

[AISI-Specifications for the Design of Cold-](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/ccfss-aisi-spec)[Formed Steel Structural Members](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/ccfss-aisi-spec)

[Wei-Wen Yu Center for Cold-Formed Steel](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/ccfss) **Structures**

01 Sep 2013

Report on Laboratory Testing of Fastening of CFS Track to Concrete Base Materials with PAFs, with Revision

Ricardo Ramirez

Roger A. LaBoube Missouri University of Science and Technology, laboube@mst.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/ccfss-aisi-spec](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/ccfss-aisi-spec?utm_source=scholarsmine.mst.edu%2Fccfss-aisi-spec%2F50&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the Structural Engineering Commons

Recommended Citation

Ramirez, Ricardo and LaBoube, Roger A., "Report on Laboratory Testing of Fastening of CFS Track to Concrete Base Materials with PAFs, with Revision" (2013). AISI-Specifications for the Design of Cold-Formed Steel Structural Members. 50.

[https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/ccfss-aisi-spec/50](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/ccfss-aisi-spec/50?utm_source=scholarsmine.mst.edu%2Fccfss-aisi-spec%2F50&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Technical Report is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in AISI-Specifications for the Design of Cold-Formed Steel Structural Members by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact scholarsmine@mst.edu.

research report JO
O

Report on Laboratory Testing of Fastening of CFS Track to Concrete Base Materials With PAFs

RESEARCH REPORT RP13-3

September, 2013 With Revision 2018

Committee on Specifications for the Design of Cold-Formed Steel Structural Members

American Iron and Steel Institute

The material contained herein has been developed by researchers based on their research findings. The material has also been reviewed by the American Iron and Steel Institute Committee on Specifications for the Design of Cold-Formed Steel Structural Members. The Committee acknowledges and is grateful for the contributions of such researchers.

The material herein is for general information only. The information in it should not be used without first securing competent advice with respect to its suitability for any given application. The publication of the information is not intended as a representation or warranty on the part of the American Iron and Steel Institute, or of any other person named herein, that the information is suitable for any general or particular use or of freedom from infringement of any patent or patents. Anyone making use of the information assumes all liability arising from such use.

The following Revision is made to the report on March 2018:

On Page 12 of 63, line 14 from bottom, the following change is made:

 d_s > $0.1180.157$ in. (3.99 mm)

 $\overline{}$

The above change is based on the fact that the value of $P_{nos} = 1,450$ lbs (6,450 N) was based on PAFs with diameters of 0.157 in.

September 9, 2013

Primary Author:

- Ricardo Ramirez, Undergraduate-California Polytechnic State University San Luis Obispo (Engineer Intern, Hilti Inc.)
- Roger LaBoube, Missouri University of Science and Technology

AISI Project Monitoring Task Group (PMTG):

- Helen Chen, American Iron and Steel Institute
- Pat Ford, Steel Framing Industry Association
- Perry Green, Bechtel Power Corporation
- William Gould, Hilti North America
- Roger LaBoube, Missouri University of Science and Technology
- Jay Larson, American Iron and Steel Institute
- Bonnie Manley, American Iron and Steel Institute
- Nabil Rahman, The Steel Network
- Rahim Zadeh, Steel Stud Manufacturers Association

The Project Monitoring Task Group gratefully acknowledges the following company and individuals for their invaluable project support:

- Mike Kelly
- Kelly Kummers
- Chenkai Li
- Andrew Liechti
- Ricardo Ramirez

Abstract

The 2012 AISI S100 Section E5 includes design provisions for power-actuated fasteners (PAFs) for connection of cold-formed steel (CFS) to steel base materials. However, a need exists to develop an in-depth understanding when CFS wall tracks are attached to concrete base materials as part of interior and exterior wall framing applications. Current code requirements, as specified by ASCE 7 - 2010 Chapter 13 (Exception to Section 13.4.5), limit individual PAFs in nonstructural component connections as part of distributed systems, to a sustained tension service load of 90 lbs in concrete and 250 lbs in steel in Seismic Design Categories D, E and F. Although the use of PAFs is very common in CFS wall framing applications, there is a need for an in-depth system study examining the cyclic / seismic lateral performance using this connection method.

This experimental test program demonstrates that tested capacities of the CFS track-to-concrete PAF connections exceed those used for design, under the current ASCE 7 (2010) provisions. Experimental data further demonstrates that ductile steel failure modes limit the capacity of the connection with 33 mil and thinner CFS track. Where this failure mode is dominant, the use of

AISI S100-12 Section E5.3.2 to determine the capacity of the CFS track connection is appropriate and further limiting the capacity to current standards is not warranted. Where this failure mode is not dominant, and PAF failure modes control the system behavior, the capacity of the CFS track connections should be more accurately described in AISI S100-12 Section E5 and the International Building Code (ICC, 2012)*.*

Introduction

Currently, PAFs are outside the scope of ACI 318 (2011) Appendix D provisions. However, PAFs are used in a widespread manner in both seismic and non-seismic CFS interior and exterior wall framing applications. PAFs are a proven, safe and very cost effective installation option for fastening of CFS track sections to steel, concrete and masonry base materials. In order to justify extended use of PAFs in higher Seismic Design Categories D, E and F as an alternative to postinstalled or cast-in concrete anchors for CFS track fastening, a test program was developed to investigate a variety of influencing parameters.

The test set-up and protocol used for this research program replicated a previous test program summarized by AISI Research Report RP 10-3, "Testing of Anchor Bolts Connecting Cold-Formed Steel Track to Concrete with Minimum Edge Distance" (AISI, 2010). Along with previous research reported in the AISI COFS Lateral Design Subcommittee on wood sill plate anchorage, the RP10-3 project was used to support changes in the International Building Code (IBC) for CFS track sill plate anchor bolt attachments at close edge distance.

Lacking specific test data to develop consistent and accurate design capacities for PAFs beyond single point fastener static capacities in concrete, the AISI Project Monitoring Task Group (PMTG) undertook this study to characterize typical PAF connections through an experimental testing program including monotonic and cyclic / seismic in-plane shear load tests of CFS tracks fastened to concrete with PAFs with the following goals:

- Demonstrate correlation between tested connection capacities with AISI S100-12 nominal Section E5 design strengths;
- Propose rational design capacities for cold-formed steel track connections to concrete.

Test Specimens

Twenty-five CFS track to concrete configurations were tested, with a portion tested in duplicates. Figure 1 depicts a typical cross section of the specified test specimen. Table 1 summarizes the parameters for each of the twenty-five test configurations.

Figure 1: Typical Cross Section of Test Specimen

Two power-actuated fastening systems were used in this test program. Most tests involved a low-velocity, 0.27 caliber powder-actuated tool, but two test series involved a low-velocity compressed gas tool. Common fastener types were selected for general purpose fastenings to concrete base materials. Two different fastener shank diameters were used, 0.157 inch (4 mm) with the powder-actuated fastening system and 0.118 inch (3 mm) for the compressed gas fastening system. Each of these fasteners has a variable shank length to satisfy different embedment requirements, as specified by the test scope (Appendix B). Concrete specimens were tested "as-cast" which was consistent with similar anchor bolt cyclic / seismic tests conducted previously (AISI, 2010).

CFS tracks tested had nominal depths of 3-5/8 inch and 6 inch with nominal thicknesses of 33 mil, 43 mil, 54 mil and 68 mil. CFS track lengths of 4'-0" or 8'-0" were used to satisfy PAF spacing conditions along with the total number of fasteners used per test assembly. Although most test series indicated track lengths of 4'-0", two duplicate test (Series 2 and 3a) were conducted with 8'-0" track length to investigate possible length effects.

Component Descriptions

Concrete: A compressive strength (f_c) of 2,500 psi to 3,000 psi was specified for the tests to represent concrete typical of light-frame construction. This is consistent with similar anchor bolt cyclic / seismic tests conducted previously (AISI, 2010). Cylinder tests, reported in Appendix E, showed actual f_c values of 2,550 psi. The 9'-0" x 7'-0" concrete test slab was unreinforced and had a 12" thickness.

Steel Plate: ASTM A36 plate with 40 ksi yield strength was used to represent a typical lightframe steel construction. The 4'-0" x 2'-0" steel plate had a thickness of 3/8". Tensile testing (ASTM E8/E8M-11) reported in Appendix E showed actual tensile strength $F_u = 65$ ksi.

0.157 Inch Diameter PAF: A 0.157 inch diameter, knurled shank PAF for high performance in both tension and shear fastening applications was selected for most test series. The fastener performs equally well in both high and standard strength concrete and steel. The fasteners specified for the testing conducted were Hilti X-U27 powder-actuated type fastener with a shank length of 1 inch, along with the X-U32 type fastener with a shank length of 1.25 inch. Average embedment for X-U27 fastener was 0.906 inch while average embedment for X-U32 fastener was 1.223 inch. For tests in steel base materials, a smaller X-U16 type fastener with a shank length of 0.625 inch was used, with an average embedment of 0.453 inch.

Figure 2: Typical 0.157 inch Diameter PAF

0.118 inch Diameter PAF: A 0.118 inch diameter, smooth shank PAF for standard performance in both tension and shear fastening applications was also used for Test Series 6 and 7 in order to establish a lower bound on the fastener diameter size. The fastener also performs well in standard strength concrete. The fasteners specified for the testing in Test Series 6 and 7 were Hilti X-GN27 compressed gas type fasteners with a 1 inch shank length. Average embedment achieved with the X-GN27 fastener was 0.971 inch.

Figure 3: Typical 0.118 inch Diameter PAF

CFS Track: Figure 4 depicts the cross section of the typical CFS track section that was tested. CFS track sections have nominal depths of 3-5/8 and 6 inches, which means the web depth (inside to inside of flange) was 3-5/8 or 6 inches. Cold-formed steel material was standard galvanized steel with a G60 zinc coating. Mechanical properties of the CFS track were verified by laboratory tests and are reported in Appendix E. Material was tested in "as received" condition.

Figure 4: Cross Section of CFS Track

Membrane: Similar to previous anchor bolt testing (AISI, 2010), a slip membrane between the CFS track and the concrete was installed on all tests for a conservative test condition removing any friction influence. The membrane was comprised of a single, 0.020 inch thick Teflon® sheet, to approximate an idealized "frictionless" plane.

Test Set-Up and Procedure

All tests were conducted at the testing laboratory of Hilti North America in Tulsa, Oklahoma between July 2013 and August 2013. Figure 5 shows the set-up for a typical test.

Monotonic load tests were performed at a loading rate of between 1 and 3 minutes to failure. Cyclic / seismic load tests were conducted with a force controlled cyclic testing protocol (sine wave) at a frequency of 0.1 Hz (1 cycle every 10 seconds). Loading for the cyclic tests was five (5) repetitions at six (6) different and increasing percentages (67, 75, 85, 95 100 and 110 percent) of the reference load. The reference load was taken as 40% of the ultimate monotonic load.

Each specimen was tested as a single element connecting a 4'-0" or 8'-0" long CFS track to the larger concrete "foundation" element. Figure 6 shows the fixture that was fabricated to introduce loads into the CFS track section. A schematic of the fixture is shown in Appendix A. The fixture was attached to the CFS track with ten (10) 1/4 inch screws from the track to the interior sideplates and eight (8) 5/16 inch cap screws through the side-plates and CFS track flanges directly into the end blocks. The end blocks were then connected to the exterior side plates through four (4) 1/4 inch diameter threaded steel rods. No vertical loads were introduced to the test specimen, and any vertical displacements caused during cyclic testing were restricted by two mechanical rollers anchored into the concrete.

Figure 5: Typical Test Set-up

Figure 6: Close-up View of Test Fixture (prior to fastening)

Displacement was measured at mid length of the CFS track using a Linear Variable Differential Transformer (LVDT) reader. All loads and displacements were collected via a digital data acquisition system. The data was analyzed as it was logged. For cyclic loading conditions, the minimum and maximum data points for load and displacement were recorded. After application of the six different cyclic / seismic load levels was completed, a residual monotonic load test was conducted to determine the ultimate failure load of the test specimen. The maximum load and corresponding displacement were also recorded.

Monotonic Load Test Results

The detailed results for the monotonic load tests are found in Appendix C. The results are summarized in Table 2, which provides a comparison with predicted values that are calculated in accordance with the bearing and tilting strength provisions in Section E5.3.2 of AISI S100 (AISI, 2012). Table 2 also includes the calculated AISI nominal strength (no safety factor applied) and design strength (safety factor applied).

Notes:

1) The AISI nominal strength is calculated for PAF determined in accordance with AISI S100 -12 Section E5.3.2 for a limit state of bearing and tilting. Where applicable, AISI S100 Section A2.3.2 was considered.

2) The tested / calculated ratio is the ultimate residual test load divided by the AISI nominal strength.

Initial comparisons between 4'-0" and 8'-0" CFS track lengths (Test Series 1 and 2) demonstrated that even though both had the same PAF spacing; only the samples with 4'-0" CFS track length exceeded the predicted strength. While tests with 8'-0" CFS track did not exceed the predicted strength determined by AISI S100-12, the controlling failure mode was still shear bearing of CFS track as described in the data summary table (Appendix B).

Ultimate loads also confirmed, in Test Series 6, that the predicted strength determined by AISI S100-12 Section E5.3.2 can be satisfied with a smaller shank diameter PAF as well as with a larger shank diameter PAF. Test Series 6 used a 0.118 inch fastener type and these also exceeded the predicted strength by 27%.

Cyclic / Seismic Load Test Results

The detailed results for the cyclic / seismic load tests are provided in Appendix D. The results are summarized in Table 3, which provides a comparison with the calculated AISI nominal strength (no safety factor applied) and design strength (safety factor applied).

Table 3: Summary and Comparison of Cyclic Test Results

Notes:

1) The AISI design strength is calculated for PAF determined in accordance with AISI S100 -12 Section E5.3.2 for a limit state of bearing and tilting using a safety factor of 2.05.

2) The tested / design ratio is the ultimate tested residual load divided by the AISI design strength.

Page 9 of 63

Throughout the overall test program, different failure modes were observed with respect to each of the test specimens (see Appendix B). For tests conducted with 33 mil CFS track, the failure mode was dominated by shear bearing deformation of the CFS track. Although no major deformations were noticed during the cyclic / seismic loading, when conducting the monotonic ultimate load test, major shear bearing failure of the CFS track was noticed between the bearing surface of the CFS track and the PAF shanks, see Figure 7.

While 33 mil CFS track sections were dominated by the shear bearing failure mode, thicker CFS track sections were subjected to more varied failure modes mainly dependent on the PAF embedment. Specimens with CFS track thickness of 43 mils or thicker and nominal PAF embedment of 1 inch had a dominant controlling failure of tilting / pull-out of PAFs, see Figure 8. While no deformation was noticed on the CFS track or fastener during cyclic / seismic loading, fastener deformation was evident when an ultimate load test was conducted on an additional test specimen.

 Shear bearing in the CFS track was only visible in thicker track when a deeper PAF embedment of 1.25 inch was introduced. This failure mode was evident only in 43 mil track where most tests displayed combinations of initial shear bearing of CFS track along with PAF tilting / pull-out. 54 mil and 68 mil CFS track sections all displayed failure in PAF tilting / pullout with both 1 inch and 1.25 inch embedment into a concrete base material. While PAFs embedded into concrete were not able to produce shear bearing failure of the CFS track, tests with thicker than 43 mil CFS track with steel base material (Series 21 and 22) produced shear bearing failure. Figure 9 shows an X-U16 PAF that was able to produce shear bearing of a 4'-0" 68 mil CFS track when fastened into a 3/8 inch thick ASTM A36 steel plate.

Figure 7: Shear Bearing of 33 mil CFS track (concrete base material)

Figure 8: PAF Tilting/Pullout in 43 mil CFS Track (concrete base material)

Figure 9: Shear Bearing of 68 mil CFS Track (ASTM A36 steel base material)

Local spalling occurred when the controlling failure was tilting / pull-out of the PAF in concrete. Although there was visible concrete damage around the PAF during shear bearing of CFS track, the damage was very minimal and in some cases no damage was noticed.

Conclusions and Recommendations

This research test program was designed to achieve the following primary goals:

1. Demonstrate correlation between tested connection capacities with AISI S100-12 Section E5.

Analyzing the cyclic / seismic load tests of 4'-0" 33 mil CFS tracks fastened with PAFs without a washer into concrete base material (Series 3 and 7), shear bearing was the predominant failure mode. Comparing ratios of tested vs. predicted nominal strengths; there is a clear indication that the AISI S100 Section E5.3.2 prediction produces strengths which can be applied to 33 mil CFS track. Analyzing the cyclic / seismic load tests of 4'-0" 68 mil CFS tracks fastened with PAFs into ASTM A36 steel plate (Series 21), shear bearing was the predominant failure mode. Comparing ratios of tested vs. predicted nominal strengths; there is a clear indication that the AISI S100 Section E5.3.2 prediction produces load values which can be applied to 68 mil CFS track.

Although the predicted strength was not met with $8'-0$ " track length (Series 2 & 3a), further research into the effects of track length greater than 50 inches should be considered in order to determine whether a length adjustment factor is necessary as indicated by the AISC Specification for Structural Steel Buildings Table J3.2 (AISC, 2010). Additionally, the use of a pre-mounted 15 mm diameter steel washer on the PAF was evaluated (Series 3b). In this case, an increase in residual monotonic load capacity was observed.

2. Propose rational design capacities for cold-formed steel track connections.

It is conclusive that shear bearing of the CFS track was the dominant controlling failure mode with all 33 mil CFS track tests. Within this limit state, the ultimate monotonic and cyclic / seismic residual loads exceeded the predicted design strengths set by AISI S100-12 when using PAFs with a nominal embedment greater than or equal to 1 inch. Therefore, a recommendation to modify AISI S100-12 Section E5 to include the use of PAF with 33 mil CFS track in concrete with compressive strength greater than or equal to $f = 2,500$ psi and nominal embedment greater than or equal to 1.00" should also be made.

| Test Series Number | Number of Fasteners | Tested Ultimate Load (lbs) | Tested Ultimate Load Per Fastener (lbs) |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| 11 | 4 | 5107 | 1277 |
| | | 5137 | 1284 |
| 13 | 4 | 4438 | 1110 |
| | | 6303 | 1576 |
| 18 | 4 | 5774 | 1444 |
| | | 5385 | 1346 |
| 20 | 8 | 13185 | 1648 |
| | | 14475 | 1809 |
| 25 | 8 | 12038 | 1505 |
| | | 12016 | 1502 |
| Average Load Per Fastener | | | 1450 |
| Standard Deviation | | | 204 |
| Coefficient of Variance (COV) | | | 14% |

Table 4: Summary and Comparison of Cyclic Test Results (Track thicker than 33 mil)

For the connection of CFS track to concrete with PAFs, the connections exhibited the ability to sustain high magnitude, repeated load cycles, even when the controlling failure mode was PAF tilting / pullout, as shown in the load-displacement curves (Appendices C and D). Regardless of the CFS track thickness, the ultimate loads substantially exceeded the 90 lbs service load specified by ASCE 7 - 2010 Chapter 13 (Exception to Section 13.4.5). From Table 4, it is further conclusive that the average load capacity per fastener taken from the ten cyclic / seismic tests, when divided by a safety factor of 3.25 determined in accordance with AISI S100 Section F using the statistical values for structural concrete filled diaphragms from the AISI S310 Standard Table E1.2.2-1 Calibration Parameters (see Appendix F), is set at 446 lbs. This is still substantially higher than the 90 lbs specified by ASCE 7-2010. Therefore, it is recommended that for all CFS track thicknesses, a revision to AISI S100-12 Section E5 be developed to more accurately represent the load capacity to a higher shear capacity consistent with the findings of this research program.

The specific proposal for revision to AISI S100-12 Sections E5, E5.3.3 and Commentary is as follows:

E5 Power Actuated Fasteners

 The provisions of this section shall apply to *power actuated fasteners* (*PAFs*) that are driven into steel or concrete substrates. If the substrate is steel, the *thickness* of the substrate not in contact with *PAF* head shall be limited to a maximum of 0.75 in. (19 mm). The *thickness* of the substrate in contact with *PAF* head shall be limited to a maximum of 0.06 in. (1.52 mm). The washer diameter shall not exceed 0.6 in. (15 mm) in computations, although the actual diameter may be larger. *Power-actuated fastener* diameter shall be limited to a range of 0.11 in. (2.8 mm) to 0.21 in. (5.3 mm).

E5.3.3 Pull-out Strength [Resistance] in Shear

For *PAFs* driven in steel through a depth of at least 0.6t2, the *nominal pull-out strength* [*resistance*] in shear is permitted to be computed in accordance with Eq. E5.3.3-1 and the following *safety factor* and the *resistance factor* shall be applied to determine the *available strength* [*factored resistance*] in accordance with Section A4, A5 or A6:

$$
P_{\text{nos}} = \frac{d_{\text{ae}}^{1.8} t_2^{0.2} (F_{y2} E^2)^{1/3}}{30}
$$

\n
$$
\Omega = 2.55 \text{ (ASD)}
$$

\n
$$
\Phi = 0.60 \text{ (LRFD)}
$$

\n
$$
= 0.50 \text{ (LSD)}
$$

\n
$$
(Eq. E5.3.3-1)
$$

 Eq. E5.3.3-1 shall apply for *connections* within the following limits: 0.113 in. (2.9 mm) $\leq t$ \; 3/4 in. (19 mm) 0.106 in. $(2.7 \text{ mm}) \leq d_s \leq 0.206$ in. (5.2 mm) .

For *PAFs* driven in concrete a minimum embedment depth of 1 in. (25.4 mm), the *nominal pull-out strength* [*resistance*] in shear is permitted to be computed in accordance with Eq. E5.3.3-2, and the following *safety factor* and *resistance factor* shall be applied to determine the *available strength* in accordance with Section A4, A5 or A6:

 $P_{\text{nos}} = 1,450 \text{ lbs } (6,450 \text{ N})$ (*Eq.* E5.3.3-2)

 $\Omega = 3.25 (ASD)$ $φ = 0.50$ (*LRFD*) $= 0.40$ (*LSD*)

Eq. E5.3.3-2 shall apply for connections within the following limits:

COMMENTARY

In 2013, provisions were added to Section E5.3.3 for power-actuated fasteners (PAFs) for connection of cold-formed steel (CFS) to concrete base materials. These provisions are based on an experimental study where CFS wall tracks were attached to concrete base materials and subjected to monotonic and cyclic / seismic test loads (AISI Research Report RP13-3). The experimental data demonstrated that residual monotonic shear strength of power-actuated fastener connections after cyclic / seismic loading closely matched the reference monotonic shear strength.

The experimental data further demonstrated that ductile steel failure modes limit the capacity of the connection with thinner cold-formed steel track. Where this failure mode is dominant, the use of AISI S100-12 Section E5.3.2 to determine the capacity of CFS track connection is appropriate. For thicker track, the limit state was shear pull-out of the fastener. The nominal value given by

Section E5.3.3 is considered a lower bound strength based on the concrete strength used in the test program.

REFERENCE

"Report on Laboratory Testing of Fastening of CFS Track to Concrete Base Material with PAFs, AISI Research Report RP13-3."

References

(ACI, 2011), *Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete*, American Concrete Institute, Farmington Hills, MI.

(AISC, 2011), *Steel Construction Manual 14th Ed.*, American Institute Steel Construction, 2011.

(AISI, 2012), AISI S100 Section E5, *North American Specification for the Design of Cold-Formed Steel Structural Members*, American Iron and Steel Institute, Washington, DC, 2012.

(ASCE, 2010), *Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures*, American Society of Civil Engineering, Virginia, 2010.

(ICC, 2012), *International Building Code*, International Code Council, Washington, DC, 2012.

(RP10-3, 2010), *Report on Laboratory Testing of Anchor Bolts Connecting Cold-Formed Steel Track to Concrete with Minimum Edge Distances*, American Iron and Steel Institute, Washington, DC, 2010.

Appendix A – Schematic of Test Fixture

Appendix B – Test Scope with Summary of Results Table

Notes:

1. Fasteners were numbered in the same order for all tests. # 1 is fastener located closest to the loading ram and the remainder are numbered with respect to this.
2. Tested CFS Track Strength is obtained from material tes

3. Predicted Bearing Strength is calculated per AISI-S100 (E5.3.2): P_{nbp}=α_b*d_s*t*Fu

Where: α_{b} =3.2

 d_s =shank diameter (in)

t=track tested thickness (in)
F_u=track tested strength (psi) (F_u is taken as 75% of the specified minimum tensile strength or 62 ksi, whichever is less for 33 mil CFS track)

4. Test performed with Normal Strength Concrete (f_c =2500psi as specified) as base material unless otherwise noted.

5. Test performed with ASTM A36 Steel Plate as base material.

Appendix C – Monotonic Test Results

7/3/2013

AISI Project-Monotonic Load Graph

7/10/2013

7/16/2013

8/8/2013

8/8/2013

8/9/2013

8/12/2013

8/9/2013

8/12/2013

8/21/2013

8/22/2013

8/26/2013

8/27/2013

Appendix D – Cyclic / Seismic Test Results

Page 33 of 63

Page 38 of 63

Appendix E - Material Properties Concrete Steel Plate CFS Track Fasteners

Quantity Represented: Remarks: 4 x 8 Cylinders

Test Method (As Applicable): ASTM C31, C39, C138, C143, C172, C231, C1064, C1231; AASHTO T22, T23, T119, T121, T141, T152, T309

Respectfully Submitted, Standard Testing and Engineering Company

Farid Ahmad, ψ .E.

CA #77 Exp. 6/30/2015

THIS REPORT APPLIES ONLY TO THE STANDARDS OR PROCEDURES INDICATED AND TO THE SAMPLE(S) TESTED AND/OR OBSERVED AND ARE NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF THE QUALITIES OF APPARENTLY IDENTICAL OR SIMILAR PRODUCTS OR PROCEDURES, NOR DO THEY REPRESENT AN ONGOING QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM UNLESS SO NOTED. THESE REPORTS ARE FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF THE ADDRESSED CLIENT AND ARE NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

REPORT CREATED BY EImTree SYSTEM

Page 45 of 63

TESTING TODAY, PROTECTING TOMORROW

An Element Materials Technology Company Western Materials, SEG, & Nonmetallics 3100 North Hemlock Circle Broken Arrow, OK 74012-1115

WWW.SHERRYLABS.COM Tel: 918-258-6066 800-982-8378 Fax: 918-258-1154

LABORATORY REPORT

Room Temperature Tensile Testing - ASTM E8/E8M-11, Parallel to Length of the Specimen, As Received

These test results CONFORM to ASTM A36-08/ASME SA-36 (2011a).

Room Temperature Tensile Testing - ASTM E8/E8M-11, Parallel to Length of the Specimen, As Received

These test results CONFORM to ASTM A36-08/ASME SA-36 (2011a).

Room Temperature Tensile Testing - ASTM E8/E8M-11, Parallel to Length of the Specimen, As Received

These test results CONFORM to ASTM A36-08/ASME SA-36 (2011a).

An Element Materials Technology Company Western Materials, SEG, & Nonmetallics 3100 North Hemlock Circle Broken Arrow, OK 74012-1115

TESTING TODAY, PROTECTING TOMORROW

WWW.SHERRYLABS.COM Tel: 918-258-6066 800-982-8378 Fax: 918-258-1154

LABORATORY REPORT

Attn: HILTI, INC. c/o Standard Testing & Engineering 10816 E. Newton St., Suite 110 Dillan Carney **B13080713 B13080713** Tulsa, OK 74116

Report No: Date Reported: P.O. No:

8/19/2013 Credit Card

Approved by:

Doug Kooken Operations Manager

Page 47 of 63

An Element Materials Technology Company Western Materials, SEG, & Nonmetallics 3100 North Hemlock Circle Broken Arrow, OK 74012-1115

TESTING TODAY, PROTECTING TOMORROW

WWW.SHERRYLABS.COM Tel: 918-258-6066 800-982-8378 Fax: 918-258-1154

LABORATORY REPORT

Material: Steel

Description: (4) 2' Galv Track Sections

Room Temperature Tensile Testing ASTM E8/E8M-11, Parallel to Length of the Specimen, As Received

Room Temperature Tensile Testing ASTM E8/E8M-11, Parallel to Length of the Specimen, As Received

Room Temperature Tensile Testing ASTM E8/E8M-11, Parallel to Length of the Specimen, As Received

Room Temperature Tensile Testing ASTM E8/E8M-11, Parallel to Length of the Specimen, As Received

Approved by:

Doug Kooken Operations Manager

Test results relate only to the items tested. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Sherry Laboratories, Inc. The recording of false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be a punishable offense under federal and state law. A2LA Accredited Laboratory Certificate No. 1089-01 (Mechanical) & 1089-02 (Chemical).

TESTING TODAY, PROTECTING TOMORROW

An Element Materials Technology Company Western Materials, SEG, & Nonmetallics 3100 North Hemlock Circle Broken Arrow, OK 74012-1115

Material: Steel

WWW.SHERRYLABS.COM Tel: 918-258-6066 800-982-8378 Fax: 918-258-1154

LABORATORY REPORT

Description: (4) 2' Galv Track Sections

Reference: Sherry Job No.: B13070647 - Additional Testing

Room Temperature Tensile Testing ASTM E8/E8M-11, Parallel to Length of the Specimen, As Received

Room Temperature Tensile Testing ASTM E8/E8M-11, Parallel to Length of the Specimen, As Received

Room Temperature Tensile Testing ASTM E8/E8M-11, Parallel to Length of the Specimen, As Received

Room Temperature Tensile Testing ASTM E8/E8M-11, Parallel to Length of the Specimen, As Received

Room Temperature Tensile Testing ASTM E8/E8M-11, Parallel to Length of the Specimen, As Received

Test results relate only to the items tested. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Sherry Laboratories, Inc. The recording of false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be a punishable offense under federal and state law. A2LA Accredited Laboratory Certificate No. 1089-01 (Mechanical) & 1089-02 (Chemical).

Page 49 of 63

TESTING TODAY, PROTECTING TOMORROW

An Element Materials Technology Company Western Materials, SEG, & Nonmetallics 3100 North Hemlock Circle Broken Arrow, OK 74012-1115

Tulsa, OK 74116 United States

WWW.SHERRYLABS.COM Tel: 918-258-6066 800-982-8378 Fax: 918-258-1154

LABORATORY REPORT

Room Temperature Tensile Testing ASTM E8/E8M-11, Parallel to Length of the Specimen, As Received

Room Temperature Tensile Testing ASTM E8/E8M-11, Parallel to Length of the Specimen, As Received

Room Temperature Tensile Testing ASTM E8/E8M-11, Parallel to Length of the Specimen, As Received

Approved by:

Doug Kooken Operations Manager

Test results relate only to the items tested. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Sherry Laboratories, Inc. The recording of false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be a punishable offense under federal and state law. A2LA Accredited Laboratory Certificate No. 1089-01 (Mechanical) & 1089-02 (Chemical).

5/21/2012

Dillan Carney Standard Testing and Engineering Company 10816 East Newton Street - Suite 110 Tulsa, OK 74116

Reference: Dimensional Tests of Hilti X-U 27 P8 Power-driven Fasteners Specialized Testing Report Number STQA50424B

Dear Mr. Carney:

Pursuant to the your request, on 3/22/2012 Specialized Testing performed dimensional tests of five representative samples from the 20 samples of Hilti X-U 27 P8 Power-driven fasteners (lot number 11911226) that Standard Testing and Engineering Company sampled on 1/30/2012 and sent to our laboratory. The fasteners were received and logged into our incoming materials inventory (Log No. 497) on 2/6/2012.

The specified dimensional tests included head diameter, shank diameter and overall length. The dimensional tests were performed in-house by Specialized Testing. The dimensional test report is presented in Attachment 1.

Please let us know if you have any questions or comments.

Respectfully submitted $Exp.C.$ $2er$ Tim Foster, **RE** Spec Testing, Inc. CIV dba Specialized Testing

attachment

ATTACHMENT 1- HILTI X-U 27 P8 DIMENSIONAL TEST REPORT STQA504248

 ϵ

Notes:

All readings in millimeters

The five sample average of the three measured dimensions comply with Hilti's specifications (Hilti document no. 381182 / 08 / 521108)

8/7/2013

Dillan Carney Standard Testing and Engineering Company 10816 East Newton Street - Suite 110 Tulsa, OK 74146

Reference: Dimensional Tests of Hilti X-U 32 P8 Power-driven Fasteners Specialized Testing Report Number STQA50482B

Dear Mr. Carney:

Pursuant to your request, on 8/2/2013 Specialized Testing performed dimensional tests of five representative samples from the 20 samples of Hilti X-U 32 P8 Power-driven fasteners $($ lot number 12310035) that Standard Testing and Engineering Company sampled on 6/26/2013 and sent to our laboratory. The fasteners were received and logged into our incoming materials inventory (Log No. 652) on 7/11/2013.

The specified dimensional tests included head diameter, shank diameter and overall length. The dimensional tests were performed in-house by Specialized Testing. The dimensional test report is presented in Attachment 1. The dimensional test results comply with Hilti's specifications.

Please let us know if you have any questions or comments.

Respectfully submitted/ Tim Foster P.E. Exp. Specialized Testing, Inc. dba Specialized Testing OF CAL

attachment

 $\ddot{}$

ATTACHMENT 1 - HILTI X-U 32 P8 DIMENSIONAL TEST REPORT STQA50482B

1/6/2012

Dillan Carney Standard Testing and Engineering Company 10816 East Newton Street - Suite 110 Tulsa, OK 74116

Reference: Core Hardness Tests of Hilti X-GN27 MX Power-driven Fasteners Specialized Testing Report Number STQA50414H

Dear Mr. Carney:

Pursuant to the your request, on 11/10/2011 Specialized Testing coordinated Rockwell Core Pursuant to the your request, on T1710/2011 Specialized Testing according to the Hilti X-GN27 MX Power-
Hardness tests of five representative samples from the 20 samples of Hilti X-GN27 MX Power-Hardness rests of live representative samples not the 20 campios sampled on 10/24/2011 and
driven fasteners that Standard Testing and Engineering Company sampled on 10/24/2011 and driven rasteners that Standard Testing and Engineering Sompany campus sent to our laboratory. The fasteners were received and logged into our incoming materials inventory (Log No. 467) on 11/1/2011.

The core hardness tests were performed by Atlas Testing Laboratories (an A2LA accredited The core naroness tests were performed by Allas Tooling Educations by transferring samples
Metallurgical Test Laboratory). Specialized Testing facilitated the tests by transferring samples to Atlas Testing Laboratories with a purchase order and test instructions. The Atlas Testing to Atlas Testing Laboratories with a purchase offer and test instruction. The average core hardness value for the X-GN27 MX fastener was 53 HRC.

Please let us know if you have any questions or comments.

<u>ATTACHMENT 1 – HILTI X-GN27 MX CORE HARDNESS TEST REPORT</u>
STQA50414H

Page 58 of 63

SANTA FE SPRINGS, CA 90670

TEST REPORT

ALLOY: **STEEL**

METALLURGICAL EVALUATION: (FIVE SAMPLES)

The samples were prepared per ASTM-E3/11. Tested with HV 1000g load. Examined at 500x magnification.

REMARKS: Results provided for information only.

Respectfully Submitted

Date: Assurant.

Page 1 of 1

ATLAS TESTING SUBMITS THIS REPORT AS THE CONFIDENTIAL PROPERTY OF OUR CLIENT REPRODUCTION IS AUTHORIZED, BUT ONLY IF IN ATLAS TESTING SUBMITS THIS REPORT AS THE CONFIDENTIAL PROPERTY OF OOR CEIENT REPRODUCTION IS ACTIONIZED, DO FORD THIS
FULL, THE RECORDING OF FALSE, FICTITIOUS OR FRAUDULENT INFORMATION TO THIS REPORT MAY BE PUNISHABLE UNDE

 3 of 3

1/6/2012

Dillan Carney Standard Testing and Engineering Company 10816 East Newton Street - Suite 110 **Tulsa, OK 74116**

Reference: Dimensional Tests of Hilti X-GN27 MX Power-driven Fasteners Specialized Testing Report Number STQA50414D

Dear Mr. Carney:

Pursuant to the your request, on 12/12/2011 Specialized Testing performed dimensional tests of Pursuant to the your request, on 12/12/2011 specialized Testing performed among the representative samples from the 20 samples of Hilti X-GN27 MX Power-driven fasteners tive representative samples from the 20 samples of this A-ST(2) move sites.
that Standard Testing and Engineering Company sampled on 10/24/2011 and sent to our that Standard Testing and Engineering Company sampled on Torzule Premier Company (Log
laboratory. The fasteners were received and logged into our incoming materials inventory (Log No. 467) on 11/1/2011.

The specified dimensional tests included head diameter, shank diameter and overall length. The specified dimensional tests included riead diameter, sharin diameter and several right.
The dimensional tests were performed in-house by Specialized Testing. The dimensional test report is presented in Attachment 1.

Please let us know if you have any questions or comments.

ATTACHMENT 1 - HILTI X-GN27 MX DIMENSIONAL TEST REPORT STQA50414D

 $\overline{\text{GES}}$ Track to Generate Base Material with FAFs_e springs. California 90670 \cdot (569)903-0039. Fax P 505 δ 1595 \pm

The five sample average of the three measured dimensions comply with Hilti's specifications
(Hilti document no. 321634 / 09 / 521108) for the X-GN27 MX fastener.

Appendix F – AISI S100-12 Appendix F Safety Factor Calculation

American Iron and Steel Institute

25 Massachusetts Avenue, NW Suite 800 Washington, DC 20001 www.steel.org

Research Report RP-13-3 Research Report RP-13-3