

Missouri University of Science and Technology Scholars' Mine

Chemistry Faculty Research & Creative Works

Chemistry

01 Jan 2013

In Vivo Ultrasonic Detection of Polyurea Crosslinked Silica Aerogel Implants

Firouzeh Sabri

Merry E. Sebelik

Ryan K. Meacham

John Dudley Boughter

et. al. For a complete list of authors, see https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/chem_facwork/2136

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/chem_facwork

Part of the Chemistry Commons

Recommended Citation

F. Sabri et al., "In Vivo Ultrasonic Detection of Polyurea Crosslinked Silica Aerogel Implants," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 8, no. 6, Public Library of Science, Jan 2013. The definitive version is available at https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066348

This Article - Journal is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry Faculty Research & Creative Works by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact scholarsmine@mst.edu.

In Vivo Ultrasonic Detection of Polyurea Crosslinked Silica Aerogel Implants

Firouzeh Sabri¹*, Merry E. Sebelik², Ryan Meacham³, John D. Boughter Jr.⁴, Mitchell J. Challis⁵, Nicholas Leventis⁶

1 Department of Physics, University of Memphis, Memphis, Tennessee, United States of America, 2 Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee, United States of America, 3 Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee, United States of America, 4 Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee, United States of America, 4 Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee, United States of America, 5 Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee, United States of America, 5 Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee, United States of America, 5 Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee, United States of America, 5 Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee, United States of America, 6 Department of Chemistry, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, Missouri, United States of America

Abstract

Background: Polyurea crosslinked silica aerogels are highly porous, lightweight, and mechanically strong materials with great potential for *in vivo* applications. Recent *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies have demonstrated the biocompatibility of this type of aerogel. The highly porous nature of aerogels allows for exceptional thermal, electric, and acoustic insulating capabilities that can be taken advantage of for non-invasive external imaging techniques. Sound-based detection of implants is a low cost, non-invasive, portable, and rapid technique that is routinely used and readily available in major clinics and hospitals.

Methodology: In this study the first *in vivo* ultrasound response of polyurea crosslinked silica aerogel implants was investigated by means of a GE Medical Systems LogiQe diagnostic ultrasound machine with a linear array probe. Aerogel samples were inserted subcutaneously and sub-muscularly in a) fresh animal model and b) cadaveric human model for analysis. For comparison, samples of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) were also imaged under similar conditions as the aerogel samples.

Conclusion/significance: Polyurea crosslinked silica aerogel (X-Si aerogel) implants were easily identified when inserted in either of the regions in both fresh animal model and cadaveric model. The implant dimensions inferred from the images matched the actual size of the implants and no apparent damage was sustained by the X-Si aerogel implants as a result of the ultrasonic imaging process. The aerogel implants demonstrated hyperechoic behavior and significant posterior shadowing. Results obtained were compared with images acquired from the PDMS implants inserted at the same location.

Citation: Sabri F, Sebelik ME, Meacham R, Boughter JD Jr, Challis MJ, et al. (2013) In Vivo Ultrasonic Detection of Polyurea Crosslinked Silica Aerogel Implants. PLoS ONE 8(6): e66348. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066348

Editor: Jeongmin Hong, University of California, Berkeley, United States of America

Received January 29, 2013; Accepted May 7, 2013; Published June 14, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Sabri et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: The first author would like to thank the FedEx Institute of Technology, University of Memphis, for financial support. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: fsabri@memphis.edu

Introduction

Design, development, and characterization of porous biomaterials is a rapidly growing area of materials science and has a broad range of applications in biomedicine and, the medical device industry [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]. Solid porous biomaterials have been developed using a variety of methods and techniques such as electrospinning, particle sintering, foaming, and sol-gel [10,11,12,13,14]. Each method of material fabrication gives rise to distinct physical and chemical features with a broad range of properties to choose from. Since different cell types require different architectures for the promotion or inhibition of adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation, each technique serves a different application window.

An important part of the design and development of new biomaterials is the ability to image and locate the inserted material after implantation with minimum harm to the patient. Implant tracking and imaging is particularly important in applications

where the implant is designed to degrade over time, or, concerns regarding implant travel exist. Integration of implants with nearby tissue is also an important aspect that can be studied if a non0invasive imaging technique exists. Imaging techniques used today include MRI, X-ray, IR, CT scan, and, ultrasound-based detection methods [15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24]. Among these techniques sound-based detection is the most versatile, innocuous, and inexpensive method currently available for diagnostic and therapeutic studies since it does not require any patient preparation and can be performed with relative ease. There is increasing evidence that patients prefer ultrasound imaging to other techniques such as MRI, X-ray, and CT scan since there is no need for invasive procedures, injection of contrasting medium, or exposure to harmful ionizing radiation [18].Furthermore, the ability to visualize tissue in real-time motion and the superior resolution of highly organized tissue such as a tendon [18] makes ultrasound imaging a valuable tool.

Table 1. Comparison of typical acoustic impedance values for common biological and biomedical materials.

Material	Impedance Z (KRayl)	Velocity V (m/s)	Density ρ (g/cm ³)
Air ²⁵	0.04	330	0.0013 (at STP)
Blood ²⁵	161	1570	1.04
Soft tissues (avg)*	163	1540	1.01–1.06
Muscle ²⁵	170	1580	1.05
Bone [†]	780	4080	1.5–2.0
Fat ²⁵	138	1450	0.94
Water ²⁵	148	1480	1.0
UHMWPE ²⁵	194	2000	0.94
Stainless steel ²⁵	4576	5800	7.93
Silicone (PDMS)^	150	1300	1.5
Aerogel (native) ²⁹	N/A	120–310	0.071–0.285

[†]Physics of the Human Body Irving P. Herman Chapter 7 Springer 2008.

*Yamauchi T, Yanai M, Takahashi S, Man NK (1996) Blood density monitoring during dialysis. Artif Organs. 9:981–985.

[•]Oppenheim IJ, Jain A, Greve DW (2003) MEMS Ultrasoinc Transducers for the Testing of Solids. IEEE transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control 50:305–311.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066348.t001

The ultrasonic properties of materials and the nature of their interaction with the incoming ultrasound wave affect the quality, clarity, sharpness, and accuracy of the ultrasound image formed during sonography. This interaction is reflected in the acoustic impedance of the material of interest which is derived from the mass density of the material and the velocity of the acoustic wave in that material [25,26]. The wave velocity is in turn affected by the material stiffness and its elastic modulus [26]. Soft biological tissue is typically modeled as a fluid [16] giving rise to acoustic impedance values in the range of 160–165 KRayl [25] while stiff porous solids such as bone have impedance values of the order of 780 KRayl [25]. Table 1 lists typical values for bulk density and wave velocity for common biological and biomedical materials and the corresponding acoustic impedance values.

Polyurea crosslinked silica aerogels (X-Si aerogel) [27] are highly porous open-pore solids developed by means of the sol-gel technique with unique and adjustable properties attractive to the biomedical industry. With pore sizes typically less than 300 nm, aerogels offer superior electric, thermal, and acoustic insulating capabilities [28,29,30,31,32] attractive to a variety of industries and applications. Recent *in vivo* [33] and *in vitro* [34,35] studies have demonstrated short and long term biocompatibility of this type of aerogel, paving the way for further understanding of the behavior of this material in a biological and physiological environment. The highly porous and mechanically strong nature of X-Si aerogel creates well-defined acoustic and ultrasonic characteristics that can be utilized for live imaging of aerogelbased implants and medical devices.

In this study, we present the first evidence that *in vivo* polyurea crosslinked silica aerogel implants can be imaged ultrasonically. A General Electric Medical Systems LogiQe diagnostic ultrasound machine was used to image X-Si aerogel implants inserted submuscularly and subcutaneously in a) freshly euthanized Sprague-Dawley rat and b) human cadaver at a 13 MHz settingsignificantly below the cavitation frequency [36]. Aerogel implants showed strong contrast compared to neighboring soft tissue and appeared isoechoic while polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) control material demonstrated hypoechoic behavior under similar conditions. Crosslinked aerogel implants were easily identified and the implant dimensions inferred from the images matched the physical size of the implants. The aerogel implants also demonstrated some hyperechoic behavior and significant posterior shadowing.

Materials and Methods

2.1 Synthesis of Aerogels

Two solutions, the first containing 3.85 mL tetramethoxysilane (TMOS) and the second one containing 4.5 mL methanol and 1.5 mL water as well as a 25 mL of 3-aminotrioxypropysilane were mixed in a 250 mL beaker with a sterile glass stirring rod. The resulting sol (colloidal suspension) was immediately poured into cylindrical molds and gelled within 60 sec while still cold. The gels were aged for 3 hrs in a methanol bath and subsequently washed with methanol (once) and four times with acetonitrile, using 4-5 times the volume of the gel for each wash. Subsequently, gels were transferred to an isocyanate bath containing 33 g of Desmodur N3200 (Bayer) in 94 mL of acetonitrile. The volume of the bath was again 4–5 times the volume of each gel. After 24 hrs, the gels were transferred to fresh acetonitrile and they were heated at 70°C for 72 hrs in a Blue-M Therm oven. At the end of the period, the gels were washed another four times with fresh acetonitrile (24 hrs each time) and then were dried by means of



Figure 1. PDMS and X-Si aerogel implants prior to implantation in Sprague-Dawley rat. Optical image of Sylgard 184 PDMS and X-Si aerogel samples prior to insertion in Sprague-Dawley rat for ultrasonic imaging.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066348.g001



Figure 2. Subcutaneous and sub muscular implant insertion in Sprague-Dawley rat. Optical images of (a) X-Si aerogel and Sylgard 184 implants being positioned in the abdominal region of a female Sprague-Dawley rat subcutaneously and sub-muscularly. (b) Abdominal section of rat sealed, arrows indicating left and right abdominal positions of four implants. (c) Ultrasound probe positioning during scanning and acquisition of images.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066348.g002

critical point drying, using liquid CO_2 in a Polaron E3000. A Table top Buehler slow speed saw was used for cutting samples into smaller geometries prior to implant procedure (Figure 1). Pigmented X-Si aerogel samples were synthesized according to previously established recipe [37].

2.2 Synthesis of Sylgard 184 PDMS

Clear Sylgard 184 samples were prepared according to the guidelines provided by the manufacturer(Dow Corning, Midland,

MI) i.e. a ratio of 10:1 elastomer prepolymer (A) to crosslinker (B). The A and B components were thoroughly mixed in a Pyrex container with a metallic spatula. The mixture was completely outgassed in a Precision Scientific No. 6500 vacuum oven for approximately 2 hrs until the mixture had no air bubbles remaining. The outgassed mixture was then poured slowly into square aluminum molds. The molds were then transferred to the vacuum oven, out-gassed one more time, and finally heat cured at 80° C for 24 hrs while under vacuum. Polymer samples were cut to



Figure 3. Pigmented and clear X-Si aerogel implants inserted subcutaneously in a human cadaver. Pigmented and clear X-Si aerogel implants positioned subcutaneously on the right cheek of human cadaver near and immediately under the bucal nerve region, before repositioning the skin flap. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066348.q003

dimensions similar to the X-Si aerogel sample prior to the implanting stage (see Figure 1).

2.3 Imaging Procedure-female Sprague-Dawley Rat

A 150 g female Sprague-Dawley rat was selected for in vivo analysis of the ultrasound properties of the PCSA implant and the polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) polymer-Sylgard 184 (Dow Corning, Midland, MI) used for comparison. The rat was sacrificed with an overdose of CO₂ immediately before implant surgery. With the rat in the supine position, the abdomen was shaved and a midline vertical incision was made from the xiphoid process inferiorly down to the pelvic inlet. A subcutaneous plane was created on the right half of the abdomen and a submuscular plane was created on the left half of the abdomen. A X-Si aerogel implant was inserted superiorly and a Sylgard 184 implant was inserted inferiorly on each side of the abdomen within the newly created pockets as shown in Figure 2a. The muscular layers and cutaneous layers were then reapproximated with an absorbable suture (Figure 2b). Ultrasound images of all four implants were obtained in a longitudinal (vertically oriented) view with a 13 MHz linear array probe generated by a General Electric Medical Systems LogiQe (Healthcare, Wauwatosa, Wisconsin) diagnostic ultrasound machine fitted with a 7.5- to 13-MHz linear array transducer (Figure 2c). This study was approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Memphis.

2.4 Imaging Procedure-Human Cadaver

A human cadaver fixed in a solution of 75.68% isopropanol, 18.92% dipropylene glycol, and 5.4% formalin was used for this section of the study. The skin over the parotid region (including some subcutaneous tissue) was reflected laterally, and a buccal branch of the facial nerve was dissected free of the surrounding parotid gland tissue. Precut segments of pigmented and clear X-Si aerogel implants were placed on the right cheek of the cadaver (Figure 3) and in one case under the buccal nerve branch. The skin flap was placed back over the implants (and the entire region) and the ultrasound probe described previously was applied to the exterior surface of the skin. Ultrasound images of both implants were recorded at a frequency setting of 13 MHz longitudinally.

Data collection from the human cadaver qualified for exempt status by the University of Tennessee Health Science Center Institutional Review Board under Federal Regulations 45 CFR 46.102(f) definition of "Human Subjects". The cadaver was collected from the Department of Anatomy & Neurobiology at UTHSC, via the anatomical bequest program. All the cadavers were donors. All donors sign a form that confirms that the donations may be used for scientific research on the donation form.

Results and Discussion

3.1 Imaging in a Hydrated Environment-Rat Model

Subcutaneous. Clear X-Si aerogel and Sylgard 184PDMS implants inserted subcutaneously were easily identifiable compared to the surrounding soft tissue when scanned at the13 MHz setting with a linear array probe (Figure 4a). Aerogel implants inserted subcutaneously appeared isoechoic, homogeneous, with some posterior shadowing. The region immediately below the implant appeared dark confirming strong ultrasound attenuation expected of aerogels and their role as a highly attenuating medium. Some echogenicity was also observed surrounding the aerogel implant. The hyperechoic behavior particularly at the boundary between the aerogel implant and the soft tissue is attributed to the strong impedance mismatch between the soft tissue and the aerogel implant. In order to calculate the acoustic velocity v and acoustic impedance Z of X-Si aerogel used in this study it was assumed that the propagation of ultrasound waves in aerogels is via the skeleton and not the medium within the porous system [29]. The ultrasound wave propagation was also treated as a one-dimensional (1D) problem where incident, reflected, and transmitted waves were all assumed to be normal to the interface. The acoustic velocity v and acoustic impedance Z of X-Si aerogel were then calculated using Equation 1 [31], [25]:

$$Z = \rho v = \rho \frac{\sqrt{Y}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \tag{1}$$



Figure 4. Ultrasonic images of subcutaneous and sub muscular implants in Sprague-Dawley rat at 13 MHz. Ultrasound images of Sylgard 184 and X-Si aerogel acquired from (a) subcutaneous and (b) submuscular abdominal implantation sites. Strong attenuation by X-Si implants has lead to significant posterior shadowing (indicated by arrow) while minimum attenuation by PDMS has created image aberrations (indicated by arrow) referred to as reverberations. Images reflect accurately the size and shape of the implants at both locations. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066348.g004



Figure 5. Ultrasonic imaging of subcutaneous X-Si aerogel implants in human cadaver at 13 MHz. (a) Ultrasonic images of pigmented and clear X-Si aerogel implants positioned immediately under the skin, in the parotid region. Some posterior shadowing is

observed. The structure of the pigmented (red) and clear aerogels were indistinguishable at the imaged frequency. (b) Parallel and (c) perpendicular orientations of clearX-Si aerogelwith respect to the facial nerve, as indicated by the arrows. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066348.g005

Where Y is the stiffness (modulus) and ρ is the mass density of the material. For a stiffness value of 120 MPa [27] and a density of 0.4 g/cm³, an acoustic velocity of 547 m/s was calculated which lead to an impedance value of $2.2 \times 10^5 \, \rm kgm^{-2} \ s^{-1}$ or 22 KRayl for the X-Si aerogel implant.

Since aerogel-based implants are expected to be used primarily in the peripheral regions of the body (at depths shallow in comparison to the bony structure of the anatomy) it is unlikely for aerogel-based implants to interfere with imaging of skeletal structure. Also, given that the propagation of sound in a porous material is governed mainly by physical characteristics such as porosity (ϕ) and tortuosity (q) [38], aerogels and bone could have distinctly different appearances. The impedance value of 22 KRayl calculated here for a X-Si aerogel implant is significantly different when compared to acoustic impedance values of common *in vivo* materials such as those listed in Table 1, further suggesting that such implants will be distinguishable when compared to other materials, in particular bone.

The subcutaneous Sylgard 184 implant on the other hand appeared hypoechoic and homogeneous, characteristics of a low attenuating medium (see Figure 4a), similar to features seen in ultrasound images of cartilage and silicone-based implants. In the case of the Sylgard 184 PDMS implant aberrations in the form of bright bands (indicated by the arrow, Figure 4a) were detected immediately below the implant and echoes received from points distal to this material were higher in intensity than echoes received from a similar depth in the imaging plane, confirming that they are indeed artifacts.

Sub-muscular. In the submuscular location, the X-Si aerogel implant appears more hypoechoic with posterior shadowing, indicating its deeper location (Figure 4b). The Sylgard 184 implant, despite being submuscular, retained imaging characteristics similar to the subcutaneous placement due to the depth. Despite the change in echogenicity with depth, the geometric identity is retained. In all cases, the ultrasound images reflect accurately the shape, size, and depth of insertion of both types of implants.

3.2 Imaging in a cadaveric environment-Human cadaver model. Both pigmented and clear aerogel implants appeared isoechoic and homogeneous (see Figure 5a) but with less posterior shadowing than in the immediate post-euthanized rat discussed in section 3.1. At the imaged frequency the pigmented and clear aerogels did not display noticeable differences except for obvious geometrical differences. Once again, the ultrasound images reflect accurately the shape, size, and depth of insertion of the implants. The clear X-Si aerogel was imaged both in directions parallel (Figure 5b) and perpendicular (Figure 5c) to the facial nerve, positioned immediately above the implant, as indicated by the arrows. Table 2 summarizes the ultrasonic responses of X-Si aerogel implants as well as Sylgard 184 implants under various conditions, as discussed in this work.

Summary and Conclusion

The ultrasonic behavior of X-Si aerogels were investigated *in vivo* both in human cadaveric and freshly euthanized animal models. The unique properties of X-Si aerogels have been successfully utilized for *in vivo* imaging at various insertion depths.

Table 2. Summary of the ultrasonic response of implants imaged under various conditions.

Material	Implant site	Response	Frequency setting (MHz)
Sylgard 184	Subcutaneous	Hypoechoic/Reverberations present	13
Sylgard 184	Submuscular	Hypoechoic	13
Clear X-Si aerogel	Subcutaneous	Hyperechoic/Isoechoic/Strong Posterior shadowing	13
Clear X-Si aerogel	Submuscular	Moderately Hypoechoic	13
Pigmented X-Si aerogel	Subcutaneous	Echogenic/Homogeneous	13
Clear X-Si aerogel	Subcutaneous	Echogenic/Homogeneous	13
	Material Sylgard 184 Sylgard 184 Clear X-Si aerogel Clear X-Si aerogel Pigmented X-Si aerogel Clear X-Si aerogel	MaterialImplant siteSylgard 184SubcutaneousSylgard 184SubmuscularClear X-Si aerogelSubcutaneousClear X-Si aerogelSubmuscularPigmented X-Si aerogelSubcutaneousClear X-Si aerogelSubcutaneousSubcutaneousSubcutaneousSubcutaneousSubcutaneousSubcutaneousSubcutaneousSubcutaneousSubcutaneousSubcutaneousSubcutaneousSubcutaneousSubcutaneous	MaterialImplant siteResponseSylgard 184SubcutaneousHypoechoic/Reverberations presentSylgard 184SubmuscularHypoechoicClear X-Si aerogelSubcutaneousHyperechoic/Isoechoic/Strong Posterior shadowingClear X-Si aerogelSubmuscularModerately HypoechoicPigmented X-Si aerogelSubcutaneousEchogenic/HomogeneousClear X-Si aerogelSubcutaneousEchogenic/Homogeneous

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066348.t002

This study demonstrates the strong potential of aerogel-based materials and scaffolds as a future biomedical material.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Dr Karyl Buddington, Director of Animal Facilities, The University of Memphis, Memphis, TN for surgical procedures.

References

- Power M, Hosticka B, Black E, Daitch C, Norris P (2001) Aerogels as biosensors: viral particle detection by bacteria immobilized on large pore aerogel. Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids 285: 303–308.
- Ren L, Tsuru K, Hayakawa S, Osaka A (2002) Novel approach to fabricate porous gelatin-siloxane hybrids for bone tissue engineering. Biomaterials 23: 4765–4773.
- Chang MC, Ko CC, Douglas WH (2003) Preparation of hydroxyapatite-gelatin nanocomposite. Biomaterials 24: 2853–2862.
- Lyons L, Cauble M, Cole JA, Sabri F (1999) Investigation of biological adhesives and polyurea-crosslinked silica-based aerogels. 76th Annual Meeting of the Southeastern Section of APS Vol. 54, No.16.
- Karageorgiou V, Kaplan D (2005) Porosity of 3D biomaterial scaffolds and osteogenesis. Biomaterials 26: 5474–5491.
- Thomas V, Dean DR, Vohra YK (2006) Nanostructured Biomaterials for Regenerative Medicine. Current Nanoscience 2: 155–177.
- Yang S, Leong KF, Du Z, Chua CK (2001) The Design of Scaffolds for Use in Tissue Engineering. Part I. Traditional Factors. Tissue Engineering 7: 679–689.
- Hong SJ, Yu HS, Kim HW (2009) Preparation of porous bioactive ceramic microspheres and in vitro osteoblastic culturing for tissue engineering application. Acta Biomaterialia 5: 1725–1731.
- Kanczler JA, Ginty PJ, Barry JJA, Clarke NMP, Howdle SM, et al (2008) The Effect of Mescenchymal Populations and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Delivered from Biodegradable Polymer Scaffolds on Bone Formation. Biomaterials 29: 1892–1900.
- Porous Polymers Michael S. Silverstein (Editor), Neil R. Cameron (Editor), Marc A. Hillmyer (Editor) Wiley.
- Li WJ, Cooper JA Jr, Mauck RL, Tuan RS (2006) Fabrication and characterization of six electrospun poly(α-hydroxy ester)-based fibrous scaffolds for tissue engineering applications. Acta Biomaterialia 2: 377–385.
- Brinker CJ, Sehgal R, Hietala SL, Deshpande R, Smith DM et al (1994) Sol-gel strategies for controlled porosity inorganic materials. Journal of Membrane Science 94 85–102.
- 13. MA PX (2004) Scaffolds for Tissue Fabrication. Mater Today 7: 30-40.
- Leventis N (2011) Polymer Crosslinked Aerogels. In: Aegerter M, Leventis N, Koebel M, editors. Aerogels Handbook - Advances in Sol-Gel Derived Materials and Technologies. Springer: New York.
- Middleton WD, Payne WT, Teefey SA, Hildebolt CF, Rubin DA, et al (2004) Sonography and MRI of the shoulder: comparison of patient satisfaction. AJR Am J Roentgenol 183: 1449–1452.
- Fundamentals of Medical Imaging Paul Suetens 2nd Edition 2009 Cambridge University Press.
- Gibbon WW, Long G, Barron DA, O'Connor PJ (2002) Complications of orthopedic implants: Sonographic evaluation. Journal of Clinical Ultrasound 30: 288–299.
- Fullerton BD (2008) High-Resolution Ultrasound and Magnetic Resonance Imaging to Document Tissue Repair After Prolotherapy: A Report of 3 Cases. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 89: 377–385.
- McNally EG (2008) Ultrasound of the small joints of the hands and feet: current status. Skeletal Radiol. 37: 99–113.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: FS. Performed the experiments: FS JDB MES RM MJC. Analyzed the data: FS JDB MES RM. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: FS NL MES JDB. Wrote the paper: FS NL MES JDB RM.

- Meacham RK, Sebelik ME, BoughterJr JD (2012) Ultrasound-Guided Fine-Needle Aspiration of the Tongue Base: A Cadaver Feasibility Study. Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery XX(X) 1–6 DOI: 10.1177/0194599812446677.
- Culjat MO, Choi M, Singh RS, Grundfest WS, Brown ER, et al (2008) White Ultrasound detection of submerged dental implants through soft tissue in a porcine model. Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry 99: 218–224.
- Huynh NT, Parker NG, He D, Ruan H, Hayes-Gill BR, et al (2011) characterization of tissue scaffolds using optics and ultrasound optical interactions with tissue and cells. xxii Book Series: Proceedings of SPIE Volume: 7897 Article Number: 789719 DOI: 10.1117/12.873302.
- Wells PNT (1999) Ultrasonic imaging of the human body. Reports on progress in physics 62: 671.
- Kherlopian AR, Song T, Duan Q, Neimark MA, Po MJ, et al (2008) A review of imaging techniques for systems biology. BMC Systems Biology, 2:74 doi:10.1186/1752-0509-2-74.
- 25. Biomaterials: An Introduction J. Park, R.S. Lakes Springer 2010 $3^{\rm rd}$ Edition.
- Laugier P, Haiat G (Editors) Bone Quantitative Ultrasound Springer Chapter 2 2011 ISBN-10: 9400700164.
- Katti A, Shimpi N, Roy S, Lu H, Fabrizio EF, et al (2006) Chemical, Physical, and Mechanical Characterization of Isocyanate Cross-linked Amine-Modified Silica Aerogels. Chem. Mater. 18: 285–296.
- Caponi S, Carini G, D'Angelo G, Fontana A, Pilla O, et al (2004) Acoustic and thermal properties of silica aerogels and xerogels. Phys. Rev. B 70: 2142041– 2142048.
- Fricke J (1986) Aerogels. Springer Proceedings in Physics. Heidelberg: Springer.
 Fricke J, Lu X, Wang P, Buttner D, Heinemen U (1992) Optimization of
- monolithic silica aerogel insulants. Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 35: 2305–2309.
 31. Gibiat V, Lefeuvre O, Woignier T, Pelous J, Phalippou J (1995) Acoustic properties and potential applications of silica aerogels. Journal of Non
- Crystalline Solids 186: 244–255. 32. Gronauer M, Fricke J (1986) Acoustic properties of microporous SiO₂-aerogel.
- Gronauer M, Fricke J (1966) Acoustic properties of microporous SiO₂-aerogei. Acustica 59: 177–181.
- Sabri F, Boughter Jr JD, Gerth D, Skalli O, Phung TCN, et al. (2012) Histological Evaluation of the Biocompatibility of Polyurea Crosslinked Silica Aerogel Implants in a Rat Model: A Pilot Study. PLoS ONE 7(12): e50686. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050686.
- Sabri F, Cole JA, Scarbrough MC, Leventis N (2012) Investigation of polyureacrosslinked silica aerogels as a neuronal scaffold: A pilot study. PLoS ONE7(3): e33242. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033242.
- Sabri F, Cole JA, Scarbrough MC, Leventis N (2011) Investigation of crosslinked silica Aerogels for implant applications. In Proceedings of the Third Annual ORNL Biomedical Science and Engineering Conference: 15–17 March 2011; Knoxville. Catalog number CFP1147G-ART.
- Introduction to Medical Imaging: Physics, Engineering and Clinical Applicaations (2010) Nadine Barrie Smith, Andrew Webb, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- Sabri F, Leventis N, Hoskins J, Schuerger AC, Sinden-Redding M, et al. (2011) Spectroscopic evaluation of polyurea crosslinked aerogels, as a substitute for

RTV-based chromatic calibration targets for spacecraft Advances in Space Research 47: $419\mathchar`-427.$

38. Allard JF, Castagnede B, Henry M, Lauriks W (1994) Evaluation of tortuosity in acoustic porous materials saturated by air. Forming of high-voltage nanosecond and subnanosecond pulses using standard power rectifying diodesA new simple circuit for producing high-voltage nano- and subnanosecond pulses at high repetition rates is presented. Output peak voltages and rise times are 6 kV, 2 ns or 8 kV, 0.1 ns. Only standard commercial components are employed. Diode parameters that limit achievable voltage levels have been studied.Rev. Sci. Instrum. 65: 754–755.