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#### Abstract

Based on multiepoch observations at 15 and 43 GHz with the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA), we detect significant angular expansions between the two hot spots of four compact symmetric objects (CSOs). From these relative motions we derive kinematic ages of between 300 and 1200 yr for the radio emission. These ages lend support to the idea that CSOs are produced in a recent phase of activity. These observations also allow us to study the evolution of the hot spots dynamically in individual sources. In all four sources the hot spots are separating along the source axis, but in $1031+567$ the tip of the hot spot appears to be moving almost orthogonally to the source axis. Jet components, seen in three of the four sources observed, are found to be moving relativistically outward from the central engines toward the more slowly moving hot spots.


Subject headings: galaxies: active - galaxies: nuclei - radio continuum: galaxies techniques: interferometric

## 1. INTRODUCTION

While most radio galaxies exhibit an asymmetric, core-jet morphology on the parsec scale, approximately $7 \%$ of radio galaxies in complete, flux-limited samples display parsecscale jets and lobe emission on both sides of a central engine (Taylor et al. 1996). This emission is thought to be free of Doppler boosting effects (Wilkinson et al. 1994), and the sources are believed to be physically small as opposed to appearing small as a result of projection.

The measurement of a kinematic age for the compact symmetric object (CSO) $0710+439$ by Owsianik \& Conway (1998) of just $1100 \pm 100$ yr lent strong support to the theory that CSOs are small by virtue of their youth and not because of confinement. This was the favored interpretation by Phillips \& Mutel (1980, 1982), who first drew attention to a group of four compact double sources with steep spectra and slow motions compared to the majority of corejet sources. Although further multifrequency VLBI observations have revealed that two of these (CTD 93, Shaffer, Kellermann, \& Cornwell 1999; 3C 395, Taylor 2000) are actually asymmetric core-jet sources, many of the speculations of Phillips \& Mutel have been borne out. Their misidentification of two sources emphasizes the fact that sensitive multifrequency VLBI observations are required to demonstrate symmetric structure on the parsec scale. In particular, not all (or even most) gigahertz peaked spectrum (GPS) radio galaxies belong to the CSO class. Failure to find any evidence of the extremely dense environment required to confine CSOs, along with some indirect age measurements, also led Readhead et al. (1996b) to favor the idea that CSOs are young. In fact, angular separation rates for the CSOs measured to date indicate typical hot-spot velocities of $\sim 0.1 h^{-1} c$ (e.g., Owsianik, Conway, \& Polatidis 1998; Owsianik \& Conway 1998; Polatidis et al. 1999). Such speeds are about an order of magnitude larger than

[^0]predicted by Readhead et al. (1996b) and so indicate even younger ages.

The evolution of CSOs is of considerable current interest. To understand the evolution of radio galaxies, we need to be able to recognize the evolutionary state of any given galaxy. Readhead et al. (1996a) have proposed an evolutionary model for powerful radio sources in which CSOs evolve first into compact steep spectrum doubles and then into large Fanaroff-Riley (1974) type II objects. Based on a different sample of somewhat larger objects, Fanti et al. (1995) reached the same conclusions. Measurements of source ages are crucially important to the understanding of the evolution of this intriguing class of objects.

In 1994 Taylor, Readhead, \& Pearson (1996, hereafter TRP96) performed Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) ${ }^{4}$ observations of four CSOs from the Pearson-Readhead (PR) survey (Pearson \& Readhead 1988) at 15 GHz in order to pinpoint their centers of activity. The results were surprising in that the components inferred to be the cores, because of strongly inverted spectra and compactness, were found not to be associated with the strongest central components seen at 5 GHz and lower frequencies but instead were unresolved components very close to the midway point between the hot spots (e.g., Fig. 1). The bright components seen at 5 GHz (in some cases previously misidentified as cores) turn out to be one-sided jets. To further characterize the properties of the core components, we carried out 43 GHz VLBA observations of several PR CSOs in 1996 and 1999.

In § 3 we present multiepoch VLBA observations at 15 and 43 GHz for four CSOs from the PR survey. These results are used in § 4 to measure the advance speeds of the hot spots and thereby to determine kinematic age estimates. Velocity measurements are expressed in terms of $h=$ $H_{0} / 100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$, and where physical scales are quoted (or drawn), we assume $h=0.65$.

[^1]

Fig. 1.-Naturally weighted 15 GHz VLBI image of $0108+388$ from the 1997.092 February epoch. Contours are drawn at $-0.4,0.4,0.8,1.6$, $3.2, \ldots, 64 \mathrm{mJy}^{\text {beam }}{ }^{-1}$. The peak in the image is 123 mJy beam $^{-1}$. The cross marks the location of the center of activity as discussed in the text. The synthesized beam FWHM is drawn in the lower left-hand corner and has dimensions $0.83 \times 0.62$ mas in position angle $-4^{\circ}$. Arrows indicate the direction of component motions, but the lengths have been magnified by a factor of 5 for legibility.

## 2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Observations were made at 15 and 43 GHz using the VLBA telescope at multiple epochs between 1994 and 1999. The details of the observations are provided in Table 1. All sources were observed with five to eight scans spread across a wide range in hour angle in order to obtain good ( $u, v$ ) coverage. The VLBA correlator produced typically 16 frequency channels across every 8 MHz of observing bandwidth in each 2 s integration period.

Calibration procedures were followed for the 15 GHz data in a manner similar to that used for the first-epoch observations as described in TRP96. At 43 GHz the delays and intermediate-frequency (IF) phase offsets were determined from the injected pulse calibration and a short observation of the strong calibrator 3C 84. The data were then averaged in frequency to a single channel of 64 MHz . No global fringe fitting was attempted as the scatter in the solutions so derived was judged to be worse than the small residual delay error. After phase self-calibration with a 10 s solution interval and a point-source model, the data were coherently averaged to 10 s integrations. All editing, imaging, deconvolution, and self-calibration were performed using Difmap (Shepherd, Pearson, \& Taylor 1994, 1995). Several iterations of phase self-calibration and imaging were performed with each data set before any attempt at amplitude self-calibration, and no amplitude self-calibration was attempted at 43 GHz . No reliable 43 GHz image could be obtained for the weakest source, $0108+388$. At each iteration, windows for clean components were added, if necessary, to provide support and reject sidelobes.

Once the data were completely self-calibrated, Gaussian model fitting was performed using Difmap. To determine relative motions, the component shapes and sizes were frozen in the model fitting to equal the fitted values in the first epoch, while the flux densities and positions of components were allowed to vary. The AIPS task JMFIT was also used to perform Gaussian model fitting to the hot spots in the image plane. In all cases the AIPS JMFIT produced very similar results to fitting the visibility data.

## 3. RESULTS

A history of the VLBI observations of each source studied herein can be found in TRP96. For convenience, source identifications and redshifts are given in Table 2, and measurements of core properties are summarized in Table 3. Here we describe our velocity measurements and compare them with recent results in the literature. We compute the kinematic age of the source in its rest frame, $\tau_{k}$, as $\tau_{k}=\theta_{\mathrm{hs}} / \mu_{\mathrm{hs}}(1+z)$, where $\theta_{\mathrm{hs}}$ is the angular separation of

TABLE 1
Observational Parameters

| Source | Date | Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{GHz})$ | Bandwidth $^{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Scan <br> Length <br> $($ minutes $)$ | Total <br> Time <br> $(\mathrm{hr})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0108+388 \ldots \ldots$ | 1994.971 | 15.4 | 16 | 26 | 2.2 |
|  | 1996.594 | 43.2 | 64 | 5 | 1.3 |
|  | 1997.092 | 15.4 | 64 | 5 | 4.3 |
|  | 1999.587 | 15.4 | 64 | 5 | 1.2 |
| $0710+439 \ldots \ldots$ | 1999.587 | 43.2 | 64 | 7 | 1.6 |
|  | 1996.594 | 15.4 | 16 | 13 | 1.3 |
|  | 1999.587 | 15.4 | 64 | 5 | 1.3 |
| $1031+567 \ldots .$. | 1995.587 | 43.2 | 64 | 5 | 1.2 |
|  | 1999.587 | 15.4 | 64 | 7 | 1.5 |
| $2352+495 \ldots .$. | 1994.971 | 15.4 | 16 | 13 | 1.4 |
|  | 1994.971 | 43.2 | 64 | 5 | 1.0 |
|  | 1999.587 | 15.4 | 64 | 26 | 3.5 |

[^2]TABLE 2
Source Identifications

| Source <br> (1) | R.A. <br> (2) | Decl. <br> (3) | $V$ <br> (4) | ID <br> (5) | $z$ <br> $(6)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0108+388 \ldots \ldots$ | 011137.3118 | 390628.110 | 22 | G | 0.699 |
| $0710+439 \ldots \ldots$ | 071338.1766 | 434917.005 | 21 | G | 0.518 |
| $1031+567 \ldots \ldots$ | 103507.0451 | 562846.697 | 20 | G | 0.4597 |
| $2352+495 \ldots \ldots$ | 235509.4539 | 495008.362 | 20 | G | 0.237 |

[^3]the hot spots and $\mu_{\text {hs }}$ is the angular separation rate of the hot spots.
$$
\text { 3.1. } 0108+388
$$

In Figure 1 we show a naturally weighted 15 GHz image of $0108+388$. This image shows continuous emission connecting the two main components (labeled C1-C7). Component C3 was identified as the core based on its inverted spectrum and compactness (TRP96; see also Table 3). A detailed spectral analysis between 1.6 and 15 GHz has been carried out by Marr, Taylor, \& Crawford (2000a, 2000b), who find that while C3 is likely to have a spectral turnover at a high frequency as a result of synchrotron selfabsorption, the spectra of other components turn over around 5 GHz because of free-free absorption by an ionized disk centered on the nucleus. More evidence for a relatively dense circumnuclear environment comes from H I absorption measurements by Carilli et al. (1998), who find an optical depth of $0.44 \pm 0.04$ and an implied column density of $80.7 \times 10^{18} T_{s} / f \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$, where $T_{s}$ is the spin temperature and $f$ is the H I covering factor. Owsianik et al. (1998) measured an angular separation rate for the outer components (C1 and C7) of $9.3 \pm 1.2 \mu \mathrm{as} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ from three epochs of global VLBI observations at 5 GHz spread over 12 yr .

Given the identification of C 3 as the core in $0108+388$, the relative velocity of $0.57 \pm 0.35 h^{-1} c$ (see Fig. 1 and Table 4) is at first glance somewhat alarming since one traditionally assumes the core component to be stationary. The core component, however, is likely to be just the optically thick base of the jet (Blandford \& Königl 1979) and could well appear to move in either direction along the jet axis as new jet components emerge. In one of the few cases
in which absolute motions have been obtained, there is evidence that the "core" of $1928+738$ moves in this way (Ros et al. 1999). For this reason, the core component makes a poor choice as a reference feature. Instead we adopt the westernmost component (C1) as the reference feature. As we will argue, this is likely to be a subrelativistic hot spot. This choice is somewhat arbitrary, and we could just as well have taken the easternmost hot spot (C7) as a reference.

From our three VLBA epochs at 15 GHz we find a separation rate for C 1 and C 7 of $11 \pm 2 \mu \mathrm{as} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, which is in agreement with the measurements of Owsianik et al. (1998) and also with recent measurements at 8.4 GHz (Polatidis et al. 1999). Our kinematic age estimate for $0108+388$ is $310 \pm 70$ yr. Assuming that C1 and C7 are moving apart at equal speeds, this gives each an advance speed of $0.12 h^{-1} c$. The best-fit models from which these velocities were derived are listed in Table 4. A significant velocity of $0.79 \pm 0.04 h^{-1} c$ is found for component C5, although, since this is relative to C 1 , the true velocity of C 5 is likely to be smaller by $0.12 h^{-1} c$.

Because of the low flux density of $0108+388$ at 43 GHz , it was not possible to self-calibrate the data and make an image. Even so, we can still estimate that the core flux density must be less than $\sim 40 \mathrm{mJy}$ at 43 GHz , otherwise it would have been readily detected. This indicates that the spectral index is not as steeply inverted as at the lower frequencies (see Table 3).

## 3.2. $0710+439$

Owsianik \& Conway (1998) reported the first significant detection of a hot-spot advance speed based on five epochs on $0710+439$ at 5 GHz between 1980 and 1993. The hot

TABLE 3
Core Properties

|  | $S_{c}$ | $S_{c}$ | $S_{c}$ | $\alpha_{c}$ | $\alpha_{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | $(8 \mathrm{GHz})$ | $(15 \mathrm{GHz})$ | $(43 \mathrm{GHz})$ | $(8-15)$ | $(15-43)$ |
| $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| $0108+388 \ldots \ldots$ | $6.3 \pm 1$ | $14 \pm 2$ | $<40$ | $1.3 \pm 0.4$ | $<1.0$ |
| $0710+439 \ldots \ldots$ | $42 \pm 4$ | $45 \pm 5$ | $37 \pm 7$ | $0.1 \pm 0.3$ | $-0.2 \pm 0.3$ |
| $1031+567 \ldots \ldots$ | $<3$ | $<2$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $2352+495 \ldots \ldots$ | $13 \pm 3$ | $12 \pm 3$ | $6.5 \pm 1$ | $-0.1 \pm 0.7$ | $-0.6 \pm 0.4$ |

[^4]TABLE 4
Gaussian Model and Relative Proper Motions for $0108+388$

| Component | Epoch | $\begin{gathered} S \\ (\mathrm{Jy}) \end{gathered}$ | $r$ (mas) | $\begin{gathered} \theta \\ (\mathrm{deg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} a \\ \text { (mas) } \end{gathered}$ | $b / a$ | $\begin{gathered} \Phi \\ (\mathrm{deg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \\ \left(\mu \mathrm{as} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} v \\ \left(h^{-1} c\right) \end{gathered}$ | P.A. <br> (deg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 ......... | 1994.971 | 0.119 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.53 | 0.74 | -30.5 |  |  |  |
|  | 1997.092 | 0.115 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.53 | 0.74 | -30.5 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.105 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.53 | 0.74 | -30.5 | reference |  |  |
| C2 ......... | 1994.971 | 0.033 | 0.894 | 91.85 | 0.82 | 0.72 | 66.4 |  |  |  |
|  | 1997.092 | 0.027 | 0.931 | 92.42 | 0.82 | 0.72 | 66.4 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.023 | 0.963 | 92.90 | 0.82 | 0.72 | 66.4 | $16 \pm 20$ | $0.35 \pm 0.44$ | 106 |
| C3 ......... | 1994.971 | 0.010 | 2.510 | 55.98 | 0.29 | 1.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 1997.092 | 0.014 | 2.507 | 55.87 | 0.29 | 1.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.012 | 2.615 | 55.59 | 0.29 | 1.0 | 0.0 | $26 \pm 16$ | $0.57 \pm 0.35$ | 48 |
| C4......... | 1994.971 | 0.037 | 3.664 | 54.76 | 0.89 | 0.20 | 74.7 |  |  |  |
|  | 1997.092 | 0.030 | 3.750 | 54.58 | 0.89 | 0.20 | 74.7 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.024 | 3.664 | 54.26 | 0.89 | 0.20 | 74.7 | $23 \pm 20$ | $0.51 \pm 0.44$ | 44 |
| C5 ......... | 1994.971 | 0.054 | 4.022 | 53.04 | 0.17 | 0.28 | -76.6 |  |  |  |
|  | 1997.092 | 0.061 | 4.097 | 53.60 | 0.17 | 0.28 | -76.6 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.069 | 4.170 | 53.99 | 0.17 | 0.28 | -76.6 | $36 \pm 2$ | $0.79 \pm 0.04$ | 79 |
| C6 ......... | 1994.971 | 0.104 | 4.856 | 57.68 | 0.93 | 0.37 | -84.8 |  |  |  |
|  | 1997.092 | 0.089 | 4.859 | 57.68 | 0.93 | 0.37 | -84.8 |  |  |  |
|  | 1997.092 | 0.083 | 4.844 | 57.44 | 0.93 | 0.37 | -84.8 | $4.7 \pm 6$ | $0.10 \pm 0.12$ | -67 |
| $\mathrm{C} 7 \ldots . . . . . .$ | 1994.971 | 0.172 | 5.830 | 59.84 | 0.47 | 0.48 | -79.3 |  |  |  |
|  | 1997.092 | 0.152 | 5.841 | 59.88 | 0.47 | 0.48 | -79.3 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.130 | 5.878 | 59.98 | 0.47 | 0.48 | -79.3 | $11 \pm 2$ | $0.24 \pm 0.04$ | 76 |

Note.-Parameters of each Gaussian component of the model brightness distribution: flux density $S$; polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$ of the center of the component relative to an arbitrary origin, with polar angle measured from north through east; major and minor axes $(a, b)$ of the FWHM contour; position angle $\Phi$ of the major axis measured from north through east; relative proper motion $\mu$ of the component; relative projected velocity $v$ in units of $h^{-1} c\left(h=H_{0} / 100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}\right)$, along the given position angle (P.A.).
spots (components A2 and C2) were found to have a separation rate of $14 \pm 1.6 \mu$ as $^{-1}{ }^{-1}$.

In Figure 2 we show our second-epoch 15 GHz observations with motions derived from the two epochs indicated by arrows. Components A and C are both leading-edgebrightened with emission fading gradually toward the center of the source. Component B is more compact at the southern end and becomes wider to the north with an opening angle of $\sim 20^{\circ}$. At the base of the jet a compact
inverted spectrum component (B5 in Fig. 2) was identified and inferred to be the core by TRP96. Gaussian model fits and component motions are given in Table 5. In general, our 15 GHz model components correspond to the 5 GHz model of Owsianik \& Conway (1998), but at 15 GHz the extended components A1, B1, and C1 are resolved out. The other difference in the 5 and 15 GHz models is the core component (B5), which was too weak to be included in the 5 GHz model.

TABLE 5
Gaussian Model and Relative Proper Motions for $0710+439$

| Component | Epoch | $\underset{(\mathrm{Jy})}{S}$ | $\begin{gathered} r \\ (\mathrm{mas}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \theta \\ (\mathrm{deg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} a \\ \text { (mas) } \end{gathered}$ | $b / a$ | $\begin{gathered} \Phi \\ (\mathrm{deg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \\ \left(\mu \text { as }^{\mu} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} v \\ \left(h^{-1} c\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P.A. } \\ & \text { (deg) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C2 ......... | 1994.971 | 0.051 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.30 | 0.62 | $-7.3$ |  |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.043 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.30 | 0.62 | -7.3 | reference |  |  |
| B5 | 1994.971 | 0.042 | 12.941 | 2.17 | 0.56 | 0.33 | -13.1 |  | $0.17 \pm 0.17$ |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.041 | 12.974 | 2.29 | 0.56 | 0.33 | -13.1 | $9.3 \pm 9.7$ |  | 42 |
| B4 ......... | 1994.971 | 0.121 | 14.205 | 2.03 | 0.46 | 0.25 | 15.8 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.107 | 14.184 | 2.02 | 0.46 | 0.25 | 15.8 | $4.5 \pm 8.7$ | $0.08 \pm 0.16$ | 171 |
|  | 1994.971 | 0.116 | 15.355 | 2.13 | 1.15 | 0.31 | -25.5 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.063 | 15.670 | 1.56 | 1.15 | 0.31 | -25.5 | $76.0 \pm 8.7$ | $1.36 \pm 0.16$ | -24 |
| B2 ......... | 1994.971 | 0.115 | 15.854 | 2.66 | 1.05 | 0.29 | 13.1 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.126 | 15.826 | 2.80 | 1.05 | 0.29 | 13.1 | $10.4 \pm 8.7$ | $0.19 \pm 0.16$ | 129 |
| A3 ......... | 1994.971 | 0.126 | 23.743 | 0.43 | 2.11 | 0.60 | -68.7 |  |  |  |
|  | 1997.092 | 0.095 | 23.679 | 0.60 | 2.11 | 0.60 | -68.7 | $20.6 \pm 11$ | $0.37 \pm 0.20$ | 133 |
| A2 ......... | 1994.971 | 0.185 | 24.333 | 1.16 | 0.51 | 0.65 | 51.4 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.189 | 24.468 | 1.17 | 0.51 | 0.65 | 51.4 | $29.2 \pm 8.7$ | $0.52 \pm 0.16$ | 3 |

Note.-Parameters of each Gaussian component of the model brightness distribution: flux density $S$; polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$ of the center of the component relative to an arbitrary origin, with polar angle measured from north through east; major and minor axes $(a, b)$ of the FWHM contour; position angle $\Phi$ of the major axis measured from north through east; relative proper motion $\mu$ of the component; relative projected velocity $v$ in units of $h^{-1} c\left(h=H_{0} / 100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}\right)$, along the given position angle (P.A.).


Fig. 2.-Naturally weighted 15 GHz VLBI image of $0710+439$ from the 1999.587 August epoch. Contours are drawn at $-1,1,2,4, \ldots, 128 \mathrm{mJy}$ beam ${ }^{-1}$. The peak in the image is $142 \mathrm{mJy}_{\mathrm{beam}}{ }^{-1}$. The cross marks the location of the center of activity as discussed in the text. The synthesized beam FWHM is drawn in the lower left-hand corner and has dimensions $1.09 \times 0.55$ mas in position angle $-24^{\circ}$. Arrows indicate the direction of component motions, but the lengths have been magnified by a factor of 10 for legibility.

We find a separation rate between the hot spots (A2 and C2) of $29 \pm 8.7 \mu \mathrm{as} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$. The implied velocity of advance, assuming equal speeds, is $0.26 h^{-1} c$. This velocity is nearly twice that found by Owsianik \& Conway (1998). The kinematic age we derive for $0710+439$ is $550 \pm 160 \mathrm{yr}$. Since the epochs do not overlap, one explanation could be that the hot-spot advance speed has recently doubled. A more likely explanation for the discrepancy is that the 15 GHz observations are more sensitive to the motion of a bright, compact working surface, while the 5 GHz observations measure the more stable overall expansion of the lobe. In support of this idea we note that the size of component A2 is $0.51 \times 0.33$ mas at 15 GHz and $0.84 \times 0.57$ mas at 5 GHz .

We also find a substantial velocity of $1.36 \pm 0.16 h^{-1} c$ for component B3 relative to C2. This velocity, however, is accompanied by a change in the flux density of B3 from 116 mJy in 1994.971 to only 63 mJy in 1999.587. The large drop in flux could indicate a region or subcomponent that faded significantly, causing a large shift in the centroid.

In Figure 3 we show an image of $0710+439$ at 43 GHz . Only the core, inner jet complex, and brightest region of the northern hot spot are detected. The hot spot is well resolved in these observations and shows a similar twist to the southeast as indicated in the 15 GHz image. The core component is prominent and still unresolved. The core has a $15-43 \mathrm{GHz}$ spectral index of $-0.2 \pm 0.3$ (where $S_{v} \propto \nu^{\alpha}$ ). Despite the higher resolution afforded by the 43 GHz observations, no significant component motions were detected as a result of the shorter time baseline and lower signal-tonoise ratio compared to the 15 GHz observations.

$$
\text { 3.3. } 1031+567
$$

A map made from our 15 GHz observations of $1031+567$ is shown in Figure 4, and the model-fit com-


Fig. 3.-Naturally weighted 43 GHz VLBI image of $0710+439$ from the 1996 epoch. Contours are drawn at $-1.5,1.5,3,6,12$, and 24 mJy beam $^{-1}$. The peak in the image is $39 \mathrm{mJy}_{\mathrm{beam}}{ }^{-1}$. The cross marks the location of the center of activity as discussed in the text. The synthesized beam FWHM is drawn in the lower left-hand corner and has dimensions $0.38 \times 0.28$ mas in position angle $17^{\circ}$.
ponents are listed in Table 6. No central core component is apparent. It is likely that the two outermost components are the working surfaces and lobes of two oppositely directed jets, given the edge-brightened appearance and


Fig. 4.-Naturally weighted 15 GHz VLBI image of $1031+567$ from the 1999.587 epoch. Contours are drawn at $-0.8,0.8,1.6,3.2, \ldots, 51.2 \mathrm{mJy}$ beam ${ }^{-1}$. The peak in the image is 66 mJy beam ${ }^{-1}$. The synthesized beam FWHM is drawn in the lower left-hand corner and has dimensions $1.15 \times 0.65$ mas in position angle $4^{\circ}$. Arrows indicate the direction of component motions, but the lengths have been magnified by a factor of 10 for legibility.

TABLE 6
Gaussian Model and Relative Proper Motions for $1031+567$

| Component | Epoch | $\begin{gathered} S \\ (\mathrm{Jy}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} r \\ \text { (mas) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \theta \\ (\mathrm{deg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} a \\ \text { (mas) } \end{gathered}$ | $b / a$ | $\begin{gathered} \Phi \\ (\mathrm{deg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \\ \left(\mu \mathrm{as} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} v \\ \left(h^{-1} c\right) \end{gathered}$ | P.A. <br> (deg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W1 ......... | 1995.411 | 0.078 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 13.2 | reference |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.080 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 13.2 |  |  |  |
| W2 | 1995.411 | 0.097 | 1.075 | 62.24 | 1.68 | 0.54 | 71.5 |  | $0.27 \pm 0.20$ | 5 |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.099 | 1.113 | 59.31 | 1.68 | 0.54 | 71.5 | $16.3 \pm 12$ |  |  |
| E2 ......... | 1995.411 | 0.085 | 32.057 | 49.64 | 2.42 | 0.56 | 44.0 |  |  |  |
| E1 ......... | 1999.587 | 0.092 | 32.211 | 49.74 | 2.42 | 0.56 | 44.0 | $37.6 \pm 8.4$ | $0.61 \pm 0.14$ | 70 |
|  | 1995.411 | 0.065 | 33.699 | 50.34 | 0.72 | 1.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.064 | 33.748 | 50.26 | 0.72 | 1.00 | 0.00 | $14.6 \pm 4.8$ | $0.24 \pm 0.08$ | 6 |


#### Abstract

Note.-Parameters of each Gaussian component of the model brightness distribution: flux density $S$; polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$ of the center of the component relative to an arbitrary origin, with polar angle measured from north through east; major and minor axes $(a, b)$ of the FWHM contour; position angle $\Phi$ of the major axis measured from north through east; relative proper motion $\mu$ of the component; relative projected velocity $v$ in units of $h^{-1} c\left(h=H_{0} / 100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}\right)$, along the given position angle (P.A.).


steep spectra (TRP96) and that no core emission is detected. This source is also remarkable for the very small ( $1 \%-9 \%$ ) change in the flux density of its components.

We report here a first tentative detection of the expansion of $1031+567$. We find a separation rate between the hot spots W1 and E1 of $14.6 \pm 4.8 \mu \mathrm{as} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, although not along the axis of the source (see Fig. 4). A larger expansion rate is found between W1 and E2 of $37.6 \pm 8.4 \mu$ as $\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ roughly along the position angle of the axis. The kinematic age derived from the W1-E2 expansion rate is $620 \pm 140$ yr. Assuming equal advance speeds, this corresponds to a velocity of $0.31 h^{-1} c$.

$$
\text { 3.4. } 2352+495
$$

The hot spots (labeled A and C after Conway et al. 1992) are well resolved in our 15 GHz image (Fig. 5). We also see a


Fig. 5.-Naturally weighted 15 GHz VLBI image of $2352+495$ from the 1999.587 epoch. Contours are drawn at $-1,1,2,4, \ldots, 64 \mathrm{mJy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}$. The peak in the image is 95 mJy beam ${ }^{-1}$. The cross marks the location of the center of activity as discussed in the text. The synthesized beam FWHM is drawn in the lower left-hand corner and has dimensions $0.91 \times 0.51$ mas in position angle $-21^{\circ}$. Arrows indicate the direction of component motions, but the lengths have been magnified by a factor of 10 for legibility.
faint narrow jet to the south of the bright B1-B5 complex with a compact component (D) embedded in it and identified by TRP96 as the core. Based on global VLBI observations at 5 GHz over 14 yr , Owsianik, Conway, \& Polatidis (1999) measured a relative angular separation rate for the hot spots of $21.1 \pm 2.7 \mu \mathrm{as} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$.

The model-fit results at 15 GHz for $2352+495$ are listed in Table 7. From our two-epoch VLBA observations over 4.6 yr we find an angular separation velocity for the hot spots of $33 \pm 11 \mu \mathrm{as} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$. Given our large uncertainty, these observations are consistent with the findings of Owsianik et al. (1999). Our measured component separation rate yields a kinematic age for $2352+495$ of $1200 \pm 400 \mathrm{yr}$. Assuming equal advance speeds for the hot spots yields a velocity of $0.16 h^{-1} c$. The jet components in the component B complex have velocities ranging from 0.27 to $0.76 h^{-1} c$.


Fig. 6.-Naturally weighted 43 GHz VLBI image of $2352+495$ from the 1996 August epoch. Contours are drawn at $-1.5,1.5,3,6,12$, and 24 mJy beam $^{-1}$. The peak in the image is 43 mJy beam ${ }^{-1}$. The cross marks the location of the center of activity as discussed in the text. The synthesized beam FWHM is drawn in the lower left-hand corner and has dimensions $0.36 \times 0.28$ mas in position angle $23^{\circ}$.

TABLE 7
Gaussian Model and Relative Proper Motions for $2352+495$

| Component | Epoch | $\begin{gathered} S \\ (\mathrm{Jy}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} r \\ (\mathrm{mas}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \theta \\ (\mathrm{deg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} a \\ \text { (mas) } \end{gathered}$ | $b / a$ | $\begin{gathered} \Phi \\ (\mathrm{deg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \\ \left(\mu \mathrm{as} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} v \\ \left(h^{-1} c\right) \end{gathered}$ | P.A. <br> (deg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C .......... | 1994.971 | 0.024 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.06 | 0.61 | -81.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.019 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.06 | 0.61 | -81.0 | reference |  |  |
| D .......... | 1994.971 | 0.013 | 25.237 | -20.29 | 0.64 | 0.17 | 4.1 |  | $0.18 \pm 0.31$ | 13 |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.012 | 25.310 | -20.18 | 0.64 | 0.17 | 4.1 | $19.1 \pm 32$ |  |  |
| B5 ......... | 1994.971 | 0.084 | 30.104 | -15.72 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 8.4 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.048 | 30.433 | -15.42 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 8.4 | $79.1 \pm 11$ | $0.76 \pm 0.11$ | 10 |
| B4 .......... | 1994.971 | 0.156 | 30.913 | -16.30 | 0.60 | 0.80 | -54.3 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.117 | 31.025 | -16.43 | 0.60 | 0.80 | -54.3 | $28.6 \pm 11$ | $0.27 \pm 0.11$ | -48 |
| B3 ......... | 1994.971 | 0.075 | 31.390 | -15.66 | 1.56 | 0.39 | 0.4 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.101 | 31.227 | -15.74 | 1.56 | 0.39 | 0.4 | $36.6 \pm 11$ | $0.35 \pm 0.11$ | 179 |
| B2 ......... | 1994.971 | 0.120 | 33.047 | -15.38 | 0.94 | 0.63 | -25.4 |  |  |  |
|  | 1997.092 | 0.064 | 33.229 | -15.36 | 0.94 | 0.63 | -25.4 | $39.4 \pm 11$ | $0.38 \pm 0.11$ | -12 |
| B1 ......... | 1994.971 | 0.085 | 33.360 | -17.03 | 1.20 | 0.70 | -5.8 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.058 | 33.592 | -17.00 | 1.20 | 0.70 | -5.8 | $50.5 \pm 11$ | $0.48 \pm 0.11$ | -13 |
| A $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1994.971 | 0.055 | 49.243 | -17.47 | 1.20 | 0.69 | -68.2 |  |  |  |
|  | 1999.587 | 0.042 | 49.392 | -17.44 | 1.20 | 0.69 | -68.2 | $32.7 \pm 11$ | $0.31 \pm 0.11$ | -8 |

Note.-Parameters of each Gaussian component of the model brightness distribution: flux density $S$; polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$ of the center of the component relative to an arbitrary origin, with polar angle measured from north through east; major and minor axes $(a, b)$ of the FWHM contour; position angle $\Phi$ of the major axis measured from north through east; relative proper motion $\mu$ of the component; relative projected velocity $v$ in units of $h^{-1} c\left(h=H_{0} / 100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}\right)$, along the given position angle (P.A.).

In Figure 6 we show a 43 GHz image of $2352+495$. Only the core and the B1-B5 complex are detected. The core component appears well isolated and still unresolved and has a $15-43 \mathrm{GHz}$ spectral index of $-0.6 \pm 0.4$.

## 4. DISCUSSION

### 4.1. Baby Radio Galaxies?

The kinematic ages derived for the four bright CSOs studied here range from 300 to 1200 yr . This is part of a growing body of evidence conclusively demonstrating that CSOs are indeed young objects. These ages are derived under the assumption that the velocity has been constant over the entire period of activity. The kiloparsec-scale bridge of emission in $0108+388$ (Baum et al. 1990) suggests prior active phases in at least this CSO. Owsianik et al. (1998) have suggested that the one-sided appearance of the large-scale structure is due to this periodic nature and light travel-time effects.

In three of the four CSOs observed here the hot spots appear to be advancing along the jet axis. The one exception is $1031+567$, for which the separation of the hot-spot heads is not along the axis of the source, although the lobe complex is moving out along the source axis. In the dentist drill model (Scheuer 1974) for radio galaxy evolution, the hot spots wander around the leading edge of the lobe. At lower frequencies (see, e.g., Owsianik et al. 1999) the northern lobe of $2352+495$ appears to extend further from the core than the hot spot. There is also a sharp bend at the hot spot, so it is possible that the jet is deflected at the "primary" hot spot toward the east.

### 4.2. Core and Jet Properties

Three of the four CSOs studied here contain central components inferred to be the cores. All three of these apparent core components display spectra that peak around 15 GHz (see Table 3) and are unresolved. If the turnovers are due to synchrotron self-absorption, following Marscher (1983) one finds that the magnetic fields in the cores must be less than $7 \times 10^{4}, 80$, and 600 G in $0108+388,0710+439$, and
$2352+495$, respectively. Free-free absorption is unlikely to be significant at 15 GHz , although it has been demonstrated to be significant at frequencies below 5 GHz in the central regions of some CSOs (e.g., $1946+708$, Peck, Taylor, \& Conway 1999; $0108+388$, Marr et al. 2000a, 2000b). One might also expect the cores to turn over more sharply than is indicated in Table 3 if free-free absorption is the dominant mechanism.

In $0108+388$ we find marginal evidence for motion of the core component. Less significant motions are detected in $0710+439$ and $2352+495$. These motions are expected in the Blandford \& Königl (1979) model, where the core is the base of an optically thick jet as new components emerge from the center of activity.

Jet components moving relativistically from the cores toward the hot spots are seen in all sources studied here except $1031+567$. In $0710+439$ and $2352+495$ the jet components appear stronger on one side of the core, consistent with their fast motions and probably indicating that these sources do not lie in the plane of the sky. Without some additional constraint on jet velocities, however, the inclination angle is not well determined.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

We confirm hot-spot advance speeds of $\sim 0.2 h^{-1} c$ in three CSOs and present a new detection for the CSO $1031+567$. These growth rates correspond to ages between 300 and 1200 yr for the current phase of activity in the sources studied here. Further observations should allow for a more precise determination of the hot-spot velocities and will test the dentist drill model for radio sources.
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