

Scholars' Mine

Doctoral Dissertations

Student Theses and Dissertations

1969

Microwave propagation through isotropic inhomogeneous photoconductive media

Thomas Van Doren Missouri University of Science and Technology, vandoren@mst.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/doctoral_dissertations

Part of the Electrical and Computer Engineering Commons Department: Electrical and Computer Engineering

Recommended Citation

Van Doren, Thomas, "Microwave propagation through isotropic inhomogeneous photoconductive media" (1969). *Doctoral Dissertations*. 2211. https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/doctoral_dissertations/2211

This thesis is brought to you by Scholars' Mine, a service of the Missouri S&T Library and Learning Resources. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact scholarsmine@mst.edu.

MICROWAVE PROPAGATION THROUGH ISOTROPIC INHOMOGENEOUS PHOTOCONDUCTIVE MEDIA

by

THOMAS PAUL VAN DOREN

A DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of the Graduate School of the

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI - ROLLA

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

1969

<u>illman</u> Ha 4

Cha

ABSTRACT

The electromagnetic field equations for microwave propagation through a rectangular waveguide filled with a lossy. isotropic, linear, inhomogeneous material are derived in matrix form and solved numerically by computer. The theoretical effects of photo-induced conductivity and dielectric constant variations on microwave attenuation, phase shift, and voltage standing wave ratio are plotted for typical examples in the X-band frequency range. The theoretical calculations indicate that a two order-of-magnitude change in the conductivity can produce a 25 db change in the attenuation or a 100 degree change in the angle of the reflection coefficient. The theoretical predictions are verified qualitatively by experiments performed on photosensitive cadmium sulfide. An unusually strong, room temperature resonance phenomena was observed experimentally in cadmium sulfide. Electron plasma resonance is the suspected explanation, but no definite conclusions are Several new microwave applications for photoconducdrawn. tive materials are described. Theoretical calculations coupled with experimental measurements produced accurate conductivity measurements of thin (0.05 mm) silicon wafers at X-band frequencies. This technique could be readily adapted to large-scale automatic conductivity measurements. Microwave measurement of the free electron lifetime in photo-excited cadmium sulfide is also reported.

ii

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his appreciation to Professor G. Skitek and Dr. N. Dillman for their advice and guidance in the preparation of this dissertation. He would also like to acknowledge the many helpful consultations with Dr. J. Adair.

The cadmium sulfide used in this research was supplied by Mr. J. Powderly of the Eagle-Picher Company of Miami, Oklahoma, and the silicon wafers were furnished by the Monsanto Silicon Plant at St. Charles, Missouri. The author is thankful for the assistance provided by the library staff of the University of Missouri - Rolla during the literature review.

Most important, the author is grateful for the patience and assistance of his family, especially the preparation of the manuscript by his wife, Lana.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT			é	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Pa •	age ii
ACKNOWLE	DGEME	NT	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• =	iii
LIST OF	FIGUR	RES	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• V :	iii
LIST OF	TABLE	s.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• 2	cii
LIST OF	SYMBC)LS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• x :	iii
Chapter																							
I.	INTF	RODU	CT	ION	[•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
	A.	Sta	te	men	ıt	of	F	rc	b1	.en	ı,	Ρι	ırp	oos	se,	э	ind	1 2	Sec	ope	•	•	1
	B.	Pla	ın	of	De	eve	elo	opi	ner	nt	•	•	•	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
II.	REVI	EEW	OF	Τŀ	IE	L]	[T]	ERA	A TU	JRI	£	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
	Α.	Int	ro	duc	ti	Lor	r	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	4
	B.	Pho	oto	cor	ıdı	lot	ti	vi [.]	ty	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	4
		1.	G	ene	era	al	•	•	•	•	. •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
		2.	P D	ho	to		ndi	uc	ti tr	ve	P ד	o₩ ff	de:	rs tc	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5
	С.	J• Com	י רתו	0 Y	De	ມ_ ເຊິ	=⊥' ni-	€C ++÷	ivi	1 C i + 1	سد سر	⊥⊥' ∩f	Me Me	u5 a+4	• • ri	• 2]	•			•		•	6
	••	\$.	тą, П	S P		5 1 G	l I l Or	00. nn ¹		с Т	י ע ים⊂	~ m·	i ±t	1 U. t i z	 /11	tv	ir	- 1 F	- 	• • • •	-re)_)_	-
		±•	m	agr	net	tic		fie	eld	iI	Ξqι	ua	tic	ons	5	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6
	_	2.	F	ree	e (Cha	ire	ge.	Co	on-	tr	ibu	lti	Lor	1 	•	•	•	0	•	•	•	0
	D.	Ele Inh	om	ron oge	na e en e	gne e o i	et: 15	ic Me	Wa edi	ive La	e] •	Pro •	opa •	aga •	•	or •	• •	[h] •	ະດາ •	ıgr •	•	•	7
		1. 2.	M C	eth alc	ioc ul	is Lat	o: tek	E 2 1 1	So] Res	Lu su	ti Lt:	on s	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7 8
	E.	Mic	ro	wav	re	Me	eas	sui	rer	nei	nt	0	fI	Ma ⁻	tei	ria	ıl	Pa	ara	ame	ete	ers	10
		1. 2.	L C	ife omp	eti ole	ime ex	e I Pe	Mea e r n	asu ni†	are tt:	em iv	en it:	t y I	Mea	• ası	• 1re	• eme	• en	• t	•	•	•	10 10
III.	FORN OF N	MULA MICR	TI NOS	ON COF	OI 9I	ר ה א כ	EHI //A !	E (TEI	CON RIA	NP] \L	LEI P	X I A R/	PEI	RMI ETI	IT. ERS	ΓI\ S	/I'. •	FY •	II •	с и •	CEI •	RMS	12
	Α.	Int	ro	duc	• † *	ior	า	-	•	-	-	•	-	-	_	-	-	•	•		•	•	12
	Β.	Dis	scu	ssi	ion	1 (Tr	- of	t) olv	he vir	Ba	as M	ic a+	E	le ie		ror	na (gn	et	ic rc	F	iel	d 13
				- 01			- • ·	~	۱ ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	-0	7.1		<u> </u>	<u>т</u> с,		. u.		u Ç	00		•	•	

•

-

	C.	Contribution of Bound Charge to the Complex Permittivity
		1. Orientational Polarization
	D.	Contribution of Free Charge to the Complex Permittivity
	E.	Photoconductivity
	Б.	Photodielectric Effect
	G.	General Expression for the Complex Permit-
	~ •	tivity
IV.	DER EQU GUL INH	RIVATION OF THE ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD ATIONS FOR PROPAGATION THROUGH RECTAN- AR WAVEGUIDE FILLED WITH AN ISOTROPIC NOMOGENEOUS MEDIA
	Α.	Introduction
	B.	Derivation
V •	NUM FIE HOM VAR	ERICAL SOLUTION OF THE ELECTROMAGNETIC LD EQUATIONS FOR VARIOUS TYPES OF OGENEOUS AND INHOMOGENEOUS CONDUCTIVITY HATIONS
	Α.	Introduction
	B.	Homogeneous Media • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • 38
		1. VSWR Versus Conductivity382. VSWR Versus Sample Thickness483. VSWR Versus Frequency69
	C.	Inhomogeneous Media
VI.	EXP	ERIMENTAL MEASUREMENT OF VARIOUS MICROWAVE
	•	Introduction 28
	R.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Introduction} \\ \text{Cadmium Sulfide} \end{array}$
	р. С	Nierowayo Cavity Monguments
	о. П	Attonuation Vorgue Light Wavelongth 81
	∙ਪ ਸ	VSWR Versus Frequency 82
	₩• F-	Attenuation and Phase Shift Measurements 02
	••	Experiments with CdS Crystalling Powdon 100
	~.	

VII.	MICF TIME MATE	ROWAVE MEASUREMENT OF THE FREE CARRIER LIFE- E AND COMPLEX PERMITTIVITY OF SEMICONDUCTING ERIALS	03
	Α.	Introduction 1	03
	B.	Lifetime Measurement • • • • • • • • • • 1	03
		1. dc Lifetime	03 09
	C.	Complex Permittivity Measurement 1	15
		 General Discussion Measurement of the Relative Dielectric 	15
		3. Measurement of the Conductivity of Thin Silicon Wafers	17 17
VIII.	MICE	ROWAVE APPLICATIONS FOR PHOTOCONDUCTIVE MAT-	~~
	ERIF		22
	A e		22
	в.	Photocontrolled Antenna Array • • • • • • • 1	22
	C.	Variable Impedance Waveguide Terminations . 1	23
	D.	Precision Variable Attenuator • • • • • • • 1	25
	E.	Microwave Modulation • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • 1	28
IX.	SUMN	MARY	31
	A.	General Summary • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	31
	B.	Summary of the Original Contributions by the	
		Author • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	31
	C.	Suggestions for Further Research • • • • 1	33
		 Microwave Interaction with Lossy Dielec- tric Materials Microwave Measurement of Material Prop- 	33
		erties • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	34 34
BIBLIO	GRAPH	Υ	36
APPENDI	IX A	DEFINITION OF ELECTRIC FIELDS INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL TO A DIELECTRIC 1	44
APPENDI	IX B	FREE CARRIER GENERATION RATE PRODUCED BY PHOTON ABSORPTION 1	47
APPENDI	IX C	DERIVATION OF EQUATIONS RELATING $\Delta n(z)$ AND $\Delta p(z)$ FOR AN INHOMOGENEOUSLY ILLUMINATED PHOTOCONDUCTIVE LAYER	51

APPENDIX	D	COMPUTER PROGRAMS FOR NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF THE ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD EQUATIONS • • :	153
APPENDIX	Ε	MICROWAVE TRANSMISSION THROUGH A MATERIAL WITH TIME VARYING CONDUCTIVITY	172
VITA	•		1 77

.

1

.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	e	Page
4.1	An inhomogeneously filled waveguide subdivided into homogeneous transverse sections	28
4.2	Notation for electric field intensity boundary conditions at $z = z_{i-1}$	32
5.1a	Relative power reflected versus conductivity for short section of dielectrically filled wave- guide	39
5.10	Relative power transmitted versus conductivity for a dielectrically filled waveguide	40
5.1c	Relative power absorbed versus conductivity for a dielectrically filled waveguide	41
5.1d	Voltage standing wave ratio versus conductivity for a dielectrically filled waveguide	42
5.1e	Attenuation of transmitted wave versus conduct- ivity for a dielectrically filled waveguide	43
5.1f	Angle of reflection coefficient versus conduct- ivity for a dielectrically filled waveguide	44
5.1g	Angle of transmission coefficient versus con- ductivity for a dielectrically filled wave- guide	45
5.2	Voltage standing wave ratio versus conductivity for a dielectrically filled waveguide	47
5•3a	Relative power reflected versus conductivity for a semi-infinite slab in free space	49
5•30	Relative power transmitted versus conductivity for a semi-infinite slab in free space	50
5•3c	Relative power absorbed versus conductivity for a semi-infinite slab in free space	51
5.3d	Voltage standing wave ratio versus conductivity for a semi-infinite slab in free space	52
5.4a	Voltage standing wave ratio versus conductivity for a thin Si wafer inside a waveguide	53 [°]
5.40	Attenuation versus conductivity for a thin Si wafer inside a waveguide	54

.

.

ix

•

Figur	e	Page
5.5a	Relative power transmitted versus sample thick- ness for a dielectrically filled waveguide	55
5•5b	Relative power reflected versus sample thick- ness for a dielectrically filled waveguide	56
5•50	Relative power absorbed versus sample thick- ness for a dielectrically filled waveguide	5 7
5.5d	Angle of reflection coefficient versus sample thickness for a dielectrically filled waveguide	58
5.5e	Angle of transmission coefficient versus sample thickness for a dielectrically filled waveguide	5 9
5•5f	Attenuation versus sample thickness for a die- lectrically filled waveguide	60
5.6a	Relative power transmitted versus sample thick- ness for a dielectrically filled waveguide	63
5 . 6Ъ	Attenuation versus sample thickness for a die- lectrically filled waveguide	64
5.6c	Voltage standing wave ratio versus sample thick- ness for a dielectrically filled waveguide	65
5.6d	Angle of reflection coefficient versus sample thickness for a dielectrically filled wave- guide	66
5.6e	Angle of transmission coefficient versus sample thickness for a dielectrically filled wave- guide	67
5.7	Relative transmitted power versus sample thick- ness for a dielectrically filled waveguide	68
5.8a	Relative power transmitted versus frequency for a dielectrically filled waveguide	70
5 . 8ъ	Relative power reflected versus frequency for a dielectrically filled waveguide	71
5.9c	Relative power absorbed versus frequency for a dielectrically filled waveguide	72
5.8d	Voltage standing wave ratio versus frequency for a dielectrically filled waveguide	73

·

Figure

5.8e	Attenuation of transmitted wave versus fre- quency for a dielectrically filled waveguide	74
6.1	A few of the CdS samples used in this research	7 9
6.2	Block diagram of the experimental microwave arrangement used for VSWR versus frequency measurements of the CdS sample	83
6.3	Apparatus used for the VSWR versus frequency measurement on CdS	84
6.4	VSWR versus frequency for CdS sample No. 2	85
6.5	VSWR versus frequency for CdS sample No. 4	86
6.6	VSWR versus frequency for CdS sample No. 5	87
6.7	VSWR versus frequency for CdS sample No. 10	88
6.8	VSWR versus frequency for CdS sample No. 11	89
6.9	Changes in microwave attenuation versus frequency produced by illuminating CdS sample No. 2	94
6.10	Block diagram of the microwave bridge arrange- ment used for the attenuation and phase shift measurements on CdS	95
6.11	Microwave bridge apparatus used for the atten- uation and phase shift measurements on CdS	9 7
6.12	Change in microwave attenuation and phase shift produced by CdS sample No. 2	9 8
6.13	Device used for compressing CdS powder	101
7.1	Circuit used to measure the dc lifetime of CdS	104
7.2	Experimental apparatus used to measure the dc lifetime of electrons in CdS	105
7.3	dc lifetime measurement of CdS	107
7•4	dc measurement of electron lifetime for CdS samples No. 2 and 5 from exponential voltage decay	108

Ti muno

Figure		Page
7•5	Block diagram of the experimental apparatus used for the microwave measurement of the free electron lifetime in CdS	111
7.6	Experimental apparatus used for the microwave measurement of the electron lifetime in CdS	112
7•7	Microwave lifetime measurement of CdS sample No. 2	113
7.8	Microwave measurement of electron lifetime for CdS samples No. 2 and 5 from exponential detector voltage decay	114
7•9	VSWR versus relative dielectric constant for rectangular waveguide filled with a lossless dielectric	118
8.1	VSWR versus conductivity for a mismatched photoconductive, waveguide termination	124
8.2	Artist's conception of a photocontrolled, precision variable, microwave attenuator	127
8.3	Variation in angle of reflection coefficient with conductivity for a section of filled waveguide terminated with loads of different characteristic impedance	129
A.1	Various electric fields associated with a dielectric medium	145
B.1	Light beam incident on photoconductive layer	147
E.1	Microwave transmission through a rectangular waveguide containing a slab of material with a time varying conductivity	173

•

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
5.1	Summary of computer results for various forms of conductivity variations	76
6.1	Typical values for some important electrical properties of undoped, single-crystalline cadmium sulfide	80
6.2	Manufacturers lot number and resistivity for some of the CdS samples used in this research	80
6.3	Comparison of resonant frequencies and de- polarization factors for several CdS samples	91
6.4	Typical values for the change in microwave attenuation and phase shift produced by il- luminated CdS samples	99
7.1	Comparison of experimentally measured and manufacturer specified values of the relative dielectric constant of several lossless die- lectrics	119
7.2	Comparison of microwave conductivity measure- ments with the manufacturer's expected range for several thin Si wafers	121
8.1	Variation in VSWR and impedance with illumin- ation conditions for a photoconductive wave- guide load	126
D•1	Definition of some of the variables used in the computer programs	154

•

.

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Symbol	Description	<u>Units</u>
a _x , a _y , a _z	unit vectors in rectangular coordinate system	
Α	sample cross sectional area	m ²
B	magnetic flux density	Wb/m ²
с	speed of light in free space	m/sec
d	sample thickness	m
D	electric flux density	C/m^2
Dn	electron diffusion constant	m ² /sec
Dp	hole diffusion constant	m ² /sec
Ē	ma cr oscopic electric field intensity	V/m
\overline{E}_{ext}	macroscopic electric field intensity external to a med- ium	V/m
Eg	energy gap between valence and conduction bands	ev
E ⁺ i	magnitude of the incident electri field intensity in the ith medium	ic n V/m
E _i	magnitude of the reflected elec- tric field intensity in the ith medium	V/m
E loc	microscopic locally acting elec- tric field intensity	V/m
fn	frictional damping factor for ion pairs of type n	sec ⁻¹
T(x)	displacement between electron gas and positive ion lattice	m
f(z)	photo-generation rate of hole-electron pairs pairs	airs/m ³ -sec

•

.

h	Plank's constant, 6.62x10 ⁻³⁴	J-sec
Ħ	macroscopic magnetic field intensity	A/m
i	a subscript which designates the ith layer of a multilayer medium	
I _i	incident light intensity	W/m^2
I o	rate of photon absorption (m^3 -sec) ⁻¹
j	$(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	`
J	total current density	A/m^2 .
\overline{J}_n	electron current density	A/m^2
J	hole current density	A/m^2
k	wave number	m ⁻¹
к _в	Boltzman's constant, 1.38x10 ²³	J/ ^o K
к, к ₁	constants	
L	depolarization factor	
L	sample length	m
m*	effective mass of a particle	kg
mn	reduced mass of ion pairs of type n	kg
M	magnetic dipole moment per unit volume	
n	volume density of free electrons	m ⁻³
no	volume density of free electrons for non-illuminated conditions	m ⁻³
n(z)	spatially varying density of free electrons produced by illuminatio	n m ⁻³
N	volume density of electric dipole	s m ⁻³
^N n	volume density of ion pairs of type n	m ⁻³
ष्	microscopic dipole moment	C-m

p	volume density of free holes	m ⁻³
p _o	volume density of free holes for non-illuminated conditions	m-3
P	total polarization vector	c/m ²
P _b	polarization produced by bound charge	c/m ²
P f	polarization produced by free charge	c/m ²
P _i	incident microwave power	W
P _t (t)	time varying transmitted micro- wave power	Ŵ
q	charge on an electron	C
Qi	rate of photon incidence	quanta/m ² -sec
T	average separation between the centers of positive and nega- tive charge of an electric dipole	m
R	reflection coefficient	
R _S (t)	time varying dc resistance of CdS sample	ohm
p(z)	spatially varying density of fre holes produced by illumination	e3
Т	absolute temperature	°ĸ
Т	reflection coefficient	
v _o (t)	output voltage from dc lifetime measurement	v
vo	dc reference voltage	v
x, y, z	variables in the rectangular coordinate system	
Yi	transverse wave admittance of the ith layer	mho
z _i	transverse wave impedance of the ith layer	mho

zor	characteristic impedance of a waveguide load	mho
α	attenuation constant	nep/m
a	polarizability	$C-m^2/V$
β	quantum efficiency	
β	phase constant	rad/m
βo	phase constant of air-filled rectangular waveguide	rad/m
Ŷ	propagation constant	m -1
δ	coefficient of light absorption	m-1
E	complex permittivity	F/m
ڊ'	real part of the complex permittivity	F/m
Ë.	imaginary part of the complex permit- tivity	F/m
ε _b	complex permittivity produced by bound charges	F/m
€' _b	real part of the complex permittivity produced by bound charges	F/m
€ _b	imaginary part of the complex permit- tivity produced by bound charges	F/m
€₀	permittivity of free space, 8.85×10^{-12}	F/m
<pre> </pre>	relative complex permittivity	F/m
λ	wavelength	m
м	complex permeability	H/m
\mathcal{M}_{o}	permeability of free space, $4\pi \times 10^{-7}$	H/m
\mathcal{M}_{n}	electron mobility	m ² /V-sec
\mathcal{M}_{p}	hole mobility	m ² /V-sec
P	volume charge density	c/m^3
p	resistivity	ohm-m
σ	complex conductivity	mho/m

$^{\sigma}\mathbf{f}$	complex conductivity produced by free charges	mho/m
σ _f	real part of the complex conductivity produced by free charges	mho/m
σ f	imaginary part of the complex conduc- tivity produced by free charges	mho/m
Jo	conductivity of a non-illuminated sample	mho/m
d(z)	spatially varying conductivity pro- duced by illumination	mho/m
\mathcal{T}_{c}	mean time between collisions of free electrons with the lattice	sec
τ_{d}	permanent dipole relaxation time	sec
\mathcal{T}_n	free electron lifetime	sec
$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathrm{p}}$	free hole lifetime	
$\bar{\psi_{e}}$	electric susceptibility	
ω	radian frequency	rad/sec
$\omega_{ m p}$	plasma resonant frequency	rad/sec
$\omega_{\rm r}$	resonant frequency in CdS	rad/sec

.

- 5

xvii

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Statement of Problem, Purpose, and Scope

The general problem studied was microwave propagation through a linear, isotropic, inhomogeneous, photoconductive media. The purposes of this research were:

1) Review the classical theory for microwave interaction with matter;

2) Derive the electromagnetic field equations for propagation through a section of X-band rectangular waveguide filled with a photoconductive media;

3) Calculate the microwave attenuation, phase shift, and standing wave ratio variations with conductivity, sample thickness, and frequency for several typical cases;

4) Perform qualitative experimental checks on the theoretical calculations;

5) Use the results of the theoretical calculations coupled with additional derived theory to perform microwave measurements of the free electron lifetime in cadmium sulfide and the conductivity of thin silicon wafers;

6) Determine new microwave applications for photoconductive materials.

This research was purposely planned to involve a number of closely related topics. This permitted the author to comprehend the overall problem while simultaneously performing limited original research in several of the areas mentioned above. Little tutorial material and few details of experimental procedures and problems are presented. The reader is assumed to be knowledgeable in solid state physics and electromagnetic field theory.

B. Plan of Development

The purpose of this section is to briefly outline the contents of each chapter. The main body of the dissertation is preceded by a review of the publications related to this research in the areas of photoconductivity, material properties, and microwave propagation through inhomogeneous media. The theoretical development begins in Chapter III with a summary of the relationships between the macroscopic complex permittivity and such microscopic material parameters as the density and mass of free carriers, and the polarizability and type of ions.

The matrix equations relating the incident, reflected, and transmitted electric fields for a section of waveguide filled with an inhomogeneous material are derived in Chapter IV. The results of this derivation are used in Chapter V to perform computer calculations of the microwave properties of X-band rectangular waveguide filled with a lossy dielectric material. The results presented in this chapter form the most important part of the dissertation.

In Chapter VI, the results of several microwave experiments are described. In general, the theoretical predictions from the previous chapter are verified, however, a few surprises are encountered. Chapter VII is devoted to two practical applications of the theory previously presented. Good results are reported for the microwave measurement of the free electron lifetime in CdS and the conductivity of Si wafers.

A few of the microwave devices and applications possible with photoconductive materials are briefly described in Chapter VIII. A summary is contained in the final chapter, along with a list of the original contributions made by the author and suggestions for further research.

With few exceptions, the International System of Units is used in this dissertation.

II. REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

A. Introduction

The literature review has been subdivided into four general areas; photoconductivity, complex permittivity, wave propagation through inhomogeneous media, and microwave measurement of material parameters. In each area, only the most important articles have been referenced.

B. Photoconductivity

1. General

The early theory of photoconductivity and the experimental measurements performed on twelve chemical elements before 1951 are summarized by Moss (1). More detailed theory and limited experimental work was presented at the Photoconductivity Conference in 1954 (2). Several review articles, rather limited in scope, appeared in the late 1950's (3, 4, 5, 6). Bube (7) has published a thorough review of photoconductivity from its beginning up to 1959. In 1961, Rose (8) summarized the areas still requiring further research, and in 1963 he published a short text (9) which contains typical numerical values for many parameters. Ryvkin (10) and Larach (11) have published two of the latest major works concerned with photoconductivity. Several articles devoted to impurity photoconductivity (12, 13, 14), photoeffects in ZnS (15), and organic photoconductivity (16, 17) deserve recognition.

2. Photoconductive Powders

Methods of preparing Cu-Cl activated photosensitive CdS powders are discussed by Thomsen, et al. (18). Thomsen indicates that the effective mobility for CdS powder is in the range of 10^{-3} cm²/volt-sec to 10^{-1} cm²/volt-sec. Nicoll and Kazan (19) have determined that the spectral response for CdS powder is broader than that for single crystal material and is shifted toward longer wavelengths. DeVore (20) discussed the response times and methods of measuring trap distributions in CdS and CdSe powders. Probably the best summary of photoconductive effects in powder material has been presented by Bube (21).

3. Photodielectric Effects

Early explanations (22, 23) of the photodielectric effect were based on an increase in the dielectric constant due to the increased density of easily polarizable trapped carriers. Later work (24, 25, 26, 27, 28) has indicated that in many cases the "apparent" change in dielectric constant is actually the result of changes in the conductivity. Recent work by Kasperovich, et al. (29) has demonstrated changes in the dielectric constant due to charge transfer among impurity centers. All of the above mentioned work was performed at frequencies less than 50 MHz. Very few measurements have been performed in the microwave range. Meilikhov (30) has observed the effect of free carriers on the dielectric constant of Ge at 10 GHz. This effect, known as interfacial polarization, can result in apparent measured values of the dielectric constant of finite size samples that are considerably greater than the bulk value.

C. Complex Permittivity of Materials

1. <u>Use of Complex Permittivity in Electromagnetic</u> <u>Field Equations</u>

The complex permittivity is the link between the macroscopic field quantities and the microscopic material parameters. The use of the complex permittivity is explained in most intermediate field theory texts (31, 32, 33). When deriving expressions for the complex permittivity it is necessary to relate the applied electric field to the actual field acting on a particular molecule. A good discussion of electric fields in dielectric media is given by Schwarz (34).

2. Free Charge Contribution

The classical derivation of the bound charge contribution to the complex permittivity is well understood. However, the same cannot be said for the free carrier contributions. There is still some uncertainity as to whether or not the Lorentz polarization term should be used. Ginzburg (35) and von Hippel (33) have opposing views. There is also disagreement concerning the influence of the lattice dielectric constant on the plasma resonance of the free carriers (36, 37, 38, 39). Depolarization effects and interfacial polarization are normally completely ignored. No derivation has been presented which satisfactorily deals with all of the

6

above mentioned problems. Typical examples of oversimplified derivations are (40, 41, 42).

D. <u>Electromagnetic Wave Propagation Through Inhomogeneous</u> <u>Media</u>

1. <u>Methods of Solution</u>

There have been a variety of techniques developed to solve problems concerned with electromagnetic wave propagation through inhomogeneous media. The same techniques can also be applied to mechanical (i.e. acoustical) waves. Recent books by Wait (43) and Brekhovskikh (44) discuss most of the techniques. Wait (43, 45) derives the exact solution for the special cases of linear and exponential inhomogeneities. Exact solutions for some other cases are available in the form of power series expansions. However, these solutions converge so slow that these techniques are useful only for layers that are thin in comparison with the wavelength.

Numerical integration of the differential equation for the electric or magnetic field intensity using difference equations has been presented by Richmond (46). Approximate solutions obtained by the iterative use of an integral equation are discussed by Heading (47). An example of the use of perturbation and variational methods was presented by Gabriel and Brodwin (48). In those cases where the gradient of the inhomogeneity is small, the Wentzel, Kramers, and Brillouin (WKB) method (49, 50) of approximate solution has been used successfully. Osterberg (51) has reduced the wave equation in inhomogeneous media to a Ricatti differential equation. However, for most cases only an approximate solution can be obtained for the Ricatti equation by numerical integration.

The technique used in this dissertation is an approximate, numerical method known as wave matrices. The derivation presented in Chapter IV is slightly different from the standard approaches (52, 53, 54, 55, 56), however, the end results are equivalent. In the wave matrix approach the inhomogeneity is subdivided into thin homogeneous sections. A matrix relating the incident, reflected, and transmitted waves is determined for each section. The product of all the matrices representing the inhomogeneous media relates the resultant incident, reflected, and transmitted waves. Good approximate solutions for any physically realizable one-dimensional inhomogeneity can be obtained with this method.

2. Calculated Results

Although many of the numerical techniques have been available for over 25 years, it was not until within the past 10 years that computers became available to make such calculations possible. It is surprising to find that during the past 10 years very few calculations regarding wave propagation through homogeneous and inhomogeneous media have been performed. In 1960 Baeumler (58) published results for electromagnetic wave propagation through a single, homogeneous, semi-infinite dielectric slab located in free

space. For this special case Baeumler presented several curves of the relative energy transmitted versus the ratio of dielectric conductivity to frequency. Jacobs et al. (58) have performed calculations of the reflection coefficient for infinitely long sections of dielectrically filled rectangular waveguide. Holmes and Feucht (53) have reported calculations of the relative power transmitted versus slab thickness and the magnitude of the reflection coefficient versus angle of incidence for the homogeneous, semi-infinite slab in free space. In 1967, Gunn (59) reported good agreement between calculated and measured values of the propagation constant for a short section of rectangular waveguide loaded longitudinally with a thin strip of semiconducting material. Gunn suggested that the reason his measured values were consistently lower than the calculated values was the effect of the insulating barrier between the semiconducting material and the waveguide wall. The author noted a similar effect on the conductivity measurements of silicon wafers. Also in 1967, Jacobs et al. (60) reported calculated and experimental results for the reflection coefficient of a short section of semiconductor filled rectangular waveguide at 70 GHz. Only homogeneous media were considered in all of the above research. The computer calculations for homogeneous media contained in Chapter V are broader in scope and more detailed than any results published to date. Limited calculations for inhomogeneous media are also presented in Chapter V.

9

E. Microwave Measurement of Material Parameters

1. Lifetime Measurement

A detailed discussion of all the standard methods of performing dc lifetime measurements was presented by Ryvkin (10). Additional special techniques are discussed by Stevenson and Keyes (61), Watters and Ludwig (62), and Blakemore (63).

Theoretical derivations relating the time constant of the transmitted microwave power to the lifetime of the excess free carriers were presented by Nag and Das (64) and Atwater (65). Both of these authors neglect the effects of reflections from the test material. A more detailed derivation is presented in Appendix E. All derivations indicate that a necessary condition for accurate lifetime measurements is $\sigma < \omega \epsilon$.

Various methods of performing microwave lifetime measurements are indicated by Ramsa et al. (66), Jacobs et al. (67), and Deb and Nag (68). In each of these cases measurements were performed on Ge with a conductivity of 30 mhos/m to 50 mhos/m. Since $w \epsilon_{\approx}$ 10 for Ge at 10 GHz, the conductivity in each case was not less than $w \epsilon$. Hence, the reported lifetime measurements are of doubtful accuracy. Similar measurements have been performed on Si, but to date no such measurements have been reported for CdS.

2. Complex Permittivity Measurement

Jacobs et al. (69, 70, 58) performed some of the earliest

microwave measurements of the conductivity of thick (5 mm) samples of Ge and Si. Their technique consisted of completely filling a short section of X-band waveguide with the sample, and then, determining the conductivity indirectly from measurements of either the reflection or transmission coefficient. Nag and Roy (71) used a similar procedure. In 1963, Nag and Roy (72, 73, 74, 75) reported measurements of conductivity and dielectric constant of thin Si samples placed longitudinally in the waveguide and backed by a short.

Lindmayer and Kutsko (76) have reported measurements of the conductivity of Si at 25 GHz. In 1968, Sheikh and Gunn (77) presented theoretically calculated curves of variation in propagation constant with conductivity and dielectric constant. They considered the case of thin slabs of either Si or Ge placed longitudinally in the waveguide. No experimental work was performed.

III. FORMULATION OF THE COMPLEX PERMITTIVITY IN TERMS OF MICROSCOPIC MATERIAL PARAMETERS

A. Introduction

In order to fully discuss the interaction of electromagnetic radiation with matter, the macroscopic theory of Maxwell must be combined with the detailed microscopic theory of Lorentz. To do this rigoriously and in detail is a statistical quantum mechanical problem well beyond the purpose of this chapter. The standard classical formulation of the complex permittivity in terms of the polarization of bound and free charges is adequate. In the classical approach the basic relationships between the macroscopic and microscopic material parameters are clearly evident, whereas, in a quantum mechanical approach they are usually hidden by the mathematical complexity.

The macroscopic electromagnetic properties of any material (32, 33, 78) can be completely specified by <u>any two</u> of the complex constitutive parameters known as permeability (\mathcal{M}), permittivity ($\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$), and conductivity ($\boldsymbol{\sigma}$). Normally \mathcal{M} and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ are the two parameter sets used, where the effects of free carrier conduction ($\boldsymbol{\sigma}$) are included in the complex permittivity. For the most general anisotropic material both \mathcal{M} and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ are second rank tensors or dyadics. Throughout this dissertation only linear, isotropic, nonmagnetic materials will be considered. Hence, \mathcal{M} is considered to be constant and equal to the permeability of free space; and, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is considered to be a complex, time independent, spatially varying scalar which includes the conductivity as explained in the next section.

B. <u>Discussion of the Basic Electromagnetic Field Equations</u> <u>Involving Material Parameters</u>

Maxwell's equations for a macroscopic medium are,

$$\nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{D}} = \mathbf{\rho} , \qquad (3.1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \overline{B} = 0, \qquad (3.2)$$

$$\nabla x \overline{E} = - \partial \overline{B} / \partial t, \qquad (3.3)$$

$$\nabla x \overline{H} = \overline{J} + \partial \overline{D} / \partial t, \qquad (3.4)$$

where ρ is the volume density of unneutralized charge, \overline{J} is a combination of the conduction and convection current densities, and $\partial \overline{D}/\partial t$ is the displacement current density. All of these macroscopic parameters are assumed to be averaged over a volume at least as large as a unit cell (79). Additional auxiliary equations required are,

$$\nabla \cdot \overline{J} = - \partial \rho / \partial t \tag{3.5}$$

$$\overline{D} = \epsilon_{\rm b} \overline{E} = \epsilon_{\rm o} \overline{E} + \overline{P}$$
(3.6)

$$\overline{B} = \mathcal{M}\overline{H} = \mathcal{M}_{0}(\overline{H} + \overline{M})$$
(3.7)

$$\overline{J} = \sigma_{t}\overline{E}$$
(3.8)

where \overline{P} is the polarization (net electric dipole moment per unit volume) and \overline{M} is the magnetization (net magnetic dipole moment per unit volume). Since only nonmagnetic materials are considered, $\overline{M} = 0$. As discussed later, \overline{P} and \overline{E} are related by

$$\overline{\mathbf{P}} = \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{e} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{o} \overline{\mathbf{E}}, \qquad (3.9)$$

where $\psi_{\rm e}$ is a characteristic of the material known as the electric susceptibility.

Assuming harmonic time variation of the form exp(+jwt), equation (3.4) reduces to,

$$\nabla x \overline{H} = (\sigma_f + j \omega \epsilon_b) \overline{E}. \qquad (3.10)$$

At this point it is necessary to clearly define what the symbols σ_{f} and ϵ_{b} represent, because there are a variety of different, acceptable definitions in common use (33). σ_{f} accounts for all the phenomena associated with <u>free charges</u>.

$$\sigma_{f} = \sigma_{f} - j\sigma_{f}, \qquad (3.11)$$

where σ_{f} represents conversion by free carriers of electromagnetic energy into other forms of energy, such as heat, and, $\sigma_{f}^{"}$ represents storage of electromagnetic energy by the free carriers in a manner analogous to electric dipole polarization. In fact, $\sigma_{f}^{"}$ will actually be treated as one component of the real part of the total complex permittivity. ϵ_{b} accounts for <u>all</u> the phenomena associated with <u>bound</u> <u>charges</u>.

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{b} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{b} - j\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{b}, \qquad (3.12)$$

where ϵ_{b} represents the lattice contribution to the real part of the total complex permittivity; and, ϵ_{b} represents conversion by bound charges of electromagnetic energy into other forms of energy, such as the heat produced by dielectric hysteresis.

Using equations (3.10), (3.11), and (3.12), the total complex permittivity, \leq , is defined by,

$$= \left[(\sigma_{f} - j\sigma_{f}) + j\omega (\epsilon_{b} - j\epsilon_{b}) \right] / (j\omega).$$
 (3.13)

Then,

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}' - \boldsymbol{j}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}''$$
$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{b} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}''_{f}/\boldsymbol{\omega}) - \boldsymbol{j}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}''_{b} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}'_{f}/\boldsymbol{\omega}), \qquad (3.14)$$

where,

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}' = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{\mathrm{b}} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}''_{\mathrm{f}} / \boldsymbol{\omega}$$
(3.15)

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'' = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{b}'' + \sigma_{f}' \boldsymbol{\omega} . \qquad (3.16)$$

Frequently, the complex relative dielectric constant, ϵ_r , is used.

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{r}} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{r}}' - \mathbf{j} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{r}}'', \qquad (3.17)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{r} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{b}^{\prime} / \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{o} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{f}^{\prime} / \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{o}$$
(3.18)

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{r}^{"} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{b}^{"} / \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{o} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{f}^{'} / \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{o} \qquad (3.19)$$

Note that equation (3.18) indicates that free carriers may contribute to the real part of ϵ_r . This phenomena is developed more fully in Section D.

C. <u>Contribution of Bound Charge to the Complex Permit-</u> tivity

For dielectric media, the link between the macroscopic and microscopic theories occurs through the polarization, \overline{P} . Some of the most common expressions involving \overline{P} are;

$$\overline{P} = \Psi_e \, \epsilon_o \overline{E}, \qquad (3.9)$$

$$\overline{P} = \overline{D} - \epsilon_{0}\overline{E}, \qquad (3.6)$$

$$\overline{P} = N\overline{p} = Nq\overline{r}, \qquad (3.20)$$

$$\overline{P} = N\alpha \overline{E}_{loc} = N\alpha (\overline{E} + \overline{P}/3 \epsilon_{o}), \qquad (3.21)$$

where \overline{E} is the resultant macroscopic electric field intensity, \overline{p} is the microscopic dipole moment, N is the volume density of dipoles, r is the average separation between the centers of positive and negative charges which produce \overline{p} , α is the average polarizability of the dipoles, \overline{E}_{loc} is the locally acting field at the dipole, and $\overline{P}/3 \in_{O}$ is the Lorentz polarization field. (See Appendix A for a summary of the relationships between the various electric fields associated with a dielectric material.) Normally, equation (3.21) is considered to be the most fundamental because it relates \overline{P} to the microscopic parameters N, α , and \overline{E}_{loc} . The permittivity is related to the polarization by

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathrm{b}} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathrm{o}} + \overline{\mathrm{P}}/\overline{\mathrm{E}}, \qquad (3.22)$$

where the ratio $\overline{P/E}$ is a complex, frequency and space dependent scalar for the linear, inhomogeneous, isotropic material considered in this dissertation. Once the complete relationship between \overline{P} and $\overline{\overline{E}}$ is specified, then, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{b}$ is specified.

The total polarization can be subdivided into four basic components: orientational (or dipolar), ionic (or atomic), electronic, and interfacial polarization. The orientational polarization is caused by the alignment of permanent dipole moments. The electronic and ionic polarizations are the result of dipole moments induced by the displacement of the electron cloud with respect to the nucleus and by the displacement of positive and negative ions, respectively. In heterogeneous materials there is also the possibility of an interfacial polarization arising from the accumulation of charge at potential barriers such as structural interfaces or grain boundaries. This conponent is usually important only for material samples of small dimensions, such as crystalline powder. The reciprocal of the relaxation time of each polarization component determines the frequency about which anomalous dispersion and resonance absorption will occur. For orientational, ionic, and electronic polarization these frequencies fall in the microwave, infrared, and visible portions of the spectrum, respectively.

The manner in which the microscopic parameters determine the orientational and ionic polarizations is briefly described next. Interfacial polarization, which is caused by the motion of free charge, is discussed in Section D.

1. Orientational Polarization

Kittel's (79) summary of Debye's detailed theory of dielectric relaxation of polar molecules results in the following relationship between \overline{P} and \overline{E}_{loc} for harmonic fields,

$$\overline{P} = -\frac{N(\overline{p},\overline{p})\overline{E}_{loc}}{3k_{B}T(1 + j\omega \tau_{d})}$$
(3.23)

where \overline{p} is the permanent dipole moment, k_B is Boltzmann's constant, T is the absolute temperature, and \mathcal{C}_d is the relaxation time of the dipoles. The relaxation time is defined as the time required for the dipoles to orient in such a way that the polarization reduces to 1/e of its original value after the exciting field has been removed.

Water at room temperature has a relaxation frequency

17

 $(f = 1/\tau_d)$ of about 30 GHz, and ice at 253°K (-20°C) has a relaxation frequency of about 1 KHz. OH⁻ ions substituted for Cl⁻ ions in a KCl crystal have a relaxation frequency of about 10 GHz at 1°K. Also, the NH₃ molecule has a broad absorption spectrum centered about 23.8 GHz. There are many additional examples of dielectric relaxation occuring in the microwave region.

2. Ionic Polarization

A classical analysis (33, 80, 81) of the steady-state response of singly ionized ions in a crystal to a harmonic field \overline{E}_{int} inside the crystal results in

$$\overline{P} = \sum_{n} \frac{(N_n q^2 / m_n) \overline{E}_{int}}{\left[w_n^2 - N_n q^2 / (3m_n \epsilon_0) - w^2 \right] + j2wf_n}$$
(3.24)

where n is summed over the various types of ion pairs, and for a particular type N_n is the volume density of ion pairs, m_n is the reduced mass of an ion pair, $\boldsymbol{\omega}_n$ is the natural resonant frequency of the ion pairs, and f_n is a frictional damping factor. From equations (3.22) and (3.24),

$$\epsilon_{b} = \epsilon_{b} - j\epsilon_{b}^{"}$$

$$= \epsilon_{o} + \sum_{n [w_{n}^{2} - N_{n}q^{2}/(3m_{n}\epsilon_{o}) - w^{2}] + j2w_{f_{n}}}^{N_{n}q^{2}/(3m_{n}\epsilon_{o}) - w^{2}] + j2w_{f_{n}}}$$
(3.25)

Equation (3.25) could be used to plot the standard anomalous dispersion curve associated with $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{b}$ and the resonance absorption curve associated with $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{b}$. Results similar in form to equations (3.24) and (3.25) are obtained for
electronic polarization.

In general, once either $\epsilon_b(\omega)$ or $\epsilon_b'(\omega)$ is known for all ω , then the Kramers-Kronig relation may be used to find the unknown part of $\epsilon_b(\omega)$. This is analogous to synthesizing a positive real impedance function from either a magnitude or a phase function.

D. Contribution of Free Charge to the Complex Permittivity

A rigorous derivation (35, 38, 82, 83) of the complex conductivity σ_f requires a statistical, quantum mechanical solution of the Boltzmann transport equation. Fortunately, such detail is not required in this work. A classical description of the phenomenon is adequate provided a sufficiently detailed model is used. Most derivations are based on a sample of infinite dimensions. If a finite size sample is assumed, then the important phenomenon of interfacial polarization must be included. This leads to plasma resonance and depolarization effects.

The one-dimensional equation of motion for an electron "gas" of volume density n in a finite size sample is

$$m^* \frac{d^2 \overline{f}(\overline{x})}{dt^2} + (m^*/\mathcal{C}_c) \frac{d\overline{f}(\overline{x})}{dt} = -q\overline{E}_{loc}, \qquad (3.26)$$

where m* is the effective mass of the electron in the conduction band, \mathcal{C}_{c} is the mean time between "collisions" of the electrons with the lattice, and $\overline{f}(\overline{x})$ is the x displacement of the electron "gas" relative to the stationary lattice caused by the locally acting electric field intensity

 \overline{E}_{loc} . \mathcal{T}_c has not been rigorously specified. This is one of the parameters that would require considerable attention if a detailed derivation were given. This simplified model assumes that each electron losses all of its momentum each time it "collides" with the lattice, and it also assumes that \mathcal{T}_c is independent of the electron velocity. Neither of these assumptions seriously affects the plasma resonance or depolarization effects, which are the main concern here. After modifying the results of Appendix A for the case of a conducting media, the local field may be expressed as

 $\overline{E}_{loc} = \overline{E}_{ext} - L\overline{P}_{b} / \epsilon_{o} + \overline{P}_{b} / (3\epsilon_{o}) - L\overline{P}_{f} / \epsilon_{o},$ (3.27) where \overline{P}_{b} and \overline{P}_{f} refer to the polarization of the bound and free charges, respectively, $\overline{P}_{b}/(3 \epsilon_{o})$ is the Lorentz polarization term, and L is the depolarization factor. The polarization of the free charges, \overline{P}_{f} , was previously defined in the section on bound charges as interfacial polarization. \bar{P}_{f} is also called macrostructural polarization. This component of the polarization is frequently overlooked by In the final expression for the complex many authors. conductivity it will be seen that this term has a very significant effect. There is considerable controversy in the literature (33, 35) as to whether or not the Lorentz term should be included. The present consensus appears to be that when considering effects on a macroscopic scale the Lorentz term should not be included. Hence, the scalar equation of motion becomes

$$\frac{d^{2}f(x)}{dt^{2}} + (1/\mathcal{T}_{c}) \frac{df(x)}{dt} + \frac{Lng^{2}f(x)}{m^{*}\epsilon_{o}} = -(q/m^{*})E_{int},$$
(3.28)

since

$$\overline{P}_{f} = - nq\overline{f}(\overline{x}). \qquad (3.29)$$

Let

$$w_p^2 = nq^2 / (\epsilon_0 m^*)$$
 (3.30)

and

$$E_{int} = E_{o} \exp(j\omega t), \qquad (3.31)$$

then the steady state solution of equation (3.28) is

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{-(q/m^*)E_o \exp(j\omega t)}{(L\omega_p^2 - \omega^2) + j\omega/\mathcal{T}_c}.$$
 (3.32)

Since

$$J = -nq \frac{df(x)}{dt} = \sigma_f E_0, \qquad (3.33)$$

$$\sigma_{f} = \frac{j\omega \omega_{p}^{c} \epsilon_{o}}{(L \omega_{p}^{2} - \omega^{2})^{2} + (\omega/\tau_{c})^{2}} \left[(L \omega_{p}^{2} - \omega^{2}) - j(\omega/\tau_{c}) \right].$$
(3.34)

Since

$$\sigma_{f} = \sigma_{f} - j\sigma_{f}, \qquad (3.35)$$

$$\sigma_{f} = \frac{(\omega^{2} \omega_{p}^{2} \epsilon_{o})/\tau_{c}}{(L \omega_{p}^{2} - \omega^{2})^{2} + (\omega/\tau_{c})^{2}}$$
(3.36)

$$\sigma_{f}^{*} = \frac{-\omega \omega_{p}^{2} \epsilon_{o} (L \omega_{p}^{2} - \omega^{2})}{(L \omega_{p}^{2} - \omega^{2})^{2} + (\omega / \tau_{c})^{2}}.$$
 (3.37)

The quantity $(L \omega_p^2)$ results from the interfacial polarization, P_f . If L is set equal to zero, then σ_f and σ_f reduce to the <u>oversimplified</u> expressions used by most authors. Note that for L = 0, σ_f can be either positive or negative depending upon ω , and hence, the free carrier contribution to the real part of the dielectric constant can be either positive or negative as verified by Meilikhov (30) with Ge at 10 GHz. For a finite size sample with perfect contacts $P_f = 0$, because the infinite supply of electrons at the electrodes prevents the formation of any interfacial polarization. Note that if $P_f \ge 0$, then equation (3.36) indicates that $\sigma_f = 0$ at w = 0. This does not mean that the bulk conductivity is zero, but rather that the <u>effective</u> conductivity that one would measure is zero because any applied dc electric field would be reduced to zero inside the material by the interfacial polarization.

E. <u>Photoconductivity</u>

Photoconductivity is the process by which the density of free carriers in a material is changed by the absorption of photons. <u>Practically all of the theory used in this</u> <u>dissertation is also valid for any other mechanism which</u> <u>changes the density of free carriers.</u> Examples of other such mechanisms are carrier injection or extraction at a junction, temperature changes, and electron bombardment.

If the complex permittivity of a photoconductor is known for both dark and light conditions, then the charactistics of an electromagnetic wave propagating through this material can be determined. Since the imaginary part of the conductivity, σ_{f} , is usually negligible except for special conditions of temperature and frequency, this component will be neglected in the following discussion. The conductivity is assumed to be time independent but spatially varying. Hence, for a material with a onedimensional variation

$$\sigma_{f} = \sigma(z) = \sigma_{0} + \Delta\sigma(z), \qquad (3.38)$$

where σ_0 is the dark conductivity which is assumed to be constant throughout the material, and $\Delta \sigma(z)$ is the conductivity variation produced by photon absorption (or some other mechanism).

$$\sigma_{o} = q \mathcal{L}_{no} n_{o} + q \mathcal{L}_{po} p_{o}, \qquad (3.39)$$

where \mathcal{M}_{no} and \mathcal{M}_{po} are the electron and hole mobilities, respectively, and n_o and p_o are the electron and hole densities, respectively, for the condition of termal equilibrium and zero incident light intensity.

$$\sigma(z) = q \left[\mathcal{U}_{no} + \Delta \mathcal{U}_{n}(z) \right] \left[n_{o} + \Delta n(z) \right] + q \left[\mathcal{U}_{po} + \Delta \mathcal{U}_{p}(z) \right] \left[p_{o} + \Delta p(z) \right]$$
(3.40)

where, the quantities prefixed by Δ are the variations produced by light absorption. From equation (3.38) and (3.40) it is seen that

$$\sigma(z) = q \left[\mathcal{U}_{no} \Delta n(z) + \Delta \mathcal{U}_{n}(z) n_{o} + \Delta \mathcal{U}_{n}(z) \Delta n(z) \right] + q \left[\mathcal{U}_{po} \Delta p(z) + \Delta \mathcal{U}_{p}(z) p_{o} + \Delta \mathcal{U}_{p}(z) \Delta p(z) \right].$$
(3.41)

For most materials, the changes in electron and hole mobilities are negligible if the total electron and hole densities are less than about 10^{22} carriers/m³ $(10^{16} \text{ carriers/cm}^3)$ and the electric field strength is less than 10^4V/m . Hence,

$$\Delta \sigma(z) = q \left[\mathcal{U}_{no} \Delta n(z) + \mathcal{U}_{po} \Delta p(z) \right]. \qquad (3.42)$$

If expressions can be found for $\Delta n(z)$ and $\Delta p(z)$ and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{b}$ is known, then, the complete expression for the complex permittivity of the photoconductor is known. $\Delta n(z)$ and $\Delta p(z)$ are determined primarily by the generation rate and lifetime of the free carriers. The generation rate is derived in Appendix A for a one-dimensional case and is given by

 $f(z) = I_0 \exp(-\delta z);$ $0 \le z \le d$ (3.43) where I_0 has units of (electron-hole pairs)/m³-sec, and δ is the coefficient of light absorption. The diffusion of charge carriers because of inhomogeneous illumination also affects $\Delta n(z)$ and $\Delta p(z)$. The derivation of the general equations involving $\Delta n(z)$ and $\Delta p(z)$, including diffusion, are presented in Appendix B. Solution of the resulting three coupled partial differential equations for a photoconductive layer of finite thickness can usually be accomplished only by numerical techniques. Since a precise quantitative knowledge of $\Delta n(z)$ and $\Delta p(z)$ is not actually required for this work, the standard simplifying assumptions are made, which yield

$$\Delta n(z) = \mathcal{T}_n f(z) \tag{3.44}$$

$$\Delta p(z) = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{p} f(z) \qquad (3.45)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\tau}_n$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}_p$ are the <u>free</u> lifetimes of the electrons and holes, respectively. This results in the following expression for the conductivity,

$$\sigma(\mathbf{z}) = \sigma_{o} + q(\mathcal{U}_{no}\mathcal{T}_{n} + \mathcal{U}_{po}\mathcal{T}_{p})I_{o}\exp(-\delta z). \qquad (3.46)$$

F. Photodielectric Effect

In Chapter V it will be shown that changes as small as 10% in the dielectric constant can produce changes as large as 100% in the transmitted microwave power. Although the conductivity is usually the parameter most affected at microwave frequencies, the real part of the complex permittivity can be changed in the following ways by photoexcitation:

1) Increase in dielectric constant due to the increased density of easily polarizable trapped electrons. The relaxation time of this mechanism is typically 10^{-7} sec (23), but may vary by two orders of magnitude.

2) Decrease in dielectric constant due to the increased density of free carriers. This is indicated in equation (3.37).

3) Increase in dielectric constant due to interfacial polarization. Meilikhov (30) has reported increases in the dielectric constant of Ge at 10 GHz because of this mechanism. Equation (3.37) is in agreement with Meilikhov's observed results.

4) Recently Kasperovich, et al. (20) have reported changes in the dielectric constant as a result of "jump" conductivity. "Jump" conductivity can be caused by optically stimulated charge transfer among impurities.

G. <u>General Expression for the Complex Permittivity</u>

Neglecting the Lorentz polarization term in all cases and combining the results of sections C and D, the general expression for the total relative complex permittivity is

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{r} &= 1 + \sum_{k} \frac{N_{k} p_{k}^{2}}{3 \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}^{k} \boldsymbol{B}^{T} (1 + j \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{dk})} \\ &+ \sum_{k} \frac{N_{1} q^{2} / (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}^{m} \boldsymbol{1})}{(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}^{2} - \boldsymbol{\omega}^{2}) + j 2 \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{f}_{1}} \\ &+ \sum_{m} \frac{N_{m} q^{2} / (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}^{m} \boldsymbol{m})}{(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{m}^{2} - \boldsymbol{\omega}^{2}) + j 2 \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{f}_{m}} \\ &+ \sum_{n} \frac{-j N_{n} q^{2} / (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}^{m} \boldsymbol{m}^{*})}{(L \boldsymbol{\omega}_{pn}^{2} - \boldsymbol{\omega}^{2}) + j \boldsymbol{\omega} / \boldsymbol{\tau}_{cn}}. \end{aligned}$$
(3.47)

The summations over k, l, m, and n represent the contributions from the various types of dipoles, ions, atoms, and free charges, respectively. The first three contributions correspond to the orientational, ionic, and electronic polarizations of the lattice and the fourth term corresponds to the inertia of the free carriers and the interfacial polarization. For most of the work in this dissertation, the complex permittivity may be satisfactorily approximated by

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathrm{b}} - \mathrm{j}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathrm{f}}/\boldsymbol{\omega} \,. \tag{3.48}$$

However, explanations for some of the experimental results requires the use of a more detailed theory such as that given in equation (3.47).

IV. <u>DERIVATION OF THE ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD</u> EQUATIONS FOR PROPAGATION THROUGH RECTANGULAR <u>WAVEGUIDE FILLED WITH AN ISOTROPIC</u> INHOMOGENEOUS MEDIA

A. <u>Introduction</u>

Most electromagnetic field problems involving inhomogeneous media cannot be solved in closed form (43, 44). If the inhomogeneity is of a special form, such as linear or exponential, or if the media deviates only slightly from a homogeneous material, then either closed form solutions or good approximate solutions are possible. The approximate method (52, 53, 57, 84) of solution used in this dissertation is a very powerful technique applicable to any type of inhomogeneity along the waveguide axis. The only restriction to the use of this technique is that normally a computer is required. The technique is analogous to the ABCD-parameter approach used for transmission lines in circuit theory.

B. Derivation

Figure 4.1 depicts a section of rectangular waveguide filled with an isotropic, inhomogeneous medium. The medium is subdivided into thin transverse sections which completely fill the cross section of the waveguide. Each thin section is assumed to be homogeneous. In reality then, the problem of one inhomogeneous medium is exchanged for a series of problems each involving a homogeneous medium. Any or all of



FIGURE 4.1. An inhomogeneously filled waveguide subdivided into homogeneous transverse sections

the parameters σ , ϵ , and μ may be inhomogeneous. However, only variations along the waveguide axis (z axis) are permitted. Note that $\sigma = \sigma_f$ and $\epsilon = \epsilon_b$. The subscripts "f" and "b" have been dropped, since another subscript, "i", has been added to designate the medium.

Only TE_{m0} (transverse electric) modes are considered. Results for TE_{mn} and TN_{mn} (transverse magnetic) modes may be found from a simple extension of the derivation presented here. (For example, the solution for TM_{mn} modes can be immediately obtained from the solution for TE_{mn} modes by using the duality conditions.) Harmonic time variation is assumed. It is understood that in order to obtain the actual expression for a physically measurable field quantity, either the real part or the imaginary part of the corresponding time harmonic function must be used. The solution for the electromagnetic fields in the ith medium begins with Maxwell's equations:

$$\nabla x \overline{E}_{i} = -j \omega \mu_{i} \overline{H}_{i}$$
(4.1)

$$\nabla x \overline{H}_{i} = (\sigma_{i} + j \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i}) \overline{E}_{i}$$
 (4.2)

$$\nabla \cdot \mathcal{U}_{i}\overline{H}_{i} = 0 \tag{4.3}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i} \boldsymbol{\overline{E}}_{i} = \boldsymbol{\rho}_{i} = \boldsymbol{0}. \tag{4.4}$$

Note that equation (4.4) indicates that space charge effects are not included in this derivation. Taking the curl of equation (4.1) and then making use of equation (4.2) yeilds,

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \overline{E}_{i} = -j \omega \mathcal{H}_{i} (\nabla \times \overline{H}_{i})$$

= -j \u03cm \u03cm (\u03cm i + j \u03cm \u03cm i) \u03cm i. (4.5)

Using the vector identity

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \overline{E}_{i} = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \overline{E}_{i}) - \nabla^{2} \overline{E}_{i}, \qquad (4.6)$$

equation (4.5) reduces to

$$\nabla^2 \overline{E}_i + k_i^2 E_i = 0. \qquad (4.7)$$

where

$$k_{i}^{2} = -j \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}}_{i}(\sigma_{i} + j \boldsymbol{\mathcal{W}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}). \qquad (4.8)$$

Note that k_i must be that root of k_i^2 which has a negative imaginary part.

Assuming a TE_{mo} mode,

.

$$\overline{E}_{i} = E_{ix}(y, z)\overline{a}_{x} = Y(y)Z(z)\overline{a}_{x}.$$
(4.9)

Using the standard separation of variables method of solution:

$$\frac{\partial^{2} E_{ix}}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} E_{ix}}{\partial y^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} E_{ix}}{\partial z^{2}} + k_{i}^{2} E_{ix} = 0 \qquad (4.10)$$

simplifies to

$$Y''/Y = -Z''/Z - k_{i}^{2} = -k_{i1}^{2}$$
(4.11)

where, k_{i1} is an unknown constant. Solving equation (4.11) subject to the waveguide boundary conditions yields,

$$Y(y) = C_1 \sin(k_{11}y)$$
 (4.12)

where,

$$k_{i1} = m\pi/y_0; \qquad m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$
 (4.13)

and,

$$Z(z) = C_2 \exp \left[j (k_1^2 - k_{11}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (z - z_{1-1}) \right] + C_3 \exp \left[- j (k_1^2 - k_{11}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (z - z_{1-1}) \right]; \quad z_{1-1} \le z \le z_1.$$
(4.14)

Hence,

$$\overline{E}_{ix}(y, z) = \left\{ E_{i}^{+} \exp\left[-\gamma_{i}(z - z_{i-1})\right] + E_{i}^{-} \exp\left[\gamma_{i}(z - z_{i-1})\right] \right\} \sin(m\pi y/y_{o}) \overline{a}_{x};$$

$$z_{i-1} \leq z \leq z_{i}, \qquad (4.15)$$

where

$$E_{i}^{+} = C_{1}C_{3}$$

$$E_{i}^{-} = C_{1}C_{2}$$

$$Y_{i} = \alpha_{i} + j\beta_{i} = j(k_{i}^{2} - k_{i1}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \left[(m\pi/y_{0})^{2} - \omega^{2} \mathcal{U}_{i} \epsilon_{i} + j \omega \mathcal{U}_{i} \sigma_{i} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(4.16)

From here on, all equations involving the i^{th} medium are assumed to have z restricted to the range, $z_{i-1} \leq z \leq z_i$. E_i^{\dagger} and E_i^{-} are the complex amplitudes of the incident and reflected electric field intensities, respectively, at a point z_{i-1}^{-} just to the right of the boundary between the (i-1)th and ith media (Figure 4.2).

Because of the definition of E_i^+ and E_i^- , the sign of some of the exponentials in the final result differs from the signs in the "standard" solution. In the "standard" approach, E_i^+ and E_i^- are defined at the right hand extreme of the ith layer.

The magnetic field intensity can be found from \overline{E}_{ix} by use of equation (4.1),

$$\overline{H}_{i} = \frac{\nabla x \overline{E}_{i}}{-j \omega \mathcal{U}_{i}} = \left[\frac{\partial E_{ix}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial E_{ix}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial E_{ix}}{\partial y}\right] / (-j \omega \mathcal{U}_{i}) \qquad (1.17)$$



FIGURE 4.2. Notation for electric field intensity boundary conditions at $z=z_{i-1}$

Hence,

$$\overline{H}_{iy} = \frac{\gamma_{i}}{(-jwM_{i})} \left\{ -E_{i}^{+} \exp\left[-\gamma_{i}(z - z_{i-1})\right] + E_{i}^{-} \exp\left[\gamma_{i}(z - z_{i-1})\right] \right\} \cos(m\pi y/y_{o}) \overline{a}_{y} \qquad (4.18)$$

and,

$$\overline{H}_{iz} = \frac{-(m\pi/y_0)}{-j\omega\mathcal{U}_i} \left\{ E_i^+ \exp\left[-\gamma_i(z - z_{i-1})\right] + E_i^- \exp\left[\gamma_i(z - z_{i-1})\right] \right\} \cos(m\pi y/y_0) \overline{a}_z. \quad (4.19)$$

The transverse impedance of the waveguide for the i^{th} medium, Z_i , is defined as

$$Z_{i} = E_{ix}^{+}/H_{iy}^{+} = E_{ix}^{-}/(-H_{iy}^{-}) = j \omega \mu_{i}/\gamma_{i} = 1/\gamma_{i}. \quad (4.20)$$

Hence,

$$\overline{H}_{iy} = \left\{ Y_i E_i^{\dagger} \exp\left[-\gamma_i (z - z_{i-1})\right] - Y_i E_i^{-} \exp\left[\gamma_i (z - z_{i-1})\right] \right\} \sin(m\pi y/y_0) \overline{a}_y. \quad (4.21)$$

For the (i-1)th medium, the transverse electric and magnetic field intensities are

$$\overline{E}_{(i-1)x} = \left\{ E_{i-1}^{+} \exp\left[-\gamma_{i-1}(z - z_{i+2})\right] + E_{i-1}^{-} \exp\left[\gamma_{i-1}(z - z_{i+2})\right] \right\} \sin(m\pi y/y_{0}) \overline{a}_{x}$$
(4.22)
$$\overline{H}_{(i-1)y} = \left\{ Y_{i-1}E_{i-1}^{+} \exp\left[-\gamma_{i-1}(z - z_{i+2})\right] - Y_{i-1}E_{i-1}^{-} \exp\left[\gamma_{i-1}(z - z_{i+2})\right] \right\} \sin(m\pi y/y_{0}) \overline{a}_{y}$$
(4.23)

where, now z is restricted to the range, $z_{i-2} \leq z \leq z_{i-1}$.

Since the tangential electric field intensity must be continuous across a boundary (Figure 4.2),

$$\overline{E}(i-1)x = \overline{E}_{ix} \text{ at } z = z_{i-1}$$
(4.24)

requires that

$$E_{i-1}^{+} \exp\left[-\gamma_{i-1}(z_{i-1} - z_{i-2})\right] + E_{i-1}^{-} \exp\left[\gamma_{i-1}(z_{i-1} - z_{i-2})\right] = E_{i}^{+} + E_{i}^{-}.$$
 (4.25)

Since the magnetic field intensity must be continuous across a boundary on which no surface current flows,

$$\overline{H}(i-1)x = \overline{H}_{ix} \text{ at } z = z_{i-1}$$
 (4.26)

requires that,

$$Y_{i-1}E_{i-1}^{+} \exp\left[-Y_{i-1}(z_{i-1} - z_{i-2})\right]$$

- $Y_{i-1}E_{i-1}^{-} \exp\left[Y_{i-1}(z_{i-1} - z_{i-2})\right] = Y_{i}E_{i}^{+} - Y_{i}E_{i}^{-}.$ (4.27)

Let

$$\Delta z_{i-1} = (z_{i-1} - z_{i-2})$$
(4.28)

where z_{i-1} is the thickness, in meters, of the $(i-1)^{th}$ medium. It is <u>not</u> necessary that the inhomogeneous region be subdivided into sections of equal thickness, however, this is usually the easiest method of subdivision.

Solving equations (4.25) and (4.27) simultaneously yields

$$E_{i-1}^{+} = (1 + Y_{i}/Y_{i-1}) \exp(\gamma_{i-1} \Delta z_{i-1}) E_{i}^{+}/2 + (1 - Y_{i}/Y_{i-1}) \exp(\gamma_{i-1} \Delta z_{i-1}) E_{i}^{-}/2$$

$$E_{i-1}^{-} = (1 - Y_{i}/Y_{i-1}) \exp(-\gamma_{i-1} \Delta z_{i-1}) E_{i}^{+}/2 + (1 + Y_{i}/Y_{i-1}) \exp(-\gamma_{i-1} \Delta z_{i-1}) E_{i}^{-}/2$$

$$(4.29)$$

The transmission and reflection coefficients, T_i and R_i , at the boundary between the (i-1)th and ith media are given

by

$$T_{i} = \frac{2Y_{i-1}}{Y_{i-1} + Y_{i}} = \frac{2Z_{i}}{Z_{i-1} + Z_{i}}$$
(4.31)

$$R_{i} = \frac{Y_{i-1} - Y_{i}}{Y_{i-1} + Y_{i}} = \frac{Z_{i} - Z_{i-1}}{Z_{i} + Z_{i-1}}$$
(4.32)

Then equations (4.29) and (4.30) simplify to

$$E_{i-1}^{+} = (1/T_{i}) \left[exp(\gamma_{i-1} \Delta z_{i-1}) E_{i}^{+} + R_{i} exp(\gamma_{i-1} \Delta z_{i-1}) E_{i}^{-} \right]$$
(4.33)

$$E_{i-1}^{-} = (1/T_{i}) \left[R_{i} \exp(\gamma_{i-1} \Delta z_{i-1}) E_{i}^{+} + \exp(-\gamma_{i-1} \Delta z_{i-1}) E_{i}^{-} \right].$$
(4.34)

Using Figure 4.2, it can be seen that equations (4.33) and (4.34) determine the incident and reflected components of \overline{E}_{i-1} at $z = z_{i-2}^+$ in terms of the incident and reflected components of \overline{E}_i at $z = z_{i-1}^+$. Using this procedure repeatedly for each of the (n-2) layers, the electric field intensity in the first medium may be related to the field in the nth medium by the matrix equation,

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_{1}^{+} \\ E_{1}^{-} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/T_{2} & R_{2}/T_{2} \\ R_{2}/T_{2} & 1/T_{2} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n}{T_{1}} \begin{bmatrix} \exp(\gamma_{i-1} \Delta z_{i-1})/T_{i} & R_{i} \exp(\gamma_{i-1} \Delta z_{i-1})/T_{i} \\ R_{i} \exp(-\gamma_{i-1} \Delta z_{i-1})/T_{i} & \exp(-\gamma_{i-1} \Delta z_{i-1})/T_{i} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{n}^{+} \\ E_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(4.35)$$

The next chapter is concerned with the numerical solution of equation (4.35) for various types of inhomogeneities. For the special case of a homogeneously filled waveguide, only one layer (n=3) is required. Assuming that there is no reflected wave in the third medium, $E_3^- = 0$, and equation (4.35) reduces to

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_1^+ \\ E_1^- \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/T_2 & R_2/T_2 \\ R_2/T_2 & 1/T_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \exp(\gamma_2 \, \Delta z_2)/T_3 & R_3 \exp(\gamma_2 \, \Delta z_2)/T_3 \\ R_3 \exp(-\gamma_2 \, \Delta z_2)/T_3 & \exp(-\gamma_2 \, \Delta z_2)/T_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_3^+ \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} . (4.36)$$

V. <u>NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF THE ELECTROMAGNETIC</u> FIELD EQUATIONS FOR VARIOUS TYPES OF HOMOGENEOUS AND INHOMOGENEOUS CONDUCTIVITY VARIATIONS

A. Introduction

The examples discussed in this chapter are concerned either with propagation inside a lossy, dielectrically filled X-band (8.2 GHz to 12.4 GHz) rectangular waveguide or propagation through a semi-infinite, lossy, dielectric slab in free space. In each of the above cases, both homogeneous and inhomogeneous media are considered. Particular emphasis is given to propagation through a homogeneously filled waveguide, since for this case, experiments can be easily conducted to test the theoretical predictions. The following assumptions were made in calculating the results presented:

- (1) $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{0};$
- (2) Only TEM mode for free space examples;

(3) Only TE_{10} mode for waveguide examples. The above assumptions were made for the sake of convenience only, so that the important results would not be confused with additional unnecessary variables. It must be emphasized that although conductivity variations are the main concern in this chapter, the mathematical techniques and computer programs developed by the author are capable of solving problems with arbitrary, simultaneous variations in \mathcal{M} , $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\rm b}$, and $\sigma_{\rm f}$. The computer programs used in calculating and plotting the results are contained in Appendix D.

B. Homogeneous Media

Che of the purposes of this research was to determine the range over which the conductivity of a lossy dielectric must be varied in order to produce a significant change in the reflected and transmitted microwave power. The results of this and related research efforts are discussed in the following three sections.

1. <u>VSWR Versus Conductivity</u>

In this section variations in reflected power, transmitted power, power absorbed, voltage standing wave ratio, attenuation (insertion loss), and angle of reflection and transmission coefficients versus conductivity are presented.

Figures 5.1a through 5.1g illustrate the results of a typical waveguide example. Large variations in reflected, transmitted, and absorbed powers occur only over a two orderof-magnitude variation in the conductivity. However, this range of conductivity variation is different for the reflected, absorbed, and transmitted powers. Using the 10 GHz curve, the reflected power variation occurs over a range of 1.0 mhos/m to 100 mhos/m, whereas, the transmitted power variation occurs over a range of 0.1 mhos/m to 10 mhos/m. The absorbed power variation overlaps portions of the above two ranges, and is centered about 2.0 mhos/m. This indicates that the transmitted and reflected powers may be modulated independently. The value of conductivity



FIGURE 5.1a. Relative power reflected versus conductivity for short section of dielectrically filled waveguide

ξ



FIGURE 5.1b. Relative power transmitted versus conductivity for a dielectrically filled waveguide



FIGURE 5.1c. Relative power absorbed versus conductivity for a dielectrically filled waveguide





42.



FIGURE 5.1e. Attenuation of transmitted wave versus conductivity for a dielectrically filled waveguide



FIGURE 5.1f. Angle of reflection coefficient versus conductivity for a dielectrically filled waveguide





corresponding to $\sigma = \omega \epsilon$ determines the center of the conductivity range about which the different power variations occur. The important point to note is that very little change in microwave power is produced unless the conductivity is varied over a specific, limited range. This range varies with frequency, dielectric constant, sample thickness, and guide dimensions. The possibility of limited phase modulation is indicated in Figures 5.1f and 5.1g. The 1 GHz curve does not follow the normal pattern because this frequency is below the cutoff frequency of the dielectrically filled guide (f = 2.08 GHz). For frequencies well above cutoff (f > $2f_c$), the curves shift to the left as the frequency is reduced. As the cutoff frequency is approached the curves shift back to the right as shown in Figure 5.2. The peculiar shape of the 2 GHz and 4 GHz curves is caused by the variation in impedance matching with changing conductivity. These curves illustrate an important practical point. The range of conductivity that can be measured by a test setup consisting of one size of waveguide can be greatly increased by operating the sample filled portion of the waveguide both above and below cutoff, while always operating the remainder of the waveguide above This would require that the waveguide be filled with cutoff. a lossless dielectric of higher dielectric constant than that of the sample. For example, to measure conductivities near 100 mhos/m, dielectrically filled K-band (18.0 GHz to 26.5 GHz) waveguide operating around 3 GHz could be used instead



FIGURE 5.2. Voltage standing wave ratio versus conductivity for a dielectrically filled waveguide

of the extremely small air filled waveguide required at 100 GHz.

Part of the results for microwave propagation through a lossy, semi-infinite slab in free space is shown in Figures 5.3a through 5.3d. The 10 GHz and 100 GHz curves correspond almost exactly to the curves from the waveguide example. This is as expected since in the waveguide example both frequencies are well above cutoff and the dielectric constant is high, hence, the size of the waveguide has only a minor influence on the curves. The difference in the position of the 1 GHz curve for the free space and waveguide examples is also evident. For TE polarization, the effect of increasing the angle of incidence shifts all curves in Figure 5.3 slightly to the left.

Figures 5.4a and 5.4b illustrate the variation in VSWR and attenuation with conductivity for a thin Si wafer placed transverse to the direction of propagation in rectangular, X-band waveguide. The use of such calculated data in conjunction with experimental VSWR measurements has resulted in the accurate determination of the conductivity of various Si samples by the author. This measurement technique could be easily adapted to large scale, automatic operation. This subject is discussed in more detail in Chapter VII.

2. VGWR Versus Sample Thickness

Figures 5.5a through 5.5f show the effect of varying







FIGURE 5.3b. Relative power transmitted versus conductivity for a semiinfinite slab in free space



FIGURE 5.3c. Relative power absorbed versus conductivity for a semi-infinite slab in free space



FIGURE 5.3d. Voltage standing wave ratio versus conductivity for a semi-infinite slab in free space



FIGURE 5.4a. Voltage standing wave ratio versus conductivity for a thin Si wafer inside a waveguide



FIGURE 5.4b. Attenuation versus conductivity for a thin Si wafer inside a waveguide


Sample Thickness, mm





Sample Thickness, mm

FIGURE 5.5b. Relative power reflected versus sample thickness for a dielectrically filled waveguide



- .

Sample Thickness, mm

FIGURE 5.5c. Relative power absorbed versus sample thickness for a dielectrically filled waveguide



FIGURE 5.5d. Angle of reflection coefficient versus sample thickness for a dielectrically filled waveguide



FIGURE 5.5e. Angle of transmission coefficient versus sample thickness for a dielectrically filled waveguide



Sample Thickness, mm

FIGURE 5.5f. Attenuation versus sample thickness for a dielectrically filled waveguide

sample thickness for different values of conductivity of a dielectrically filled waveguide. The $\sigma = 0.0$ mhos/m curve was compared with hand calculated results. This was one of several checks used to test the validity of the computer calculated data. Figure 5.5a indicates a slight shift of the transmitted power peaks to smaller sample thicknesses for increasing conductivity. Figure 5.5b indicates that the reflected power, and hence the VSWR, may either increase or decrease with increasing conductivity depending upon the sample thickness. Figures 5.5d and 5.5e can be used to determine the sample thicknesses at which changes in the angle of the reflection coefficient or the angle of the transmission coefficient with conductivity are a maximum. Finally, Figure 5.5f verifies the intuitive suspicion that changes in transmitted power with conductivity have a relative maximum at each sample thickness corresponding to approximately $N\lambda_{gm}/2$, where λ_{gm} is the guide wavelength in the lossless dielectric. For example, with a sample thickness of 4,88 mm and dark conductivity of 0.5 mhos/m the insertion loss is 2.5 db. For many photoconductive materials the conductivity can be easily increased by at least one order-of-magnitude. Assuming the conductivity is increased to 5.0 mhos/m, the insertion loss would increase to 17 db with a net change in transmitted power of 14.5 db.

Further theoretical investigation, stimulated by experimental observation, indicated that changes in the real part of the permittivity can also be a very effective means of modulating the reflected and transmitted powers as shown in Figures 5.6a through 5.6e. It was observed experimentally that in some cases the transmitted power increased with increasing light intensity. This can be explained either by a negative photoconductivity effect or a change in the dielectric constant. The possibility of negative photoconductivity was eliminated when it was found that for a given sample the transmitted power increased for some values of sample thickness and decreased for others, with increasing light intensity. Figures 5.6a and 5.6b easily explain such experimental observations. Assuming a small (10-20%) change in dielectric constant, the transmitted power may increase or decrease by as much as approximately 6 db depending upon the sample thickness. Figures 5.6d and 5.6e also indicate that more effective phase modulation can be produced by changes in dielectric constant than by changes in conductivity. Unfortunately, for most materials at microwave frequencies it is easier to change the conductivity by an order-of-magnitude than it is to change the dielectric constant by 10%. Note in Figure 5.6a that the effect of small changes in dielectric constant could be eliminated by the use of a 3.0 mm thick sample.

Figure 5.7 illustrates the change in power transmitted through a short section of dielectrically filled waveguide







FIGURE 5.6b. Attenuation versus sample thickness for a dielectrically filled waveguide

. .



Sample Thickness, mm

FIGURE 5.6c. Voltage standing wave ratio versus sample thickness for a dielectrically filled waveguide



FIGURE 5.6d. Angle of reflection coefficient versus sample thickness for a dielectrically filled waveguide



FIGURE 5.6e. Angle of transmission coefficient versus sample thickness for a dielectrically filled waveguide



Sample Thickness, mm

FIGURE 5.7. Relative transmitted power versus sample thickness for a dielectrically filled waveguide

for simultaneous increases in the conductivity and dielectric constant of the material.

3. <u>VCWR Versus Frequency</u>

It was observed experimentally that, for a given sample and fixed conductivity variation, the attenuation and VSWR produced varied radically with the operating microwave frequency. This necessitated a theoretical investigation of photoconductivity effects over the entire X-band range. Figures 5.8a through 5.8e illustrate the results for a typical example. Figures 5.8a and 5.8e indicate that for a particular sample thickness there are certain frequency ranges over which the attenuation changes are a maximum. The frequencies at which maximum change occur correspond approximately to wavelengths that are an integral multiple of twice the sample thickness. This was demonstrated previously in Figure 5.5a. Figures 5.8b and 5.8d predict that with increasing conductivity the VSWR may either increase or decrease depending upon the operating frequency. This result was verified experimentally. These same two figures also indicate the frequencies at which variations in reflected power and VSWR are minimized. This information was helpful while performing the free carrier lifetime measurements, since changes in the reflected power due to increased conductivity had to be made negligible.

For the examples investigated, the conductivity was assumed to be independent of frequency. The reconance phenomena observed experimentally and discussed in the next







FIGURE 5.8b. Relative power reflected versus frequency for a dielectrically filled waveguide

71



FIGURE 5.8c. Relative power absorbed versus frequency for a dielectrically filled waveguide



FIGURE 5.8d. Voltage standing wave ratio versus frequency for a dielectrically filled waveguide

73



FIGURE 5.8e. Attenuation of transmitted wave versus frequency for a dielectrically filled waveguide

chapter demonstrates that additional research is required before the theoretically predicted variations with frequency agree with the observed variations. A frequency dependent complex permittivity similar to that derived in Chapter III, equation (3.47), should yield theoretical predictions in closer agreement with experiment.

C. Inhomogeneous Media

Various types of linear, parabolic, and exponential conductivity variations were considered. The results for propagation in X-band, rectangular waveguide are summarized in Table 5.1. In the table, the term "positive slope" indicates that the conductivity is increasing in the direction of propagation. Some of the important points indicated by the tabulated data are:

1) The total amount of attenuation produced by a given taper is the same for both positive and negative slopes (see examples 1 and 2, or 4 and 5, etc.). This is as expected, since for each pair of examples the networks are reciprocal. This provides an additional check on the theory and computer programming.

2) In most cases the input voltage standing wave ratio is much less for the positive slope than for the negative slope. However, this is not always true. This does indicate that in most cases illumination of the sample from a direction opposite to the direction of propagation is desirable, since the same amount of attenuation can be produced at a much lower input VSWR.

TABLE 5.1. Summary of computer results for various forms of conductivity variations

.

General Form of Inhomogeneity	Equation For $\sigma(z)$ $(0 \le z \le 0.005 \text{ m})$	σ(z) _{avg} (mhos/m)	VSWR at Input	Percent of power Absorbed	Attn. of Transmitted Power (db)
Linear Taper Positive Slope	$\sigma(z) = 2 \times 10^4 z$	50	7.41	41.9	63.24
Linear Taper Negative Slope	$\sigma(z) = 100 - 2 \times 10^4 z$	50	23.93	15.4	63.24
Constant	$\sigma(z) = 50$	50	16.99	21.0	68.84
Parabolic Taper Positive Slope	$\sigma(z) = 10^5 z^2$	0.834	2.27	49.3	4.50
Parabolic Taper Negative Slope	$\sigma(z) = 10^5 (z - 0.005)^2$	0.834	2.17	50.8	4.50
Constant	$\sigma(z) = 0.834$	0.834	2.00	50.4	4.15
Parabolic Taper Positive Slope	$\sigma(z) = 10^6 z^2$	8.34	2.96	74.8	21.03
Parabolic Taper Negative Slope	$\sigma(z) = 10^6 (z - 0.005)^2$	8.34	10.48	31.0	21.03
Exponential Taper Positive Slope	σ(z) = 10exp 1500(z - 0.005)	1.33	3.61	50.6	7.62
Exponential Taper Negative Slope	$\sigma(z) = 10 \exp(-1.500z)$	1.33	3.60	50.8	7.62
Exponential Taper Positive Slope	σ(z) = 100exp 1500(z - 0.005)	13.33	5.06	54.9	26.28
Exponential Taper Positive Slope	$\sigma(z) = 100 exp(-1500z)$	13.33	20.50	17.50	26.28
	General Form of Inhomogeneity Linear Taper Positive Slope Linear Taper Negative Slope Constant Parabolic Taper Positive Slope Parabolic Taper Negative Slope Constant Parabolic Taper Positive Slope Parabolic Taper Negative Slope Exponential Taper Positive Slope Exponential Taper Negative Slope Exponential Taper Positive Slope Exponential Taper Positive Slope Exponential Taper Positive Slope	General Form of InhomogeneityEquation For $\sigma(z)$ $(0 \le z \le 0.005 \text{ m})$ Linear Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 2x10^4 z$ Linear Taper Negative Slope $\sigma(z) = 100 - 2x10^4 z$ Constant $\sigma(z) = 50$ Parabolic Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 10^5 z^2$ Parabolic Taper Negative Slope $\sigma(z) = 10^5 (z - 0.005)^2$ Constant $\sigma(z) = 0.834$ Parabolic Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 10^6 z^2$ Parabolic Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 10^6 z^2$ Parabolic Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 10^6 (z - 0.005)^2$ Exponential Taper Negative Slope $\sigma(z) = 100(z - 0.005)^2$ Exponential Taper Negative Slope $\sigma(z) = 100(z - 0.005)$ Exponential Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 100exp(-1500z)$ Exponential Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 100exp(-1500z)$ Exponential Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 100exp(-1500z)$	General Form of InhomogeneityEquation For $\sigma(z)$ For $\sigma(z)$ ($0 \le z \le 0.005 \text{ m}$) $\sigma(z)_{avg}$ ($mhos/m$)Linear Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 2x10^4 z$ $\sigma(z) = 2x10^4 z$ 50Linear Taper Negative Slope $\sigma(z) = 100 - 2x10^4 z$ $\sigma(z) = 50$ 50Parabolic Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 10^5 z^2$ 0.834Parabolic Taper Negative Slope $\sigma(z) = 10^5 (z - 0.005)^2$ 0.834Constant $\sigma(z) = 10^5 (z - 0.005)^2$ 0.834Parabolic Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 10^6 z^2$ 8.34Parabolic Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 10^6 (z - 0.005)^2$ 8.34Parabolic Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 10^6 (z - 0.005)^2$ 8.34Exponential Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 100(z - 0.005)$ 1.33Exponential Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 10exp(-1500z)$ 1.33Exponential Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 100exp(-1500z)$ 13.33Exponential Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 100exp(-1500z)$ 13.33	General Form of InhomogeneityEquation For $\sigma(z)$ For $\sigma(z)$ $\sigma(z)_{avg}$ vSWR at InputLinear Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 2x10^4 z$ 50 7.41 Linear Taper Negative Slope $\sigma(z) = 100 - 2x10^4 z$ 50 23.93 Constant $\sigma(z) = 50$ 50 16.99 Parabolic Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 10^5 z^2$ 0.834 2.27 Parabolic Taper Negative Slope $\sigma(z) = 10^5 (z - 0.005)^2$ 0.834 2.17 Constant $\sigma(z) = 0.834$ 0.834 2.00 Parabolic Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 10^6 z^2$ 8.34 2.96 Parabolic Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 10^6 (z - 0.005)^2$ 8.34 10.48 Exponential Taper Negative Slope $\sigma(z) = 10exp(-1500z)$ 1.33 3.60 Exponential Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 10exp(-1500z)$ 13.33 5.06 Exponential Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 100exp(-1500z)$ 13.33 20.50	General Form of InhomogeneityEquation For $\sigma(z)$ $\sigma(z)_{avg}$ ($0 \le z \le 0.005 \text{ m}$)Percent of power (mhos/m)Linear Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 2x10^4 z$ 507.4141.9Linear Taper Negative Slope $\sigma(z) = 100 - 2x10^4 z$ 5023.9315.4Constant $\sigma(z) = 50$ 5016.9921.0Parabolic Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 10^5 z^2$ 0.8342.2749.3Parabolic Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 10^5 (z - 0.005)^2$ 0.8342.1750.8Constant $\sigma(z) = 0.834$ 0.8342.0050.4Parabolic Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 10^6 z^2$ 8.342.9674.8Parabolic Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 10^6 (z - 0.005)^2$ 8.3410.4831.0Exponential Taper Negative Slope $\sigma(z) = 10exp(-1500z)$ 1.333.6050.8Exponential Taper Negative Slope $\sigma(z) = 10exp(-1500z)$ 1.335.0654.9Exponential Taper Positive Slope $\sigma(z) = 100exp(-1500z)$ 13.3320.5017.50

3) In some experiments, such as microwave lifetime measurements (Chapter VII), it is necessary that the changes in transmitted power be due primarily to power absorption by the sample rather than power reflection. The data indicates that the percent of the power absorbed is usually greater for the case of a positive slope than a negative slope. This is not always true. The situation may be reversed for values of average conductivity and sample thickness different from that used in Table 5.1. The important point is that there <u>can</u> be a large difference in the power absorbed for the two cases, and each specific **example** must be investigated to determine the best direction from which to illuminate the sample.

The data in Table 5.1 was calculated on the basis of each inhomogeneity being subdivided into 20 equal length, homogeneous sections. Other programs using only 10 equal length sections yielded data in agreement with that shown to the first decimal place. This indicates that for the inhomogeneities investigated, rather crude approximations to the actual conductivity variations can result in surprisingly accurate answers.

VI. <u>EXPERIMENTAL MEASUREMENT OF VARIOUS</u> <u>MICROWAVE PROPERTIES OF CADMIUM SULFIDE</u>

A. Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to describe and explain the results of several microwave experiments performed on CdS. These experiments include measurement of VSWR, attenuation, and phase shift produced by photoexcited CdS at various frequencies. The microwave measurement of the free electron lifetime has been reserved for the next chapter.

B. <u>Cadmium Sulfide</u>

CdS is a yellow-orange, brittle, crystalline solid of hexagonal structure. Typical values for a few of the important electrical properties of CdS are shown in Table 6.1. It must be emphasized that some of the quantities may deviate considerably from the indicated value depending upon the number and type of impurities, method of preparation, surface conditions, etc. The CdS samples used in this research were kindly supplied by Mr. J. Powderly of the Eagle-Picher Company. Figure 6.1 is a photograph of a few of the samples used, and Table 6.2 indicates the manufacturers lot number and resistivity. Since CdS is also an anisotropic, piezoelectric, acoustically active material, it was expected that the isotropic theory presented in the earlier chapters would not be able to explain all of the experimental results. Even so, the experimental results agreed qualitatively with the theoretical predictions except



A few of the CdS samples used in this research FIGURE 6.1.

TABLE 6.1. Typical values for some important electrical properties of undoped, singlecrystalline cadmium sulfide

Energy gap	2.42 ev
Electron mobility	0.30 m ² /volt-sec
Hole mobility	0.03 m ² /volt-sec
Electron lifetime	10 ⁻¹ sec
Hole lifetime	10 ⁻⁶ sec

Relative dielectric constant 10

TABLE 6.2. Manufacturers lot number and resistivity for some of the CdS samples used in this research

CdS Sample	Eagle-Picher Co.	Dark
No.	Lot No.	Resistivity (ohms-cm)
1	211 - 8 - P	
2	211 - 8 - P	
3	211 - 8 - P	
4	211 - 8 - P	
5	211 - 27 - S	2.9x10 ³
6	006 - 27 - P	5.35x10 ³
?	211 - 8 - P	
8	B - 10	4.8x10 ³
9	B - 12	2.8x10 ³
10	B - 14	2.14x10 ⁵
11	B - 10	4.8x10 ³
12	010 - 25 - S	1.0×10^{2}

.

in the case of an unexplained resonance phenomena observed in some samples.

C. Microwave Cavity Measurements

In an attempt to observe the photodielectric effect in CdS at microwave frequencies, a small sample was placed in a hollow rectangular cavity. Sample illumination was provided through a non-radiating hole in the broadside of the cavity. The experiment was unsuccessful for two reasons:

1) The relatively high conductivity (10^{-2} mhos/m) of the CdS sample resulted in a low cavity Q. This made the cavity bandwidth approximately equal to the bandwidth of one mode of the reflex klystron which was used as the microwave source. Hence, the center frequency of the cavity could not be accurately determined.

2) The ratio of sample volume to cavity volume was so small that even if the relative dielectric constant of the sample were changed by as much as 10% the resulting shift in center frequency of the cavity would be practically immeasurable. The ratio of volumes could not be increased without further reducing the cavity Q. This failure clearly indicated some of the limitations of measuring conductivity and dielectric constant by the shift in center frequency and change in Q of a microwave cavity.

D. Attenuation Versus Light Wavelength

An experiment was conducted to determine the energy gap of CdS by means of microwave power absorption. CdS

samples No. 6 and 8 were separately placed in an X-band slotted waveguide section operating at 10.0 GHz. (See the insert of Figure 6.2 for an illustration of the sample orientation.) A monochromator was used for photoexcitation, and the microwave absorption versus light wavelength was measured. A peak in microwave absorption was clearly evident in each case. From the light wavelengths corresponding to these peaks the energy gaps of samples No. 6 and 8 were determined to be 2.24 ev and 2.28 ev, respectively, by using

$$E_{\sigma} = 1.24/\lambda, \qquad (6.1)$$

where E_g is the energy gap in electron volts and λ is the wavelength in microns (10⁻⁶ m). The <u>typical</u> value indicated in Table 6.1 is 2.42 ev. A visual check of the absorption edge for samples No. 6 and 8 yielded band gaps of 2.30 ev and 2.32 ev, respectively, which are in excellent agreement with the microwave photoconductivity measurements.

E. <u>VSWR Versus Frequency</u>

In the process of performing standard VSWR versus frequency tests on several samples, an unexpected phenomenon was observed. A block diagram and photograph of the experimental arrangement are shown in Figures 6.2 and 6.3, respectively. The results for various samples are plotted in Figures 6.4 through 6.8. Only a few samples were tested since, due to the lack of an automatic sweep oscillator, data had to be taken by a time consuming point by point method. The "resonance" phenomena appeared in four out of the five



Cooling Fan

FIGURE 6.2. Block diagram of the experimental microwave arrangement used for VSWR versus frequency measurements of the CdS samples



FIGURE 6.3. Apparatus used for the VSWR versus frequency measure-





FIGURE 6.5. VSWR versus frequency for CdS sample No. 4







FIGURE 6.7. VSWR versus frequency for CdS sample No. 10



samples tested. Some of the possible explanations are discussed below.

1) An explanation based on the formation of a resonant dielectric cavity was eliminated because of the shape of the VSWR versus frequency curve. If a resonant cavity existed, this would produce a dip but little or no rise in the VSWR curve. Also, changes in sample thickness do not seem to be simply related to the changes produced in the resonant frequencies.

2) The best explanation at present is that the phenomena is due to the plasma resonance of the free electrons. Moss (85) gives a theoretically calculated curve of reflectivity versus frequency for plasma resonance in a semiconductor with a relative dielectric constant of 14. This curve is very similar in shape to those in Figures 6.4 through 6.7. Equations (3.36) and (3.37) indicated that the complex free carrier conductivity was given by

$$\sigma_{f} = \frac{\omega^{2} \omega_{p}^{2} \epsilon_{o}^{2} \tau_{c}}{(L \omega_{p}^{2} - \omega^{2})^{2} + (\omega / \tau_{c})^{2}}$$
(6.1)

$$\sigma_{f}^{"} = \frac{-\omega \omega_{p}^{2} \epsilon_{o} (L \omega_{p}^{2} - \omega^{2})}{(L \omega_{p}^{2} - \omega^{2})^{2} + (\omega / c_{c})^{2}},$$
(6.2)

Different values of the depolarization factor L along the three rectangular sample axes could account for the presence of more than one resonant frequency. Table 6.3 indicates remarkable agreement between the ratio of the two observed resonant frequencies for each sample and the
TABLE 6.3. Comparison of resonant frequencies and depolarization factors for several CdS samples

Sample No.	Dimension a(in.)	Dimension b(in.)	Dimension c(in.)	ω_{r1} (GHz)	ω_{r^2} (GHz)	ω_{r1}/ω_{r2}	$(L_a/L_b)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
2	0.236	0.20	0.65	10.6	11.6	0.914	0.92
2	0.20	0.20	0.65	11.6	9.25	1.26	1.19
4	0.18	0.27	0.68	11.5	9•4	1.222	1.225
4	0.15	0.27	0.68	12.28	9.68	1.27	1.34
5	0.234	0.34	0.71	12.1	10.45	1.16	1.18
10	0.20	0.30	0.88	11.6	9•3	1.25	1.225





square root of the ratio of the two depolarization factors L_a and L_b . However, for sample No. 4 when the "a" dimension was reduced from 0.480 in. to 0.150 in., the theory predicted that ω_{r1} should increase and ω_{r2} should decrease. Experiment confirmed that ω_{r1} did increase by the predicted amount, however, ω_{r2} appeared to also increase. The actual variation in the lower resonant frequency ω_{r2} was difficult to determine from the experimental data because the VSWR was high over a considerable frequency range.

3) Other possible explanations are permanent dipole relaxation or excitation of a transverse optical phonon mode. The latter explanation in terms of an acoustical mode is a definite possibility since CdS is a partially ionic compound and the data in Table 6.3 indicates a relationship between the resonant frequencies and the sample dimensions. CdS is frequently used as a transducer for converting microwave photons to microwave phonons.

The only reliable conclusion that can be drawn is that there is definitely a strong resonance phenomena present but the experimental data is too limited to permit a determination of the cause. This is a very interesting problem requiring extensive additional research.

F. Attenuation and Phase Shift Measurements

The purpose of this section is to describe the experimental results of the attenuation and phase shift produced at X-band by various photoexcited CdS samples.

Figure 6.9 illustrates the increased attenuation produced by CdS sample No. 2 under moderate (40 foot-candles) illumination inside a slotted line section of X-band waveguide. The changes in power were measured directly by a thermistor and microwave power meter combination. Care was taken to repeatedly rezero the power meter. The figure indicates a small (0.2 db) average attenuation at all frequencies in the X-band range with large variations superimposed at the "resonant" frequencies. This data confirmed the resonance phenomena described in the previous section. The exact dark conductivity of this sample was unknown, however, approximate dc resistance measurements indicated that the conductivity was 10^{-5} mhos/m. The theoretical calculations from Chapter V demonstrated that the conductivity must be increased into the range of 0.1 mhos/m to 10 mhos/m in order to produce significant attenuation of a 10 GHz microwave signal. Under the illumination used, the conductivity of the sample could be increased to a maximum of approximately 10^{-2} mhos/m. This limitation coupled with the fact that the sample filled only one-third of the waveguide cross section explains the reason for the low average attenuation. This emphasizes the importance of knowing the range over which the conductivity must be varied in order to produce attenuation. The theoretical calculations in Chapter V are a necessary prerequisite to the design of any photoconductive microwave devices.

A microwave bridge arrangement (shown in Figures 6.10





FIGURE 6.10. Block diagram of the microwave bridge arrangement used for the attenuation and phase shift measurements on CdS

and 6.11) was setup to permit simultaneous measurement of the changes in attenuation and phase shift produced by photoexcited CdS. Isolators and attenuators were inserted at critical points in the bridge to insure a low VSWR where required. The results for sample No. 2 are shown in Figure 6.12, The small average attenuation revealed by direct power measurement is not shown in Figure 6.12 because of the lower sensitivity of the bridge measurements. The shape of the attenuation and phase shift curves are similar to the results expected for resonance absorption and anomalous dispersion. The small shift in the resonant frequencies between Figures 6.9 and 6.12 could be due to any one or a combination of such factors as temperature changes, slightly different crystal orientation inside the waveguide, use of two different frequency meters, etc. Typical values for the changes in attenuation and phase shift produced by other samples at a single frequency are shown in Table 6.4. In each case, the frequency used was outside the influence of the "resonance" phenomena. Very little correlation can be expected between the change in attenuation produced by a sample and its dark conductivity, because the theoretical calculations from Chapter V indicate that the sample thickness is also a very important parameter. Correlation could be expected only if all the samples were of the same thickness and completely filled the waveguide cross section. The reasons for the small changes in attenuation shown in Table 6.4 are; too low a value of dark conductivity, non-optimum



FIGURE 6.11. Microwave bridge apparatus used for the attenuation and phase shift measurements on CdS



TABLE 6.4. Typical values for the change in microwave attenuation and phase shift produced by illuminated CdS samples

Sample No.	Attenuation Change (db)	Phase Shift Change (deg.)	Test Frequency (GHz)
2	+0.15	+0	9.98
4	+1.05	+4	8.365
6	+1.7	-6	10.013
8	-1.85	-27	10.013
9	+0.13	+2	10.013
10	0	0	10.0

Note: A + sign indicates that that quantity increased when going from the dark to the illuminated condition.

sample thickness, and, most important, insufficient filling of the waveguide cross section. The experimental measurements indicate that for the optimum conditions dictated by the theory of Chapter V it should be possible to obtain at least 10 db of microwave attenuation by photoexcitation of CdS crystals.

G. Experiments with CdS Crystalline Powder

The problems with growing large single crystals of CdS make the use of crystalline powder or sintered layers desirable. The large surface area to volume ratio for a powder usually results in a free carrier lifetime one to two orders-of-magnitude less than that for a single crystal. This produces a corresponding decrease in the light to dark conductivity ratio. Unfortunately, this means that the attenuation changes produced by the powdered form will be only 1/100 to 1/10 of that produced by the single crystal.

Figure 6.13 shows the apparatus used for compressing the powdered material. Various binders were tried. The binder most commonly used in the literature was a 3^m mixture of ethyl cellulose in diacetone alchol. This resulted in an easily scratched powder possessing practically no mechanical strength. The author found that the use of a small amount of polystyrene plastic cement resulted in a strong, durable powder. With either binder the changes in attenuation due to illumination were barely detectable (typically 0.05 db at 40 foot-candles). Considerable research with powdered materials is required. It may be possible through



FIGURE 6.13. Device used for compressing CdS powder

the interaction of microwaves and photoexcited powders to measure the interfacial polarization and the surface lifetime.

VII. <u>MICROWAVE MEASUREMENT OF THE FREE CARRIER LIFETIME</u> AND COMPLEX PERMITTIVITY OF SEMICONDUCTING MATERIALS

A. Introduction .

The purpose of this chapter is two-fold:

1) Develop the theory for the microwave measurement of free carrier lifetimes, and then present the experimentally measured results for dc and microwave lifetimes of electrons in CdS;

2) Discuss some of the methods used and experimental difficulties encountered in the measurement of complex permittivity, and then present experimental results for the conductivity measurements on thin silicon wafers.

Both of the topics discussed in this chapter are of extreme practical importance. The free carrier lifetime, conductivity, and dielectric constant are key parameters which determine most of the electrical properties of any material. A detailed understanding of both derived theory and experimental techniques are required in order to make accurate measurements of any one of the above three parameters.

B. Lifetime Measurement

1. dc Lifetime

The circuit used to measure the dc lifetime of the photoexcited majority carriers in CdS is presented in Figure 7.1, and a photograph of the experimental apparatus is shown in Figure 7.2. The output voltage is determined by



FIGURE 7.1. Circuit used to measure the dc lifetime of CdS



FIGURE 7.2. Experimental apparatus used to measure the dc lifetime of electrons in CdS

$$v_{o}(t) = \frac{VR_{L}}{R_{s}(t) + R_{L}}$$
 (7.1)

Immediately after the cessation of a light pulse the time varying sample resistance is

$$R_{s}(t) = \frac{L}{\sigma A} = \frac{L}{q \, \mathcal{U}_{n} n_{o} + (\Delta n) \exp(-t/\boldsymbol{\tau}_{n}) A}, \qquad (7.2)$$

where n_0 and Δn represent the dark equilibrium density and photoexcited density of free electrons, respectively, L and A are the length and cross sectional area of the rectangular sample, and \mathcal{C}_n is the electron lifetime. For the CdS samples tested n_0 was 10¹⁶ to 10¹⁷ electrons/m³ and Δn was 10^{19} to 10^{20} electrons/m³. Hence, $R_s(t)$ can be accurately approximated by

$$R_{s}(t) \approx K_{1} \exp(t/\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{n}).$$
 (7.3)

Choosing the load resistor R_L much less than $R_s(t)$ yields $v_o(t) \approx K_2 \exp(-t/\mathfrak{C}_n)$. (7.4)

The electron lifetime can be measured from a photograph of the exponentially decaying voltage waveform on the oscilloscope. Typical photographs are shown in Figure 7.3. Logarithmic plots of the voltage decay data from the two photographs are presented in Figure 7.4. The initial lifetimes for samples No. 2 and 5 are 42 msec and 19.5 msec, respectively. In most cases exponential decays with two different time constants (lifetimes) were observed. These different lifetimes are easily explained in terms of trapping effects (86). The lifetime was found to decrease with increasing light intensity as indicated by Ryvkin (87). The



(a)



(b)

FIGURE 7.3. dc lifetime measurement of CdS. (a) Sample No. 2, Vert. = 10 mvolts/cm, Horz. = 20 msec/cm; (b) Sample No. 5, Vert. = 10 mvolts/cm, Horz. = 10 msec/cm. (Bottom line is reference.)



FIGURE 7.4. dc measurement of electron lifetime for CdS samples No. 2 and 5 from exponential voltage decay

extent to which surface conditions affected the lifetime was not investigated. This experimental procedure can be considered accurate only for times up to approximately two or three initial time constants. For times after this, the assumption that

 $(\Delta n) \exp(-t/\mathcal{T}_n) \gg n_0,$ (7.5) used in deriving equation (7.5), may no longer be valid.

Indium contacts were formed on the CdS samples by pressing thin wafers of In on each end of the samples and then passing a large current (10 mA) at high voltage (400 V) through the illuminated sample for a few seconds. Illumination of the contacts during the lifetime measurements produced less than a 10% change in the lifetime.

2. Microwave Lifetime

A detailed derivation of the time varying microwave power transmitted through a section of rectangular waveguide filled with a linear, isotropic, homogeneous material with a time varying conductivity is presented in Appendix E. A thorough understanding of this derivation, including the assumptions, is a necessary prerequisite to making accurate experimental measurements. The resulting expression for the transmitted power is

 $P_t(t) \approx P_o \exp[Kexp(-t/2_n)],$ (7.6) where P_o and K are constants defined in the Appendix. In the literature review it was pointed out that many investigators are using an oversimplified expression for $P_t(t)$ which neglects the transmission and reflection coefficients at the material boundaries. This, plus violation of the assumption that $\sigma < \omega \epsilon$, results in questionable experimental data for much of the work published to date.

The results presented in this dissertation are for low conductivity, single crystals of CdS. A block diagram and photograph of the experimental apparatus are shown in Figures 7.5 and 7.6, respectively. The power incident on the CdS crystals, P_i , was adjusted such that the change in the voltage output from the microwave detector was proportional to $\log_{10}[P_t(t)/P_i]$. Thus, the detector voltage displayed on the oscilloscope was of the form

 $v(t) = V_0 + K_1 \exp(-t/\tau_n)$, (7.7)where V represents a time independent reference level. The free electron lifetime, \boldsymbol{c}_n , can be determined from the time constant of the exponential portion of v(t). A typical photograph is shown in Figure 7.7, and the results for CdS samples No. 2 and 5 are plotted in Figure 7.8. Both samples have brief (10 msec) initial exponential decays with time constants approximately 50% longer than their respective dc measured values, followed by long (150 msec) exponential decays each with a time constant of approximately 200 msec. All samples tested followed this same general pattern. A major reason for the difference in the initial lifetime measured at dc and that measured at microwave frequencies is the difference in illumination conditions. In order to satisfy the assumptions for each type of measurement, the illumination was strong in the dc case and weak in the







FIGURE 7.6. Experimental apparatus used for the microwave measurement of the electron lifetime in CdS



FIGURE 7.7. Microwave lifetime measurement of CdS sample No. 2. Vert. = 10 mvolts/cm, Horz. = 20 msec/cm. (Top line is reference level.)



т. К., П. <u>1</u>



microwave case. Normally, the measured lifetime decreases with increasing light intensity, and, hence it was expected that the dc lifetime would be smaller than the microwave lifetime. The time constant of the second exponential decay could not be accurately measured by the dc technique. The manufacturer's measurements of electron lifetime were not available for the specific crystals tested, however, typical values for the "average" lifetime of crystals of this type are 150 msec to 600 msec (88). There is some doubt about the true cause of the long lifetime measured at microwave frequencies. In the above discussion the cause was assumed to be the trapping and later thermal release of conduction electrons. Even though the measured value of 200 msec falls within the expected range, the author has an intuitive suspicion that such effects as dipole relaxation may have influenced the measured value. Considerable further research is required in this area.

C. Complex Permittivity Measurement

1. General Discussion

1

In general the determination of both the real and imaginary parts of the complex permittivity at a particular frequency requires two independent measurements. Measurement of the magnitude and phase of the reflection coefficient or magnitude and phase of the transmission coefficient are two examples. For a given sample thickness and frequency, the computer calculations of Chapter V could be expanded

into a set of nomographs from which the real and imaginary parts could be easily determined from experimental data. If either the real or imaginary part is known, then only one experimental measurement is required.

The theory used in predicting the experimental results for complex permittivity measurements assumes perfect contact between the sample and the waveguide walls. This is never achieved in practice, since there are usually very small air gaps between the sample and the walls. If either $\sigma_f \geq \omega \epsilon_b$ or $\epsilon_b > 20$ significant errors can result in the measured values of σ_f and ϵ_h . Champlin, et al. (89, 90) have analyzed this problem in considerable detail and proposed a unique solution. If circular waveguide, operating in a mode with the transverse electric field in the radial direction only, is used instead of rectangular waveguide, then there is no electric field across the sample-waveguide junction and potential barriers at the junction have no influence on the measured result. This particular technique could be easily used to measure the conductivity of silicon wafers since they are normally of cir-This would eliminate one of the major cular cross section. sources of error when measuring the conductivity of highly conductive samples.

In the remainder of the chapter, computer calculated results are used in combination with experimental measurements for rectangular waveguide to determine the relative dielectric constant of some lossless dielectrics and the

conductivity of several thin Si wafers.

2. <u>Measurement of the Relative Dielectric Constant of</u> <u>Lossless Dielectrics</u>

The main purpose of this section is to provide additional experimental verification of the theory and computer programs used in Chapter IV and V. Figure 7.9 shows the calculated variation in VSWR with relative dielectric constant for a rectangular waveguide filled with a homogeneous, lossless dielectric. The relative dielectric constant was determined by measuring the VSWR produced by a 5 mm thick sample and then using Figure 7.9. The excellent agreement between the measured values and the manufacturer's published values is evident from Table 7.1.

3. <u>Measurement of the Conductivity of Thin Silicon</u> <u>Wafers</u>

The purpose of this section is to demonstrate the feasability of using microwave techniques to measure the conductivity of Si wafers. The microwave method measures the average conductivity of the bulk material, whereas, the presently used four point probe technique determines the conductivity of only one small section of a wafer. Also, the probe method requires corrections when used on "thin" samples and is more influenced by surface conditions than are the microwave measurements.

Assuming the relative dielectric constant is known ($\epsilon_r = 12$), the conductivity can be determined from the re-



Relative Dielectric Constant

FIGURE 7.9. VSWR versus relative dielectric constant for rectangular waveguide filled with a lossless dielectric

TABLE 7.1. Comparison of experimentally measured and manufacturer specified values of the relative dielectric constant of several lossless dielectrics

Measured*	E _r from	ϵ_r from	
VSWR	Computer Data	Manufacturer's Specifications	
4.3	2.9	3.0	
5.4	3.8	4.0	
3.9	6.7	6.0	
1.41	9•95	10.0	

Note: Sample thickness is 5 mm in each case.

* 10.0 GHz

sults of a simple VSWR measurement. Using an arrangement similar to that shown in Figures 6.2 and 6.3 (with the light source removed), each wafer was placed transverse to the waveguide axis between the two flat flanges connecting the slotted line and the matched load. A production line process for automatic microwave conductivity measurements could be patterned after this technique. The VSWR measurements were used in combination with computer calculated data to determine the conductivity. In Table 7.2, the results of the microwave measurements for several samples are compared with the manufacturer's measured range. The microwave measurements are consistently near the smaller value of the expected range. The uncertainty in the sample thickness could account for this, since an average value was used in the computer calculation. In order to perform highly accurate microwave measurements. the tolerance on the thickness measurements would have to be reduced and the frequency should be adjusted to bring the VSWR into the 1.2 to 1.4 range. By using different frequencies in the 1 GHz to 30 GHz range, conductivities from approximately 0.01 mhos/m to 50 mhos/m could be accurately measured.

TABLE 7.2. Comparison of microwave conductivity measurements with the manufacturer's expected range for several thin Si wafers

Sample Thickness (mils)	Manufacturer's Measured Range (mhos/m)	VSWR	Microwave* Conductivity (mhos/m)	
4.0 - 4.5	5 - 10	1.53	7.1	
1.8 - 2.2	33 - 50	1.87	31	
1.8 - 2.2	11 - 20	1.40	13	
1.8 - 2.2	4 - 6.7	1.21	4.9	
1.8 - 2.2	6.7 - 10	1.24	6.5	
1.7 - 2.3	16.7 - 25	1.43	14	
1.6 - 2.3	36 - 43	2.0	36	
2.0 - 3.0	20.8 - 3.13	1.70	22	
2.5 - 3.3	11.4 - 19	1.62	15	
2.8 - 3.2	29.2 - 48.4	2.25	32	

*9 GHz

VIII. MICROWAVE APPLICATIONS FOR PHOTOCONDUCTIVE MATERIALS

A. Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to briefly describe three new microwave applications for photoconductive materials and to add some new ideas to one existing application. In some cases the results of limited experimental work are described. The author also investigated additional applications such as demodulation of microwave modulated light, variable frequency bandpass filters, microwave mixing, and frequency multiplication, which are not described.

B. Photocontrolled Antenna Array

By using either the photoconductive or photodielectric effect of certain materials it is possible to vary amplitude and phase of the electromagnetic energy radiated from each element in an antenna array. This can be accomplished by placing a properly shaped sample of the material in each feed line leading to the individual elements, in a manner similar to that presently used for ferrite phase shifters. The theoretical calculations of Chapter V indicate that at least 10 db of attenuation or 30 degrees of phase shift could be obtained with reasonable light intensities (< 100 foot-candles) if the sample thickness and dark conductivity are properly chosen.

Another method of forming an antenna array (91) was attempted experimentally. This consisted of covering (almost completely) the open end of an X-band waveguide

terminated in an "infinite" ground plane with a thin single crystal of CdS. It was anticipated that illumination of alternate strips, parallel to the narrow wall of the waveguide, would reduce the radiation from these strips because of their increased conductivity, thus causing the resulting antenna pattern to be dependent upon the non-illuminated It was further anticipated that the antenna pattern strips. could be changed by changing the width, separation, and number of nonilluminated strips. The experiment failed because the light diffused throughout the entire crystal resulting in essentially a uniformly increased conductivity, rather than alternate highly conductive strips. Further experiments were not attempted, however, if the light diffusion problem can be eliminated (possibly by the use of individual crystals separated by light shields) the above method could result in a very unique and practical antenna array.

C: Variable Impedance Waveguide Terminations

Variable impedance waveguide terminations for impedance matching and mismatching purposes can be made if photoconductive material is used as part of the termination. Figure 8.1 shows the theoretically predicted variation in VSWR with increasing conductivity of the photoconductive material for the particular load arrangement shown in the insert of that figure. For the photoconductive section terminated with a waveguide of characteristic impedance $Z_{OL} = 1.0 + j0.5$, the



FIGURE 8.1. VSWR versus conductivity for a mismatched, photoconductive, waveguide termination

VSWR can be varied from 21 to 8 by increasing the conductivity from 0.01 mhos/m to 5.0 mhos/m. The range over which the VSWR varies can be easily changed by changing the sample thickness. Table 8.1 indicates the experimental results for the VSWR and impedance of a different load arrangement under illuminated and non-illuminated conditions. A Smith chart was used to determine the characteristic impedance from measurements of the VSWR and the shift in the first minimum point of the VSWR pattern, when the load was replaced by a "short circuit". The table indicates that the illumination increases considerably the real part of the load impedance, but has little effect on the imaginary part.

D. Precision Variable Attenuator

Figure 8.2 shows an artist's conception of a photocontrolled, precision variable, microwave attenuator. The attenuation is produced by the photoconductive coating on the thin dielectric strip. The attenuation is increased by mechanically increasing the width of the slit in the light shield between the source and the photoconductor. The purpose of the photodiode is to supply a voltage to the calibration meter, that is proportional to the light intensity from the source. The calibration meter indicates when recalibration is necessary because of changes in the light source intensity. Devices of this type should be capable of producing an attenuation accurate to within ± 0.05 db for at least the 0 db to 5 db range. More exotic designs using such sources as

TABLE 8.1. Variation in VSWR and impedance with illumination conditions for a photoconductive waveguide load

CdS Sample	Illumination	Location of	VSWR	Characteristic
No.	Condition	First Minimum		Impedance (ohms)
1	No light	9.32 cm	2.0	0.57 - j0.34
	40 fc	9.35 cm	1.7	0.66 - j0.30
2	No light	9.53 cm	14	0.15 - j1.02
	40 fc	9.53 cm	3.8	0.5 - j0.9
3	No light	9.05 cm	11.8	0.08 - j0.0
	40 fc	9.05 cm	4.8	0.20 - j0.0

Arrangement of load:




FIGURE 8.2. Artist's conception of a photocontrolled, precision variable, microwave attenuator GaAs light emitting diodes may also prove practical. These designs would permit electronic rather than mechanical variation of the attenuation.

E. <u>Microwave Modulation</u>

A limited amount of work (42, 92, 93) has already been done in the area of photoconductive modulation of a microwave carrier. The long lifetime of the free carriers presently seriously limits the modulation to frequency components less than approximately 100 KHz. Both phase and amplitude modulation of either the transmitted or the reflected signal are possible, depending upon the range over which the conductivity is varied. This was illustrated in Chapter V. Figure 8.3 indicates a new and potentially useful effect. For the case of phase modulation of the reflected signal, the range of phase variation may be increased if the photoconductive section of the waveguide is terminated with a reactive load. For a matched load the phase may be varied by typically 80 degrees, however, Figure 8.3 shows that this may easily be increased to 110 degrees for a load with a characteristic impedance of (1 + j0.5) ohms.

Another very promising modulation technique is variation of the dielectric constant by photoexcitation. Very little work has been done in this area because the change produced in the room temperature dielectric constant at microwave frequencies by photoexcitation is normally considered negligible for the materials presently used. However, the experi-



Conductivity, mhos/m

FIGURE 8.3. Variation in angle of reflection coefficient with conductivity for a section of filled waveguide terminated with loads of different characteristic impedance

129

ments conducted by the author on CdS (Chapter VI) gave some indications of significant changes in dielectric constant as well as conductivity. A 10% change in the dielectric constant can have as much of an effect as an order-of-magnitude change in the conductivity. Hence, only approximately a 5% change in the dielectric constant is required to make photodielectric modulation practical.

IX. SUMMARY

A. General Summary

The primary emphasis has been on the derivation and numerical solution of the electromagnetic field equations for propagation through rectangular waveguide filled with a lossy, isotropic, linear, inhomogeneous media. The theoretical effects of photoinduced conductivity and dielectric constant variations on microwave attenuation. phase shift, and voltage standing wave ratio were calculated and plotted for typical examples in the X-band frequency range. The theoretical predictions were verified qualitatively by experiment. Microwave measurements of the free electron lifetime in CdS and the conductivity of thin Si wafers were accomplished successfully. An unusually strong, room temperature resonance phenomena was observed experimentally in CdS. Some possible explanations were discussed, but no definite conclusions were drawn. Finally, several new microwave applications for photoconductive materials were described.

B. Summary of Original Contributions by the Author

The more significant original contributions presented in this dissertation are listed below in their order of importance.

1) The most important original contribution was the calculation and plotting of microwave attenuation, phase shift, and VSWR as functions of conductivity, sample thickness, and frequency. Many important practical conclusions were drawn from these graphs. Although others have considered a few special cases, no one has published results as comprehensive as that presented in Chapter V.

2) The accurate microwave measurement of the conductivity of thin Si wafers has demonstrated the practical usefulness of this technique. Although others have performed such measurements on thick (1 cm) samples, very few have attempted measurements on the more commonly used thin wafers with thicknesses ranging from about 0.05 mm to 0.5 mm.

3) A detailed derivation of the microwave transmission through a rectangular waveguide filled with a material having a time varying conductivity was presented. The results of this derivation were used in the microwave free carrier lifetime measurements. This derivation included the effect of reflection from the sample being tested, something often ignored by others, and also clearly indicated <u>all</u> assumptions that must be met in order to make accurate lifetime measurements. The <u>method</u> used in this derivation was developed by the author.

4) Successful measurement at microwave frequencies of the free electron lifetime in CdS was reported. Techniques similar to those used by the author have been previously used by others on Si and Ge, but to date no results have been reported for CdS.

5) An unexpected resonance phenomena, which has the potential of developing into an important discovery, was observed in CdS. No such effect has been reported in the

literature. This phenomena may provide information about some property of CdS such as a microwave-acoustical interaction or a plasma resonance.

6) Several new microwave applications of photoconductors were described, and a few new ideas concerning photoconductive and photodielectric microwave modulation were presented.

C. Suggestions for Further Research

The following suggestions for additional research have been grouped according to the three general areas considered in this dissertation.

1. Microwave Interaction with Lossy Dielectric Materials

There is a definite need for more theoretical work concerning the free carrier contribution to the complex permittivity of semiconductors. One of the major problems involves the formulation of the correct relationship between the locally acting electric field and the macroscopic electric field, when depolarization and plasma resonance effects are included.

Extensive experimental work on the resonance phenomena observed in CdS is needed, and is presently being planned by the author. By narrowing down the possible causes, theoretical work can be initiated that will hopefully explain the phenomena.

Work on the photodielectric effect at microwave frequencies has scarcely begun. Both theoretical and experimental efforts are required in this area. The microwave properties of photoconductive crystalline powders must be investigated. The present theories on the effects of potential barriers at the grain boundaries and interfacial polarization require critical review and experimental verification.

Some extremely difficult theoretical work is also required concerning electromagnetic wave propagation through a waveguide filled with a lossy, anisotropic media. Since most materials are anisotropic to some extent, such theory is required before experimental results can be satisfactorily predicted and explained.

2. Microwave Measurement of Material Properties

Considerable work remains to be done on the microwave measurement of the free carrier lifetime in many materials. Differences between dc and microwave measured values may prove useful in distinguishing photoconductivity effects from photodielectric effects. The influence of the method of excess carrier generation (photoinduced, injected, etc.) also requires further investigation.

Limited work has been done on the microwave measurement of the mobility of free carriers in Si and Ge. More accurate experimental methods plus measurements on other materials are required.

3. Microwave Applications

After the completion of some of the more basic research mentioned in Section (1), the detailed design of some practical

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. T.S. Moss, <u>Photoconductivity in the Elements</u>. New York: Academic Press, 1952.
- 2. R.G. Breckenridge, B.R. Russell, and E.E. Hahn, <u>Photoconductivity Conference</u>. New York: Wiley, 1954.
- 3. S. Flugge, <u>Electrical Conductivity I</u>, Encyclopedia of Physics, vol. 12. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1956, pp. 316-395.
- 4. D.A. Wright, "Photoconductivity," British J. of Applied Physics, vol. 9, pp. 205-214, June 1958.
- 5. R.H. Bube and L.A. Barton, "The achievement of maximum photoconductivity performance in cadmium sulfide crystals," RCA Review, pp. 564-598, December 1959.
- 6. C. Herring, "Transport," J. of Physics and Chemistry of Solids, vol. 8, pp. 543-549, 1959,
- 7. R.H. Bube, <u>Photoconductivity of Solids</u>. New York: Wiley, 1960.
- 8. A. Rose, "Photoconductivity in perspective," J. of Physics and Chemistry of Solids, vol. 22, pp. 1-4, 1961.
- 9. A. Rose, <u>Concepts in Photoconductivity and Allied</u> <u>Problems</u>. New York: Interscience, 1963.
- 10. S.M. Ryvkin, <u>Photoelectric Effects in Semiconductors</u>. New York: Consultants Bureau, 1964.
- 11. S. Larach, <u>Photoelectronic Materials and Devices</u>. New Jersey: Van Nostrand, 1965.
- 12. S.M. Ryvkin, "Kinetics of impurity photoconductivity," J. of Physics and Chemistry of Solids, vol. 22, pp. 5-17, 1961.
- 13. R.H. Bube, E.L. Lind, and A.B. Dreeben, "Properties of cadmium sulfide with high impurity concentrations," Physical Review, vol. 128, pp. 532-539, October 1962.
- 14. B.A. Kulp, K.A. Gale, and R.G. Schulze, "Impurity conductivity in single-crystal CdS," Physical Review, vol. 140, pp. A252-A256, October 1965.

۰.

- 15. C.S. Kang, P. Beverley, P. Phipps, and R.H. Bube, "Photoelectronic processes in ZnS single crystals," Physical Review, vol. 156, pp. 998-1009, April 1967.
- 16. J. Kommandeur, "Photoconductivity in organic single crystals," J. of Physics and Chemistry of Solids, vol. 22, pp. 339-349, 1961.
- 17. L.N. Ionov, I.A. Akimov, and A.N. Terenin, "Photoconductivity of organic dyes at a frequency of 10¹⁰ Hz," Soviet Physics-Doklady, vol. 11, pp. 599-602, January 1967.
- 18. S.M. Thomsen and R.H. Bube, "High-sensitivity photoconductor layers," Rev. of Scientific Instruments, vol. 26, pp. 664-665, July 1955.
- 19. F.H. Nicoll and B. Kazan, "Large area high-current photoconductive cells using cadmium sulfide powder," J. of the Optical Society of America, vol. 45, pp. 647-650, August 1955.
- 20. H.B. De Vore, "Gains, response times, and trap distribution in powder photoconductors," RCA Review, vol. 20, pp. 79-91, March 1959.
- 21. R.H. Bube, "Mechanism of photoconductivity in microcrystalline powders," J. of Applied Physics, vol. 31, pp. 2239-2254, December 1960.
- 22. G.F. Garlick and A.F. Gibson, "Electron traps and dielectric changes in phosphorescent solids," Proc. of the Royal Society of London, vol. 188A, pp. 485-509, 1947.
- 23. G.F. Farlick and A.F. Gibson, "Dielectric changes in phosphors containing more than one activator," Proc. of the Physical Society, vol. 62A, pp. 731-736, 1949.
- 24. H. Kallmann, B. Kramer, and P. Mark, "Impedance measurements on CdS crystals," Physical Review, vol. 99, pp. 1328-1333, August 1955.
- 25. S. Kronenberg and C.A. Accardo, "Dielectric changes in inorganic phosphors," Physical Review, vol. 101, pp. 989-992, February 1956.

- 26. P. Mark and H.P. Kallmann, "AC impedance measurements of photoconductors containing blocking layers analyzed by the Maxwell-Wagner theory," J. of the Physics and Chemistry of Solids, vol. 23, pp. 1067-1078, 1962.
- 27. Y.T. Sikvonen, D.R. Boyd, and E.L. Kitts, "Analysis and performance of a light-sensitive capacitor," Proc. of IEEE, vol. 53, pp. 378-385, April 1965.
- 28. Y.A. Vidadi and L.D. Rozenshtein, "Photodielectric effect in phthalocyanine," Soviet Physics-Doklady, vol. 11, pp. 516-518, December 1966.
- 29. N.S. Kasperovich, B.M. Nikolaev, and Y.A. Oksman, "The photodielectric effect in compensated germanium," Soviet Physics-Solid State, vol. 9, pp. 343-345, August 1967.
- 30. E.Z. Meilikhov, "Photodielectric effect and negative photoconductivity in Ge at 10¹⁰ cps frequency," Soviet Physics-Solid State, vol. 8, pp. 428-430, February 1966.
- 31. R.S. Elliott, <u>Electromagnetics</u>. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1966, pp. 327-394.
- 32. M.A. Heald and C.B. Wharton, <u>Plasma Diagnostics with</u> <u>Microwaves</u>. New York: Wiley, 1965, pp. 392-405.
- 33. A.R. von Hippel, <u>Dielectrics and Waves</u>. New York: Wiley, 1954.
- 34. W.M. Schwarz, <u>Intermediate Electromagnetic Theory</u>. New York; Wiley, 1964, pp. 77-82.
- 35. V.L. Ginzburg, <u>Propagation of Electromagnetic Waves in</u> <u>Plasma</u>. New York: Gordon and Breach, 1960, pp. 27-28.
- 36. A.F. Gibson, "Infra-red and microwave modulation using free carriers in semiconductors," J. of Scientific Instruments, vol. 35, pp. 273-278, August 1958.
- 37. J.N. Bhar, "Microwave techniques in the study of semiconductors," Proc. of IEEE, vol. 51, pp. 1623-1631, November 1963.
- 38. K.S. Champlin, D.B. Armstrong, and PDP. Gunderson, "Charge carrier inertia in semiconductors," Proc. of IEEE, vol. 52, pp. 677-685, June 1964.

- 39. O. Madelung, <u>Physics of III-V Compounds</u>. New York: Wiley, 1964, pp. 79-82.
- 40. M.A. Heald and C.B. Wharton, <u>Plasma Diagnostics with</u> <u>Microwaves</u>. New York: Wiley, 1965, pp. 1-12.
- 41. K. Chen, "Interaction of a high-intensity EM field with a low-density plasma," IRE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation, vol. AP-10, pp. 31-42, January 1962.
- 42. W.H. Hartwig and G.D. Arndt, "Application of the photodielectric effect to laser communication," Southwestern IEEE Conference, 1966.
- 43. J.R. Wait, <u>Electromagnetic Waves in Stratified Media</u>. New York: Macmillan, 1962.
- 44. L.M. Brekhovskikh, <u>Waves in Layered Media</u>. New York: Academic, 1960.
- 45. J.R. Wait, "Reflection of electromagnetic waves obliquely from an inhomogeneous medium," J. of Applied Physics, vol. 23, pp. 1403-1404, December 1952.
- 46. J.H. Richmond, "Transmission through inhomogeneous plane layers," IRE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation, vol. AP-10, pp. 300-305, May 1962.
- 47. J. Heading, "Composition of reflection and transmission formulae," J. of Research of the National Bureau of Standards, vol. 67D, pp. 65-77, January 1963.
- 48. G.J. Gabriel and M.E. Brodwin, "The solution of guided waves in inhomogeneous anisotropic media by perturbation and variational methods," IEEE Trans. on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. MTT-13, pp. 364-370, May 1965.
- 49. J.H. Richmond, "The WKB solution for transmission through inhomogeneous plane layers," IRE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation, vol. AP-10, pp. 472-473, July 1962.
- 50. D.A. Holmes, "Propagation in rectangular waveguide containing inhomogeneous, anisotropic dielectric," IEEE Trans. on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. MTT-12, pp. 152-154, March 1964.

- 51. H. Osterberg, "Propagation of plane electromangetic waves in inhomogeneous media," J. of Optical Society of America, vol. 48, pp. 513-520, August 1958.
- 52. R.E. Collin, <u>Field Theory of Guided Waves</u>. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1960, pp. 79-96.
- 53. D.A. Holmes and D.L. Fencht, "Electromagnetic wave propagation in briefringent multilayers," J. of Optical Society of America, vol. 56, pp. 1763-1769, December 1966.
- 54. G.P. Bein, "Plane wave transmission and reflection coefficients for lossy inhomogeneous plasma," IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation, vol. AP-14, pp. 511-513, July 1966.
- 55. L. Young, "Prediction of absorption loss in multilayer interference filters," J. of the Optical Society of America, vol. 52, pp. 753-761, July 1962.
- 56. B. Salzberg, "Propagation of electromagnetic waves through a stratified medium. I," J. of the Optical Society of America, vol. 40, pp. 465-470, July 1950.
- 57. H.W. Baeumler, "Reflection, transmission, and abrosption of plane electromagnetic waves by lossy dielectric panels," Ohio State Research Foundation, Report 777-17, August 1960.
- 58. H. Jacobs, F.A. Brand, J.D. Meindl, S. Weitz, and R. Benjamin, "New microwave techniques in the measurement of semiconductor phenomena," IRE International Convention Record, pp. 30-42, 1962.
- 59. M.W. Gunn, "Wave propagation in rectangular waveguide containing a semiconducting film," Proc. IEEE, vol. 114, pp. 207-210, February 1967.
- 60. H. Jacobs, G. Morris, and R.C. Hofer, "Interferometric effect with semiconductors in the millimeter-wave region," J. of the Optical Society of America, vol. 57, pp. 993-997, August 1967.
- 61. D.T. Stevenson and R.J. Keyes, "Measurement of carrier lifetimes in germanium and silicon," J. of Applied Physics, vol. 26, pp. 190-194, February 1955.

- 62. R.L. Watters and G.W. Ludwig, "Measurement of minority carrier lifetime in silicon," J. of Applied Physics, vol. 27, pp. 489-496. May 1956.
- 63. J.S. Blakemore, "Lifetime in p-type silicon," Physical Review, Vol. 110, pp. 1301-1308, June 1958.
- 64. B.R. Nag and P. Das, "Microwave propagation in semiconductors with carrier density varying in time," IRE Trans. on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. MTT-10, pp. 564-567. November 1962.
- 65. H.A. Atwater, "Microwave measurement of semiconductor carrier lifetimes," J. of Applied Physics, vol. 31, pp. 938-939, June 1960.
- 66. A.P. Ramsa, H. Jacobs, and F.A. Brand, "Microwave techniques in measurement of lifetime in germanium," J. of Applied Physics, vol. 30, pp. 1054-1060, July 1959,
- 67. H. Jacobs, A.P. Ramsa, and F.A. Brand, "Further consideration of bulk lifetime measurement with a microwave electrodeless technique," Proc. of IEEE, vol. 48, pp. 229-233, February 1960.
- 68. S. Deb and B.R. Nag, "Measurement of lifetime of carriers in semiconductors through microwave reflection," J. of Applied Physics, vol. 33, p. 1604, April 1962.
- 69. H. Jacobs, F.A. Brand, J.D. Meindl, M. Benanti, and R. Benjamin, "Electrodeless measurement of semiconductor resistivity at microwave frequencies," Proc. of IRE, vol. 49, pp. 928-932, May 1961.
- 70. H. Jacobs, F.A. Brand, and J.D. Meindl, "Multiple reflections of microwaves propagating through a semiconductor medium," Proc. IRE, vol. 49, pp. 1683-1684, November 1961.
- 71. B.R. Nag and S.K. Roy, "Microwave measurement of conductivity and dielectric constant of semiconductors," Proc. of IEEE, vol. 50, pp. 2515-2516, Decmeber 1962.
- 72. B.R. Nag, S.K. Roy, and C.K. Chatterji, "Microwave measurement of conductivity and dielectric constant of semiconductors," Proc. IEEE, vol. 51, p. 962, June 1963.
- 73. B.R. Nag, S.K. Roy, and C.K. Chatterji, "Correction to 'Microwave measurement of conductivity and dielectric constant of semiconductors'," Proc IEEE, vol. 52. p. 185, February 1964.

- 74. D.A. Holmes and D.L. Feucht, "Microwave measurement of conductivity and dielectric constant of semiconductors," Proc. IEEE, vol. 52, p. 100, January 1964.
- 75. K.S. Champlin, "Comment on 'Microwave measurement of conductivity and dielectric constant of semiconductors'," Proc. IEEE, vol. 52, pp. 1061-1062, September 1964.
- 76. L. Lindmayer and M. Kutsko, "Reflection of microwaves from semiconductors," Solid-State Electronics, vol. 6, pp. 377-381, 1963.
- 77. R.H. Sheikh and M.W. Gunn, "Wave propagation in a rectangular waveguide inhomogeneously filled with semiconductors," IEEE Trans. on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. MTT-16, pp. 117-121, February 1968.
- 78. J.J. Brandstatter, <u>An Introduction to Waves, Rays and</u> <u>Radiation in Plasma Media</u>. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1963, pp. 39-52.
- 79. C. Kittel, <u>Introduction to Solid State Physics</u>. New York: Wiley, 1967, pp. 375-395.
- 80. G.C. Jain, <u>Properties of Electrical Engineering Mater-</u> <u>ials</u>. New York: Harper and Row, 1967, pp. 211-231.
- 81. S. Flugge, <u>Dielectrics</u>. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1956, pp. 34-138.
- 82. A.F. Gibson, J.W. Granville, and E.G. Paige, "A study of energy-loss processes in germanium at high electric fields using microwave techniques," J. of Physics and Chemistry of Solids, vol. 19, pp. 198-217, 1961.
- 83. I.M. Dykman and E.I. Tolpygo, "Microwave conductivity of semiconductors with carriers heated by a dc field," Soviet Physics-Solid State, vol. 7, pp. 332-338, August 1965.
- 84. M. Boren and E. Wolf, <u>Principles of Optics</u>. New York: Pergamon Press, 1965, pp. 51-70.
- 85. T.S. Moss, <u>Optical Properties of Semi-conductors</u>. London: Butterworths Scientific Publications, 1959, p. 23.
- 86. R.H. Bube, <u>Photoconductivity of Solids</u>. New York: Wiley, 1967, p. 276.

- 87. S.M. Ryvkin, <u>Photoelectric Effects in Semiconductors</u>. New York: Consultants Bureau, 1964, p. 120.
- 88. J. Powderly, private communication.
- 89. K.S. Champlin and G.H. Glover, "Influence of waveguide contact on measured complex permittivity of semiconductors," J. of Applied Physics, vol. 37, pp. 2355-2360, May 1966.
- 90. K.S. Champlin, J.D. Holm, and G.H. Glover, "Electrodeless determination of semiconductor conductivity from TE₀₁ - mode reflectivity," J. of Applied Physics, vol. 38, pp. 96-98.
- 91. G.G. Skitek, private communication.
- 92. H. Jacobs, R.W. Benjamin, and D.A. Holmes, "Semiconductor reflection type microwave modulation," Solid-State Electronics, vol. 8, pp. 699-708, 1965.
- 93. H.S. Sommers, Jr. and W.B. Teutsch, "Demodulation of low-level broad-band optical signals with semiconductors: Part II - Analysis of the photoconductive detector," Proc. IEEE, vol. 52, pp. 144-153, February 1964.

APPENDIX A

DEFINITION OF ELECTRIC FIELDS INTERNAL

AND EXTERNAL TO A DIELECTRIC

When discussing an electric field in conjunction with a dielectric media it is mandatory that the particular field under consideration be clearly defined. Frequently in the literature the symbol \overline{E} is used without a clear definition of its meaning and much confusion and incorrect interpretation results. The purpose of this Appendix is to define and explain the notation used for electric field intensity in this dissertation.

The electric fields associated with a dielectric (A15) may be subdivided as indicated in Figure A.1. The fields are defined as follows:

 \overline{E}_{app} - the applied field outside the dielectric. \overline{E}_{ext} - the resulting field external to the dielectric. This is the field used in Maxwell's equations applied external to the dielectric. \overline{E}_{dep} - the depolarizing field produced by the surface charge on the dielectric. \overline{E}_{int} - the resulting macroscopic field inside the dielectric. This is the field used in Maxwell's equation applied internal to the dielectric. P - the resulting polarization vector field inside the dielectric. Ēbd - the field inside the cavity due to the surface charge on the cavity wall. \overline{E}_{cav} - the field produced by the dipoles which fill the cavity with the exception of the dipole

E_{loc} - the resulting field (local field) at point C excluding the field of the dipole at that point.

located at the center of the cavity (point C).



FIGURE A.1. Various electric fields associated with a dielectric medium

$$\overline{E}_{int} = \overline{E}_{ext} + \overline{E}_{dep} = \overline{E}_{ext} - L \overline{P}/\epsilon_{o}$$
(A.1)

where L is the depolarizing factor. L is determined only by the geometry of the dielectric and is used to relate the resultant internal and external fields. The local field at point C is

$$\overline{E}_{loc} = \overline{E}_{ext} + \overline{E}_{dep} + \overline{E}_{bd} + \overline{E}_{cav} \qquad (A.2)$$

where

$$\overline{E}_{bd} = \overline{P}/(3\epsilon_0), \qquad (A.3)$$

and

$$\overline{E}_{cav} = 0 \qquad (A.4)$$

for homogeneous materials with a high degree of crystal symmetry.

Hence,

$$\overline{E}_{loc} = \overline{E}_{int} + \overline{P}/(3\epsilon_0) = \overline{E}_{ext} - L \overline{P}/\epsilon_0 + \overline{P}/(3\epsilon_0).$$
(A.5)

Note that the internal field is reduced in comparison to the external field, and that the local field is increased in comparison to the internal field. Since L ranges between 0 and 1, the local field can be either greater than or less than the external field. In the text the symbol \overline{E} is used to represent the resultant macroscopic field in the medium under consideration. Hence, at one point \overline{E} may represent \overline{E}_{int} . The specific meaning of \overline{E} is indicated if it is not obvious from the context.

APPENDIX B

FREE CARRIER GENERATION RATE

PRODUCED BY PHOTON ABSORPTION

Consider a semi-infinite photoconductive layer (Figure B.1) with light of intensity I_i incident at $z = 0^{-}$.





$$I_{i} = Q_{i}hf = Q_{i}hc/\lambda$$
 (B.1)

$$Q_i = \lambda I_i / hc = (5.035 \times 10^{24}) \lambda I_i,$$
 (B.2)

where Q_i is the rate at which photons are incident on each square meter of the plane $z = 0^{-}$, h is Plank's constant, c is the speed of light in free space, and λ is the wavelength of the incident light in free space.

Let R equal the reflection coefficient at z = 0. Then $(1-R^2)$ equals that portion of the incident energy transmitted into the material. Let β equal the quantum efficiency and δ equal the coefficient of absorption. Then, assuming that δ is large enough so that reflection from the z = d plane may be neglected, the expression for the light intensity as a function of z is

 $I(z) = (1 - R^{2})I_{i} \exp(-\delta z); \qquad 0 \le z \le d.$ The rate at which photons are incident on each square meter of an arbitrary plane z is (B.3)

$$Q(z) = (5.035 \times 10^{24})\lambda(1 - R^2)I_i \exp(-\delta z); \quad 0 \le z \le d.$$
(B.4)

The free hole-electron generation rate, f(z), is given by β times the negative of the rate at which photons are being absorbed.

$$f(z) = \beta \left[-dQ(z)/dz\right].$$
(B.5)

$$f(z) = (5.035 \times 10^{24})(1 - R^2)\beta\lambda\delta I_i \exp(-\delta z); \quad 0 \le z \le d$$
(B.6)

Let

$$I_{o} = (5.035 \times 10^{24})(1 - R^{2})\beta\lambda\delta I_{i}$$
(B.7)

Then,

$$f(z) = I_0 \exp(-\delta z); \qquad 0 \le z \le d. \qquad (B.8)$$

Note that the units of I_i are watts/m² and the units of I_o and f(z) are (hole-electron pairs)/m³-sec. <u>Example Problem</u> - For a CdS sample arranged as shown in Figure B.1, determine the light intensity, I_i , required at z = 0 in order to produce a conductivity of 1 mho/m at the front face (z = 0⁺). Assume $\sigma_o = 0$.

In simplest terms

$$\Delta n(z) = f(z) \mathcal{T}_{n}. \tag{B.9}$$

$$\sigma = 1 \text{ mho/m} = q \mathcal{M}_n \Delta n(0^+) \tag{B.10}$$

Using
$$\mathcal{M}_{n} = 10^{-2} \text{m}^{2}/\text{V=sec}$$
,
 $\Delta n(0^{+}) = \frac{1 \text{ mho/m}}{1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ coul}(10^{-2} \text{m}^{2}/\text{V-sec})}$
 $\Delta n(0^{+}) = 6.3 \times 10^{20} \text{ elec/m}^{3}$. (B.11)

For
$$\tau_n = 10^{-3} \text{sec}$$
,
 $f(0^+) = \Delta n(0^+) / \tau_n = 6.3 \times 10^{20} \text{elec/m}^3 / 10^{-3} \text{sec}$
 $= 6.3 \times 10^{23} \text{elec/m}^3 - \text{sec}$ (B.12)

Using the following typical values,

$$\beta = 0.5$$

$$\delta = 1500/m$$

$$\lambda = 5200^{\circ}A$$

$$(1 - R^{2}) = 0.6$$

$$I_{i} = f(0^{+})/[(5.035 \times 10^{24})\beta\delta\lambda(1 - R^{2})]$$
 (B.13)

$$I_{i} = \frac{6.3 \times 10^{23} \text{elec/m}^{3} \text{-sec}}{(5.035 \times 10^{24}/\text{joule-m})(0.5)(1500/m)(5.2 \times 10^{-7}m)(0.6)}$$

$$I_{i} = 53^{4} \text{ watts/m}^{2}$$

Using 1 lumen = 1.46×10^{-3} watt,

 $I_i = 3.66 \times 10^5 \text{ lumen/m}^2 = 36.6 \text{ lumens/cm}^2$.

The total luminous output of a typical 300 watt, narrow beam spotlight is 3800 lumens. Such a light source could produce the required conductivity over approximately a 100 cm² area.

APPENDIX C

DERIVATION OF EQUATIONS RELATING $\Delta n(z)$ AND $\Delta p(z)$ FOR AN INHOMOGENEOUSLY ILLUMINATED PHOTOCONDUCTIVE LAYER

The equations describing the operation of a photoconductor with a single trapping level (A11) are summarized below:

 $\partial p/\partial t = -\Delta p/\mathcal{T}_p + g_{vt} - r_{tv} + f - \nabla \cdot \overline{J}_p/q$ (C.1)

$$\partial n/\partial t = -\Delta n/c_n + g_{tc} - r_{ct} + f + \nabla \cdot \overline{J}_n/q$$
 (C.2)

$$\partial n_t / \partial_t = r_{ct} - g_{tc} + g_{vt} - r_{tv}$$
 (C.3)

$$\overline{J}_{p} = q \mathcal{M}_{p} p \overline{E} - q D_{p} p \qquad (C.4)$$

$$\overline{J}_n = q \mathcal{M}_n n \overline{E} + q D_n n \qquad (C.5)$$

$$\overline{J} = \overline{J}_{p} + \overline{J}_{n}$$
 (C.6)

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathrm{b}} \boldsymbol{\overline{\mathrm{E}}} = \boldsymbol{\rho} \tag{C.7}$$

Since steady-state conditions will be assumed, the terms involving the capture and release of carriers by traps will all equal zero. Further, assuming no external electric fields are applied the previous set of equations reduce to:

$$0 = -\Delta p(z)/\mathcal{T}p + f(z) - \nabla \cdot \overline{J}_p/q \qquad (C.8)$$

$$0 = -\Delta n(z)/\tau_n + f(z) + \nabla \cdot \overline{J}_n/q \qquad (C.9)$$

$$\overline{J}_{p} = q \mathcal{M}_{p}(p_{o} + \Delta p(z)) \overline{E} - q D_{p} \nabla (\Delta p(z))$$
(C.10)

$$\overline{J}_{n} = q \mathcal{U}_{n}(n_{o} + \Delta n(z)) \overline{E} + q D_{n} \nabla (\Delta n(z))$$
(C.11)

$$\overline{J} = \overline{J}_{p} + \overline{J}_{n} = 0 \tag{C.12}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{b} \overline{\mathbf{E}} = q(\Delta p(z) - \Delta n(z)). \qquad (C.13)$$

 D_n and D_p are the diffusion coefficients for electrons and holes, respectively. Under most conditions the diffusion coefficient and mobility for a particular type of carrier are related by

$$D/\mathcal{M} = kT/q. \qquad (C.14)$$

If grain boundaries or other potential barriers are present, such as in a crystalline powder material, then the dc value of D and μ may be an order-of-magnitude smaller than the corresponding high frequency ac values. For the equations presently being discussed dc values should be used.

Substituting equations (C.10) and (C.11) into equations (C.8) and (C.9), respectively, yields:

$$D_{p}d^{2}(\Delta p(z))/dz^{2} - \mathcal{M}_{p}Ed(\Delta p(z))/dz$$

$$- q\mathcal{M}_{p}P_{0}\Delta p(z)/\epsilon_{b} - q\mathcal{M}_{p}\Delta p(z)^{2}/\epsilon_{b}$$

$$+ q\mathcal{M}_{p}\Delta n(z)(p_{0} + \Delta p(z))/\epsilon_{b} - \Delta p(z)/\mathcal{T}_{p}$$

$$+ f(z) = 0 \qquad (C.15)$$

$$D_{n}d^{2}(\Delta n(z))/dz^{2} + \mathcal{M}_{n}Ed(\Delta n(z))/dz$$

$$- q\mathcal{M}_{n}n_{0}\Delta n(z)/\epsilon_{b} - q\mathcal{M}_{n}\Delta n(z)^{2}/\epsilon_{b}$$

$$+ q\mathcal{M}_{n}\Delta p(z)(n_{0} + \Delta n(z))/\epsilon_{b} - \Delta n(z)/\mathcal{T}_{n}$$

$$+ f(z) = 0 \qquad (C.16)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \epsilon_{b}\overline{E} = q(\Delta p(z) - \Delta n(z)). \qquad (C.17)$$

The above three, coupled partial differential equations must be solved for $\Delta n(z)$, $\Delta p(z)$ and E. \overline{E} represents the Dember field produced when $D_p \ge D_n$.

APPENDIX D

<u>COMPUTER PROGRAMS FOR NUMERICAL SOLUTION</u> OF THE ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD EQUATIONS

The purpose of this Appendix is to present the two major computer programs used in this dissertation. Each program is written in the Fortran IV computer language. The first program, a listing of which begins on page 156. was designed to calculate the electromagnetic energy transmitted through a lossy, homogeneous, semi-infinite slab located in free space. The second program, a listing of which begins on page 162, was designed to calculate the electromagnetic energy transmitted through a lossy, inhomogeneous material in a rectangular waveguide. In both programs such parameters as frequency, dielectric constant, conductivity, and sample thickness may be easily varied. Typical output variables which may be both printed and plotted are frequency, dielectric constant, conductivity, sample thickness, power reflected, power absorbed, power transmitted, angle of reflection coefficient, angle of transmission coefficient, VSWR, and attenuation. A different plot routine is illustrated with each program. The Fortran symbols used for the input and output variables are defined in Table D.1.

TABLE D.1. Definition of some of the variables used in the computer programs

Fortran Symbol	Text Symbol	Definition
RELDC	Er	Relative dielectric constant
WIDTH		Sample thickness (meters)
FREQ	f	Cyclic frequency (Hz)
SIGMAO	σο	Dark conductivity of a homo- geneous sample (mhos/m)
SIGMAI, SIG	σ _i	Conductivity of the i th layer (mhos/m)
SIGMA Z		Conductivity of the homogeneous slab in free space (mhos/m)
XLIGHT	I	Intensity of incident light (watts/m ²)
ATTN	δ	Absorption coefficient of light (m ⁻¹)
XMUPDC		dc hole mobility $(m^2/V-sec)$
XMUPAC	\mathcal{M}_{p}	ac hole mobility $(m^2/V-sec)$
TAUP	$\hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}_{p}}$	Hole lifetime (sec)
XMUNDC	-	dc electron mobility $(m^2/V-sec)$
XMUNAC	Mn	ac electron mobility $(m^2/V-sec)$
TAUN	ĉ n	Electron lifetime (sec)
PHI1		Incident angle of electromagnetic wave for slab in free space (rad.)
RSQRD, RR		Relative power reflected
SSQRD, SS		Relative power absorbed
TSQRD, TT		Relative power transmitted
VSWR, SWR		Voltage standing wave ratio
RPHASE, PPH		Angle of reflection coefficient (deg.)

•

TABLE D.1. Continued

Fortran Symbol	Text Symbol	Definition
TPHASE, TPH		Angle of transmission coef- ficient (deg.)
DBB, DB		Attenuation of transmitted wave (db)

Computer program for the calculation of the electromagnetic energy transmitted through a lossy, homogeneous, semi-infinite slab located in free space: MAIN DATE = 69009FORTRAN IV G LEVEL 1. MOD 2 VANDOREN С SINGLE LAYER**FREE SPACE С С C WAVE PROPAGATION THROUGH A THIN. LOSSY. ISOTROPIC LAYER С С DIMENSION SIG(500), RR(500), TT(500), SS(500), PHI(500) 0001 DIMENSION SWR(500), RPH(500), TPH(500), DB(500) 0002 DIMENSION FRE(500).WID(500).REL(500) 0003 DIMENSION CON(6) 0004 COMPLEX X1, Y1, K2, XSIN2, X2, XCOS2, ETA2D2, ARGPJ, ARGMJ, Z2, T3, R3, T2 0005 COMPLEX R2.M3(2.1), M2(2.2), M1(2.2), M23(2.1), M123(2.1) 0006 COMPLEX R.T.CSQRT.CEXP.CONJG.CMPLX.Z3.ZX 0007 50 FORMAT(///T6, 'SIGMA', T16, 'RSQRD', T26, 'TSQRD', T36, 'SSQRD'. 8000 XT46, 'VSWR', T55, 'RPHASE', T65, 'TPHASE', T77, 'DB', T88, 'FREQ'. XT99, 'WIDTH', T109, 'RELDC', T119, 'PHI1'//) READ(1,100)FREQ, DFREQ, JFREQ 0009 100 FORMAT(2E20.3.120) 0010 READ(1,150) RELDC, DRELDC, JRELDC 0011 150 FORMAT(2F20.5.120)0012 READ(1,200)WIDTH, DWIDTH, JWIDTH 0013 200 FORMAT(2E20.5.120)0014 READ(1,250)SIGMA, DSIGMA, JSIGMA 0015 250 FORMAT(2F20.5.120) 0016 READ(1,300)PHI1,DPHI1,JPHI1 0017 300 FORMAT(2120.5,120) 0018 WRITE(3,100)FREQ, DFREQ, JFREQ 0019 0020 WRITE(3,150) RELDC, DRELDC, JRELDC WRITE(3.200)WIDTH. DWIDTH. JWIDTH 0021 WRITE(3,250)SIGMA2, DSIGMA, JSIGMA 0022 0023 WRITE(3,300)PHI1.DPHI1.JPHI1

0024		RFREQ=FREQ
0025		RRELDC=RELDC
0026		RWIDTH=WIDTH
0027		RSTGMA=STGMA2
0028		RPHT1=PHT1
0020		T=0
0029		I-0
0031		7x - (1 0 0 0)
0032	μ1	
0033		U-U11 WRTTTE(3 L3)7Y
0033	ルっ	$\pi \pi 1 1 \Sigma(3, 7) 2 \pi$ $\pi \alpha 0 M M (1/2 \pi 1 \alpha 3/1)$
0034	···· *)	$\frac{1}{10}$
0035	0	
0030	9	
0037	~	
0038	7	IRELDU=IRELDU+1
0039		
0040	5	IFREQ=IFREQ+1
0041		WRITE(3,50)
0042	_	IWIDTH=0
0043	3	IWIDTH=IWIDTH+1
0044		ISIGMA=0
0045	1	ISIGMA=ISIGMA+1
0046		I=I+1
	С	PROP CONST FOR MEDIA 2 (PHOTOCONDUCTIVE LAYER)
0047		OMEGA=6.28*FREQ
0048		SIGOM=SIGMA2/OMEGA
0049		DIEL=RELDC*8.85E-12
0050		X1=CMPLX(DIEL,-SIGOM)
0051		Y1 = CSQRT(X1)
0052		OMPER=OMEGA*1.121E-03
0053		K2=OMPER*Y1
	С	PROP CONST FOR MEDIA 1 (AIR)
0054		K1 = OMEGA/3.0E + 08
-	С	COSINE OF ANGLE OF REFRACTION IN MEDIA 2
0055		XSIN1=SIN(PHI1)
0056		XSIN2 = (K1 * XSIN1) / K2
-		

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0057		X2=1.0+XSIN2*XSIN2
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0058		XCOS2 = CSQRT(X2)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0059		ETA2 D2=K2*XCOS2*WIDTH
0061ARGMJ=(0.0,-1.0)*ETA2D20062 $Z1=377$ 0063 $Z3=377.0*ZX$ 0064 $Z2=(0MEGA*1,257E-06)/(K2*XCOS2)$ 0065 $T3=(2*Z3)/(Z3+Z2)$ 0066 $R3=(2,-Z2)/(Z3+Z2)$ 0067 $T2=(2*Z2)/(Z2+Z1)$ 0068 $R2=(22-21)/(22+Z1)$ 0069 $M3(1,1)=(1.0,0.0)$ 0070 $M3(2,1)=(0.0,0.0)$ 0071 $M2(1,1)=CEXP(ARGPJ)/T3$ 0072 $M2(2,2)=CEXP(ARGPJ)/T3$ 0073 $M2(1,2)=R3*M2(2,2)$ 0074 $M2(2,1)=R2/T2$ 0075 $M1(1,2)=R2/T2$ 0076 $M1(2,2)=M1(1,1)$ 0079 $M23(1,1)=M2(2,1)*M3(1,1)+M2(2,2)*M3(2,1)$ 0081 $M123(1,1)=M1(1,2)*M3(1,1)+M2(2,2)*M3(2,1)$ 0082 $M23(2,1)=M2(2,1)*M3(1,1)+M1(2,2)*M23(2,1)$ 0083 $R=M123(2,1)/M123(1,1)$ 0084 $RSQRD=R*CONJG(R)$ 0085 $T=M3(1,1)/M123(1,1)$ 0086 $TSQRD=T*CONJG(T)*REAL(1.0/ZX)$ 0087 $CALC OF INCIDENT AND REFLECTED AMPLITUDES$ 0088 $EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1))$ 0089 $EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1))$ 0080 $DBB==10.0*ALO(10/FIMAC/BEAL(1,0/ZX))$	0060		ARGPJ = (0.0.1.0) * ETA2D2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0061		ARGMJ = (0.01.0) * ETA2 D2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0062		Z1=377
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0063		Z3=377.0*ZX
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0064		$Z_{2} = (OMEGA*1.257E-06) / (K_{2}*X_{C}OS_{2})$
$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0.066 & R_3 = (2_3 - 2_2) / (2_3 + 2_2) \\ 0.067 & T_2 = (2 + 2_2) / (2_2 + 2_1) \\ 0.068 & R_2 = (2_2 - 2_1) / (2_2 + 2_1) \\ 0.069 & M_3(1,1) = (1,0,0,0) \\ 0.070 & M_3(2,1) = (0,0,0,0) \\ 0.071 & M_2(1,1) = CEXP (ARGPJ) / T_3 \\ 0.072 & M_2(2,2) = CEXP (ARGMJ) / T_3 \\ 0.072 & M_2(2,2) = R_3 + M_2(2,2) \\ 0.075 & M_1(1,2) = R_3 + M_2(2,2) \\ 0.075 & M_1(1,2) = R_2 / T_2 \\ 0.076 & M_1(2,2) = M_1(1,1) \\ 0.078 & M_1(2,2) = M_1(1,1) \\ 0.079 & M_2(2,1) = M_2(1,1) + M_3(1,1) + M_2(2,2) + M_3(2,1) \\ 0.080 & M_2(2,1) = M_2(2,1) + M_3(1,1) + M_2(2,2) + M_3(2,1) \\ 0.081 & M_{12}(3(1,1) = M_1(1,1) + M_2(3(1,1) + M_1(1,2) + M_2(2,2) + M_3(2,1) \\ 0.082 & M_{12}(2,1) = M_1(2,1) + M_2(3(1,1) + M_1(2,2) + M_2(2,1) \\ 0.083 & R_2 M_2(2,1) = M_1(2,1) + M_2(3(1,1) + M_1(2,2) + M_2(2,1) \\ 0.084 & R_2 QRD = R + CONJG(R) \\ 0.085 & T_= M_3(1,1) / M_{12}(3(1,1) \\ 0.086 & TSQRD = T CONJG(T) + REAL(1,0 / ZX) \\ 0.087 & SQRD = T + CONJG(T) + REAL(1,0 / ZX) \\ 0.088 & EISQRD = M_{12}(3(1,1) + CONJG(M_{12}(3(1,1)) \\ 0.089 & EISQRD = M_{12}(1,0) \\ 0.090 & DB = -10, 0 + ALOG(10) (EIMAG < ETMAG < (ETAAL(1,0) / 2X) \\ 0.090 & DB = -10, 0 + ALOG(10) (EIMAG < ETMAG < (ETAAL(1,0) / 2X) \\ 0.090 & DB = -10, 0 + ALOG(10) (EIMAG < ETMAG < (ETAAL(1,0) / 2X) \\ 0.090 & DB = -10, 0 + ALOG(10) (EIMAG < ETMAG < (ETAAL(1,0) / 2X) \\ 0.090 & DB = -10, 0 + ALOG(10) (EIMAG < ETMAG < (ETAAL(1,0) / 2X) \\ 0.090 & DB = -10, 0 + ALOG(10) (EIMAG < ETMAG < (ETAAL(1,0) / 2X) \\ 0.090 & DB = -10, 0 + ALOG(10) (EIMAG < ETMAG < (ETAAL(1,0) / 2X) \\ 0.090 & DB = -10, 0 + ALOG(10) (EIMAG < ETMAG < (ETAAL(1,0) / 2X) \\ 0.090 & DB = -10, 0 + ALOG(10) (EIMAG < ETMAG < (ETAAL(1,0) / 2X) \\ 0.090 & DB = -10, 0 + ALOG(10) (EIMAG < ETMAG < (ETAAL(1,0) / 2X) \\ 0.090 & DB = -10, 0 + ALOG(10) (EIMAG < ETMAG < (ETAAL(1,0) / 2X) \\ 0.090 & DB = -10, 0 + ALOG(10) (EIMAG < (ETMAG < (ETAAL(1,0) / 2X)) \\ 0.090 & DB = -10, 0 + ALOG(10) (EIMAG < (ETMAG < (ETAAL(1,0) / 2X)) \\ 0.090 & DB = -10, 0 + ALOG(10) (EIMAG < (ETMAG < (ETAAL(1,0) / 2X)) \\ 0.090 & DB = -10, 0 + ALOG(10) (EIMAG < (ETMAG < $	0065		$T_3=(2*Z_3)/(Z_3+Z_2)$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0066		$R_{3}=(Z_{3}-Z_{2})/(Z_{3}+Z_{2})$
0068 $R2 = (22 - 21)/(22 + 21)$ CC CALCULATION OF MATRIX ELEMENTS0069M3(1,1) = (1.0,0.0)0070M3(2,1) = (0.0,0.0)0071M2(1,1) = CEXP(ARGPJ)/T30072M2(2,2) = CEXP(ARGMJ)/T30073M2(2,2) = CEXP(ARGMJ)/T30074M2(2,1) = R3*M2(2,2)0075M1(1,1) = 1.0/T20076M1(2,1) = M1(1,2)0077M2(2,1) = M2(1,1)*M3(1,1) + M2(1,2)*M3(2,1)0078M1(2,2) = M1(1,1)0079M23(1,1) = M2(1,1)*M3(1,1) + M2(2,2)*M3(2,1)0081M123(1,1) = M1(1,1)*M23(1,1) + M1(1,2)*M23(2,1)0082M123(2,1) = M1(2,1)*M3(1,1) + M1(2,2)*M23(2,1)0083R=M123(2,1)/M123(1,1)0084RSQRD=R*CONJG(R)0085T=M3(1,1)/M123(1,1)0086T=M3(1,1)/M123(1,1)0087SSQRD=1.0-RSQRD-TSQRD0088EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1))0089EIMAG=SQRT(EISQRD)0090DBB=(10.0*ALOC10(ETMAG*ETMAG/EEAL(1.0/ZX))	0067		$T2 = (2 \times 22) / (22 + 21)$
C CALCULATION OF MATRIX ELEMENTS 0069 M3(1,1)=(1.0,0.0) 0070 M3(2,1)=(0.0,0.0) 0071 M2(1,1)=CEXP(ARGPJ)/T3 0072 M2(2,2)=CEXP(ARGMJ)/T3 0073 M2(1,2)=R3*M2(1,1) 0074 M2(2,1)=R3*M2(2,2) 0075 M1(1,1)=1.0/T2 0076 M1(1,2)=R2/T2 0077 M1(2,1)=M1(1,2) 0078 M1(2,2)=M1(1,1) 0079 M23(1,1)=M2(1,1)*M3(1,1)+M2(1,2)*M3(2,1) 0080 M23(2,1)=M2(2,1)*M3(1,1)+M2(2,2)*M3(2,1) 0081 M123(1,1)=M1(1,1)*M23(1,1)+M1(1,2)*M23(2,1) 0082 M123(2,1)=M1(2,1)*M23(1,1)+M1(1,2)*M23(2,1) 0082 M123(2,1)=M1(2,1)*M23(1,1)+M1(2,2)*M23(2,1) 0084 M23(2,1)=M1(2,1)*M23(1,1)+M1(2,2)*M23(2,1) 0085 T=M3(1,1)/M123(1,1) 0086 T=M3(1,1)/M123(1,1) 0086 T=M3(1,1)/M123(1,1) 0087 CALC OF INCIDENT AND REFLECTED AMPLITUDES 0088 EISQRD=1.0-RSQRD-TSQRD C CALC OF INCIDENT AND REFLECTED AMPLITUDES 0088 EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1)) 0089 DEB=-10.0*ALOG10(FTMAG*FTMAG/BEAL(1.0/ZX)) 0090 DBB=-10.0*ALOG10(FTMAG*FTMAG/BEAL(1.0/ZX))	0068		R2 = (22 - 21) / (22 + 21)
0069 $M_3(1,1) = (1.0,0.0)$ 0070 $M_3(2,1) = (0.0,0.0)$ 0071 $M2(1,1) = CEXP(ARGPJ)/T3$ 0072 $M2(2,2) = CEXP(ARGMJ)/T3$ 0073 $M2(1,2) = R3*M2(1,1)$ 0074 $M2(2,1) = R3*M2(2,2)$ 0075 $M1(1,1) = 1.0/T2$ 0076 $M1(1,2) = R2/T2$ 0077 $M1(2,1) = M1(1,2)$ 0078 $M1(2,2) = M1(1,1)$ 0079 $M23(1,1) = M2(2,1)*M3(1,1) + M2(2,2)*M3(2,1)$ 0080 $M23(2,1) = M2(2,1)*M3(1,1) + M1(1,2)*M23(2,1)$ 0081 $M123(2,1) = M1(2,1)*M23(1,1) + M1(2,2)*M23(2,1)$ 0082 $M123(2,1) = M1(2,1)*M23(1,1) + M1(2,2)*M23(2,1)$ 0083 $R=M123(2,1)/M123(1,1)$ 0084 $RSQRD=R*CONJG(R)$ 0085 $T=M3(1,1)/M123(1,1)$ 0086 $TSQRD=T*CONJG(T)*REAL(1.0/ZX)$ 0087 $SSQRD=1.0-RSQRD-TSQRD$ C $CALC OF INCIDENT AND REFLECTED AMPLITUDES0088EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1))0089EIMAG=SQRT(EISQRD)0090DBB==10.0*ALOC10(FTMAC*FTMAC/PEAL(1.0/ZX))$		С	CALCULATION OF MATRIX ELEMENTS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0069		$M_3(1,1) = (1,0,0,0)$
0071 $M2(1,1) = CEXP(ARGPJ)/T3$ 0072 $M2(2,2) = CEXP(ARGMJ)/T3$ 0073 $M2(1,2) = R3*M2(1,1)$ 0074 $M2(2,1) = R3*M2(2,2)$ 0075 $M1(1,1) = 1 \cdot 0/T2$ 0076 $M1(1,2) = R2/T2$ 0077 $M1(2,1) = M1(1,2)$ 0078 $M1(2,2) = M1(1,1)$ 0079 $M2(1,1) = M2(1,1)*M3(1,1) + M2(1,2)*M3(2,1)$ 0080 $M23(2,1) = M2(2,1)*M3(1,1) + M2(2,2)*M3(2,1)$ 0081 $M123(1,1) = M1(1,1)*M23(1,1) + M1(1,2)*M23(2,1)$ 0082 $M123(2,1) = M1(2,1)*M23(1,1) + M1(2,2)*M23(2,1)$ 0083 $R=M123(2,1)/M123(1,1)$ 0084 $RSQRD=R*CONJG(R)$ 0085 $T=M3(1,1)/M123(1,1)$ 0086 $TSQRD=T*CONJG(T)*REAL(1.0/ZX)$ 0087 $SQRD=1.0-RSQRD-TSQRD$ C CALC OF INCIDENT AND REFLECTED AMPLITUDES 0088 $EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1))$ 0089 $EIMAG=SQRT(EISQRD)$ 0090 $DBB=-10.0*ALOCIO(FIMAC*FIMAC/REAL(1.0/ZY))$	0070		$M_3(2,1) = (0.0,0.0)$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0071		M2(1,1) = CEXP(ARGPJ)/T3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0072		M2(2,2) = CEXP(ARGMJ)/T3
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0073		M2(1,2) = R3 * M2(1,1)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0074		$M2(2,1)=R_{3}*M2(2,2)$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0075		M1(1,1)=1.0/T2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0076		M1(1,2) = R2/T2
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0077		M1(2,1)=M1(1,2)
0079 M23(1,1)=M2(1,1)*M3(1,1)+M2(1,2)*M3(2,1) 0080 M23(2,1)=M2(2,1)*M3(1,1)+M2(2,2)*M3(2,1) 0081 M123(1,1)=M1(1,1)*M23(1,1)+M1(1,2)*M23(2,1) 0082 M123(2,1)=M1(2,1)*M23(1,1)+M1(2,2)*M23(2,1) 0083 R=M123(2,1)/M123(1,1)+M1(2,2)*M23(2,1) 0084 RSQRD=R*CONJG(R) 0085 T=M3(1,1)/M123(1,1) 0086 TSQRD=T*CONJG(T)*REAL(1.0/ZX) 0087 SSQRD=1.0-RSQRD-TSQRD 0088 EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1)) 0089 EIMAG=SQRT(EISQRD) 0090 DBB==10.0*ALOG10(FIMAG*FIMAG/PEAL(1.0/ZX))	0078		$M_1(2,2)=M_1(1,1)$
0080 $M23(2,1)=M2(2,1)*M3(1,1)+M2(2,2)*M3(2,1)$ 0081 $M123(1,1)=M1(1,1)*M23(1,1)+M1(1,2)*M23(2,1)$ 0082 $M123(2,1)=M1(2,1)*M23(1,1)+M1(2,2)*M23(2,1)$ 0083 C 0083 $R=M123(2,1)/M123(1,1)$ 0084 $RSQRD=R*CONJG(R)$ 0085 $T=M3(1,1)/M123(1,1)$ 0086 $TSQRD=T*CONJG(T)*REAL(1.0/ZX)$ 0087 $SSQRD=1.0-RSQRD-TSQRD$ 0088 $EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1))$ 0089 $EIMAG=SQRT(EISQRD)$ 0090 $DBB==10.0*ALOG10(FIMAC*FIMAC/REAL(1.0/ZX))$	0079		M23(1,1)=M2(1,1)*M3(1,1)+M2(1,2)*M3(2,1)
0081 $M123(1,1)=M1(1,1)*M23(1,1)+M1(1,2)*M23(2,1)$ 0082 $M123(2,1)=M1(2,1)*M23(1,1)+M1(2,2)*M23(2,1)$ C $TOTAL$ REFLECTION AND TRANSMISSION COEFFICIENTS 0083 $R=M123(2,1)/M123(1,1)$ 0084 $RSQRD=R*CONJG(R)$ 0085 $T=M3(1,1)/M123(1,1)$ 0086 $TSQRD=T*CONJG(T)*REAL(1.0/ZX)$ 0087 C $CALC$ OF INCIDENT AND REFLECTED AMPLITUDES 0088 $EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1))$ 0089 $EIMAG=SQRT(EISQRD)$ 0090 $DBB==10.0*ALOG10(FIMAG*FIMAG/REAL(1.0/ZX))$	0800		M23(2,1)=M2(2,1)*M3(1,1)+M2(2,2)*M3(2,1)
0082 M123(2,1)=M1(2,1)*M23(1,1)+M1(2,2)*M23(2,1) C TOTAL REFLECTION AND TRANSMISSION COEFFICIENTS 0083 $R=M123(2,1)/M123(1,1)$ 0084 RSQRD=R*CONJG(R) 0085 $T=M3(1,1)/M123(1,1)$ 0086 TSQRD=T*CONJG(T)*REAL(1.0/ZX) 0087 SSQRD=1.0-RSQRD-TSQRD C CALC OF INCIDENT AND REFLECTED AMPLITUDES 0088 EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1)) 0089 EIMAG=SQRT(EISQRD) 0090 DBB==10.0*ALOG10(FIMAC*FIMAC/REAL(1.0/ZX))	0081		M123(1,1)=M1(1,1)*M23(1,1)+M1(1,2)*M23(2,1)
C TOTAL REFLECTION AND TRANSMISSION COEFFICIENTS 0083 $R=M123(2,1)/M123(1,1)$ 0084 $RSQRD=R*CONJG(R)$ 0085 $T=M3(1,1)/M123(1,1)$ 0086 $TSQRD=T*CONJG(T)*REAL(1.0/ZX)$ 0087 $SSQRD=1.0-RSQRD-TSQRD$ C CALC OF INCIDENT AND REFLECTED AMPLITUDES 0088 EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1)) 0089 EIMAG=SQRT(EISQRD) 0090 DBB==10.0*ALOG10(FIMAG*FIMAG/REAL(1.0/ZX))	0082		$M_{123}(2,1) = M_{1}(2,1) + M_{23}(1,1) + M_{1}(2,2) + M_{23}(2,1)$
0083 R=M123(2,1)/M123(1,1) 0084 RSQRD=R*CONJG(R) 0085 T=M3(1,1)/M123(1,1) 0086 TSQRD=T*CONJG(T)*REAL(1.0/ZX) 0087 SSQRD=1.0-RSQRD-TSQRD C CALC OF INCIDENT AND REFLECTED AMPLITUDES 0088 EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1)) 0089 EIMAG=SQRT(EISQRD) 0090 DBB==10.0*ALOG10(FIMAG*FIMAG/REAL(1.0/ZX))		С	TOTAL REFLECTION AND TRANSMISSION COEFFICIENTS
0084RSQRD=R*CONJG(R) 0085 T=M3(1,1)/M123(1,1) 0086 TSQRD=T*CONJG(T)*REAL(1.0/ZX) 0087 SSQRD=1.0-RSQRD-TSQRDCCALC OF INCIDENT AND REFLECTED AMPLITUDES 0088 EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1)) 0089 EIMAG=SQRT(EISQRD) 0090 DBB==10.0*ALOG10(FIMAG*FIMAG/REAL(1.0/ZX))	0083		$R=M_{123}(2,1)/M_{123}(1,1)$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0084		RSQRD=R*CONJG(R)
0086TSQRD=T*CONJG(T)*REAL(1.0/ZX)0087SSQRD=1.0-RSQRD-TSQRDCCALC OF INCIDENT AND REFLECTED AMPLITUDES0088EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1))0089EIMAG=SQRT(EISQRD)0090DBB==10.0*ALOG10(FIMAG*FIMAG/REAL(1.0/ZX))	0085		T=M3(1,1)/M123(1,1)
0087SSQRD=1.0-RSQRD-TSQRDCCALC OF INCIDENT AND REFLECTED AMPLITUDES0088EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1))0089EIMAG=SQRT(EISQRD)0090DBB==10.0*ALOG10(FIMAG*FIMAG/REAL(1.0/7X))	0086		TSQRD=T*CONJG(T)*REAL(1.0/ZX)
C CALC OF INCIDENT AND REFLECTED AMPLITUDES 0088 EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1)) 0089 EIMAG=SQRT(EISQRD) 0090 DBB==10.0*ALOG10(FIMAG*FIMAG/REAL(1.0/7X))	0087		SSQRD=1.0-RSQRD-TSQRD
0088EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1))0089EIMAG=SQRT(EISQRD)0090DBB==10.0*ALOG10(FIMAG*FIMAG/REAL(1.0/7X))		C	CALC OF INCIDENT AND REFLECTED AMPLITUDES
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0088		EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1))
$DBB_{\pi=10} O^{+}ALOGIO(FTMAC+FTMAC/FFAL(1 O/7Y))$	0089		EIMAG=SQRT(EISQRD)
	0090		DBB=-10.0*ALOG10(EIMAG*EIMAG/REAL(1.0/ZX))

0091	ERSQRD=M123(2,1)*CONJG(M123(2,1))
0092	ERMAG=SQRT(ERSQRD)
0093	VSWR=(EIMAG+ERMAG)/(EIMAG-ERMAG)
0094	TPHASE = (-57, 3) * ATAN2 (AIMAG(T), REAL(T))
0095	RPHASE = (-57, 3) * ATAN2 (A IMAG(R), REAL(R))
0096	IF(RPHASE-0.0)20.21.21
0097	20 RPHASE=360.0+RPHASE
0098	21 CONTINUE
0099	IF(TPHASE-0.0)22,23,23
0100	22 TPHASE=360.0+TPHASE
0101	23 CONTINUE
0102	RR(I) = RSQRD
0103	TT(I) = TSQRD
0104	SS(I) = SSQRD
0105	PHI(I) = PHI1
0106	SWR(I) = VSWR
0107	RPH(I) = RPHASE
0108	TPH(I) = TPHASE
0109	DB(I) = -DBB
0110	FRE(I) = FREQ
0111	WID(I)=WIDTH
0112	REL(I) = RELDC
0113	WRITE(3,400)SIGMA2, RSQRD, TSQRD, SSQRD, VSWR, RPHASE, TPHASE, DBB
	XFREQ, WIDTH, RELDC, PHI1
0114	400 FORMAT(8F10.4,E13.3,F10.5,2F10.4)
0115	SIGMA2=SIGMA2*DSIGMA
0116	IF(ISIGMA-JSIGMA)1,2,2
0117	2 SIGMA2=RSIGMA
0118	WIDTH=WIDTH+DWIDTH
0119	IF(IWIDTH-JWIDTH)3,4,4
0120	4 WIDTH=RWIDTH
0121	FREQ=FREQ+DFREQ
0122	IF(IFREQ-JFREQ)5,6,6
0123	6 FREQ=RFREQ
0124	KELDC=KELDC+DRELDC
0125	IF(IRELDC-JRELDC)7,8,8
0126	8 KELDC=KKELDC
0127	PHI1=PHI1+DPHI1

159

•

0128		IF(IPHI1-JPHI1)9.10.10
0129 1	10	CONTINUE
01 30		ZX = ZX + (0.0.0.0)
0131		IF(J-1)41.42.42
0132	¥2	CONTINUE
01 33		CALL PENPOS ('VANDOREN'.8.0)
01 34		CALL NEWPLT (2.25.2.25.9.75)
0135		CALL ORIGIN $(0.0.0.0)$
0136		CALL XSCALE (0.0.0.015.7.5)
01 37		CALL YSCALE $(0.0.1.01.4.0)$
01 38		CALL XAXIS (0.001)
0139		CALL YAXTS (0.1)
0140		$D0 \ 70 \ T=1.180.60$
0141	70	CALL XYPLT (WID(T), $TTT(T)$, 60, 1, -1)
0142		CALL ENDPLT
0143		CALL NEWPLT (2.25.2.25.9.75)
0144		CALL ORIGIN $(0.0.0.0)$
0145		CALL XSCALE (0.0.0.015.7.5)
0146		CALL YSCALE $(0.0.1.0.4.0)$
0147		CALL XAXIS (0.001)
0148		CALL YAXIS (0.1)
0149		DO 71 $I=1.180.60$
01 50	71	CALL XYPLT (WID(T), $RR(T)$, 60, 1, -1)
01 51		CALL ENDPLT
01 52		CALL NEWPLT (2.25.2.25.9.75)
01 53		CALL ORIGIN $(0.0.0.0)$
01 54		CALL XSCALE (0.0.0.015.7.5)
0155		CALL YSCALE (0.0.360.0.4.0)
0156		CALL XAXIS (0.001)
01 57		CALL YAXIS (30.0)
01 58		D0 73 I=1.180.60
01 59	73	CALL XYPLT (WID(I), $RPH(I)$, 60,1,-1)
0160		CALL ENDPLT
0161		CALL NEWPLT (2.25.2.25.9.75)
0162		CALL ORIGIN (0.0.0.0)
0163		CALL XSCALE (0.0.0.015.7.5)
0164		CALL YSCALE (0.0.360.0.4.0)
· · · · ·		

٠

-

•

0165		CALL XAXIS (0.001)	
0166		CALL YAXIS (30.0)	
0167		D0 74 T=1.180.60	
0168	74	CALL XYPLT (WID(T), $TPH(T)$, $60, 1, -1$)	
0169	1 '	CALL ENDPLT	
0170		CALL NEWPLT (2.25.2.25 9.75)	
0170		CALL ORIGIN $(0,0,0,0)$	
0172		CALL $XSCALF (0 0 0 015 7 5)$	
0172		CALL VSCALE $(-0.01.10.0 \ \mu 0)$,
0170		$(\Delta LL YAYTS (0 001))$	
0175		CALL VAVIS $(1, 0)$	
. 0175		$\begin{array}{c} \text{CALL IAALS (I_0)} \\ \text{DO 26 } \text{I_1 180 } \text{60} \end{array}$	
0170	75	DU () I=I,IOU,OU	
	()	CALL AIFLT (WID(1), $DD(1)$, OU , $I_{9}=1$)	
0170		CALL ENDEDT (2.24.2.24.0.84)	
0179		CALL NEWPLT $(2 \cdot 2), 2 \cdot 2), 9 \cdot 7)$	
0100		CALL URIGIN $(0,0,0,0)$	
0181		CALL ASCALE $(0.0, 0.015, 7.5)$	
0182		CALL YSCALE (0.0,15.0,4.0)	
0183		CALL XAXIS (0.001)	
0184		CALL YAXIS (1.0)	
0185	-1	D0 76 $I=1,180,60$	
0186	76	CALL XYPLT (WID(I), SWR(I), 60, 1, -1)	
0187		CALL ENDPLT	
0188		CALL LSTPLT	
0189		CALL EXIT	
0190		END	
		1	
		•	

61

•

Computer program for the calculation of the electromagnetic energy transmitted

through a lossy, inhomogeneous material in a rectangular waveguide:

FORTRAN IV G LEVEL 1, MOD 2 MAIN DATE = 69048MULTIPLE LAYER**WAVEGUIDE VANDOREN С С С С WAVE PROPAGATION THROUGH MEDIA INSIDE WAVEGUIDE С С DIMENSION SIG(500), RR(500), TT(500), SS(500) 0001 DIMENSION SWR(500), RPH(500), TPH(500), DB(500) 0002 DIMENSION REL(500), WID(500), FRE(500), XLT(500), ATT(500) 0003 COMPLEX X3, X4, GAMMA, GAMWID, ARGPJ, ARGMJ, Z0, Z1, Z2, Z3, T3, R3 0004 0005 COMPLEX T2, R2, M3(2,1), M2(2,2), M1(2,2), M23(2,1), M123(2,1) COMPLEX R, T, CMPLX, CSQRT, CEXP, CONJG, ZX 0006 0007 READ(1,100) RELDC, DRELDC, JRELDC 100 FORMAT(2F20.5, I20)8000 READ(1,200)WIDTH, DWIDTH, JWIDTH, LAYERS 0009 200 FORMAT(2F20.7.120.15)0010 READ(1, 300) FREQ, DFREQ, JFREQ 0011 0012 300 FORMAT(2E20.5.120)0013 READ(1.400)SIGMAL DSIGMA, JSIGMA 400 FORMAT(2F20.5, I20) 0014 READ(1,500)SIGMAO 0015 500 FORMAT(F20.5) 0016 READ(1,600)XLIGHT, DLIGHT, JLIGHT 0017 600 FORMAT(2E20.5, I20)0018 READ(1,700)ATTN, DATTN, JATTN 0019 700 FORMAT(2F20.5, I20) 0020 READ(1,800)XMUPDC, DMUPDC, JMUPDC 0021 800 FORMAT(2E20.5, 120) 0022 READ(1.800)XMUPAC.DMUPAC.JMUPAC 0023 0024 READ(1.800) TAUP. DTAUP. JTAUP READ(1,800)XMUNDC, DMUNDC, JMUNDC 0025
0026		READ(1.800)XMUNAC.DMUNAC.JMUNAC
0027		READ(1.800) TAUN. DTAUN. JTAUN
0028		RLIGHT=XLIGHT
0029		RATTN=ATTN
0030		RMUPDC=XMUPDC
0031		RMUPAC=XMUPAC
0032		RTAILP=TAILP
0033		RMUNDC=XMUNDC
0034		RMUNAC=XMUNAC
0035		RTAIIN=TAIIN
0036		RWTDTH=WTDTH
0037	·	RFREQ = FREQ
0038		RSTGMA=STGMAT
0039		RRELDC=RELDC
0040		WRITE(3,1500) RELDC, DRELDC, IRELDC
0041	1 500	FORMAT(///2F20.5.120)
0042	2)00	WRTTE(3,1600) WIDTH, DWIDTH, JWIDTH, LAYERS
0043	1600	FORMAT(/2F20.8.2T20)
0044	1000	WRTTE(3,1700) FREQ, DFREQ, JFREQ
0045	1700	FORMAT(/2E20.5.120)
0046	2/00	WRITE(3.1800)SIGMAO
0047		WRTTE(3,1600)STGMAT, DSTGMA, JSTGMA
0048	1800	FORMAT(//F20.5)
0049	2000	WRTTE(3, 1700) XLTGHT, DLTGHT, JLTGHT
0050		WRITE(3.1600) ATTN, DATTN, JATTN
0051		WRTTE(3,1700)XMUPDC, DMUPDC, TMUPDC
0052		WRTTE(3,1700) XMUPAC, DMUPAC, JMUPAC
0053		WRITE(3,1700) TAUP, DTAUP, JTAUP
0054		WRITE(3,1700) XMUNDC, DMUNDC, JMUNDC
0055		WRTTE(3,1700) XMUNAC, DMUNAC, IMUNAC
0056		WRTTE(3, 1700) TAUN, DTAUN, JTAUN
0057		T=0
0058		J=0
0059		ZX = (1, 0, 0, 0)
0060	Q1	J=J+1
0061	71	WRITE(3.93)2X
0062	03	FORMAT(///2F10.3//)
	7)	· ····································

- 新生活			
	0063		TWTDTH=0
	0064	1	TWTDTH=IWIDTH+1
•	0065	-	TFREQ=0
	0066	•	3 TFREQ=IFREQ+1
	0067	-	TRELDC=0
	0068		S TRFLDC=TRFLDC+1
	0000	-	OMECA = 6.28 FRFO
	0009	C	TRANSMITTED ENERGY FOR DARK CONDUCTION
	0020	Ŭ	DIFL-RFLDC#8.85F_12
	0070		$Y_1 = (3 \ 1 \ \mu/2 \ 286F_02) + +2 = 0 MFCA + 0 MFCA + 1 2 57F 0 6 + 0 TET$
	0071		$Y_2 = OMEGA * 1 2 57E = 0.5 + COMEGA OMEGA OMEGA T • 2 57E = 00 - DIEL$
•	0072		$X_2 = CMDI Y (Y_1 + Y_2)$
	0075		
	0074		
	0075		
	0070		$\Delta RCM I - C \Delta MWID$
	0077		$72 - ((0, 0, 1, 0) * 0 M F C \Delta * 1, 257 F - 06) / C \Delta M M \Delta$
	0070		$Y_1 = (0 = 0) = 0$ (0 = 0) = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 =
	0079		XIR = (1) = 1472 = 200E = 027 = 2 = 0MEGR = 0MEGR = 1 = 277E = 00 = 0.05E = 12
	0081		71 - ((0, 0, 1, 0) + 0)
	0082		23-21
	0082		20-23
	0084		ma-(2#73)/(73+72)
	0004		$R_{2} = (2^{2} - 2^{2}) / (2^{2} + 7^{2})$
	0086		$m_2 = (2 \pm 72) / (2 \pm 72)$
	0087		$R_{2} = (72 - 71) / (72 + 71)$
	0007		$M_2(1, 1) - (1, 0, 0, 0)$
	· 0000		$M_3(2, 1) - (0, 0, 0, 0)$
	0009		$M_2(1, 1) - CFYP(\Delta RCD, 1) / m_3$
	0090		$M^{2}(2,2) - CFYP(ARGMT)/T^{3}$
	0091		$M^{2}(1, 2) = R^{3}M^{2}(1, 1)$
	0092		$M_2(2 + 1) = R_2 * M_2(2 + 1)$
	0095		$M1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1 \land / m2$
	0094		$M_1(1, 2) = R^2 / T^2$
	0095		$M_1 (2 - 1) = M_1 (1 - 2)$
1	0090		$M_1 (2 2) = M_1 (1 1)$
· ·	0071		n T (•• b ••) •• n • T (T b T)
1			

en Therman and generative the respective of the second

164

0098		M23(1,1)=M2(1,1)*M3(1,1)+M2(1,2)*M3(2,1)
0099		M23(2,1)=M2(2,1)*M3(1,1)+M2(2,2)*M3(2,1)
0100		M123(1,1) = M1(1,1) * M23(1,1) + M1(1,2) * M23(2,1)
0101		M123(2,1)=M1(2,1)*M23(1,1)+M1(2,2)*M23(2,1)
0102		R=M123(2,1)/M123(1,1)
· 0103		RSQRD=R*CONJG(R)
0104		T=M3(1,1)/M123(1,1)
0105		TSQRD=T*CONJG(T)
0106		SSQRD=1.0-RSQRD-TSQRD
0107		EISQRD=M123(1,1)*CONJG(M123(1,1))
0108		EIMAG=SQRT(EISQRD)
0109		ERSQRD=M123(2,1)*CONJG(M123(2,1))
0110		ERMAG=SQRT(ERSQRD)
0111		VSWR=(EIMAG+ERMAG)/(EIMAG-ERMAG)
0112		TPHASE = -57.3 * ATAN2 (AIMAG(T), REAL(T)
0113		RPHASE=-57.3*ATAN2(AIMAG(R), REAL(R)
0114		IF(RPHASE-0.0)40,41,41
0115	40	RPHASE=360.0+RPHASE
0116	41	CONTINUE
0117		IF(TPHASE=0.0)42,43,43
0118	42	TPHASE=360.0+TPHASE
0119	43	CONTINUE
0120		OUTPUT=EIMAG
0121		DBA=(-20.0)*ALOG10(EIMAG)
0122		WRITE(3,50)
0123	50	FORMAT(///T5, 'SIGMA', T15, 'RSQRD', T25, 'TSQRD', T35, 'SSQRD',
		XT45, 'VSWR', T55, 'RPHASE', T65, 'TPHASE', T75, 'DB', T85, 'RELDC',
-		XT95, 'WIDTH', T105, 'FREQ'/)
0124		WRITE(3,900)SIGMAO, RSQRD, TSQRD, SSQRD, VSWR, RPHASE, TPHASE, DBA,
• • •		XRELDC, WIDTH, FREQ
0125	900	FORMAT(9F10.4,F10.5,E10.2)
0126	•	IMUNDC=0
0127	21	IMUNDC=IMUNDC+1
0128		IMUPDC=0
0129	19	IMUPDC=IMUPDC+1
0130		ITAUN=0
D1 31	17	ITAUN=ITAUN+1

.

0132	ITAUP=0
0133	15 ITAUP=ITAUP+1
0134	IMUNAC=0
0135	13 IMUNAC=IMUNAC+1
0136	IMUPAC=0
01 37	11 IMUPAC=IMUPAC+1
01 38	IATTN=0
0139	9 $IATTN=IATTN+1$
0140	ILIGHT=0
0141	7 ILIGHT=ILIGHT+1
0142	WRITE(3.51)
0143	51 FORMAT(///T5.'SIGMA'.T15.'RSQRD'.T25.'TSQRD'.T35.'SSQRD'
	XT45. 'VSWR'. T55. 'RPHASE'. T65. 'TPHASE'. T75. 'DB'. T85. 'RELDC'
	XT95. 'WIDTH'. T105. 'FREQ'. T115. 'XLTGHT'. T125. 'ATTN'//)
0144	DELTAZ=WIDTH/LAYERS
0145	ISIGMA=0
0146	29 ISIGMA=ISIGMA+1
0147	I=I+1
0148	$Z_{3}=Z_{0}*Z_{X}$
0149	M3(1.1)=(1.0.0.0)
01 50	$M_3(2,1) = (0,0,0,0)$
01 51	Z = WIDTH - DELTAZ/2.0
01 52	ILAYER=0
0153	23 ILAYER=ILAYER+1
	C CONDUCTIVITY VARIATION ACROSS PHOTOCONDUCTIVE SLAB
	C TRANSMITTED ENERGY FOR LIGHT CONDUCTIVITY
	C MATRIX FOR ITH LAYER
01 54	OMEGA=6.28*FREQ
0155	DIEL=RELDC*8.85E-12
01 56	X1=(3,14/2,286E-02)**2-OMEGA*OMEGA*1,257E-06*DIEL
01 57	X2=0MEGA*1.257E-06*SIGMAI
0158	X3=CMPLX(X1,+X2)
0159	GAMMA=CSQRT(X3)
0160	GAMWID=GAMMA*DELTAZ
0161	ARGPJ=GAMWID
0162	ARGMJ = -GAMWID
0163	Z2=((0.0,1.0)*OMEGA*1.257E-06)/GAMMA

the second s

0164		T3=(2*Z3)/(Z3+Z2)
0165		$R_{3} = (7.3 - 72) / (7.3 + 72)$
0166		M2(1,1) = CEXP(ARGPJ)/T3
0167		M2(2,2) = CEXP(ARGMJ)/T3
0168		M2(1,2) = R3*M2(1,1)
0160		$M_2(2, 1) - R_3 + M_2(2, 2)$
0170		$\frac{M2}{(1 + 1)} = \frac{M2}{(1 + 1)} = M2$
0170		$\frac{M}{2} \frac{1}{1} = \frac{M}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} = \frac{M}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} = \frac{M}{2} \frac{1}{2} $
0171 0172		$M_2(1, 1) = M_2(1, 1)^{-M_2(1, 1) + M_2(2, 2) + M_2(2, 1)}$
0172		$M_2(2 + 1) - M_2_2(2 + 1)$
0175		$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$
0174		
0175		ムニムーレビア147 エビ(IIYAAD IYAADG/30 30 30
0170	24	IF(ILAIER-LAIERO)(2),24,24 m2_(2*72)/(72+74)
0178	24	$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$
0170		$KL = (\Delta L - \Delta L) / (\Delta L + \Delta L)$ M1 (1 1) -1 0 / TC2
0179		$W_{1}(1_{9}1) = 1_{0}U/12$ M1(1_2)_D2/m2
0100		$W_{1}(1_{9}\mathcal{L}) = R\mathcal{L}/1\mathcal{L}$ M1(2,1) = M1(1,2)
0101		$\begin{array}{c} W_{1} \left(\mathcal{L}_{g} \perp \right) = W_{1} \left(\perp_{g} \mathcal{L} \right) \\ M_{1} \left(\mathcal{L}_{g} \right) = M_{1} \left(\perp_{g} \right) \\ \end{array}$
0102		$M_{1}(\mathcal{L}_{p}\mathcal{L}) = M_{1}(1, 1) + M_{2}(1, 1) + M_{1}(1, 2) + M_{2}(2, 1)$
0103		$M_{122}(2,1) = M_{1}(2,1) + M_{22}(1,1) + M_{1}(2,2) + M_{22}(2,1)$ M_{122}(2,1) = M_{1}(2,1) + M_{22}(1,1) + M_{1}(2,2) + M_{22}(2,1)
0186		$\frac{M_{12}}{D_{12}} = \frac{M_{12}}{M_{12}} = M_$
0105		$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{M} [\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}] / [\mathcal{M} [\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}] / [\mathcal{M} [\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}]]$
0187		$m_{-}(1 \cap O \cap M (R))$
0188		$I = (I_0 \cup j \cup 0) / MIA j (I_j I)$ $m = (I_0 \cup j \cup 0) / MIA j (I_j I)$
0180		$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$
0109		$D_{D} = 1 \cdot 0 - U = 1 = 0 = 1 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0$
0190		
0191		EDC(DD-M122/2 + 1) + C(M122/2 + 1)
0192		$EnswnD=MIC (C, I) \cap OOMOG(MIC (C, I))$ $EnswnD=M(EnswnD)$
019) 010L		UCWD-(ETMAC+EDMAC)/(ETMAC EDMAC)
0194		$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$
0195		$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$
0107		$TE(PPHAGE_O \cap) hh h c h c$
0108	1.1.	RDHVCH-3KV UTDANCH BDHVCH-3KV UTDANCH
0100	44 h.c	CUNULTUID CUNULTUID
0200	45	
0200		II(IIIA36-U ₀ U)40 ₉ 47 ₉ 47

.

•

167

.

•

0201	46	TPHASE=360.0+TPHASE
0202	47	CONTINUE
0203		DBB=-10.0*ALOG10(EIMAG*EIMAG/REAL(1.0/ZX))
0204		SIG(I) = ALOG10(1001.0*SIGMAI)
0205		RR(I) = RSQRD
0206		TT(I) = TSQRD
0207		SS(I) = SSQRD
02 08		SWR(I)=VSWR
02.09		RPH(I)=RPHASE
0210		TPH(I)=TPHASE
0211		DB(I) = -DBB
0212		REL(I) = RELDC
0213		WID(I)=WIDTH
0214		FRE(1) = FREQ*1.0E-09
0215		XLI(I)=XLIGHT
0216		ATT(I) = ATTN
0217		WRITE(3,1000)SIGMAI, RSQRD, TSQRD, SSQRD, VSWR, RPHASE, TPHASE, DBB.
	2	(RELDC, WIDTH, FREQ, XLIGHT, ATTN
0218	1000	FORMAT(9F10.4, F10.5, 3E10.2)
0219		SIGMAI=SIGMAI*DSIGMA
0220		IF(ISIGMA-JSIGMA)29,30,30
0221	30	SIGMAI=RSIGMA
0222	-	XLIGHT=DLIGHT*XLIGHT
0223		IF(ILIGHT-JLIGHT)7,8,8
0224	8	XLIGHT=RLIGHT
0225		ATTN=ATTN+DATTN
0226		IF(IATTN-JATTN)9,10,10
0227	10	ATTN=RATTN
0228		XMUPAC=DMUPAC*XMUPAC
0229		IF(IMUPAC-JMUPAC)11,12,12
0230	12	XMUPAC=RMUPAC
02 31		XMUNAC=DMUNAC*XMUNAC
02 32		IF(IMUNAC-JMUNAC)13,14,14
0233	14	XMUNAC=RMUNAC
0234		TAUP=DTAUP*TAUP
0235	-	IF(ITAUP-JTAUP)15,16,16
0236	16	TAUP=RTAUP

0237	TAUN=DTAUN* TAUN
0238	IF(ITAUN-JTAUN)17,18,18
02 39	18 TAUN=RTAUN
0240	XMUPDC=DMUPDC*XMUPDC
0241	IF(IMUPDC-JMUPDC)19,20,20
0242	20 XMUPDC=RMUPDC
0243	XMUNDC=DMUNDC*XMUNDC
0244	IF(IMUNDC-JMUNDC)21.22.22
0245	22 XMUNDC=RMUNDC
0246	WIDTH=WIDTH*DWIDTH
0247	FREQ=FREQ*DFREQ
0248	IF(IFREQ-JFREQ) 3.4.4
0249	4 FREQ=RFREQ
02 50	RELDC = RELDC + DRELDC
0251	IF(IRELDC-JRELDC)5.6.6
02 52	6 RELDC=RRELDC
0253	WIDTH=WIDTH+DWIDTH
02 54	IF(IWIDTH-JWIDTH)1,2,2
0255	2 WIDTH=RWIDTH
0256	ZX = ZX + (0.0, 0.0)
02 57	IF(J-1)91,92,92
02 58	92 CONTINUE
	C PLOT PROGRAM RR, TT, SS, RPH, TPH, SWR, AND DB VS SIGMA
0259	CALL PENPOS ('VANDOREN',8,0)
0260	CALL NEWPLT (2.25,2.25,9.75)
0261	CALL ORIGIN $(0.0,0.0)$
0262	CALL XSCALE (0.0,6.0,7.5)
0263	CALL YSCALE $(0.0, 1.0, 4.0)$
0264	CALL XAXIS (1.0)
0265	CALL YAXIS (0.1)
0266	DO 60 $I=1,93,31$
0267	60 CALL XYPLT (SIG(I), RR(I), 31, 1, -1)
0268	CALL ENDPLT
0269	CALL NEWPLT (2.25,2.25,9.75)
0270	CALL ORIGIN $(0.0,0.0)$
0271	CALL XSCALE (0.0,6.0,7.5)
0272	CALL YSCALE $(0.0, 1.0, 4.0)$

	0273		CALL XAXIS (1.0)
	0274		CALL YAXIS (0.1)
	0275		DO 61 I=1,93,31
	0276	61	CALL XYPLT $(SIG(I), TT(I), 31, 1, -1)$
	0277		CALL ENDPLT
	0278		CALL NEWPLT (2.25.2.25.9.75)
	0279		CALL ORIGIN $(0.0.0.0)$
	0280		CALL XSCALE (0.0,6.0,7.5)
	0281		CALL YSCALE $(0.0.1.0.4.0)$
	0282		CALL XAXIS (1.0)
•	0283		CALL YAXIS (0.1)
	0284		DO 62 I=1,93,31
	0285	62	CALL XYPLT $(SIG(I), SS(I), 31, 1, -1)$
	0286		CALL ENDPLT
	0287		CALL NEWPLT (2.25,2.25,9.75)
	0288		CALL ORIGIN (0.0,0.0)
	0289		CALL XSCALE (0.0,6.0,7.5)
	0290		CALL YSCALE $(0.0, 1.0, 4.0)$
	0291		CALL XAXIS (1.0)
	0292		CALL YAXIS (0.1)
	0293		D0 63 I=1,93,31
	0294		CALL XYPLT (SIG(I), $RR(I)$, 31 , 1 , -1)
	0295		CALL XYPLT $(SIG(I), TT(I), 31, 1, -1)$
	0296	63	CALL XYPLT (SIG(I), SS(I), $31, 1, \pm 1$)
	0297		CALL ENDPLT
	0298		CALL NEWPLT (2.25,2.25,9.75)
	0299		CALL ORIGIN (0.0,0.0)
	0300		CALL XSCALE (0.0,6.0,7.5)
	0301		CALL YSCALE (0.0,10.0,4.0)
	0302		CALL XAXIS (1.0)
· .	0303		CALL YAXIS (1.0)
	0 3 0 4	~	D0 64 I=1,93,31
	0305	64	CALL XYPLT (SIG(I), SWR(I), 31, 1, -1)
1	0306		CALL ENDPLT
•	0307		CALL NEWPLT (2.25,2.25,9.75)
	0308		CALL ORIGIN (0.0,0.0)
	0309		CALL XSCALE (0.0,6.0,7.5)

170

.

0310	CALL YSCALE (0.0.360.0.4.0)
0311	CALL XAXIS (1.0)
0312	CALL YAXIS (30.0)
0313	D0 65 I=1,93,31
0314	65 CALL SYPLT (SIG(I), RPH(I), 31.11)
0315	CALL ENDPLT
0316	CALL NEWPLT (2.25,2.25,9.75)
0317	CALL ORIGIN $(0.0, 0.0)$
0318	CALL XSCALE (0.0,6.0,7.5)
0319	CALL YSCALE (0.0, 360.0, 4.0)
0320	CALL XAXIS (1.0)
0321	CALL YAXIS (30.0)
0 322	DO 66 I=1,93,31
0323	66 CALL XYPLT (SIG(I), TPH(I), 31, 1, -1)
0324	CALL ENDPLT
0325	CALL NEWPLT (2.25,2.25,9.75)
0326	CALL ORIGIN (0.0,0.0)
0327	CALL XSCALE (0.0,6.0,7.5)
0328	CALL YSCALE (0.0,99.0,4.0)
0329	CALL XAXIS (1.0)
0330	CALL YAXIS (5.0)
0331	D0 67 I=1,93,31
0332	67 CALL XYPLT (SIG(I), DB(I), 29, 1, -1)
0333	CALL ENDPLT
0334	CALL LSTPLT
0335	CALL EXIT
0660	END

•

.

171

.

.

· •

APPENDIX E

MICROWAVE TRANSMISSION THROUGH A

MATERIAL WITH TIME VARYING CONDUCTIVITY

The purpose of this Appendix is to derive the expression for the time varying microwave power transmitted through a section of rectangular waveguide completely filled with an isotropic, homogeneous material with a slowly time varying conductivity. The waveguide section is shown in Figure E.1. "Slowly time varying" implies that $E(\partial \sigma/\partial t) < \langle \sigma(\partial E/\partial t) \rangle$.

Assuming only a TE_{10} mode, the incident electric field intensity is given by

$$\overline{E}_{i} = E_{o} \left[\exp j(\omega t - \beta_{o} z) \right] \sin(\pi y / y_{o}) \overline{a}_{x}, \qquad (E.1)$$

The transverse component of the incident magnetic field intensity can be determined from \overline{E}_i by

$$\overline{H}_{iy} = \frac{\partial E_i / \partial z}{-j \omega M_0} \overline{a}_y.$$
(E.2)

Hence,

$$\overline{H}_{iy} = (\beta_0 E_0 / \omega \mu_0) \left[\exp j(\omega t - \beta_0 z) \right] \sin(\pi y / y_0) \overline{a}_y. \quad (E.3)$$

The real power incident is

$$P_{i} = \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} (\overline{E}_{i} x \overline{H}_{i}^{*}) \cdot d\overline{s}/2. \qquad (E.4)$$

Inserting the expressions for \overline{E}_i and \overline{H}_i^{π} , and integrating over the waveguide cross section yields

$$P_{i} = \frac{\left|E_{o}\right|^{2} \beta_{o} x_{o} y_{o}}{4 \omega M_{o}}.$$
 (E.5)

Similarily the real power transmitted at z=d is

$$P_{t} = \frac{|E_{t}|^{2}\beta_{0}x_{0}y_{0}}{4\omega\mathcal{M}_{0}} = \frac{|T|^{2}|E_{0}|^{2}\beta_{0}x_{0}y_{0}}{4\omega\mathcal{M}_{0}} = |T|^{2}P_{1}, \quad (E.6)$$



FIGURE E.1. Microwave transmission through a rectangular waveguide containing a slab of material with a time varying conductivity

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_{1} \\ E_{r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/T_{2} & R_{2}/T_{2} \\ R_{2}/T_{2} & 1/T_{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \exp(\gamma d)/T_{3} & R_{3}\exp(\gamma d)/T_{3} \\ R_{3}\exp(-\gamma d)/T_{3} & \exp(-\gamma d)/T_{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_{t} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (E.7)$$
where

wnere

$$R_2 = (Z - Z_0)/(Z + Z_0) = -R_3$$
 (E.8)

$$T_2 = (22)/(2 + Z_0)$$
 (E.9)

$$T_3 = (2Z_0)/(Z + Z_0).$$
 (E.10)

 $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{0}}$ and \mathbf{Z} are the transverse impedances of the air filled and material filled waveguides, respectively. From equation (E.7)

$$E_{i} = \left\{ \left[\exp(\gamma d) + R_{2}R_{3} \exp(-\gamma d) \right] / (T_{2}T_{3}) \right\} E_{t}, \quad (E.11)$$

and the transmission coefficient is found to be

$$T = \frac{T_2 T_3 \exp(\gamma d)}{R_2 R_3 + \exp(2\gamma d)}.$$
 (E.12)

Forming the product (TT*) and simplifying yields

$$|T|^{2} = \frac{|T_{2}|^{2} |T_{3}|^{2} \exp(2\alpha d)}{|R_{2}|^{4} + 2Re\left[(R_{2}^{*})^{2} \exp(2\gamma d)\right] + \exp(4\alpha d)} \cdot (E.13)$$

Since usually $|R_2| < 1$, all terms in the denominator can be neglected except exp(4ad). (For the low conductivity CdS samples used in this research $R_2 \approx 0.3$). Hence,

$$|T|^{2} \approx |T_{2}|^{2} |T_{3}|^{2} \exp(-2\alpha d),$$
 (E.14)

where

$$|T_2|^2 |T_3|^2 = \frac{4|z|^2 |z_0|^2}{|z + z_0|^4}$$
 (E.15)

The impedance may be written as

$$Z_{0} = j \omega \mu_{0} / \gamma_{0} = \omega \mu_{0} / \beta_{0} \qquad (E.16)$$

$$Z = \frac{j W \mathcal{H}_{o}}{\gamma} = \frac{W \mathcal{H}_{o}}{\beta_{o}} \left[\frac{\beta \beta_{o} + j \alpha \beta_{o}}{\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2}} \right]$$
(E.17)

Inserting equations (E.16) and (E.17) into equation (E.15) and simplifying considerably, yields

$$|T_2|^2 |T_3|^2 = \frac{4\beta_0^2 (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)}{\alpha^2 + (\beta + \beta_0)^2} .$$
 (E.18)

For the TE_{10} mode in the material media

$$Y = \left[\left(\pi/y_1 \right)^2 - \omega^2 \mathcal{M}_0 \varepsilon + j \omega \mathcal{M}_0 \sigma \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (E.19)

For frequencies above cutoff $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ may be approximated by

$$\gamma \approx \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{M}_{0}\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[-1 + j(\sigma/\omega\epsilon)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (E.29)

Assuming $\sigma << \omega \epsilon$, γ may be further approximated by

$$\gamma = \alpha + j\beta = (\sigma/2) (\mathcal{M}_{o}/e)^{\frac{1}{2}} + j \omega (\mathcal{M}_{o}e)^{\frac{1}{2}} [1 + \sigma^{2}/(8\omega^{2}e^{2})]. \qquad (E.21)$$

The phase constant in the filled guide is approximately related to the phase constant of the unfilled guide by

$$\beta \approx \beta_0 \epsilon_r^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (E.22)

Using the above results equation (E.6) can be simplifyied to

$$P_{t} \approx \frac{4 \epsilon_{r}}{\left(1 + \epsilon_{r}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{4}} \left\{ \exp\left[-\sigma \left(\mathcal{M}_{o}/\epsilon\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}d\right] \right\} P_{i}. \qquad (E.23)$$

$$\sigma = q \mathcal{M}_n \left[n_0 + (\Delta n) \exp(-t/\mathcal{T}_n) \right]. \qquad (E.24)$$

Inserting equation (E.24) into equation (E.23) and simplifying yields

$$P_t(t) \approx P_o \exp[Kexp(-t/\mathcal{T}_n)],$$
 (E.25)

where

$$P_{o} = \frac{4 \epsilon_{r} P_{i}}{(1 + \epsilon_{r}^{\frac{1}{2}})^{4}} \exp\left[-q \mathcal{U}_{n} n_{o} (\mathcal{U}_{o} / \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\right]$$
(E.26)

$$K = -q \mathcal{U}_n \Delta n (\mathcal{H}_o / \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} d. \qquad (E.27)$$

If all the assumptions used in this derivation are satisfied, then P_o and K remain constant for weak illumination and equation (E.25) may be used to determine the free carrier lifetime. If the real part of the dielectric constant, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, changes slightly (<40%) with illumination intensity, the above results may still be used provided the sample thickness is properly selected as indicated in Chapter V.

VITA

Thomas Paul Van Doren was born in Perryville, Missouri, on November 23, 1940. He was graduated from St. Joseph's High School in Farmington, Missouri, in May 1958. He entered the University of Missouri - Rolla and was graduated with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering in May 1962 and a Master of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering in May 1963.

From September 1963 until September 1965 the author served as an officer with the U.S. Army Security Agency. He was employed by Collins Radio Company of Dallas, Texas, as a Microwave Systems Engineer from September 1965 until January 1967. Since January 1967 he has held the position of Instructor in Electrical Engineering at the University of Missouri - Rolla.

He is married to the former Lana Seiberling of Bonne Terre, Missouri, and they have two sons, Michael and Scott.