

Assessing Customer-Based Brand Equity and Brand Competitiveness of an Electronic Brand in Bangladesh

Dil Ruba Tanu¹, Selim Ahmed^{2*}, Wasib Bin Latif³

Faculty of Business Administration, Bangladesh University of Professionals, Mirpur, Dhaka¹
 World School of Business, World University of Bangladesh, Dhanmondi, Dhaka^{2&3}

dilrubatanu@yahoo.com¹

selim.ahmed@business.wub.edu.bd²

wasib.latif@business.wub.edu.bd³

*Corresponding author

Abstract- *This study measures brand equity and brand competitiveness of the Minister brand in Bangladesh. The present study investigates significant difference or conformance on five dimensions of brand equity, namely perceived quality, brand awareness, brand association, brand affection, and brand loyalty based on customers' demographic information such as gender, age, marital status and income. It also identifies significant difference or conformance on brand competitiveness based on demographics. In this study, 500 self-administered survey questionnaires were distributed to customers of Minister in Bangladesh of which 348 useful responses were returned for 69.6% valid response rate. The research data were analysed based on reliability analysis, independent samples t-tests and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS-23 version software. The research findings indicate that married customers have a better perception of brand loyalty of electronic products compared to single customers. The findings also indicate that customers aged between 21-30 years are more passionate (brand affection) about electronic goods compared to other age groups. The findings are expected to provide guidelines for enhancing the level of brand equity and competitiveness in Bangladesh's electronic industries as well as other countries.*

Keywords: *Perceived quality; brand awareness; brand association; brand affection; brand loyalty; brand competitiveness*

1. INTRODUCTION

Competitiveness has become one of the major concerns for business and trade-related activities in today's competitive environment (Karimi et al., 2013)[16]. All enterprises wish to remain competitive (Wayne-Pace and Stephan, 1996)[30]. Since competitiveness is about securing a competitive advantage (Baumann et al., 2017)[6], it has remained a principal topic of research in both marketing and management fields. Brand competitiveness is significant to outperform market rivals through producing value via a combination of price and product quality (Winzar et al., 2018)[32].

Electronics goods and services have become a major business segment in Bangladesh. It is marked as a "thrust sector" in Bangladesh's 2010 National Industrial Policy (Ahmed et al., 2016)[5]. Not long ago, Bangladeshis preferred to buy imported electronics especially from Japan and Singapore; but now local consumers have started buying locally produced electronics goods (Begum and Zami, 2018)[8]. Begum and Zami found that in 2016-2017, market demand for electronics goods rose to 1.85 million with a positive growth rate of 8.8%. Local Bangladeshi electronics brands are competing with global giants like Samsung, Singer, Sony, Mitsubishi and others. In this context, it is vital for a local brand to maintain its brand equity and competitiveness. Also, it is significant to understand consumers' perceptions of local brands. To this end, we seek to identify the determinant factors of brand equity as well as brand competitiveness.

Despite this emerging potential of the electronics industry in the Bangladeshi market, there has been a lack of research on how local companies can retain their brand equity and competitiveness. Thus, it is worth investigating the factors affecting Bangladeshi consumers' perception of these issues. Minister has emerged as a dominant local manufacturer in the home appliance based electronics industry in Bangladesh (Begum and Zami, 2018)[8]. As the electronics industry is developing rapidly in Bangladesh, Minister is performing better in the dynamic electronics environment. No other study has examined the determinants of brand equity and competitiveness in the Bangladeshi electronics industry. Therefore, it is important for Minister to have a clear understanding of its brand equity and competitiveness from the customer point of view.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Measuring Customer-Based Brand Equity

Customer-based brand equity refers to the differential effect of brand knowledge on consumer response to the marketing of the brand (Datta et al., 2017)[10]. Hence, brand equity is conceptualised from the perspective of the individual consumer. Customer-based brand equity occurs when the consumer is familiar with the brand and holds favourable, strong, and unique brand associations in the memory. According to Kotsi (2018)[19], firms measure the equity associated with their brands on a regular basis.

Firms use a simple paper and pencil instrument to measure brand equity. The advantage of this scale is not only a small number of items but also the ability to measure the individual dimensions of brand equity. Thus, measuring brand equity will enable companies to evaluate their marketing programmes. The customer-based brand equity can be measured by several factors. However, this study defines brand equity based on perceived quality, brand awareness, brand association, brand affection and brand loyalty. These five factors are derived from Aaker (1991)[1] and Keller (2003)[17].

Perceived quality is “a special type of association, partly because it influences brand associations in many contexts and partly because it has been empirically shown to affect profitability” (Aaker and Joachimsthaler, 2000)[3]. Zeithaml (1988)[34] said that perceived quality is “the consumer’s judgement about a product’s overall excellence or superiority.” The author emphasised that perceived quality is different from objective or actual quality. It is a higher-level abstraction rather than a specific attribute of a product.

Brand awareness is the extent to which consumers are familiar with the qualities or image of a particular brand of goods or services (Malik et al., 2013)[23]. It is consciousness about the firm to the consumer. It enhances the potential customer’s ability to associate with a certain company’s product or service (Langaro et al., 2018)[20]. It also recognises the availability and existence of a company’s product or service, and it is important to differentiate similar products or services from competitors. Brand awareness consists of brand recognition and brand recall performance. Brand recognition relates to consumers’ ability to confirm prior exposure to the brand when given the brand as a cue (Romaniuk et al., 2017)[27].

Brand association is anything which is deep-seated in the customer’s mind about the brand. According to Aaker (1991)[1], brand associations build and create positive attitudes and feelings towards brands in the minds of customers that enhance brand image. Thus, the brand association is the second antecedent of a proposed conceptual framework of brand image (Latif et al., 2014). Brand affection is related to the customer’s emotional behaviour. In a modern consumer attitude model, emotions create relationships between cognitive evaluations and behavioural intentions (Langner et al., 2016)[21]. Within the emotional perspective, customers will increase their affection level about brands (Barlow and Maul, 2000)[7]. Moreover, the affection level contributes positively to perceptions of the customers (Hemsley-Brown and Alnawas, 2016)[15].

Brand loyalty is at the heart of brand equity; it is a critical component of brand equity (Aaker, 1991)[1]. According to Keller (2016), brand loyalty is the central concern of brand equity. Building brand loyalty requires investments in marketing programmes that target current and potential consumers. Through marketing programmes, brand loyalty can influence the consumers’ mind-set and lead to

brand awareness, brand associations, attitude and behaviour towards the brand (Hariharan et al., 2018)[14].

2.2 Brand Competitiveness

The brand competitiveness of a firm reflects its capability to capture the market using innovative marketing ideas through its business relationships (Webster, 1988[31]; Wong and Teoh, 2015)[33]. The capability of a partner in a business relationship to successfully address opportunities depends upon its ability to contribute to the competitiveness of the partnership (Day, 1994)[11]. Having the capability to serve a larger customer base builds the competitiveness of the reseller and increases the attention that the reseller receives from brands offering competing or complementary products (Fan and Tong, 2018)[12]. The higher the competitiveness of a brand, the higher its capability to adopt innovative marketing initiatives in a competitive marketplace. Likewise, the higher the capability of a brand to adopt innovative marketing initiatives, the higher its competitiveness in a competitive marketplace (Miličević, Mihalič, and Sever, 2017)[25].

3. METHODOLOGY

The present study used self-administered survey questionnaire to determine the brand equity and brand competitiveness of Minister Brand in Bangladesh. The survey questionnaire was developed based on seven sections. The first section refers demographic information of the respondents such as gender, marital status, age and income. The second section pertains perceived quality that consists of five items. The third section consists of five items which refers brand awareness. The fourth refers brand association which consists of seven items. The fifth section is about brand affection which consists of five items. The sixth section is brand loyalty that consists of six items. The last but not least section is brand competitiveness which consists of five items. In this study, authors used five points Likert scale to measure the six research variables. These six variables items’ were adapted from previous studies such as Lee et al. (2011)[22], Tong and Halway (2009)[29], Pappu et al. (2006)[26], and Aaker (1991)[1]. This study distributed 500 survey questionnaires to the respondents who have experienced about the Minister Brand in Bangladesh. Out of 500 distributed survey questionnaires, authors received 348 useful responses that give 69.60 percent response rate. The research data were collected from various places in Bangladesh, namely Dhaka, Chittagong, Khulna, Comilla, Barisal, and Rajshahi. After collecting data it was analysed by reliability analysis, independent samples t-test and one-way ANOVA using SPSS version 23.

4. FINDINGS

4.1 Respondents’ Demographic Profile

In this study, there were 300 male respondents (86.2%), whereas female respondents numbered only 48 (13.8%). Married respondents were 315 (71.92%), and single respondents were 123 (28.08%). The respondents were of different age groups: below 20 years (3.2%), 21 - 30 years (40.8%), 31 - 40 years (40.2%), 41 - 50 years (13.5%), and above 50 years (2.3%). Married respondents were 266

(76.4%), whereas single respondents were 82 (23.6%). Regarding income, 57 (16.4%), 128 (35.8%), 90 (25.9%), 44 (12.6%), 14 (4.6%), and 13 (3.7%) respondents' income were below Taka 10000, Taka 10000 – Taka 20000, Taka 21000 – Taka 30000, Taka 31000 – Taka 40000, Taka 41000 – Taka 50000 above Taka 50000 respectively (see Table 1).

Table 1: Respondents' Demographic Profile

Description	Frequency	Percentage
Gender		
Male	300	86.2
Female	48	13.8
Age Group		
20 years or below	11	3.2
21 - 30 years	142	40.8
31-40 years	140	40.2
41 - 50 years	47	13.5
Above 50 years	8	2.3
Marital Status		
Single	82	23.6
Married	266	76.4
Income		
Below Taka 10000	57	16.4
Taka 10000 - Taka 20000	128	36.8
Taka 21000 - Taka 30000	90	25.9
Taka 31000 - Taka 40000	44	12.6
Taka 41000 - Taka 50000	16	4.6
Above Taka 50000	13	3.7

4.2 Reliability Analysis

Reliability refers to the extent to which measurements of a particular test are repeatable (Malhotra, 2010). According to Hair et al. (2010, p. 123), reliability is an “assessment of the degree of consistency between multiple measurements of variables”. In other words, “the reliability of a measure is an indication of the stability and consistency with which the instrument measures the concept and helps to assess the ‘goodness’ of a measure” (Sekaran, 2006, p. 203)[28].

The present study used Cronbach's alpha to measure the internal consistency of 34 items for perceived quality, brand awareness, brand association, brand affection, brand loyalty and brand competitiveness. Cronbach's alpha score ranges from 0 to 1, with values close to 1 indicating high consistency. When the value of

Cronbach's alpha is greater than 0.7, then the item scales are regarded as reliable (Hair et al., 2010)[13].

Table 2 illustrates the Cronbach's alpha for six dimensions of the research variables. The alpha values ranged from 0.70 to 0.77, exceeding the minimum requirement of 0.70 Cronbach's alpha without having to delete any items. Based on the reliability analysis, Cronbach's alpha value for perceived quality is 0.75, and brand awareness is 0.70. For brand association, brand affection and brand loyalty, the Cronbach's alpha values are 0.77, 0.73 and 0.74 respectively. Finally, the Cronbach's alpha value for brand competitiveness is 0.76. Since all the variables achieved the required Cronbach's alpha value, the overall instruments were deemed reliable for this study.

Table 2: Reliability Analysis of the Research Variables

Dimension	Number of Items	Cronbach's Alpha
Perceived Quality	6	0.75
Brand Awareness	5	0.70
Brand Association	7	0.77
Brand Affection	5	0.74
Brand Loyalty	6	0.73
Brand Competitiveness	5	0.76

4.3 Measuring Customer-based Brand Equity and Brand Competitiveness

The present study measures brand equity and brand competitiveness of an electronics company in Bangladesh based on six dimensions namely; perceived quality, brand awareness, brand association, brand affection, brand loyalty and brand competitiveness. These dimensions were examined by independent samples t-test and one-way ANOVA (see Table 3, 4, 5 and 6).

4.3.1 Independent Samples t-Tests

Independent samples t-tests were performed to identify the differences or conformance among customer perceptions on perceived quality, brand awareness, brand

association, brand affection, brand loyalty and brand competitiveness based on gender and marital status (Tables 3 and 4). The results of the independent samples t-tests indicate that there is no significant difference between male and female respondents on these six variables (Table 3). However, Table 4 illustrates that there is a significant difference between single and married respondents. Married customers have a better perception of brand loyalty compare to single customers ($\mu = 4.033$, $p = 0.05$). The reason is that married customers use more electronic devices for family purposes compared to the unmarried customer.

Table 3: Independent samples t-test on gender

Variable	Gender	N	Mean	t-value	Sig.
Perceived Quality	Male	300	4.1689	0.587	0.558
	Female	48	4.1285		
Brand Awareness	Male	300	4.0293	0.668	0.505
	Female	48	3.9792		
Brand Association	Male	300	4.1448	0.460	0.645
	Female	48	4.1131		
Brand Affection	Male	300	4.1100	1.151	0.250
	Female	48	4.0250		
Brand Loyalty	Male	300	4.0206	1.559	0.120
	Female	48	3.8958		
Brand Competitiveness	Male	300	4.1093	0.755	0.451
	Female	48	4.0458		

Table 4: Independent samples t-test on marital status

Variable	Marital Status	N	Mean	t-value	Sig.
Perceived Quality	Single	82	4.1729	0.188	0.851
	Married	266	4.1623		
Brand Awareness	Single	82	3.9900	-0.723	0.470
	Married	266	4.0346		
Brand Association	Single	82	4.1286	-0.262	0.793
	Married	266	4.1434		
Brand Affection	Single	82	4.0450	-1.129	0.260
	Married	266	4.1135		
Brand Loyalty	Single	82	3.9042	-1.971	0.050*
	Married	266	4.0332		
Brand Competitiveness	Single	82	4.1250	0.459	0.647
	Married	266	4.0932		

Note: * variable is significant at the 0.05 level

4.3.2 ANOVA Tests

According to Hair et al. (2010), ANOVA is a statistical technique for testing whether there is no significant difference between two or more population means. This study used one-way ANOVA to investigate the significant difference or conformance among age groups and income of the respondents. According to the results of ANOVA tests, there is a significant difference among the different age groups on brand affection ($F = 2.834$, $p = 0.025$). The results indicate that those customers aged between 21 - 30

years are more passionate about the electronic products ($\mu = 4.1282$) compared to other age groups (see Table 5). The ANOVA results also indicate that a significant difference among the different customer income groups concerning brand association ($F = 3.390$, $p = 0.005$). Customers with incomes between Tk 41000 – Tk 50000 have a better perception of the brand association of the electronic items compared to other income groups (see Table 6).

Table 5: One-way ANOVA tests on age group

Variable	Age group	N	Mean	F-value	Sig.
Perceived Quality	20 years or below	11	3.9545	1.334	0.257
	21 - 30 years	142	4.1890		
	31-40 years	140	4.1262		
	41 - 50 years	47	4.2376		
	Above 50 years	8	4.2083		
Brand Awareness	20 years or below	11	3.8364	1.192	0.314
	21 - 30 years	142	4.0056		
	31-40 years	140	4.0157		
	41 - 50 years	47	4.0979		
	Above 50 years	8	4.2500		
Brand Association	20 years or below	11	3.9481	1.379	0.241
	21 - 30 years	142	4.1630		
	31-40 years	140	4.1010		
	41 - 50 years	47	4.2128		
	Above 50 years	8	4.2679		
Brand Affection	20 years or below	11	4.0364	2.834	0.025*
	21 - 30 years	142	4.1282		
	31-40 years	140	4.0386		
	41 - 50 years	47	4.1191		
	Above 50 years	8	4.0750		
Brand Loyalty	20 years or below	11	3.7879	0.768	0.546
	21 - 30 years	142	4.0035		
	31-40 years	140	3.9940		
	41 - 50 years	47	4.0567		
	Above 50 years	8	4.1458		
Brand Competitiveness	20 years or below	11	4.0000	0.417	0.796
	21 - 30 years	142	4.1423		
	31-40 years	140	4.0729		
	41 - 50 years	47	4.0894		
	Above 50 years	8	4.0500		

Note: * variable is significant at the 0.05 level

Table 6: One-way ANOVA tests on income

Variable	Age group	N	Mean	F-value	Sig.
Perceived Quality	Below Tk 10000	57	4.1667	1.684	0.138
	Tk 10000 - Tk 20000	128	4.1797		
	Tk 21000 - Tk 30000	90	4.1352		
	Tk 32000 - Tk 40000	44	4.0568		
	Tk 41000 - Tk 50000	16	4.4063		
	Above Tk 50000	13	4.2436		
Brand Awareness	Below Tk 10000	57	4.0561	0.435	0.824
	Tk 10000 - Tk 20000	128	4.0281		
	Tk 21000 - Tk 30000	90	3.9911		
	Tk 32000 - Tk 40000	44	3.9682		
	Tk 41000 - Tk 50000	16	4.1125		
	Above Tk 50000	13	4.1077		
Brand Association	Below Tk 10000	57	4.1378	3.390	0.005**

	Tk 10000 - Tk 20000	128	4.1652		
	Tk 21000 - Tk 30000	90	4.0381		
	Tk 32000 - Tk 40000	44	4.1039		
	Tk 41000 - Tk 50000	16	4.4375		
	Above Tk 50000	13	4.3736		
Brand Affection	Below Tk 10000	57	4.0842	1.347	0.244
	Tk 10000 - Tk 20000	128	4.1078		
	Tk 21000 - Tk 30000	90	4.0467		
	Tk 32000 - Tk 40000	44	4.0682		
	Tk 41000 - Tk 50000	16	4.2250		
	Above Tk 50000	13	4.3692		
Brand Loyalty	Below Tk 10000	57	3.9561	0.565	0.727
	Tk 10000 - Tk 20000	128	4.0078		
	Tk 21000 - Tk 30000	90	3.9981		
	Tk 32000 - Tk 40000	44	3.9697		
	Tk 41000 - Tk 50000	16	4.1354		
	Above Tk 50000	13	4.1538		
Brand Competitiveness	Below Tk 10000	57	4.0807	0.618	0.686
	Tk 10000 - Tk 20000	128	4.1109		
	Tk 21000 - Tk 30000	90	4.0622		
	Tk 32000 - Tk 40000	44	4.0727		
	Tk 41000 - Tk 50000	16	4.2750		
	Above Tk 50000	13	4.2308		

Note: ** variable is at the 0.01 level (2 tailed).

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Brand power is critical for any corporate business organisation in today's market. Since its inception, it has been a top priority for marketing scholars and academicians. Nevertheless, the concept of brand competitiveness is emerging, especially in developing economies. Brand name alone cannot build a brand. Establishing a market-leading brand capacity has been indispensable for competitiveness in the long run (Butkus and Masullo, 2016)[9]. Brand competitiveness can facilitate market share, maintain profitability, enable business firms to impose higher price and generate customer loyalty (Ahmad and Sapry, 2008)[4]. Surprisingly, studies have not paid much attention to understanding the brand competitiveness of a particular industry segment. Hence, the present study has measured brand equity and brand competitiveness of Minister Electronics in Bangladesh to identify the customers' perception.

The findings indicate that married customers have better brand loyalty to Minister compared to unmarried customers. Also, young customers (age 21-30 years) have better brand affection compared to other age groups. Young customers are more passionate about electronics brand compared to others. Also, customers with incomes ranging from Tk 41000 – Tk 50000 are happy with the brand association of Minister compared to other income groups. To meet different customer expectations, the Minister Company needs to create a unique and favourable brand to provide customers with a reason to buy their products.

6. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

This study focused solely on the Minister brand of Bangladesh, and thus the results might not apply to other companies. Since this study covered only one electronic company in Bangladesh, future research is suggested to include other companies to measure customer perception on perceived quality, brand awareness, brand association, brand affection, brand loyalty and brand competitiveness of the electronic brand in different countries, different cultures, different demographic groups, using probability sampling techniques to ensure the generalizability of results.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] Aaker, D. A. (1991). Managing brand equity: Capitalizing on the value of a brand name. New York: Free Press.
- [2] Aaker, D. A., & Equity, M. B. (1991). Capitalizing on the Value of a Brand Name. New York, 28, 35-37.
- [3] Aaker, D. A., & Joachimsthaler, E. (2000). The brand relationship spectrum: The key to the brand architecture challenge. California management review, 42(4), 8-23.
- [4] Ahmad, A. R., & Sapry, M. (2008). Brand competitiveness: a study of cement brand in Malaysia. International Accounting and Business Conference 2008, Johor, Malaysia.
- [5] Ahmed, J. U., Uddin, M. J., Farnaz, N., & Ali, M. A. (2016). National Fans Limited of Bangladesh. Asian Case Research Journal, 20(02), 351-372.

- [6] Baumann, C., Hoadley, S., Hamin, H., & Nugraha, A. (2017). Competitiveness vis-à-vis service quality as drivers of customer loyalty mediated by perceptions of regulation and stability in steady and volatile markets. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 36, 62-74.
- [7] Barlow, J., & Maul, D. (2000). *Emotional value: Creating strong bonds with your customers*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- [8] Begum, F. S., & Zami, T. (2018). Domestic electrical and electronics products gaining consumer confidence, *The Financial Express*, 18th May, 2018. Retrieved from <https://thefinancialexpress.com.bd/views/domestic-electrical-and-electronics-products-gaining-consumer-confidence-1526224882>, Accessed on 26th October, 2018.
- [9] Butkus, M. & Masullo, R. (2016). Evaluation Of Brand Competitiveness: Regression Analysis Approach. 9th International Scientific Conference "Business and Management 2016, May 12–13, 2016, Vilnius, Lithuania.
- [10] Datta, H., Ailawadi, K. L., & van Heerde, H. J. (2017). How well does consumer-based brand equity align with sales-based brand equity and marketing-mix response?. *Journal of Marketing*, 81(3), 1-20.
- [11] Day, G. S. (1994). The capabilities of market-driven organizations. *the Journal of Marketing*, 37-52.
- [12] Fan, Y., & Tong, L. (2018). Research on Evaluation Index System of Enterprise Brand Competitiveness: Taking Liquor Industry as an Example. In *Recent Developments in Data Science and Business Analytics* (pp. 131-138). Springer, Cham.
- [13] Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis: A global perspective*. 7th edition, New Jersey: Pearson.
- [14] Hariharan, V. G., Desai, K. K., Talukdar, D., & Inman, J. J. (2018). Shopper marketing moderators of the brand equity-behavioral loyalty relationship. *Journal of Business Research*, 85, 91-104.
- [15] Hemsley-Brown, J., & Alnawas, I. (2016). Service quality and brand loyalty: the mediation effect of brand passion, brand affection and self-brand connection. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 28(12), 2771-2794.
- [16] Karimi, S., Irandoost, M., & Anwar Khosravi, A. (2013). Investigating the Factors Affecting the Competitiveness of Small and Medium Companies in Sanandaj. *European Online Journal of Natural and Social Sciences*, 2(3), 3241-3250.
- [17] Keller, K. L. (2003). Brand synthesis: The multidimensionality of brand knowledge. *Journal of consumer research*, 29(4), 595-600.
- [18] Keller, K. L. (2016). Reflections on customer-based brand equity: perspectives, progress, and priorities. *AMS review*, 6(1-2), 1-16.
- [19] Kotsi, F., Pike, S., & Gottlieb, U. (2018). Consumer-based brand equity (CBBE) in the context of an international stopover destination: Perceptions of Dubai in France and Australia. *Tourism Management*, 69, 297-306.
- [20] Langaro, D., Rita, P., & de Fátima Salgueiro, M. (2018). Do social networking sites contribute for building brands? Evaluating the impact of users' participation on brand awareness and brand attitude. *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 24(2), 146-168.
- [21] Langner, S., Schmidt, S., Wiedmann, K. P., Haase, J., & Fritz, S. (2016). Make Brand Love, Not War: The Power of Combining Explicit and Implicit Brand Attitude Measurement to Detect Brand Affection. In *Let's Get Engaged! Crossing the Threshold of Marketing's Engagement Era* (pp. 327-327). Springer, Cham.
- [22] Lee, H. M., Lee, C. C., & Wu, C. C. (2011). Brand image strategy affects brand equity after M&A. *European journal of marketing*, 45(7/8), 1091-1111.
- [23] Malik, M.E., Ghafoor, M.M., Iqbal, H.K., Riaz, U., Hassan, N., Mustafa M. & Shahbaz, S. (2013). Importance of brand awareness and brand loyalty in assessing purchase intentions of consumer. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 4(5), 167-171.
- [24] Malhotra, N. (2010). *Marketing Research: An Applied Orientation*. 6th edition, Pearson education, Inc.
- [25] Miličević, K., Mihalič, T., & Sever, I. (2017). An investigation of the relationship between destination branding and destination competitiveness. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 34(2), 209-221.
- [26] Pappu, R., Quester, P. G., & Cooksey, R. W. (2006). Consumer-based brand equity and country-of-origin relationships: some empirical evidence. *European Journal of marketing*, 40(5/6), 696-717.
- [27] Romaniuk, J., Wight, S., & Faulkner, M. (2017). Brand awareness: revisiting an old metric for a new world. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 26(5), 469-476.
- [28] Sekaran, U. (2006). Research methods for business: a skill building approach. *Journal of Education for Business*, 68(5), 316-317.
- [29] Tong, X., & Halwey, J. M. (2009). Measuring customer-based brand equity: empirical evidence from the sportswear market in China. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 18(4), 262–271.
- [30] Wayne-Pace, R., & Stephan, E.G. (1996). Paradigms of competitiveness. *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal*, 6(1), 8-13.
- [31] Webster Jr, F. E. (1988). The rediscovery of the marketing concept. *Business horizons*, 31(3), 29-39.
- [32] Winzar, H., Baumann, C., & Chu, W. (2018). Brand competitiveness: Introducing the customerbased brand value (CBBV) – competitiveness chain.

- International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 30(1), 637-660.
- [33] Wong, P. P., & Teoh, K. (2015). The influence of destination competitiveness on customer-based brand equity. *Journal of destination marketing & management*, 4(4), 206-212.
- [34] Zeithaml, V. A. (1988). Consumer perceptions of price, quality, and value: a means-end model and synthesis of evidence. *The Journal of marketing*, 2-22.