mark>s of</mark> Impulse Load <mark>s</mark>	42
Figure 6.	Drawing of the Response of a Single Phase Motor to a Load Change	43
Figure 7.	Drawing of the Response of a Capacitor Split Motor to a Load Change	44
Figure 8.	Temperature Coefficient of P-Type Silicon for Strain Gage	45

v

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Considerable study has been made concerning the torques and the audio frequency vibrations produced by a single phase motor. The audio frequency vibration is observed to increase whenever the motor is excited from a source controlled by non-linear devices, such as silicon controlled rectifiers. An instantaneous torque measuring system with a frequency response of zero to 2400 Hertz was required to confirm the theoretical torques produced by a single phase motor excited from a non-sinusoidal source.

Two major approaches were available in measuring these instantaneous torques: (1) to make acceleration studies of the rotor and use this data in a model for the instantaneous torques, and (2) to measure the reaction torque of the stator and use this data in a model for the instantaneous torques. There are certain advantages associated with each of the two methods; however, the reaction torque method was choosen for this work. The primary reasons for using the reaction torque method were: (1) the availability of semiconductor strain gages; (2) the ease with which different restraints and supports could be tried; and (3) the requirement of making the tests at all load conditions including no connected shaft load.

After choosing the method of measurement, a mathematical model of the measuring system was derived. This model was to represent the physical system's response to torque

with a frequency range of zero to 2400 Hertz and to aid in choosing techniques required to compensate for the system's response. Since the frequency response of the strain gage and the recorder was much higher than the natural frequency of the measuring system, their effects could be ignored. Therefore, the transfer function of the system could be approximated by the transfer function of a second-order system.

A system was then constructed using this preliminary work as a guide and the constants for a final mathematical model were experimentally measured. The system is now in use for instantaneous torque studies.

# II. DISCUSSION OF THE MODEL OF THE MEASURING SYSTEM AND EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The measurement of the instantaneous torques to 2400 Hertz by stator reaction methods required a physically stiff measuring system. After many different configurations and attachments were tried, the last approach shown in figure 1 operated best.

For any measuring system, the mathematical model of the system is required in order to convert from the measured value to a true value. The modeling of this system required several assumptions:

(a) The reaction torque present in the stator's iron is transmitted to the measuring beam without any deflection of the stator.

(b) The motion of the stator is very small. Therefore the moment acting on the threads in the stator is small and can be neglected. Thus the torque produced by the motor,  $T_s(t)$ , is represented at the base of the beam as a time-varying force, P(t) times the radius of the motor,  $r_m$ .

(c) The measuring beam is homogeneous and has the same effective cross section throughout its length, L.

(d) The hystersis and backlash effects of the measuring system are small and may be neglected.

(e) The kinetic friction between the stator and the rotor is much smaller than  $T_s$  maximum.

With these assumptions, an approximate mathematical model of the transfer function of the torque measuring

system was derived.

The equation describing the reaction torque of the stator is

$$T_{s}(t) = J_{s}\Theta + f_{s}\Theta + K_{T}\Theta + C$$
 (1)

where

 $T_s(t)$  = reaction stator torque =  $P(t)r_m$ 

 $J_{S}$  = mass moment of inertia of stator about the center line of the rotor

 $f_s = viscous$  friction coefficient

 $K_{T}$  = torsional-spring constant of the beam

- C = kinetic friction
- $\Theta$  = angular displacement of stator

However, by applying assumption (e), the equation reduces to

$$T_{s}(t) = J_{s}\dot{\Theta} + f_{s}\dot{\Theta} + K_{T}\Theta$$
 (2)

Taking the Laplace transform of equation (2) and setting all initial conditions equal to zero yields

$$T_{s}(s) = s^{2}J_{s} + \theta + sf_{s} + \theta + K_{T} + \theta$$
(3)

Since the measured torque, To(t), is taken from a strain gage on the beam then

$$To(t) = K_{T}\Theta$$
(4)

and taking the Laplace transform of equation (4) gives

$$To(s) = K_{T} + \Theta + (5)$$

So the transfer function of the system is

$$\frac{To(s)}{T_{s}(s)} = \frac{K_{T} + \Theta t}{s^{2} J_{s} + \Theta t + s f_{s} + \Theta t} + K_{T} + \Theta t} = \frac{K_{T}}{s^{2} J_{s} + s f_{s} + K_{T}}$$
(6)

A picture of the beam with strain gage mounted is shown in figure 2.

From the mechanics of materials, a beam can be represented by the differential equation

$$EI_{y} = M_{x}(t)$$
(7)

where

 $M_X(t)$  = time varying moment at a point x  $\ddot{y}$  = the second time derivative of the deflection y E = modulus of elasticity of the beam

I = the centroidal moment of inertia of the beam Then, by using the assumption made in (b), the beam is considered to be cantilevered from a fixed reference to the motor. Therefore, the solution of the differential equation

$$\dot{y} = M_{\chi}(t)/EI$$
 (8)

gives

$$Y = \frac{P(t)X^3}{6EI} - \frac{P(t)LX^2}{2EI}$$
(9)

where

$$P(t) = \frac{T_{o}(t)}{r_{m}}$$
(10)

and

L = length of beam

Evaluating equation (9) at X = L gives

$$Y = -\frac{P(t)L^3}{3EI}$$
(11)

Also, from mechanics of materials

$$K_{T} = \frac{ft - lbs}{radian} = \frac{r_{m}P(t)}{\theta}$$
(12)

where  $K_{\rm T}$  is the torsional spring constant.

But, applying the assumptions of (b) gives

$$\ll = \frac{y}{L}$$
 (13)

and further

$$\Theta = \frac{y}{r_{\rm m}} = \frac{L}{r_{\rm m}} \tag{14}$$

So, substituting equation (14) into equation (12) yields

$$K_{\rm T} = \frac{r_{\rm m} P(t)}{\frac{y}{r_{\rm m}}} = \frac{(r_{\rm m})^2 P(t)}{y}$$
 (15)

and substituting y from equation (11) into equation (15) gives

$$K_{\rm T} = \frac{(r_{\rm m})^{2} P(t)}{\frac{P(t) L^{3}}{3 E I}} = \frac{3(r_{\rm m})^{2} E I}{L^{3}}$$
(16)

So for a  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch by  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch by  $l\frac{1}{2}$  inch steel beam

$$E = 30 \times 10^6 \frac{1\text{bs}}{\text{in}^2}$$
$$I = \frac{1}{192} \text{ in}^4$$

Therefore

$$K_{T} = \frac{3 \times (2.8)^{2} \times 30 \times 10^{6}}{192 \times (1.5)^{3}}$$
  
= 10.8 x 10<sup>5</sup> in - 1bs or 9.08 x 10<sup>4</sup> ft - 1bs  
radian (17)

The value for  $f_s$ , the viscious friction coefficient, was found from figure (3), the plot of the friction force versus the angular velocity, by taking the slope of the curve times the radius of the motor.

Therefore

$$\mathbf{f}_{s} = \underbrace{\Delta y}_{\Delta x} \mathbf{r}_{m} = \underbrace{(2.8)(0.101 - .085)}_{12 x 41.9} = 8.9 x 10^{-5} \underbrace{\text{ft} - 1\text{bs}}_{\text{radian/sec.}}$$
(18)

The mass moment of inertia of the stator alone can be calculated using the weight of the stator and assuming it concentrated into a thin ring at a center of  $\frac{1}{2}(r_m + r_{m_i})$ inches, where  $r_{m_i}$  is the internal radius of the motor. Adding this mass moment of inertia to twice the value of the mass moment of inertia contributed by one of the end bells, yields the mass moment of inertia,  $J_s$ , used in the model. Therefore, since the stator's weight was five pounds, the end bell's weight was  $\frac{1}{4}$  pound each,  $r_m$  was 2.8 inches, and  $r_{m_i}$  was 1.6 inches, (1) then

$$J_{s} = \left[\frac{r_{m} + r_{m_{1}}}{2}\right]^{2} \times \frac{\text{weight}}{g} + 2\left(\frac{1}{2}r_{m}^{2} \times \frac{\text{weight}}{g}\right)$$
(19)  
= 6.95 x 10<sup>-3</sup> slug - ft.<sup>2</sup>

Thus the transfer function of the measuring system, equation (6) becomes

$$\frac{T_{o}(s)}{T_{s}(s)} = \frac{K_{T}}{s^{2}J_{s} + sf_{s} + K_{T}}$$
(20)

$$= \frac{9.08 \times 10^4}{6.95 \times 10^{-3} \text{s}^2 + 8.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{s} + 9.08 \times 10^4}$$
(20a)

Now forming the characteristic equation

$$0 = 6.95 \times 10^{-3} s^2 + 8.9 \times 10^{-5} s + 9.08 \times 10^4$$
 (21)

and solving for s gives

So  $f_n$  of the system should be approximately 575 Hertz.

<sup>(1)</sup> For the complete description of the motor see Appendix A.

Now

$$\int = \frac{f_{\rm a}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{K_{\rm T} J_{\rm S}}}$$
(22)

and by substituting in the values of  $K_{T}$ ,  $f_s$ , and  $J_s$  from equations (17), (18) and (19)

$$\int = 1.77 \times 10^{-6}$$
 (22a)

Also the damped angular frequency,  $\omega_d$ , is given by

$$\omega_{\rm d} = \sqrt{1 - 5^2} \, \omega_{\rm n} \tag{23}$$

So by substituting in the values of S and  $\omega_n$  yields

$$w_{d} = \sqrt{1 - (1.77 \times 10^{-6})^2} \times 3610 \cong 3610 \text{ radian/second}$$

or

 $f_d = 575$  Hertz

Further modeling was required to get the torque in terms of the output voltage of a bridge.

From mechanics of materials, the relationship giving strain at point x as a function of moment at x is

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{X}}(t) = \frac{M_{\mathbf{X}}(t)c}{EI}$$
(24)

where

c = the distance to the centroid axis to the point x

 $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{x}}$  = the strain at x

Now since

$$M_{\mathbf{y}} = P(t)(L-X) \tag{25}$$

so by substituting equation (25) into equation (24)

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{X}}(t) = \frac{P(t)(L-X)c}{EI}$$
(26)

For this measuring system

x = 1 inch;  $c = \frac{1}{4}$  inch

then by evaluating equation (26)

$$\mathbf{E}(t) = \frac{P(t)(3/2 - 1)\frac{1}{4}}{30 \times 10^{\circ} \times 1/192} = 0.8P(t) \times 10^{-6} \frac{\text{inch}}{\text{inch}}$$
(26a)

But from equation (10)

$$P(t) = \frac{T_{o}(t)}{r_{m}}$$

so substituting the value of P(t) from equation (10) into equation (26a)

$$\epsilon_{(t)} = \frac{0.8 \times T_0(t) \times 10^{-6}}{2.8}$$
(27)

and rearranging

$$T_{o}(t) = 3.50 \ \epsilon(t) \ x \ 10^{6}$$
 (27a)

Since  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  is measured as an unbalanced voltage from a bridge, than for a very small imbalance

$$E_{o}(t) = \frac{E_{s}}{2} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(t)G.F.$$
(28)

where

G.F. = gage factor<sup>(2)</sup> of the strain gage  $E_s$  = the source voltage for the bridge

 $E_0$  = the output voltage of the birdge

Thus, substituting equation (27) into equation (28) and rearranging

$$T_{o}(t) = \frac{7.00 E_{o}(t) \times 10^{6}}{E_{s} G.F.}$$
(29)

<sup>(2)</sup> Methods required to obtain approximate linear gage factor were taken from the Semiconductor Strain Gage Handbook, Section Two on data reduction. Baldwin-Lima-Hamilton; Waltham, Mass.

and for a gage factor of 157 and an E<sub>s</sub> of 22-1/2 volts <sup>(3)</sup>  

$$T_o(t) = 1.99E_o(t) \times 10^3$$
 in - 1bs  
 $= 0.166E_o(t) \times 10^3$  ft - 1bs (30)

(3) See Appendix (B) for calculation of gage factor.

# III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS <sup>(4)</sup>

The experimental data taken from the test stand agreed fairly well with that found from the mathematical model. However, for the real system, the assumption of no hystersis and backlash was not completely valid. Also the low frequency torques produced by rotor imbalance and mechanical misalignment was an unexpected problem.

 $J_s$  was found experimentally to be 5.85 x  $10^{-3}$  slugft<sup>2</sup>. This compared well with the predicted value of  $6.95 \times 10^{-3}$  slug-ft<sup>2</sup>. The difference was probably caused by the assumption that the stator could be represented by a homogeneous thin ring at an average radius.  $f_s$  was found experimentally to be 3.07 ft-lbs/radian. This value is several orders of magnitude larger than the predicted value of  $8.9 \times 10^{-5}$  and probably represents the effect of energy absorption within the beam, an effect that was not included in the mathematical model. Using the experimentally determined value of f in the calculation of the damping factor yielded a value of 0.0705, which is light damping and has very little effect on other calculations, such as  $\omega_n$  the natural angular frequency.  $K_{T}$  was found to be 8.1 x 10<sup>4</sup> ft-lbs/radian and was near the calculated value of  $9.08 \times 10^4$  ft-lbs/radian. The difference found in  $K^{\phantom{\dagger}}_{T}$  was probably caused by the assumptions made in (b) and (c). In particular, the beam was attached to the

(4) See Appendix C for the calculations.

motor by threads tapped into the stator and cut onto the beam, then a jam nut was placed on the beam and against the motor in order to minimize the backlash. The threads cut into the beam reduced the cross-section of the resisting area of the member enough to slightly decrease  $K_{T}$  and thereby caused a slight decrease in  $\omega_{n}$ . The damped natural frequency of this system was found experimentally to be 590 Hertz, by both step and impulse loading. This value happened to be very close to the calculated value because both the mass moment of inertia of the stator and the beam's stiffness decreased. The constant relating the torque to the output voltage of the bridge circuit containing the strain gage was found to be 1.23 x  $10^3$  ft - lbs/volt. This compares with the calculated value of 0.166 x  $10^3$  ft - 1bs/volt. The difference is probably caused by the unpredictable stress concentration at the beam's base and the hystersis and backlash effects in the system.

Substituting the values found experimentally into equation (6) yields

$$\frac{T_{o}(j\omega)}{T_{s}(j\omega)} = \frac{8.1 \times 10^{4}}{5.85 \times 10^{-3}(-\omega^{2}) + 3.07(j\omega) + 8.1 \times 10^{4}}$$
(31)

This equation can be used to form the relationship between the torques produced by the motor and the output torques measured

$$\frac{T_{s}(j\omega)}{T_{o}(j\omega)} = \frac{(5.85 \times 10^{-3}(-\omega^{2}) + 3.07(j\omega) + 8.1 \times 10^{4})}{8.1 \times 10^{4}}$$
(32)

Figure 4 is a graph of the magnitude ratio of  $T_s(jw)/T_o(jw)$  calculated from equation (32). This curve can be used to find the instantaneous value of torque by finding the magnitude ratio for a given frequency from figure 4 and multiplying by the magnitude of  $T_o$  at that frequency. The magnitude of  $T_o$  at the frequency of interest is the product of the constant  $C_T$  times the magnitude of the frequency component taken from the recorder.

Because of the problems associated with the calculation of  $C_T$ , the constant relating output voltage of the bridge to the torque's magnitude, the system is more valuable in relating the relative magnitude of the various frequency components than in measuring the absolute value of the components.

An interesting example of the response of the measuring system is displayed from the response to a series of impulses as shown in figure 5.

Other examples of the response of the system are shown in figures 6 and 7.

Figure 6 shows the effect of the instantaneous torque production of a single phase motor whenever the load is rapidly removed.

Figure 7 shows the effect on the instantaneous torque production of a capacitor split motor whenever the load is rapidly removed. As may be expected by comparing figure 6

to figure 7, the capacitor split motor operated more quietly than the same motor without the capacitor. Also, both motors operated more quietly while under load.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

The experimental results of this work agreed well with the predicted results. The main exception to the satisfactory results was the viscous-friction coefficient which was found to be small but was several orders of magnitude larger than the predicted value.

The major problems associated with the project were: (1) difficult modeling of the motor-beam attachment; (2) difficulties in the selection of items affecting the viscous frequency coefficient; (3) binding within the system because of parts tolerance; (4) large instantaneous torque components at the motor's rotational angular velocity because of shaft warp, rotor imbalance, and mechanical misalignment; (5) handling of the small semiconductor strain gages; and (6) difficulty in obtaining a very stiff physical system without extensive modifications of the motor.

A digital computer was used as an aid in the calculations for the frequency compensation of the system. A computer program was written to solve the inverse of the transfer function for magnitude and phase at ten-Hertz increments. The computer's solution for magnitude is shown in figure  $4^{(5)}$ .

Several possible areas of additional work to reduce the data taken from the system are: (1) sampling the output so

<sup>(5)</sup> See Appendix D for the complete program and the tabulated solution of magnitude and phase for ten-Hertz frequency increments.

the data could be given to a digital computer along with a Fourier series program and the system's transfer function so that the magnitude and phase shift of component torques could be quickly calculated; (2) the simulation of the inverse of the transfer function on an analog computer so as to obtain direct recordings for the instantaneous torque developed; (3) other configurations of stator restraints and/or a motor with a specially designed frame could be used in an attempt to raise the natural frequency; and (4) the use of a calibrated load device in order to get an accurate dynamic calibration.

The system is presently being used to measure and record the instantaneous torque produced by a single phase motor. The measurements are made at different load conditions and different phase splitting of the start windings. From these recordings the magnitude of the different frequency components are taken and multiplied by the frequency's compensating factor taken from figure 4. This information should be useful in future research concerning the single phase motor.

#### APPENDIX A

#### DESCRIPTION OF EQUIPMENT

I. Motor

1/6 Horsepower	110 Volts	3.0 Amperes
1100/1050/950 RPM	60 Cycle/Second	Single Phase
50 <sup>0</sup> C Rise	Frame 48Y	
Model K55HXBPB - 1216		
Stator's weight - 5 pou	nds End Bells' weig	ght - 1/2 Pound
Stator's diameter: ins	side - 1.6 inches, outs	ide - 2.8 inches
Emerson Electric Co.		

II. Brake

1.5 Horsepower at 1800 RPM

Excitation: 90 Volts

Dynamatic Division of Adjusto-Brake Inc.

#### III.Recorder

Model 447 - Oscillagraph

**Century** Electronics

IV. Pre-Amplifier

Model 530 CR

Century Electronics

V. Brake Excitation

Heathkit Variable Voltage Regulated Power Supply

Model PS-3

VI. Oscilliscope

Hewlett-Packard

Model 1402

VII. Strain Gage

Model SP5-35-500 Nominal Resistance 5000 ohms Nominal gage factor 148 Active gage length - 0.35 inch BLH Electronics

#### APPENDIX B

Methods of Data Reduction used with the Baldwin-Lima-Hamilton Strain Gage and Strain Sensitivity Tables.

The gage factor of a semi-conductor strain gage is a function of temperature and strain level. In order to determine the gage factor at room temperature for a bonded gage, the resistance before and after bonding or mounting must be known. Let  $R_B$  be the resistance of the gage after bonding and  $R_o$  be the unbonded resistance; in this case,  $R_B = 5784$  and  $R_o = 5900$ . Then the unit resistance change in bonding

$$\frac{R_{\rm B} - R_{\rm o}}{R_{\rm o}} = \frac{5784 - 5900}{5900} = -0.0200 \, \text{SZ} \tag{B1}$$

Using strain sensitivity tables, the value of the unit resistance change at  $80^{\circ}$ F. gives a pre-strain value of approximately -125 <u>u - in.</u>

The equation used to calculate the gage factor of the gage in a constant-current circuit is;

G.F. = G.F.' + 
$$C_2'(2\epsilon + \epsilon_x)10^{-6}$$
 (B2)  
 $\frac{R_o}{R_B} = 156.3 + 71 \times 10^{-3} = 156.4$ 

To determine the gage factor for a constant voltage circuit

$$G.F. = \frac{2 \Delta R}{(2R_B + R) \epsilon_x}$$
(B3)

where

so

$$R = R_{B} \xi_{x} \times G.F._{cc}$$

$$G.F. = \frac{2 \times 5784 \times 50 \times 10^{-6} \times 156.4 \times 10^{6}}{(2 \times 5784 + 5784 \times 50 \times 10^{-6} \times 156.4)50}$$

$$= \frac{9.04 \times 10^{-6}}{(11520 + 4.5)50} = 157$$
(B4)

This result indicates that the change of gage factor is small when the bonding resistance change is small and the expected strain level is small.

Other corrections which can be applied to the readings are linearity corrections and temperature corrections. Since  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{x}}$  is small no linearity correction was made.

Two corrections are required for the temperature effects:

(1) Changes of resistance because of the temperature coefficient of the silicon material and

(2) Strain caused by the change of length of the steel beam with temperature.

The first correction is made by the use of figure 8. This is a curve provided by the manufacturer for the particular type gage. For a temperature of  $85^{\circ}F$  the change in R with respect to R<sub>o</sub> is found to be 2 percent.

Since the linear coefficient of expansion of steel is about 6.5 ppm/ $F^{0}$ , then the apparent strain increase at a  $10^{0}F$  change would be 65 micro-in./in.

Since the apparent strain and the temperature coefficient changes were not large enough to appreciable affect the gage constant, their effects were not required in the test. These effects can be neglected because the impulse and step response work were performed at constant temperature and the instantaneous torque measurements are made in such a short interval of time that the temperature is approximately constant. However, in some of the instantaneous torque measurements, the slight drift of the signal is attributed to the slow temperature change.

#### BLH SEMICONDUCTOR STRAIN GAGES

#### UNIT RESISTANCE CHANGE VERSUS STRAIN LEVEL AND TEMPERATURE

P-TYPE GF 156.3 C2 2,800 1-0 77F

R-O IS FUNCTION OF T - USE ENCLOSED TEMPERATURE COEFFICIENT CURVE ZERO STRAIN - UNSTRESSED CONDITION AT T-O

STRAIN

\_\_\_\_

TEMPERATURE DEGREES E

							LUNLLA P	
U	INZIN	0	20	40	03	80	100	120
<u></u> 2	30005	130	- 4930	- 4745	4573	- 4413	4264	4125
-7	9505	050	- 4953	- 4670	- 4501	- 4344	4197	- 4059
- 7	900 - 4	970	- 4776	- 4596	- 4429	- 4276	- 4129	- 3994
- 7	2850 - 4	889 .	- 4698	- 4521	- 4357	- 4204	60.62	3929
- 2	28004	202	- 4621	4447	- 4225	4134	- 3094	- 3563
- 2	27504	728	- 4543	- 4372	- 4212	- 401.4	3926	- 3797
- 2	700 - 4	448 -	- 4465	- 4296	- 6160	- 1004	- 1350	- 3732
~ 2	650 - 4	566 -	- 4387	- 4221	- 4047	- 3026	- 3799	- 3156
- 2	2600 - 6	200 792 -	- 4300	- 4144	- 2004	- 2053	- 3730	- 3600
_ 7	000	402	- 4230	- 4070	- 2021	- 3793	- 3656	- 2522
			- 42.50	- 3000	- 3921			
		222	- 4192			- 3112		3467
- 4	4004	241 '	- 4073	3918	3//2	3041		3401
- 2	4004	134 4	- 3994 		3/01	3910	2440	
- 2	320 -4	010	- 3915		3021	3499		
- 2	3003	994	- 3836		3554	3428	3311	3201
-2	2503	911 -	3756		3480	3356	3241	-,3134
~ 2	2003	829 .	3677	3536	3406	3285	3172	3067
- 2	1503	746 -	3597	3459	3332	3213	3103	3000
- 2	1003	663 ·	3517	3382	3257	3141	3033	2932
-2	0503	579 ·	3437	3305	3183	3069	2964	2865
-2	0003	496 •	3356	3228	3108	2997	2894	2798
-1	95034	412 .	3276	3150	3033	2925	2824	2730
-1	.9003.	328 -	3195	3072	2958	-•2853	2754	2562
-1	\$503	244 .	3114	2994	2883	2780	2684	2594
-1	8003	160 -	3033	2916	2808	2707	2614	2526
-1	75031	075 -	2952	2838	2732	2635	2543	2458
-1	7002	991 •	- 2871	2760	2657	2562	2473	2390
-1	6502	906 -	2789	2681	2581	2489	2402	2322

- ----

ι	Ú IN/I	N 0	20	40	60	80	100	120
-	-1600	2821	2707	2603	2505	2415	2332	2253
-	-1550	2736	2626	2524	2429	2342	2261	2185
-	-1500	2650	2543	2445	2353	2269	2190	2116
-	-1450	2565	2461	2366	2277	2195	2119	2047
-	-1400	2479	2379	2286	2201	2121	2047	1978
-	-1350	2393	2296	2207	2124	2047	1976	1909
-	-1300	2307	2213	2127	2047	1973	1904	1840
-	-1250	2220	2130	2047	1970	1899	1833	1771
-	-1,200	2134	2047	1967	1893	1825	1761	1701
-	-1150	2047	1964	1887	1816	1750	1689	1632
	-1100	1960	1891	1807	1739	1676	1617	1562
-	-1050	1873	1797	1726	1661	1601	1545	1492
-	-1000	1786	1713	1646	1584	1526	14/3	1423
-	- 950	1698	1629	1565	1506	1451	1400	1353
	- 900	1611	1545	1484	1428	1376	1328	1282
-	- 850	1523	1460	1403	1350	1301	1255	1212
	- 300	1435	1376	1322	1272	1225	~.1182	1142
-	- 750	1346	1291	1240	1193	1150	1109	1071
-	- 700	1258	1206	1159	1115	1074	~.1036	1001
	- 650	1169	1121	1077	1030	0998	- 0903	0950
	- 600	1080	1030	0995	0957	0922	- 0816	- 0799
	- 550	0331	0951	0913	0378	0040	- 07670	~ 0717
-	- 500	0902	0865	0831	0799	0170	- 0440	- 0646
-	- 450	0813	0779	- 0148	- 0120	- 0617	0595	0575
-	- 400	0/23	- 0093		- 0561	- 0540	0571	- 0503
	- 350		- 0501	- 0500	- 0/91	- 0463	- 0447	0432
-	- 300		0521	- 0/17	- 0401	- 0386	0373	0360
	- 200	- 0363	- 0369	- 0334	0321	0309	0298	- 0288
		-0000	- 0341	- 0251	- 0241	0232	- 0224	0216
	100	- 0272	- 0174	- 0167	0161	0155	- 0149	- 0144
	- 100 - E0	- 0001	- 0097		0080	0077	- 0074	0072
	- 50	~ 0000	- 0000	- 0000	0000	~.0000	0000	0000
	ں د م	0000		-0083	-0080	.0077	.0074	.0072
	100	0091	.0175	-0168	.0161	.0155	.0150	.0144
	150	.0274	.0262	0252	.0242	.0233	.0225	.0217
	200	-0366	.0351	.0336	.0323	.0311	.0300	.0290
	250	-0458	-0439	.0421	.0405	.0390	.0376	.0363
	200	-0550	0.527	0506	.0486	.0468	.0451	.0436
	350	0643	.0616	.0591	.0568	.0547	.0527	.0509
	0.0							

23

- -

------

- -

U INVIN	C	20	40	60	80	100	120
400	•0735	.0705	.0676	•C620	.0626	.0603	•058 <b>2</b>
450	•0828	.0793	•0761	.0732	.0704	.0679	.0655
500	•0921	•C882	.0847	.0814	.0784	.0755	.0729
<b>5</b> 50	.1014	•09 <b>72</b>	.0932	• 6896	.0663	.0831	.080 <b>3</b>
600	.1108	.1061	.1018	•0979	.0942	•Ú908	.0876
650	.1201	.1151	•1104	.1061	•1021	•0984	.0950
700	.1295	.1241	.1190	.1144	.1101	.1061	.1024
750	.1389	•1331	.1277	.1227	.1181	.1138	.1098
800	•1483	• 1421	•1363	.1310	•1261	.1215	.1172
850	•1578	.1511	.1450	•1393	•1341	•1292	.1247
900	•1672	.1601	•1536	.1476	-1421	.1369	.1321
950	•1767	<ul><li>1692</li></ul>	<b>.</b> 1623	.1560	.1501	•1446	•1396
1000	<b>.</b> 1862	.1783	•1710	• 1643	.1581	.1524	.1470
1050	•1957	.1874	•1798	.1727	.1662	.1601	.1545
1100	•2053	.1965	.1885	.1811	.1743	.1679	.1620
1150	•2148	•2057	.1973	.1895	.1823	.1757	.1695
1200	• 2244	•2148	.2060	.1979	.1904	•1835	.1770
1250	•2340	•2240	.2148	•2063	.1985	.1913	.1346
1300	•2436	.2332	•2236	-2149	.2067	.1991	.1921
1350	• 2532	•2424	.2324	•2233	.2148	.2070	•1997
1400	.2628	•2516	•2413	.2318	.2230	.2148	• 2012
1450	• 2725	.2608	.2501	•2402	• 2311	• 2 2 2 1	• 2148
1500	• 2822	-2701	.2590	•2488	• 2393	•2300	+2224
1550	.2919	.2794	.2019	-2513	• 24 10	• 2 3 8 4	.2300
1600	• 3016	•2887	• 2768 2077	• 2008	•2557	• 2 4 6 3	•7570
1650	• 3114	.2980	• 2857	• 2744	• 2039	• 2 3 4 2	• 2472
1700	• 3211	.3073	• 2941	• 2029	• 2 • 2 6	• 2022	• 2 7 2 7
1750	• 3309	• 3107	• 30 30	• 2913	•2004 2407	-2701	• 2005
1800	• 3407	• 3200	• 5120	• 3001	• 2001 2070	-2101	-2002
1850	• 3505	• 3 3 3 4	• 3212	• 3001	• 2910	2000	-2133
1900	.3004	• 3440	• 3 3 0 5	• 3719	• 30 32	-2940	·2033
1950	• 3702	• 3242	• 3 5 9 0	• 5200	• 3 2 3 9	3100	2080
2000	• 3001	• 30 31	- 3400	- 2623	. 4302	. 3180	- 3067
2050	.3900	• J ( J L 2 4 7 6	3667	3520	- 3385	. 3260	.3144
2100	• 2939	• 3020 30 <b>21</b>	3759	- 352 0	- 1469	.3341	. 3221
2170	•4090 4109	. 3721	. 7840	- 3695	- 3553	-3421	. 3299
2200	•4130		3040	. 3782	- 3637	. 1502	. 3377
2200	• 4230	• 7 1 1 1	- 4031	- 3869	.3721	-3583	.3455
2300	•4370 •4270	• 4200	4122	3957	- 3805	- 3664	. 3532
2350	• 44 70	• <del>•</del> > ∪ C	****	•		• 2004	

- -

\_ \_

. .

 -----

-

	_						
U IN/IN	0	20	40	60	80	100	120
2400	•4598	•4398	•4214	.4045	•3889	.3745	•3611
2450	•4698	•4494	•4306	•4133	.3973	.3826	.3689
2500	•4799	•4590	•4398	•4221	.4058	.3907	.3767
2550	• 4900	.4686	• 4490	•4309	.4143	.3989	.3845
2600	• 5001	•4782	•4582	•4398	•4228	.4070	.3924
2650	•5102	.4879	•4675	•4486	.4313	•4152	-4003
2700	•5204	• 4976	•4767	•4575	.4398	.4234	4051
2750	• 5305	• 5073	•4860	.4564	•4483	.4315	.4160
2800	• 5407	•5170	•4953	•4753	•4568	.4398	.4239
2850	•5509	• 5267	.5046	•4842	.4654	.4480	.4318
2900	•5611	• 5365	.5139	•4931	.4740	.4562	4398
2950	•5714	.5463	• 5232	.5020	.4825	.4645	.4477
3000	.5816	•5560	•5326	•5110	•4911	•4727	.4556

------

-----

-

- ----- -

- ----- --

#### APPENDIX C

### METHODS AND PROCEDURES USED IN THE EXPERIMENTAL DETERMINATION OF THE CONSTANTS:

#### I. Mass Moment of Inertia of the Stator and Beam

The experimental procedure for finding  $J_s$  required a test setup which permitted the stator to be free to rotate about its center of gravity. This was accomplished by using the motor shaft to suspend the motor vertically, Then a small, lightweight line was wrapped around the stator and over a low inertia pulley to a weight tray. By means of changing the amount of weight, the friction between the stator and the rotor could be determined; then by slightly increasing the weight, the mass moment of inertia could be determined.

The motion of the stator when it is free to rotate about center of gravity is described by

$$T = J_{s}\ddot{\theta} + f_{s}\dot{\theta} + C$$
(C1)

If a constant torque,  $T_1$ , is applied so that the system rotates at a constant, very low angular velocity, then

 $\dot{\Theta} = 0$ 

and

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{q}}\mathbf{\Theta} \cong \mathbf{0}$$

so equation (C1) reduces to

 $T_1 \cong C$  (C2) Now by increasing the torque applied to the stator to a new value,  $T_2$  so that the system is subjected to a constant acceleration, then equation (C1) becomes

$$T_2 \stackrel{\sim}{=} J_3 \stackrel{\sim}{\Theta} + C$$
 (C3)

and by substituting equation (C2) into equation (C3)

$$T_2 = J_s \ddot{\Theta} + T_1 \tag{C4}$$

or rearranging

$$J_{s} = \frac{T_{2} - T_{1}}{\Theta}$$
(05)

For this system,  $T_1$  was found to be 0.0309 ft - lbs. and for a  $T_2$  of 0.0360 ft - lbs.,  $\ddot{\Theta}$  was found to be 0.88 rad/sec.<sup>2</sup>, so substituting these values into equation (C5) gives

$$J_s = 5.85 \times 10^{-3} \text{ slug - ft.}^2$$
 (C6)

II. Damped Natural Frequency  $\omega_d$ , Natural Frequency  $\omega_n$ , and Damping Factor  $\varsigma$ .

The impulse response shown in figure 5 indicates that the output takes approximately 1.7 milliseconds for one cycle, therefore

$$w_{\rm d} = \frac{2\pi}{1.7 \times 10^{-3}} = 3700 \text{ radians/second}$$
 (C7)

Also from figure 5, the damping is found to reduce the waveform from approximately 2 units to 0.5 units in 5.3 x  $10^{-3}$  seconds, therefore

$$0.5 = 2.0e^{-\sigma}(0.0053)$$
 (C8)

and solving equation (C8) for

$$\sigma = 262 \tag{C9}$$

Now

$$\omega_{\rm d} = \sqrt{(1-\varsigma^2)} \, \omega_{\rm n} \tag{C10}$$

and

$$\int w_{\rm fl} = \sigma^{-}$$
 (C11)

so by substituting  $w_n$  from equation (Cll) into equation (Cl0) gives

$$\omega_d^2 = (1 - \zeta^2) \frac{\sigma^2}{\zeta^2} \tag{C12}$$

Putting in values for  $\omega_d$  and  $\sigma$  from equations (C7) and (C9) and solving for  $\varsigma$  yields

$$S = 0.0705$$
 (C13)

From equation (C11)

so substituting the values of  $\sigma$  and f into equation (C11) gives

$$w_n = \frac{262}{0.0705} = 3720 \text{ rad./sec.}$$
 (C14)

and

 $f_n = 592$  Hertz

# III. Viscous Friction Coefficient, $f_s$ , and Torsional-Spring Constant $K_T$

 $f_s$  is determined by solving the characteristic equation taken from equation (20), the transfer function of the measuring system. The characteristic equation is

$$s^2 J_s + s f_s + K_T = 0$$
 (C15)

Solving for s gives

$$s = \frac{f_s}{2J_s} + \frac{\sqrt{f_s^2 - 4J_s K_T}}{2J_s}$$
 (C16)

and since  $s = \sigma + j \omega_d$ 

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} = -\frac{\mathbf{f}_{s}}{2\mathbf{J}_{s}} \tag{C17}$$

therefore, substituting the values of  $\sigma$  and  $J^{}_{\rm S}$  into equation (C17) and rearranging

$$f_{s} = 2(262)(5.85 \times 10^{-3})$$
  
= 3.07 ft. - lbs.  
rad./sec. (C18)

Also

$$\omega_{\rm d} = \sqrt{\frac{4J_{\rm s}K_{\rm T} - f_{\rm s}^2}{2J_{\rm s}}} \tag{C19}$$

so rearranging and solving for  ${\rm K}_{\rm T}$  gives

$$K_{T} = \frac{4J_{s}^{2}\omega_{d}^{2} + f_{s}^{2}}{4J_{s}}$$
(C20)

then substituting values from equations (C6), (C7) and (C18) yields

$$K_{\rm T} = \frac{1875.0 + 9.4}{23.4 \times 10^{-3}} = 8.1 \times 10^4 \text{ ft. - 1bs.}$$
(C21)  
rad.

The deflection of the recorder for a fifteen pound test load was 12.0 divisions. The recorder's sensitivity was  $238 \times 10^{-6}$  volts/division, therefore

$$E_0 = (238 \times 10^{-6})(12) = 2.86 \times 10^{-3} \text{ volts}$$
 (C22)

so by defining the relationship

$$r_{\rm m}({\rm Fapplied}) = C_{\rm T}({\rm E_0})$$
 (C23)

where

and

 ${\bf C}_{\rm T}$  is the torque conversion constant in ft. - ,lbs./volt

Then

$$\frac{2.8 \times 15}{12} = (2.86 \times 10^{-3}) C_{\rm T}$$
(C24)

and

$$C_{\rm T} = 1.23 \times 10^3 \, {\rm ft.} - 1 {\rm bs./volt}$$
 (C25)

APPENDIX D

Computer Program For Inverse Transfer Function And Tables of Magnitude And Phase For Ten-Hertz Increments

С	DIMENSION GLACOL, ANG (300), FLACELINC. OF F
	A=C.00585 A=A*((2.0*3.14159265)**2)
	R=3.07 B=R*(2.0*3.14159265)
	C = 81000.0
	$DF = 1C \cdot O$
	$D9 \ 30 \ J=1,251$
	R EAL=C-A*(F(J)**2) AMAG=B*F(J)
the ball of the second second by the second second	ARC=AMAG/REAL
	G(J) = G(J) / C
	ANO(J) = ATAN(ARG) _IF(ARC) 10, 20, 20
10	$ANC(J) = ANG(J) + 3 \cdot 14159265$ $ANG(J) = ANG(J) * (180 \cdot 0/3 \cdot 14159265)$
30	WRITE(3,200)F(J),G(J),ANG(J)
100	EDRMAT(4X,9HEREQUENCY,9X,9HMAGNITUDE,11X,5HANGLE)
c 200	FURMAT(4F18.8) PLGT OF MAGNITUDE G VS FREQUENCY
	CALL PENPOS ('HERTEL, THOMAS', 14, 0)
	CALL ORIGIN(0.0,0.6)
	CALL YSCALF(C.0,20.0,6.C)
	CALL YAXIS(1.0)
	CALL XYPLT(F,G,250,1,-1) CALL PEN(2)
1999-1999 (C. 1979) (C. 1997) (C. 1997) (C. 1997) (C. 1997)	CALL SYM(.75,-1.00,0.21, FREQUENCY IN HERTZ, 0.0,13
	$\frac{\text{CALC} \text{STM}(-0.80, 1.5, 0.21, \text{MAGNITODE RATED, 90.0, 15)}{\text{XBIN=}0.80}$
	RN=500.0 D0 40 J=1.5
	CALL NUM(XBIN,-0.30,0.14,RN,0.0,-1) XBIN=XBIN+1.0
40	<u>RN=RN+500.0</u>
	RN=0.0
	DU 50 J=1,5 CALL NUM(-0.40,YBIN,0.14,RN,0.0,-1)
50	YBIN=YBIN+1.5 RN=PN+5.0
	CALL ENDELT
80 ann an 2. C'initian dhair ' ann an an a	
	STUP END

.....

32

FREQUENCY	MAGNITUDE	ANGLE
<b>0.</b> C	0.99999923	0.0
10.00000000	0•99971682	0.13648307
20.00000000	0.99886960	0.27319813
30.00000000	C.99745828	0.41037905
	0.99548221	0.54826206
50.00000000	0.99294287	0.68708646
50.00000000	0.98983794	0.82709914
	0.98616898	0.96855152
	0.98193592	1.11/0483
	0.07172828	1.25682831
		1.40420723
	U • 96282488	1.55413723
	0 05221424	
	0 04470370	
	0.94470370	
	0.93032113	2.10390355
	0 01040140	2 5 3 4 3 1 0 7 9
	0.91049140 0.00963115	2.02021070
192 20000000		2 99746022
200 00000000	<u> </u>	2 07721710
210.0000000	6 87568903	3 27386570
	0.86359024	3.67805595
230.00000000	0.85093439	3.69051170
240.00000000		3.91204834
250.00000000	0.82395136	4,14354038
260.00000000	0.80962807	4.38598156
270,0000000	0.79475141	4.64047813
280.00000000	0.77932233	4.90825844
290.0000000	0.76334298	5,19072151
300,00000000	0.74681538	5.48943615
310.0000000	0.72974175	5.80619335
320.0000000	0.71212441	6.14301632
33C.C0000000	0.69396633	6.50224400
340.00000000	0.67527097	6.88655853
350.0000000	0.65604210	7.29906082
360.0000000	0.63628411	7.74336433
370.00000000	0.61600256	8.22369099
380.00000000	0.59520358	8.74501896
390.0000000	0.57389468	9.31326294

400 0000000	6 55209402	0 075//205
400.0000000	V • 222 V 0492	9.93340293
410.00000000000000000000000000000000000	0.52978516	10.62011719
420,00000000	0.50700849	11.37751007
430.00000000	4.0.2.(1102	12.22028003
. <b>440.</b> C0000000 (	0.46009451	13.16402149
450 0000000 0	43600303	14 22827297
		17.22024201
400.00000000	0.41152990	13.43/5/629
470.00000000000000000000000000000000000	0.38671720	16.82356262
480 0000000	36162084	18 42704772
490.000000000000	0.033531480	20.30165100
<b>500.0</b> 000000000000000000000000000000000	0.31090021	22,51869202
<b>510 0000000</b>	1 10551710	
520.0000000	0.26036435	28.39930725
530,00000000 0	0.23572874	32,37251282
	1 2 1 2 0 2 0 1 1	37 33600000
540.00000000	0.21203291	31.33009009
550.0000000000000	0.18990296	43.60678101
560 0000000	0.17026615	51.55773926
570.00000000000000	0.15442836	01.01988720
580.0000000	0.14403582	<b>73.</b> 52375793
500.000000	14070231	86-94786072
600.00000000000	0.14530951 1	LUU•48305274
610.00000000000000000000000000000000000	0.15752918 1	12.75756836
	ñ 17611670 i	23 03309658
020.0000000000000		
636.00000000	0.19959891	131.20000019
640.00000000	0.22672671 1	37.76152039
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.22020100	42.09209092
660.00000000000000000000000000000000000	0.28855205 1	146.99621582
670 0000000 I	32210207 1	150.31611633
680.0000000	0.33721000	122.04201002
690.00000000000000000000000000000000000	0.39342195	155.31297302
700 00000000	0 430666669	157,22766113
/10.0000000	0.40884143	135.0011/324
720.00000000 (	C.50788850 ]	60.26950073
· <b>73</b> 0 0000000	6 54773313	61.49511719
133.0000000		
740.000000000000	0.58855845	02.07012912
750,00000000000000000000000000000000000	0.62967104	163.52188110
760 0000000	6 67170/92	64 36882019
100.00000000		
776.00000000000000000000000000000000000	0./1441996	167+12/2/8/4
780.0000000	0.75779831	165.81118774
		46 13029250
190.0000000	0.00102(12	
800.00000000000000000000000000000000000	0.84549456 ]	166.99357505
810 00000000	0.89179397 1	67.50825500
		67 08040771
820.000000000	0.93111323	
830.00000000	1.98425382	103.41313062
840 0000000	1.03140163	168.81675720
	1 67015702	69 18885803
850.0000000	1.07910105	
866.0000000	1.12751484	109.53414420
870.0000000	1,17647171	169.85700989
<u> </u>	1 22/02/22	70 1501/200
88C.00000000	1.22002402	
890.0000000	1.27617073	1/0.44004822
	7 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	170.70466614
	1 37033/04	70 05350677
AIC.0000000	1.21062400	
920.0000000	1.43015003	1/1.19/9/302
620 00000000	1 48265076	171.40927124
		171 6165/552
940.CUUUC000	1.22212000	
950.00000000	1.58940601	171.81678772
666°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°	1.64365768	72.00486755
	1 400/0110	177 18348494
976.0000000	1+04044110	
980.0000000	1.75390339	172.35342407
	1 80080742	172.51531982
	LOUVIU / LTC	and a first the sheet and a first framework and a second second second second second second second second second

.

1010.00000000	1.92362213	172.81716919
1020.00000000	1.98135090	172.95808411
-1030.0000000	2.09854126	173-2222900
1050.0000000	2.15800095	173.34614563
1060.00000000	2.21803856	173.46516418
	2.27805028	173.68934631
1090.0000000	2.40159988	173.79513550
1100.00000000	2.46393585	173-89698792
	2.52684784	173.99523926
1130.00000000	2.65439415	174.18139648
1140.0000000	2.71902847	174.26976013
	2.78423500	174.35516357
1170,0000000	2.91637039	174.51766968
1180.00000000	2.98329735	174.59509277
1190.0000000	3.05079842	174.67012024
	3.18751812	174.81338501
1220.0000000	3.25673389	174.88185120
1230.0000000	3.32652473	174.94834900
	3.39688873	175.07566833
1260.00000000	3.53933239	175.13670349
1270.00000000	3.61141205	175.19609070
1280.0000000	3.68406391	175 31013489
1303.0000000	3,83108330	175.36492920
1310.00000000	3.90545273	175.41838074
1320.00000000	3.98039150	175.47039795
1340.00000000	4.13198376	175.57066345
1350.0000000	4.20863914	175.61901855
1360.0000000	4.28586483	175-66616821
	4.30300177	175.75720215
1390.00000000	4.52096939	175.80113220
<u>14¢0.000ç0000</u>	4.60048008	175.84408569
1410.0000000	4.65056393	175-88610840
1430-00000000	4.84244061	175.96736145
	4.92423725	176.00669861
1450.0000000	5.00660419	
	5.17305279	176.11975098
1430.0000000	5.25713253	176.15586853
1490.00000000	5.34178352	176.19128418
	5.51280022	176-26002502
	5.59916496	176.29335022
1530.0000000	5.68609905	176.32601929
1540.00000000	5 86168194 5 86168194	176,38957214
1560.0000000	5.95032978	176.42050171
<b>1570.</b> 6666666	6.03954792	176.45077515
1580.00000000	6.12933/31	176-48054504
1590.0000000	C • C • C • C • C • C • C • C • C • C •	

AND AND A REAL PROPERTY AN		
1600.0000000	6 31062704 1	76 53862163
	0.51002777	
1610.0000000	6.40212917 1	.76.56666451
1620.0000000	6.49420357 1	76.59431458
1630.0000000	0.58684635	16.62152100
1640.00600000	6.68005943 1	76.64823914
1659.00000000	6.[[3843]] 1	. 10 • 6 1 4 5 3 0 0 3
1660.0000000	6.86819839 1	76.70037842
	(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,	
1670.00000000	6.96312523 1	16.12518430
1680.0000000	7.05862331 1	76.75074768
1020.00000000	1.10408884 1	.10 • 112344-82
1700.0000000	7.25132656 1	76.79949951
1710 00000000	7 3/963667	74 02225765
	1.54033354	13.02323143
1720.00000000	7.44631481 1	.76.84671021
1730 0600666	7 54466439 1	76 86970520
1/40.00000000	7.64358521 1	.16.89231916
1750.0000000	7 74307537 1	76,91473389
	7 0/212/20	7/ 02//0120
1760.0000000	7.84313079 1	. 10 • 93009128
1770,0000000	7,94376850 1	76.95834351
1703 66666666	0 0//071/7	74 0704/470
I / BU • U U U U U U U U	0.04471141 1	10 7 7 7044 (0
1790.00000000	8.14674377 1	77.00062561
1000 0000000	N 2/000920 1	77 (2133170
TOUD DUDUDUD		
1810.00000000	8.35200214 1	. / / • 041 / 1 / 53
1920 00000000	8 45548725 1	77.06176758
10/0.00000000		
1830.0000000	8.55954266	(1.08155823
1840 0000000	8 66416836 1	77,10105896
1850.00000000	8.76936430 1	11.12030029
1863100000000	8.87513065 1	77.13925171
		77 1520/272
1870.0000000	B. 90140520	
1880.00006000	9.08837700 1	77.17636108
	0 10595/10 1	77 1 6454056
1890.0000000		
1903.00000000	9.30390263 1	. / / • 2124 /854
	0 41252232 1	77,23011780
1910.0000000		77 7/7/77
1920.00000000	9.521/1230 1	.11.24100431
1930 0000000	9.63147163 1	77.26477051
		77 70175257
1943.0000000	9.14100511	11. 20112224
1950,6000000	9.85270309 1	77.29853821
	0 04/17/27 1	77 31503296
1900-0000000	7.70411421	
1976.00000000 1	0.07621670 1	. / / • 3 31 3 / 51 2
1095 6066666	6.18882847 1	77.34748840
1950.00000000		77 342200064
1990-0000000 1	0.30201147 1	
2000.00000000 1	G.41576481 I	11.3/90/410
	n 63008873 1	77 3945 6229
2020.00000000 1	U.64458138 1	11.40909000
2030.00000000 1	0.76044750 1	77.42503357
	0 07(/0010	77 63006161
2040.00000000000000	0.87648010	
2050-0000000 1	0.99308586	11.45469666
	1 11024102 1	77 46928406
2060-00000000	1.11020172 1	
2070.00000000 1	1.22800732	11.48305784
2000 00000000	1 34632397 1	77.49786377
2080.0000000	1.4(50)2001	77 61101711
2090.00000000 I	1.40521091 1	. //• 71191/11
21000000000000000000000000000000000000	1,58466816	77.52578735
	1 70460570 1	77.53956604
<u></u>	1.10409910	
2120-00000000	1.82529354	111.55511584
	1 64646168 1	77.56649780
STOD COOCOA		
2140.00000000 1	S-008TA030 1	11.001912111
	2.19050980 1	77.59283447
ST 11. COODOOO	5 212274/2	77 60578018
5120°COCOCOC 1	2. 1111121242	
2176,00066000 1	2.43632575	11.01857605
	2 56085401 1	77.63124084
STRC-COCCÓÓ		LIIBUJLETVUT
2190.00000000 1	2.68544006	11.04313303
an anna a san bha tha tar ta ta ta ta tart a tart. Ta tart a t		

2200.00000000	12.81060600	177.65611267	
2220-0000000	12.93633842	177.66835022	
2230.00000000	13,18040318		
2240.0000000	13.31693745	177.70425415	
2250.00000000	13.44495010	177.71600342	
	13.57354259	177.72758484	
2280.0000000	13.70269108	1/1./3905945	
2290.00000000	13.96270275	177.76162720	
2300.0000000	14.09356785	177.77272034	
2310.0000000	14.22499943	177.78369141	
<b>233</b> 0 - 00000000	14.35699940	177.79457092	
2340.00000000	14.62272835	177.81599426	
2350.00000000	14.75644398	177.82653809	
2360.00000000	14.89072800	177.83697510	
<b>2380 0000000</b>	15.02559185	177.84730530	
2390.00000000	15.29701233	177 96767579	
2400.000cco000	15.43357944	177.87768555	
2410.00000000	15.57071590	177.88761952	
	15.70843124	177.89746094	
2430.0000000	10.04070353 15.09555770	177.90718079	
2450.0000000	16,12496948	177,92642212	
2460.00000000	16.26495361	177.93586731	
2470.0000000	16.40551758	177.94522095	
<b>2480.0000000</b>	16.54664612	177 04268608	
2500.0000000	16.83061218	177.97280884	
		-	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	





Figure 2. Drawing of Measuring Elements



FIGURE 3. FRICTION FORCE vs ANGULAR VELOCITY



Figure 4. Plot of Inverse Transfer Function vs. Frequency



Figure 5. Responses to a Series of Impulses Loads



Figure 6: Response of a Single Phase Motor to a Load Change. The "Spike" was caused by capacitive coupling within the recorder when the load was removed.



Figure 7. Response of a Capacitor Split Motor to a Load Change. The "spike" was primarily caused by capacitive coupling within the recorder when the load was removed.



Figure 8. Temperature Coefficient of P-Type Silicon for Strain Gage

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Higdon, Archie; Edward H. Ohlsen and William B. Stiles (1960) Mechanics of Materials, New York, The John Wiley and Sons, Inc. p. 502.
- D'Azzo, John J. and Constanting H. Houpis (1960) Feedback Control System Analysis and Synthesis. New York, Mc Graw-Hill Book Company, Inc. p.580.
- Thaler, George J. and Robert G. Brown (1960) Analysis and Design of Feedback Control Systems. New York Mc Graw-Hill Book Company, Inc. p. 648.
- Dorsey, James (1963) Semiconductor Strain Gage Handbook. Baldwin-Lima-Hamilton Electronics Division, p. 17.

#### VITA

Thomas Phillip Hertel was born on December 28, ]940 at Bourbon, Missouri. He received most of his primary and secondary education at Bourbon, Missouri. He received his college education at the University of Missouri - Rolla. He received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering from the University of Missouri - Rolla in 1962.

He initially enrolled in the Graduate School of the University of Missouri - Rolla in January 1963 for one semester. He then returned to the Graduate School at the University of Missouri- Rolla for the school year of 1966-67 while teaching in the Electrical Engineering Department as assistant instructor.